



HAL
open science

Analyzing hydrocarbons in sewer to help in PAH source apportionment in sewage sludges

Laurence Mansuy, Annette Regier, Pierre Faure

► To cite this version:

Laurence Mansuy, Annette Regier, Pierre Faure. Analyzing hydrocarbons in sewer to help in PAH source apportionment in sewage sludges. *Chemosphere*, 2009, 75 (8), pp.995-1002. 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.01.059 . hal-01760357

HAL Id: hal-01760357

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01760357>

Submitted on 6 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

**Analyzing hydrocarbons in sewer to help in PAH source
apportionment in sewage sludges**

Laurence Mansuy-Huault, Annette Regier, Pierre Faure
G2R, Nancy-Université, CNRS, Bd des Aiguillettes, B.P. 70239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-
Nancy

Corresponding author: Laurence Mansuy-Huault

Tel: +33-3-83-68-47-12; Fax: +33-3-83-68-47-01

E-mail address: Laurence.mansuy-huault@g2r.uhp-nancy.fr

25 **Analyzing hydrocarbons in sewer to help in PAH source apportionment in**
26 **sewage sludges**

27
28 Laurence Mansuy-Huault, Annette Regier, Pierre Faure

29 G2R, Nancy-Université, CNRS, Bd des Aiguillettes, B.P. 70239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-
30 Nancy

31

32 **Abstract**

33 A multi-molecular approach for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) source
34 apportionment in sewage sludge was tested. Three simple catchment areas with corresponding
35 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) were chosen. Sewage sludges of these WWTPs
36 chronically exceeded the French guide values of PAHs. Aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons
37 were quantified in sediments or wastewater suspended particulate matter sampled in different
38 locations of the sewer as well as in sewage sludges. Various molecular indices including PAH
39 ratios were calculated. The results showed that the ratios calculated from sewage sludge
40 analyses provided a rather unspecific hydrocarbon fingerprint where combustion input appear
41 as the main PAH sources. The complexity of the inputs as well as degradation occurring
42 during wastewater treatment prevent any detailed diagnosis. Coupled to the analyses of
43 samples collected in the sewer, the multi-molecular approach becomes more efficient
44 especially for the identification of specific petroleum inputs such as fuel or used lubricating
45 oils which can be important PAH sources. Indeed, the sampling in the sewer allows a spatial
46 screening of the hydrocarbon inputs and facilitates the PAH source apportionment by
47 avoiding the dilution of specific inputs with the whole wastewater inputs and by limiting the
48 degradation of the molecular fingerprint that could occur during transfer and treatment in the
49 WWTP. Then, the combination of PAH ratios and aliphatic distribution analyses is a very
50 valuable approach that can help in sewer and WWTP management.

51

52

53 **Keywords :** sewage sludges, sewer, PAHs, aliphatic hydrocarbons

54

55

56

57 1. Introduction

58 PAHs in sewage sludges have many sources related to the intense use of petroleum
59 products (automobile fuels, lubricating oils...) or to combustion processes (fuel, wood and
60 coal burning, automobile exhaust, heat and power generation ...). They end up in sewage
61 sludges (i) by runoff on urbanized surfaces and (ii) by direct introduction into the sewer by
62 industrial effluents, accidents or individual spillage. They are diluted with organic compounds
63 brought with wastewater, partially degraded in the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and
64 eventually accumulate in sewage sludges.

65

66 High PAH contamination can be recorded all along the year or chronically in sewage
67 sludges produced by the WWTPs of some cities or even small rural districts. The French
68 regulation allows sludge amendments on agricultural soils when fluoranthene,
69 benzo[b]fluoranthene and benzo[a]pyrene are respectively lower than $5 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dry weight
70 (dw), $2.5 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw and $2 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw. The other ways of elimination of sludges are much more
71 expensive and this cost is sometimes unbearable for small villages. Thus, the knowledge of
72 the main PAH sources is crucial information to help improving the management of effluents
73 that enter the sewer network, to better define the type of wastewater treatment, or to better
74 inform citizens about what should not be dumped into the sewer.

75 There are several ways to identify PAH sources. The most common is to study PAH
76 distributions and to use specific PAH ratios to differentiate pyrogenic sources from petrogenic
77 sources. This has been mainly applied to river or marine sediments (Colombo et al., 1989;
78 Budzinski et al., 1997; Yunker et al., 2002), atmospheric particles (Aboul-Kassim and
79 Simoneit, 1995; Motelay-Massei et al., 2007), soils (Wilcke, 2000; Bucheli et al., 2004), in
80 sewers, sewage sludges or in compost (Blanchard et al., 2001; Rocher et al., 2004a,b; Brandli
81 et al., 2007; Dai et al., 2007). Recently, Zhang et al. (2005) and Katsoyiannis et al. (2007)

82 questioned the pertinence of PAH ratios for source apportionment especially in sewage sludge
83 arguing the dilution and the degradation of paired chemicals are not similar during transfer
84 from sources to receptors. Some authors consider PAH profiles and ratios as insufficiently
85 specific to identify petroleum pollution and suggest to support PAH diagnosis with the
86 analyses of other hydrocarbon fingerprints such as alkanes and hopanes in order to narrow
87 down the sources (Fernandes and Brooks, 2003; Yunker and Macdonald, 2003; Jardé et al.,
88 2005; Jeanneau et al., 2008).

89 This study discusses the approach of molecular indices calculated from hydrocarbon
90 analysis to help in identifying prevailing sources of PAH in sewage sludges. Three WWTPs
91 in small rural districts have been selected for their chronic or permanent PAH contamination,
92 for the simple network of their sewer and the low complexity of the inputs in their sewer.
93 Samples were collected in the sewer and in the WWTP and their hydrocarbon contents
94 analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Parent and alkylated PAHs and alkanes
95 were quantified. The study also checks the preservation of specific hydrocarbon fingerprints
96 from the sewer to sewage sludge despite biological degradation in the sewer and the WWTP.

97

98 **2. Materials and methods**

99 *2.1. Sites*

100 Three sites A, B and C in rural districts of Lorraine, North East of France have been
101 selected for this study based on several criteria (Table 1 and details in Figure 1 of
102 supplementary information). First, all the sludges produced by the WWTPs presented a
103 recurring PAH contamination of their sludges based on French regulation. Second, small rural
104 districts were preferred to important urban cities because of the limited number of suspected
105 PAH sources (low traffic, low industrialization). Finally, small and simple sewer networks
106 were chosen in order to facilitate the sampling and to limit the sample number.

107 Site A gathers three villages with 1000 inhabitants in a rural environment. The district is
108 not industrialized except for a sawmill which is not connected to the sewer. Its WWTP is
109 made up of a primary treatment (sand, grit and grease removal), an aeration basin, a clarifier
110 and the sludges are finally stored in a silo which is emptied every year. Despite this rural
111 context, the sewage sludges have recorded PAH contamination for four successive years. In
112 December 2003, two samples of sewage sludge were collected in the silo A0-Slu1 and in the
113 aeration basin A0-Slu2. Six samples were taken in the sewer at five different locations (A1 to
114 A5) allowing to differentiate the effluents from the three villages. According to the
115 accessibility of the sewer and water flow (null in some parts), approximately 3 L of
116 wastewater (abbreviated "ww") or sediments accumulated in the sewer (abbreviated "sed")
117 were sampled.

118 Site B is a small village of 400 inhabitants in the northern part of Lorraine which is highly
119 industrialized (coking plants and steel industries). The WWTP has a very simple treatment
120 system made up of a sedimentation tank with a sand bed filter. In March 2004, three samples
121 (B1-sed to B3-sed) were collected in the sewer delimitating three areas of the village. At the
122 time of the sampling, a fuel storage tank was leaking in the village and a smell of fuel could
123 be clearly noticed when sampling the sewer at location B1. The wastewaters were sampled at
124 the entrance of the WWTP (B0-ww). Finally, four samples of sludge were collected in
125 different parts of the sedimentation tank to check the homogeneity of the sediments in the
126 tank (B0-slu1 to B0-slu4).

127 Site C consists of three villages 20 km far from a big city and gathers 2 500 inhabitants.
128 The WWTP treats wastewaters from a large watershed with diversified activities: agriculture,
129 forestry, industries including trucking companies, printing works and other unidentified
130 activities. The WWTP is quite similar to that of site A with a screening process, an aeration
131 basin and a clarifier. In July 2004, five samples (C1 to C5) were taken in different locations of

132 the sewer in order to differentiate the effluents generated in the industrial park (C1), and in
133 residential areas (C2 to C5). Two wastewater samples (3 L) were collected at the entrance of
134 the WWTP serving two villages (residential areas) plus an industrial zone (C1-ww1) as well
135 as the third village with agricultural activity (C0-ww2). Finally, two sludges were sampled
136 (C0-slu1 and C0-slu2).

137 Water and sludge samples were collected with aluminum pans and stored in glass
138 containers. Utensils and containers were carefully washed with solvents before use to avoid
139 contamination.

140

141 *2.2. Sample preparation and extraction*

142 The sediments and sludges were preserved in a freezer before their extraction within a few
143 weeks after their collection. Wastewater samples (approximately 3 L) were filtered on glass
144 fiber filters (0.45 μm) using a pump in order to collect suspended matters. Suspended matters
145 on filters, sediments and sewage sludges were freeze-dried. Freeze-dried sediments and
146 sewage sludges were crushed and sieved (250 μm).

147 The protocol used was a modification of Li et al. (2002). Five grams of each freeze-dried
148 sample were extracted with an accelerated solvent extractor (ASE; ASE200 Dionex[®]) using
149 dichloromethane. Prior to extraction, the samples were spiked with a recovery surrogate
150 containing 2-fluorobiphenyle, d14-p-terphenylene and d14-dibenzo[ah]anthracene in order to
151 monitor the quality of the quantification method. The conditions of extraction by ASE were:
152 11-mL cells, 80 °C, 100 bar, 5-min heat-up time, two cycles of 5-min static time, 50 % flush,
153 200 seconds purge with nitrogen. The extracts obtained were concentrated to 1 ml under N₂
154 using a TurboVap[®] Evaporation System.

155 The organic extract was fractionated into aromatic, aliphatic and polar compounds by
156 liquid chromatography on alumina and silica previously washed with 200 mL of each solvent
157 (cyclohexane and dichloromethane) and activated at 150 °C for 4 h.

158 The concentrated extracts were transferred to a 5 g-alumina column (6 mm i.d. × 20 cm in
159 length) and eluted with dichloromethane to obtain a hydrocarbon fraction (aromatic +
160 aliphatic). Dichloromethane was then exchanged with cyclohexane without evaporation to
161 dryness. The concentrated hydrocarbon fraction in 1 mL of cyclohexane was then transferred
162 to a 5 g-silica gel column (6 mm i.d. × 200 mm in length) and eluted with 12 mL of hexane to
163 recover the aliphatic fraction. The silica gel column was then eluted with a
164 hexane/dichloromethane mixture (65:35, v:v). The two hydrocarbon fractions were
165 concentrated to 1 mL under N₂.

166

167 *2.3. Analysis and quantification*

168 The GC-MS analyses of the aliphatic and aromatic fractions were performed in an Agilent
169 Technologies 6890 GC coupled to an Agilent Technologies 5973 mass spectrometer, using an
170 injector in splitless mode, a 60 m DB-5 J&W, 0.25 mm id; 0.1 µm film fused silica column.
171 The temperature program was 70 -130°C at 15°C.min⁻¹, then 130 - 315°C at 3°C.min⁻¹,
172 followed by an isothermal stage at 315°C during 15 min (constant helium flow of 1mL.min⁻¹).
173 Injection volume was 1 µl.

174 Quantifications of parent PAHs (26) and alkylated PAHs were performed using single
175 ion monitoring mode and were based on the application of perdeuterated compounds as
176 internal standards (acenaphthene-d₁₀, chrysene-d₁₂, naphthalene-d₈, perylene-d₁₂ and
177 phenanthrene-d₁₀) (Jeanneau et al., 2008). Peak areas were converted into concentration using
178 response factors determined from multiple injections of a certified PAH calibration mixture at

179 various concentrations (0.5 to 8 ppm). Alkylated PAH compounds were quantified using the
180 response factor of the parent PAHs.

181 Cholestane-d₆ and *n*-tetracosane-d₅₀ were added to the aliphatic fraction as internal
182 standards in order to quantify the *n*-alkanes, the Unresolved Complex Mixture (UCM) and the
183 hopanes. Quantitative data were calculated by comparison of the peak areas in the extracted
184 ion current chromatogram (*m/z* = 57 for *n*-alkanes, *m/z* = 191 for hopanes ; *m/z* = 183 for
185 isoprenoids ; *m/z* = 95 for UCM)) with the peak areas of the internal standards.

186

187 2.4. Organic geochemical ratios

188 Molecular ratios were calculated from the different hydrocarbon concentrations. Their
189 significance is presented as supplementary information (Table 1). Moreover, the Carbon
190 Preference Index (CPI) that measures the ratio of odd to even carbon numbered *n*-alkanes was
191 calculated as :

$$192 \quad CPI = \frac{1}{2} \times \left(\frac{C_{25} + C_{27} + C_{29} + C_{31} + C_{33}}{C_{24} + C_{26} + C_{28} + C_{30} + C_{32}} + \frac{C_{25} + C_{27} + C_{29} + C_{31} + C_{33}}{C_{26} + C_{28} + C_{30} + C_{32} + C_{34}} \right)$$

193 The low/high molecular weight *n*-alkanes (LMW/HMW_{Ali}) was quantified by the ratio
194 C₁₂-C₂₀ / C₂₁-C₄₀ *n*-alkanes (LMW/HMW_{ali}) (Colombo et al., 1989).

195

196 3. Results

197 3.1. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

198 The sum of 16 EPA priority PAHs ranged between 17 and 73 µg.g⁻¹dw in the sewage
199 sludges (Table 2) and no sludge was found to comply with the French regulation (for detailed
200 analyses of 16 PAH, see Table 2 of supplementary information). The distributions of the 16
201 PAHs (Figure 1 and Table 2) were quite similar from one sludge to another: dominated by
202 Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene (B(b+j)F), enriched in HMW PAHs (LMW/HMW_{PAH} ranging
203 between 0.1 and 0.24) and depleted in alkylated PAHs (Alk/Par ranging between 0 and 1.29).

204 Sediments collected in the sewers showed PAH concentrations ranging between 5 and 118
205 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\text{dw}$ and generally higher than in the sludges. They were generally enriched in LMW and
206 alkylated PAHs compared to sludges (Figure 1 and Table 2). Suspended matters in
207 wastewater usually showed lower PAH concentrations (0.8 to 45 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\text{dw}$) with higher
208 LMW/HMW_{PAH} ratio (0.18 to 3.41) and higher Alk/Par ratio (0.16 to 8.57) than sediments
209 settled in the sewer (respectively 0.08 to 0.78 and 0 to 2.36). B0-ww, C1-ww and C2-ww
210 showed the highest values of the LMW/HMW_{PAH} ratio (above 1.5). B1-sed, B0-ww, C5-ww
211 and C5-sed were characterized by the predominance of alkylated PAHs (Alkyl/Par ratio above
212 1). The presence of LMW PAHs (2-3 rings) and the abundance of alkylated derivatives can be
213 characteristic of petroleum sources (Masclat et al., 1987; Yan et al., 2005).

214 The cross-correlation of several PAH ratios (Table 2 and figure 2) allowed inferring the
215 sources of PAH in the sewers and the sludges according to the work of Yunker et al. (2002).
216 Most of the PAH ratios proposed by Yunker et al. (2002) were tested and provided results
217 relatively consistent with the results proposed by the cross-plot Fl/(Fl + P) vs. C0/(C0+C1) P-
218 A (Figure 2). The PAHs of most of the samples originated from combustion. Only four
219 samples, C5-ww, C5-Gr, B0-ww and C5-sed had a C0/(C0+C1) Phen-Ant ratio below 0.5
220 indicating a petroleum source of PAHs. Four samples, C5-ww, C5-Gr, C0-Slu1 and C0-Slu2
221 had a Fl/(Fl + Py) ratio below 0.4 also implying a petroleum source.

222 From the detailed examination of PAHs for each sampling site, it arises that PAHs
223 measured in the samples of site A were only from pyrogenic sources.

224 The site B showed the highest PAH concentrations. Suspended matter at the entrance of
225 the WWTP (B0-ww) clearly contained petrogenic PAHs according to LMW/HMW_{PAH},
226 Alk/Par and C0/(C0+C1)P-A. Fl/(Fl+P) did not provide such a clear conclusion and rather
227 indicated a petroleum combustion source. All the ratios calculated for sludges B0-Slu2 to B0-
228 Slu4 as well as for sediments B2-sed and B3-sed showed a clear combustion source. B1-sed

229 had an intermediate position and most of the ratios (figure 2 and Table 3). They were between
230 those obtained for B0-ww (representing a petroleum influence) and those calculated for B0-
231 Slu2 to Slu4 (representing an unequivocal combustion input). This petroleum input is
232 consistent with the observation made on the site B1 where a smell of fuel was noticed at the
233 time of the sampling. B0-slu1, the closest to the entrance was slightly influenced as indicated
234 by the Alkyl/Par, LMW/HMW_{PAH} ratio slightly higher than in B0-Slu2 to Slu4 and
235 C0/(C0+C1)P-A slightly lower.

236 At the site C, the PAH distribution in the sludges was dominated by several compounds
237 identified as diisopropylnaphthalenes which were not quantified. These compound mixtures
238 are used as solvents in the manufacturing of printing materials or in agriculture as plant
239 growth regulators (Brzozowski et al., 2002). Their abundance in the sewer at C1 and in the
240 sludges is probably related to the important printing activities developed in the industrial park.
241 Besides these compounds, the PAH distribution and the different aromatic ratios clearly
242 identified a petroleum contribution in the sample C5 (corresponding to the village with
243 agricultural activities). In the other parts of the sewer (C1 and C2), low molecular weight
244 PAHs (Figure 1) were significantly abundant (LMW/HMW_{PAH} > 3) but the other aromatic
245 ratios did not allow to conclude to a petrogenic contribution (Table 3). This specific PAH
246 distribution is probably related to the industrial activity developed at the site C. Fl/(Fl + Py)
247 and BaA/ (BaA+Chr) were the only ratios to suggest a petrogenic input in sludges with values
248 in the same range as in the samples C5.

249

250 3.2. Aliphatic hydrocarbons

251 The detailed analysis of aliphatic hydrocarbons and the calculation of simple ratios
252 provided complementary information on PAHs and other hydrocarbon contributions (Table 2
253 and figure 3). In all samples, *n*-alkane concentrations were higher than PAH concentrations (1

254 to 10 times higher). *n*-Alkane concentration was the highest at the site B with an average
255 value of 684 $\mu\text{g.g}^{-1}\text{dw}$, in lower concentration at site C (245 $\mu\text{g.g}^{-1}$) and the lowest at site A
256 (162 $\mu\text{g.g}^{-1}$). The *n*-alkane concentration generally decreased from the sewer to the sewage
257 sludge. Hopanes were present in all the samples and their distributions typical from mature
258 fossil fuels were rather identical from one sample to another. Their presence attests to the
259 general contamination by petroleum products such as road asphalt imported into the sewer
260 through run-off that is also observed in aquatic sediments (Faure et al., 2000).

261 The three sites offered contrasted alkane distributions. At the site A (figure 3, table 2), the
262 *n*-alkane distributions were quite similar from one sample to another with the predominance
263 of HMW *n*-alkanes (LMW/HMW_{ali} ratios between 0.04 and 0.4) and high CPI ratios (1.6 to
264 3.9). This is typical of the contribution of terrestrial plants in the sewer which is dominant for
265 samples A4, A5 and the two sludges (Wakeham, 1996). The LMW/HMW_{ali} ratio remained
266 low in all samples.

267 At the site B (Figure 3, table 2), the predominance of LMW *n*-alkanes and the presence of
268 the two isoprenoids, pristane and phytane in the sample B1 were assigned to an important
269 input of fuel. This petroleum input was already evidenced with the PAH ratios and is
270 confirmed and better identified thanks to its specific *n*-alkane distribution. The CPI of *n*-
271 alkanes in the sewer was close to 1.5 and confirmed the predominance of petroleum input
272 over terrestrial plant input. Finally, the low abundance of hopanes was another element to
273 conclude to a fuel input. A very specific distribution was observed in the sample B0-ww at
274 the entrance of the WWTP. It was dominated by pristane and phytane and no LMW *n*-alkane
275 was identified. This distribution is typical of weathered oils (Palmer, 1993) and could be the
276 result of the biodegradation of a fuel. This suggests the presence of an old contamination that
277 entered the sewer near the entrance of the WWTP. We have no clear evidence of the
278 relationship between the fuel identified at B1 and this weathered fuel. These very specific

279 fingerprints in the sewer were also evidenced in the sewage sludges although they were less
280 pronounced. The fuel contribution was important in the sludge B0-Slu1 close to the entrance
281 of the WWTP but decreased in the sludges sampled further from the entrance (B0-Slu2 to
282 Slu4). The weathered fuel also influenced the composition of the sludge B0-Slu1 by
283 decreasing its ratios C_{17} /pristane or C_{18} /phytane compared to B0-Slu2 to B0-Slu4 (only the
284 chromatogram of B0-Slu3 is shown).

285 The site C (Figure 3, table 2) offered a wide variety of *n*-alkane distributions revealing
286 complex inputs of hydrocarbons. Samples C1 located in the industrial park showed an
287 uncommon *n*-alkane distribution dominated by the even-numbered high molecular weight *n*-
288 alkanes in the range *n*-C20-*n*-C40. This specific distribution, although diluted by the other
289 inputs was also observed in the sludges and it lowered significantly their CPI which were the
290 lowest of any sludge (1.2-1.3). We could relate this contamination to the presence of
291 diisopropylnaphthalenes in the aromatic fraction although we have no further evidence. It was
292 observed in the suspended matters in the sewer but largely attenuated C1-sed. The abundance
293 of low molecular weight *n*-alkanes in samples C5 allowed identifying a contribution of
294 refined petroleum products similar to the one observed at site B and assigned to an input of
295 fuel. This petroleum contribution was very important in the samples C5-ww and C5-Gr but
296 diluted by a terrestrial plant input in the sample C5-sed as indicated by the high CPI and a
297 quite low LMW/HMW_{ali} ratio. The sample C5-ww was also characterized by the abundance
298 of the hopanes (236 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\text{dw}$). The sample C2-ww was characterized by a low CPI (1.27), the
299 predominance of HMW *n*-alkanes (LMW/HMW_{ali} = 0.0) and the abundance of the hopanes
300 (106 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\text{dw}$). This distribution suggests a contribution of heavy petroleum products. The
301 samples C3-ww and C4-ww showed *n*-alkanes distributions dominated by terrestrial plant
302 inputs (chromatograms not shown).

303

304 *3.3. Unresolved Complex Mixture (UCM)*

305 The UCM concentrations varied from one sample to another. They were generally high
306 (average of 6400 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw with a standard deviation of 7925 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ dw) but consistent with
307 results previously published by other authors (Moreda et al., 1998; Rocher et al., 2004a).
308 They were attributed to the presence of weathered crude oils and some refined products such
309 as lubricating oils or biodegraded petroleum residues. They were positively correlated to the
310 hopane concentration with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.89. Three samples showed
311 high UCM concentrations, C2-ww, C5-ww and C5-gr. The important HMW UCM of C5-ww
312 and C5-gr is associated with a specific distribution of *n*-alkanes and the abundance of hopanes
313 which strongly suggests an important contamination by lubricating oil. These features are less
314 pronounced in sample C2-ww but could indicate a small contamination by these petroleum
315 products.

316 Another important point to consider is the shape of the UCM which is very characteristic
317 of the inputs (Supplementary information, Figure 2)(Kaplan et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2004).
318 The absence of specific input or the dominance of terrestrial plant input is characterized by a
319 flat UCM shape such as in sample A3-sed. The presence of fuel oil is marked by a LMW
320 UCM with a typical bell shape such as in sample B1-sed and B0-ww. The presence of HMW
321 hydrocarbons from lubricating oil results in a HMW UCM with a bell shape as observed in
322 C5 samples. Finally, most of the samples and more specifically A5-sed and C3-ww show an
323 UCM classically observed in urban dust and road asphalt (Kaplan et al., 2001; Fernandes and
324 Brooks, 2003).

325

326 **4. Discussion**

327 *Significance and pertinence of molecular indices for PAH source apportionment in sludges*

328 The complexity of the inputs in the sewers and the degradation of organic matter that takes
329 place in the sewer and the WWTP make PAH source apportionment difficult. Based on the
330 strict significance of PAH ratios (figure 2), most of the samples have an unambiguous
331 combustion fingerprint which is confirmed by the analysis of the aliphatic hydrocarbons. The
332 best example is probably the site A where all the PAH ratios indicate pyrogenic PAHs. The *n*-
333 alkane distributions as well as the UCM abundances and other ratios do not provide any
334 supplementary information on the different contributions except an important dilution by
335 terrestrial plants. Only two samples have an unambiguous petroleum fingerprint (C5-Gr and
336 C5-ww) according to PAH ratios. In this case, hydrocarbon analysis provides crucial
337 information on the nature of the petroleum contributions. High UCM and hopane
338 concentrations, low CPI (<1.5), high LMW/HMW (>0.3) allow inferring inputs of lubricating
339 oil (or heavy petroleum residues) and fuel. Used lubricating oil commonly contains PAHs and
340 unburned fuel (Kaplan et al., 2001).

341 In several samples (B0-ww, B1-sed and B0-slu1), an obvious fuel contribution is identified
342 based on the aliphatic distribution but it is not clearly evidenced by the strict interpretation of
343 PAH ratios. It suggests either that the petrogenic input is not as important as the combustion
344 one or that biodegradation has altered the significance of molecular ratios.

345

346 *Interest of sampling in the sewer -- persistence of molecular indices in the sludges*

347 The molecular indices calculated exclusively from sludge analyses are quite difficult to
348 interpret for two reasons: (i) they are the result of a mixture of multiple sources more or less
349 diluted with other organic matters and (ii) they have undergone a rather severe
350 biodegradation. Thus, molecular indices in sludges generally show the same molecular
351 fingerprint: most of the PAH ratios indicate mainly pyrogenic inputs and sometimes a mixed
352 petroleum and combustion contribution ; *n*-alkanes distributions are most of the time

353 unimodal, UCM have generally the same shape turned toward HMW. The indices of a
354 specific and unusual input are very weak and it appears difficult to interpret a slight deviation
355 from the average sludge composition in terms of specific inputs.

356 The analyses of samples from the sewer give a more comprehensive insight of the inputs
357 and allow strengthening PAH source apportionment. Moreover, it provides a spatial screening
358 of the different inputs and can then help in identifying accidental inputs, contaminated area or
359 polluting activities. Sampling in the sewer also allows to obtain less degraded organic matter
360 and thus limits the change in molecular indices from source to receptors. When it was
361 possible to sample wastewater and sediments in the sewer at the same site, the comparison of
362 the composition of sediments and suspended particulate matter in wastewater showed a
363 systematic enrichment in LMW hydrocarbons suggesting less degraded hydrocarbons in
364 suspended particulate matter. Several authors (Zhang et al., 2005; Brandli et al., 2007;
365 Katsoyiannis et al., 2007) pointed out the instability of PAH ratios in different processes such
366 as biodegradation or composting. Our data show a systematic decrease of LMW/HMW_{PAH}
367 and Alk/Par from the sewer to the sludge also observed by Blanchard et al. (2001). This
368 suggests that the petroleum contribution is minimized in sludge because of its dilution and
369 more probably because of its preferential degradation during the transfer and the degradation
370 processes in the WWTP.

371

372 **5. Conclusions**

373 The first conclusion of this study is that, although questionable, PAH ratios provide at least
374 some leads to distinguish combustion from petroleum PAHs. But, they do not allow going
375 further into the interpretation of the origin of the petroleum or combustion inputs. The
376 identification of the different inputs can only be performed thanks to the aliphatic
377 hydrocarbons that will help in narrowing down the origin of petroleum products. In this way,

378 LMW/HMW_{ali} ratio, CPI and the abundance and the shape of the UCM are good source
379 indicators. However, there is a lack of indices to perform the same distinction between
380 multiple combustion sources since carbonaceous residues are generally depleted in aliphatic
381 hydrocarbons or do not have an unequivocal aliphatic distribution. Although Fernandes and
382 Brooks (2003) showed that every black carbon has its own molecular fingerprint, this
383 distinction becomes very difficult in the context of complex inputs, mixing petroleum and
384 combustion sources such as in sewer or sludge.

385 The second conclusion is that the multi-molecular approach can only be very efficient
386 when calculated from suspended matter or sediments sampled in the sewer and sludges.
387 Indeed, sewer samples allow spatial screening of the different inputs. When carefully chosen,
388 the sampling sites in the sewer integrate a lower number of hydrocarbon sources and data are
389 then easier to interpret. Moreover, the hydrocarbon fingerprints are better preserved from
390 biodegradation, water-washing and volatilization than in the sludge since they are close to the
391 emission source.

392 This study shows the pertinence of a multi-molecular approach combining PAH and
393 aliphatic ratios to identify the different hydrocarbon contributions in a sewer that end up in
394 the WWTP and finally in sewage sludges. It is particularly useful to point out the accidental
395 inputs of petroleum derivatives such as fuel or lubricating oils that overlap the diffuse inputs
396 of combustion PAHs brought by the run-off of the surfaces drained by the sewer. It can then
397 provide useful tools to manage the inputs in a sewer, improve the efficiency of a WWTP and
398 then lower PAH concentrations in sludges. The application of this multi-molecular approach
399 to samples collected with auto-samplers or sediment traps placed into the sewer would
400 improve the methodology and provide not only qualitative information on PAH sources but
401 also a better quantification of these inputs.

402

403 **Acknowledgements**

404 The authors would like to thank the "Agence de l'Eau Rhin-Meuse" for its financial
405 support and Marc Allain and Denis Peureux from the "Chambre d'agriculture de Meurthe-et-
406 Moselle et de Meuse" for their precious help in the choice of pertinent sampling sites.

407

408

References

409

410

411 Aboul-Kassim, T.A.T., Simoneit, B.R.T., 1995. Aliphatic and Aromatic Hydrocarbons in
412 Particulate Fallout of Alexandria, Egypt: Sources and Implications. *Environ. Sci.*
413 *Technol.* 29, 2473 - 2483.

414 Blanchard, M., Teil, M.-J., Ollivon, D., Garban, B., Chestérikoff, C., Chevreuil, M., 2001.
415 Origin and distribution of polyaromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorobiphenyls in
416 urban effluents to wastewater treatment plants of the paris area (FRANCE). *Water*
417 *Res.* 35, 3679-3687.

418 Brandli, R.C., Bucheli, T.D., Kupper, T., Mayer, J., Stadelmann, F.X., Tarradellas, J., 2007.
419 Fate of PCBs, PAHs and their source characteristic ratios during composting and
420 digestion of source-separated organic waste in full-scale plants. *Environ. Pollut.* 148,
421 520-528.

422 Brzozowski, R., Skupinski, W., Jamroz, M.H., Skarzynski, M., Otwinowska, H., 2002.
423 Isolation and identification of diisopropyl-naphthalene isomers in the alkylation
424 products of naphthalene. *J. Chromatogr. A* 946, 221-227.

425 Bucheli, T.D., Blum, F., Desaulles, A., Gustafsson, O., 2004. Polycyclic aromatic
426 hydrocarbons, black carbon, and molecular markers in soils of Switzerland.
427 *Chemosphere* 56, 1061-1076.

428 Budzinski, H., Jones, I., Bellocq, J., Piérard, C., Garrigues, P., 1997. Evaluation of sediment
429 contamination by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Gironde estuary. *Mar. Chem.*
430 58, 85-97.

431 Colombo, J.C., Pelletier, E., Brochu, C., Khalil, M., Catoggio, J.A., 1989. Determination of
432 hydrocarbon sources using n-alkane and polyaromatic hydrocarbon distribution
433 indexes. Case study: Rio de la Plata Estuary, Argentina. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 23,
434 888 - 894.

435 Dai, J., Xu, M., Chen, J., Yang, X., Ke, Z., 2007. PCDD/F, PAH and heavy metals in the
436 sewage sludge from six wastewater treatment plants in Beijing, China. *Chemosphere*
437 66, 353-361.

438 Faure, P., Landais, P., Schlepp, L., Michels, R., 2000. Evidence for diffuse contamination of
439 river sediments by road asphalt particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 1174-1181.

440 Fernandes, M.B., Brooks, P., 2003. Characterization of carbonaceous combustion residues: II.
441 Nonpolar organic compounds. *Chemosphere* 53, 447-458.

442 Jardé, E., Mansuy, L., Faure, P., 2005. Organic markers in the lipidic fraction of sewage
443 sludges. *Water Res.* 39, 1215-1232.

444 Jeanneau, L., Faure, P., Montarges-Pelletier, E., 2008. Quantitative multimolecular marker
445 approach to investigate the spatial variability of the transfer of pollution from the
446 Fensch River to the Moselle River (France). *Sci. Total Environ.* 389, 503-513.

- 447 Kaplan, I.R., Lu, S.-T., Alimi, H.M., MacMurphey, J., 2001. Fingerprinting of High Boiling
448 Hydrocarbon Fuels, Asphalts and Lubricants. *Environmental Forensics* 2, 231-248.
- 449 Katsoyiannis, A., Terzi, E., Cai, Q.-Y., 2007. On the use of PAH molecular diagnostic ratios
450 in sewage sludge for the understanding of the PAH sources. Is this use appropriate?
451 *Chemosphere* 69, 1337-1339.
- 452 Li, Y., Michels, R., Mansuy, L., Elie, M., Faure, P., 2002. Comparison of pressurized liquid
453 extraction (PLE) with classical solvent extraction (SE) and microwave assisted
454 extraction (MAE)-Application to the investigation of the artificial maturation of
455 Mahakam coal. *Fuel* 81, 747-755.
- 456 Masclet, P., Bresson, M.A., Mouvier, G., 1987. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons emitted by
457 power stations, and influence of combustion conditions. *Fuel* 66, 556-562.
- 458 Moreda, J.M., Arranz, A., Fdez De Betono, S., Cid, A., Arranz, J.F., 1998. Chromatographic
459 determination of aliphatic hydrocarbons and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in a
460 sewage sludge. *Sci. Total Environ.* 220, 33-43.
- 461 Motelay-Massei, A., Ollivon, D., Garban, B., Tiphagne-Larcher, K., Zimmerlin, I., Chevreuil,
462 M., 2007. PAHs in the bulk atmospheric deposition of the Seine river basin: Source
463 identification and apportionment by ratios, multivariate statistical techniques and
464 scanning electron microscopy. *Chemosphere* 67, 312-321.
- 465 Palmer, S.E., 1993. Effect of biodegradation and water-washing on crude oil composition. In:
466 Engel, M.H., Macko, S.A. (Eds.). *Organic Geochemistry: Principles and Applications*.
467 Plenum Press, New York, pp. 511-533.
- 468 Rocher, V., Azimi, S., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., 2004a. Hydrocarbons and heavy metals in
469 the different sewer deposits in the 'Le Marais' catchment (Paris, France): stocks,
470 distributions and origins. *Sci. Total Environ.* 323, 107-122.
- 471 Rocher, V., Garnaud, S., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., 2004b. Hydrocarbon pollution fixed to
472 combined sewer sediment: a case study in Paris. *Chemosphere* 54, 795-804.
- 473 Wakeham, S.G., 1996. Aliphatic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Black Sea
474 sediments. *Mar. Chem.* 53, 187-205.
- 475 Wang, Y., Huang, Y., Huckins, J.N., Petty, J.D., 2004. Compound-Specific Carbon and
476 Hydrogen Isotope Analysis of Sub-Parts per Billion Level Waterborne Petroleum
477 Hydrocarbons. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 3689-3697.
- 478 Wilcke, W., 2000. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in soil - A review. *J. Plant Nutr.*
479 *Soil Sc.* 163, 229-248.
- 480 Yan, B., Abrajano, T.A., Bopp, R.F., Chaky, D.A., Benedict, L.A., Chillrud, S.N., 2005.
481 Molecular Tracers of Saturated and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Inputs into
482 Central Park Lake, New York City. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 7012-7019.
- 483 Yunker, M.B., Macdonald, R.W., 2003. Alkane and PAH depositional history, sources and
484 fluxes in sediments from the Fraser River Basin and Strait of Georgia, Canada. *Org.*
485 *Geochem.* 34, 1429-1454.
- 486 Yunker, M.B., Macdonald, R.W., Brewer, R., Mitchell, R.H., Goyette, D., Sylvestre, S., 2002.
487 PAHs in the Fraser River basin: a critical appraisal of PAH ratios as indicators of PAH
488 source and composition. *Org. Geochem.* 33, 489-515.
- 489 Zhang, X.L., Tao, S., Liu, W.X., Yang, Y., Zuo, Q., Liu, S.Z., 2005. Source Diagnostics of
490 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Based on Species Ratios: A Multimedia
491 Approach. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 9109-9114.
- 492

CAPTIONS

493
494
495 Table 1: Sample names and main characteristics of the three sampling sites. See Figure 1 of
496 supplementary information for locations of sampling points on a map.

497
498 Table 2: PAH and alkane concentrations and main molecular ratios calculated from
499 hydrocarbon concentrations. Max PAH = major PAH ; LMW/HMW_{PAH} is the ratio of the 2-3
500 rings PAHs to the 4-6 rings PAHs ; Alk/Par is the ratio of the sum of the alkyl-PAH (C1-C4
501 Na ; C1-C3P/A ; C1-C2F/P) to the sum of the parent PAHs with masses 128, 178, 202 ;
502 $C0/(C0+C1)$ P-A is the ratio of parent PAHs with mass 178 (P, A) to PAHs with mass 178
503 (A; P) plus their C1 alkyl homologues ; Max n-alkane = major aliphatic hydrocarbon ;
504 UCM/R is the ratio of the concentration of unresolved complex mixture (UCM) to resolved
505 alkanes ($R = n\text{-alkanes} + \text{hopanes} + \text{isoprenoids}$).

506
507 Figure 1: PAH distribution normalized to the sum of the 16 PAH. The left column gathers
508 samples of suspended particulate matter from the wastewaters collected in the sewer, the
509 central column gathers sediments settled in the sewer, and the right column gathers sewage
510 sludges. Na: Naphthalene; Acy: Acenaphthylene; Ace: Acenaphthene; F: Fluorene; P :
511 Phenanthrene; A : Anthracene; Fl : Fluoranthene; Py : Pyrene; BaA: Benzo(a)anthracene; Ch:
512 Chrysene; B(b+j)F: Benzo(b)fluoranthene; BkF: Benzo(k)fluoranthene; BaP: Benzo(a)pyrene;
513 DhA: Dibenzo(ah)anthracene; Bghi: Benzo(ghi)perylene; IP: Indeno(123-cd)pyrene

514
515 Figure 2: PAH cross plot for the ratio $Fl/(Fl+Py)$ vs $C/(C0+C1)$ P-A.

516
517 Figure 3: Alkane distributions ($m/z=57$) of selected samples at the 3 sites. Pr = Pristane ; Ph=
518 Phytane.












Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

Table 1

Abbreviation	Location	Main characteristics
A0-Slu1	Site A - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the WWTP Silo
A0-Slu2	Site A - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the WWTP aeration basin
A1-sed	Site A - Sewer	Sediments in the manhole at the confluence of villages 1 and 2
A2-sed	Site A - Sewer	Sediments in the manhole of the village 3 collecting wastewater and runoff
A3-ww	Site A - Sewer	Wastewater in the manhole of the newest residential part of village 1
A4-ww	Site A - Sewer	Wastewater in the manhole of the oldest residential part of village 1
A4-sed	Site A - Sewer	Sediments in the manhole of the oldest residential part of village 1
A5-sed	Site A - Sewer	Sediments in the manhole of the whole village 1
B0-ww	Site B - WWTP	Wastewater at the entrance of the WWTP
B0-Slu1	Site B - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the sedimentation tank
B0-Slu2	Site B - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the sedimentation tank
B0-Slu3	Site B - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the sedimentation tank
B0-Slu4	Site B - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the sedimentation tank
B1-sed	Site B - Sewer	Manhole of the village
B2-sed	Site B - Sewer	Manhole of the village
B3-sed	Site B - Sewer	Manhole of the village
C0-ww1	Site C - WWTP	Wastewater from villages 1 and 2 at the entrance of the WWTP
C0-ww2	Site C - WWTP	Wastewater from village 3 at the entrance of the WWTP
C0-slu1	Site C - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the aeration basin
C0-slu2	Site C - WWTP	Sewage sludge in the silo
C1-ww	Site C - Sewer	Wastewater in a manhole of the industrial park
C1-sed	Site C - Sewer	Sediments in a manhole of the industrial park
C2-ww	Site C - Sewer	Wastewater in a manhole of a residential area
C3-ww	Site C - Sewer	Wastewater in a manhole of villages 1 and 2
C4-ww	Site C - Sewer	Wastewater in a manhole of villages 1 and 2
C5-ww	Site C - Sewer	Wastewater in a manhole of village 3
C5-gr	Site C - Sewer	Grease in a manhole of village 3
C5-sed	Site C - Sewer	Sediments in a manhole of village 3

	A1-sed	A2-sed	A3-ww	A4-sed	A4-ww	A5-sed	A0- Slu1	A0- Slu2	B1-sed	B2-sed	B3- sed	B0-ww	B0- Slu1	B0- Slu2	B0- Slu3	B0- Slu4	C1-ww	C1- sed	C2-ww	C3-ww	C4-ww	C5-ww	C5-gr	C5- sed	C0- ww1	C0- ww2	C0- slu1	C0- slu2
Σ16 PAH (μg.g ⁻¹ dw)	31,4	65,4	0,8	71,0	7,3	21,6	25,3	22,6	60,2	49,6	118,2	14,1	59,6	70,4	72,6	43,7	0,8	82,1	1,4	2,8	11,3	45,0	4,9	5,2	2,6	1,7	16,8	18,7
Alkyl PAH (μg.g ⁻¹ dw)	4,6	12,1	0,1	1,6	0,5	<DL	<DL	<DL	64,3	4,9	12,6	99,4	26,5	12,0	13,6	7,9	0,1	12,9	0,7	0,7	1,7	74,8	3,2	6,5	0,4	0,4	2,1	2,2
Max PAH	Fl	Fl	Fl	B(b+h)F	Py	Fl	B(b+h)F	B(b+h)F	P	B(b+h)F	Fl	P	B(b+h)F	B(b+h)F	B(b+h)F	B(b+h)F	P	Fl	P	P	Fl	P	P	P	P	P	B(b+h)F	B(b+h)F
LMW/HMW _{PAH}	0,09	0,12	0,54	0,08	0,18	0,12	0,10	0,08	0,53	0,10	0,14	2,85	0,14	0,11	0,10	0,11	3,41	0,27	3,03	0,63	0,17	0,52	0,39	0,78	0,57	1,69	0,10	0,24
Alk/Par	0,39	0,37	0,29	0,16	0,09	0,00	0,00	0,00	2,09	0,30	0,24	8,57	1,29	0,51	0,58	0,54	0,17	0,32	0,93	0,36	0,33	3,63	1,44	2,36	0,36	0,40	0,57	0,45
CO/(CO+C1) P-A	0,79	0,79	1,00	0,82	0,88	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,55	1,00	0,82	0,38	0,53	0,75	0,70	0,70	-	0,82	0,75	0,82	0,77	0,27	0,27	0,43	0,83	0,80	0,88	0,90
Fl/(Fl+Py)	0,52	0,55	0,59	0,56	0,49	0,53	0,48	0,48	0,54	0,52	0,56	0,47	0,57	0,55	0,57	0,57	-	0,60	0,49	0,55	0,59	0,36	0,37	0,53	0,57	0,54	0,30	0,33
BaA/(BaA+Ch)	0,42	0,41	0,31	0,37	0,36	0,33	0,35	0,34	0,44	0,41	0,40	0,53	0,32	0,34	0,32	0,33	-	0,48	0,48	0,28	0,41	0,50	0,37	0,35	0,35	0,39	0,34	0,34
IP/(IP+Bghi)	0,51	0,53	0,49	0,54	0,48	0,50	0,51	0,52	0,53	0,49	0,49	0,33	0,52	0,67	0,50	0,52	-	0,56	0,54	0,56	0,53	0,29	0,31	0,48	0,57	0,46	0,55	0,54
Σn-alkanes (μg.g ⁻¹ dw)	239	82	44	31	24	507	158	207	908	409	1309	92	956	397	726	677	45	131	593	152	21	464	560	447	121	191	112	107
ΣHopanes (μg.g ⁻¹ dw)	18,4	11,1	<DL	25,6	<DL	14,4	29,0	29,6	7,5	17,9	44,7	5,2	38,9	28,9	38,6	47,9	<DL	31,2	106	11,8	7,5	236	41,8	20,1	6,7	5,5	33,2	31,1
UCM (μg.g ⁻¹ dw)	3101	914	530	252	446	4032	4963	5055	3859	2447	6451	6624	7435	3940	6147	7595	166	4558	11302	2946	809	40612	21568	5086	1518	1372	6558	6239
CPI	1,80	1,62	1,73	3,27	3,87	2,42	2,55	2,15	1,67	1,39	1,30	1,01	1,43	1,70	1,62	1,50	-	1,14	1,27	2,11	2,24	-	1,40	2,07	2,08	1,48	1,21	1,33
Max n-Alkane	C29	C29	C29	C29	C29	C29	C29	C29	C18	C29	C29	Pr	C29	C29	C29	C29	C26	C22	C31	C29	C29	C22	C20	C29	C29	C29	C29	C29
LMW/HMW _{ALU}	0,3	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,4	0,2	0,2	0,1	2,0	0,1	0,1	0,0	0,5	0,4	0,4	0,2	0,0	0,3	0,0	0,1	0,2	1,2	0,5	0,2	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,1
UCM/R	11,8	9,7	11,8	4,5	18,2	7,5	26,3	21,2	3,7	5,6	4,7	19,2	7,0	9,0	7,8	10,3	3,7	26,9	16,1	17,9	27,9	54,2	33,8	10,6	11,8	7,0	44,5	44,3
C ₁₇ /Pristane	4,3	4,4	2,9	-	20,8	2,0	8,9	8,6	0,9	2,2	3,0	0,0	0,8	2,9	2,4	2,4	-	1,5	2,4	-	3,5	1,8	2,0	1,9	3,5	-	1,1	1,3

Table 2

Ratio	Description	Petrogenic	Petroleum burning or mixed contribution	Coal, wood and grass combustion	References
$\text{LMW}/\text{HMW}_{\text{PAH}}$	Ratio of the 2-3 rings PAHs to the 4-6 rings PAHs	>1,5	<0.65		(Yan et al. 2005)
Alk/Par	Ratio of the sum of the alkyl-PAH ((C1-C4 Na; C1-C3P/A; C1-C2F/P) divided by the sum of the parent PAHs with masses 128, 178, 202	>2	<1		(Yan et al. 2005)
$\text{CO}/(\text{C0}+\text{C1}) \text{ A-P}$	Ratio of parent PAHs with mass 178 (P, A) to PAHs with mass 178 (A; P) plus their C1 alkyl homologues	<0.5	>0.5		(Yunker et al. 2002)
BaA/ (BaA+Chy)	Ratio of benzo[a]anthracene to the sum of benzo[a]anthracene + chrysene	<0.2	>0.35		(Yunker et al. 2002)
Fl/(Fl+Py)	Ratio of fluoranthene to the sum of fluoranthene and pyrene	<0.4	0.4 to 0.5	>0.5	(Yunker et al. 2002)
IP/(IP+Bghi)	Ratio of indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene to the sum of indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene and benzo[g,h,i]perylene (Bghi)	<0.2	0.2 to 0.5	>0.5	(Yunker et al. 2002)
UCM/R	Concentration of unresolved complex mixture (UCM)/ resolved alkanes (R= n-alkanes + hopanes + isoprenoids)	>4.0	>6.0	<4	(Yan et al. 2005)

Table 1: Main molecular indicators calculated in this study and their respective diagnostic ratios

	A1-sed	A2-sed	A3-ww	A4-ww	A5-sed	A4-sed	A0- Slu1	A0- Slu2	B1-sed	B2-sed	B3- sed	B0-ww	B0- Slu1	B0- Slu2	B0- Slu3	B0- Slu4	C1- ww	C1- sed	C2-ww	C3-ww	C4-ww	C5-ww	C5-gr	C5- sed	C0- ww1	C0- ww2	C0- slu1	C0- slu2
Na	0,1	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,1	4,0	0,1	0,2	0,1	0,2	0,2	0,1	0,1	<DL	0,5	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,4	<DL	0,2	0,2	0,3	0,1	0,1
Acy	0,1	<DL	0,0	<DL	0,1	0,5	0,2	0,2	0,3	0,3	0,8	0,2	0,3	0,4	0,4	0,3	<DL	0,7	<DL	<DL	0,1	0,0	<DL	<DL	<DL	<DL	0,1	0,1
Acen	0,1	<DL	0,0	0,1	0,2	0,6	0,4	0,1	1,9	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,3	0,4	0,3	0,2	<DL	0,4	0,3	0,1	<DL	2,7	<DL	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,6
Fluo	0,2	<DL	0,0	0,1	0,3	0,6	0,2	0,2	3,6	0,5	0,8	0,4	0,9	0,8	0,6	0,5	0,1	1,5	0,3	0,1	0,1	3,2	0,2	0,5	0,1	0,2	0,3	1,0
Phe	1,7	5,5	0,1	0,5	1,4	2,7	0,8	0,8	9,7	2,8	9,9	8,4	4,8	4,1	4,2	2,6	0,4	11,1	0,3	0,7	1,1	8,4	1,1	1,2	0,4	0,5	0,7	1,5
An	0,4	1,3	0,0	0,1	0,3	1,1	0,5	0,3	1,4	0,7	2,0	0,9	0,7	0,9	0,8	0,6	<DL	3,1	<DL	<DL	0,2	0,7	<DL	<DL	<DL	<DL	0,2	0,3
Fl	4,9	14,2	0,1	1,1	3,6	8,1	2,9	2,7	8,3	6,4	22,5	1,0	8,3	10,2	10,4	6,6	0,1	15,7	0,1	0,6	2,2	4,0	0,4	0,6	0,2	0,2	0,8	1,0
Py	4,5	11,8	0,1	1,1	3,2	6,3	3,1	2,9	7,2	6,0	18,0	1,2	6,4	8,2	7,9	4,9	0,1	10,3	0,1	0,5	1,5	7,2	0,7	0,6	0,2	0,1	1,9	2,0
BaA	2,3	5,0	0,0	0,4	1,3	3,7	1,5	1,4	3,5	3,4	8,4	0,3	3,1	4,2	4,0	2,5	<DL	6,4	<DL	0,1	0,8	2,9	0,3	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,6	0,6
Chy	2,6	5,8	0,1	0,4	2,0	4,3	2,0	1,9	3,5	3,9	9,7	0,2	4,9	6,1	6,4	3,7	<DL	5,8	<DL	0,1	0,9	2,4	0,4	0,3	0,2	0,1	0,6	0,7
B(b+)]F	4,9	7,7	0,1	1,0	3,3	12,7	4,7	4,1	4,4	8,7	16,7	0,4	10,5	12,8	13,1	7,7	<DL	8,0	<DL	0,2	1,4	3,1	0,5	0,4	0,3	0,1	3,4	3,3
BkF	1,7	2,9	<DL	0,4	1,1	3,8	1,7	1,5	2,1	3,1	6,0	0,2	3,3	4,2	4,5	2,5	<DL	3,0	<DL	0,1	0,5	0,5	0,1	0,2	0,1	<DL	1,2	1,2
BaP	2,5	4,6	<DL	0,5	1,5	6,0	2,4	2,1	3,3	4,8	9,2	0,1	4,5	5,7	5,8	3,4	<DL	5,8	<DL	0,1	0,8	1,7	<DL	0,1	0,2	<DL	1,8	1,7
IP	2,4	3,0	<DL	0,5	1,4	10,2	2,2	2,1	3,3	3,8	5,9	0,1	5,5	7,2	6,2	3,9	<DL	4,8	<DL	0,1	0,8	2,2	0,4	0,2	0,2	<DL	2,4	2,2
Bghi	2,3	2,6	<DL	0,5	1,4	8,6	2,1	1,9	2,9	3,9	6,3	0,1	5,0	3,5	6,2	3,5	<DL	3,8	<DL	0,1	0,7	5,3	0,8	0,2	0,2	<DL	2,0	1,9
DhA	0,6	0,6	<DL	0,1	0,4	1,8	0,5	0,4	0,8	1,0	1,5	0,0	0,8	1,6	1,6	0,8	<DL	1,1	<DL	<DL	0,2	0,5	0,1	<DL	<DL	<DL	0,5	0,5
Σ16 PAH (μg.g ⁻¹ dw)	31,4	65,4	0,8	7,3	21,6	71,0	25,3	22,6	60,2	49,6	118,2	14,1	59,6	70,4	72,6	43,7	0,8	82,1	1,4	2,8	11,3	45,0	4,9	5,2	2,6	1,7	16,8	18,7

Table 2: Concentrations of the 16 PAH in mg.g⁻¹ dw in the samples of the three sites.




Figure 1: Detailed map of the three sampling sites




Figure 2: UCM distributions ($m/z=95$) of selected samples at the three sites.