

Modeling Channel Forms and Related Sedimentary Objects Using a Boundary Representation Based on Non-uniform Rational B-Splines

Jeremy Ruiu, Guillaume Caumon, Sophie Viseur

▶ To cite this version:

Jeremy Ruiu, Guillaume Caumon, Sophie Viseur. Modeling Channel Forms and Related Sedimentary Objects Using a Boundary Representation Based on Non-uniform Rational B-Splines. Mathematical Geosciences, 2016, 48 (3), pp.259-284. 10.1007/s11004-015-9629-3. hal-01333288

HAL Id: hal-01333288 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01333288

Submitted on 9 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Author's revised version. Published in Mathematical Geosciences 2016, 48 (3):259-284. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11004-015-9629-3 (c) IAMG 2015

- Modeling Channel Forms and Related Sedimentary Objects
- ² using a Boundary Representation Based on Non Uniform
- **Rational B-Splines**
- 4 Jeremy Ruiu, Guillaume Caumon, Sophie Viseur

6 the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

7 Abstract In this paper, we aim at providing a flexible and compact volumetric ob-

8 ject model capable of representing many sedimentary structures at different scales.

⁹ Geo-bodies are defined by a boundary representation; each bounding surface is con-

¹⁰ structed as a parametric deformable surface. We propose a three-dimensional sedi-

mentary object with a compact parametrization which allows for representing var-

¹² ious geometries and provides a curvilinear framework for modeling internal het-

erogeneities. This representation is based on Non-Uniform Rational Basis Splines
 (NURBS) which smoothly interpolate between a set of points. The three-dimensional

¹⁵ models of geobodies are generated using a small number of parameters, and hence

¹⁶ can be easily modified. This can be done by a point and click user interaction for man-

17 ual editing or by a Monte-Carlo sampling for stochastic simulation. Each elementary

¹⁸ shape is controlled by deformation rules and has connection constraints with associ-

¹⁹ ated objects to maintain geometric consistency through editing. The boundary rep-

²⁰ resentations of the different sedimentary structures are used to construct hexahedral

21 conformal grids in order to perform petrophysical property simulations following the

²² particular three-dimensional parametric space of each object. Finally these properties

can be upscaled, according to erosion rules, to a global grid that represents the global

24 depositional environment.

25 Keywords Architectural Elements; Geobody; Surface-Based Modeling; Event-

26 Based Modeling; Channel; Clinoforms; Meanders; Lobes

Guillaume Caumon E-mail: Guillaume.Caumon@univ-lorraine.fr

Sophie Viseur

CEREGE (UMR 7330), Aix Marseille University. 3 place Victor Hugo F-13331 Marseille cedex 03, France. E-mail: viseur@cerege.fr

Jeremy Ruiu, Guillaume Caumon

Georessources, Université de Lorraine-ENSG, CNRS, GREGU. 2 rue du Doyen Marcel Roubault F-54518 Vandoeuvre-Lès-Nancy, France. E-mail: ruiu@gocad.org

27 **1 Introduction**

Most clastic deposits consist in geometric arrangements of sedimentary facies which 28 control petrophysical heterogeneites. Capturing the spatial layout of sedimentary fa-29 cies is therefore critical for subsurface modeling tasks. For instance several authors 30 31 have studied the influence of small scale sedimentary heterogeneities on subsurface flow (Alpak et al, 2013; Desbarats, 1987; Haldorsen and Lake, 1984; Jackson and 32 Muggeridge, 2000; Novakovic et al, 2002). For instance Jackson et al (2009) show 33 that the thicknesses of sealing facies between clinoforms impact the prediction of hy-34 drocarbon recovery volumes in shallow marine reservoirs. Jackson and Muggeridge 35 (2000) also depict different flow behaviors depending on the scale of shale hetero-36 geneities and the number of fluid phases. In the case of CO_2 storage capacity in 37 reservoirs Issautier et al (2013) show the strong influence of heterogeneities on un-38 certainties related to both transport and storage capacity. 39 Classical approaches for facies modeling in sedimentary contexts upscale small 40 scale core data and use geostatistical methods at the modeling grid scale to deduce 41 spatial distribution of given properties (e.g. rock types, porosity, permeability, ...) 42 based on their spatial probabilistic distribution. In the case of pixel-based approaches, 43 the geometric and multi scale aspects of sedimentary heterogeneities are most com-44 monly handled using the cookie cutter strategy (Journel, 1996; Journel et al, 1998), 45 whereby properties are simulated within simulated facies deemed stationary. Over the 46 past decade, multiple-point geostatistics (MPS) has emerged as an interesting prac-47 tical way to describe complex channel geometries (see for instance Mariethoz and 48 Caers (2014) and Renard and Mariethoz (2014) for reviews of recent progresses). 49 This type of methods is based on analog training images to obtain conditional proba-50 bilities in stochastic spatial simulation processes. These approaches can be easily con-51 ditioned to dense well data. However, generating three-dimensional training images 52 deemed representative of the geological environment remains a practical challenge 53 in the application of MPS (Boisvert et al, 2007; Mirowski et al, 2009). In practice, 54 object-based simulation is often used to generate training images. 55 Classically, object based methods (Deutsch and Wang, 1996; Deutsch and Tran, 56 2002; Haldorsen and Lake, 1984; Hassanpour et al, 2013; Holden et al, 1998; Shtuka 57 et al, 1996; Viseur, 2004) simulate sedimentary bodies by sampling from probability 58 distributions of geometric objects parameters. Internal heterogeneities are then rep-59 resented in a background grid (Deutsch and Wang, 1996; Holden et al, 1998) or in a 60 curvilinear grid defined in each channel (Shtuka et al, 1996). Object-based methods 61 can also be applied at a smaller scale to represent centimetric to decimetric sedimen-62 tological bedforms, then upscaled to decametric scale (Wen et al, 1998; Nordahl et al, 63

64 2005).

The choice of the geometric object parametrization is non-unique and can influence the ability of the method to produce realistic sedimentary shapes. Therefore, process-oriented and pseudo-genetic methods try to model or approximate the results of depositional processes to define channel shapes (Abrahamsen et al, 2007; Howard and Knutson, 1984; Howard, 1996; Lopez, 2003; Pyrcz et al, 2009).

Most of these methods rely on grids to construct or to represent the object geometries. The limited resolution of grids can be a source of aliasing at the interfaces

between objects. To mitigate such approximations in object represention, several au-72 thors have proposed a surface-based description of object boundaries. A possible 73 strategy consists in using the top and bottom bounding surfaces (Bertoncello et al, 74 2013; Fisher and Wales, 1992; Graham et al, 2015; Pyrcz et al, 2005; Sech et al, 75 2009). For instance Fisher and Wales (1992) suggest using parametric surfaces in 76 77 order to capture the patterns of fluvial bodies between well data. They represent the top and bottom of the channel by two parametric surfaces yielding a boundary rep-78 resentation. The bounding surfaces of architectural elements can also be implicitly 79 represented around channel axes, which makes it possible to maintain consistency of 80 shapes during simulation and editing (Deutsch and Wang, 1996; Hassanpour et al, 81 2013; Gai et al, 2012; Pyrcz et al, 2009). 82 This paper proposes a similar strategy using a boundary representation based on 83 NURBS surfaces (Piegl and Tiller, 1995). The NURBS models can represent sedi-84 mentary bodies in many types of depositional environments, but this paper focuses 85 on alluvial deposits (Sect. 2). As other surface-based representations, the proposed 86 approach can accurately represent small-scale sedimentary structures without prior 87 limitations imposed by the volumetric grid resolution. Additionally, our method di-88 rectly and explicitly represent volumes, in the same spirit as done by Viseur (2004) 89 with polyhedral objects. As compared to previous methods, the proposed representa-90 tion makes it possible to maintain the geometric consistency of the structures during 91 pseudo-genetic evolution. Additionally, NURBS can represent a number of 3D al-92 luvial features such as channel assymetry depending on meander curvature, channel 93 bifurcation and confluence, levees, point bars and lobes (Sect. 3). Also, NURBS are 94 compact in the sense that they can describe relatively complex geometric shapes with 95 a limited set of parameters. In addition to CPU and RAM efficiency, this allows in-96 terpreters to create and deform objects with a small number of graphical interactions. 97 This compact parameterization also makes it possible to use optimization methods 98 to automatically reshape objects to match features in seismic or aerial images (Ruiu 99 et al, 2015). Finally, modeling the petrophysical properties in sedimentary structures 100 can be tedious as their distribution highly depend the geometry of the object and 101 the support grid is not usually aligned on the heterogeneity. Managing this particu-102 lar distribution implies either the definition of locally varying anisotropy (Deutsch 103 and Wang, 1996) or complex meshing approach (Shtuka et al, 1996). The volumetric 104 representation presented in this paper allows to construct direct conformable grids 105 for several types of architectural elements and with different types of internal archi-106 tectures, giving a curvilinear coordinate system for modeling internal heterogeneities 107 (Sect. 4). 108

109 2 Conceptual Descriptions of Sedimentary Structures

110 2.1 Alluvial Deposit Context

- In continental environments, rivers are the main agent of transport of sedimentary
- ¹¹² load toward coastal areas. Alluvial formations are shaped by channels which erode,
- transport and deposit sediments (Miall, 2010).

Miall (1985, 1996) decomposes river deposits in a construction of eight architec-114 tural elements (channels, gravely bars and bedforms, sandy bedforms, foreset macro-115 forms, lateral accretion deposits, sediment gravity flow deposits, laminated sand sheets 116 and overbank fines). These elements are equivalent in size to the channel fill, and can 117 be differentiated by their external shape, internal geometry and facies combinations. 118 These types of deposits are usually characterized by their good porosity and per-119 meability, which explain their interest in hydrocarbon exploration and production. 120 However, owing to internal heterogeneity, alluvial reservoirs can be highly compart-121 mentalized (Miall, 2010). 122

2.2 Hierarchical Organization of Alluvial Sedimentary Structures 123

Miall (1996) describes a hierarchical classification of alluvial sedimentary structures, 124

based on a typology of their bounding surfaces. These different structures are con-125

structed by multiscale nested organizations of microforms (e.g. ripple marks), meso-126

- forms (e.g. dunes) and macroforms (e.g. point bar, levee, crevasse splay, etc) (Ta-127 ble 1). 128
- The first order and second order bounding surfaces are microforms and meso-129 forms made of cross-bed surfaces with little or no internal erosion. The third order 130 surfaces are cross-bedding erosion surfaces dipping at an angle up to 15°. They are 131 usually draped with mudstones. The fourth-order surfaces are the upper bounding 132 surface of macroforms. The fifth order surfaces limit major sand deposits such as 133 channel fill features and channel phases. The architectural elements constituting the 134 river deposits are of the 3^{rd} to 5^{th} order (Miall, 1996). 135 136
 - [Table 1 about here.]

Rules are defined on these bounding surfaces (Miall, 1996). A surface can truncate 137

any surface of equal or lower order, but none of higher order. In this way, a surface 138

always limits a set of nested bounding surfaces. Surfaces of low order can change 139

order laterally. Bounding surfaces can disappear because of erosion. These rules can 140

be used for the modeling of sedimentary structures using deformable templates. 141

3 NURBS-based Boundary Representations of Sedimentary Objects 142

We propose to construct boundary models of sedimentary structures by combining 143 several cubic NURBS surfaces, each defined by a set of control points. These sur-144 faces represent an approximation of the actual body geometry. Common points be-145

tween surfaces must remain collocated in order to maintain the coherence of the shape 146

throughout editing. 147

3.1 Mathematical Elements of NURBS 148

Non-Uniform Rational Basis Splines (NURBS) are a mathematical model commonly 149

used in computer-aided design (CAD) for representing curves, surfaces and solids. 150

They consist of a smooth interpolation between an ordered set of points called the 151

¹⁵² control points of the NURBS. The interpolation function is a piecewise continuous

polynomial or rational function whose degree is directly related to the number of

interpolated points (Piegl and Tiller, 1995). The NURBS formulation also relies on

knot vectors that specify the parametric coordinates of the points separating the dif ferent interpolation functions in each direction of the parametric space. The number

¹⁵⁶ ferent interpolation functions in each direction of the parametric space. The number
 ¹⁵⁷ of elements in a knot vector is equal to the sum of the number of controls points and

the degree. At a knot, the NURBS continuity is C^{p-k} where p is the degree and k is

the multiplicity of the knot. Thus increasing the multiplicity of a knot creates creases

¹⁶⁰ in the NURBS. This feature can be used to allow for watertight connection between

¹⁶¹ several smooth NURBS surfaces, for example to connect channel and levees surfaces

162 (Sect. 3.3).

A NURBS curve *C* is a function of a parametric coordinate *u*, controlled by *n* control points P_i (Piegl and Tiller, 1995)

$$C(u) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i,p}(u) W_i P_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i,p}(u) W_i}.$$
(1)

¹⁶³ Where *p* is the degree of the NURBS, W_i are the weights of control points and $N_{i,p}(u)$ ¹⁶⁴ are the pth-degree basis functions.

A NURBS surface *S* is defined as a tensor product of curves with two independent parameters (u, v) (Piegl and Tiller, 1995) by

$$S(u,v) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} N_{i,p}(u) N_{j,q}(v) W_{i,j} P_{i,j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} N_{i,p}(u) N_{j,q}(v) W_{i,j}}.$$
(2)

165

[Fig. 1 about here.]

Where p,q are the degrees of the NURBS in each direction of the parametric space,

¹⁶⁷ $P_{i,j}$ is one control point and $[n+1] \times [m+1]$ is the number of control points, $W_{i,j}$

is the weight of the control point (Fig. 1) and $N_{i,p}(u), N_{j,q}(v)$ are the p^{th} -degree and q^{th} -degree basis functions.

170 3.2 Channels Shapes

171 3.2.1 Description

Coarse deposit facies in alluvial environments are formed in channels. In alluvial sed iments, channels are seldom observable but are deduced from paleocurrent measure-

¹⁷⁴ ment from lower-order structures (Miall, 1985). In an active channel, the sediment

¹⁷⁵ fill is usually sandy with coarser elements at the base of the structure (Reineck and

¹⁷⁶ Singh, 1980). An abandoned channel facies is more muddy due to the diminution

of the sediment load (Miall, 1996). Commonly, the channel term is only used when

¹⁷⁸ the deposits cannot be described as lower-order sedimentary structures generated by

accretion processes. However, modeling channels is essential because channels condition the spatial layout of all other sedimentary structures in fluvial-environment. A
channel geometry is usually defined by its depth, width, length and sinuosity. The top
bounding surfaces can be erosional or aggradational and the bottoms are concave up
erosional surfaces. Their slopes decrease when the channel width increases (Miall,
1996). Channel bounding surfaces are generally from the 5th order as summarized in
Table 1.

186 3.2.2 Construction

A channel form is represented by using three connected NURBS surfaces. The chan-187 nel shape is constructed around the backbone of the channel, which represents the 188 line of maximum depth projected onto the top surface. The backbone is a piecewise 189 linear curve that can be manually picked on a horizon slice or stochastically gener-190 ated (Deutsch and Tran, 2002; Viseur, 2004; Pyrcz et al, 2009). The 3D shape of the 191 channel is constructed by sections which are placed at the end points of each segment 192 of the backbone (Fig. 2). They represent the control points of the channel bounding 193 surfaces. The shape of each channel section is defined by a width, a height and an 194 asymmetry aspect ratio. The latter corresponds to the relative location of the back-195 bone between the edges of the channel, i.e. the channel section is symmetric if the 196 asymmetry value is 0.5). 197 The top surface control net is constructed from the backbone and the width (Fig. 2(a)). 198 In order to maintain the width of the channel each section is aligned according to the 199 bisector of the angle formed by two consecutive segments of the backbone (Fig. 2(a)). 200 The lateral surfaces are constructed starting from the top surface and their points are 201 placed along a quadratic shape (Fig. 2(b)). In particular the point at the base of the 202 quadratic function is aligned with the two above points to obtain the continuity at 203 the channel base. A section modification process in applied to obtain an asymmet-204 ric shape of a channel according to its map view curvature (Fig. 2(c)). The resulting 205

NURBS interpolation is shown on Fig. 3), which illustrates in particular the impact of channel curvature on the asymmetry (Fig. 3(b) and (c)).

²⁰⁸ [Fig. 2 about here.] ²⁰⁹ [Fig. 3 about here.]

210 3.2.3 Branching Channels

In many fluvial formations (braided, meandering, or anastamosed rivers), several channels can be connected. Avoiding geometric inconsistencies such as holes between branching channels calls for special processing. The connection between two channels can be created at a section in the control mesh of the main one. The first step is to fix the backbone extremity of the branching channel, to its corresponding point on the designated section of the main channel (Fig. 4(a)).

The second step to connect the channel is to project the other control points of the extremity to branch onto the main channel. For an initial control point P of the

branching channel, the parametric coordinates of the closest point on the main chan-nel surface is found using the Newton Method (Piegl and Tiller, 1995)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u_{i}, v_{i}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f(u_{i}, v) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v} g(u_{i}, v_{i}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial v} g(u, v_{i}) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} u_{i+1} - u_{i} \\ v_{i+1} - v_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(u_{i}, v_{i}) \\ g(u_{i}, v_{i}) \end{bmatrix}$$
with
$$\begin{cases} f(u_{i}, v_{i}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} S(u_{i}, v_{i}) \cdot (S(u_{i}, v_{i}) - P) \\ g(u_{i}, v_{i}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} S(u_{i}, v_{i}) \cdot (S(u_{i}, v_{i}) - P) \end{cases}$$
(3)

Where are u_i and v_i the parametric coordinates at the i^{th} iteration of the projection 221 of P on the NURBS surface S(u, v) (side surface of the main channel on which the 222 branching channel is connected). The final result of the branching operation is shown 223 with the control net on Fig. 4(c) and without on Fig. 4(d). This process can be used 224 to connect either one or the two extremities of a channel. This method is used for the 225 manual three-dimensional interpretation (with an arbitrary depth value) of thirteen 226 distributary deltaic channels on a satellite image of Atchafalaya Delta near Bayou 227 Sale, Louisiana (Fig. 5). 228

229[Fig. 4 about here.]230[Fig. 5 about here.]

231 3.2.4 Stochastic Generation

To stochastically generate several channels, the lines of maximum depth are sim-232 ulated (Fig. 6) in a way similar to Viseur (2004): from an initial random line, lat-233 eral evolution is simulated. The proposed input parameters of this generation are 234 the channel length, the mean amplitude of the sinuosity orthogonal to the global 235 stream direction \vec{F} (normalized vector), the wavelength of the sinuosity parallel to 236 the global channel direction and the mean width of the point bars. As noted by Abreu 237 et al (2003), channels can migrate both downdip along the main stream direction \overline{F} 238 (sweep) and laterally (swing). Therefore, a growing ratio (γ) corresponding to the 239 relative importance of both migrations is applied in the pseudo-genetic process. 240

Primarily, the growing process of the channel relies on the evaluation of tangents 241 and the curvatures to determine the directions and amplitudes of migration. Initializa-242 tion is simply performed by an unconditional one-dimensional sequential Gaussian 243 simulation (Fig. 6(a)). To obtain a smooth channel trajectory, a Gaussian variogram 244 with is used with a range equal to half of the channel wavelength, as also done by 245 Deutsch and Tran (2002). Using an one-dimensional sequential Gaussian simulation 246 allows to obtain a relatively smooth initial channel that reproduce the mean ampli-247 tude and wavelength of the channel while introducing a stochastic variability on the 248 initial shape. This initial variability has an impact on the final shape of the channel. 249 More advanced methods based on physical analogy (Pyrcz et al, 2009), multiple-250 point statistics (Mariethoz et al, 2014) or Lindenmayer systems (Rongier et al, 2015) 251 could also be used. 252

For the initial channel geometry, meandering aspects are obtained using a growing process. According to Knighton (2014) the channel migration can be decomposed in three elementary displacements: an extension, a translation and a rotation. In this

work, growing is obtained by displacing iteratively the control points by the following vector (Fig. 6(b))

$$\vec{G}_{i} = \gamma \times (||\vec{g}_{i}|| \times ||\vec{F}||) \times \vec{F} + (||\vec{g}_{i}|| \times ||\vec{F_{ortho}}||) \times \vec{F_{ortho}}$$
with
$$\begin{cases}
\vec{T}_{i} \\
\vec{F}_{i} \\$$

where $\overrightarrow{T_i}$ is the tangent which represents the rotation component and $\overrightarrow{C_i}$ the curvature which represents the extension due to the lateral migration (swing (Abreu et al, 2003)). $\overrightarrow{F_i}$ is the vector representing the global flow direction and is associated with downdip migration of the channel (sweep (Abreu et al, 2003)). These elementary vectors are evaluated at the projection of the control point *i* on the NURBS channel. [Fig. 6 about here.]

259 3.3 Levees

260 3.3.1 Description

The formation of levees is the consequence of the flooding of rivers. It results in the deposition of a high proportion of fine sands, silts and muds organized in overlapping lenses parallel to the channel borders. Levees consist in a sharp ridge which can reach 10 meters in thickness (Miall, 1996; Reineck and Singh, 1980). The deposits get thinner and the facies finer as the distance from the guiding channel increases (Miall, 1996). Levee bounding surfaces are of the 4th order as summarized in Table 1.

267 3.3.2 Construction

Levee forms are represented by using four connected NURBS surfaces. Two lateral 268 surfaces are used to construct the lateral faces of the levee ridge (Fig. 7(a)). The 269 control points are placed following a quadratic function as for channel construction. 270 An asymmetry aspect ratio is used to modify these surfaces in order to reproduce 271 the asymmetric aspect of levees (surfaces 1 and 2 on Fig. 7(b)). The third surface 272 represents the bottom of a levee. As levees are constructed from a guiding channel, 273 a fourth surface is added (surface 3 on Fig. 7(b)) to ensure the consistency in depth 274 between a channel and a levee. From a given channel lateral side, a levee shape is 275 defined by the width of the levee, the height of the levee, and an asymmetry aspect 276 ratio (formulated as a ratio of the width, i.e. the levee is symmetric if the value is 0.5). 277 [Fig. 7 about here.] 278

279 3.3.3 Levee-Channel Coherency

The coherency in depth between the channel and its levee is ensured by extracting the portion of the common surface form between the levee and the channel down to the appropriate depth (Fig. 8). This part of the channel side is isolated using the property of NURBS knot vector Described in Sect. 3.1. Indeed, the multiplicity of the knot at the desired parametric value can be increased while preserving the shape of the NURBS (Fig. 8(b)). Thus, a knot is inserted in the appropriate channel side surface by solving the equation (in the case of a curve) (Piegl and Tiller, 1995)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i,p}(u) P_i^W = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} N_{i,p}'(u) Q_i^W,$$
(5)

where Q_i are the new control points and $N'_{i,p}(u)$ are the pth-degree basis functions corresponding to the new knot vector. The equation (5) is generalized to surfaces by applying it to each row or column of control points.

[Fig. 8 about here.]
[Fig. 9 about here.]

292 3.4 Lateral Accretion

293 3.4.1 Description

290

291

Lateral accretion deposits (point bars) are abundant in meandering rivers. They are 294 formed by the migration of a channel due to the erosional water stream outside the 295 bend of the channel. Point bars are formed by this continuous migration of the chan-296 nel and are the result of the sediment deposition inside the bend of the channel (Rei-297 neck and Singh, 1980). This type of deposit is constituted by successive clinoforms 298 which are macroforms delimited by third order bounding surfaces, called epsilon 299 cross-bedding (Allen, 1963) and constructed by the increment of lateral growth. Ep-300 silon cross bedding surfaces are dipping surfaces with a dip inversely proportional 301 to their width/height ratio (Miall, 1985). These structures usually present a height 302 approximately equal to the depth of their related channel with an offlapped upper 303 termination and a downlapped one on the channel floor (Miall, 1996). 304

305 3.4.2 Construction

The clinoforms, representing the successive increments of the lateral accretion, are 306 constructed using six connected NURBS surfaces. Two surfaces are used to represent 307 the top and bottom foresets and two others for the topset and the bottomset (Fig. 10). 308 For the foreset, the control points are placed according to the same quadratic func-309 tion as for a channel (Fig. 10(b)(c)). Two lateral surfaces are used to obtain a closed 310 boundary representation (Fig. 10(b)). Using this representation, the clinoform shape 311 is defined by the length, width and height of the clinoform, the thickness correspond-312 ing to the distance between the two foresets, the amplitude of the channel which has 313

Ruiu et al.

formed the clinoform and an asymmetry aspect ratio (formulated as a ratio of the width, i.e. if the value is 0.5 the clinoform is symmetric).

[Fig. 10 about here.]

317 3.4.3 Clinoform Generation from Channel Growth

The channels growth equation (4), can be used to generate point bars and their con-318 stitutive clinoforms (Fig. 11(a)). The channels of each step of the growth process 319 intersect at the extremity of clinoforms. To determine the domain of a clinoform, 320 the intersection between the control nets of the successive channels is computed; 321 projecting these intersection points on the corresponding surfaces approximates the 322 clinoform extremity locus in the parametric space (Fig. 11(b)). The portion to isolate 323 can be determined knowing the parametric coordinates of the extremities of the cli-324 noforms on the original channel(Fig. 11(c)). The control points are determined using 325 the same method as described on Fig. 8(b) to ensure the conformity between the dif-326 ferent clinoforms. The results and the link between the channel and its clinoforms are 327 shown on Fig. 12. 328 [Fig. 11 about here.]



332 3.5 Crevasse Splay Lobes

333 3.5.1 Description

Lobe shapes can be present in several sedimentary deposits at different scales, such as alluvial deposits (crevasse splay), delta (deltaic lobe) and turbidite basin floor. Their usual geometry is a very large shape body (Miall, 1996; Bhattacharya, 2010; Arnott, 2010). In the case of alluvial deposits this type of structure can be up to 10 km long and 5 km large. The crevasse splay sequence can be up to 10 m high and gets thinner away from the associated channel. Crevasse splay bounding surfaces are generally of 4th order as summarized in Table 1.

341 3.5.2 Construction

Lobe shapes are constructed using three connected NURBS surfaces (Fig. 14). The first surface is used to construct the lobe's top. As for channels, the control points of this surface are placed along a quadratic shape. The second surface is used to construct the bottom and is flat. The third surface has the same shape as a channel section and is used to connect the lobe to its source channel (Fig. 14(c)). From a given channel object and a crevasse branching location, the lobe shape is defined by the backbone, the width and the height of the lobe.

349

[Fig. 14 about here.]

10

350 4 Property modeling

³⁵¹ The sedimentary structures described in this paper provide a frame to create con-

formable, regular curvilinear grids. These grids define a local frame suitable to model
 the petrophysical properies which are controlled by depositional processes.

4.1 Individual Object Petrophysical Properties

355 4.1.1 Grid Construction

The same way a NURBS surface is constructed by performing a tensor product between two NURBS curves, a NURBS volume is established using a tensor product between three curves. Considering three curves of degrees p, q and r defined for the independent parameters (u, v, w), a NURBS volume V is defined as

$$V(u,v,w) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{l} N_{i,p}(u) N_{j,q}(v) N_{k,r}(w) W_{i,j,k} P_{i,j,k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{l} N_{i,p}(u) N_{j,q}(v) N_{k,r}(w) W_{i,j,k}},$$
(6)

where $P_{i,j,k}$ is one control point and $[n] \times [m] \times [l]$ is the number of control points, $W_{i,j,k}$ is the weight of the control point and $N_{i,p}(u), N_{j,q}(v), N_{k,r}(w)$ are the p^{th} -degree, and q^{th} -degree and r^{th} -degree basis functions.

The three-dimensional NURBS formulation is applied to the four types of objects 359 previously described (channels, levees, clinoforms and lobes). For each object the 360 function is regularly evaluated in the parametric space, providing the cell corners of a 361 hexahedral grid. If the grids are constructed from the entire parametric space they are 362 intrinsically conformable to the bounding NURBRS surfaces up to the chosen spatial 363 resolution (Fig. 15). On the contrary if just a partition of the parametric space is taken 364 into account (Fig. 16(c)), post-processing is required to ensure the conformity of the 365 grid. Also, grid conformity accross different objects is not necessarily guaranteed and 366 would call for non-neighbor connections to be defined, as for instance between channel 367 and levee (Fig. 16(d)). 368 [Fig. 15 about here.]

370 4.1.2 Channel Internal Patterns

- Reineck and Singh (1980), based on McKee (1957), defined three types of channel filling patterns:
- (i) By horizontal layers. This type is most common in preserved fluvial type chan-nels.
- (ii) By concave up surfaces conforming to the channel shape. This type is usually
 present in submerged channels such as turbiditic ones.
- By asymmetrical inclined surfaces. This type is common in tidal influenced de-posits.

Such internal geometries can be obtained by modifying the way the control mesh 379 of the channel bounding surfaces is considered to construct the trivariate volumetric 380 NURBS. For instance, the construction of the prograding grid in Fig. 16(a) is based 381 on the mesh of the two lateral surfaces (Fig. 3). The divergent grid in Fig. 16(b) is 382 constructed using the mesh of the top surface and by considering the two lateral sur-383 faces as a global bottom one. The aggrading grid Fig. 16(c) is extruded proportionally 384 to the top surface. Then, the lower corners of the cells directly below the base surface 385 of the channel are then vertically projected on that surface and all the underlying cells 386 are deactivated. 387

388

[Fig. 16 about here.]

389 4.1.3 Example of Property Modeling

The conformable grids of the sedimentary objects enable the easy definition of the lo-390 cal direction of anisotropy by performing geostatistics in the grid's parametric space. 391 As an illustration, unconditional Sequential Gaussian Simulation is performed on 392 grids of Fig. 16. The simulations are computed using the same variograms and the 393 same input distributions. In this example, the effect of grid block volume variation 394 is neglected, so more advanced simulation method could be used instead (Manchuk 395 et al, 2005; Bertoncello et al, 2008; Manchuk and Deutsch, 2012). A realization of 396 these simulations on each grid is presented in Fig. 17. These results illustrate the 397 impact of the different geometries on the computation of the internal channel hetero-398 geneities. 399

400

[Fig. 17 about here.]

401 4.2 Erosion between Objects and Upscaling to Reservoir grids

The NURBS objects presented up to now are all organized in response to the continuous evolution of a single channel. In reality, however, alluvial channels and associated deposits migrate laterally and vertically and can cross-cut and erode older systems. In the current state of this work, the geometries of the eroded structures are not directly computed. For each object, an uncut grid is created and filled with petrophysical properties. Erosion relations between the objects are then taken into account when transferring properties to the global reservoir grid.

For this, objects are ordered from their deposition order and their stratigraphic order within each deposition phase (a channel with its levees and point bars) (Table 1) (Miall, 1996). The stratigraphic order is reproduced by assigning a value to each object according to the erosive relations of their bounding surface orders defined by Miall (1996). In this case, the depth value is used to determine the deposit order of the object, assuming that the deepest objects are the oldest.

To illustrate the petrophysical property upscaling from the grids generated using individual geo-objects, 20 channels were stochastically generated. In the corresponding grids, porosity values were simulated using a Sequential Gaussian Simulation

⁴¹⁸ (Fig. 18(a)). The upscaling process was then performed object by object following

the previously defined order to reproduce the impact of erosion. The upscaling of porosity was performed by simple volume weighted averaging (Durlofsky, 2005)

$$\phi^* = \frac{1}{V_b} \int_{V_b} \phi dV, \tag{7}$$

where ϕ is the fine scale porosity in the fine grid over the volume V_b of coarse cell, and ϕ^* is the equivalent porosity at coarse scale. The result of this simple upscaling process is shown on Fig. 18(b).

This cookie cutter strategy is not fully satisfactory because it approximates values in grid blocks crossed by the erosion surfaces. Also, thin shale drapes are not yet taken into account in this method. More advanced and rigorous upscaling and discretization methods should certainly be developed in the future to provide more accurate representations of heterogeneities.

429

[Fig. 18 about here.]

430 **5** Conclusion and discussion

This paper introduces a method to construct three-dimensional boundary models of 431 sedimentary objects and use them as a framework for petrophysical properties mod-432 eling. NURBS surfaces allow the creation of boundary representations of geological 433 objects with great flexibility and a relatively small number of parameters (as com-434 pared for instance to linear polygonal surfaces (Viseur, 2004)). This type of repre-435 sentation is well adapted for modeling sedimentary structures, as interpreters can in-436 teractively fit these surfaces to data. A priori knowledge about the three-dimensional 437 shape of the sedimentary structures can be maintained because derivative and cur-438 vature constraints can be defined. To create boundary representation of sedimentary 439 structures, a control point hierarchy has to be created in order to keep the connection 440 of common points between faces. 441

Pseudo-genetic lateral migration has been proposed to atomatically generate point 442 bar structures from channel migration. This process can be inverted to help point bar 443 reconstruction from observed channels (Ruiu et al, 2015). As presented in Appendix 444 A, further refinement can be introduced in the forward channel migration process to 445 generate oxbow lakes. These geobodies could easily be populated by abandonment 446 shale facies. Currently, the proposed method only deals with lateral migration and 447 does not include stacking patterns due to vertical aggradation and avulsion. The main 448 limitation to deal with these processes lies in the extraction of point bars during the 449 channel growth as it relies on the intersections between the channel's top surfaces 450 control nets, which is not applicable in case of channel vertical migration. A possible 451 enhancement could be to more generally compute intersections between all consti-452 tuting surfaces of the successive channels. 453 Concerning the property modeling, the gridding of the objects allows the model-

ing of the internal heterogeneities in the sedimentary structures and to transfer them
 in a background grid. Heterogeneities at the interfaces between objects (e.g. shale
 lens deposits between clinoforms in point bars) are not yet considered. This could be
 simulated in the parametric spaces of the sedimentary structure boundary surfaces as

done by Li and Caers (2011) and integrated in the reservoir grid as transmissibility multipliers.

In this work, only deterministic values are considered for the parameter used to 461 construct the different objects. It would be interesting to simulate these parameters 462 463 to generate more diverse shapes, to be used for instance as input training images in multi-point simulation. Future work also includes the definition of architectural 464 element templates adapted to other depositional environments (turbiditic, detaic, ...). 465 In subsurface applications involving the presence of conditioning data, two main 466 avenues can be considered to use the proposed object models. The simplest strat-467 egy is to generate non-conditional realizations to be then used as training images for 468 multiple-point geostatistical simulation (Comunian et al, 2014). Alternatively, sig-469 nificant research should still be done to allow for data conditioning directly on the 470 proposed object models (Viseur, 2004; Pyrcz et al, 2009; Bertoncello et al, 2008; 471 Ruiu et al, 2015). 472

473 6 Acknowledgements

⁴⁷⁴ This research was performed in the frame of the Research for Integrative Numer-

475 ical Geology (RING) project. We would like to thank ourcolleagues from RING

476 for their help during the development of the project. The companies and univer-

sities members of the GOCAD consortium managed by ASGA (http://www.ring-

team.org/index.php/consortium/) are acknowledged for their support. We thank Paradigm

⁴⁷⁹ for providing the SKUA-GOCAD software and development kit.

480 **References**

- Abrahamsen P, Fjellvoll B, Hauge R (2007) Process based stochastic modelling of
 deep marine reservoirs. In: EAGE Petroleum Geostatistics
- 483 Abreu V, Sullivan M, Pirmez C, Mohrig D (2003) Lateral accretion packages
- (laps): an important reservoir element in deep water sinuous channels. Marine and
 Petroleum Geology 20(6):631–648
- Allen JR (1963) The classification of cross-stratified units. with notes on their origin.
 Sedimentology 2(2):93–114
- 488 Alpak FO, Barton MD, Naruk SJ (2013) The impact of fine-scale turbidite chan-
- nel architecture on deep-water reservoir performance. AAPG Bull 97(2):251–284,
 DOI 10.1306/04021211067
- 491 Arnott R (2010) Deep-marine sediments and sedimentary systems. In: James N, Dal-
- rymple R (eds) Facies Model 4, St.John's:Geological Association of Canada, pp
 295–322
- ⁴⁹⁴ Bertoncello A, Caers JK, Biver P, Caumon G (2008) Geostatistics on stratigraphic
- 495 grids. In: Ortiz J, Emery X (eds) Proc. eighth Geostatistical Geostatistics Congress,
- ⁴⁹⁶ Gecamin ltd, vol 2, pp 677–686
- ⁴⁹⁷ Bertoncello A, Sun T, Li H, Mariethoz G, Caers J (2013) Conditioning surface-based
- ⁴⁹⁸ geological models to well and thickness data. Math Geosci 45(7):873–893

- Bhattacharya J (2010) Deltas. In: James N, Dalrymple R (eds) Facies Model 4,
 St.John's:Geological Association of Canada, pp 233–264
- ⁵⁰¹ Boisvert JB, Pyrcz MJ, Deutsch CV (2007) Multiple-point statistics for training im-⁵⁰² age selection. Nat Resour Res 16(4):313–321
- Comunian A, Jha SK, Giambastiani BM, Mariethoz G, Kelly BF (2014) Training im ages from process-imitating methods. Mathematical Geosciences 46(2):241–260
- Desbarats A (1987) Numerical estimation of effective permeability in sand-shale for mations. Water Resour Res 23(2):273–286
- ⁵⁰⁷ Deutsch C, Tran T (2002) Fluvsim: a program for object-based stochastic modeling ⁵⁰⁸ of fluvial depositional systems. Comput Geosci 28(4):525–535
- Deutsch CV, Wang L (1996) Hierarchical object-based stochastic modeling of fluvial
 reservoirs. Math Geol 28(7):857–880
- Durlofsky LJ (2005) Upscaling and gridding of fine scale geological models for flow
 simulation. In: 8th International Forum on Reservoir Simulation, Borromees Is land, Stresa, Italy, pp 20–24
- ⁵¹⁴ Fisher T, Wales R (1992) Rational splines and multidimensional geologic modeling.
- In: Pflug R, Harbaugh J (eds) Computer Graphics in Geology, Lecture Notes in
 Earth Sciences, vol 41, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, pp 17–28
- Gai X, Wu Xh, Branets L, Sementelli K, Robertson G (2012) Concept-based geologic modeling using function form representation. In: Abu Dhabi International
- 519 Petroleum Conference and Exhibition
- ⁵²⁰ Google Earth (October, 29 2012) Atchafalaya delta 29°30'02.17"N 91°25'50.54"O
- 521 Graham GH, Jackson MD, Hampson GJ (2015) Three-dimensional modeling of cli-
- noforms in shallow-marine reservoirs: Part 1. Concepts and application. AAPG
 Bulletin 99(06):1013–1047, DOI 10.1306/01191513190
- Haldorsen H, Lake L (1984) A new approach to shale management in field-scale
 models. Old SPE J 24(4):447–457
- Hassanpour MM, Pyrcz MJ, Deutsch CV (2013) Improved geostatistical models of
 inclined heterolithic strata for McMurray formation, Alberta, Canada. AAPG Bull
 97(7):1209–1224, DOI 10.1306/01021312054
- Holden L, Hauge R, Skare Ø, Skorstad A (1998) Modeling of fluvial reservoirs with
 object models. Math Geol 30(5):473–496
- Howard A (1996) Modelling channel evolution and floodplain morphology. Flood plain processes pp 15–62
- Howard A, Knutson T (1984) Sufficient conditions for river meandering: A simula tion approach. Wat Resour Res 20(11):1659–1667
- ⁵³⁵ Issautier B, Fillacier S, Gallo YL, Audigane P, Chiaberge C, Viseur S (2013) Mod-
- elling of CO2 injection in fluvial sedimentary heterogeneous reservoirs to assess
 the impact of geological heterogeneities on CO2 storage capacity and performance.
- 538 Energy Procedia 37(0):5181 5190
- Jackson M, Muggeridge A (2000) Effect of discontinuous shales on reservoir performance during horizontal waterflooding. SPE J 5(4):446–455
- Jackson MD, Hampson GJ, Sech RP (2009) Three-dimensional modeling of a shoreface-shelf parasequence reservoir analog: Part 2. geologic controls on fluid
- flow and hydrocarbon recovery. AAPG Bull 93(9):1183–1208, DOI 10.1306/
 05110908145

Journel A, Gundeso R, Gringarten E, Yao T (1998) Stochastic modelling of a fluvial reservoir: a comparative review of algorithms. J Pet Sci and Eng 21(1):95–121

- Li H, Caers J (2011) Geological modelling and history matching of multi-scale flow
- barriers in channelized reservoirs: methodology and application. Petrol Geosci 17(1):17–34, DOI 10.1144/1354-079309-825
- Lopez S (2003) Modélisation de réservoirs chenalisés méandriformes: une approche
 génétique et stochastique. PhD thesis, Mines Paris Tech
- Manchuk J, Leuangthong O, Deutsch CV (2005) Direct geostatistical simulation on
 unstructured grids. In: Geostatistics Banff 2004, Springer, pp 85–94
- Manchuk JG, Deutsch CV (2012) Implementation aspects of sequential gaussian sim ulation on irregular points. Computational Geosciences 16(3):625–637
- Mariethoz G, Caers J (2014) Multiple-point Geostatistics: Stochastic Modeling with
 Training Images. Wiley-Blackwell
- Mariethoz G, Comunian A, Irarrazaval I, Renard P (2014) Analog-based meandering
 channel simulation: ANALOG-BASED MEANDERING CHANNEL SIMULA-
- ⁵⁶⁴ TION. Water Resources Research 50(2):836–854, DOI 10.1002/2013WR013730
- ⁵⁶⁵ McKee ED (1957) Flume experiments on the production of strati-⁵⁶⁶ fication and cross-stratification. J Sed Res 27(2):129–134, DOI
- 567 10.1306/74D70678-2B21-11D7-8648000102C1865D
- Miall A (1985) Architectural-element analysis: a new method of facies analysis applied to fluvial deposits. Earth-Sci Rev 22(4):261–308
- 570 Miall A (1996) Geology of Fluvial Deposits: Sedimentary Facies. Springer Verlag
- Miall A (2010) Alluvial deposits. In: James N, Dalrymple R (eds) Facies Model 4,
 St.John's:Geological Association of Canada, pp 105–138
- 573 Mirowski PW, Tetzlaff DM, Davies RC, McCormick DS, Williams N, Signer C
- (2009) Stationarity scores on training images for multipoint geostatistics. Math
 Geosci 41(4):447–474
- Nordahl K, Ringrose PS, Wen R (2005) Petrophysical characterization of a het erolithic tidal reservoir interval using a process-based modelling tool. Petrol
- 578 Geosci 11(1):17–28
- Novakovic D, White C, Corbeanu R, Hammon Iii W, Bhattacharya J, McMechan G
 (2002) Hydraulic effects of shales in fluvial-deltaic deposits: Ground-penetrating
- radar, outcrop observations, geostatistics, and three-dimensional flow modeling for
- the Ferron sandstone, Utah. Math Geol 34(7):857–893
- Piegl L, Tiller W (1995) The NURBS book. Springer-Verlag, London, UK
- Pyrcz M, Boisvert J, Deutsch C (2009) Alluvsim: A program for event-based stochas-
- tic modeling of fluvial depositional systems. Comput Geosci 35(8):1671 1685,
 DOI 10.1016/j.cageo.2008.09.012
- Pyrcz MJ, Catuneanu O, Deutsch CV (2005) Stochastic surface-based modeling of
 turbidite lobes. AAPG Bulletin 89(2):177–191, DOI 10.1306/09220403112
- Reineck HE, Singh IB (1980) Depositional Sedimentary Environments. Springer,
- 590 Berlin-Heidelberg

Journel A (1996) Conditional simulation of geologically averaged block permeabilities. J hyd 183(1):23–35

Knighton D (2014) Fluvial forms and processes: a new perspective, 2nd edn. Rout ledge, New York, NY.

- Renard P, Mariethoz G (2014) Special issue on 20 years of multiple-point statistics:
 Part 1. Math Geosci 46(2):129–131
- ⁵⁹³ Rongier G, Collon P, Renard P, Ruiu J (2015) Channel simulation using L-system,
- potential fields and NURBS. In: Petroleum Geostatistics 2015, EAGE, DOI 10.
 3997/2214-4609.201413604
- Ruiu J, Caumon G, Viseur S, Antoine C (2014) Modeling channel forms using a
 boundary representation based on non-uniform rational b-splines. In: Mathematics
 of Planet Earth, Springer, pp 581–584
- ⁵⁹⁹ Ruiu J, Caumon G, Viseur S (2015) Semiautomatic interpretation of 3d sedimento-
- logical structures on geologic images: An object-based approach. Interpretation
 3(3):SX63–SX74
- Sech RP, Jackson MD, Hampson GJ (2009) Three-dimensional modeling of a shoreface-shelf parasequence reservoir analog: Part 1. surface-based modeling to
- capture high-resolution facies architecture. AAPG Bulletin 93(9):1155–1181, DOI
 10.1306/05110908144
- Shtuka A, Samson P, Mallet JL (1996) Petrophysical simulation within an object based reservoir model. In: Proc. European 3D Reservoir Modelling Conference
 (SPE 35480)
- Viseur S (2004) Turbidite reservoir characterization : object-based stochastic simula tion meandering channels. B Soc Geol Fr 175(1):11–20, DOI 10.2113/175.1.11
- 611 Wen R, Martinius A, Næss A, Ringrose P (1998) Three-dimensional simulation of
- small-scale heterogeneity in tidal deposits–a process-based stochastic simulation
- 613 method. In:) Proceedings of the 4th Annual Conference of the International Asso-
- ciation of Mathematical Geology (IAMG). De Frede editore, Ischia, pp 129–134

615 Appendix A: Construction of an oxbow lake

Oxbow lakes are formed during the lateral migration of a channel when a meander becomes very curved and the two concave banks at the extremity of the meander becomes very close. The meander cutoff occurs when the neck of land between the two concave banks is eroded due to the channel migration or due to strong current during a flooding event. The meander is then abandoned and a shortcut in the channel path is created where two concave banks are connected (Fig. 19).

In the proposed approach, this process is taken into account during the lateral channel migration by detecting the self-intersections in the control point net of the top surface of the channel.

[Fig. 19 about here.]

626 Segment intersection

627 Consider two non-parallel segments belonging, for instance, to the control mesh of

a channel top surface. Their intersection can be computed by determining two scalar values a and b such that

$$\mathbf{p}_1 + a \, \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 + b \, \mathbf{v}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{pt2 + vect2}}_{\text{pt2 + b'vect2}} \xrightarrow{\text{pt1 + vect1}}_{\text{pt2 + b'vect2}}, \tag{8}$$

where \mathbf{p}_1 is the origin of the first segment, \mathbf{p}_2 the origin of the second segment, and \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 the vectors corresponding to the segments.

Taking the cross product of (8) with \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 , respectively, the following equation comes for *a* and *b*:

$$\begin{cases} a (\mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2) = (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1) \times \mathbf{v}_2 \\ b (\mathbf{v}_2 \times \mathbf{v}_1) = (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \times \mathbf{v}_1 \end{cases},$$
(9)

If $0 \le a \le 1$ and $0 \le b \le 1$, the two segments intersect and the coordinates of the intersection points are given by $\mathbf{p}_{inter} = \mathbf{p}_1 + a \mathbf{v}_1$.

636 Construction of an oxbow lake

[Fig. 20 about here.]

The construction of an oxbow lake from a NURBS channel is divided in five steps:

(i) Detection of the self-intersection for each side of the channel top surface (Fig. 20(a)).

- The index of the channel sections behind and ahead of the intersecting segments
- are memorized.

637

- ⁶⁴³ (ii) Sorting and reduction of the number of intersections. This operation may be⁶⁴⁴ needed to keep only one intersection, see below.
- ⁶⁴⁵ (iii) Increasing knot multiplicity at the parametric coordinate corresponding to the
 ⁶⁴⁶ projection of the intersection point on the NURBS. This operation is used to de ⁶⁴⁷ crease the continuity of the NURBS while preserving its shape.
- ⁶⁴⁸ (iv) Selection of the sections before and after the intersection point (Fig. 20(b)).
- (v) Lateral closure of the oxbow lake sections and connection of the cutoff sections
 to maintain channel continuity (Fig. 20(c))

[Fig. 21 about here.] 651 The proposed intersection sorting is essential to obtain consistent final geometry. 652 For each intersection, a couple of channel section indices is determined. Their number 653 has to be reduced so that only one intersection by meander remains. Four possible 654 configurations have been determined. If there is only one intersection in the meander, 655 then it is directly stored in the final intersection list (Fig. 21(a)). If two sections are 656 included into another one, only the largest one is kept in the final list (Fig. 21(b)). If 657 the first element of a couple of sections linked with a self-intersection is included in 658 the space defined by another couple, the second couple of intersections in kept in the 659 final list of intersections (Fig. 21(c)). If the second element of a couple of sections 660 linked with a self-intersection is included in the space defined by another couple, the 661 first couple of intersections in kept in the final list of intersections (Fig. 21(d)). 662 Once the final intersection list has been determined, the control points between 663 two sections corresponding to an intersection are removed from the channel (Fig. 20(c)). 664 The result of the channel lateral migration process associated with the construction 665

of oxbow lakes in shown on Fig. 22.

667

[Fig. 22 about here.]



Fig. 1 Bi-cubic NURBS surface with weight w = 10 at the black points and w = 1 at the grey points. The dark grey lines representing iso-parametric evaluations of the surface are particularly attracted around these two points



Fig. 2 Construction steps for a channel section. (a) Sections are constructed for each point of the backbone (in red) and are aligned on the bisector between two consecutive segments. (b) The points of the section are placed along a quadratic function defined from the width W and height H of the channel. (c) Points are translated to obtain the desired asymmetry



Fig. 3 Model of an asymmetric channel based on NURBS surfaces (modified from Ruiu et al (2014)) (a)Top view of the channel (the control point net is represented by black lines). (b) Section view of the asymmetric channel in a positive channel curvature (a different color is used for each surface control point net). (c)Section view of the channel with an inverse asymmetry corresponding to a negative channel curvature



Fig. 4 Process to branch to channels. (a) Extremity of the channel to link is aligned on the main channel. (b) This extremity is projected on the main channel. (c) Result with the control points. (d) Result with the channels only



Fig. 5 Application of the channel branching Process: manual three-dimensional interpretation (with an arbitrary thickness value) of thirteen channels on a satellite image of Atchafalaya Delta (Google Earth, October,29 2012). (a) Original image. (b) Pickings of channels (1 line by channel). (c) Result in top view. (d) Result in top lateral view



Fig. 6 Construction of ten channels using a stochastically generated backbone. (a) The channel path is generated using an unconditional sequential Gaussian simulation. (b) Lateral migration steps of a channel. (c) Simulation of ten channels



Fig. 7 Modeling of a levee using four NURBS surfaces placed according to the width W and height H of the levee. Black lines represent the control net and grey points the control points. (a) Top view of the levee with its related channel (in wireframe mode). (b) Section view of the levee



Fig. 8 Extraction of a portion of parametric surface.(a) Initial state (b)Adding knots lead to add control points on the channel. Knots are added at the desired parametric coordinate in order to maintain the shape of the parametric surface. The knot is added to have a multiplicity equal the degree of the NURBS plus one in order to obtain C_0 surface at this point and to ensure the surface goes through the control points



Fig. 9 Levees are constructed in association to a channel. (a)Top view of levees associated with a channel. (b) Zoom on the top view (Channel in wireframe mode). (c) Lateral section of the channel and its levees showing the coherency in depth between the forms



Fig. 10 Modeling of a clinoform using six NURBS surfaces from width W, height H, thickness T, length L of the clinoform and the amplitude A of the channel which has formed the clinoform. Black lines are the control point net and the grey points are control points. (a) Top view of the clinoform. (b) Side view of the clinoform. (c) Section view of the clinoform



Fig. 11 Extraction of a clinoform from a channel growing process. The channels are presented using their maximum depth lines. (a)A channel with its growing steps (in black final state and in color the others). (b)The intersections between the control net of these surfaces are computed. (c) Surface parts between the intersection points are extracted



Fig. 12 Clinoforms are extracted from simulated channel growth. (a) Clinoforms in top view associated with a channel. (b) Slab view of the clinoform succession (c) Cut off view of the channel and its clinoforms showing the coherency between the different forms in three-dimensional



Fig. 13 Realization of a fluvial deposit environment presenting four channels (in yellow) with their associated point bars (in orange) and levees (in green)



Fig. 14 Modeling of a lobe shape using three NURBS surfaces. Black lines are the control point net and the grey points are control points. (a) Top view of the lobe (b) Channel shaped section connecting the lobe to the extremity of a channel. (c) Lens shaped section



Fig. 15 Hexahedral grids constructed from NURBS based boundary representations using different types of filling methods (a) Grid constructed from a channel. (b) Grids constructed from clinoforms (c) Grid constructed from a levee. (d) Relations between these different grids



Fig. 16 Hexahedral grids constructed from NURBS boundary representations using different types of filling methods (Reineck and Singh, 1980) (a) Prograding channel. (b) Divergent channel. (c) Aggrading channel



Fig. 17 Porosity values simulated using channel grids (a) Prograding channel. (b) Divergent channel. (c) Aggrading channel



Fig. 18 Upscaling of divergent channel porosity values simulated using unconditional SGS (in this case the same stochastic seed has been used for each channel porosity simulation) (a) Divergent channel grids to upscale(b) Upscaling result



Fig. 19 Principle of the formation of an oxbow lake during the lateral migration of a meander



Fig. 20 Construction of an oxbow. During the process of a channel lateral migration, self intersections can occur and are associated with the formation of an oxbow lake. (a) Self intersections are detected (Red points). (b) Sections before and after the self intersection are detected (red lines). (c) Control point between sections are detected and suppressed to form the oxbow lake and the new channel path



Fig. 21 Sorting of the different cases of self-intersections during the NURBS channel migration. (a) Unique intersection. (b) Sections associated with an intersection are included between the sections corresponding to another intersection. (c) The first intersection associated with an intersection is included between the sections corresponding to another intersections. (d) The second intersection associated with an intersection is included between the sections corresponding to another intersections.



Fig. 22 Result of the oxbow lake formation during the channel lateral migration

TABLES

Sedimentary	Fluvial architectural elements	Specifics characteristics
order		Ē
1 st order	microforms (ripples)	Centimeter scale undulations with little or no appar- ent erosion.
2 nd order	mesoforms (dunes)	Large meter scale ripple with little or no apparent erosion.
3 rd order	macroforms of growth increment (clinoform formed by the lateral ac- cretion increment in channel)	Dipping depends of width depth ratio of the channel, usually up to 15° in the direction of accretion.
4 th order	macroforms (point bars, levee, crevasse splay)	Variable geometries depending of the nature of the architectural element. Extension can be between few meters to few kilometres.
5 th order	channel	Defined by width, length, depth, width/depth ratio with a concave up basal surface.

Table 1 Hierarchy of fluvial architectural elements, after Miall (1996). The sedimentary orders addressed in this paper are in bold