Study of dispersion by NMR: comparison between NMR measurements and stochastic simulation

Maude Ferrari, J.-P. Mérel, Sébastien Leclerc, Christian Moyne, Didier Stemmelen

To cite this version:

Maude Ferrari, J.-P. Mérel, Sébastien Leclerc, Christian Moyne, Didier Stemmelen. Study of dispersion by NMR: comparison between NMR measurements and stochastic simulation. Diffusion Fundamentals, Leipzig University, 2013, 18 (11), 4 p. <hal-01431404>

HAL Id: hal-01431404
https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01431404
Submitted on 3 Mar 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Study of dispersion by NMR: comparison between NMR measurements and stochastic simulation

M. Ferrari, J-P. Mérel, S. Leclerc, C. Moyne, D. Stemmelen
Laboratoire d’Energétique et de Mécanique Théorique et Appliquée, FRANCE

Corresponding author: M. Ferrari, LEMTA (UMR 7563 CNRS – Université de Lorraine), BP 160, 54504 VANDOEUVRE-LES-NANCY, France, E-Mail: maude.ferrari@univ-lorraine.fr

Abstract
Dispersion remains, today, a highly topical subject. Our group has been interested in characterizing this phenomenon by pulsed-field-gradient NMR technique. Direct measurement of the dispersion coefficient can be done with a Pulsed Gradient Spin Echo (PGSE) sequence by assuming that the asymptotic regime is reached. In unsteady state, the propagator formalism is used. To better understand these measurements, the NMR experiment is modeled using a stochastic simulation (random walks) and compared with experimental results. The comparison is made for the simple case of Poiseuille flow in a circular tube (Taylor-Aris dispersion).
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1. Introduction
Dispersion is the mixing of a solute in a fluid flow which combines diffusive and advective phenomena. Dispersion remains, today, a highly topical subject. The concept of mixing is ubiquitous in engineering research (processes, environment, oil recovery, etc.).

PFG-NMR (pulsed-field-gradient) has become the technique of reference for measuring molecular diffusion coefficients [1]. This method is non-intrusive and allows tracking of the dynamics even for optically opaque systems. Unlike conventional methods, PFG-NMR is based on the direct marking of spins and therefore does not require the injection of a tracer. In the literature, there are mainly two sequences: the first, so-called PGSE (Pulsed Gradient Spin Echo) gives the average displacement of spins and is used to measure indirectly the dispersion coefficient [2] the second so-called "double" PGSE [3] compensates for velocity effects and provides direct access to the dispersion coefficient.
A simple case was studied: a Poiseuille flow in a capillary tube. The dispersion coefficient has been measured using the double PGSE sequence (Fig. 1). The characteristic diffusion time scale was \( \frac{r^2}{D_0} \sim 5 \text{s} \) (\( r \) is the radius of the pipe, \( D_0 \) is the water self-diffusion coefficient). The experimental values of the “measured dispersion coefficient” are in good agreement with the random walk simulations of the double PGSE sequence. But the convergence towards the asymptotic value of the dispersion coefficient given by the classical Taylor-Aris theory is very slow and due to the relaxation times cannot be reached. Moreover the results differ significantly from the exact analytical solution derived by Van Den Broeck [4] for the second normalized centered spatial moment of the tracer spreading converted into transient dispersion coefficient (Fig. 1). Despite its attractive nature, the double PGSE does not seem able to achieve accurate results. Therefore in this study the propagator formalism [5] was preferred and experimental measurements using the PGSE technique are compared with stochastic random walk simulations.

2. Theory

Average propagators are measured with PGSE sequence (see Fig. 2). Gradient field pulses for which the duration \( \delta \) tends to zero and \( g \delta \) is finite (\( g \) is the gradient amplitude) are considered with a gradient field oriented along the direction of flow.

After the \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) pulse (\( t_0 \) in Fig.2), the NMR signal decreased according to the transverse relaxation time \( T_2 \). The gradient field pulses dephase the signal by a factor equal to \( \exp(-iyg\delta r) \) where \( y \) is the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio and \( r \) the particles position. During the evolution time \( \Delta \), the particles are transported by advection and diffusion. The probability that one particle that was at time \( t_0 \) at position \( r_0 \) is at time \( t_1 = t_0 + \Delta \) at position \( r_1 \), is defined as the propagator noted \( P(\Delta, r_1/r_0) \). The normalized NMR signal (in order to make the relaxation times effects disappear) can be written
\[ E(\Delta, q) = \frac{5}{\pi} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_0}{r_0} \rho_0 \delta R \exp[-i q R] dR \]

where \( \rho_0 \) is the spin density. The average propagator \( \bar{P}(\Delta, R) \) corresponds to the probability that one particle moves along a distance \( R = r_1 - r_0 \) during a time \( \Delta \) regardless of its initial position. The NMR signal is given by

\[ E(\Delta, q) = \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} \bar{P}(\Delta, R) \exp[-i q R] dR \text{ with } \bar{P}(\Delta, R) = \frac{\int_{\Delta}^{\infty} \rho_0 \delta R \rho_0 dR}{\int_{\Delta}^{\infty} \rho_0 dR} \]  

\( E(\Delta, q) \) is the Fourier transform in R of the average propagator \( \bar{P}(\Delta, R) \) and \( q = \gamma \delta g \) as the Fourier variable.

On the other hand, \( E(\Delta, q) \) can be computed using the displacement of particles by both convective movement according to Poiseuille velocity profile and Brownian motion with impervious tube wall. If the position of particle \( k \) is noted \( z_k(t) \) and its phase \( \varphi_k \), the NMR signal, averaged over all particles, can be written as follows

\[ E(\Delta, q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \exp(i \varphi_k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \exp[-i q (z_k(t_0) - z_k(t))] \]  

The theoretical calculation of the propagator uses an inverse FFT. Notice that, thanks to the first moment of the propagator, it is possible to calculate the flow velocity [2].

3. Experimental

NMR measurements were made with a Bruker 14.1T wide bore spectrometer at 296K. In carrying out measurements of longitudinal displacement distribution, water flowed \( (D_0 = 1.92 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}) \) in a glass capillary \( (r = 0.5 \text{mm}) \). The average flow velocity \( (u = 1.10 \times 10^{-4} \text{m.s}^{-1}) \) was controlled by a syringe pump (KDS Legato 210 Syringe Pump), corresponding to a Péclet number \( Pe = u r / D_0 = 26 \). The PGSE sequence was used with diffusion time \( \Delta \) from 2 s to 10 s. Gradient pulse durations were typically in the range 500 to 1200 \( \mu \text{s} \) and the amplitude did not exceed 0.09 Tm^{-1}. For each diffusion time \( \Delta \), the complete NMR signal (real and imaginary part) was acquired for 64 values of \( q \). After conventional treatment of the NMR signal (i.e. base line correction, Fourier transform), the spectrum was integrated for each \( q \) value to obtain the signal \( E(q) \). The average propagator \( \bar{P}(\Delta, R) \) was obtained by taking the inverse Fourier transform of \( E(q) \) (see Eq. (2)).

4. Results and discussion

Figure 3 shows the real and imaginary part of \( E(q) \). The experimental data are compared to those calculated from the stochastic model and are in good agreement. The average propagators \( \bar{P}(\Delta, R) \) are shown in Figure 4. The simulation reproduces perfectly the longitudinal distribution profiles obtained experimentally. Moreover, these results are similar to those obtained by Codd et al. [5]. Without advection, the propagator profile would be Gaussian. In dispersion, advective and diffusive phenomena are in competition. At very short times, the diffusion phenomenon is governed by the molecular longitudinal diffusion (Fig. 4 graph \( \Delta = 0.5 \text{s} \) or \( \Delta = D_0 \Delta / r^2 = 0.004 \)). Then advection takes over (Fig. 4 graphs
Fig. 3: Comparison between modelling (full and dotted lines) and experimental (points + and x) $E(q)$ according to $q$. The real (full line and point x) and the imaginary (dotted line and points +) signal are obtained by simple PGSE sequence for water flow ($u=1.10^{-4}$m.s$^{-1}$) in 1 mm diameter capillary. The diffusion time $\Delta$ is equal to 2s.

Fig. 4: Modelling (full line) and experimental (dotted line) longitudinal displacement distributions ($\overline{P}(\Delta, R)$) for 4 values of $\Delta$ (0.5 to 10s). The first curves (graph above left) are obtained by taking the Fourier transform of data of Fig. 3. The vertical line gives the average velocity.

\[ \Delta = 4s - 10s \text{ or } \Delta = 0.031 - 0.077 \] and the longitudinal displacement distributions become asymmetric. The graph $\Delta = 10s$ in figure 4 shows that the asymptotic regime is not reached. Indeed, the diffusion time $\Delta$ is not long enough to reach these conditions and to obtain a Gaussian average propagator profile. Because of the NMR relaxation, it is rather advisable to decrease the diameter of capillaries even if sensitivity problems can appear.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, our interest was how to use propagators to study dispersion. The longitudinal displacement distributions measured experimentally were obtained with simple PGSE sequence for Poiseuille flow in a capillary tube. All results were compared with a stochastic simulation and are in good agreement.
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