

Risk and Outcome after Ablation of Isthmus-Dependent Atrial Flutter in Elderly Patients

Béatrice Brembilla-Perrot, Jean Sellal, Arnaud Olivier, Vladimir Manenti, Thibault Villemin, Daniel Beurrier, Christian de Chillou, Zohra Lamiral, Nicolas Girerd

▶ To cite this version:

Béatrice Brembilla-Perrot, Jean Sellal, Arnaud Olivier, Vladimir Manenti, Thibault Villemin, et al.. Risk and Outcome after Ablation of Isthmus-Dependent Atrial Flutter in Elderly Patients. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10 (5), pp.e0127672. 10.1371/journal.pone.0127672. hal-01731010

HAL Id: hal-01731010 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01731010

Submitted on 13 Mar 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.







Citation: Brembilla-Perrot B, Sellal JM, Olivier A, Manenti V, Villemin T, Beurrier D, et al. (2015) Risk and Outcome after Ablation of Isthmus-Dependent Atrial Flutter in Elderly Patients. PLoS ONE 10(5): e0127672. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127672

Academic Editor: Vincenzo Lionetti, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, ITALY

Received: January 5, 2015
Accepted: April 17, 2015
Published: May 22, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Brembilla-Perrot et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the Nancy Hospital Cardiology Department Institutional Data Access for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. Importantly, all requests will be validated by the Commission Nationale Informatique et Libertés

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Risk and Outcome after Ablation of Isthmus-Dependent Atrial Flutter in Elderly Patients

Béatrice Brembilla-Perrot¹*, Jean Marc Sellal¹, Arnaud Olivier¹, Vladimir Manenti¹, Thibault Villemin¹, Daniel Beurrier¹, Christian De Chillou¹, Zohra Lamiral², Nicolas Girerd²

- 1 Department of Cardiology, Nancy University Hospital, Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France, 2 INSERM, Centre d'Investigations Cliniques 1433, Université de Lorraine, Institut Lorrain du cœur et des vaisseaux, CHU de Nancy, Nancy, France
- * b.brembilla-perrot@chu-nancy.fr

Abstract

Purpose of the research

To study the influence of age on the clinical presentation and long-term outcome of patients referred for atrial flutter (AFL) ablation. Age-related differences have been reported regarding the prognosis of arrhythmias.

Methods

A total of 1187 patients with a mean age 65±12 years consecutively referred for AFL ablation were retrospectively analyzed in the study.

Results

445 (37.5%) patients were aged \geq 70 (range 70 to 93) among which 345 were aged 70 to 79 years (29.1%) and 100 were aged \geq 80 (8.4%). In multivariable analysis, AFL-related rhythmic cardiomyopathy and presentation with 1/1 AFL were less frequent (respectively adjusted OR = 0.44, 0.27–0.74, p = 0.002 and adjusted OR = 0.29, 0.16–0.52, p<0.0001). AFL ablation-related major complications were more frequent in patients \geq 70 although remained lower than 10% (7.4% in \geq 70 vs. 4.2% in <70, adjusted OR = 1.74, 1.04–2.89, p = 0.03). After 2.1±2.7 years, AFL recurrence was less frequent in patients \geq 70 (adjusted OR = 0.54, 0.37–0.80, p = 0.002) whereas atrial fibrillation (AF) occurrence was as frequent in the 70–79 and \geq 80 age subsets. As expected, cardiac mortality was higher in older patients. Patients aged \geq 80 also had a low probability of AFL recurrence (5.0%) and AF onset (19.0%).

Conclusions

Older patients represent 37.5% of patients referred for AFL ablation and displayed a <10% risk of ablation-related complications. Importantly, AFL recurrences were less frequent in patients \geq 70 while AF occurrence was as frequent as in patients <70. Similar observations were made in patients \geq 80 years. AFL ablation appears to be safe and efficient and should not be ruled out in elderly patients.



Introduction

Atrial flutter (AFL) is a frequent condition [1]. Because of its feasibility, effectiveness and low procedural risk, radiofrequency (RF) ablation can be performed as a first line treatment of AFL [2–6]. As a result, most patients presenting with AFL in clinical contexts other than acute treatable conditions are now often treated with RF cavotricuspid valve isthmus ablation.

Age-related differences have been reported for arrhythmias [7, 8]. Moreover, elderly patients more frequently present with atrial fibrillation (AF), thromboembolic events and ablation-related complications [9] and, therefore, often do not benefit from the curative RF ablation of AFL.

The purpose of this retrospective study was to investigate the influence of age on the clinical presentation and long-term outcome of patients referred for AFL ablation.

Methods

Population

From January 1999 to March 2014, 1276 patients were referred to our institution for RF ablation of a documented recurrent AFL or a poorly-tolerated first episode of AFL.

The main diagnostic criterion for AFL was visible and highly regular "F" waves at a rate \leq 350 bpm. Highly regular "F" waves were defined as those in which the cycle to cycle atrial variability was \leq 10 ms. "F" wave rates had to be greater than 190 bpm among patients receiving class I or class III antiarrhythmic agents and greater than 240 bpm otherwise. AFL was counterclockwise in 937 patients (79%) and clockwise in 250 patients (21%).

Eighty-nine patients were excluded from the present study because AFL was proven to be isthmus-independent during the electrophysiological procedure, among whom 3 patients had previously undergo left atrial ablation. Hence, a total of 1187 consecutive patients, 908 males and 279 females, with isthmus-dependent AFL were included in the study.

Protocol

Data collection. Prior medical history, prior thromboembolic events, diabetes, symptoms, previous medications including the prescription of antiarrhythmic drugs (AAD), history of AF and physical examination findings were obtained from the clinical records of each patient.

Hypertension was defined as self-reported history of hypertension associated with the use of antihypertensive medication or, in untreated patients, as a blood pressure at rest greater than 140/90 mmHg. Coronary artery disease was defined as a documented history of myocardial infarction and/or coronary revascularization, or the presence of one or more significant (>70%) obstructive lesion(s) on a prior coronary angiogram. Patients were considered to have valvular heart disease if they suffered from moderate to severe valvular regurgitation or mitral stenosis, regardless of severity.

This monocenter retrospective study on patients' files was approved by the Commission Nationale Informatique et Libertés (CNIL). Informed written consent was not required by regulation. Patients' data was anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis.

Electrophysiological procedures protocol. Ablation of AFL by RF was performed after signed informed consent by a conventional method using a multipolar catheter placed at the coronary sinus whose poles recorded the respective activity at the coronary sinus isthmus and lateral wall of the right atrium. Energy was delivered by an 8 mm tip RF catheter with a maximum power of 70 W and a maximum target temperature of 70 degrees Celsius. Four senior operators in association (or not) with fellow cardiologists performed the large majority of the



ablations. Details of the protocol have previously been described $[\underline{10}-\underline{12}]$. Ablation was performed after analysesia by infusion of 5 mg of Nalbuphine.

Among patients with permanent AFL, sinus rhythm was obtained by applying the RF current at the cavotricuspid right isthmus. Successful ablation in this isthmus was the main factor confirming the presence of a typical isthmus-dependent flutter. Recording of discrete split potentials along the length of the crista terminalis suggested the presence of conduction block. In patients in whom atrial flutter was not interrupted by RF current after 20 applications, entrainment mapping was used and concealed entrainment from the low right atrial isthmus was demonstrated in order to establish isthmus-dependent flutter. Obtaining an isthmus block was the next objective and was achieved and verified in patients in sinus rhythm. The ablation was considered to be successful when a bidirectional isthmus block could still be verified at least 20 minutes after the last RF application.

Ablation-related complications were recorded. Major complications were defined as life-threatening arrhythmia, with either poor hemodynamic tolerance or syncope, and requiring urgent treatment. These exceptional complications have recently been reported by our group [10].

Pharmacological management after the electrophysiological procedures. As a general rule, antiarrhythmic drugs were discontinued in patients without AF history and maintained in patients with a history of AF. Of note, in patients without AF history, beta-blockers were maintained when patients had indications other than AFL for beta-blocker medication (i.e. ischemic heart disease, dilated cardiomyopathy or hypertension). Anticoagulants were maintained in patients with a history of AF based on the following criteria: 1) concomitant heart disease before 2010, 2) CHADS2 scores \geq 1 between 2010 and 2012, and 3) CHA2DS2-VASc \geq 1 after 2012.

Follow-up. Patients were followed up for a mean of 2.1±2.7 years by the referring cardiologist. ECG and 24-hour Holter monitoring were systematically performed at one month after AFL ablation and once yearly thereafter. ECG and 24-hour Holter recordings were also performed if the patient reported palpitations or symptoms suspected to be the consequence of AF or AFL occurrence/recurrence.

Statistical methods

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD and were compared using t tests for independent samples or ANOVA as appropriate. Differences in proportion were compared using a Chi² test.

Logistic regression with age <70 years and age \ge 70 years were used as dependent variables. All variables associated with a p-value <0.20 on univariable logistic regression analysis were considered as candidate adjustment covariates in the multivariable models. A backward selection process which only retained gender and previous heart disease as adjustment covariates was then used.

A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS package for Windows (version 21, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Age distribution of the population

Of the 1187 patients studied, 445 (37.5%) patients were \geq 70 (range 70 to 93) among which 345 were aged 70 to 79 years (29.1%) and 100 aged \geq 80 (8.4%). The remaining 742 patients were under 70 years of age (62.5%).



Table 1. Clinical characteristics according to age subsets.

	Patients aged<70 (N = 742)	Patients aged ≥70 (N = 445)					
	(··· – · · · _)	70–93 (N = 445)	p value <70 vs >70	70–79 (N = 345)	80–93 (N = 100)	p value <70 vs 70–79 vs 80–93	
Age (years)	58±9	76.5±5		74±3	83±3		
Male gender	578 (77.9%)	330 (74.2%)	0.14	266 (77.1%)	64 (64.0%)	0.01	
Previous heart disease	549 (74.0%)	366 (82.2%)	0.001	284 (82.3%)	82 (82.0%)	0.05	
History of atrial fibrillation	241 (32.5%)	142 (31.9%)	0.84	104 (30.1%)	38 (38.0%)	0.32	
History of stroke	21 (2.8%)	18 (4.0%)	0.26	14 (4.1%)	4 (4.0%)	0.52	
Diabetes	87 (11.7%)	61 (13.7%)	0.32	48 (13.9%)	13 (13.0%)	0.59	
Antiarrhythmic drugs, betablockers	470 (63.3%)	306 (68.8%)	0.06	235 (68.1%)	71 (71.0%)	0.14	
Rhythmic cardiomyopathy	69 (9.3%)	21 (4.7%)	0.004	16 (4.6%)	5 (5.0%)	0.02	
1/1 atrial flutter	76 (10.2%)	14 (3.1%)	< 0.0001	11 (3.2%)	3 (3%)	<0.001	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127672.t001

Clinical data according to age subsets

Heart disease (HD) was more frequent in older patients (82.2% vs. 74.0%, p = 0.001, <u>Table 1</u>). Previous atrial fibrillation (AF) was as frequent in patients <70 and \geq 70 (32.5% vs. 31.9%, p = 0.84). Older patients tended to receive less antiarrhythmic drugs and/or beta-blockers than patients <70 (63.3% vs. 68.8%, p 0.06). AFL-related tachycardiomyopathy and 1/1 AFL were less frequent in patients \geq 70 than in patients <70 (4.7% vs. 9.3%, p<0.004 and 3.1% vs. 10.2%, p<0.001 respectively).

Procedural and post-procedural events according to age subsets

A higher proportion of patients \geq 70 had ablation failure, although the proportion difference across groups did not reach statistical significance (Table 2, p = 0.11). In contrast, AFL ablation-related complications were more frequent in patients \geq 70 than in patients <70 (p = 0.02). The proportion of minor and major complications was similar in patients 70 to 79 and in patients \geq 80 (7.5% and 7.0%, respectively). These complications were either major, defined as those that resulted in permanent injury or death, required an interventional remedy, or prolonged the duration of hospitalization (n = 16) or minor (n = 48). Major complications consisted in poorly tolerated bradycardia (transient complete atrioventricular block or sinus

Table 2. Procedural and post-procedural events according to age subsets.

	Patients aged<70 (N = 742)		Patients aged ≥70 (N = 445)					
		70–93 (N = 445)	p value <70 vs >70	70–79 (N = 345)	80–93 (N = 100)	p value<70 vs 70–79 vs 80–93		
Ablation failure	71 (9.6%)	56 (12.6%)	0.11	42 (12.2%)	14 (14.0%)	0.24		
Complications	31 (4.2%)	33 (7.4%)	0.02	26 (7.5%)*	7 (7%)	0.06		
Atrial flutter recurrence	110 (14.8%)	40 (9.0%)	0.003	35 (10.1%)*	5 (5.0%)*	0.005		
Atrial fibrillation after ablation	173 (23.3%)	100 (22.5%)	0.74	81 (23.5%)	19 (19.0%)	0.61		
Death	37 (5.0%)	41 (9.3%)	0.004	34 (9.9%)	7 (7.0%)	0.01		
Pacemaker	45 (6.1%)	67 (15.1%)	<0.001	50 (14.5%)	17 (17.0%)	<0.001		
His ablation	5 (0.7%)	12 (2.7%)	0.005	8 (2.3%)	4 (4.0%)	0.008		
Atrial fibrillation ablation	38 (5.1%)	0 (0.0%)	<0.001	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<0.001		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127672.t002



Table 3. Associations between age (>70 vs<70) in univariable and multivariable logistic regression with clinical presentation and outcomes.

	Univariable I	ogistic model		Multivariable logistic model*			
	OR for age>70 vs<70	CI	Р	OR for age>70 vs<70	CI	p	
Rythmic cardiomyopathy	0.48	0.29-0.80	0.005	0.44	0.27-0.74	0.002	
1/1 atrial flutter	0.29	0.16-0.51	<0.001	0.29	0.16-0.52	<0.001	
Complication	1.84	1.11-3.04	0.02	1.74	1.04-2.89	0.03	
Atrial flutter recurrence	0.57	0.39-0.83	0.004	0.54	0.37-0.80	0.002	
Atrial fibrillation after Atrial flutter ablation	0.95	0.72-1.26	0.74	0.92	0.70-1.23	0.58	
Pacemaker implantation	2.75	1.84-4.09	<0.001	2.56	1.71-3.82	<0.001	

^{*}Model adjusted on previous heart disease and gender.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127672.t003

bradycardia <40 bpm, n = 10, associated in 5 cases with cardiac shock and acute renal failure in 5 patients), tamponade (n = 1), bleeding leading to death (n = 1), various AE-related deaths (n = 2), ventricular tachycardia-related death (n = 1), and right coronary artery occlusion-related complete atrioventricular block (n = 1). These major complications occurred in 8 patients \geq 70 (2%) and 8 patients <70 (1.1%) (p = 0.3). Moderate and minor complications were transitory major sinus bradycardia or second- or third-degree atrioventricular block (n = 40), bleeding (n = 4), transient ischemic attack (n = 1), and various AE (n = 3). Minor complications occurred in 25 patients \geq 70 (5.6%) and 23 patients <70 (3%) (p = 0.03). AVB-related ablation requiring a pacemaker implantation was rare (1 patient <70 and 1 \geq 70).

AFL recurrences were less frequent in patients \geq 70 than in patients <70 (9.0% vs. 14.8%, p = 0.003) whereas the risk for AF did not significantly differ between the two age subsets (22.5% vs. 23.3%, p = 0.74).

As expected, mortality was significantly higher in older patients (p = 0.004). In addition, the management of arrhythmias recurrences also differed significantly according to age. Pacemaker implantation and His bundle ablation (n = 17) were performed in patients with rapid AF despite the use of bradycardic agents. This strategy was chosen in patients >75 or in younger patients with comorbidities which contra-indicated AF ablation. AF ablation was never performed in older patients.

Univariable and multivariable analysis of clinical presentations and clinical outcome (<u>Table 3</u>)

Associations between age \geq 70 years vs. <70 years in univariable and multivariable logistic regression adjusted for previous HD and gender yielded similar results. Rhythmic cardiomyopathy and 1/1 AFL were markedly less frequent in patients \geq 70 (OR = 0.44, 0.27–0.74, p = 0.002 and OR = 0.29, 0.16–0.52, p<0.001 respectively in the multivariable model, <u>Table 3</u>). Patients \geq 70 had a 1.7-fold higher risk of AFL ablation-related complications (<u>Table 3</u>) but had a markedly lower risk for AFL recurrence (OR = 0.54, 0.37–0.80, p = 0.002).

Discussion

The main findings of this study are that patients aged 70 or older 1) were less likely to have a severe form of AFL such as rhythmic cardiomyopathy or AFL with 1:1 ventricular conduction at the time of AFL ablation, 2) had a similar success probability of the ablation but a slightly less than 2-fold higher probability of procedural complication and 3) had a very good post-procedural success of AFL ablation, including a low AFL recurrence rate. Similar results were also observed when restricting the analysis to patients 80 or older.



AF is a common arrhythmia in elderly persons and a common cause of embolic stroke [7]. Advanced age is the main predictor of AF prevalence [7] and occurrence in a variety of clinical situations [13]. A similar association with age is observed with AFL, and AFL and AF are often associated [12, 14–23]. AFL ablation in patients with either isolated AFL or AFL associated with paroxysmal AF remains the preferred method of treatment [24]. Yet, while age is the main determinant of the risk for AFL, AFL ablation is often primarily performed in younger patients.

In our cohort, the risk of AFL-related complications was higher in older patients. Indeed, we previously reported a higher incidence of such complications during AFL ablation in older patients, many of which were related to the drugs used to slow the rate of atrial flutter [10]. The abrupt changes in rates from a rapid rate in atrial flutter to a sinus bradycardia could moreover be associated with cardiogenic shock or acute renal failure. These data differ from those of Bohnen and al. [9] who reported that aside from ablation type, renal insufficiency was the only independent predictor of a major complication, whereas age, gender, body mass index, international normalized ratio level, hypertension, coronary artery disease, diabetes, and prior cerebrovascular accident were not associated with increased risk. In the present study, renal function was not known for all patients; we report a less than 4% absolute increase in the risk for complications in patients 70 or older, which is seemly quite moderate.

More importantly, while we did observe a moderately higher complication probability in elderly patients, we also identified a greater benefit of ablation in these patients. Indeed, older patients experienced a similar AF probability and lower AFL recurrence probability after AFL ablation, with a 5% absolute risk reduction for AFL recurrence in patients 70 or older, and a 10% absolute risk reduction in patients 80 or older. Given this higher treatment effect observed in elderly patients, the benefit to risk ratio of AFL ablation would thus appear positive despite a higher complication probability in this population.

Pacemaker implantation and His bundle ablation were also found to more frequently used in the elderly patients. In addition, AF ablation was not performed in this population of patients. However, given that several studies have recently suggested that outcomes of catheter ablation for AF in the elderly can be just as successful as in younger patients [25], this procedure could henceforth be performed more frequently in this population in the near future, as witnessed by the increasing number of reports addressing AF and AFL ablations [26–28].

Clinical perspectives

Age is the main predictor of the incidence of AFL and AF as well as a key contributor to the risk of stroke in these patients [29]. In the CHA2DS-VAsc scoring system, age >75 is assigned a rating of 2 points, of equal importance to that of stroke. However, it is very probable that elderly patients are undertreated for their AFL, as observed for several other pathologies in the cardiovascular field [30, 31]. It has already been reported than elderly patients are undertreated with oral anticoagulants despite their high thromboembolic risk [32]. As observed with AF radiofrequency [33], it is very likely that elderly patients are also undertreated with AFL radiofrequency, in spite of the fact that several studies have demonstrated that arrhythmia ablation, including AF radiofrequency, is safe even in elderly patients [33–35].

The safety of AFL radiofrequency, which is much simpler than AF radiofrequency, has been insufficiently studied. The present findings clearly demonstrate than elderly patients have a low complication risk, which should promote the referral of elderly patients with AFL to AFL radiofrequency. This referral should also be promoted in light of the excellent efficacy results of the procedure. Of key importance, the low risk of AFL recurrence and AF occurrence



identified herein in older patients after AFL ablation could translate in noteworthy lower stroke rates in this population at high risk for stroke.

Limitations

Given that patients with AFL in this study were referred for catheter ablation, our results cannot be extended to the entire population of patients with AFL. Mean follow-up was limited to 2.1 years and hence, longer-term occurrence of arrhythmia risk cannot be ruled out, particularly in younger patients as they have a lower risk of competing events. The low event rate for AF may reflect the short follow-up and methodology used to detect atrial arrhythmias after ablation for flutter. Systematic Holter monitoring was performed only once a year during follow-up. This annual Holter monitoring results in a fairly low probability of detecting asymptomatic episodes of paroxysmal AF.

Conclusion

In our hands, older patients exhibited a <10% risk of ablation-related complications, even in the subset of patients ≥ 80 years. Importantly, AFL recurrences were less frequent in patients ≥ 70 while AF occurrence was as frequent as that documented in patients under 70. Similar observations were made in patients ≥ 80 years. Hence, as elderly patients are the most at risk of stroke related to AFL and AF, AFL ablation appears to be a safe and efficient interventional procedure in elderly patients and should thus be encouraged in this particular age group.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: BBP NG. Performed the experiments: BBP JMS AO VM TV DB CDC. Analyzed the data: BBP ZL NG. Wrote the paper: BBP NG. Statistical analysis: ZL NG.

References

- Granada J, Uribe W, Chyou PH, Maassen K, Vierkant R, Smith PN, et al. Incidence and predictors of atrial flutter in the general population. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000 Dec; 36: 2242–6. PMID: <u>11127467</u>
- Wellens HJ. Contemporary management of atrial flutter. Circulation 2002 Aug 6; 106: 649–52. PMID: 12163422
- Cosio FG, Lopez-Gil M, Goicolea A, Arribas F, Barroso JL. Radiofrequency ablation of the inferior vena cava-tricuspid valve isthmus in common atrial flutter. Am J Cardiol 1993 Mar 15; 71: 705–9. PMID: 8447269
- Wijetunga M, Gonzaga A, Strickberger SA. Ablation of isthmus dependent atrial flutter: When to call for the next patient. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2004 Oct; 27: 1428–36 PMID: <u>15511254</u>
- Shah DC, Haïssaguerre M, Jaïs P, Takahashi A, Clémenty J. Atrial flutter: Contemporary electrophysiology and catheter ablation. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1999 Feb; 22: 344–59. PMID: 10087551
- 6. Da Costa A, Zarqane-Sliman N, Romeyer-Bouchard C, Gonthier R, Samuel B, Messier M, et al. Safety and efficacy of radiofrequency ablation of common atrial flutter in elderly patients: a single center prospective study. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2003 Aug; 26: 1729–34. PMID: 12877707
- Furberg CD, Psaty BM, Manolio JA, Gardin JM, Smith VE, Rautaharju PM, et al for the CHS collaborative research group. Prevalence of atrial fibrillation in elderly subjects (the Cardiovascular Hearth Study. Am J Cardiol 1994 Aug; 74: 236–41. PMID: 8037127
- Miyasaka Y, Barnes ME, Gersh BJ, Cha SS, Bailey KR, Abhayaratna WP, et al. Secular trends in incidence of atrial fibrillation in Olmsted County. Minnesota, 1980 to 2000, and implications on the projections for future prevalence. Circulation 2006 Jul 11; 114: 119–125. PMID: 16818816
- Bohnen M, Stevenson WG, Tedrow UB, Michaud GF, John RM, Epstein LM, et al. Incidence and predictors of major complications from contemporary catheter ablation to treat cardiac arrhythmias. Heart Rhythm 2011 Nov; 8: 1661–6. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2011.05.017 PMID: 21699857



- Brembilla-Perrot B, Filali ML, Zinzius PY, Sellal JM, Beurrier D, Schwartz J, et al. Is ablation of atrial flutter always safe? Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2012 Sep; 35: 1061–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8159.2012.03464.x PMID: 22816676
- Brembilla-Perrot B, Laporte F, Sellal JM, Schwartz J, Olivier A, Zinzius PY, et al. 1:1 atrial-flutter. Prevalence and clinical characteristics. Int J Cardiol 2013 Oct 9; 168: 3287–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.04.047 PMID: 23623345
- Brembilla-Perrot B, Girerd N, Sellal JM, Olivier A, Manenti V, Villemin T, et al. Risk of atrial fibrillation after atrial flutter ablation: impact of AF history, gender and antiarrhythmic drug medication. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2014 Aug; 25: 813–820. doi: 10.1111/jce.12413 PMID: 24654647
- Brembilla-Perrot B, Sellal JM, Olivier A, Manenti V, Beurrier D, de Chillou C, et al. Recurrences of symptoms after AV node re-entrant tachycardia ablation: A clinical arrhythmia risk score to assess putative underlying cause. Int J Cardiol 2015 Jan 20; 20; 179: 292–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.11.071 PMID: 25464467
- Schmieder S, Ndrepepa G, Dong J, Zrenner B, Schreieck J, Scheider MA, et al. Acute and long-term results of radiofrequency ablation of common atrial flutter and the influence of the right atrial isthmus ablation on the occurrence of atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2003 May; 24: 956–962. PMID: 12714027
- 15. Nabar A, Rodriguez LM, Timmermans C, van den Dool A, Smeets JL, Wellens HJ, et al. Effect of right atrial isthmus ablation on the occurrence of atrial fibrillation: observations in four patients groups having type I atrial flutter with and without associated atrial fibrillation. Circulation 1999 Mar 23; 99: 1441–5 PMID: 10086967
- Paydak H, Kall JG, Burke MC, Rubenstein D, Kopp DE, Verdino RJ, et al. Atrial fibrillation after radiofrequency ablation of type I atrial flutter. Time to onset, determinants, and clinical course. Circulation 1998 Jul 28; 98: 315–22 PMID: 9711936
- 17. Hsieh MH, Tai CT, Chiang CE, Tsai CF, Yu WC, Chen YJ, et al. Recurrent atrial flutter and atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation of the cavotricuspid isthmus: a very long-term follow-up of 333 patients. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2002 dec; 7: 225–31. PMID: 12510133
- Bertaglia E, Zoppo F, Bonso A, Proclemer A, Verlato R, Coro L, et al. Northeastern Italian Study on Atrial Flutter Ablation Investigators. Long term follow- up of radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrial flutter: clinical course and predictors of atrial fibrillation occurrence. Heart 2004 Jan; 90: 59–63. PMID: 14676244
- De Sisti A, Leclercq JF, Fiorello P, Palamara A, Attuel P. The effects of the ablation of atrial flutter in patients with and without a clinical history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. G Ital Cardiol 1998 Nov; 28: 1253–60. PMID: 9866803
- Bandini A, Golia P, Caroli E, Biancoli S, Galvani M. Atrial fibrillation after typical atrial flutter ablation: a long-term follow-up. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown) 2011 Feb; 12: 110–5. doi: 10.2459/JCM. 0b013e3283403301 PMID: 21045718
- Mittal S, Pokushalov E, Romanov A, Ferrara M, Arshad A, Musat D, et al. Long-term ECG monitoring using an implantable loop recorder for the detection of atrial fibrillation after cavotricuspid isthmus ablation in patients with atrial flutter. Heart Rhythm 2013 Nov; 10: 1280–6. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.04. 016 PMID: 23608592
- Bertaglia E, Bonso A, Zoppo F, Proclemer A, Verlato R, Coro L, et al; North-Eastern Italian Study on Atrial Flutter Ablation Investigators. Different clinical courses and predictors of atrial fibrillation occurrence after transisthmic ablation in patients with preablation lone atrial flutter, coexistent atrial fibrillation, and drug induced atrial flutter. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2004 Nov; 27: 1507–12. PMID: 15546305
- Reithmann C, Dorwarth U, Dugas M, Hahnefeld A, Ramamurthy S, Remp T, et al. Hoffmann E. Risk factors for recurrence of atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing hybrid therapy for antiarrhythmic druginduced atrial flutter. Eur Heart J 2003 Jul; 24: 1264–72. PMID: 12831821
- 24. Camm AJ, Kirchhof P, Lip GY, Schotten U, Savelieva I, Ernst S, et al. ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines. Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the Task Force for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European Heart Rhythm Association; European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. Europace 2010 Oct; 12(10): 1360–420. doi: 1093/europace/eug350 PMID: 20876603
- Kennedy R, Oral H. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in the elderly: does the benefit outweigh the risk? Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2013 Jun; 11: 697–704. doi: 10.1586/erc.13.2 PMID: 23750679
- Della Bella P, Riva S, Galimberti P. Should ablation of atrial flutter be discouraged in patients with documented atrial fibrillation? Cardiologia 1999 May; 44: 439–42. PMID: 12497948
- Wazni O, Marrouche NF, Martin DO, Gillinov AM, Saliba W, Saad E, et al. Randomized study comparing combined pulmonary vein-left atrial junction disconnection and cavotricuspid isthmus ablation



- versus pulmonary vein-left atrial junction disconnection alone in patients presenting with typical atrial flutter and atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2003 Nov 18; 108: 2479–83 PMID: 14610012
- Ellis K, Wazni O, Marrouche NF, Martin D, Gillinov M, McCarthy P et al. Incidence of atrial fibrillation
 post-cavotricuspid isthmus ablation in patients with typical atrial flutter: left-atrial size as an independent
 predictor of atrial fibrillation recurrence. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2007 Aug; 18: 799–802. PMID:
 17593230
- Naccarelli GV, Panaccio MP, Cummins G, Tu N. CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc risk factors to predict first cardiovascular hospitalization among atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter patients. Am J Cardiol 2012 May 15; 109: 1526–33. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2012.01.371 PMID: 22360819
- McLaughlin TJ, Soumerai SB, Willison DJ, Gurwitz JH, Borbas C, Guadagnoli E et al. Adherence to national guidelines for drug treatment of suspected acute myocardial infarction: evidence for undertreatment in women and the elderly. Arch Intern Med 1996 Apr 8; 156: 799–805. PMID: 8615714
- Fairhead JF, Rothwell PM. Underinvestigation and undertreatment of carotid disease in elderly patients with transient ischaemic attack and stroke: comparative population based study. BMJ 2006 Sep 9; 333: 525–7. PMID: 16849366
- Tulner LR, van Campen JP, Frankfort SV, Koks CH, Beijnen JH, Brandjes DP, et al. Changes in undertreatment after comprehensive geriatric assessment: an observational study. Drugs Aging 2010 Oct 1; 27: 831–43 doi: 10.2165/11539330-000000000-00000 PMID: 20883063
- Laish-Farkash A, Khalameizer V, Katz A. Atrial fibrillation in the elderly: to ablate or not to ablate. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2013 Jul; 24: 739–41 doi: 10.1111/jce.12164 PMID: 23631747
- 34. Liu Y, Huang H, Huang C, Zhang S, Ma C, Liu X et al; National Atrial Fibrillation Working Group of Chinese Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in Chinese elderly patients. National Atrial Fibrillation Working Group of Chinese Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Int J Cardiol 2011 Oct 20; 152: 266–7 doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2011.07.104 PMID: 21864920
- Zado ES, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Kutalek SP, Wilbur SL, Samuels FL et al. Efficacy and safety of catheter ablation in octogenarians. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000 Feb; 35: 458–62. PMID: 10676694