



**HAL**  
open science

## A new method for the separation and purification of the osteogenic compounds of nacre Ethanol Soluble Matrix

G. Zhang, A. Willemin, A. Brion, Marie-Hélène Piet, V. Moby, Arnaud Bianchi, Didier Mainard, Laurent Galois, Pierre Gillet, Marthe Rousseau

### ► To cite this version:

G. Zhang, A. Willemin, A. Brion, Marie-Hélène Piet, V. Moby, et al.. A new method for the separation and purification of the osteogenic compounds of nacre Ethanol Soluble Matrix. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 2016, 196 (2), pp.127 - 137. 10.1016/j.jsb.2016.03.016 . hal-01473713

**HAL Id: hal-01473713**

**<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01473713>**

Submitted on 4 Apr 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1 **A new method for the separation and purification of the osteogenic**  
2 **compounds of nacre ethanol soluble matrix**

3 **Zhang G., Willemin A.S., Brion A., Piet M.H., Moby V., Bianchi A., Mainard D., Galois L., Gillet P.,**  
4 **Rousseau M.\***

5 *All authors: IMoPA, UMR 7365 CNRS Université de Lorraine, 9 av. de la forêt de Haye, 54505*  
6 *Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France*

7

8 *\* Corresponding author: marthe.rousseau@univ-lorraine.fr*

9 **Abstract**

10 Nacre is able to induce bone-forming cells mineralization, and gains widely interest in bone  
11 regeneration. While, the osteoinductive compounds are not yet identified. ESM (Ethanol Soluble  
12 Matrix), a nacre extract from powder of *Pinctada margaritifera* pearl oyster shell, has been firstly  
13 proven having the capacity to induce mineralization and to restore mineralization defect *in vitro*. It is  
14 suitable to treat ESM as a source of osteoinductive compounds. Herein, we develop a new method  
15 for separating and purifying nacre extracts by an ionic approach.

16 At first, cationic ESM (ESMc) and anionic ESM (ESMa) were achieved with ion-exchange resin. Then,  
17 ESM was separated and collected on cation exchange HPLC. Scanning Electron Microscopy coupled  
18 with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry (EDS) was used to reveal the concentrated elements in  
19 ESM fractions. A coupled cell models were used to test the ESM fractions. Alizarin Red staining was  
20 performed and quantified to evaluate the mineralization level.

21 ESMc and 2 HPLC fractions stimulated the mineralization in both cells. EDS demonstrated the  
22 abundant presence of calcium and chloride in the osteogenic fractions. To validate, pure CaCl<sub>2</sub> was  
23 tested and proven having an osteogenic effect in both cells, but less stable than ESM. The  
24 mineralization nodules induced by ESM fractions and CaCl<sub>2</sub> differed in both cells.

1 In conclusion, a new method was developed for separating and purifying nacre extracts by an ionic  
2 approach. By which, the osteoinductive compounds in ESM were proven cationic, and calcium in ESM  
3 was demonstrated to play a role in inducing the cell mineralization.

4

5 **Key words:** Nacre, Ethanol Soluble Matrix, MC3T3-E1 cells, Osteoarthritis osteoblasts,  
6 Biomineralization

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2

3 Nacre, or mother of pearl, is a calcified structure widely found in the internal layer of many mollusk  
4 shells, composed of aragonite (97%) and organic matrix (3%). Due to the worldwide availability, low  
5 cost, mechanical resistance, biocompatibility, biodegradability, opaque to X rays and osteogenic  
6 feature (Chaturvedi et al., 2013), the natural material gains widespread interest in bone  
7 regeneration, as candidate for bone graft substitutes (Atlan et al., 1999)(Atlan et al., 1997)(Meriem  
8 Lamghari et al., 2001)(Rousseau et al., 2012), or in the case of pathologies affecting mineralization  
9 such as osteoporosis and osteoarthritis (Brion et al., 2015)(M. Lamghari et al., 2001).

10 Nacre was demonstrated to be able to induce bone forming cells mineralization, by attaching directly  
11 osteoblasts (Atlan et al., 1997), or stimulating cells from bone marrow stromal cell to pre-  
12 osteoblastic cell toward osteogenic differentiation (Green et al., 2015) (Milet et al., 2004), and was  
13 believed to contain some signal molecules in the organic matrix. The molecules could be released  
14 when nacre was implanted in bone environment, and induced osteogenesis (Atlan et al., 1997).

15 As reviewed, the organic matrix of nacre is a mixture of proteins, peptides, glycoproteins, chitin,  
16 lipids, pigments (Marin et al., 2012). To reveal the mechanism of nacre-driven mineralization, nacre  
17 extract was studied to identify the osteoinductive compounds. The most studied extract was WSM  
18 (Water Soluble Matrix). WSM from different species, were demonstrated osteoinductive in various  
19 cell models (Lopez et al., 2003)(Milet et al., 2004). The nacre proteins were equally proven to play a  
20 primary role in controlling the formation of interfacial structure and biocompatibility with bone as  
21 well as the stability of biogenic tissues (Kim et al., 2002). While, it was also argued that the nacre  
22 molecules efficient in bone cell differentiation were probably more related to peptides (Rousseau et  
23 al., 2008)(Rousseau, 2003). To date, more than 50 proteins and 50 peptides from nacre have been  
24 identified (UniProt protein database, see [www.uniprot.org](http://www.uniprot.org)).

25 Though, the nacre osteoinductive compounds have not yet been identified. Ethanol extraction  
26 process for biomolecules has been involved previously (Huebner and Bietz, 1993)(Esen, 1986)(Colvin,

1 1961), but very recently, ESM (Ethanol Soluble Matrix), an organic extract from nacre powder of  
2 *Pinctada (P.) margaritifera* pearl oyster shell, has been firstly proven having the capacity to induce  
3 mineralization in mouse pre-osteoblastic cell line MC3T3-E1, and also the capacity to restore  
4 mineralization defect in human subchondral osteoarthritic (OA) osteoblasts (Brion et al., 2015). It is  
5 suitable to treat ESM as a source of osteoinductive compounds. For many years, the MC3T3-E1 cell  
6 line, deriving from newborn mouse calvarias (Wang et al., 2011), has an inherent potential to  
7 mineralize, and is widely used in the literature to mimic osteoblast behavior in the context of  
8 differentiation studies. While, human osteoarthritic osteoblasts present a mineralization defect  
9 (Couchourel et al., 2009). Thus, the coupled *in vitro* cell models are picky but practical for the  
10 validation of osteogenic effect.

11 To date, ESM seems to be a most active osteogenic matrix from nacre, and offers a good opportunity  
12 to identify the osteoinductive compounds. Herein, we developed a new method to separate and  
13 purify the matrix. The method is emphasized at an ionic approach, by using the ion resin to get a  
14 primary result and then ion-exchange HPLC as a secondary procedure to obtain the active molecules,  
15 towards the direction of identification. All the ionic fractions of ESM, prepared by ion resin or  
16 collected from HPLC, were tested onto the coupled cell models for confirming their osteogenic  
17 activity.

18

19

## 20 **2. Material and Methods**

21

### 22 *2.1. Nacre extract*

23 Nacre powder was isolated from the *P. margaritifera* pearl oyster. ESM was extracted with ethanol as  
24 described previously (Brion et al., 2015). Precisely, nacre powder (250g) was stirred with 500ml of  
25 ethanol absolute anhydrous (CARLOERBA, Cat. 4127012) containing 0.1% HCl (VWR, Cat. 20252) for

1 24h at 40°C at 100rpm by Rotavapor (Heidolph, Hei-Vap). The suspension was then centrifuged  
2 (20min, 3000rpm, 4°C) and filtered (0.22µm, Millipore) before being evaporated. The nacre extract  
3 was known as “Ethanol Soluble Matrix” (ESM).

4


## 5 2.2. Preparation of cationic ESM (ESMc), anionic ESM (ESMa) and ESME

6 Generally, the chemical extraction was done according to Fig.1.

7

8 From the results of pre-tests by Alizarin Red staining, ESMc had an osteoinductive effect on OA  
9 osteoblasts, but not for ESMa. Then, we tried to recycle the active cations (ESME) from the cation  
10 exchange resin. That’s why ESME was prepared, and no similar fraction from anion exchange resin  
11 was done simultaneously.

12



13

14 **Fig. 1.** Schema for the preparation of cationic ESM (ESMc), anionic ESM (ESMa) and the losed cationic  
15 part (ESME) during the preparation of ESMa.

16

### 17 2.2.1 ESMc

18 100mg ESM was weighed and solubilized in 50ml water (milli-Q) to obtain a 2mg/ml solution, then  
19 regenerated 50ml anion exchange resin (SIGMA-ALDRICH, DOWEX<sub>22</sub>CL, Cat. 436623) by 200ml 1M  
20 NaCl in a conical flask and removed NaCl; The resin should be rinsed with 300 ml water (milli-Q) for 5

1 times in total and the washing water was removed each time; Then the ESM solution was added into  
2 the resin, and the flask was shaken manually to facilitate the ion-exchange before the exchanged  
3 solution being recycled; Afterwards, the resin was rinsed two times with 50ml water (milli-Q), and  
4 the washed water should be pooled with the exchanged solution before being filtered (0.22µm,  
5 Millipore), frozen at -20°C and lyophilized to get ESMc.

6

### 7 *2.2.1 ESMa and ESME*

8 ESMa was extracted similarly as ESMc, but using cation exchange resin (GE Healthcare Life Sciences,  
9 SP Sepharose High Performance, Cat. 17-1087-01). As for ESME, the extraction followed closely the  
10 preparation of ESMa. After the lavation for getting ESMa, 50ml 1M NaCl was added into the resin,  
11 the flask was shaken manually to facilitate the ion-exchange before recycling the exchanged solution;  
12 The solution was frozen and lyophilized to get a dried mixture of NaCl and ESME; Then ESME was  
13 extracted from the mixture by 3 lavation of 100ml ethanol absolute anhydrous (CARLOERBA, Cat.  
14 4127012); The extracted solvent was filtered (0.22µm, Millipore) and evaporated at 40°C, 200mBar  
15 at 100rpm by Rotavapor (Heidolph, Hei-Vap) to get ESME.

16

### 17 *2.3. Separation and collection on cation exchange HPLC*

18

#### 19 *2.3.1. Equipment of HPLC, column and general informations for injection*

20 The HPLC chain were products of WATERS. Interchim Column UP5SCX-250/046, a strong cation  
21 exchange column, was used for the separation of cationic molecules. For mobile phase, an ERLIC  
22 (electrostatic repulsion-hydrophilic interaction chromatography) method was adopted (Alpert, 2008),  
23 and developed. The column was conditioned with acetonitrile, and then in gradient mode, the phase  
24 mobile was followed the table 1. The solvents at different channels were indicated as follows:  
25 Channel A, water (milli-Q); Channel B, ammonium acetate (MERCK, Cat. A135416836) 30% and

1 acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. 34851) 70%, pH 6.05; Channel C, acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat.  
2 34851).

3

#### 4 2.3.2. Separation and comparison of ESM, ESMc, ESMa and ESME

5 ESM, ESMc, ESMa and ESME were solubilized in water (milli-Q) respectively to get a solution at  
6 10mg/ml, and filtered (0.22µm, Millipore). 100µl of samples were injected, detected by a 2998  
7 Photodiode Array detector (WATERS), and compared at 254nm and 215nm.

8

#### 9 **Table 1**

10 *Mobile phase of elution program on cation exchange HPLC.*

11

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	Channel A (%)	Channel B (%)	Channel C (%)
0	0.5			100
0.1	0.5			100
25	0.5		100	
40	0.5		100	
41	0.5	100		
71	0.5	100		
72	0.5			100
102	0.5			100

12

13

#### 14 2.3.2. Collection of ESM

1 ESM was prepared at 25mg/ml, 100µl per-injection for the collection. The fractions were collected  
2 according to the profile of elution at 254nm and 215nm. The collection included the whole elution.

3

#### 4 *2.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) coupled with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry (EDS)*

5 Nacre powder and nacre extract were prepared onto a carbon coated metal device (specific for SEM),  
6 then the samples were desiccated and metalized. Metallographic observations were performed using  
7 a field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG-SEM JEOL7600F) equipped with an energy  
8 dispersive X-ray spectroscopy system (EDS-Oxford).

9

10

#### 11 *2.5. Cell culture and mineralization tests*

12 This part was previously described (Brion et al., 2015) and adopted strictly. Some details and  
13 modification were indicated below.

14

##### 15 *2.5.1. Cell culture*

16 Human osteoarthritic osteoblasts was achieved from the subchondral bone from OA patients  
17 undergoing total knee replacement (cooperation with Nancy Central Hospital, France), and used at  
18 the 1<sup>st</sup> passage; mouse MC3T3-E1 cell line were provided from the European Collection of Cell  
19 Cultures (Sigma Aldrich, 99072810).

20

##### 21 *2.5.2. Treatment*

22 ESM fractions and the identified compound were solubilized in culture medium and sterilized by  
23 filtration (0.22µm, Millipore) before the treatment. OA osteoblasts and MC3T3-E1 cells were seeded  
24 in triplicate onto 48-well plates at 14 000 cell/cm<sup>2</sup> and 25 000 cell/cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. 10% FBS  
25 DMEM/F12 and 10% FBS αMEM medium were respectively used. After adherence, the cells were  
26 treated with ESM fractions at 200 µg/ml (except noted as 100 µg/ml) for 7, 14, 21 days in OA

1 osteoblasts, and 7, 10, 12, 14 days in MC3T3-E1 cells. Ascorbic acid (50 µg/ml, Sigma) and β-  
2 glycerophosphate (10 mM, Sigma) were added into both culture media, used as control.  
3 Dexamethasone treated cells (100 nM, Sigma) were used as positive control.

4

### 5 *2.5.3. Alizarin Red staining*

6 The mineralization in extracellular matrix (ECM) was visualized by Alizarin Red staining, as the  
7 deposited calcium appeared red (Stanford et al., 1995). The stained cells were imaged with a LEICA  
8 microscope (M80, obj x1) to get a general view of mineralization or an observation of mineralization  
9 nodules in detail. To quantify the degree of mineralization, the stained cultures were destained and  
10 the Alizarin Red concentrations in each well were determined by absorbance measurement at 405  
11 nm, as previously described (Gregory et al., 2004).

12

### 13 *2.6. Statistical analysis*

14 Data was presented as mean ± standard error of the mean for each condition. Each experiment was  
15 repeated independently three times (n=3). One factor-analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed  
16 to evaluate the effect of each variable on two or more independent groups. For comparison between  
17 two group means, in which the response was affected by a single variable, an impaired t-test was  
18 performed. Any difference with a probability value less than 0.05 were considered statistically  
19 significant.


20

## 21 **3. Results and discussion**

### 22 *3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy reveals the ethanol extraction procedure as a moderate process for* 23 *nacre extraction*

24 Previously, the mostly tested WSM, as a major type of nacre extract, was extracted by water without  
25 decalcification (Rousseau et al., 2008). While, it has been long to believe that the osteoinductive

1 compounds in nacre extract are referred to some organic molecules. Thus, it appears more  
2 reasonable to perform the extraction with an organic solvent, to avoid the interference of minerals  
3 and to get a higher concentrated organic matrix. **The ethanol is chosen.** As ESM is a very pioneering  
4 matrix for the research of nacreous osteoinductive compounds (Brion et al., 2015), it is necessary to  
5 confirm that the new procedure is also of no-decalcification.



6  
7 **Fig. 2.** SEM micrographs of the pre-extraction (a, c) and post-extraction (b, d) nacre powder, SEI  
8 mode, 4kV. The organic matrix at lateral surface (arrow in a and b) and frontal surface (arrow in c  
9 and d) of nacre tablet is extracted by ethanol (b and d), without modification to nacre micro-  
10 architected structures. Scale bar corresponds to 1 μm (a, b) and 100 nm (c, d) respectively.

11 For this purpose, the pre-extraction and post-extraction nacre powders are well desiccated, then  
12 observed under SEM. The observation is in SEI (secondary electron in-lens) mode at 4kV. Before (Fig.  
13 2a and c) or after the extraction (Fig. 2b and d), the characteristic brick-and-mortar structure of nacre  
14 is always sustained, and no trace of modification to the microstructure is observed. In addition, at  
15 the same scale, the organic matrix at lateral surface (arrow in Fig. 2a) and frontal surface (arrow in  
16 Fig. 2c) of nacre tablet decreased obviously (arrow in Fig. 2b and d), leaving a much smoother surface


1 for the post-extraction powder. Directly and indirectly, the observation suggests that the ethanol  
2 extraction is a moderate process, which takes place only at the exposed surface and non-invasion  
3 into the crystal tablet, confirming that the process is without decalcification.

4

### 5 *3.2. ESM is separated and purified as ESMc, ESMa and ESME by using ion-exchange resin*

6 To investigate the osteoinductive compounds in ESM, we try this time an ionic approach. The first  
7 question to respond is that the osteoinductive compounds are cationic, anionic or neuter. Thus, as  
8 described above, the cations and anions in ESM are removed respectively by using ion-exchange  
9 resin to get anionic ESM and cationic ESM. From the results of pre-tests by Alizarin Red staining (data  
10 not shown), ESMc has an osteoinductive effect on OA osteoblasts, but not for ESMa. So, it is  
11 supposed that the osteoinductive compounds are cationic, and cation exchange resin has effectively  
12 caught the cations in ESM, which makes ESMa inactive for the osteoinduction in OA osteoblasts. To  
13 validate this hypothesis, it is no better than recycling the active cations from the cation exchange  
14 resin. That's why ESME is prepared, and no similar fraction from anion exchange resin is done  
15 simultaneously.

16 When the extractions are completed, i.e., after the lyophilization, the powders are scraped to pool  
17 into a glass flacon, and some optical micrographs are performed *in situ*. As shown in Fig. 3, generally,  
18 ESM, ESMc and ESME, which contain cations (excepting for Sodium), share a green appearance, while  
19 ESMa appears canary, totally different from the other ones. Compared to ESM, the 3 fractions have a  
20 more uniform color, implying that the complexity of ESM is decreased after a second extraction with  
21 water, as the substances which are indissolvable into water have been removed during the  
22 filtration. Besides, ESMc and ESMa look much finer than ESM, which could be regarded as a sign of  
23 purification. While, in ESME, many chunks are easily observed, which imply the presence of NaCl  
24 crystals, as ethanol has a considerable solubility for NaCl.



1

2 **Fig. 3.** Optical micrographs: (a) ESM, (b) ESMc, (c) ESMa and (d) ESME. ESM, ESMc and ESME share a  
 3 green appearance, while ESMa appears canary. ESMc and ESMa present a finer appearance than ESM.  
 4 LEICA microscope (M80, obj x1). Scale bar corresponds to 2 mm.

5 **3.3. Pro-osteogenic effect of ESM relies on the cations**


6 The ionic fractions of ESM are then tested in MC3T3-E1 cells and OA osteoblasts to verify the  
 7 previous hypothesis. Besides, we wonder if there were an interaction between ESMc and ESMa, thus,  
 8 an extra condition is added, for which the cells are treated with both ESMc and ESMa at the dose as  
 9 treated respectively. The results of Alizarin Red staining and Alizarin Red assay are shown in Fig.4 for  
 10 MC3T3-E1 cells, and in Fig.5 for OA osteoblasts.

11 **3.3.1. Tests on MC3T3-E1 cells**

12 In MC3T3-E1 cells, ESM, ESMc, ESME and ESMc+ESMa induce a cell mineralization from 7 days of  
 13 treatment, and the mineralization increase with the time of treatment till the end of test. It seems  
 14 that the mineralization by ESMc+ESMa is not stronger than that by individual ESMc. Dexamethasone  
 15 has also an osteogenic effect for this cell line, but from 12 days, later than ESM fractions. The


1 mineralization in control group is visualized from 12 days due to the two osteoinducers, ascorbic acid  
 2 and  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate, and the mineralization in ESMa group belongs to this type.

3 a)



4

5 b)



6


7 **Fig. 4.** ESMc increases mineralization of MC3T3-E1 cells. The cells (a) were treated with ESM (200  
 8  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and ESM fractions (200  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) to induce mineralization. Cells not treated or treated with  
 9 dexamethasone (100 nM) were used as control and positive control respectively. The cells were  
 10 stained by Alizarin Red after 7, 10, 12 and 14 days of treatment and observed by optical microscopy  
 11 (LEICA, M80, obj x1). Scale bar is 2mm. Alizarin Red assay (b) measured at 405 nm. t-test: \* :  $P < 0.05$ .

1 The different mineralization degrees between the ESM fractions and control are so obvious that we  
 2 could even determine visually, while, there is a significant difference only between ESMc and control  
 3 at 10 days (Fig.4b). But it is not hard to notice that the doses of Alizarin Red for each condition  
 4 correspond very well to the staining in Fig.4a, and all doses from 10 days have a wide standard error  
 5 of the mean. Thus, the disaccord between the staining and assay is comprehensible. Because,  
 6 although MC3T3-E1 is a mature cell line, as the passage of cells increases, the cells mineralize faster  
 7 than those in earlier passage in the presence of osteoinducers.

8


9 *3.3.2. Tests on OA osteoblasts*

10 a)



11

12 b)



13

1 **Fig. 5.** *ESMc increases mineralization of human OA osteoblasts. The cells (a) were treated with ESM*  
2 *(200 µg/ml) and ESM fractions (200 µg/ml) to induce mineralization. Cells not treated or treated with*  
3 *dexamethasone (100 nM) were used as control and positive control respectively. The cells were*  
4 *stained by Alizarin Red after 7, 14 and 21 days of treatment and observed by optical microscopy*  
5 *(LEICA, M80, obj x1). Scale bar is 2mm. Alizarin Red assay (b) measured at 405 nm. t-test: \* : P<0.05;*  
6 *\*\* : P<0.01; \*\*\* : P<0.001; \*\*\*\* : P<0.0001.*

7 The human OA osteoblasts are tested as the MC3T3-E1 cells, but the staining is performed at 7, 14  
8 and 21 days (Fig. 5a). The staining results are extremely similar to those in MC3T3-E1. ESM, ESMc,  
9 ESME and ESMc+ESMa induce a cell mineralization from 7 days of treatment, which increase with the  
10 time of treatment till the end of test. No mineralization induced by ESMa is observed. While,  
11 dexamethasone has no osteogenic effect for OA osteoblasts, even in our previous study, the  
12 mineralization induced by dexamethasone in OA osteoblasts is scarcely observed, as in control. This  
13 confirms the results observed in the previous study (Brion et al., 2015).

14 When compared to control (Fig. 5b), ESMc, ESME and ESMc+ESMa have a higher degree of  
15 mineralization from 14 days, also for ESM but from 21 days, no significant difference for ESMa, and a  
16 lower degree of mineralization for dexamethasone at 21 days. Similarly, the non-significance  
17 between ESM and control at 14 days may relate to the wide standard error of the mean of ESM, in  
18 combining with the results of other ESM fractions as the significance is found in the later study (Fig.  
19 10b). As for the significance between control and dexamethasone at 21 days, a larger sample number  
20 may be needed to validate the effect, as the difference here is rather subtle, and opposite to the  
21 later study (Fig. 10b). No significant difference is observed between ESM and ESMc, ESMc and  
22 ESMc+ESMa. ESM and ESM fractions have a stronger osteoinduction capacity than dexamethasone,  
23 sooner or later during the 21 days of treatment (not shown in figure).


24 Taking together the results in both cells, we believe that the hypothesis mentioned above is  
25 confirmed, the ESMc is responsible for the osteoinduction capacity of ESM, and no interaction with

1 ESMA is observed. The lost cations are recycled as ESME and demonstrated active. Thus, the cations  
2 in ESM need to be investigated further to approach the nacreous osteoinductive compounds.

3 *3.4. Being separated by cation exchange HPLC, ESMc, ESMA and ESME are coherent with ESM*

4 To continue, we try to use HPLC to separate ESM. In consideration of the characters of  
5 osteoinductive compounds in ESM, precisely, being organic molecules and positive charge, a cation  
6 exchange HPLC chain is chosen. As described in Methods part, based on the ERLIC method (Alpert,  
7 2008), we develop a new program for cation exchange HPLC (Table 1), which permits to separate the  
8 solutes in ESM by the difference in polarity and positive charge. The more polar and charged a solute,  
9 the later it elutes. As the organic molecules is widely supposed to be proteins or peptides (see  
10 Introduction part), the detection is done at 215 nm and at 254 nm for the detection of single bonds  
11 for double bonds respectively. Then the graphs of ESM, ESMc, ESMA and ESME are compared  
12 respectively at 215 nm (Fig. 6a) and 254 nm (Fig. 6b).


13 a)



14

1

2 b)



3

4 **Fig. 6.** Comparison between ESM and ESM fraction by cation exchange HPLC at 215 nm (a) and 254  
5 nm (b). Interchim Column UP5SCX-250/046 is used, in gradient mode, with a personal developed  
6 program (see Table 1) to separate the samples. At both wavelengths, the peaks appearing in ESMc,  
7 ESMa and ESME are all observed in ESM, but the absorbance intensity of each peak depend on the  
8 samples.

9 At 215nm (Fig. 6a), 10 peaks are visually separated in ESM, respectively at 9.4, 10.8, 11.3, 23.9, 24.1,  
10 36.1, 43.5, 56.1, 58.0 and 86.6 min of elution. All the peaks observed in ESMc, ESMa and ESME could  
11 be found in ESM, suggesting that the ESM fractions are all origin from ESM and no contamination of  
12 organic molecules occurs during the multi-procedure extraction. When compared to ESM, the peaks  
13 in ESMc and ESMa are complementary, the peaks disappear in ESMc (peak at 10.8 and 24.1 min) can  
14 be found in ESMa, and the peak which has a poor absorbance (peak at 36.1 min) has a more intense


1 absorbance in ESMc, and so as to the peak at 9.4 and 11.3 min but in contrary. In addition, ESME  
2 corresponds strictly with ESMc, and present a higher absorbance. While, the last 3 peaks, precisely,  
3 the peak at 56.1, 58.0 and 86.6 min, are found also in injection of control (with water, data not  
4 shown), thus, the peaks are from the mobile phase, explaining their appearance in all the four  
5 samples and the rare difference among them. The graphs at 254nm (Fig. 6b), supports completely  
6 the observation and discussion at 215nm, but the absorbance decreases enough that the peak at  
7 23.9 and 24.1 min couldn't be visual. However, in ESME, the peak at 11.3 min is less intense than in  
8 ESMc, in contrary, the peak at 43.5 min in ESME is very outstanding in all 4 samples, suggesting that  
9 the peak at 43.5 min is more complex in composition than the other compounds, as peptide or  
10 protein. Compared to ESM, a new peak appears at 40.0 min in ESMc and the absorbance increases in  
11 ESME, while looking back at 254 nm, ESME has a tiny absorbance at 40.0 min, thus, we believe the  
12 peak at 40 min is from ESM but not visual due to a low absorbance in ESM.

13 The results demonstrate that ESME is certainly a mixture of ESMc and NaCl. As ESME is totally from  
14 the cation exchange resin, conversely, it proves that ESMc is composed absolutely of a cationic part  
15 of ESM, and the anion exchange with resin by using the current protocol is efficiency and credible.  
16 Although the absorbance of peak at 11.3 and 24.1 min is also important in ESMa, that's not obliged  
17 to conclude that the cation exchange with resin is not credible, as organic molecule, the conditions of  
18 charge are sometimes complex and even ambident. Even though, there is still a preference of  
19 ionization, acid or basic, for these molecules, that's why the peaks appearing in ESMc and in ESMa  
20 are all complementary. For the instance, the ambident ionization doesn't matter the research of  
21 active compounds in ESM, as the cation exchange resin has well caught the cationic and active  
22 compounds. Anyway, the peak at 23.9, 36.1, 40.0 and 43.5 min deserve more attention for the  
23 identification of osteoinductive compounds in ESM, because a relative concentration in ESMc and  
24 ESME is observed when compared to ESMa.

25

1 3.4. Collection of ESM on cation exchange HPLC

2 As the solvent and buffer solution used in mobile phase are all volatile during a lyophilization, a  
3 collection of ESM is performed under the same conditions subsequent to the separation. The schema  
4 for collection is presented below (Fig. 7).



5  
6 **Fig. 7.** 10 HPLC fractions of ESM are collected in combining with the absorbance at 254 nm and 215  
7 nm. A cation exchange column (Interchim Column UP5SCX-250/046) is used, in gradient mode, with a  
8 developed program (see Table 1) to separate the samples. Note that the collection included the whole  
9 elution, and the graphs at 254 nm and 215 nm are not at the same absorbance scale.

10 The elution of ESM is fractionated to collect in combining with the absorbance at 254 nm and 215 nm,  
11 with the purpose of collecting peak by peak. As the peak at 9.4, 10.8 and 11.3 min, and the peak at  
12 23.9 and 24.1 min are too close, the peaks are collected as a group of peaks. The collection for the  
13 peak at 23.9, 36.1, 40.0 and 43.5 min is well considered, initially, they should be collected  
14 respectively. But, the peak at 40.0 min is close to both the peak at 36.1 min and at 43.5min, and  
15 more than a hundred of injections are predicted for the full collection. To avoid a possible  
16 contamination between the fractions, also considering the mobile phase has changed from 40.0 min,  
17 the peak is finally collected together with the peak at 36.1 min as F5 (Fraction 5). The peak at 23.9  
18 min and at 43.5 min is collected respectively as F4 and F6. The collection includes the whole elution

1 to avoid any possible loss of molecules. Finally, 10 fractions are collected, pooled and evaporated  
2 respectively before the lyophilization. The evaporation is performed at 40°C, 235 mBar at 100rpm by  
3 Rotavapor (Heidolph, Hei-Vap).

4 The results of collection are listed in Table 2, and some optical micrographs of the fractions *in situ*  
5 after the lyophilization are supplied as supplementary data 1. 10 fractions, F1 to F10, most of which  
6 have a poor proportion in ESM, only about 1% for each, including F4, while, F5 and F6, take the major  
7 proportion, 30.4% and 60.7% respectively. The recovery rate indicates that ESMc may make up the  
8 major part of ESM in mass, as F5 and F6 are concentrated in ESMc. While, F7 to F10, collected during  
9 the washing period, have also a proportion, confirming that the normal elution time is not enough to  
10 elute all the molecules in ESM and a washing program is needed to well condition the column before  
11 a new injection. In addition, the collection is demonstrated effective, as the proportion of F7 to F10  
12 are poor, the needed fractions are well collected.

13 Then the fractions are prepared to test their osteoinductive capacity in cells. But an obvious concern  
14 is that, excepting for F5 and F6, the most of the fractions have not an enough quantity for even one  
15 test at a classic dose, thus, to avoid the unequal dose between the fractions, also a consideration of  
16 possible toxicity, the solution of each fraction is prepared by imitating their dose in ESM. In the  
17 future, we will have to develop a more sensitive activity-screening tool to test the compounds  
18 present in small amount. A pre-test is firstly conducted in MC3T3-E1 cells. F5 and F6 are observed to  
19 have induced a formation of mineralization nodules (data not shown). Afterwards, F5 and F6 are  
20 prepared at classic concentration and treated onto the two cell models systematically to validate  
21 their osteoinductive capacity (see part 3.6). Before that, some observations of the purified ESM  
22 fractions under Scanning Electron Microscopy are performed (see part 3.5).

23

1 **Table 2**

2 *Recovery rate of 10 HPLC fractions of ESM.*

Fraction	Time of collection (min)	Mass (mg)	Percentage (%)
F1	0.00-5.00	2.0	0.4
F2	5.02-15.00	6.1	1.1
F3	15.02-22.00	2.5	0.5
F4	22.02-29.00	5.3	1.0
F5	29.02-43.00	169	30.4
F6	43.02-60.00	342.7	61.7
F7	60.02-70.00	8.7	1.6
F8	70.02-80.00	7.0	1.3
F9	80.02-91.00	5.6	1.0
F10	91.02-102.00	6.2	1.1
		555.1 (total)	100 (total)

3


4 *3.5. A SEM observation into the ESM fractions and elemental analysis by EDS*

5 ESM, and the most important ESM fractions, ESMc, ESMa and F6, are observed under SEM, with the  
6 purpose to compare the exterior appearance at micro scale, revealing directly or indirectly some  
7 characters of the osteoinductive compounds. Simultaneously, the topical elemental analysis at  
8 several positions of the samples is performed by EDS to supply a view on the chemical composition  
9 of ESM fractions. The SEM micrographs are presented in Fig. 8. The results of EDS analysis is shown  
10 as supplementary data 2.

11 SEM images (Fig. 8) show that ESM, ESMc and F6 are all a mixture of organic matrix and mineral  
12 crystals (Fig. 8a, c, g), but organized differently. In ESM, the crystals are embedded into the organic

1 matrix, but easily recognized (Fig. 8b), while in ESMc, the crystals are separated with the matrix (Fig.  
2 8d), what's more, in F6, the organic matrix is even loose and fiber-like, and the crystals are very  
3 irregular (Fig. 8g, h), suggesting that the crystals are formed in a different solution environment from  
4 ESM and ESMc. After all, the micrographs of F6 present an evidence of purification, as the organic  
5 part exists in a certain form. The most interesting discovery is that, ESMa is nearly composed of pure  
6 and regular crystals, no matrix is observed (Fig. 8e, f).

7



1

2 **Fig. 8.** SEM micrographs of (ab) ESM, (cd) ESMc, (ef) ESMa and (gh) F6. (a) ESM is a mixture of  
 3 organic matrix and mineral crystals, (b) and the crystals are embedded into the organic matrix, but  
 4 easily recognized; (c) ESMc is also a mixture like ESM, but the crystals are separated with the matrix,  
 5 (d) when focused onto the matrix, the crystals are difficult to recognize; (e,f) ESMa is nearly composed  
 6 of pure and regular crystals, no matrix is observed; (g,h) F6 is majorly made of organic matrix, loose

1 *and fiber-like, separated with the very irregular crystals. Photograph conditions: (a) LEI mode for*  
2 *(a,b), COMPO mode for (c to h), 7.0kV for (a,b,d,g,h), 15.0kV for (c,e,f), scale bars correspond to*  
3 *100 $\mu$ m (a), 10 $\mu$ m (b to g) and 1 $\mu$ m (h) respectively.*

4

5 Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) allows one to identify what those particular elements are and  
6 their relative proportions in atomic % or in mass %, which gives a possibility to infer the composition  
7 of molecules. The results show that, all the crystals observed in Fig. 8, are of NaCl crystals, as Na and  
8 Cl make up the majority of the crystals in mass and with a 1 :1 atomic percentage, suggesting that  
9 ESMa is almost composed of NaCl. In addition, the abundant presence of calcium and chloride is  
10 observed in ESM, ESMc, F5, and especially in F6. While, it is not obliged to verdict that the two  
11 elements formed always CaCl<sub>2</sub>, as the atomic percentage between them varies a lot, it could be 2:1,  
12 also 1:0, or 0:1. But certainly, no calcium is detected in ESMa. Thus, it is necessary to test CaCl<sub>2</sub> on  
13 the cell models to determine the effect of the sensitive element on cell mineralization (see part 3.6).

14 The results herein may explain some questions hidden above. As for the proportion of HPLC  
15 fractions, F4 to F6, which is concentrated in ESMc and ESME, make up in total 92.1% of ESM,  
16 implying that the cationic part of ESM (pure cations) takes certainly more than 46% of mass. As we  
17 know here, ESMa is almost composed of NaCl, demonstrating that the anionic part of ESM is almost  
18 chloride anion. Surely, the anions in ESMc are all chloride anion, suggesting that ESMc makes directly  
19 about 92% of ESM. This is meaningful to explain the results of staining in part 3.3, why there is no  
20 significant difference between ESM and ESMc on the osteoinductive capacity; the reason is that, the  
21 proportions of osteoinductive compounds in the two active matrices are too close. And, in F5 and F6,  
22 there is no crystal being composed of calcium, suggesting that the calcium should be incorporated  
23 into organic molecules and the molecules are charged generally positive. In this way, the calcium is  
24 eluted, collected and present in the cationic fractions, F5 and F6.

25

1 *3.6. Pro-osteogenic effect of HPLC fractions of ESM and of free calcium*

2 In this part, we test F5 and F6 on the two cell models to validate their osteoinductive capacity, as  
3 discussed in part 3.4. For CaCl<sub>2</sub> (VWR. PROLABO. Cat.22328.262), the dose of treatment is yet to  
4 decide. The primary concern is the tolerance for the cells. A quantitative analysis of mineral elements  
5 on total ESM shows that the calcium takes 16.8% of the mass (data not shown), based on which, we  
6 calculate the dose of CaCl<sub>2</sub> by imitating the proportion of Ca in ESM; finally, the dose of CaCl<sub>2</sub> for the  
7 cells is about 100 µg/ml (CaCl<sub>2</sub> 100). While, as the dose is not far away from the classic dose 200  
8 µg/ml (CaCl<sub>2</sub> 200), we test the two doses on the cells to facilitate some possible comparisons.

9 *3.6.1. Tests on MC3T3-E1 cells*


10 The results are shown in Fig. 9. On the staining (Fig. 9a), an easily visual mineralization is firstly  
11 observed in the cells treated by CaCl<sub>2</sub> 100 at 7 days, then ESM and CaCl<sub>2</sub> 200 at 10 days,  
12 dexamethasone and F6 at 12 days, F5 and control at 14 days. After the Alizarin Red assay, generally,  
13 the results correspond well to that of staining. When compared to control, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 100 from 7 days,  
14 ESM from 10 days, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 200 from 12 days and dexamethasone from 14 days have a significant  
15 difference on the absorbance of Alizarin Red. No significant difference between F5 and control is  
16 observed. A significant difference between F6 and control at 7 days is observed, which did not  
17 correspond to the staining, because there is a well very intensely but abnormally stained. When  
18 compared to ESM, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 200 has a significant difference only from 12 days, and CaCl<sub>2</sub> 100 at 14 days  
19 (not shown in figure). No significant difference between the two doses of CaCl<sub>2</sub>. To note here, the  
20 CaCl<sub>2</sub> 200 induces a later mineralization than CaCl<sub>2</sub> 100, and both the two conditions have a large  
21 standard error of the mean from 10 days when compared to the other conditions.

22

23


24

1 a)



2

3 b)



4

5 **Fig. 9.** F6 and CaCl<sub>2</sub> increased mineralization of MC3T3-E1 cells. The cells (a) were treated with HPLC  
 6 fractions of ESM (200 µg/ml) and CaCl<sub>2</sub> (100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml) to induce mineralization. Cells not  
 7 treated or treated with dexamethasone (100 nM) were used as control and positive control  
 8 respectively. The cells were stained by Alizarin Red after 7, 10, 12 and 14 days of treatment and

1 observed by optical microscopy (LEICA, M80, obj x1). Scale bar is 2mm. Alizarin Red assay (b)  
2 measured at 405 nm. t-test: \* :  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* :  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* :  $P < 0.001$ .

3


#### 4 3.6.2. Tests on OA osteoblasts

5 The results are shown as Fig. 10. In the staining part (Fig. 10a), the mineralization is visually from 7  
6 days by ESM, F6,  $\text{CaCl}_2$  100 and  $\text{CaCl}_2$  200, from 14 days for F5, no mineralization is observed in the  
7 two controls. Note that the mineralized cell layers treated with  $\text{CaCl}_2$  have a tendency to detach from  
8 the dish. As for the assay (Fig. 10b), when compared to control, ESM,  $\text{CaCl}_2$  100 and  $\text{CaCl}_2$  200 have a  
9 significant difference from 14 days, F6 has no significant difference, while, dexamethasone has a  
10 significant difference at 14 days, as discussed in part 3.3, it is not credible. In addition, ESM has a  
11 significant difference over both dose of  $\text{CaCl}_2$  at 7 days (not shown in figure). No significant difference  
12 between the two doses of  $\text{CaCl}_2$ . Note the large standard error of the mean for  $\text{CaCl}_2$  100 and  $\text{CaCl}_2$   
13 200 from 14 days.

14


1

2 a)



3

4 b)



5

6 **Fig. 10.** CaCl<sub>2</sub> increased mineralization of human OA osteoblasts. The cells (a) were treated with HPLC  
7 fractions of ESM (200 µg/ml) and CaCl<sub>2</sub> (100 µg/ml and 200 µg/ml) to induce mineralization. Cells not  
8 treated or treated with dexamethasone (100 nM) were used as control and positive control  
9 respectively. The cells were stained by Alizarin Red after 7, 14 and 21 days of treatment and observed  
10 by optical microscopy (LEICA, M80, obj x1). Scale bar is 2mm. Alizarin Red assay (b) measured at 405  
11 nm. t-test: \* : P<0.05; \*\* : P<0.01.

1 Taking together the tests of mineralization on MC3T3-E1 cells and OA osteoblasts, we have a  
2 impression that F5 and F6 have an osteoinductive capacity, but not stable enough, giving scarcely a  
3 significant difference for the mineralization compared to control. A further study may be needed to  
4 adjust the collection conditions to test the possible interaction between the cationic fractions.

5 The mineralization and assay related to  $\text{CaCl}_2$  are outstanding, even induces a stronger mineralization  
6 than ESM. While, there is no difference between the two doses, in contrary, at early days of  
7 treatment,  $\text{CaCl}_2$  even inhibits the mineralization to an extent. And the mineralization induced by  
8  $\text{CaCl}_2$  seems also less stable than ESM, as the absorbance of Alizarin Red is frequently seen at a large  
9 standard error of the mean . Also, the tests on OA osteoblasts are initially designed as long as 28  
10 days, but the cells treated with  $\text{CaCl}_2$  have a obvious tendency to proliferate rather than to  
11 differentiate when compared to the other cells, resulting in a severe detachment at 28 days and  
12 impossible to perform the assay and comparison. What's more, when looking into the mineralization  
13 nodules at a higher magnificence under optical microscopy, we find that the nodules induced by ESM  
14 and  $\text{CaCl}_2$  are similar but not completely the same (shown as supplementary data 3), which needs to  
15 be analyzed by using Raman spectroscopy.

16 (Mahamid et al., 2011) demonstrate that, *in vivo* osteoblasts actively produce disordered mineral  
17 packets within intracellular vesicles for mineralization of the extracellular developing bone tissue,  
18 and the phosphate entities are first formed and thereafter gradually sequester calcium within the  
19 vesicles. This mode of mineral deposition into collagen matrices, is namely that an amorphous  
20 mineral precursor is transiently formed and deposited within the gap zones inside the collagen fibril,  
21 followed by crystallization into hydroxyapatite (Mahamid et al., 2010)(Olszta et al., 2007)(Weiner,  
22 2008). This strategy is widely employed by many invertebrates for the formation of their calcium  
23 carbonate mineralized tissues (Weiner et al., 2009). Our *in vitro* results on  $\text{CaCl}_2$  confirm this study  
24 and an *in vitro* study in osteoblastic ROS17/2.8 cells (Matsuoka et al., 1999), as the free Ca induces  
25 cell mineralization by a supply of calcium. But the free Ca is not the best source for bone

1 mineralization. As revealed by (Hamdi et al., 2015), although  $\text{CaCl}_2$  has a highest solubility at different  
2 pH, but has a lower osteoinduction capacity than limestone and fat-encapsulated tricalcium  
3 phosphate in broiler chickens, implying that some other molecules are involved to regulate the  
4 process. To date, the regulating molecules are supposed to be proteins or peptides (Rousseau et al.,  
5 2008), 2003). Calcium is also proven promoting cell proliferation (Takita et al., 2006), and  
6 osteoblastic differentiation (Matsumoto et al., 2013), even at 0.35 mmol/L, corresponding to our  
7 observations.

8

#### 9 **4. Conclusion**

10 We have developed a new method for separating and purifying the nacre extracts by an ionic  
11 approach. By this method, we prove that the pro-osteogenic effect of ESM relies on the cationic part,  
12 without interaction with the anionic part. The osteoinductive compounds we seek may supply a  
13 regulating signal to make the process moderate and correct. The calcium in ESM is demonstrated to  
14 play a role in inducing the mineralization, and should be incorporated into organic molecules,  
15 precisely, into the cationic parts. Interactions between the cationic fractions are possible.

16

#### 17 **Acknowledgment**

18 Thanks for the China Scholarship Council (award to Ganggang Zhang for 4 years' PhD study abroad at  
19 the Université de Lorraine). We would like to thank Madame Sandrine MATHIEU and her laboratory  
20 (University of Lorraine, GeoRessources 7359 research unit, 54500 Vandoeuvre) for the help on SEM  
21 imaging and analysis.

22 Authors' roles: GZ, ASW, AB, MHP, VM, AB, DM, LG, PG and MR designed and performed the  
23 experiments. GZ and MR wrote the manuscript. MR accepts responsibility for the integrity of the  
24 data analysis.

1 **Conflict of interest**

2 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

3

4 **References**

5

- 6 Alpert, A.J., 2008. Electrostatic repulsion hydrophilic interaction chromatography for isocratic  
7 separation of charged solutes and selective isolation of phosphopeptides. *Anal Biochem.* 320, 62–76.
- 8 Atlan, G., Balmain, N., Berland, S., Vidal, B., Lopez, E., 1997. Reconstruction of human maxillary  
9 defects with nacre powder: histological evidence for bone regeneration. *C R Acad Sci III.* 320, 253–  
10 258.
- 11 Atlan, G., Delattre, O., Berland, S., LeFaou, A., Nabias, G., Cot, D., Lopez, E., 1999. Interface  
12 between bone and nacre implants in sheep. *Biomaterials.* 20, 1017–1022.
- 13 Brion, A., Zhang, G., Dossot, M., Moby, V., Dumas, D., Hupont, S., Piet, M.H., Bianchi, A., Mainard,  
14 D., Galois, L., Gillet, P., Rousseau, M., 2015. Nacre extract restores the mineralization capacity of  
15 subchondral osteoarthritis osteoblasts. *J. Struct. Biol.* 192, 500–509.
- 16 Chaturvedi, R., Singha, P.K., Dey, S., 2013. Water soluble bioactives of nacre mediate antioxidant  
17 activity and osteoblast differentiation. *PLoS One.* 8, e84584.
- 18 Colvin, J.R., 1961. Synthesis of cellulose from ethanol-soluble precursors in green plants. *Can J*  
19 *Biochem Physiol.* 39, 1921–1926.
- 20 Couchourel, D., Aubry, I., Delalandre, A., Lavigne, M., Martel-Pelletier, J., Pelletier, J.-P.,  
21 Lajeunesse, D., 2009. Altered mineralization of human osteoarthritic osteoblasts is attributable to  
22 abnormal type I collagen production. *Arthritis Rheum.* 60, 1438–1450.
- 23 Esen, A., 1986. Separation of alcohol-soluble proteins (zeins) from maize into three fractions by  
24 differential solubility. *Plant Physiol.* 80, 623–627.
- 25 Green, D.W., Kwon, H.-J., Jung, H.-S., 2015. Osteogenic potency of nacre on human mesenchymal  
26 stem cells. *Mol Cells.* 38, 267–272.
- 27 Gregory, C.A., Grady Gunn, W., Peister, A., Prockop, D.J., 2004. An Alizarin red-based assay of  
28 mineralization by adherent cells in culture: comparison with cetylpyridinium chloride extraction. *Anal*  
29 *Biochem.* 329, 77–84.
- 30 Hamdi, M., Solà-Oriol, D., Davin, R., Perez, J.F., 2015. Calcium sources and their interaction with the  
31 different levels of non-phytate phosphorus affect performance and bone mineralization in broiler  
32 chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 94, 2136–2143.
- 33 Huebner, F.R., Bietz, J.A., 1993. Improved chromatographic separation and characterization of  
34 ethanol-soluble wheat proteins. *Cereal Chem.* 70, 506–506.
- 35 Kim, Y.-W., Kim, J.-J., Kim, Y.H., Rho, J.-Y., 2002. Effects of organic matrix proteins on the  
36 interfacial structure at the bone–biocompatible nacre interface in vitro. *Biomaterials.* 23, 2089–2096.

- 1 Lamghari, M., Antonietti, P., Berland, S., Laurent, A., Lopez, E., 2001. Arthrodesis of lumbar spine  
2 transverse processes using nacre in rabbit. *J Bone Miner Res.* 16, 2232–2237.
- 3 Lamghari, M., Berland, S., Laurent, A., Huet, H., Lopez, E., 2001. Bone reactions to nacre injected  
4 percutaneously into the vertebrae of sheep. *Biomaterials.* 22, 555–562.
- 5 Lopez, E., Milet, C., Lamghari, M., Pereira Mouries, L., Borzeix, S., Berland, S., 2003. The dualism  
6 of nacre. *Key Engineering Materials* 254-256, 733–736.
- 7 Mahamid, J., Aichmayer, B., Shimoni, E., Ziblat, R., Li, C., Siegel, S., Paris, O., Fratzl, P., Weiner, S.,  
8 Addadi, L., 2010. Mapping amorphous calcium phosphate transformation into crystalline mineral from  
9 the cell to the bone in zebrafish fin rays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 107, 6316–6321.
- 10 Mahamid, J., Sharir, A., Gur, D., Zelzer, E., Addadi, L., Weiner, S., 2011. Bone mineralization  
11 proceeds through intracellular calcium phosphate loaded vesicles: A cryo-electron microscopy study.  
12 *J. Struct. Biol.* 174, 527–535.
- 13 Marin, F., Le Roy, N., Marie, B., 2012. The formation and mineralization of mollusk shell. *Front*  
14 *Biosci.* 4, 1099–1125.
- 15 Matsumoto, S., Hayashi, M., Suzuki, Y., Suzuki, N., Maeno, M., Ogiso, B., 2013. Calcium ions  
16 released from mineral trioxide aggregate convert the differentiation pathway of C2C12 cells into  
17 osteoblast lineage. *J Endod.* 39, 68–75.
- 18 Matsuoka, H., Akiyama, H., Okada, Y., Ito, H., Shigeno, C., Konishi, J., Kokubo, T., Nakamura, T.,  
19 1999. In vitro analysis of the stimulation of bone formation by highly bioactive apatite- and  
20 wollastonite-containing glass-ceramic: released calcium ions promote osteogenic differentiation in  
21 osteoblastic ROS17/2.8 cells. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 47, 176–188.
- 22 Milet, C., Berland, S., Lamghari, M., Mouries, L., Jolly, C., Borzeix, S., Doumenc, D., Lopez, É.,  
23 2004. Conservation of signal molecules involved in biomineralisation control in calcifying matrices of  
24 bone and shell. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, 3, 493-501.
- 25 Olszta, M.J., Cheng, X., Jee, S.S., Kumar, R., Kim, Y.-Y., Kaufman, M.J., Douglas, E.P., Gower,  
26 L.B., 2007. Bone structure and formation: A new perspective. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R. Rep.* 58, 77–116.
- 27 Rousseau, M., 2003. The water-soluble matrix fraction from the nacre of *Pinctada maxima* produces  
28 earlier mineralization of MC3T3-E1 mouse pre-osteoblasts. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol*  
29 *Biol.* 135, 1-7.
- 30 Rousseau, M., Boulzaguet, H., Biagiatti, J., Duplat, D., Milet, C., Lopez, E., Bédouet, L., 2008. Low  
31 molecular weight molecules of oyster nacre induce mineralization of the MC3T3-E1 cells. *J Biomed*  
32 *Mater Res A.* 85, 487–497.
- 33 Rousseau, M., Delattre, O., Gillet, P., Lopez, E., 2012. Subchondral nacre implant in the articular zone  
34 of the sheep's knee: a pilot study. *Biomed Mater Eng.* 22, 227–234.
- 35 Stanford, C.M., Jacobson, P.A., Eanes, E.D., Lembke, L.A., Midura, R.J., 1995. Rapidly forming  
36 apatitic mineral in an osteoblastic cell line (UMR 106-01 BSP). *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 9420–9428.
- 37 Takita, T., Hayashi, M., Takeichi, O., Ogiso, B., Suzuki, N., Otsuka, K., Ito, K., 2006. Effect of  
38 mineral trioxide aggregate on proliferation of cultured human dental pulp cells. *Int Endod J.* 39, 415–  
39 422.
- 40 Wang, X., Liu, S., Xie, L., Zhang, R., Wang, Z., 2011. *Pinctada fucata* mantle gene 3 (PFMG3)  
41 promotes differentiation in mouse osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1). *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol*  
42 *Biol.* 158, 173–180.

- 1 Weiner, S., 2008. Biomineralization: A structural perspective. *J Struct Biol.* 163, 229–234.
- 2 Weiner, S., Mahamid, J., Politi, Y., Ma, Y., Addadi, L., 2009. Overview of the amorphous precursor
- 3 phase strategy in biomineralization. *Front Mater Sci China.* 3, 104–108.

4

5

6

7