

# Evidence of dislocation cross-slip in MAX phase deformed at high temperature

Antoine Guitton, Anne Joulain, Ludovic Thilly, Christophe Tromas

### ▶ To cite this version:

Antoine Guitton, Anne Joulain, Ludovic Thilly, Christophe Tromas. Evidence of dislocation cross-slip in MAX phase deformed at high temperature. Scientific Reports, 2014, 4, 10.1038/srep06358. hal-01503720

# HAL Id: hal-01503720 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01503720v1

Submitted on 4 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

## **OPEN**

SUBJECT AREAS: STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

> Received 25 April 2014

Accepted 21 July 2014

Published 15 September 2014

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.J. (anne.joulain@ univ-poitiers.fr)

# Evidence of dislocation cross-slip in MAX phase deformed at high temperature

Antoine Guitton, Anne Joulain, Ludovic Thilly & Christophe Tromas

Pprime Institute – Department of Physics and Mechanics of Materials, UPR 3346, CNRS – University of Poitiers – ISAE-ENSMA, SP2MI, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, BP 30179, 86962 Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex, France.

 $Ti_2AlN$  nanolayered ternary alloy has been plastically deformed under confining pressure at 900°C. The dislocation configurations of the deformed material have been analyzed by transmission electron microscopy. The results show a drastic evolution compared to the dislocation configurations observed in the  $Ti_2AlN$  samples deformed at room temperature. In particular, they evidence out-of-basal-plane dislocations and interactions. Moreover numerous cross-slip events from basal plane to prismatic or pyramidal planes are observed. These original results are discussed in the context of the Brittle-to-Ductile Transition of the nanolayered ternary alloys.

i<sub>2</sub>AlN belongs to the 211 family of  $M_{n+1}AX_n$  phases, where n = 1 to 3, M is a transition metal, A is an Agroup element and X is nitrogen or carbon<sup>1</sup>. These ternary hexagonal nanolayered compounds combine

properties of both ceramics (refractory, high stiffness, low density, low ductility at room temperature) and metals (high thermal and electric conductivity, thermal shock resistance, low hardness, mechanical resistance) which make them attractive for a wide range of potential applications. However, their elementary deformation mechanisms and the exact role of microstructural defects are not fully understood yet.

Although there is little literature on dislocations in MAX phases, it is well established that deformation at room temperature involves dislocation movement; these dislocations are *a*-type basal dislocations ( $\mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{3} < 11-20>$ ) and glide in the basal plane<sup>2,3</sup> forming large dislocation pile-ups and walls<sup>2,4-7</sup>. The latter may interact to form pairs of low angle tilt boundaries (kink bands) leading to strong local crystal rotation associated with delamination in basal planes<sup>8</sup>. Out-of-basal-plane dislocations have been observed by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), in as-grown Ti<sub>4</sub>AlN<sub>3</sub><sup>9</sup> and by Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) in nano-indented Ti<sub>3</sub>SnC<sub>2</sub><sup>10</sup> but they do not play a key role in the plastic deformation at Room Temperature (RT) in standard deformation conditions. On the contrary, numerous dislocation dipoles, alignments, nodes have been observed in RT-deformed Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN<sup>3</sup>. Such dislocation reactions may result in the formation of dislocation cells as observed in Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN<sup>3</sup>. The presence of dislocation interactions and networks has to be considered in the comprehension of the deformation mechanisms. Moreover dislocations were also observed to align along specific directions leading to segments with screw, 30°, 60° or edge character, indicative of an elevated lattice friction<sup>3</sup>.

Importantly, MAX phases exhibit a Brittle-to-Ductile Transition (BDT) between 800°C and 1100°C<sup>1,8,11</sup>. In many materials such BDT is attributed to an increase of the available glide systems<sup>12,13</sup>. Based on the drop of the fracture toughness, Barsoum and co-workers ruled out the activation of out-of-basal-plane slip<sup>2,6,11,14,15</sup>. Consequently, they made the assumption that BDT is due to a temperature dependent grain boundary decohesion stress and/or delamination stress<sup>6</sup>. However Li *et al.* recalled that the activation of out-of-basal-plane slip systems could not be excluded as long as no observation by TEM of deformed samples had been reported<sup>14</sup>.

The general objective of our work is to study the evolution of the deformation microstructure with the temperature. Dislocation microstructure in polycrystalline Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN deformed at room temperature has been analyzed in a previous paper<sup>3</sup> from compression tests performed under gaseous (Ar) confining pressure. Indeed this confining pressure impedes crack opening due to the brittleness of the material. In this paper, we present an original and detailed TEM dislocation analysis of Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN deformed at 900°C – that is above the BDT temperature - under the same gaseous confining condition ( $\approx$ 350 MPa). Burgers vectors are determined from extinction conditions using the weak beam technique, and dislocation lines are obtained from observations along different orientations. In order to give elements to the comprehension of the BDT, the dislocation configurations are compared to the ones observed at RT.





Figure 1 | Comparison of stress-strain curves obtained under gaseous confining pressure on  $Ti_2AIN$  at room temperature and 900°C.

#### Results

Figure 1 shows the stress-strain curves of Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN recorded at RT and at 900°C and obtained under the same gaseous confining pressure of  $\approx$ 350 MPa, the RT curve being extracted from the reference 3. In such experimental conditions, the confining pressure hinders crack propagation but does not modify the dislocation behavior<sup>16</sup>. In these conditions, significant plastic strain has been reached:  $\epsilon_P = 5\%$  at RT and  $\varepsilon_P = 3.5\%$  at 900°C after return to room pressure. Noteworthy, the tests have been arbitrarily stopped at these strains, without rupture for both samples, since steady-state was reached. Both curves exhibit a linear elastic regime followed by a plastic regime. The RTcase is characterized by a stress peak  $\sigma_p$  followed by a softening regime and the start of a zero hardening regime. The hightemperature curve does not show any clear stress peak but rather a constant hardening regime followed by a long zero hardening regime. In addition, the maximum stress is lower at 900°C (900 MPa) than at RT ( $\sigma_p = 1300$  MPa), while the yield strength (defined as stress at 0.2% strain) is lower at 900°C (750 MPa) than at RT (1100 MPa).

Figure 2 shows typical dislocation microstructures of the samples deformed respectively at RT and at 900°C and gives evidence of the drastic evolution of the dislocation configurations between both samples. On figure 2.a (RT case), straight, segmented dislocations lying in the (0001) plane are observed, similar to the configuration analyzed in reference 3. Figure 2.b (900°C case) exhibits curved dislocations with segments obviously lying out-of-basal plane (localized by black arrows in Figure 2.b). Two characteristic configurations of the 900°C deformed sample are studied in detail below. The first configuration, labeled I in the following is presented in Figure 3, the second one, labeled II, is presented in Figure 4.

Three electron beams along [-1100], [-12-10] and [-12-1-1] directions were used to observe the configurations to be discussed. These electron beams provide five diffraction vectors for the weak beam analysis of both configurations:  $g_1 = 11-20$ ,  $g_2 = 10-10$ ,  $g_3 = 01-13$ ,  $g_4 = -1103$  and  $g_5 = 000\delta$ .

Figure 3 shows micrographs of configuration I, obtained with  $g_1$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_2$  and  $\mathbf{g}_4$ . Due to the complexity of this configuration, we are focusing here on two segments, 1 and 2 (see schematic in Figure 3-d). Table 1 reports the observed contrasts for both segments using  $g_1, g_2$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_3$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_4$  and  $\mathbf{g}_5$ . Segments 1 and 2 are out of contrast with the diffraction vectors  $\mathbf{g}_3$  and  $\mathbf{g}_5$ , confirming that they belong to the same dislocation. According to these extinction conditions, their Burgers vector is lying in the basal plane (out of contrast with  $g_5$ ) and is consistent with  $\mathbf{b}_1 = \pm \frac{1}{3}$  [2-1-10]. The analysis of dislocation lines shows that the segment 1 is lying in (0001) plane and aligned along [2-1-10]. However the segment 2 is clearly parallel to [0001]. Consequently this dislocation is contained in the prismatic plane (0-110). Dislocation nature of the segments 1 and 2 is screw and edge respectively. The configuration I is the first evidence of dislocation cross-slip from basal dislocation to prismatic plane {0-110}, as schematized in Figure 3d.

The configuration II is presented in Figure 4. The micrographs are also obtained with  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ ,  $g_3$ ,  $g_4$  and  $g_5$ . Here again, due to the complexity of this configuration, we are focusing on specific segments of the configuration, here 1, 2, 3 and 4. The analysis of dislocation contrasts is presented in Table 1.

In the same manner as for configuration I, the segments 1 and 2 belong to the same dislocation since they have the same extinction conditions with  $g_3$  and  $g_5$ . The segment 1 is parallel to [11-20] and lies in (0001). On the contrary, the segment 2 is out of the basal plane. Available observation conditions impeded to define precisely its line direction; nevertheless one can assert that both segments 1 and 2 are contained in a pyramidal plane. Concerning Burgers vector, the extinction conditions with  $g_3$  and  $g_5$  reveal that it is consistent with  $b_1 = b_2 = \pm \frac{1}{3}$  [2-1-10]. Noteworthy, segment 1 (lying in the basal plane) is not a screw dislocation, the angle between its dislocation line and its Burgers vector being equal to  $60^\circ$ .

The segment 3 of configuration II is out of the basal plane. Again, its line could not be determined. This segment does not exhibit the same extinction conditions as those of segments 1 and 2, confirming that segment 3 has a different Burgers vector from the dislocation formed by 1 and 2, as expected from the dislocation nodes rule  $\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{b}_3 + \mathbf{b}_3'$  (the segment 3' is shown on Figure 4). This observation reveals an out-of-basal-plane interaction as localized by arrows in the micrographs of Figure 4. Moreover the segment 3 is observed to be out of contrast with  $\mathbf{g}_4$  and  $\mathbf{g}_5$ . Consequently its Burgers vector is consistent with  $\mathbf{b}_3 = \frac{1}{3} [11-20]$ .

Concerning the segment 4, Figure 4 shows that, from geometrical considerations, it is lying out of the basal plane and highly curved. It interacts with segment 3 and another dislocation thus forming a node. The details of this interaction could not be determined. Similarly to segments 1 and 2, segment 4 is out of contrast with  $g_3$  and  $g_5$ . Consequently its Burgers vector is  $b_4 = \frac{1}{3}$  [2-1-10].

#### Discussion

TEM observations show that  $Ti_2AIN$  was plastically deformed at 900°C through the production and movement of dislocations.



Figure 2 | Typical dislocation configurations of Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN deformed at room temperature (a) and at 900  $^{\circ}$ C (b). In both cases, the basal plane orientation is shown. In (b) black arrows indicate dislocation segments lying out of the basal plane.





Figure 3 | Configuration I. Dark Field images obtained using  $g_1 = 11-20$  (a),  $g_2 = 10-10$  (b) and  $g_4 = -1103$  (c). (d) 3D schematic representation of the configuration.

1



Figure 4 | Configuration II. Dark Field images obtained using  $g_1 = 11-20$  (a),  $g_2 = 10-10$  (b),  $g_3 = 01-13$  (c) and  $g_4 = -1103$  (d). (e) 3D schematic representation of the configuration. The arrows localize an out-of-basal-plane interaction.

Table 1   Contro	asts of the configure	ations I and II under	different diffraction	conditions (Figure	e 3 and Figure 4).	✓: in contrast, X: out	of
contrast, ℓ: disla	cation line and α: o	absolute value of the	anale between b ar	nd ℓ, o.b.p.: out o	f basal plane		

		$g_1 = 11-20$	$g_2 = 10-10$	$g_3 = 01-13$	$g_4 = -1103$	$g_5=000\delta$	Burgers vector <b>b</b>	Line ℓ	α (°)
I	1	1	1	X	1	×	± 1/3 [2-1-10]	[2-1-10]	0
	2	1	1	X	1	×	$\pm \frac{1}{3}[2-1-10]$	[0001]	90
II	1	1	1	×	1	×	± 1/3 [2-1-10]	[11-20]	60
	2	1	1	×	1	×	± 1/3 [2-1-10]	o.b.p	Ś
	3	1	1	1	×	×	± 1/3 [11-20]	o.b.p	Ś
	3′	1	×	1	1	×	± 1/3 [1-210]	o.b.p	Ś
	4	1	1	×	1	×	$\pm \frac{1}{3}$ [2-1-10]	o.b.p	Ś

Comparison between configurations observed in RT- and 900°Cdeformed samples provides evidence of a radical evolution of dislocation configurations. While at room temperature, dislocations lines are straight and confined to the basal plane, at 900°C, they present much more complex nature: (1) dislocations lines are curved; (2) they cross-slip from basal plane to 1<sup>st</sup> order prismatic and pyramidal planes, and (3) they react and form junctions out of the basal plane. All these dislocations are of *a*-type i.e. with a Burgers vector lying in the basal plane, which explains the easiness of cross-slip.

Our study reveals unambiguously, and for the first time in MAX phases, that at high temperature out-of-basal-plane dislocations are not anecdotal events and therefore cross-slip plays a key role in the deformation. This increase of available glide systems at high temperature (basal, prismatic and pyramidal planes) is likely to promote significant ductility at high temperature. In conclusion, these major changes in the plasticity mechanism are expected to play a key role in the appearance of the BDT with temperature notably with respect to the decrease of the yield strength when increasing temperature.

While the high temperature response of MAX phases was supposed to be only based on temperature dependent grain boundary decohesion and/or interplanar delamination stress<sup>17</sup>, the present work provides new elements through the observation of cross slip events. Further experiments would now be performed at intermediate temperatures to correlate precisely the apparition of the BDT with an abrupt or gradual change of plasticity mechanism as in the case of semi-conductor<sup>12,13</sup>.

#### **Methods**

Synthesis procedure. The synthesis procedure for the  $Ti_2AlN$  sample has been described in detail elsewhere<sup>3</sup>. Briefly, titanium (grain size  $<149~\mu m$ ), AlN ( $<10~\mu m$ ) were mixed in stoichiometric proportions. The mixture was placed into a Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) chamber to be heated up to  $1450^\circ C$  under a pressure of 80 MPa for 4 hours. Porosity measurements, X-ray diffraction and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) studies revealed that the  $Ti_2AlN$  sample was dense with randomly oriented grains with a typical size of 15  $\mu m$ .

**Compression test.** In order to reach significant plastic strain, uniaxial compression test was performed in the Paterson's apparatus at 900°C under an argon confining pressure of 350 MPa at a strain rate equal to  $5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Deformation under confining pressure requires samples cut into parallelepipeds with typical size  $3 \times 3 \times 7$  mm<sup>3</sup>. All faces were carefully mechanically polished and inserted into fully annealed aluminium or iron cylinders to fit into the deformation assembly of the compression apparatus. After deformation, the samples were removed from Al or Fe jackets for TEM analysis.

**Transmission electron microscopy.** The thin foil for TEM observations was cut at 45° from the compression axis and prepared by slow mechanical polishing and dimpling down to a few microns. Subsequently the thin foil was glued on molybdenum grid and thinned down to electron transparency in a Precision Ion Polishing System (PIPS from Gatan). TEM observations were performed on a Phillips CM20 microscope operating at 200 kV.

- Barsoum, M. & El-Raghy, T. The MAX phases: unique new carbide and nitride materials. Am. Sci. 89, 334–345 (2001).
- Barsoum, M. & Radovic, M. Mechanical properties of the MAX phases. *Enc. Mater. Sci. Techno.* 16, 1–16 (2004).

 Guitton, A., Joulain, A., Thilly, L. & Tromas, C. Dislocation analysis of Ti<sub>2</sub>AlN deformed at room temperature under confining pressure. *Philos. Mag.* 92, 4536–4546 (2012).

- Farber, L., Levin, I. & Barsoum, M. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy study of a low-angle boundary in plastically deformed Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>. *Philos. Mag.* 79, 163–170 (1999).
- Kooi, B., Poppen, R., Carvalho, N., Hosson, J. & Barsoum, M. Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>: A damage tolerant ceramic studied with nanoindentations and transmission electron microscopy. *Acta Mater.* 51, 2859–2872 (2003).
- Barsoum, M. & Radovic, M. Elastic and mechanical properties of the MAX phases. Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 41, 195–227 (2011).
- Barsoum, M., Zhen, T., Kalidindi, S., Radovic, M. & Murugaiah, A. Fully reversible, dislocation-based Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> to 1 GPa. *Nature Mater.* 2, 107–111 (2003).
- Barsoum, M., Farber, L. & El-Raghy, T. Dislocations, kink bands, and roomtemperature plasticity of Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>. *Metall. Mater. Trans. A.* 30, 1727–1738 (1999).
- Joulain, A., Thilly, L. & Rabier, J. Revisiting the defect structure of MAX phases: the case of Ti<sub>4</sub>AlN<sub>3</sub>. *Philos. Mag.* 88, 1307–1320 (2008).
- Tromas, C., Villechaise, P., Gauthier-Brunet, V. & Dubois, S. Slip line analysis around nanoindentation imprints in Ti<sub>3</sub>SnC<sub>2</sub>: a new insight into plasticity of MAX-phase materials. *Philos. Mag.* **91**, 1265–1275 (2010).
- Zhang, Z. F. & Sun, Z. M. Shear fracture behavior of Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> induced by compression at temperatures below 1000°C. *Mater. Sc. Eng. A.* 408, 64–71 (2005).
- Kedjar, B., Thilly, L., Demenet, J.-L. & Rabier, J. Plasticity of indium antimonide between -176 and 400°C under hydrostatic pressure. Part I: Macroscopic aspects of the deformation. *Acta. Mat.* 58, 1426-1440 (2010).
- Kedjar, B., Thilly, L., Demenet, J.-L. & Rabier, J. Plasticity of indium antimonide between -176°C and 400°C under hydrostatic pressure. Part II: Microscopic aspects of the deformation. *Acta. Mat.* 58, 1418-1425 (2010).
- 14. Li, J. F., Pan, W., Sato, F. & Watanabe, R. Mechanical properties of polycrystalline Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> at ambient and elevated temperatures. *Acta Mater.* 49, 937–945 (2001).
- Wan, D. T., Meng, F. L., Zhou, Y. C., Bao, Y. W. & Chen, J. X. Effect of grain size, notch width, and testing temperature on the fracture toughness of Ti<sub>3</sub>Si(Al)C<sub>2</sub> and Ti<sub>3</sub>AlC<sub>2</sub> using the chevron-notched beam (CNB) method. *Euro. Ceram. Soc.* 28, 663–669 (2008).
- Pizzagalli, L., Demenet, J. L. & Rabier, J. Theoretical study of pressure effect on the dislocation core properties in semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B.* 79, 045203, 1–7 (2009).
- Barsoum, M. "Mechanical Properties: High Temperature" in MAX Phases: Properties of Machinable Ternary Carbides and Nitrides, (1<sup>st</sup> Edition p363–397). Germany: Wiley-VCH; (2013).

#### **Author contributions**

All the TEM observations were performed by A.G. and A.J. A.G. wrote the main manuscript text. L.T. and A.G. performed the mechanical deformations. C.T. and A.G. prepared Figures 3 and 4. All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Guitton, A., Joulain, A., Thilly, L. & Tromas, C. Evidence of dislocation cross-slip in MAX phase deformed at high temperature. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 6358; DOI:10.1038/srep06358 (2014).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/