

## First Chemical Analysis and Characterization of the Male Species-Specific Cephalic Labial-Gland Secretions of South American Bumblebees

Nicolas Brasero, Baptiste Martinet, Klara Urbanova, Irena Valterova, Alexandra Torres, Wolfgang Hoffmann, Pierre Rasmont, Thomas Lecocq

### ▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Brasero, Baptiste Martinet, Klara Urbanova, Irena Valterova, Alexandra Torres, et al.. First Chemical Analysis and Characterization of the Male Species-Specific Cephalic Labial-Gland Secretions of South American Bumblebees. Chemistry and Biodiversity, 2015, 12 (10), pp.1535-1546. 10.1002/cbdv.201400375. hal-01575790

## HAL Id: hal-01575790 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01575790

Submitted on 11 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# First chemical analysis and characterization of the male species-specific cephalic labial gland secretions of South American bumblebee

Nicolas Brasero<sup>a\*</sup>), Baptiste Martinet<sup>a</sup>), Klára Urbanová<sup>b\*</sup>), Irena Valterová<sup>b</sup>), Alexandra
Torres<sup>c</sup>), Wolfgang Hoffmann<sup>c</sup>), Pierre Rasmont<sup>a\*</sup>), Thomas Lecocq<sup>a</sup>)

<sup>a</sup>) University of Mons, Research institute of Biosciences, Laboratory of Zoology, Place du
Parc 20, 7000 Mons, Belgium (phone: +3265373435; e-mail: nicolas.brasero@umons.ac.be)

<sup>b</sup>) Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institute of Organic Chemistry and
Biochemistry, Flamingovo nám 2, CZ-166 10 Prague, Czech Republic (e-mail:
irena@uochb.cas.cz)

<sup>c</sup>) Grupo de Biocalorimetría, Facultad de Ciencias Bàsicas, Universidad de Pamplona, Km 1
 vía Bucaramanga, Ciudadela Universitaria, Pamplona, Colombia (e-mail:
 datorres@unipamplona.edu.co)

13 \*) Corresponding author

14

15 Abstract

The evolution of signals and reproductive traits involved in the pre-mating recognition has 16 17 been in focus of abundant research in several model species group such as bumblebees (genus Bombus). However, the most studied bumblebee reproductive trait, the male cephalic labial 18 19 gland secretions (CLGS), remains unknown among bumblebee species from South America. 20 In this study we investigated the CLGS of five South American bumblebees of the subgenera Thoracobombus (Bombus excellens, B. atratus) and Cullumanobombus (B. rubicundus, B. 21 hortulanus and B. melaleucus) comparing their secretions to those of European closely related 22 species. Our results show an obvious interspecific differentiation in all subgenera. The 23

interspecific differentiation in *Thoracobombus* involves different compounds with a large
abundance (main compounds) while all *Cullumanobombus* share the same main components.
This suggests that in *Cullumanobombus* subgenus differentiation in minor components could
lead to species discrimination.

28 Keywords

29 Cephalic labial gland, Chemical reproductive traits, South America, bumblebees

#### 30 Introduction

The pre-mating communication is of particular interest for evolutionary biologists because of its key role in sexual selection [1,2]. Therefore, the evolution of signals and reproductive traits involved in the pre-mating recognition has been widely studied [3] in several model species groups such as birds (e.g., [4–6]), moths (e.g. [7–9]) flies (e.g., [10–12]), or more recently the bumblebees (e.g., [13–15]).

The pre-mating recognition of bumblebees (genus Bombus) includes both chemical and 36 behavioral features [16]. Most bumblebee males patrol along paths (i.e. patrolling behavior) 37 where they scent-mark objects with their cephalic labial gland secretions (CLGS) [17,18] that 38 attract conspecific virgin females [13,19]. Other species exhibit less common behavior as 39 40 perching behavior, in which males wait individually at prominent places and dart passing queens or other moving objects [20]. The CLGS is a complex mixture of (mainly aliphatic) 41 compounds[18,21,22] produced *de novo* by the cephalic labial glands (acinar gland) [23] from 42 saturated fatty-acids [24,25]. The resulting composition is species-specific [18]. The CLGS 43 are thus an excellent tool for exploring interspecific and intraspecific variations of pre-mating 44 recognition [13–15,26] as well as they are useful chemo-taxonomic characters [22,27–29]. 45

Despite the usefulness of the CLGS as model in evolutionary biology and taxonomy, the 46 male chemical secretions of a great number of species remain unknown [13]: the CLGS have 47 been described for 50 species of a total of approximately 250 bumblebee species [30]. In 48 several biogeographic regions such as South America, no studies have been published. 49 Indeed, the CLGS chemical analyses require fresh samples [31] that are most of the time 50 unavailable for uncommon taxa. In this paper, we investigated, the CLGS of males of five 51 52 South American bumblebees: Bombus melaleucus Handlirsch, 1888 and B. hortulanus Friese, 1904 are near species belonging to the "Robustus group" (= Robustobombus sensu Richards, 53 1968 [32]) while B. rubicundus Smith, 1854 (Fig. 1 D) belongs to "Rubicundus group"(= 54 55 Rubicundobombus sensu Richards, 1968 [32]), these species-groups being now included in 56 Cullumanobombus subgenus [33]. B. atratus Franklin, 1913 (Fig. 1 B) and B. excellens Smith, 1879 (Fig. 1 A) both belong to the "Fervidus-species group" (= Fervidobombus sensu 57 58 Richards, 1968 [32]) now all included in *Thoracobombus* subgenus [33]. We ultimately aim to compare their CLGS composition with those of related species from the same subgenus: B. 59 (Thoracobombus) muscorum liepetterseni (L. 1758), B. (Thoracobombus) pascuorum 60 melleofacies Vogt, 1911, B. (Cullumanobombus) cullumanus apollineus Skorikov, 1910 (Fig. 61 2 C) and B. (Cullumanobombus) semenoviellus Skorikov, 1910. 62

#### 63 **Results and discussion**

#### 64 Composition of cephalic labial gland secretions

65 Chemical analyses detected 146 compounds (33 from *B. excellens*, 35 from *B. atratus*, 53 66 from *B. pascuorum*, 19 from *B. muscorum*, 16 from *B. rubicundus*, 22 from *B. hortulanus*, 39 67 from *B. melaleucus*, 22 from *B. cullumanus* and 44 from *B. semenoviellus* ) (see *Table 1*). The 68 results showed that all *Thoracobombus* species were differentiated in their CLGS composition 69 (seven compounds shared by all species and 17 compounds shared between the two South

American species), including changes in main compounds (octadec-11-enol (29% - 46%) in 70 71 B. excellens and octadec-9-enol (54% - 91%) in B. atratus (Table 1). Inside the European taxa, hexadec-7-enol (10% - 31%) in B. pascuorum and octadec-9-enyl acetate in B. 72 muscorum were the major compounds (Table 1). By main compounds of a taxon, we mean 73 every compound that has the highest relative amount within the CLGS at least in one 74 individual. Other differences between the South American Thoracobombus were mainly 75 76 qualitative differences in minor compounds (Table 1). Similarly, the Cullumanobombus species displayed qualitative differences (only five compounds shared; six compounds shared 77 between the three South American Cullumanobombus), but the main compound, 78 79 geranylgeranyl acetate, is in common to all Cullumanobombus analyzed here: 81% - 94% in B. rubicundus, 76% - 83% in B. hortulanus, 18% - 59% in B. melaleucus, 51% - 92% in B. 80 cullumanus and 37% - 60% in B. semenoviellus. Qualitative variations differentiated species 81 82 in minor components (Table 1).

#### 83 *Stastistical analysis*

For both *Thoracobombus* and *Cullumanobombus* groups, the statistical analyses of the CLGS 84 confirmed the interspecific differentiation (Fig. 2). This chemical differentiation is supported 85 86 by high values of multiscale bootstrap resampling (> 80%, Fig. 2). The interspecific differentiations between all consubgeneric species observed in our results are in agreement 87 88 with the species-specificity of CLGS observed in other bumblebee groups (e.g., 89 [18,22,27,29]). Similarly to our results on *Thoracobombus* species, the comparison of CLGS between closely related bumblebee taxa with a commonly recognized species status allow 90 suggesting that the interspecific differentiation involves the change in main compounds (e.g., 91 92 [18,29,34]). These main compound differentiations can be (i) a significant shift (production of new types of compounds, e.g., B. pascuorum versus B. muscorum) consecutive to switching 93 off and on the respective metabolic pathway (e.g., [7]), (ii) large increase of a minor 94

compound shared with closely related species (e.g., octadec-9-enyl acetate between B. 95 96 muscorum versus B. atratus) [35], as well as (iii) difference in double bound position arisen by activity of different enzymes (e.g.,  $\Delta$ 11-desaturase and  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase introducing a double 97 bound in octadecenol in B. excellens and B. atratus, e.g., [8]) or by activation of a non-98 functional enzyme gene transcript present in a common ancestor, as observed in moths [9] and 99 in other bumblebees [15]. In contrast, all *Cullumanobombus* species display the same main 100 101 component (geranylgeranyl acetate) as observed in the North American В. (Cullumanobombus) rufocinctus Cresson, 1863 [20]. The geranylgeranyl acetate is also a 102 main component of Bombus (Alpigenobombus) wurflenii [36], B. (Kallobombus) soroensis 103 104 [37] and B. (Separatobombus) griseocollis [38] meaning that this component could not be considered as autapomorphic of Cullumanobombus. Assuming the key role of CLGS in pre-105 mating recognition, this suggests that differentiation in minor compounds could lead to 106 107 species discrimination. Moreover, for each CLGS group, the IndVal method revealed several significantly indicator compounds (see supplementary file 1). 108

#### 109 Conclusion

The cephalic labial gland secretions of males are widely acknowledge as a useful and practical diagnostic trait for bumblebee species. Among the European bumblebee fauna, several taxonomically doubtful taxa groups have been solved thank to this reproductive trait. The present study is the first study to examine this trait among South American bumblebee species. This paves the way to a massive taxonomic assessment of South American bumblebees.

- 120
- 121

#### 122 Acknowledgement

| 123 | The authors | sincerely | thank | Peter | Neu | mai | nn ( | Unive | ersity | of l | Bern, | Switzerland) | for his help | in |
|-----|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------------|--------------|----|
|     |             |           |       |       |     | -   |      |       |        |      |       |              |              |    |

- 124 providing contact for collecting the biological material. Financial support (IV and KU) was
- provided by the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Academy of Sciences
- 126 of the Czech Republic (subvention for development of research organization RVO:
- 127 61388963). TL is post-doc in the context of the BELBEES project funded by the Belgian
- 128 Scientific Politic (BELSPO, BR/132/A1/BELBEES). The authors acknowledge the *Fonds*
- 129 *pour la recherche dans l'industrie et l'agriculture* (FRIA) for financial support (Project
- 130 2.4.564.06 F). Field trips of PR and TL in Sweden, Italy and in Turkey to collect material
- have been funded by the Belgian FNRS. Thanks to B. Cederberg (Uppsala) and A.M. Aytekin
- 132 (Ankara) for their help. Special thanks to R. de Jonghe for the breeding of *B. muscorum*
- 133 *liepetterseni* males and to Nicolas R. Chimento (Laboratorio de Anatomía Comparada y
- 134 Evolución de los Vertebrados Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino
- 135 Rivadavia") for providing us pictures of *Bombus atratus*.
- 136

- 138
- -
- 139
- 140
- 141
- 142
- ±72
- 143
- 144
- 145
- 146
- 147

#### 148 Experimental part

#### 149 Biological material

Fifty males from two subgenera were used for this study (Table 2): B. melaleucus (n=2), B. 150 hortulanus (n=3), B. rubicundus (n=7), B.atratus (n=12), and B.excellens (n=2). As 151 comparison group of *Thoracobombus* we used specimens already described in Lecocq et al. 152 [28]: B. muscorum liepetterseni (n=5), B. pascuorum melleofacies (n=7). We used B. 153 cullumanus apollineus (n=9) and B. semenoviellus (n=3) already described in [39] as 154 comparison group of Cullumanobombus. We killed specimens by freezing at -20°C. The 155 CLGS were extracted in 400 ml of hexane [31]. Samples were stored at -40°C prior to the 156 analyses. 157

#### 158 *Chemical analyses*

We identified the CLGS composition by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS) on 159 a quadrupol Finigan GCQ, with a DB-5ms non-polar capillary column (5% phenyl (methyl) 160 polysiloxane stationary phase; 30-m column length; 0.25-mm inner diameter; 0.25-µm film 161 thickness). One µl was injected in splitless mode at the injector temperature set to 220°C. The 162 163 temperature of the column was initially held to 70°C for two minutes and then increased at a rate of 10°C/min to 320°C. The temperature was then held at 320°C for five minutes. The 164 carrier gas was helium at a constant velocity of 50 cm/s. Compounds were identified using the 165 retention times and mass spectra of each peak. Double bound positions were determined by 166 DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) derivatization [40]. 167

168 We analyzed all samples by a gas chromatograph-flame ionization detector Shimadzu GC-169 2010 with a SLB-5ms non-polar capillary column (5% phenyl (methyl) polysiloxane stationary phase; 30-m column length; 0.25-mm inner diameter; 0.25-µm film thickness) with
the same chromatographic conditions as in GC/MS.

Peak areas of compounds were detected in GCsolution Postrun (Shimadzu Corporation) with 172 173 automatic peak detection and noise measurement. We calculated relative amounts (RA in %) of compounds in each sample by dividing the peak areas of compounds by the total area of 174 compounds in each sample. We did not use any correction factor to calculate the RA of 175 individual compounds. We discarded all compounds for which RA were recorded as less than 176 177 0.1% for all specimens [31]. We elaborated the data matrix as the alignment of each 178 compound between all samples performed with GCAligner 1.0 [41,42]( see supplementary 179 file 1).

#### 180 *Statistical analyses*

Statistical analyses were performed using R [43] to detected CLGS differentiations between 181 specimen groups. Data consisting of the relative proportion of all compounds were 182 transformed (log (x-1)) to reduce the large difference of abundance between highly and 183 slightly concentrated compounds, and we then standardized (mean= 0, standard deviation= 1) 184 the data matrix to reduce the sample concentration effect [22]. Clustering method was used to 185 detect the divergence between taxa. A Pearson Phi Correlation matrix based on CLGS data 186 187 matrix (relative abundance of each compound) was computed. An unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) was used as clustering method (R-package ape,[44]). 188 We assessed the uncertainty in hierarchical cluster analysis using *p*-values calculated via 189 multiscale bootstrap resampling with 50.000 bootstrap replications (R-package pvclust, [44]). 190 191 Only bootstrap values greater than 85% were considered significant. To determine compounds of each taxa (indicator compounds), we used the indicator value (IndVal) method 192 [45,46]. The value given is the product of relative abundance and relative frequency of 193

| 194 | occurrence of a compound within a group. We evaluated the statistical significance of a |
|-----|---|
| 195 | compound as an indicator at the 0.01 level with a randomization procedure.              |
| 196 |   |
| 197 |   |
| 198 |   |
| 199 |   |
| 200 |   |
| 201 |   |
| 202 |   |
| 203 |   |
| 204 |   |
| 205 |   |
| 206 |   |
| 207 |   |
| 208 |   |
| 209 |   |
| 210 |   |
| 211 |   |
| 212 |   |
| 213 |   |
| 214 |   |
| 215 |   |
| 216 |   |
| 217 |   |
| 218 |   |

#### 219 References

- H. E. H. Paterson, *Evolution and the Recognition Concept of Species*, The Johns
  Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, US, 1993.
- 222 [2] B. R. Grant, P. R. Grant, *Evolution (N. Y)*. **1996**, 50, 2471–2487.
- [3] T. D. Wyatt, *Pheromones and Animal Behaviour: Communication by Smell and Taste*,
  Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.
- 225 [4] M. I. Förschler, E. K. V Kalko, J. Biogeogr. 2007, 34, 1591–1600.
- J. Martens, in: Kroodsma, D.E., Miller, E.H., Eds., *Ecology and Evolution of Acoustic Communication in Birds*, Comstock Publishing, Ithaca, **1996**, 221–240.
- [6] G. L. Patricelli, J. A. C. Uy, G. Borgia, *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2003, 270, 2389–2395.
- [7] N. Glaser, B. Frérot, E. Leppik, C. Monsempes, C. Capdevielle-Dulac, B. Le Ru, T.
  Lecocq, M. Harry, E. Jacquin-Joly, P.-A. Calatayud, *J. Chem. Ecol.* 2014, 40, 923-927.
- 232 [8] C. Löfstedt, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B-Biological Sci. 1993, 340, 167–177.
- [9] W. L. Roelofs, W. Liu, G. Hao, H. Jiao, A. P. Rooney, C. E. Linn Jr., *Proc. Natl. Acad.*Sci. U. S. A. 2002, 99, 13621–13626.
- 235 [10] M. R. E. Symonds, A. Moussalli, M. A. Elgar, *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 2009, 97, 594–603.
- 236 [11] C. R. B. Boake, Genetica 2002, 116, 205–214.
- 237 [12] J. E. Blyth, D. Lachaise, M. G. Ritchie, *Ethology* **2008**, 114, 728–736.
- 238 [13] M. Ayasse, S. Jarau, Annu. Rev. Entomol. 2014, 59, 299–319.
- [14] T. Lecocq, N. J. Vereecken, D. Michez, S. Dellicour, P. Lhomme, I. Valterová, J.-Y.
  Rasplus, P. Rasmont, *PLoS One* 2013, 8, e65642.
- [15] T. Lecocq, S. Dellicour, D. Michez, P. Lhomme, M. Vanderplanck, I. Valterová, J.-Y.
  Rasplus, P. Rasmont, *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2013, 13, 263.
- 243 [16] B. Baer, Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 2003, 54, 521–533.
- 244 [17] G. Bergström, Entomol. Scand. Suppl. 1981, 15, 173–184.
- 245 [18] D. H. Calam, *Nature* **1969**, 221, 856–857.
- [19] A. Coppée, Bombus Terrestris (L. 1758): A Complex Species or a Species Complex ? Intraspecific Pheromonal and Genetic Variations of Bombus Terrestris (L.), Impacts
   on the Speciation, Université de Mons Editor, 2010.

- 249 [20] A. Bertsch, H. Schweer, A. Titze, J. Chem. Ecol. 2008, 34, 1268–1274.
- 250 [21] A. Coppée, M. Terzo, I. Valterová, P. Rasmont, Chem. Biodivers. 2008, 5, 2654–2661.
- [22] T. Lecocq, P. Lhomme, D. Michez, S. Dellicour, I. Valterová, P. Rasmont, *Syst. Entomol.* 2011, 36, 453–469.
- [23] B. Kullenberg, G. Bergström, B. Bringer, B. Carlberg, B. Cederberg, *Zoon* 1973, Suppl. 1, 23–30.
- [24] P. Žáček, D. Prchalová-Hornákov, R. Tykva, J. Kindl, H. Vogel, A. Svatoš, I. Pichová,
  I. Valterová, *ChemBioChem* 2013, 14, 361–371.
- [25] A. Luxová, I. Valterová, K. Stránský, O. Hovorka, A. Svatoš, *Chemoecology* 2003, 13, 81–87.
- [26] P. Lhomme, M. Ayasse, I. Valterová, T. Lecocq, P. Rasmont, *PLoS One* 2012, 7, e43053.
- 261 [27] A. Bertsch, H. Schweer, A. Titze, H. Tanaka, *Insectes Soc.* 2005, 52, 45–54.
- [28] T. Lecocq, N. Brasero, T. De Meulemeester, D. Michez, S. Dellicour, P. Lhomme, R.
  de Jonghe, I. Valterová, K. Urbanová, P. Rasmont, *Anim. Conserv.* 2014,
  DOI: 10.1111/acv.12164
- [29] P. Rasmont, M. Terzo, A. M. Aytekin, H. Hines, K. Urbanova, L. Cahlikova, I.
  Valterova, *Apidologie* 2005, 36, 571–584.
- 267 [30] P. H. Williams, Bull. Nat. Hist. Museum 1998, 67, 79–152.
- [31] T. De Meulemeester, P. Gerbaux, M. Boulvin, A. Coppée, P. Rasmont, *Insectes Soc.*269 2011, 58, 227–236.
- 270 [32] O. W. Richards, Bull. Br. Museum Natural Hist. Entomol. 1968, 22, 211–276.
- [33] P. H. Williams, S. A. Cameron, H. M. Hines, B. Cederberg, P. Rasmont, *Apidologie* 2008, 39, 46–74.
- 273 [34] A. Bertsch, H. Schweer, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2012**, 40, 103–111.
- [35] M. Terzo, K. Urbanová, I. Valterová, P. Rasmont, Apidologie 2005, 36, 85–96.
- [36] B. G. Svensson, M. Appelgren, G. Bergstrom, *Nov. acta Regiae Soc. Sci.*1984, 3, 145–
   147.
- [37] B. Kullenberg, G. Bergström, S. Ställberg-Stenhagen, *Acta Chem. Scand.* 1970, 24,1481

| 280<br>281               | [38] | A. Bertsch, H. Schweer, A. Titze, Zeitschrift fur Naturforsch Sect. C J. Biosci. 2004, 59, 701–707.  |
|--------------------------|------|--|
| 282                      | [39] | O. Hovorka, I. Valterová, P. Rasmont, M. Terzo, Chem. Biodivers. 2006, 3, 1015–22.   |
| 283<br>284               | [40] | J. Cvacka, E. Kofronová, S. Vasícková, K. Stránský, P. Jiros, O. Hovorka, J. Kindl, I. Valterová, <i>Lipids</i> <b>2008</b> , 43, 441–50.  |
| 285<br>286<br>287<br>288 | [41] | S. Dellicour, T. Lecocq, GCALIGNER 1.0 and GCKOVATS 1.0 - Manual of a Software<br>Suite to Compute a Multiple Sample Comparison Data Matrix from Eco-Chemical<br>Datasets Obtained by Gas Chromatography, University of Mons, Mons (Belgium),<br>2013. |
| 289                      | [42] | S. Dellicour, T. Lecocq, J. Sep. Sci. 2013, 36, 3206–9.  |
| 290<br>291<br>292        | [43] | R Development Core Team (2013). <i>R: a language and environment for statistical computing</i> . Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. http://www.R-project.org (accessed 2 January 2014).                               |
| 293<br>294               | [44] | R. Suzuki, H. Shimodaira, <i>Pvclust: Hierarchical Clustering with P-Values via Multiscale Bootstrap Resampling</i> 2011, R package version 1.2-2.   |
| 295<br>296               | [45] | J. Claudet, D. Pelletier, JY. Jouvenel, F. Bachet, R. Galzin, <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> 2006, 130, 349–369.  |
| 297                      | [46] | M. Dufrêne, P. Legendre, Ecol. Monogr. 1997, 67, 345-366.  |
| 298                      |      |  |
| 299                      |      |  |
| 300                      |      |  |
| 301                      |      |  |
| 302                      |      |  |
| 303                      |      |  |
| 304                      |      |  |

305 *Table* 

Table 1. *List of the identified compounds in the Thoracobombus and Cullumanobombus subgenera*. Molecular Weight (*MW*), median (M [%]) of the 146 identified compounds. For each species, main compounds are shown on black background, characteristic compounds calculated with the Indicator value (IndVal) method (>0.70) are shown in grey background. The compounds we were not able to determine are indicated as *Ux*. The full table with first and fourth quartile (Q1 and Q4 [%]) are in the supplementary file 1

| Compounds          | MW  | B. atratus | B. excellens | B.pascuorum | B. muscorum | B. hortulanus | B. melaleucus | B. rubicundus | B. cullumanus | B.           |
|--------------------|-----|------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
|                    |     |            |              |             |             |               |               |               |               | semenovienus |
|                    |     | М          | М            | М           | М           | М             | М             | М             | М             | М            |
| Octanoic acid      | 144 | -          | _            | -           | _           | 0.40          | 0.10          | _             | _             | _            |
| Decanoic acid      | 172 | -          | -            | -           | -           | -             | 0.30          | -             | -             | -            |
| Dodecanol          | 186 | -          | -            | -           | -           | -             | -             | -             | -             | 0.67         |
| U1                 | ?   | -          | -            | -           | -           | 0.16          | -             | -             | -             | -            |
| Dodecanoic acid    | 200 | -          | -            | -           | -           | -             | -             | -             | -             | 0.07         |
| Tetradecenol       | 212 | -          | -            | 0.05        | -           | -             | -             | -             | -             | -            |
| Tetradecanol       | 214 | -          | -            | 0.07        | -           | -             | -             | -             | -             | -            |
| Tetradecanoic acid | 228 | -          | -            | 0.01        | -           | -             | -             | -             | -             | -            |
|                    |     |            |              |             |             |               |               |               |               |              |

| Dodecyl acetate      | 228 | - | - | -     | - | 0.09 | -    | -    | -    | -    |
|----------------------|-----|---|---|-------|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| Hexadec-7-enal       | 238 | - | - | 22.49 | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Hexadec-7-enol       | 240 | - | - | 24.12 | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Heptadecane          | 240 | - | - | -     | - | -    | 0.07 | -    | -    | -    |
| Hexadecanol          | 242 | - | - | 0.31  | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| U2                   | ?   | - | - | -     | - | 0.10 | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Tetradecyl acetate   | 256 | - | - | -     | - | 1.52 | 0.13 | -    | -    | -    |
| Farnesyl acetate     | 264 | - | - | -     | - | 1.49 | 0.10 | -    | 0.11 | 0.31 |
| Hexadecanol          | 242 | - | - | -     | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | 3.32 |
| Beta-Springene       | 272 | - | - | -     | - | -    | 1.42 | 0.08 | -    | 0.48 |
| Hexadecenoic acid    | 254 | - | - | 5.85  | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Hexadec-9-enoic acid | 254 | - | - | -     | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | 6.49 |
| Alpha-Springene      | ?   | - | - | -     | - | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.19 |
| Hexadecanoic acid    | 256 | - | - | 0.32  | - | -    | 3.03 | -    | -    | 2.40 |
| U3                   | ?   | - | - | -     | - | -    | -    | 0.48 | -    | -    |
| U4                   | ?   | - | - | -     | - | 0.15 | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Octadecanal          | 268 | - | - | -     | - | -    | -    | 0.12 | -    | -    |
|                      |     |   |   |       |   |      |      |      |      |      |

| 282 | -  | -   | -  | -   | 0.09   | -  | -  | -   | -  |
|-----|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 282 | -  | -   | 1.07   | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 282 | -  | -   | -  | -   | 9.39   | 23.53  | -  | -   | -  |
| 284 | -  | -   | 0.03   | -   | 1.76   | 1.28   | -  | -   | 0.12   |
| ?   | 0.01   | -   | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 266 | 0.41   | -   | -  | 0.11  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 266 | 0.13   | 2.42  | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 266 | -  | 0.89  | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| ?   | -  | 0.99  | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| ?   | -  | 0.40  | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 268 | -  | -   | -  | -   | 0.02   | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 268 | 74.04  | -   | 1.25   | 4.14  | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 268 | 4.72   | 37.59   | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 268 | -  | 0.07  | -  | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 282 | -  | -   | 0.04   | -   | -  | -  | -  | -   | -  |
| 294 | -  | -   | -  | -   | -  | 0.11   | -  | -   | -  |
| 296 | 0.05   | 0.30  | 0.10   | 0.19  | -  | 0.23   | 3.78   | 3.66  | -  |
|     | 282<br>282<br>282<br>284<br>?<br>266<br>266<br>266<br>266<br>266<br>?<br>?<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268<br>268 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 282 $282$ $282$ $282$ $284$ $?$ $0.01$ - $266$ $0.41$ - $266$ $0.13$ $2.42$ $266$ - $0.89$ ?- $0.99$ ?- $0.40$ $268$ $268$ 74.04- $268$ 4.72 $37.59$ $268$ -0.07 $282$ $294$ $296$ $0.05$ $0.30$ | 282 $282$ 1.07 $282$ $284$ 0.03?0.01 $266$ 0.41 $266$ 0.41 $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ - $266$ -0.89-?-0.99-?-0.40- $268$ $268$ 74.04-1.25 $268$ 4.72 <b>37.59</b> - $268$ -0.07- $282$ 0.04 $294$ $296$ 0.050.300.10 | 282 $282$ 1.07- $282$ $284$ 0.03- $284$ 0.03- $266$ 0.410.11 $266$ 0.410.11 $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ $266$ -0.89 $?$ -0.99?-0.40 $268$ $268$ $268$ 74.04 $268$ 74.04 $268$ -0.07- $268$ -0.07- $268$ -0.07- $268$ -0.07- $294$ $296$ 0.050.300.100.19 | 282       -       -       -       -       0.09 $282$ -       -       1.07       -       - $282$ -       -       -       9.39 $284$ -       -       0.03       -       1.76         ?       0.01       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.40       -       -       -       - $?$ -       0.40       -       -       -       0.02 $268$ 74.02       -       1.25       4.14       -       - $268$ -       0.07       -       -       -       - $282$ - | 282       -       -       -       0.09       - $282$ -       -       1.07       -       -       - $282$ -       -       -       9.39 $23.53$ $284$ -       -       0.03       -       1.76       1.28         ?       0.01       -       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       - $266$ 0.40       -       -       -       -       -       - $268$ 74.04       -       1.25       4.14       -       -       - $268$ 74.04       -       1.25       4.14       -       -       - $268$ -       0.07       - | 282       -       -       -       0.09       -       - $282$ -       -       1.07       -       -       - $282$ -       -       -       9.39 $23.53$ - $284$ -       -       0.03       -       1.76       1.28       -         ?       0.01       -       -       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       -       - $266$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       -       -       - $?$ 0.999       -       -       -       -       -       -       - $268$ $74.04$ -       1.25 $4.14$ -       -       -       - $268$ 0.07 <td>282       -       -       -       0.09       -       -       -         <math>282</math>       -       -       1.07       -       -       -       -       -         <math>282</math>       -       -       -       9.39       <math>23.53</math>       -       -         <math>284</math>       -       -       0.03       -       1.76       <math>1.28</math>       -       -         <math>?</math>       0.01       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         <math>?</math>       0.01       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         <math>?</math>       0.01       -       -       0.11       -       -       -       -         <math>?66</math>       0.41       -       -       0.11       -       -       -       -         <math>?66</math>       0.13       <math>2.42</math>       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ?       0.99       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ?       0.40       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ?       0.40       -       &lt;</td> | 282       -       -       -       0.09       -       -       - $282$ -       -       1.07       -       -       -       -       - $282$ -       -       -       9.39 $23.53$ -       - $284$ -       -       0.03       -       1.76 $1.28$ -       - $?$ 0.01       -       -       -       -       -       -       - $?$ 0.01       -       -       -       -       -       -       - $?$ 0.01       -       -       0.11       -       -       -       - $?66$ 0.41       -       -       0.11       -       -       -       - $?66$ 0.13 $2.42$ -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ?       0.99       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ?       0.40       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         ?       0.40       -       < |

| U8                      | ?   | -    | -    | 0.08 | -    | - | -     | -    | _    | -    |
|-------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|---|-------|------|------|------|
| U9                      | ?   | -    | -    | 0.05 | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | -    |
| Ethyl octadecenoate     | 310 | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | -     | 0.50 | 0.11 | -    |
| Geranyl geranial        | 288 | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | 0.19  | 0.29 | -    | -    |
| Geranyl decanoate       | 308 | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | 0.31 |
| Octadekadienoic acid    | 280 | -    | -    | -    | 0.06 | - | 0.40  | -    | -    | -    |
| Octadecenoic acid       | 282 | -    | -    | 2.84 | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | -    |
| Octadec-9-enoic acid    | 282 | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | 1.73 |
| Octadec-11-enoic acid   | 282 | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | 21.41 | -    | -    | -    |
| Docosane                | 310 | -    | 0.13 | 0.24 | -    | - | 0.19  | 0.08 | 0.18 | -    |
| U10                     | ?   | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | 0.45  | -    | -    | -    |
| U11                     | ?   | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | 0.07  | -    | -    | -    |
| Unidentified terpene 1  | ?   | -    | -    | -    | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | 0.09 |
| U12                     | ?   | -    | 0.07 | -    | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | -    |
| Ethyl octadecatrienoate | 306 | -    | 3.92 | -    | -    | - | 0.23  | -    | 0.07 | -    |
| U13                     | ?   | -    | 0.93 | -    | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | -    |
| Ethyl octadec-9-enoate  | 310 | 0.00 | -    | -    | -    | - | -     | -    | -    | -    |

| Octadec-9-enyl acetate  | 310 | 1.16 | -     | -    | 88.19 | -     | 2.12  | -     | -     | -     |
|-------------------------|-----|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Octadec-11-enyl acetate | 310 | 0.16 | 5.39  | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Octadecadienyl acetate  | 308 | 0.02 | -     | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Octadecenyl acetate     | 310 | -    | -     | 0.47 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Icosenal                | 294 | -    | 0.12  | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Octadecadienyl acetate1 | 308 | -    | 0.29  | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Octadecadienyl acetate2 | 308 | -    | 0.17  | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Dodecyl octanoate       | 312 | -    | -     | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | 0.14  |
| Geranylgeranyl acetate  | 322 | -    | -     | -    | -     | 82.93 | 38.42 | 91.77 | 87.94 | 48.08 |
| Tricos-9-ene            | 322 | 0.53 | 17.77 | 0.13 | -     | 0.14  | 0.16  | -     | -     | -     |
| Tricos-7-ene            | 322 | 0.48 | 4.13  | 0.08 | -     | -     | 0.13  | -     | -     | -     |
| Tricos-5-ene            | 322 | 0.07 | -     | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Tricosane               | 324 | 2.91 | 8.72  | 3.46 | 2.63  | -     | 1.06  | -     | -     | -     |
| Unidentified terpene 2  | ?   | -    | -     | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | 0.00  |
| Geranyl dodecanoate     | 336 | -    | -     | -    | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | 0.99  |
| Tetracos-9-ene          | 336 | 0.00 | 2.91  | 0.02 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
| Tetracosene             | 336 | -    | -     | 0.07 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |

| Tetracosane             | 338 | 0.09 | _    | 0.11 | 0.21 | -    | 0.06 | -    | 0.07 | 0.11 |
|-------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Icosenyl acetate1       | 338 | 0.03 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Icosenyl acetate2       | 338 | 0.02 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Icosyl acetate          | 338 | -    | 0.88 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Dodecyl decanoate       | 340 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 1.22 |
| Pentacosadiene          | 348 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| Pentacos-9-ene          | 350 | 0.75 | 0.43 | 3.49 | 0.47 | -    | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.17 |
| Pentacos-7-ene          | 350 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.15 | -    | 0.94 | 0.50 |
| Pentacosane             | 352 | 0.13 | 2.61 | 2.09 | 1.78 | 0.65 | 1.48 | 1.71 | 2.45 | 2.10 |
| Geranylgeranyl butyrate | 360 | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.28 | 0.15 | -    | -    | 0.08 |
| Hexacosene1             | 364 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.10 | -    |
| Dodecyl dodecanoate     | 368 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 4.85 |
| Hexacosene2             | 364 | 1.71 | -    | 0.09 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Hexacosane              | 366 | -    | -    | 0.07 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.07 |
| Docosenyl acetate       | 366 | -    | -    | -    | 0.19 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Heptacosadiene1         | 376 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.14 | -    |
| Heptacosadiene2         | 376 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.09 | -    |
|                         |     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

| Heptacos-9-ene           | 378 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 1.61 | 0.32 | 0.12 | -    | -    | 0.12 | 0.19 |
|--------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Heptacos-7-ene           | 378 | 0.08 | 0.87 | -    | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 1.92 | 0.67 |
| Heptacosane              | 380 | 0.13 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 1.05 | 0.68 | 1.15 | 1.02 |
| Geranyl hexadec-7-enoate | 390 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.24 |
| Octacosene               | 392 | -    | -    | 0.05 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Octacosane               | 394 | -    | -    | 0.06 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Unidentified terpene3    | ?   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.34 |
| U14                      | ?   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.05 |
| Dodecyl tetradecanoate   | 396 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 1.19 |
| Squalene                 | 410 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.05 | -    |
| Nonacosadiene1           | 404 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.03 | -    |
| Nonacosadiene2           | 404 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.11 | -    |
| Nonacos-9-ene            | 406 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.94 | 0.12 | 0.07 | -    | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.21 |
| Nonacos-7-ene            | 406 | 0.03 | 0.18 | -    | -    | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.19 | -    | 0.44 |
| Nonacosane               | 408 | 0.06 | -    | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| Unidentified terpene 4   | ?   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.09 |
| Triacontene1             | 422 | -    | -    | 0.02 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |

| Triacontene2               | 422 | -    | -    | 0.04 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
|----------------------------|-----|------|------|------|---|---|------|---|---|------|
| Triacontane                | 424 | -    | -    | 0.06 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Dodecyl hexadec-9-enoate   | 422 | -    | -    | -    | - | - | -    | - | - | 8.04 |
| Dodecyl hexadecanoate      | 424 | -    | -    | -    | - | - | -    | - | - | 7.63 |
| Geranylgeranyl decanoate   | 444 | -    | -    | -    | - | - | 0.06 | - | - | -    |
| Hentriacont-9-ene          | 434 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.85 | - | - | 0.11 | - | - | 0.12 |
| Hentriacontane             | 436 | 0.05 | -    | 0.18 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Hexadecenyl tetradecenoate | 448 | -    | -    | 0.09 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Tetradecyl hexadecenoate   | 450 | -    | -    | 0.10 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Octadecenyl dodecenoate    | 448 | -    | 0.09 | -    | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Dotriacontene              | 448 | -    | -    | 0.12 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Dotriacontane              | 450 | -    | -    | 0.06 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| U15                        | ?   | -    | -    | 1.29 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| U16                        | 450 | -    | -    | 0.23 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Tritriacontene             | 462 | -    | -    | 0.07 | - | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Dodecyl octadecenoate1     | 450 | -    | -    | -    | - | - | -    | - | - | 0.31 |
| Dodecyl octadecenoate2     | 450 | -    | -    | -    | - | - | -    | - | - | 0.53 |
|                            |     |      |      |      |   |   |      |   |   |      |

| Hexadecyl tetradecanoate        | 452  | -    | -    | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | 0.21 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|---|------|---|---|------|
| Octadecenvl                     | 476/ |      |      |       |      |   |      |   |   |      |
| tetradecenoate/tetradecanoate   | 478  | -    | 0.12 | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Hexadecenyl hexadecenoate       | 476  | -    | -    | 16.19 | -    | - | 0.06 | - | - | -    |
| Hexadecyl hexadec-9-enoate      | 478  | -    | -    | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | 2.90 |
| Hexadecyl hexadecanoate         | 480  | -    | -    | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | 0.51 |
| Hexadecenyl octadecatrienoate   | 500  | -    | -    | 0.33  | -    | - | 0.11 | - | - | -    |
| Octadecadienyl<br>hexadecenoate | 502  | -    | 1.66 | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Hexadecenyl octadecenoate       | 504  | -    | -    | 1.10  | -    | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Octadecenyl hexadecenoate       | 504  | -    | 3.92 | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Hexadecyl octadecenoate         | 506  | -    | -    | 0.04  | -    | - | 0.06 | - | - | 0.09 |
| Octadecenyl hexadecanoate1      | 506  | 0.23 | -    | -     | 0.05 | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| Octadecenyl hexadecanoate2      | 506  | 0.13 | -    | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | -    |
| U17                             | ?    | 0.07 | -    | -     | -    | - | -    | - | - | -    |

| Geranylgeranyl hexadecanoate  | 528 | -    | - | -    | -    | - | 0.41 | - | - | - |
|-------------------------------|-----|------|---|------|------|---|------|---|---|---|
| U18                           | ?   | -    | - | -    | -    | - | 0.17 | - | - | - |
| Octadecadienyl octadecenoate  | 530 | -    | - | 2.02 | -    | - | -    | - | - | - |
| Octadecenyl octadecenoate     | 532 | -    | - | 3.37 | 0.57 | - | -    | - | - | - |
| Octadecenyl octadecanoate     | 534 | 2.18 | - | -    | 0.20 | - | -    | - | - | - |
| Octadecenyl octadecatrienoate | 528 | 1.04 | - | -    | -    | - | -    | - | - | - |

Table 2. Data on Collection of Biological Material. Collecting sites and number (N) of
samples collected for *Bombus atratus*, *B. excellens*, *B. pascuorum melleofacies*, *B. muscorum liepetterseni*, *B. hortulanus*, *B. melaleucus*, *B. rubicundus*, *B. cullumanus apollineus* and *B.*

*semenoviellus*. Coordinate are given with the reference to the WGS84.

| Таха                      | Collecting sites          | Coordinates     | Ν |  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Thoracobombus subgenus    |                           |                 |   |  |
| B. atratus                | Colombia, Pamplona        | 7°22'N 72°39'W  | 4 |  |
|                           | Colombia, Tenjo           | 4°52'N 74°09'W  | 8 |  |
| B. excellens              | Colombia, Cundinamarca    | 4°34'N 74°20W   | 1 |  |
|                           | Colombia, Pamplona        | 7°22'N 72°39'W  | 1 |  |
| B. pascuorum melleofacies | Italy, Torre d'Isola      | 45°13'N 9°02'E  | 4 |  |
|                           | Italy, Cellara            | 39°13'N 16°20'E | 3 |  |
| B. muscorum liepetterseni | Norway, Flatanger         | 64°28'N 10°43'E | 5 |  |
| Cullumanobombus subgenus  |                           |                 |   |  |
| B. hortulanus             | Colombia, Pamplona        | 7°21'N 72°41'W  | 2 |  |
|                           | Colombia, Cundinamarca    | 4°34'N 74°01'W  | 1 |  |
| B. melaleucus             | Colombia, Pamplona        | 7°32'N 72°37'W  | 2 |  |
| B. rubicundus             | Colombia, Pamplona        | 7°20'N 72°37'W  | 7 |  |
| B. cullumanus apollineus  | Turkey, Kars, Çıldır gölü | 40°55'N 43°16'E | 2 |  |
|                           | Turkey, Kars, Göldalı     | 40°58'N 43°18'E | 5 |  |
|                           | Turkey, Kars, Çanaksu     | 41°00'N 43°18'E | 2 |  |
| B. semenoviellus          | Czech Republic, Krkonoše  | 50°41'N 15°51'E | 3 |  |

315

316



Figure 1. A: *Bombus excellens* from Colombia (photo: W. Hoffmann). B: *Bombus atratus*from Argentina (photo: N. Chimento). C: *Bombus cullumanus apollineus* from Turkey
(photo: P. Rasmont). D: *Bombus rubicundus* from Colombia (photo: W. Hoffmann)



Figure 2. Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean cluster based on a correlation
matrix calculated from matrix of cephalic labial gland secretions of Bombus atratus, B.
excellens, B. pascuorum melleofacies, B. muscorum liepetterseni, B. hortulanus, B.
melaleucus, B. rubicundus, B. cullumanus apollineus and B. semenoviellus. Values above
branch represent multiscale bootstrap resampling (only values >80% are given)