

# Variant selection of twins with low Schmid factors in cross grain boundary twin pairs in a magnesium alloy

Zhang-Zhi Shi, Yudong Zhang, Francis Wagner, P. -A. Juan, Stéphane Berbenni, L. Capolungo, Jean-Sébastien Lecomte, Thiebaud Richeton

## ▶ To cite this version:

Zhang-Zhi Shi, Yudong Zhang, Francis Wagner, P. -A. Juan, Stéphane Berbenni, et al.. Variant selection of twins with low Schmid factors in cross grain boundary twin pairs in a magnesium alloy. ICOTOM 17 - 17th International Conference on Textures of Materials, Aug 2014, Dresde, Germany. pp.012021, 10.1088/1757-899X/82/1/012021. hal-01518116

## HAL Id: hal-01518116 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01518116v1

Submitted on 4 May 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Variant selection of twins with low Schmid factors in cross grain boundary twin pairs in a magnesium alloy

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2015 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 82 012021

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1757-899X/82/1/012021)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 193.54.91.144 This content was downloaded on 04/05/2017 at 10:31

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also be interested in:

Heterogeneous plastic deformation and Bauschinger effect in ultrafine-grained metals: atomistic simulations Tomohito Tsuru, Yoshiteru Aoyagi, Yoshiyuki Kaji et al.

Twinning disconnections and basal–prismatic twin boundary in magnesium A Ostapovets and R Gröger

Analysis of the ductility dip cracking in the nickel-base alloy 617mod A Eilers, J Nellesen, R Zielke et al.

The effect of texture on stress-induced martensite formation in nickel–titanium K Kim and S Daly

Investigation of the role of grain boundary on the mechanical properties of metals Nousha Kheradmand, Afrooz Barnoush and Horst Vehoff

Fatigue properties of high Nb TiAl alloy T Kruml, A Dlouhý, M Petrenec et al.

Experimental validation of microstructure evolution in crystalline materials C Rehrl, S Kleber, T Antretter et al.

Low-cycle fatigue behaviour and microstructure of copper and alpha-brass under biaxial load paths S Henkel, J Fischer, L Balogh et al.

<u>A TEM in situ study of dislocation mobility in Ge</u> Tomas Kruml, Daniel Caillard, Corinne Dupas et al.

## Variant selection of twins with low Schmid factors in cross grain boundary twin pairs in a magnesium alloy

#### Z-Z Shi<sup>1</sup>, YD Zhang<sup>1</sup>, F Wagner<sup>1</sup>, P-A Juan<sup>2</sup>, S Berbenni<sup>1</sup>, L Capolungo<sup>2</sup>, J-S Lecomte<sup>1</sup> and T Richeton<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Etude des Microstructures et de Mécanique des Matériaux, LEM3, CNRS 7239, Université de Lorraine U.L. – Metz, Ile du Saulcy, 57045 Metz, France <sup>2</sup> George Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology Lorraine, UMI 2958 Georgia Tech-CNRS, 57070 Metz, France

E-mail: ryansterne@gmail.com (Zhangzhi Shi)

Abstract. Samples of magnesium AZ31 alloys are deformed in compression at room temperature under a strain rate of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. The initial texture with respect to the loading direction is favorable for  $\{10-12\}$ <-1011> extension twinning during the deformation. At an engineering strain of 2.75%, many extension twins are found to be connected with each other at grain boundaries, forming cross grain boundary twin pairs. Some have low positive or even negative Schmid factors (SFs). The variant selection of them are interpreted in terms of shear accommodations. The observed twin variants require the least or no accommodation through deformation modes with high CRSSs, but the most or more accommodation through those with low CRSSs.

### **1. Introduction**

Mg and its alloys have drawn much attention in recent years mainly because of their low densities, which renders them high specific yield strength critical for aviation and great potentiality of fuel saving and CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction for car industry. Extended civil application of Mg alloy as light-weighted structure material depends on achieving ductility, simpler manufacturing and high processing qualities [1]. Twinning is an important deformation mode of wrought Mg alloys at low temperatures [2, 3], the study of which is necessary to predict their mechanical responses, and thereby benefits computational alloy design. When formed abundantly,  $\{10-12\}<-1011>$  extension twins (ETWs) contribute to significant strain softening [2, 4]. After they introduce sufficient interfaces, they lead to strain hardening due to impediment of continuous dislocation slip by the additional interfaces [4, 51.

ETWs are easy to form "cross grain boundary" twin pairs [6, 7]. A statistical study reveals that the minimum misorientation angle between two twins in a cross grain boundary pair is nearly equal to that between their host grains [6], which is an empirical rule. A geometrical factor (m) is widely used to evaluate the shear compatibility between two twins in a cross grain boundary pair. This m-factor is described by  $m = \cos\alpha \cdot \cos\beta$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the angles between the twinning planes and the shear directions, respectively [7, 8]. Previous studies find that the majority ETWs in cross grain boundary twin pairs have m factors close to 1, indicating high shear compatibilities [7, 8]. This paper studies on ETWs with low Schmid factors (SFs) in cross grain boundary pairs, which cannot be interpreted neither by m-factor nor by the empirical rule of misorientation angles.

### 2. Experimental procedure

The material is a hot rolled sheet of commercial Mg AZ31 alloy (Mg-3Al-1Zn, wt.%). Its rolling, transverse and normal directions are designated as RD, TD and ND, respectively. Uni-axial compressions along RD were performed on cubic specimens from the sheet, at room temperature and a strain rate of 1×10-3/s. The microstructures of the specimens were measured by electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD). EBSD samples were grinded using SiC papers with grits from 2400 to 4000, and then electrolytically polished in an electrolyte of 62.5% phosphoric acid and 37.5% ethanol at 3 V for 30 s followed by at 1.5 V for 2 min, both at -15 °C. The instrument used was JEOL 6500F FEG SEM with Channel 5 analysis system (Oxford HKL).

#### 3. Results and discussion

The rolled sheet exhibits a typical fiber texture with grains' c-axes around ND. The asreceived material has been heat-treated without twins. After the compression along RD, ETWs are abundantly intrigued, as shown in Fig 1. The (macro) SF of an ETW can be calculated, which is the external stress resolved along the shear direction on the twinning plane. The ETWs are then classified into two groups, i.e., low and high SF twins. Here, a low SF twin is defined by two criteria. One is that its SF  $\leq$  0.3, the other is its SF ratio  $\leq$  0.6 when its SF > 0. The SF ratio (designated as SF<sub>ratio</sub>) is the ratio of the SF of a twin to the possible highest SF of the twins in a particular grain. SF<sub>ratio</sub> is only applied to a twin with SF > 0, because a negative SF renders the appearance of a twin abnormal but a positive low SF may be the possible highest one in a particular grain so that its associated twin should be activated preferentially. The above criteria select a twin with not only a SF absolutely low, but also a SF relatively lower in a particular grain. Oppositely, a high SF twin can be defined. It is intuitive that high SF ETWs are easy to be activated, which indeed constitute the observed majority.



Fig. 1. An EBSD microstructure showing ETWs in the compressed sample with inverse pole figure (IPF) coloring component and band contrast (BC) component. Boundaries between the ETWs and matrices are outlined in red. Other boundaries with misorientation angles larger than  $5^{\circ}$  are outlined in black.

However, low SF twins are also detected. Fig. 2a shows a cross grain boundary pair of twins consisting of Twin 1 in Grain 1 and Twin 2 in Grain 2. Fig. 2b and 2c provide {0001} pole figures of the grains and the twins in Fig. 2a. From these figures, it is seen that Twin 1 has a negative SF of -0.08, while Twin 2 has a positive SF of 0.22 and the highest SF<sub>ratio</sub> of 1. Therefore, the cross grain boundary twin pair contains low SF Twin 1 and high SF Twin 2. The m-factor mentioned in the introduction section is first applied to the twins in order to evaluate their shear compatibility. It can be calculated that their m-factor is 0.32, indicating a low shear compatibility between the twinning systems of Twin 1 and Twin 2. The minimum misorientation angle between Grain 1 and Grain 2, and that between Twin 1 and Twin 2 are calculated to be 26.2° and 35.4°, respectively. The difference between them is 9.2° so that the empirical rule of misorientation angles mentioned above cannot be applied to this case. Next, we further explore the rule of variant selection governing low SF Twin 1.

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/82/1/012021



Fig. 2. (a) An EBSD microstructure showing a cross grain boudanry twin pair, Twin 1 and Twin 2, with IPF coloring and BC components as contained in Fig. 1. (b) {0001} pole figure of Grain 1 and its 6 possible ETW variants with their associated SFs. (c) {0001} pole figure of Grain 2 and its 6 possible ETW variants with their associated SFs.

Since twinning produces shear deformation, the growth of a twin requires shear accommodations in its neighboring crystals, which could happen in its host grain, neighboring grain or twin. The accommodation abilities of the shear induced by one twin through different deformation modes in another twin can be evaluated by a method developed in reference [9]. For Mg alloys, 5 common deformation modes for accommodation are chosen to be studied, which are basal, prismatic and <c+a> pyramidal slips as well as  $\{10-12\}<-1011>$  and  $\{10-11\}<10-1-2>$  twinnings. The shear magnitude of Twin 1 along the shear direction on the twinning plane is 0.129 when the c/a ratio is 1.624 [3]. It can be resolved along the slip direction on the slip plane of a slip mode or along the shear direction on the twinning mode in Twin 2, with the method provided in reference [9]. The resulted shear in Twin 2 is thus called as a geometric accommodation shear for Twin 1. There exists several variants of a deformation mode, e.g. basal slip mode has 3 variants, and thereby several corresponding geometric accommodation shears. The largest one of a deformation mode "i", designated as e<sup>imax</sup>, sheds light on twin variant selection.

(basal slip), PR (prismatic slip), PY ( <c+a> pyramidal slip), ETW ({10-12}&lt;-1011&gt; twinning)</c+a>						
or CTW ({10-11}<10-1-2> twinning). The observed Twin 1 is variant 3.						
ETW variant in Grain 1	SF	BS	PR	PY	ETW	CTW
1	-0.20	0.0242	0.0685	0.0815	0.0280	0.0968
2	0.06	0.0278	0.0426	0.1145	0.0091	0.1226
3	-0.08	0.0049	0.1138	0.0533	0.0367	0.0473

0.0871

0.0434

0.1064

0.0672

0.0859

0.0379

0.0290

0.0095

0.0352

0.0682

0.0915

0.0292

0.0823

0.0918

0.0431

-0.22

0.00

-0.12

Table 1. The  $e^{imax}$  values associated with the 6 possible twin variants in Grain 1, where i = BS

Table 1 lists the eimax values associated with the 6 possible ETW variants in Grain 1. Comparing the e<sup>imax</sup> values in each column of the table, one can find that variant 3 has the highest e<sup>PRmax</sup> and e<sup>ETWmax</sup> values, but the second lowest e<sup>PYmax</sup> and e<sup>CTWmax</sup> values, in the 6 ETW variants in Grain 1. In AZ31 Mg alloy, the critical resolved shear stresses (CRSSs) of the 5 deformation modes can be ordered increasingly as BS < ETW < PR < RY & CTW [10]. Therefore, variant 3 requires the most shear accommodations through prismatic slip and extension twinning with low CRSSs, but less those through pyramidal slip and contraction twinning with high CRSSs. This indicates that Twin 2 is readier to accommodate the strain induced by variant 3, which corresponds to the observed Twin 1 with negative SF. Analogous to Twin 1, statistical analysis reveals that over 70% of the low SF twins in 66 cross grain boundary twin pairs require the most or more shear accommodations through prismatic slip and/or extension twinning with low CRSSs, but the least or less through pyramidal slip and/or contraction twinning with high CRSSs. Due to the length of the paper, the statistical data is not included here.

#### **4.** Conclusions

4

5

6

This paper focuses on the ETWs with low SFs in cross boundary twin pairs. The shear compatibility between two twins in such a twin pair is low, which is evaluated by the widely used m-factor. A newly developed method is used to calculate the shear accommodation of a deformation mode in one twin, which is generated by the shear induced by another twin. With this method, it is found that a low SF twin requires the most or more shear accommodations through prismatic slip and/or extension twinning with low CRSSs, but the least or less those through pyramidal slip and/or contraction twinning with high CRSSs, in the other twin in the twin pair.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the support of the French National Research Agency under the project MAGTWIN (referenced as ANR-12-BS09-0010-02).

#### **References**

- [1] Friedrich HE and Mordike B 2006 Magnesium Technology, in Metallurgy, Design Data, Applications (Germany: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg)
- [2] Barnett MR 2007 Mater. Sci. Eng. A 464 1
- [3] Christian JW and Mahajan S 1995 Prog. Mater. Sci. 39 1
- [4] Jiang L, Jonas JJ, Luo AA, Sachdev AK and Godet S 2006 Scr. Mater. 54 771
- [5] Barnett MR, Keshavarz Z, Beer AG and Atwell D 2004 Acta Mater. 52 5093
- [6] Beyerlein IJ, Capolungo L, Marshall PE, McCabe RJ and Tomé CN 2010 Phil. Mag. 90 2161
- [7] Barnett MR, Nave MD and Ghaderi A 2012 Acta Mater. 60 1433
- [8] Xin R, Guo CF, Xu ZR, Liu GD, Huang XX and Liu Q 2014 Scr. Mater. 74 96
- [9] Shi Z-Z, Zhang YD, Wagner F, Juan P-A, Berbenni S, Capolungo L, Lecomte J-S and Richeton T paper in publication
- [10] Hutchinson WB and Barnett MR 2010 Scr. Mater. 63 737