

Evolution of dolomite composition and reactivity during biomass gasification

Maxime Hervy, Roberto Olcese, Mohammed Bettahar, Martine Mallet, Aurélien Renard, Libeth Maldonado, Damien Remy, Guillain Mauviel, Anthony Dufour

▶ To cite this version:

 $\label{eq:Maxime Hervy, Roberto Olcese, Mohammed Bettahar, Martine Mallet, Aurélien Renard, et al.. Evolution of dolomite composition and reactivity during biomass gasification. Applied Catalysis A : General, 2019, 572, pp.97-106. 10.1016/j.apcata.2018.12.014 . hal-01969516$

HAL Id: hal-01969516 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-01969516v1

Submitted on 12 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Evolution of dolomite composition and reactivity during biomass

2 gasification

- 3 Maxime Hervy^a, Roberto Olcese^a, Mohammed M Bettahar^b, Martine Mallet^c, Aurélien Renard^c,
- 4 Libeth Maldonado^a, Damien Remy^a, Guillain Mauviel^a, Anthony Dufour^{a,*}
- 5 ^a LRGP, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, ENSIC, 1, Rue Grandville 54000 Nancy, France
- 6 ^b Institut Jean Barriol, L2CM, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Faculté des Sciences et de la
- 7 Technologie Boulevard des Aiguillettes, BP 7036, 54506 Vandœuvre Cedex, France
- 8 ° Institut Jean Barriol, LCPME, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, 405, rue de Vandoeuvre, F-54600
- 9 Villers-lès-Nancy, France
- 10 * corresponding author at: anthony.dufour@univ-lorraine.fr

11 Abstract

12 Dolomite is a cheap and robust catalyst used for biomass gasification, but its deactivation under 13 relevant conditions of pilot-scale gasifiers has still been poorly understood. For this reason, the catalytic activity of fresh and used dolomites produced from an industrial air-blow fluidized bed 14 was investigated. Fresh and used dolomites were characterized by BET, SEM-EDX, XPS, ICP-15 16 MS, XRD, TPD and TPO. Benzene steam reforming was selected as a surrogate reaction of tar 17 conversion in order to probe the reactivity of the two dolomites. The activity of used dolomite 18 was 25% lower than that of fresh dolomite. This difference could be explained by: (1) the 19 deposition of a Si-based layer from biomass ashes at the surface of used dolomite, and (2) the production of coke during gasification. The reaction mechanism of benzene steam reforming 20 21 over fresh and used dolomites was discussed. For used dolomite, the Si and coke depositions reduced the availability of the active sites (CaO, MgO) thus lowering the conversion of benzene. 22 23 These deposits could also inhibit the interactions between CaO and MgO and enhance the 24 formation of a stable coke.

27 Keywords

28 biomass; dolomite; gasification; syngas; tar; up-grading.

Outline (only for reviewers)

31	1. Introduction	.3
32	2. Materials & Methods	.3
33	2.1. Presentation of the dolomite samples	.3
34	2.2. Characterizations of the catalysts	.3
35	2.3. Catalytic experiments	.3
36	3. Results and discussion	.3
37	3.1. Characterization of the catalysts	.3
38	3.1.1. Morphology of particles and chemical composition of the bulk catalysts by ICP	.3
39	3.1.2. XRD characterization of the catalysts	.3
40	3.1.3. Composition of the surface by XPS	.3
41	3.1.4. TPD and TPO characterizations	.3
42	3.2. Steam reforming of benzene over fresh and used dolomites	.3
43	3.3. Discussion on the reaction mechanisms	.3
44	4. Conclusion	.3

47 **1. Introduction**

48 Renewable alternative routes for energy production appear as an important challenge to 49 reduce the greenhouse gases emissions while meeting the growing world energy demand. 50 Biomass gasification is an attractive way to convert a renewable resource into several energy 51 carriers, such as substitute natural gas, bio-hydrogen, or Fischer-Tropsch biofuel [1]. 52 Nevertheless, the removal of the syngas pollutants (such as tars) is required before further 53 valorization, and this step remains the major issue in the development of gasification processes. 54 Tar is formed by pyrolysis reactions combined with incomplete oxidation reactions in gasifiers 55 [2-4] and is the most problematic pollutant contained in the syngas [2]. Tar is composed of a 56 wide variety of aromatic and oxygenated compounds classified in different classes depending on 57 their properties [5] or their thermal history (primary, secondary and tertiary tar) [2]. These 58 compounds can condense along the process chain thus impeding syngas valorization. The 59 concentration of tar must be drastically reduced in order to meet the standards required for 60 syngas ranging from about 50 mg/Nm³ (or a dew point lower than 35°C for engine [6]) to 0.1 61 mg/Nm³ (for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis) [7]. In addition, tar in syngas considerably reduces the 62 cold gas efficiency of gasifiers. Indeed, benzene can represent 0.5% of biomass LHV in air dense 63 fluidized bed gasifier [3].

64 For these reasons, the catalytic conversion of tar into gaseous products (CO, H₂, CH₄) is 65 of tremendous importance for improving the gasification processes. The main drawbacks of the 66 catalytic methods are the cost of the catalysts and their deactivation. This topic has been 67 intensively studied over the past 30 years, and several reviews have reported the main conclusions 68 [8-20]. These studies focused on the conversion of real biomass tars, or tar surrogate molecules. 69 Benzene, toluene and naphthalene are often considered as surrogate tar compounds due to their 70 significant concentration in syngas and to their stability [9,21,22]. Benzene is the most important 71 aromatic compound present in the syngas and the most stable one [22–24]. For this reason it is a 72 good surrogate of tertiary (high temperature) tar.

A large variety of catalysts were tested for tar decomposition: chars [25–28], zeolites [29,30], iron oxides [31], noble metals [32–37], alkaline and alkaline earth metals (AAEM) [38,39], nickel catalysts [40,41], clay minerals [13], olivine [42,43], and calcined rocks (magnesite, calcite, dolomite) [44–46]. Carbonate rocks, such as dolomite, were identified as promising catalysts due their abundance and low-cost [47–51].

Dolomite is a natural magnesium ore (MgCO₃.CaCO₃) composed of a mixture of calcium
carbonate and magnesium carbonate at a concentration ratio of nearly 1:1. A tremendous number
of articles highlighted that dolomite is an active catalyst for tar removal [48,52–64]. A calcination

81 step is required to increase the catalytic activity of dolomite by eliminating CO₂ and transforming 82 (CaMg(CO₃)₂) into active CaO and MgO [65]. CaO is known to be more active than MgO in tar 83 cracking reactions and is mainly responsible for the high activity of calcined dolomite [66]. 84 However, a synergistic interaction between CaO and MgO plays an important role in the activity 85 of dolomite. Indeed, catalysts based on mechanical mixtures of MgO and CaO have presented a better performance than CaO alone [67]. In addition, dolomite was proved to be more active 86 87 than magnesite (MgCO₃) and calcite (CaCO₃) for tar reforming [50,64]. The higher activity of dolomite is mainly explained by the lower deactivation by coke deposition, as a result of the 88 89 presence of MgO which inhibits the formation of stable carbonaceous materials at the surface of 90 CaO, and which promotes the formation of less stable carbon species that are easily gasified [68]. 91 Therefore, the deactivation of CaO active sites by coke deposition is reduced. The presence of 92 iron in dolomites is also expected to play a role in the steam reforming of tar as well as in the 93 water-gas shift reaction [56,64].

94 Some articles specifically studied the decomposition of benzene [52,54], toluene [69–71] 95 and naphthalene [53,72] over calcined dolomite. The tests were conducted at 700-900 °C under 96 different atmospheres: steam, carbon dioxide or syngas mixtures. The benzene decomposition 97 reactions mainly generated H₂ and CO₂, while CO was a minor product and was generated in 98 lower amount with rising temperature. These reactions were inhibited by hydrogen which may be 99 dissociatively adsorbed on the metal-oxide adsorption sites, thus preventing the tar access to 100 adsorption sites [73,74]. The CO₂ concentration in the syngas and the temperature strongly influence the activity of dolomite. Indeed, the dolomite can be carbonated (depending on the 101 102 thermodynamic equilibrium of CO₂/CaO/CaCO₃) which results in the decrease of its catalytic 103 activity for tar cracking [47,55]. Dolomite can be deactivated by hydration and carbonation 104 reactions [75].

105 Syngas cleaning processes are classified in two types depending on their location; either in 106 the gasifier (primary methods) or downstream of the gasifier (secondary methods) [77]. 107 Secondary methods may be slightly more efficient than primary ones but a specific catalytic 108 cracking reactor is required thus increasing the investment and operating costs of the gasification 109 unit. Dolomite as in-bed material was shown to be an efficient catalyst for tar cracking [49] 110 allowing the production of a relatively clean syngas. For this reason, dolomite is often used as bed 111 material in gasification and notably in many recent studies [78–81].

112

113 In fluidized bed gasifier, dolomite is in contact with biomass particles, and a modification 114 of its surface properties is likely to occur. These modifications could change the long-term 115 catalytic activity of "used dolomite" for tar cracking, thus modifying the syngas yield and quality. 116 This crucial topic has never yet been explored for dolomite whereas it has been extensively 117 studied for olivine. Indeed, several studies showed that olivine is coated by ash materials during 118 biomass gasification and this ash layer considerably increases the reactivity of olivine for tar 119 cracking and WGS reactions [82–88]. This present work reveals that the impact of ashes may be 120 very different for dolomite than for olivine.

121 To the best of our knowledge, this work presents for the first time the effect of dolomite 122 aging under significant gasification conditions (in a pilot plant) on tar conversion. Dolomites 123 before and after gasification of biomass were characterized by complementary methods (BET, 124 SEM-EDX, XRD, XPS, TPO, TPD). Then the reactivity of these 2 dolomites (pristine and used) 125 was studied by a "probe" key reaction of tar conversion which is the steam reforming of 126 benzene. Finally the mechanisms of benzene steam reforming over dolomites are discussed.

127 2. Materials & Methods

128 2.1. Presentation of the dolomite samples

129 The dolomite originating from Cantabria (Spain) was calcined at 1000°C for few hours 130 before being used as a catalyst in a biomass gasification plant at Portalegre, Portugal (EQTEC 131 technology) [89]. The gasification reactor was a dense fluidized bed operated at a temperature of 132 750°C for 10 days using air as gasifying agent. Biomass was a mixture of wood (70%) and 133 miscanthus (30%) and was fed in the reactor at a flow-rate of 50kg/h. The analysis of biomass is 134 presented in supplementary material. The dolomite samples were denoted as "fresh" after 135 calcination, and "used" after gasification. Both samples were sieved to particle sizes from 100 to 136 250µm.

137 2.2. Characterizations of the catalysts

138 The morphology of fresh and used dolomite materials was studied on a scanning electron 139 microscope (SEM) JEOL model JSM-6490LV combined with energy dispersive X-ray 140 spectroscopy (EDX) to analyse the chemical composition of the surface.

For inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis of the bulk, the samples were dissolved in lithium metaborate (LiBO₂) and nitric acid (HNO₃) solutions following the CNRS-SARM procedure [90].

144 The crystalline structure of the fresh and used dolomites was analysed by X-ray 145 diffraction (XRD) measurements. XRD analyses were performed at room temperature using a 146 Philips Panalytical X'Pert Pro MPD diffractometer equipped with Xcelerator detector. XRD 147 patterns were collected with a CuK α radiation source operating at 40kV and 40mA. The 148 diffraction pattern was collected in the range $2\theta = 10-80^{\circ}$, with a step size of 0.016° in 2 θ , and a 149 scan speed of 1.7min⁻¹.

150 XPS analyses were performed using a KRATOS Axis Ultra X-ray photoelectron 151 spectrometer (Kratos Analytical, Manchester, UK) equipped with a monochromatic Al K α X-ray 152 source (hv=1486.6eV) operated at 150W. Spectra were collected at normal (90°) take-off angle 153 and the analysis area was 700×300µm. The base pressure in the analytical chamber was 10⁻⁹mbar 154 during XPS measurements. Wide scans were recorded using an analyser pass energy of 160eV, 155 while narrow scans were obtained using a pass energy of 20eV (instrumental resolution better 156 than 0.5eV).

BET areas were determined by the one-point method using adsorbed nitrogen at 77K in a
Micromeritics TriStart II instrument. The solids were previously degassed under vacuum at room
temperature overnight.

160 Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) and Temperature Programmed Oxidation 161 (TPO) were performed by heating the dolomite samples at 850°C under a 30 NmL/min flow of 162 pure nitrogen (TPD) or air (TPO). A 2.5g dolomite sample was placed in a quartz U-reactor 163 (internal diameter=10mm) heated by an electrical furnace at 5°C/min to 850°C. The exhaust gas 164 was analysed online by a micro-gas chromatograph (µGC) (SRA Instrumentation R3000).

165 2.3. Catalytic experiments

166 The experiment set-up has been presented previously [91]. Catalytic experiments were 167 conducted on a fixed bed quartz reactor (internal diameter 10mm) placed in an electric oven. The 168 catalytic bed was supported by a quartz sintered plate. A thermocouple was positioned within the 169 bed of dolomite. The inlet gas composition was controlled by mass flow controllers 170 (Bronkhorst). Benzene (supplied by Fluka, 99.9% purity) and demineralised water were added in 171 the gas mixture by two syringe pumps and vaporised in a vaporisation chamber, swept by 172 nitrogen and connected through a heated line to the catalytic bed. At the outlet of the catalytic 173 bed, permanent gases were analysed on-line with a µ-GC Varian 490 equipped with 4 modules. 174 The outlet was also connected to a heated sampling line and to a heated sampling loop for on-175 line analysis of benzene by a GC/FID.

The operating conditions of the catalytic tests were chosen in order to obtain a contact time similar to the one used in the industrial gasification fluidized bed of EQTEC. The mass of catalyst was 3.0g and the total gas flow rate was set at 45NmL/min. These conditions were set to be consistent with the industrial fluidized bed conditions (mass of fluidized dolomite bed and total outlet syngas flow rate). The benzene inlet concentration was 0.5vol% which corresponds to 181 the content usually measured in the syngas [3]. Catalytic tests were performed at 800, 850 and 182 900°C without applying pre-treatment to the materials. The conversion of benzene was constant 183 over time on stream and the value presented is an average of several points (GC/FID analysis) 184 over more than 50 minutes.

185 The benzene conversion (X_B) was calculated according to Eq.1, where $\dot{n}_{\rm B}^{in}$ is the molar 186 flow rate of benzene feeding the reactor, and $\dot{n}_{\rm E}^{out}$ the molar flow rate of benzene leaving the 187 reactor.

$$X_B = \frac{\dot{n}_B^{\text{in}} - \dot{n}_B^{\text{out}}}{\dot{n}_B^{\text{in}}}.100$$
 Eq.1

188

189 The selectivity (S_i) of the reaction products (i) was also calculated according to Eq.2:

$$s_i = \frac{\dot{n}_i^{out}}{\dot{n}_B^{in} - \dot{n}_B^{out}} \cdot 100$$
 Eq.2

191

192 3. Results and discussion

193 3.1. Characterization of the catalysts

194 3.1.1. Morphology of particles and chemical composition of the bulk catalysts by ICP

195

196 Table 1 compares the values of BET surface area of fresh and used dolomite samples.
197 For fresh dolomite, the measured values were not high enough to be accurate. Both types of
198 catalysts exhibited low BET surface areas (< 20m²/g). However, a slight increase of the BET</p>
199 surface area was observed for used dolomite samples.

200

Table 1. BET surface area of dolomite and olivine.

Catalyst	BET (m^2/g)
Fresh dolomite	0.4
Used dolomite	19.5

SEM images and EDX analyses of dolomite materials are presented in Figure 1 and Table 2. EDX analysis was averaged on various particles. Dolomite is a natural material and presents an average composition of about 17% Mg and 34% Ca. After its use as a bed material in the fluidised bed reactor, the surface of used dolomite is enriched by inorganic elements initially contained in the biomass. The surface of dolomite particles is coated by a layer of ashes and becomes smoother as shown on Figure 1. The mechanisms of coating are out of the scope of the present study and have been previously studied in details [3,92,93]. The coating behaviour 208 depends on gasification conditions and on the composition of the bed, the reactor walls and the209 ashes of biomass.

Si and P are not detected on fresh dolomite, but their concentrations at the surface of used
dolomite are of about 2.5 and 3.7wt.% respectively (Table 2). SEM-EDX is only a first qualitative
approach of dolomites chemistry. For this reason, ICP-MS, XRD, XPS and TPD/TPO analyses

- 213 were performed in order to study more deeply the chemical composition of the catalysts.
- 214
- 215

Table 2. SEM-EDX analysis of fresh and used dolomite

	F	resh dolomi	ite	Used dolomite				
Elements (wt.%)	Min	Min Average		Min Average		Min	Average	Max
0	44.7	49.4	59.8	41.1	48.1	54.8		
Mg	1.6	17.0	36.2	3.6	5.3	7.5		
Ca	19.1	33.7	48.5	37.0	41.9	46.6		
Р	nd	nd	nd	2.2	2.5	2.7		
Si	nd	nd	nd	3.3	3.7	4.1		

216



217

Figure 1. SEM-EDX analysis of the 2 dolomites (before and after biomass gasification in a pilot
scale gasifier). SEM-EDX highlights the modification of the surface of particles which are coated
by a layer of ashes during biomass gasification.

221

Table 3 presents the bulk chemical composition of the catalysts analyzed by ICP-MS. These results confirm that used catalysts were enriched in inorganic species during the biomass gasification. Fresh dolomite is mainly composed of CaO (50%) and MgO (38%), with some traces of iron, silicium and aluminium (presented as oxides due to the ICP analysis). After gasification, used dolomite is mainly enriched in SiO_2 (4.3 wt.%, which is similar to EDX analysis) 227 and P₂O₅ (0.39 wt.%, which is lower than EDX analysis). The two main species, CaO and MgO, 228 were found to decrease mainly due to the increase of SiO₂, while Al₂O₃ (1.02 wt.%) slightly 229 increased in used dolomite. The loss on ignition increased for used dolomite (from 9.01 to 230 11.88wt.%) as a result of coke deposit and carbonates. Other species present in biomass ashes 231 (K, Mn, etc.) are also present in higher amount in the used dolomite which is in agreement with 232 ash composition of biomass (presented in supplementary material). Ash composition depends on 233 biomass type and culture mode but these elements are often found as the main inorganic 234 elements of many ligno-cellulosic biomasses. Therefore this ash coating is representative and 235 significant for the gasification of various biomasses in air-dense fluidized bed.

236

237

Table 3. Elemental composition of fresh and used dolomite (obtained by ICP-MS).

Element (wt.%)	Fresh dolomite	Used dolomite
Loss on ignition (wt.%)	9.01	11.88
SiO ₂	0.53	4.35
Al_2O_3	0.26	1.02
Fe_2O_3	0.39	0.43
MnO	0.02	0.14
MgO	38.30	34.16
CaO	50.13	47.20
Na ₂ O	0.02	0.04
K ₂ O	0.01	0.28
TiO_2	0.02	0.05
P_2O_5	0.03	0.39

238

239 3.1.2. XRD characterization of the catalysts

240

241 As observed in Figure 2, the diffraction peaks of fresh dolomite show the presence of 242 periclase (MgO), lime (CaO), and portlandite (Ca(OH)₂). The peaks representative of dolomite 243 structure $(CaMg(CO_3)_2)$ are not detected. This crystalline modification can be explained by the 244 effect of the calcination treatment initially applied to this material, which is in agreement with 245 previous studies [45,65]. The XRD spectrum of used dolomite reveals the formation of 246 significant amounts of quartz (SiO₂) and traces of corundum (Al₂O₃). This evolution corroborates 247 the ICP and SEM-EDX results demonstrating the enrichment of ash-based species in dolomite 248 particles during biomass gasification. XRD analysis also shows a relative decrease of MgO vs. 249 CaO in used dolomite. A small peak of calcite is detected in the used dolomite probably due to a 250 limited carbonation at 750°C in the gasifier (around 15%vol. of CO2 at the exit of the fluidised 251 bed).





253

Figure 2. Diffractogram of the fresh and used dolomites

- 254 3.1.3. Composition of the surface by XPS
- 255

The XPS results are presented in Table 4. Binding energy (BE) and atomic concentration
(%) were ascribed to the element according to published data [45,94,95].

For fresh dolomite, the binding energies of the C 1s signal (Table 4) highlight the presence of various carbonaceous species: graphitic or/and aliphatic hydrocarbons (C-C, C-H) at 284.6 eV, ethers (C-O) at 286.02 eV, aldehydes or carboxylic acids (C=O) at 287.89 eV, and carbonates (($(CO_3)^2$) at 289.40 eV. Carbonates are part of the dolomite composition and are present in higher relative amount on the surface than in the bulk (as shown by XRD) mainly explained by the exposure to air (at room temperature) of the decarbonated samples. The other carbon species than carbonates may be attributed to carbonaceous deposit [94].

265

266 Two oxygen species were observed through the O 1s binding energies at 531.17eV and 267 532.80 eV. The first one corresponds to O-C or O-H bonds, related to the presence of both 268 carbonaceous and hydroxyl species [95]. These 2 types of bonds unfortunately cannot be 269 discriminated. The second O 1s signal at 532.80eV is generally attributed to chemisorbed water 270 [95]. However, the high percentage of 40.47% is much higher than that of the carbonaceous 271 species identified by the C-O bonding at 286.02 eV. Therefore the O 1s binding at 531.17eV may 272 rather correspond to structural hydroxyls of the fresh catalyst. Its surface would be highly 273 hydroxylated probably due to room temperature storage.

The Mg 2p and Ca 2p signals correspond to surface concentrations (13.56 and 1.86% respectively) much lower than the bulk oxides as analyzed by ICP. This result may be related to the highly hydroxylated surface of the catalyst.

While iron and aluminium were observed in the bulk by ICP (0.4 and 1.0%, respectively), these elements are not detected at the surface of dolomite by XPS (before and after gasification). Their content may be beyond the detection limit of the XPS technique, or these species could be mainly located in the bulk and not at the external surface analysed by XPS.

Concerning the used dolomite, silica species are found at the surface (1.0%) which is consistent with SEM-EDX analysis. The Mg 2p and Ca 2p intensity peaks decrease after gasification: their atomic ratio dropped from 13.56 to 9.72 at.% for Ca, and from 1.86 to 1.00 at.% for Mg (Table 4). This relative decrease in Ca and Mg is consistent with the bulk analysis by ICP measurements (Table 3).

286

Table 4. XPS analysis of fresh and used dolomites

Flement	Fresh Do	lomite	Used Dol	Used Dolomite				
Liement	BE (eV)	at.%	BE (eV)	at.%				
O 1s O-C/O-H	531.17	40.47	532.16	27.59				
O 1s H ₂ O	532.80	5.33	533.66	6.68				
C 1s C-(C,H)	284.60	23.88	284.59	23.00				
C 1s C-OR, C-	286.02	3.32	285.72	16.49				
ОН, С-О								
C 1s C=O	287.89	1.25	287.14	5.75				
C 1s CO ₃ -2	289.40	10.33	290.24	8.74				
Ca 2p	346.80	13.56	347.75	9.72				
Mg 2p	49.40	1.86	50.35	1.03				
Si 2p	-	-	101.25	1.00				

287 The evolution of the oxygenated and carbonaceous species observed with XPS after gasification 288 is illustrated in Figure 3. After gasification the atomic concentration of carbon at the surface of 289 used dolomite significantly increases (from 38.8 to 53.9 wt.%), and the concentration of oxygen 290 drops from 45.8 to 34.3 wt.%. Carbonaceous species containing ethers or carboxylic functions 291 strongly increase after gasification (from 3.32 to 16.49%, and from 1.25 to 5.75%, respectively) 292 probably due to oxygenated carbon deposit (Table 4). The content of hydrocarbons originally 293 contained in the dolomite structure (C 1s C-(C-H)) is almost unchanged. The concentration of 294 carbonates (C 1s CO₃²⁻)) decreases a little after gasification. O 1s signal considerably decreases 295 after gasification. Globally, after gasification, the surface becomes richer in C-O species and 296 poorer in Ca-O and Mg-O.



299 Figure 3. XPS spectra of carbon (C 1s) and oxygen (O 1s) species analysed on fresh dolomite and 300 used dolomite after gasification.

TPD and TPO experiments were performed in order to complete these XPS analysis and to get a 301 302 better insight on the nature of carbonaceous species present in fresh and used dolomites.

303 304

3.1.4. TPD and TPO characterizations

305 The amounts of CO₂ released by fresh and used dolomite during TPD and TPO analysis 306 are presented in Figure 4 (A) and (B) respectively.

307 No carbon monoxide was released during these analyses. During TPD (Figure 4A), both 308 samples display a single CO₂ peak occurring at a similar temperature (between 500 and 750°C). 309 The maximum peak is at 660°C and 715°C for fresh dolomite and used dolomite, respectively. 310 The CO₂ release obtained under pure nitrogen atmosphere is attributed to the decomposition of 311 carbonates present in both samples. It can be noted that the amount of CO₂ desorbed was 312 significantly higher for the used dolomite, which could be explained by the formation of 313 carbonates during the gasification reactions. This is in agreement with XRD analysis (Figure 2).

314 During the TPO analysis, a significant CO_2 peak is obtained at low temperature (460°C) 315 for used dolomite (Figure 4B). This peak results from the oxidation of a reactive carbon 316 produced during gasification. In addition, a CO₂ peak similar to that obtained during the TPD 317 tests is observed for both samples. However, for used dolomite, the maximum of this peak is 318 achieved at slightly higher temperature than during TPD (790°C vs 715°C), and the amount of 319 CO₂ released is much higher. This difference can be attributed to the oxidation of a more stable 320 coke produced during the gasification reactions and oxidized at high temperature ($\approx 800^{\circ}$ C). To 321 the best of our knowledge we present here the first TPO analysis of a dolomite used in a pilot 322 scale gasifier during a significant time (10 days).

323



324

Figure 4. (A) TPD and (B) TPO analysis of fresh and used dolomites.

328

325 326

327

329 It is interesting to notice that similar ratios of carbonates to total carbon $[C_{Carbonates}/C_{total}]$ 330 were found between TPD/TPO ($C_{Carbonates}/C_{total}=0.13$) and XPS ($C_{Carbonates}/C_{total}=0.16$) for the 331 used dolomite. This would mean that the evolution of CO₂ analysed during TPD/TPO may 332 account for the decomposition of functions (carbonates and coke deposit) which are mainly 333 formed at the external surface of particles during biomass gasification.

334 3.2. Steam reforming of benzene over fresh and used dolomites

In order to "probe" the reactivity of the two dolomite samples, their catalytic activity for benzene steam reforming were compared at three temperatures (800, 850 and 900°C). The evolution of benzene conversion is presented in Figure 5. For both dolomites, the increase in temperature increases the benzene conversion. Indeed, the conversion of benzene is multiplied by 3.5 between 800 and 900°C. It is interesting to note that used dolomite is less active than the fresh one. The activity of used dolomite decreases by about 25% compared to the fresh sample. At 900°C the conversion of benzene obtained with fresh dolomite reaches 70% against 54% with used dolomite.

343





Figure 5. Effect of temperature and dolomite aging on benzene conversion during steam
reforming (C₆H₆=0.5 vol%, H₂O=22.2 vol% in N₂, 3 g dolomite, 45 NmL/min total flow rate).

To assess the effect of aging on the reaction mechanisms, the selectivity on reaction products has been determined (Table 5). Similar gaseous species were produced by fresh and used dolomites: H₂, CO₂, CO, and CH₄. For both samples, the main products were H₂ and CO₂. Traces of C₂H₄ were produced by steam reforming of benzene only over the fresh dolomite.

351 For fresh dolomite, rising temperature from 800 to 900°C results in a decrease of H₂ (-352 3.9%) and CO₂ (-2.3%) selectivities at the expense of CO (+3.7%) and CH₄ (+2.5%). This means 353 that the overall selectivity in products is not mainly controlled by the thermodynamic equilibrium 354 of the water gas-shift reaction which promotes H₂ and CO₂ at lower temperatures. The increase 355 in CO/CO₂ with temperature is in agreement with results reported by Constantinou et al. in a 356 stream rich in H₂ [45]. At higher temperature, the cracking reaction of benzene forming CH₄ 357 seems to be promoted. The decrease in H₂ selectivity with temperature may be linked to the 358 higher selectivity in CH4. Further discussion is provided after the presentation of a potential 359 mechanism of benzene reforming over dolomites in the next section.

- 362
- 363

Table 5. Molar selectivity of the products generated by steam reforming of benzene.

	H ₂ (mol%)		CO ₂ (mol%)		CO (mol%)			CH4 (mol%)			C ₂ H ₄ (mol%)				
Т (°С)	800	850	900	800	850	900	800	850	900	800	850	900	800	850	900
Fresh dolomite	66.9	65.8	63.0	25.7	25.2	23.4	1.9	3.1	5.6	3.0	4.4	5.5	0.8	0.9	0.6
Used dolomite	65.5	66.9	66.5	30.7	27.4	22.4	2.6	3.5	8.3	1.2	2.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0

364 Concerning the used dolomite, the evolutions of CO2 and CO are more significant with 365 rising temperature: -8.3% and +5.6%, respectively. Contrary to the fresh dolomite, the selectivity 366 in H_2 was almost constant with rising temperature. This finding confirms that the equilibrium of water gas-shift reaction does not control the global selectivity in CO2/H2/CO in this case. The 367 368 steam gasification of coke present in the used dolomite (deposited during biomass gasification 369 and as analyzed by TPO) may also contribute the higher selectivity in H₂ and CO notably at 370 900°C. More complex phenomena occur and will be detailed in the next section. The selectivities 371 in CH₄ and in C₂H₄ are lower for the used dolomites. This result may be explained by a lower 372 cracking rate of benzene over the used dolomite.

373 3.3. Discussion on the reaction mechanisms

In a deep study *Alarcon et al.* have proposed a detailed mechanism for the steam reforming of aromatics over CaO and MgO [68]. These authors have proposed, by analogy with the mechanism of hydrocarbons steam-reforming over metal supported catalysts [96], that aromatic hydrocarbons reforming over CaO or MgO catalysts would take place in two main step [94]: (i) the decomposition of the hydrocarbon and the water on the catalyst surface, and then (ii) the gasification of the deposited carbon with steam. The overall process could be written according to the following simplified mechanism:

$381 \qquad \text{ArH} + \bigstar \rightarrow C_x H_{y} - \bigstar$	(R1)
---------------------------------------------------------------------	------

382

$H_2O + \star \rightarrow O-\star + H_2$	
------------------------------------------	--

 $C_xH_{y} + O + \rightarrow H_2, CO, CO_2, CH_4 + 2$

383

where ArH is an aromatic hydrocarbon specie, \star is a M²⁺O²⁻ unit (the active site in dolomite). The deposit (C_xH_y-) may also decompose into smaller fragments and further form H₂, CH₄, and C_x [68,94].

387 The decomposition of water molecules to hydrogen is easy over metal supported catalyst 388 when the metal phase is a noble or transition metal [96]. This is much less likely to occur over the

(R2)

(R3)

- 389 non-reducible CaO or MgO oxides, unless they contained catalytic traces of a transition metal (e;
- 390 g; iron). Contrary to the reaction (R2) proposed by a previous study, we rather think that gaseous
- H_2 stems from the decomposition of gaseous water on the carbon fragments rather than from
- 392 the direct water decomposition on the metal oxides. In other words, the water molecules are
- reduced by the surface carbon fragments but not by the fixed valence metal oxides.
- Based on our results and on literature analysis [45,68,74,94,97], an overall mechanism of benzene steam reforming over dolomite is proposed (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Chemical mechanism of benzene steam reforming over dolomite proposed based on
the experimental results of the present study and on previous works [45,68,74,94,97].

400 First, a theoretical investigation of benzene adsorption over calcite and dolomite dry 401 surfaces reported that Ca sites are the most energetically favorable for hydrocarbon adsorption 402 on both minerals [98]. Moreover, van der Waals interactions increase the stability for all sites but 403 more strongly on the Ca site. The benzene molecule was found to be adsorbed in a Ca hole, the 404 aromatic ring forming an angle of 15 to 35° with the surface. For MgO, benzene adsorption takes 405 place on weakly acidic OH groups, rather than on the Mg sites. The rate determining step of the 406 steam-reforming of benzene over dolomite is the adsorption of the reactant hydrocarbon 407 molecule [69]. This can be explained by the interactions between a neutral molecule and a 408 strongly polarized solid surface. The stable and symmetrical benzene molecule is not easily 409 polarizable, even with the highly ionic CaO or MgO dipoles. As a result, the chemical adsorption 410 is weak and the Van der Waals interactions may become important [99]. From hard and soft acids and bases principles [100,101], benzene is a soft base and Ca^{2+} a hard acid, also their interaction is 411 412 fundamentally expected to be very poor. This interaction should be of van der Waals type, in 413 good accordance with the theoretical investigation reported by Rigo et al. [98]. From these studies, 414 it can be proposed that benzene is rather adsorbed and decomposed on the calcium oxide by 415 interaction with the Ca⁺⁺ component (Figure 6).

416 Second, concerning the adsorption and role of water, MgO, CaO and other non-reducible 417 oxides (activated at high temperatures) exhibit the presence of highly basic O²⁻ sites [97]. The OH 418 groups were shown to exist in the naphthalene steam-reforming reaction conditions over pure 419 MgO or MgO+CaO mixture, but not over pure CaO [68]. On the other hand, the introduction 420 of steam results in the disappearance of the carbonaceous matter (mainly in the form of formiate 421 species) from the surface of pure MgO and MgO-containing mixture, while formiate species 422 remains in the surface of CaO catalyst [68]. The authors concluded that the OH groups, 423 stemming from MgO, could participate both to the gaseous products formation and to coke 424 removal. Chemically, it is impossible that anionic OH groups directly participate to the oxidation 425 of carbons belonging to C-C or C-H σ bonds. Thus, OH cannot be active species in the benzene 426 steam-reforming. On the contrary, H₂O may be responsible for the direct oxidation of 427 hydrocarbons ($C_mH_{(p-1)}$ -OH) (Figure 6). Due to its chemical properties, OH can be reactive towards the oxidation of the carbon of C=O double π bonds of oxygenate species by a 428 429 nucleophilic attack. The presence of these species over alkaline oxides were evidenced by Alaron 430 et al. [94]. These species disappear from the surface after the introduction of steam in the reactor 431 over MgO or CaO+MgO, but not over pure CaO. This led us to conclude that the role of MgO 432 in MgO+CaO mixture (and therefore in dolomite) is to bring the OH groups for the oxidation of 433 oxygenate species deposited over CaO (after benzene sorption). This reaction would occur at the 434 MgO-CaO interface or through the spillover effect of the OH groups [102] (Figure 6).

- In an investigation on MgO [99] prepared from Mg(OH)₂ precursor treated at various
 temperatures, it was shown that the surface OH concentration was similar for small or large MgO
- 437 particles, but that the latter allowed more germinal OH pairs as well as OH surface island
- 438 domains with weak Brönsted acidity. The reactivity of the OH groups is thus related to the
- 439 morphology of MgO which, in turn, depends on the nature of the precursor and pre-treatments.
- 440 This may contribute to the difference of reactivity of MgO in MgO+CaO mechanical mixture,
- 441 and dolomite.

442 Therefore in our proposed mechanism (Figure 6), the first step consists in the adsorption 443 of benzene over the basic site of CaO [98] to form an active carbon deposit (C_mH_p), C_xH_Y gas 444 species (as analyzed in our work), and H₂. Then, the active carbon deposit can produce coke. 445 Water may either directly oxidize this active carbon deposit or may be dissociatively chemisorbed 446 over MgO active site to form OH basic species. These OH basic species contribute to the 447 formation of formiate and other oxygenate intermediates, then forming unidentate carbonate 448 through OH spill-over. Formiate and carbonates have been analyzed by XPS in this present work 449 and by infrared spectroscopy by Alarcon et al. [68,94] and Constantinou et al. [45]. This mechanism 450 corroborates the results of Constantinou et al. [45] who demonstrated that OH species are 451 important reaction intermediates for the conversion of aromatics into Cx and H2. It has also been 452 proved that unidentate carbonates are less stable than bicarbonates and constitute the main 453 species formed over dolomite [45,68,103]. The former would be the precursors in CO₂ 454 formation.

455 The proposed mechanism supports our experimental results. When the temperature 456 increases, the cracking of benzene promotes the formation of C_xH_y gas species, especially over 457 fresh dolomite. This reaction is less favored with the used dolomite probably due to the lower 458 availability of CaO sites (as analyzed by XPS). The temperature increase is also associated to a 459 decrease in CO₂ and an increase in CO selectivities (Table 5). This evolution maybe explained by 460 the cracking of benzene, as well as by the complex coupling between OH species formation and 461 spill-over leading to CO/CO₂ (from formiate and carbonate intermediate). Carbon monoxide is 462 generated by the thermal decomposition of formiate and higher homologs. This reaction was 463 enhanced by increasing temperature, at the expense of the carbonates and CO2 route. Indeed, at 464 high temperature, this route (formation of OH by H2O dissociation on MgO sites, and OH spill-465 over) maybe slower than the formiate thermal decomposition microkinetic rate. Therefore the 466 OH source may be limited leading to a lower formation of CO₂ from carbonate. This effect is 467 even more marked for the used dolomite since MgO sites, required for OH species formation, 468 are expected to be less available. The CO₂ route is also inhibited by increasing cracking reactions

- 469 with rising temperature. Further studies are needed to study more deeply the detailed chemistry
- 470 of these intermediate C-O species at the temperatures of hydrocarbons steam reforming.
- 471
- For used dolomite, the deposit of coke and ashes, detected in this study by various analytical tools, reduced the global availability of CaO and MgO active sites, as presented in the simplified scheme in Figure 7.
- 475



476

477 Figure 7. Deactivation of dolomites in biomass gasification reactors: the ashes formed from
478 biomass oxidation leads to a deposit of Si (and other species present in ashes) layer over
479 dolomite, and coke deposit also reduces the available active sites for benzene and water
480 adsorption.

483

484 **4. Conclusion**

The evolution of dolomite surface chemistry during its use as bed material in a pilot-scale gasification reactor and its resulting activity on tar reforming have been studied for the first time. Benzene steam reforming was selected as a model reaction in order to probe the activity of the dolomites.

BET, SEM-EDX, XRD, XPS, and TPO/TPD analyses revealed a drastic change of the surface properties of used dolomites. After being used as a bed material, used dolomite was covered by a layer rich in Si from biomass ash. In addition, coke was deposited at the used dolomite surface after gasification reactions.

The catalytic activity of used dolomite was $\approx 24\%$ lower than that of fresh dolomite. This drop in catalytic activity can be attributed to the Si-rich layer covering the surface of used dolomite together with the coke deposition which decreased the availability of the active sites (CaO, MgO). In addition, SiO₂ has low catalytic activity towards tar reforming reactions. The SiO₂ and coke deposition could also limit the interactions between CaO and MgO, thus allowing the production of stable coke deactivating the catalyst.

This study presents a methodological approach that can be further used to study the effect of different operating conditions during biomass gasification on the activity and composition of dolomites (or other materials). Based on our experimental results and on the literature data, a new reaction mechanism of benzene steam reforming on fresh and used dolomite was proposed.

504

505 Acknowledgements

The ANR agency is thanked for a part of the financial support through the project Gameco
(coordinated by EDF). EDF (O. Authier) is acknowledged for having promoted this study and
EQTEC for providing the dolomite materials.

509

511 References

- 512 [1] A.V. Bridgwater, Renewable fuels and chemicals by thermal processing of biomass, Chem.
 513 Eng. J. 91 (2003) 87–102.
- 514 [2] T.A. Milne, R.. Evans, N. Abatzoglou, Biomass Gasifier Tars: Their Nature, Formation and
 515 Conversion., National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1998.
- 516 G. Lardier, J. Kaknics, A. Dufour, R. Michel, B. Cluet, O. Authier, J. Poirier, G. Mauviel, [3] 517 Gas and Bed Axial Composition in a Bubbling Fluidized Bed Gasifier: Results with 518 Olivine, Energy Fuels. Miscanthus and 30 (2016)8316-8326. 519 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b01816.
- 520 [4] M. Nowakowska, O. Herbinet, A. Dufour, P.-A. Glaude, Kinetic Study of the Pyrolysis and
 521 Oxidation of Guaiacol, J. Phys. Chem. A. (2018). doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.8b06301.
- [5] R. Coll, J. Salvadó, X. Farriol, D. Montané, Steam reforming model compounds of biomass
 gasification tars: conversion at different operating conditions and tendency towards coke
 formation, Fuel Process. Technol. 74 (2001) 19–31. doi:10.1016/S0378-3820(01)00214-4.
- 525 [6] GE Jenbacher, Fuel gas quality, special gases, 2009.
- 526 [7] L. Le Coq, A. Duga, Syngas treatment unit for small scale gasification-application to IC
 527 engine gas quality requirement, J. Appl. Fluid Mech. 5 (2012) 95–103.
- 528 W. Torres, S.S. Pansare, J.G.G. Jr, Hot Gas Removal of Tars, Ammonia, and Hydrogen [8] 529 Gasification Sulfide from Biomass Gas, Catal. Rev. 49 (2007)407-456. 530 doi:10.1080/01614940701375134.
- 531 [9] D. Sutton, B. Kelleher, J.R. Ross, Review of literature on catalysts for biomass gasification,
 532 Fuel Process. Technol. 73 (2001) 155–173.
- 533 [10] P.J. Woolcock, R.C. Brown, A review of cleaning technologies for biomass-derived syngas,
 534 Biomass Bioenergy. 52 (2013) 54–84. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.02.036.
- 535 [11] M.L. Valderrama Rios, A.M. González, E.E.S. Lora, O.A. Almazán del Olmo, Reduction of
 536 tar generated during biomass gasification: A review, Biomass Bioenergy. 108 (2018) 345–
 537 370. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.12.002.
- 538 [12] Y. Shen, K. Yoshikawa, Recent progresses in catalytic tar elimination during biomass
 539 gasification or pyrolysis—A review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 21 (2013) 371–392.
 540 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.12.062.
- [13] Z. Abu El-Rub, E.A. Bramer, G. Brem, Review of Catalysts for Tar Elimination in Biomass
 Gasification Processes, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 43 (2004) 6911–6919. doi:10.1021/ie0498403.
- 543 [14] S. Anis, Z.A. Zainal, Tar reduction in biomass producer gas via mechanical, catalytic and
 544 thermal methods: A review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 15 (2011) 2355–2377.
 545 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2011.02.018.
- 546 [15] M. Asadullah, Barriers of commercial power generation using biomass gasification gas: A
 547 review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 29 (2014) 201–215. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.074.
- 548 [16] D.A. Bulushev, J.R.H. Ross, Catalysis for conversion of biomass to fuels via pyrolysis and gasification: A review, Catal. Today. 171 (2011) 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2011.02.005.
- 550[17]D. Dayton, A review of the literature on catalytic biomass tar destruction, NREL Rep.551NRELTP-510-32815NRELGold.CO.(2002).552http://gekgasifier.pbworks.com/f/CatalyticBiomassLitReview.pdf(accessedJanuary23,5532014).
- L. Devi, K.J. Ptasinski, F.J.J.G. Janssen, A review of the primary measures for tar elimination in biomass gasification processes, Biomass Bioenergy. 24 (2003) 125–140. doi:10.1016/S0961-9534(02)00102-2.
- 557 [19] G. Guan, M. Kaewpanha, X. Hao, A. Abudula, Catalytic steam reforming of biomass tar:
 558 Prospects and challenges, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 58 (2016) 450–461.
 559 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.316.

- 560 [20] J. Han, H. Kim, The reduction and control technology of tar during biomass
 561 gasification/pyrolysis: An overview, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 12 (2008) 397–416.
 562 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2006.07.015.
- 563 [21] L. Devi, K.J. Ptasinski, F.J.J.G. Janssen, Pretreated olivine as tar removal catalyst for
 564 biomass gasifiers: investigation using naphthalene as model biomass tar, Fuel Process.
 565 Technol. 86 (2005) 707–730. doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2004.07.001.
- 566 [22] A. Jess, Mechanisms and kinetics of thermal reactions of aromatic hydrocarbons from
 567 pyrolysis of solid fuels, Fuel. 75 (1996) 1441–1448. doi:10.1016/0016-2361(96)00136-6.
- 568 [23] C.M. Kinoshita, Y. Wang, J. Zhou, Tar formation under different biomass gasification
 569 conditions, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis. 29 (1994) 169–181.
- 570 [24] A. Dufour, E. Masson, P. Girods, Y. Rogaume, A. Zoulalian, Evolution of Aromatic Tar
 571 Composition in Relation to Methane and Ethylene from Biomass Pyrolysis-Gasification,
 572 Energy Fuels. 25 (2011) 4182–4189. doi:10.1021/ef200846g.
- 573 [25] Y. Shen, J. Wang, X. Ge, M. Chen, By-products recycling for syngas cleanup in biomass
 574 pyrolysis An overview, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 59 (2016) 1246–1268.
 575 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.01.077.
- 576 [26] J. Park, Y. Lee, C. Ryu, Reduction of primary tar vapor from biomass by hot char particles
 577 in fixed bed gasification, Biomass Bioenergy. 90 (2016) 114–121.
 578 doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2016.04.001.
- 579 [27] D. Feng, Y. Zhao, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, L. Zhang, S. Sun, In-situ steam reforming of
 580 biomass tar over sawdust biochar in mild catalytic temperature, Biomass Bioenergy. 107
 581 (2017) 261–270. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.10.007.
- 582 [28] M. Hervy, A. Villot, C. Gérente, D. Pham Minh, E. Weiss-Hortala, A. Nzihou, L. Le Coq,
 583 Catalytic cracking of ethylbenzene as tar surrogate using pyrolysis chars from wastes,
 584 Biomass Bioenergy. 117 (2018) 86–95. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2018.07.020.
- 585 [29] P.R. Buchireddy, R.M. Bricka, J. Rodriguez, W. Holmes, Biomass Gasification: Catalytic
 586 Removal of Tars over Zeolites and Nickel Supported Zeolites, Energy Fuels. 24 (2010)
 587 2707–2715. doi:10.1021/ef901529d.
- 588 [30] D. Yao, H. Yang, H. Chen, P.T. Williams, Investigation of nickel-impregnated zeolite
 589 catalysts for hydrogen/syngas production from the catalytic reforming of waste
 590 polyethylene, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 227 (2018) 477–487.
 591 doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.01.050.
- 592 [31] M. Azhar Uddin, H. Tsuda, S. Wu, E. Sasaoka, Catalytic decomposition of biomass tars
 593 with iron oxide catalysts, Fuel. 87 (2008) 451–459. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2007.06.021.
- 594 [32] J. Chen, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa, K. Okumura, K. Tomishige, Promoting effect of trace
 595 Pd on hydrotalcite-derived Ni/Mg/Al catalyst in oxidative steam reforming of biomass tar,
 596 Appl. Catal. B Environ. 179 (2015) 412–421. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.05.042.
- 597 [33] S.J. Juutilainen, P.A. Simell, A.O.I. Krause, Zirconia: Selective oxidation catalyst for removal
 598 of tar and ammonia from biomass gasification gas, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 62 (2006) 86–92.
 599 doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2005.05.009.
- 600 [34] S. Cheah, K.R. Gaston, Y.O. Parent, M.W. Jarvis, T.B. Vinzant, K.M. Smith, N.E.
 601 Thornburg, M.R. Nimlos, K.A. Magrini-Bair, Nickel cerium olivine catalyst for catalytic
 602 gasification of biomass, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 134–135 (2013) 34–45.
 603 doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.12.022.
- 604 [35] D.A. Constantinou, M.C. Álvarez-Galván, J.L.G. Fierro, A.M. Efstathiou, Low-temperature conversion of phenol into CO, CO2 and H2 by steam reforming over La-containing 605 606 supported Rh catalysts, Appl. Environ. 117-118 (2012)Catal. B 81–95. 607 doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.01.005.
- L. Wang, Y. Hisada, M. Koike, D. Li, H. Watanabe, Y. Nakagawa, K. Tomishige, Catalyst
 property of Co–Fe alloy particles in the steam reforming of biomass tar and toluene, Appl.
 Catal. B Environ. 121–122 (2012) 95–104. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.03.025.

- 611 [37] D. Li, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa, K. Tomishige, Metal catalysts for steam reforming of tar
 612 derived from the gasification of lignocellulosic biomass, Bioresour. Technol. 178 (2015) 53–
 613 64. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.010.
- 614 [38] K. Raveendran, A. Ganesh, K.C. Khilar, Influence of mineral matter on biomass pyrolysis
 615 characteristics, Fuel. 74 (1995) 1812–1822. doi:10.1016/0016-2361(95)80013-8.
- 616 [39] N.B. Klinghoffer, M.J. Castaldi, A. Nzihou, Influence of char composition and inorganics
 617 on catalytic activity of char from biomass gasification, Fuel. 157 (2015) 37–47.
 618 doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2015.04.036.
- [40] Y. Shen, P. Zhao, Q. Shao, D. Ma, F. Takahashi, K. Yoshikawa, In-situ catalytic conversion
 of tar using rice husk char-supported nickel-iron catalysts for biomass pyrolysis/gasification,
 Appl. Catal. B Environ. 152–153 (2014) 140–151. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.01.032.
- [41] P.H. Blanco, C. Wu, J.A. Onwudili, P.T. Williams, Characterization and evaluation of Ni/SiO2 catalysts for hydrogen production and tar reduction from catalytic steam pyrolysisreforming of refuse derived fuel, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 134–135 (2013) 238–250. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.01.016.
- [42] J.N. Kuhn, Z. Zhao, L.G. Felix, R.B. Slimane, C.W. Choi, U.S. Ozkan, Olivine catalysts for
 methane- and tar-steam reforming, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 81 (2008) 14–26.
 doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2007.11.040.
- [43] M. Virginie, C. Courson, D. Niznansky, N. Chaoui, A. Kiennemann, Characterization and
 reactivity in toluene reforming of a Fe/olivine catalyst designed for gas cleanup in biomass
 gasification, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 101 (2010) 90–100. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.09.011.
- [44] J.F. González, S. Román, G. Engo, J.M. Encinar, G. Martínez, Reduction of tars by
 dolomite cracking during two-stage gasification of olive cake, Biomass Bioenergy. 35 (2011)
 4324–4330. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.08.001.
- [45] D.A. Constantinou, J.L.G. Fierro, A.M. Efstathiou, A comparative study of the steam reforming of phenol towards H₂ production over natural calcite, dolomite and olivine materials, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 95 (2010) 255–269. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.01.003.
- 638 [46] M.F. Tennant, D.W. Mazyck, Steam-pyrolysis activation of wood char for superior odorant removal, Carbon. 41 (2003) 2195–2202. doi:10.1016/S0008-6223(03)00211-2.
- 640 Р. Magne, Х. Deglise, Reactions of Dolomite with [47] A. Donnot, 641 at Gasification CO<Subscript>2</Subscript> Temperatures of Wood, in: Res. 642 Dordrecht, Thermochem. Biomass Convers., Springer, 1988: pp. 1002–1015. 643 doi:10.1007/978-94-009-2737-7_75.
- [48] P. Pérez, P.M. Aznar, M.A. Caballero, J. Gil, J.A. Martín, J. Corella, Hot Gas Cleaning and
 Upgrading with a Calcined Dolomite Located Downstream a Biomass Fluidized Bed
 Gasifier Operating with Steam-Oxygen Mixtures, Energy Fuels. 11 (1997) 1194–1203.
 doi:10.1021/ef970046m.
- [49] J. Corella, M.-P. Aznar, J. Gil, M.A. Caballero, Biomass Gasification in Fluidized Bed:
 Where To Locate the Dolomite To Improve Gasification?, Energy Fuels. 13 (1999) 1122–
 1127. doi:10.1021/ef990019r.
- [50] J. Delgado, M.P. Aznar, J. Corella, Calcined dolomite, magnesite, and calcite for cleaning
 hot gas from a fluidized bed biomass gasifier with steam: life and usefulness, Ind. Eng.
 Chem. Res. 35 (1996) 3637–3643.
- 654 [51] G. Taralas, Effects of MgO, CaO and calcined dolomites on model substance cracking and
 655 conversion of tar from biomass gasification/pyrolysis gas, Department of Chemical
 656 Technology Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, 1990.
- [52] P.A. Simell, E.K. Hirvensalo, V.T. Smolander, A.O.I. Krause, Steam Reforming of
 Gasification Gas Tar over Dolomite with Benzene as a Model Compound, Ind. Eng. Chem.
 Res. 38 (1999) 1250–1257. doi:10.1021/ie9806460.

- [53] H. Aldén, E. Björkman, M. Carlsson, L. Waldheim, Catalytic Cracking of Naphthalene on
 Dolomite, in: Adv. Thermochem. Biomass Convers., Springer, Dordrecht, 1993: pp. 216–
 232. doi:10.1007/978-94-011-1336-6_17.
- 663 [54] P.A. Simell, N.A.K. Hakala, H.E. Haario, A.O.I. Krause, Catalytic decomposition of
 664 gasification gas tar with benzene as the model compound, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 36 (1997)
 665 42–51.
- 666 [55] P.A. Simell, J.K. Leppälahti, E.A. Kurkela, Tar-decomposing activity of carbonate rocks
 667 under high CO2 partial pressure, Fuel. 74 (1995) 938–945. doi:10.1016/0016668 2361(95)00012-T.
- E. Gusta, A.K. Dalai, M.A. Uddin, E. Sasaoka, Catalytic Decomposition of Biomass Tars
 with Dolomites, Energy Fuels. 23 (2009) 2264–2272. doi:10.1021/ef8009958.
- 671 [57] A. Sarioğlan, Tar removal on dolomite and steam reforming catalyst: Benzene, toluene and
 672 xylene reforming, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy. 37 (2012) 8133–8142.
 673 doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.02.045.
- [58] R. Zhang, R.C. Brown, A. Suby, K. Cummer, Catalytic destruction of tar in biomass derived
 producer gas, Energy Convers. Manag. 45 (2004) 995–1014.
 doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2003.08.016.
- [59] J.M. de Andrés, A. Narros, M.E. Rodríguez, Behaviour of dolomite, olivine and alumina as
 primary catalysts in air-steam gasification of sewage sludge, Fuel. 90 (2011) 521–527.
 doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2010.09.043.
- [60] P. Ammendola, B. Piriou, L. Lisi, G. Ruoppolo, R. Chirone, G. Russo, Dual bed reactor for
 the study of catalytic biomass tars conversion, Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci. 34 (2010) 269–274.
 doi:10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2009.10.019.
- 683 [61] D.C. Elliott, E.G. Baker, The effect of catalysis on wood-gasification tar composition,
 684 Biomass. 9 (1986) 195–203. doi:10.1016/0144-4565(86)90089-2.
- [62] H. Aldén, B.-G. Espenäs, E. Rensfelt, Conversion of Tar in Pyrolysis Gas from Wood
 Using a Fixed Dolomite Bed, in: A.V. Bridgwater, J.L. Kuester (Eds.), Res. Thermochem.
 Biomass Convers., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1988: pp. 987–1001. doi:10.1007/97894-009-2737-7_74.
- [63] K. Sjöström, G. Taralas, L. Liinanki, Sala Dolomite-Catalysed Conversion of Tar from
 Biomass Pyrolysis, in: A.V. Bridgwater, J.L. Kuester (Eds.), Res. Thermochem. Biomass
 691 Convers., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1988: pp. 974–986. doi:10.1007/978-94-009692 2737-7_73.
- 693 [64] P.A. Simell, J.K. Leppälahti, J.B. Bredenberg, Catalytic purification of tarry fuel gas with
 694 carbonate rocks and ferrous materials, Fuel. 71 (1992) 211–218. doi:10.1016/0016695 2361(92)90011-C.
- 696 [65] G. Hu, S. Xu, S. Li, C. Xiao, S. Liu, Steam gasification of apricot stones with olivine and
 697 dolomite as downstream catalysts, Fuel Process. Technol. 87 (2006) 375–382.
 698 doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2005.07.008.
- 699 [66] A.H. Clemens, L.F. Damiano, T.W. Matheson, The effect of calcium on the rate and
 700 products of steam gasification of char from low rank coal, Fuel. 77 (1998) 1017–1020.
 701 doi:10.1016/S0016-2361(97)00276-7.
- [67] J. Delgado, M.P. Aznar, J. Corella, Biomass Gasification with Steam in Fluidized Bed:
 Effectiveness of CaO, MgO, and CaO-MgO for Hot Raw Gas Cleaning, Ind. Eng. Chem.
 Res. 36 (1997) 1535–1543. doi:10.1021/ie960273w.
- [68] N. Alarcón, X. Garcí a, M.A. Centeno, P. Ruiz, A. Gordon, New effects during steam gasification of naphthalene: the synergy between CaO and MgO during the catalytic reaction, Appl. Catal. Gen. 267 (2004) 251–265. doi:10.1016/j.apcata.2004.03.010.
- 708 [69] P.A. Simell, J.O. Hepola, A.O.I. Krause, Effects of gasification gas components on tar and
 709 ammonia decomposition over hot gas cleanup catalysts, Fuel. 76 (1997) 1117–1127.
 710 doi:10.1016/S0016-2361(97)00109-9.

- [70] G. Taralas, M.G. Kontominas, X. Kakatsios, Modeling the Thermal Destruction of Toluene
 (C7H8) as Tar-Related Species for Fuel Gas Cleanup, Energy Fuels. 17 (2003) 329–337.
 doi:10.1021/ef0201533.
- [71] G. Taralas, M.G. Kontominas, Kinetic modelling of VOC catalytic steam pyrolysis for tar
 abatement phenomena in gasification/pyrolysis technologies, Fuel. 83 (2004) 1235–1245.
 doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2003.11.010.
- 717 [72] G. Lammers, A. a. C.M. Beenackers, J. Corella, Catalytic Tar Removal from Biomass
 718 Producer Gas with Secondary Air, in: Dev. Thermochem. Biomass Convers., Springer,
 719 Dordrecht, 1997: pp. 1179–1193. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-1559-6_95.
- [73] H. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, T. Ito, Ab initio MO study on adsorption of a hydrogen molecule onto magnesium oxide (100) surface, J. Phys. Chem. 94 (1990) 7206–7213. doi:10.1021/j100381a047.
- 723 [74] H. Hattori, Heterogeneous Basic Catalysis, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995) 537–558. 724 doi:10.1021/cr00035a005.
- 725 [75] C.P.B. Quitete, M.M.V.M. Souza, Application of Brazilian dolomites and mixed oxides as 726 536 catalysts tar removal system, Appl. Catal. Gen. in (2017)1 - 8. 727 doi:10.1016/j.apcata.2017.02.014.
- [76] S. Nakamura, S. Kitano, K. Yoshikawa, Biomass gasification process with the tar removal technologies utilizing bio-oil scrubber and char bed, Appl. Energy. 170 (2016) 186–192.
 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.02.113.
- 731 [77] P. Brandt, E. Larsen, U. Henriksen, High Tar Reduction in a Two-Stage Gasifier, Energy
 732 Fuels. 14 (2000) 816–819. doi:10.1021/ef990182m.
- [78] Y. Shao, D. Meng, C.C. Xu, F. Preto, J. Zhu, Ash Deposition in Air-Blown Gasification of
 Peat and Woody Biomass in a Fluidized-Bed Gasifier, Energy Fuels. (2018).
 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b00158.
- [79] S. Rapagnà, K. Gallucci, P.U. Foscolo, Olivine, dolomite and ceramic filters in one vessel to
 produce clean gas from biomass, Waste Manag. 71 (2018) 792–800.
 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.07.038.
- Y. Tian, X. Zhou, S. Lin, X. Ji, J. Bai, M. Xu, Syngas production from air-steam gasification
 of biomass with natural catalysts, Sci. Total Environ. 645 (2018) 518–523.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.071.
- [81] M. Jeremiáš, M. Pohořelý, K. Svoboda, S. Skoblia, Z. Beňo, M. Šyc, CO2 gasification of
 biomass: The effect of lime concentration in a fluidised bed, Appl. Energy. 217 (2018) 361–
 368. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.02.151.
- [82] S. Kern, C. Pfeifer, H. Hofbauer, Reactivity tests of the water–gas shift reaction on fresh and used fluidized bed materials from industrial DFB biomass gasifiers, Biomass Bioenergy. 55 (2013) 227–233. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.02.001.
- F. Kirnbauer, V. Wilk, H. Kitzler, S. Kern, H. Hofbauer, The positive effects of bed material coating on tar reduction in a dual fluidized bed gasifier, Fuel. 95 (2012) 553–562. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2011.10.066.
- [84] F. Kirnbauer, H. Hofbauer, Investigations on Bed Material Changes in a Dual Fluidized
 Bed Steam Gasification Plant in Güssing, Austria, Energy Fuels. 25 (2011) 3793–3798.
 doi:10.1021/ef200746c.
- F. Kirnbauer, H. Hofbauer, The mechanism of bed material coating in dual fluidized bed
 biomass steam gasification plants and its impact on plant optimization, Powder Technol.
 245 (2013) 94–104.
- [86] M. Kuba, F. Havlik, F. Kirnbauer, H. Hofbauer, Influence of bed material coatings on the
 water-gas-shift reaction and steam reforming of toluene as tar model compound of biomass
 gasification, Biomass Bioenergy. 89 (2016) 40–49. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.11.029.

- 760 [87] M. Kuba, H. He, F. Kirnbauer, D. Boström, M. Öhman, H. Hofbauer, Deposit build-up
 761 and ash behavior in dual fluid bed steam gasification of logging residues in an industrial
 762 power plant, Fuel Process. Technol. 139 (2015) 33–41. doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2015.08.017.
- 763 [88] M. Kuba, H. He, F. Kirnbauer, N. Skoglund, D. Boström, M. Öhman, H. Hofbauer,
 764 Mechanism of Layer Formation on Olivine Bed Particles in Industrial-Scale Dual Fluid Bed
 765 Gasification of Wood, Energy Fuels. 30 (2016) 7410–7418.
 766 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b01522.
- 767 [89] L. Coll, EQTEC GASIFIER TECHNOLOGY, in: Hamburg, Germany, 2014.
- [90] J. Carignan, P. Hild, G. Mevelle, J. Morel, D. Yeghicheyan, Routine analyses of trace
 elements in geological samples using flow injection and low pressure on-line liquid
 chromatography and ICP-MS: A study of geochemichal reference materials BR, DR-N, UBN, AN-G and GH, Geostand. Geoanalytical Res. 25 (2001) 187–198.
- [91] R.N. Olcese, M. Bettahar, D. Petitjean, B. Malaman, F. Giovanella, A. Dufour, Gas-phase
 hydrodeoxygenation of guaiacol over Fe/SiO2 catalyst, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 115–116
 (2012) 63–73. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.12.005.
- [92] J. Kaknics, R. Michel, J. Poirier, E. de Bilbao, Experimental Study and Thermodynamic
 Modelling of High Temperature Interactions Between Molten Miscanthus Ashes and Bed
 Particles in Fluidized Bed Reactors, Waste Biomass Valorization. 8 (2017) 2771–2790.
 doi:10.1007/s12649-017-9828-x.
- [93] R. Michel, J. Kaknics, E. de Bilbao, J. Poirier, The mechanism of agglomeration of the refractory materials in a fluidized-bed reactor, Ceram. Int. 42 (2016) 2570–2581.
 doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.10.060.
- [94] N. Alarcón, X. García, M. Centeno, P. Ruiz, A. Gordon, Catalytic cooperation at the interface of physical mixtures of CaO and MgO catalysts during steam gasification of naphthalene, Surf. Interface Anal. 31 (n.d.) 1031–1041. doi:10.1002/sia.1137.
- 785 V. Derycke, M. Kongolo, M. Benzaazoua, M. Mallet, O. Barrès, P. De Donato, B. Bussière, [95] 786 R. Mermillod-Blondin, Surface chemical characterization of different pyrite size fractions 787 for flotation purposes, Int. J. Miner. Process. 118 (2013)1–14. 788 doi:10.1016/j.minpro.2012.10.004.
- 789 [96] A.P.E. York, T. Xiao, M.L.H. Green, J.B. Claridge, Methane Oxyforming for Synthesis Gas
 790 Production, Catal. Rev. 49 (2007) 511–560. doi:10.1080/01614940701583315.
- [97] J.C. Lavalley, Infrared spectrometric studies of the surface basicity of metal oxides and
 zeolites using adsorbed probe molecules, Catal. Today. 27 (1996) 377–401.
 doi:10.1016/0920-5861(95)00161-1.
- 794 [98] V.A. Rigo, C.O. Metin, Q.P. Nguyen, C.R. Miranda, Hydrocarbon Adsorption on Carbonate Mineral Surfaces: A First-Principles Study with van der Waals Interactions, J. Phys. Chem. C. 116 (2012) 24538–24548. doi:10.1021/jp306040n.
- [99] H. Itoh, S. Utamapanya, J.V. Stark, K.J. Klabunde, J.R. Schlup, Nanoscale Metal Oxide
 Particles as Chemical Reagents. Intrinsic Effects of Particle Size on Hydroxyl Content and
 on Reactivity and Acid/Base Properties of Ultrafine Magnesium Oxide, Chem. Mater. 5
 (1993) 71–77. doi:10.1021/cm00025a015.
- 801[100] R.G.Pearson, Acids and Bases, Science.151(1966)172–177.802doi:10.1126/science.151.3707.172.
- [101] R.G. Pearson, Absolute electronegativity and hardness: application to inorganic chemistry,
 Inorg. Chem. 27 (1988) 734–740. doi:10.1021/ic00277a030.
- [102] W.C. Conner, J.L. Falconer, Spillover in Heterogeneous Catalysis, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995)
 759–788. doi:10.1021/cr00035a014.
- [103] R. Philipp, K. Omata, A. Aoki, K. Fujimoto, On the active site of MgO/CaO mixed oxide
 for oxidative coupling of methane, J. Catal. 134 (1992) 422–433. doi:10.1016/00219517(92)90332-C.
- 810

Graphical abstract

