

Commentary: mirror-image equivalence and interhemispheric mirror-image reversal

Jean-Paul Fischer, Christophe Luxembourger

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Paul Fischer, Christophe Luxembourger. Commentary: mirror-image equivalence and interhemispheric mirror-image reversal. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2018, 12, pp.375. 10.3389/fn-hum.2018.00375 . hal-02070480

HAL Id: hal-02070480 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02070480

Submitted on 18 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Author's Proof

Carefully read the entire proof and mark all corrections in the appropriate place, using the Adobe Reader commenting tools (Adobe Help). Do not forget to reply to the queries.

We do not accept corrections in the form of edited manuscripts.

In order to ensure the timely publication of your article, please submit the corrections within 48 hours.

If you have any questions, please contact neuroscience.production.office@frontiersin.org.

Author Queries Form

Q1	The citation and surnames of all of the authors have been highlighted. Please check all of the names carefully and indicate if any are incorrect. Please note that this may affect the indexing of your article in repositories such as PubMed.	
Q2	Confirm that the email address in your correspondence section is accurate.	
Q3	If you decide to use previously published, copyrighted figures in your article, please keep in mind that it is your responsibility, as the author, to obtain the appropriate permissions and licenses and to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders. If obtaining the reproduction rights involves the payment of a fee, these charges are to be paid by the authors.	
Q4	Ensure that all the figures, tables and captions are correct.	\bigcirc
Q5	Verify that all the equations and special characters are displayed correctly.	\bigcirc
Q6	Ensure to add all grant numbers and funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.	
Q7	Ensure, if it applies to your study, the ethics statement is included in the article.	$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
Q8	Kindly confirm if the details appearing in the "Author Contributions" section are correct and make sure all authors listed in the Author's list are mentioned there.	
Q9	Please provide the name of the department for "Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France."	
Q10	Please reduce short running title to maximum of five words.	





Q2 47

GENERAL COMMENTARY published: xx September 2018

doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00375



Commentary: Mirror-Image Equivalence and Interhemispheric Mirror-Image Reversal

Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France

Keywords: mirror reversal, mirror equivalence, Corballis' theory, Orton's theory, character's orientation

A Commentary on

Mirror-Image Equivalence and Interhemispheric Mirror-Image Reversal

by Corballis, M. C. (2018). Front. Hum. Neurosci. 12:140. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00140

Edited by:

OPEN ACCESS

Hauke R. Heekeren, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

Reviewed by:

Michael Charles Corballis, University of Auckland, New Zealand

*Correspondence:

Jean-Paul Fischer jean-paul.fischer@univ-lorraine.fr

Received: 28 April 2018 Accepted: 03 September 2018 Published: xx September 2018

Citation:

Fischer J-P and Luxembourger C (2018) Commentary: Mirror-Image Equivalence and Interhemispheric Mirror-Image Reversal. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 12:375. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00375 In his recent theory of "mirror-image equivalence and interhemispheric mirror-image reversal," Corballis (2018) suggests that confusion between an image and its mirror "is almost certainly a matter of recognition rather than perception *per se*." (p. 3).

Additional evidence supporting Corballis' claim is provided by Fischer and Tazouti (2012, Expt. 99 1a), which compared the frequencies with which 5- to 6-year-old children reversed digits and letters 100 when copying them and when writing them from memory (under dictation). They did this by 101 asking one group of 143 children to copy the eight asymmetric digits and to write from memory 102 eight asymmetric capital letters, and another group of 156 children to copy the same letters and 103 to write from memory the same digits. The result was very clear, as the children reversed the characters much less frequently when they copied them (about 0.4% reversals) than when they 105 wrote them from memory (more than 21% reversals). The rarity with which the children reversed the characters when copying them indicates that they perceive the left or right orientation of digits and capital letters almost perfectly. 108

Corballis made his claim when commenting on Rollenhagen and Olson (2000). In fact, Fischer and Koch (2016a, p. 121) made an analogous observation with respect to Blackburne et al. (2014), who wrote that "the remarkable 'brain blindness' to letter orientation in children is consistent with the view that letter perception begins developmentally with visual processes that are orientation insensitive" (p. 14). This view is not consistent with Corballis' theory or with the substantial difference in the frequency of reversal errors when copying compared with writing from memory.

Q10

P	4	C	8	r	f	6	L	C	5	G
P [4	C	3	1	7	J		9	Z	
6	0	5	5	K	F	C	B	B	M	Q
J	0	Z	<mark>5</mark>	K	F	9	B	3	N	
R	E	E	D	8	6	6	5	S	C.	\leq
R	E	3	D	8	6	J	S	2	9	1 2



Asymmetrical characters are perceived as "oriented" either toward the left (1, 2, 3, 7, 9, J, Z) or toward the right (4, 5, 6, B, C, D, E, F, G, K, L, N, P, Q, R, S), even by adults (Fischer, 2018). Children's reversals of left-oriented characters are generally due to them turning these characters in the direction of writing (left-to-right in Western cultures). That is, children who completely mirror-write (i.e., who write from right to left in Western cultures), reverse the right-oriented characters by turning them toward the left (Fischer, 2017).

Corballis' differentiation between the two hemispheres raises the question of how the reversed and veridical characters are distributed to them. Orton's (1925) well-known schema showing ABC in the left hemisphere and OBA in the right hemisphere suggests a very simple categorization. However, Orton's hypothesis is difficult to accord with the knowledge of 4- to 5-year-old children, who cannot logically divide the characters into veridical vs. reversed characters for the simple reason that they do not know whether a character is veridical or reversed.

We have conducted many studies of reversals in consecutive writings of single characters by typically developing 5- to 6-year-old children (Fischer and Tazouti, 2012; Fischer, 2013, 2018; Fischer and Koch, 2016a,b). Combining results from these studies, we found, for example, reversal frequencies of 49.3% for the digit 3, vs. only 11.8% for the digit 4 (in writings by 1563 children), and reversal frequencies of 47.9% for the letter J, vs. only 4.6% for the letter K (in writings by 679 children). Figure 1 illustrates these reversals in the writings of one girl from our studies. Her reversals of 3, 1, 7, J, 9, Z, 2 are possible if the representations of the left-oriented characters are reversed in one hemisphere. This should allow the girl to produce her writings from memory by activating only the representations in this hemisphere. In contrast, Orton's

hypothesis requires the girl to jump 15 times, for no obvious reason, from the representations in one hemisphere to the representations in the other hemisphere (see **Figure 1**). The girl's writings are also consistent with each hemisphere carrying both veridical and reversed representations, as in Corballis' theory.

Orton's hypothesis comes up against the same problem in name writing. For example, HADJER, a boy aged 5 years 10 months (see Fischer, 2017) who mirror-wrote his name ЯЗЈДАН, would have had to recruit the representation of C in the right hemisphere, the representation of J in the left hemisphere, and then return to the right hemisphere to write H and H. With veridical and reversed representations in each hemisphere, he could use representations of characters in only one hemisphere, thus avoiding any inexplicable jumps from one hemisphere to the other while writing his name.

A more precise hypothesis than the presence of veridical and reversed representations in both hemispheres is that one hemisphere contains reversed representations of the left-oriented asymmetrical characters and veridical representations of the other characters, whereas the other hemisphere contains reversed representations of the right-oriented asymmetrical characters and veridical representations of the left-oriented characters. This hypothesis would not only account for the girl's reversals presented in Figure 1, it would also explain another recent finding. In fact, children who use the representations in one hemisphere the most (because of its higher activation) should also be those who use the representations in the other hemisphere the least. Thus, the more precise hypothesis implies that children who reverse the left-oriented characters the most are also those who reverse the right-oriented characters the least. This has been shown to be the case for digits (Fischer, 2013), digits and capital letters

(Fischer and Koch, 2016a), and capital letter-like characters
(McIntosh et al., 2018a).

To conclude, Corballis' suggestion that "early processing retains left-right information for perception, but this is lost at the later stage where recognition takes place" (p. 4) is opportune. Furthermore, his theory's proposition that both hemispheres contain both veridical and reversed representations is compatible with character reversal in writing from memory as a function of a character's orientation, as observed by our research group and by Portex et al. (2018), McIntosh et al. (2018a,b), and Treiman et al. (2014). However, it does not exclude other explanations.

REFERENCES

- Blackburne, L. K., Eddy, M. D., Kalra, P., Yee, D., Sinha, P., and Gabrieli, J. D. E.
 (2014). Neural correlates of letter reversal in children and adults. *PLoS ONE* 9:e98386. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098386
- ²⁴⁹ Corballis, M. C. (2018). Mirror-image equivalence and interhemispheric mirrorimage reversal. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 12:140. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00140
- Fischer, J. P. (2013). Digit reversal in children's writing: a simple theory
 and its empirical validation. J. Exp. Child Psychol. 115, 356–370.
 doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2013.02.003
- Fischer, J. P. (2017). Character reversal in children: the prominent role of writing direction. *Read. Writ.* 30, 523–542. doi: 10.1007/s11145-016-9688-y
- Fischer, J. P. (2018). Studies on the written characters orientation and its influence on digit reversal by children. *Educ. Psychol.* 38, 556–571. doi:10.1080/01443410.2017.1359239
- Fischer, J. P., and Koch, A. M. (2016a). Mirror writing in typically developing children: a first longitudinal study. *Cogn. Dev.* 38, 114–124. doi: 10.1016/j.cogdev.2016.02.005
- Fischer, J. P., and Koch, A. M. (2016b). Mirror writing in 5- to 6-year-old children: the preferred hand is not the explanation. *Laterality* 21, 34–49. doi: 10.1080/1357650X.2015.1066383
- Fischer, J. P., and Tazouti, Y. (2012). Unraveling the mystery of mirror writing in typically developing children. *J. Educ. Psychol.* 104, 193–205. doi: 10.1037/a0025735
- McIntosh, R. D., Anderson, E. L., and Henderson, R. M. (2018a). Experimental
 confirmation of a character-facing bias in literacy development. J. Exp. Child
 Psychol. 170, 207–214. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2018.01.011

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J-PF was at the initiative of the paper, wrote the paper and was responsible of the publication process. CL has found financial support for the project, corrected and ameliorated the readability of the paper, and participated in all stages of the submission process.

FUNDING

The Labo 2LPN (Université de Lorraine) has paid the publishing fees.

- McIntosh, R. D., Hillary, K., Brennan, A., and Lechowicz, M. (2018b). Developmental mirror-writing is paralleled by orientation recognition errors. *Laterality* 23, 664–683. doi: 10.1080/1357650X.2018.14
- Orton, S. T. (1925). "Word-blindness" in school children. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 14, 581–615. doi: 10.1001/archneurpsyc.1925.02200170002001
- Portex, M., Hélin, C., Ponce, C., and Foulin, J. N. (2018). Dynamics of mirror writing composition of conventional writing in typical preliterate children. *Read. Writ.* 31:1435–10.1007/s11145-018-9838-5
- Rollenhagen, J. E., and Olson, C. R. (2000). Mirror-image confusion in single neurons of the macaque inferotemporal cortex. *Science* 287, 1506–1508. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5457.1506
- Treiman, R., Gordon, J., Boada, R., Peterson, R. L., and Pennington, B. F. (2014). Statistical learning, letter reversals, and reading. *Sci. Stud. Read.* 18, 383–394. doi: 10.1080/10888438.2013.873937

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Fischer and Luxembourger. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

- 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341