

Comparison of Vitek MS and MALDI Biotyper for Identification of Actinomycetaceae of Clinical Importance

Janina Ferrand, H el ene Hochard, Victoria Girard, Nejla Aissa, Baptiste Bogard, Corentine Alauzet, Alain Lozniewski

► **To cite this version:**

Janina Ferrand, H el ene Hochard, Victoria Girard, Nejla Aissa, Baptiste Bogard, et al.. Comparison of Vitek MS and MALDI Biotyper for Identification of Actinomycetaceae of Clinical Importance. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, American Society for Microbiology, 2016, 54 (3), pp.782 - 784. <<http://jcm.asm.org/content/54/3/782>>. <10.1128/JCM.02758-15>. <hal-01489184>

HAL Id: hal-01489184

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01489184>

Submitted on 14 Mar 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destin ee au d ep ot et  a la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publi es ou non,  emanant des  tablissements d'enseignement et de recherche fran ais ou  trangers, des laboratoires publics ou priv es.

Comparison of Vitek MS and MALDI Biotyper for Identification of *Actinomycetaceae* of Clinical Importance

Janina Ferrand,^a H el ene Hochard,^b Victoria Girard,^c Nejla Aissa,^a Baptiste Bogard,^a Corentine Alauzet,^a Alain Lozniewski^a

Laboratoire de Bact riologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nancy, Nancy, France^a; Laboratoire de Biologie M dicale, Centre Hospitalier R gional de Metz-Thionville, Metz, France^b; bioM rieux, R&D Microbiologie, La Balme les Grottes, France^c

The Vitek MS *in vitro* diagnostic (IVD) and MALDI Biotyper IVD systems were evaluated for the identification of 158 strains of *Actinomycetaceae*. Correct species-level identification rates of 60.7% and 58.2% were obtained with the Vitek MS system after direct deposit and with the MALDI Biotyper system after on-plate formic acid treatment, respectively.

The family *Actinomycetaceae* contains several genera, the members of which are increasingly recognized as human pathogens: *Actinomyces*, *Actinobaculum*, *Arcanobacterium*, *Mobiluncus*, *Trueperella*, and *Varibaculum* (1–3). For a proper identification of these Gram-positive asporogenous rods, the use of 16S rRNA gene sequencing is often required, since conventional bacteriological identification methods are not effective (4, 5).

Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) has recently emerged as a fast and inexpensive approach for use in diagnostic microbiology. The purpose of this study was to compare the performances of two commercially available MALDI-TOF MS systems, the Vitek MS *in vitro* diagnostic (IVD) (bioM rieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) and MALDI Biotyper IVD (Bruker Daltonics, Wissembourg, France) systems, for the identification of relevant isolates of *Actinomycetaceae*. It is known that due to the thick cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria, a preliminary extraction step may be warranted before the acquisition of mass spectra, as was already shown for the Biotyper system (6–9). However, to the best of our knowledge, the impact of such pretreatment has not systematically been evaluated for the Vitek MS system. This prompted us to investigate the effect of three sample preparation methods (i.e., direct deposit [DD], on-plate formic acid treatment [DD-FA], and ethanol-formic acid extraction [EXT]) on the identification rates.

A total of 158 strains, including 112 nonredundant clinical isolates collected from January 2005 to December 2013 in the Bacteriology Department of the University Hospital of Nancy, France, 28 strains from the collection of bioM rieux, and 18 type strains from the Culture Collection of the University of Gothenburg (CCUG) (Gothenburg, Sweden) and Collection of Institut Pasteur (CIP) (Paris, France) were tested (Table 1; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). The strains were characterized by 16S rRNA gene sequencing using CLSI interpretive criteria (10, 11). Frozen isolates were subcultured twice on 5% sheep blood Columbia agar (bioM rieux) at 35 C in anaerobiosis for 24 to 48 h before analysis.

For both MALDI-TOF MS systems tested, bacterial samples were prepared using either DD, DD-FA, or EXT, as previously described (6, 12). The mass spectra acquired with the Vitek MS IVD system were analyzed using the Vitek MS IVD database version 2.0. With the MALDI Biotyper system, the mass spectra were obtained using the microflex LT MS (Bruker Daltonics). The results were analyzed using the MALDI Biotyper software (IVD version, with 5,627 database entries). Calibration, quality

control, and interpretation of the results were performed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. All isolates were spotted in duplicate on a target plate, and the best result was chosen for use in the comparisons. The Cochran’s Q test was used to identify overall differences between the three preparation methods for both systems tested. For significant differences ($P < 0.05$), *post hoc* McNemar’s pairwise comparisons were performed. The P values correspond to McNemar’s test results reported, when applicable.

When DD was used, the rates of correct identifications were higher with the Vitek MS system than with the Biotyper system at the species level (60.7% [96 of 158 strains] versus 27.2% [43 of 158 strains], respectively; $P < 0.000001$) but not at the genus level (67.7% [107 of 158 strains] versus 63.3% [100 of 158 strains], respectively) (Table 1; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). At the genus level, 10 isolates belonging to unknown species were misidentified with the Vitek MS system, while no errors were observed with the Biotyper system (Table 1). When considering solely the species included in the database, the Vitek MS system correctly identified to the genus and species levels 92.5% (98/106) and 90.6% (96/106) of the strains tested, respectively (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Using the same criteria, 66.2% (100/151) and 28.5% (43/151) of the strains were correctly identified by the Biotyper system to the genus and species levels, respectively.

In comparison to DD, neither DD-FA nor EXT significantly modified the rates of correct identification obtained with the Vitek MS system, both at the genus level (DD versus DD-FA, 67.7% versus 70.3%, respectively, and DD versus EXT, 67.7% versus 66.4%, respectively) and species level (DD versus DD-FA, 60.7%

Received 12 October 2015 Returned for modification 18 October 2015

Accepted 20 December 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 30 December 2015

Citation Ferrand J, Hochard H, Girard V, Aissa N, Bogard B, Alauzet C, Lozniewski A. 2016. Comparison of Vitek MS and MALDI Biotyper for identification of *Actinomycetaceae* of clinical importance. *J Clin Microbiol* 54:782–784. doi:10.1128/JCM.02758-15.

Editor: E. Munson

Address correspondence to Janina Ferrand, j.ferrand@chu-nancy.fr.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02758-15>.

Copyright   2016, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

TABLE 1 Identification of 158 *Actinomycetaceae* isolates by the Vitek MS IVD and MALDI Biotyper

Genus (no. of isolates/ no. of species ^a)	Preparation method ^b	No. (%) of isolates by system									
		Vitek MS IVD					MALDI Biotyper IVD				
		Correctly reported		Misidentified		Not identified	Correctly reported		Misidentified		Not identified
Species level	Genus level ^c	Species level	Genus level	Species level	Genus level ^c		Species level	Genus level			
<i>Actinobaculum</i> (21/2)	DD				3 (14.3)	18 (85.7)	3 (14.3)	11 (52.4)			10 (47.6)
	DD-FA				7 (33.3)	14 (66.7)	16 (76.2)	20 (95.2)			1 (4.8)
	EXT				4 (19)	17 (81)	17 (81)	20 (95.2)			1 (4.8)
<i>Actinomyces</i> (113/24)	DD	79 (69.9)	90 (79.6)	11 (9.7)	5 (4.4)	18 (15.9)	26 (23)	73 (64.6)	3 (2.7)		40 (35.4)
	DD-FA	82 (72.6)	94 (83.2)	12 (10.6)	2 (1.8)	17 (15)	60 (53.1)	98 (86.7)	4 (3.5)		15 (13.3)
	EXT	78 (69)	87 (77)	9 (8)	2 (1.8)	24 (21.2)	81 (71.7)	101 (89.4)	5 (4.4)	2 (1.8)	10 (8.8)
<i>Arcanobacterium</i> (6/1)	DD	4 (66.7)	4 (66.7)			2 (33.3)	4 (66.7)	5 (83.3)			1 (16.7)
	DD-FA	4 (66.7)	4 (66.7)		1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)	5 (83.3)	5 (83.3)			1 (16.7)
	EXT	5 (83.3)	5 (83.3)			1 (16.7)	5 (83.3)	5 (83.3)			1 (16.7)
<i>Mobiluncus</i> (2/2)	DD	2 (100)	2 (100)				1 (50)	1 (50)			1 (50)
	DD-FA	2 (100)	2 (100)				1 (50)	1 (50)			1 (50)
	EXT	2 (100)	2 (100)				1 (50)	1 (50)			1 (50)
<i>Trueperella</i> (12/2)	DD	11 (91.7)	11 (91.7)			1 (8.3)	9 (75)	10 (83.3)			2 (16.7)
	DD-FA	11 (91.7)	11 (91.7)			1 (8.3)	10 (83.3)	11 (91.7)		1 (8.3)	
	EXT	11 (91.7)	11 (91.7)			1 (8.3)	10 (83.3)	11 (91.7)			1 (8.3)
<i>Varibaculum</i> (4/1)	DD				2 (50)	2 (50)					4 (100)
	DD-FA					4 (100)					4 (100)
	EXT					4 (100)					4 (100)
Total (158/32)	DD	96 (60.7)	107 (67.7)	11 (7)	10 (6.3)	41 (25.9)	43 (27.2)	100 (63.3)	3 (1.9)		58 (36.7)
	DD-FA	99 (62.7)	111 (70.3)	12 (7.6)	10 (6.3)	37 (23.4)	92 (58.2)	135 (85.4)	4 (2.5)	1 (0.6)	22 (13.9)
	EXT	96 (60.7)	105 (66.4)	9 (5.7)	6 (3.8)	47 (29.7)	114 (72.2)	138 (87.4)	5 (3.2)	2 (1.3)	18 (11.4)

^a One strain of *Actinomyces meyeri*, 2 strains of *Actinomyces odontolyticus*, 3 strains of *Actinomyces turicensis*, 1 strain of *Arcanobacterium haemolyticum*, and 3 strains of *Trueperella pyogenes* were included in the strain collection used to create Vitek MS database.

^b DD, direct deposit; DD-FA, direct deposit-formic acid treatment; EXT, ethanol-formic acid extraction.

^c Total number of isolates correctly reported to the species level and to the genus level only (including strains misidentified to the species level but correctly identified to the genus level).

versus 62.7%, respectively, and DD and EXT, 60.7%, respectively) (Table 1). With the Biotyper system, the use of DD-FA permitted the achievement of higher identification rates at the genus level (DD versus DD-FA, 63.3% versus 85.4%, respectively; $P < 0.000001$) and species level (DD versus DD-FA, 27.2% versus 58.2%, respectively; $P < 0.000001$). The use of EXT permitted the achievement of higher identification rates at the species level, compared to DD-FA (DD-FA versus EXT, 58.2% versus 72.2%, respectively; $P < 0.000001$) but not at the genus level (EXT, 87.4%). Genus-level misidentifications were not significantly modified using DD-FA or EXT with the Vitek MS system (DD and DD-FA, 6.3%, and DD versus EXT, 6.3% versus 3.8%, respectively). With the Biotyper system, one repeatable genus misidentification occurred using DD-FA, whereas two repeatable genus misidentifications were observed using EXT (Table 1; see also Table S2 in the supplemental material).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the performances of the Vitek MS IVD and MALDI Biotyper IVD systems with a large panel of strains of *Actinomycetaceae*. When DD was used, the rate of species-level identification was significantly lower with the Biotyper system, despite its higher species coverage, than that obtained with the Vitek MS system. This dif-

ference may at least partially be explained by the fact that the Biotyper database was built with spectra obtained after ethanol-formic acid extraction (13), in contrast to the Vitek MS database, which was built using spectra obtained without any pretreatment step. This may also explain why the performance of the Biotyper system was increased when samples were pretreated. When DD-FA, which represents, in contrast to EXT, an acceptable alternative to DD in a routine workflow (6), was used, we found that the Biotyper identified, compared to the Vitek MS system after DD, more isolates to the genus level ($P < 0.000001$) but not to the species level (Table 1). Genus-level misidentifications occurred more frequently with the Vitek MS system than with the Biotyper system. It is noteworthy that only few of these errors might have resulted in erroneous or delayed diagnosis of actinomycosis (see Table S2 in the supplemental material) (14). Isolates that were incorrectly identified at the genus level by the Vitek MS system produced spectra that had a few number of peaks, some of which are common with other genera claimed in the Vitek MS version 2.0 database. This suggested that this problem might be solved, at least partially, by expanding the database. This was confirmed by the fact that when spectra obtained after DD were analyzed with an expanded database (Vitek MS version 3.0, which contains ad-

ditional reference spectra of *Actinobaculum schaalii*, *Actinomyces israelii* and *Actinomyces naeslundii*, only two isolates (belonging to species not included in the version 3.0 database: *Actinomyces cardiffensis* and *Actinomyces hongkongensis*) were misidentified at the genus level without any potential clinical impact. It is noteworthy that in this case, identification rates were increased at the genus level (72.2%) and species level (69%).

In conclusion, the two commercially available MALDI-TOF MS systems tested were globally effective in identifying *Actinomycetaceae*. The performance of the Vitek MS IVD system was not improved by adding an extraction step, while using an on-plate formic acid extraction prior to analysis with the MALDI Biotyper permitted the optimization of the system performance. The accuracy of both systems needs to be further increased by expanding the spectral database.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Benoît Gassiloud, Thierry Chesnot, Jean-Sébastien Py, and the technical staff of the Hydrology Laboratory of ANSES–French Agency for Food, Environmental, and Occupational Health & Safety for their assistance and helpful remarks. We also thank Marie-Christine Saccomani and Valérie Monnin for their technical assistance.

The Bacteriology Department, University Hospital Center of Nancy, France, has a collaboration agreement with bioMérieux (La Balme les Grottes, France) for the purpose of improving the Vitek MS database. bioMérieux had no influence on the data collection or interpretation of the results from this study.

Alain Lozniewski is a member of the Scientific Board of bioMérieux, France.

REFERENCES

- Schaal KP, Yassin AF. 2012. Family I. *Actinomycetaceae* Buchanan 1918, 403 (emend. Stackebrandt, Rainey and Ward-Rainey 1997, 484), emend. Zhi, Li and Stackebrandt 2009, 594VP, p 36–162. In Goodfellow M, Kämpfer P, Busse HJ, Trujillo ME, Suzuki K, Ludwig W, Whitman WB (ed), *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, 2nd ed, vol 5. Springer, New York, NY.
- Valour F, Sénéchal A, Dupieux C, Karsenty J, Lustig S, Breton P, Gleizal A, Boussel L, Laurent F, Braun E, Chidiac C, Ader F, Ferry T. 2014. Actinomycosis: etiology, clinical features, diagnosis, treatment, and management. *Infect Drug Resist.* 7:183–197.
- Cattoir V. 2012. *Actinobaculum schaalii*: review of an emerging uropathogen. *J Infect* 64:260–267. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2011.12.009>.
- Hansen JM, Fjeldsøe-Nielsen H, Sulim S, Kemp M, Christensen JJ. 2009. *Actinomyces* species: a Danish survey on human infections and microbiological characteristics. *Open Microbiol J* 3:113–120. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874285800903010113>.
- Sarkonen N, Könönen E, Summanen P, Könönen M, Jousimies-Somer H. 2001. Phenotypic identification of *Actinomyces* and related species isolated from human sources. *J Clin Microbiol* 39:3955–3961. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.11.3955-3961.2001>.
- Schulthess B, Bloemberg GV, Zbinden R, Böttger EC, Hombach M. 2014. Evaluation of the Bruker MALDI Biotyper for identification of Gram-positive rods: development of a diagnostic algorithm for the clinical laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 52:1089–1097. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02399-13>.
- Schmitt BH, Cunningham SA, Dailey AL, Gustafson DR, Patel R. 2013. Identification of anaerobic bacteria by Bruker Biotyper matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry with on-plate formic acid preparation. *J Clin Microbiol* 51:782–786. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02420-12>.
- Ng LS, Sim JH, Eng LC, Menon S, Tan TY. 2012. Comparison of phenotypic methods and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry for the identification of aero-tolerant *Actinomyces* spp. isolated from soft-tissue infections. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 31:1749–1752. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-011-1496-3>.
- Tuuminen T, Suomala P, Harju I. 2014. *Actinobaculum schaalii*: identification with MALDI-TOF. *New Microbes New Infect* 2:38–41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2052-2975.32>.
- Edwards U, Rogall T, Blocker H, Emde M, Böttger EC. 1989. Isolation and direct complete nucleotide determination of entire genes. Characterization of a gene coding for 16S ribosomal RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 17:7843–7853.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Interpretive criteria for identification of bacteria and fungi by DNA target sequencing: approved guideline. CLSI document MM18-A. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Navas M, Pincus DH, Wilkey K, Sercia L, LaSalvia M, Wilson D, Procop GW, Richter SS. 2014. Identification of aerobic Gram-positive bacilli by use of Vitek MS. *J Clin Microbiol* 52:1274–1277. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03483-13>.
- Veloo AC, Welling GW, Degener JE. 2011. The identification of anaerobic bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS. *Anaerobe* 17:211–212. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2011.03.026>.
- Wong VK, Turmezei TD, Weston VC. 2011. Actinomycosis. *BMJ* 343:d6099. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d6099>.