



HAL
open science

Linear backward stochastic differential equations with gaussian Volterra processes

H Knani, M Dozzi

► **To cite this version:**

H Knani, M Dozzi. Linear backward stochastic differential equations with gaussian Volterra processes. 2019. hal-02384407v1

HAL Id: hal-02384407

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02384407v1>

Preprint submitted on 28 Nov 2019 (v1), last revised 20 Jul 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Linear Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Gaussian Volterra processes

H.Knani ^{*}, M. Dozzi [†]

April 27, 2019

Abstract

Explicit solutions for a class of linear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDE) driven by Gaussian Volterra processes are given. These processes include the multifractional brownian motion and the multifractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. By an Itô formula, proven in the context of Malliavin calculus, the BSDE is associated to a linear second order partial differential equation with terminal condition whose solution is given by a Feynman-Kac type formula. An application to self-financing trading strategies is discussed.

AMS subject classifications. 35K10, 60G22, 60H05, 60H07, 60H10

Key words. Backward stochastic differential equation, Itô formula, Malliavin calculus, partial differential equation, Gaussian Volterra process

1 Introduction

A backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE) with a generator $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a terminal value ξ_T and driven by a stochastic process $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ is given by the equation

$$Y_t = \xi_T - \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T Z_s dX_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (1)$$

A solution is a pair of square integrable processes Y and $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^n)$ that are adapted to the filtration generated by X . Such equations appear especially in the context of asset pricing and hedging theory in finance and in the context of stochastic control problems. BSDEs may be considered as an alternative to the more familiar partial differential equations (PDE) since the solutions of BSDEs are closely related to classical or viscosity solutions of associated PDEs. As a consequence, BSDEs may be used for the numerical solution of nonlinear PDEs.

BSDEs driven by brownian motion have been studied extensively after the first general existence and uniqueness result proved by E. Pardoux and S.G. Peng [17]. For a synthesis of this research work we may refer to the recent textbooks [6], [8], [16], [18], [21], [24]. More recently BSDEs driven by fractional brownian motions have been investigated (see, e.g., [2], [12], [11], [13], [14], [20], [22],

^{*}Laboratoire de Mathématiques: Modélisation Déterministe et Aléatoire, Hammam Sousse, Tunisie et UMR-CNRS 7502, Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, Nancy, France

[†]UMR-CNRS 7502, Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine, Nancy, France

[23]). Since fractional brownian motions (with Hurst index $H \in (0, 1/2) \cup (1/2, 1)$) are neither martingales nor Markov processes, new methods have been developed to show the wellposedness of BSDEs in certain function spaces. Hereby the stochastic integral $\int_t^T Z_s dX_s$ has frequently been defined as a Skorohod integral in the framework of Malliavin calculus, and the notion of quasi-conditional expectation has been introduced, since the classical notion of conditional expectation seems not to be convenient for a proof of the existence and uniqueness of solutions to BSDEs whose driving process is not a martingale ([15], Lemma 1.2.5). Very few articles are concerned with BSDEs for more general gaussian processes ([3], [4]) or in the context of the theory of rough paths [9]. In [3] the stochastic integral $\int_t^T Z_s dX_s$ is understood in the Wick-Itô sense, and the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1) is proved for a class of gaussian processes which includes fractional Brownian motion. The proof is based on a transfer theorem that aims to reduce the question of wellposedness to BSDEs driven by brownian motion. In [4] it is shown that the wellposedness of linear BSDEs with general square integrable terminal condition ξ holds true if and only if X is a martingale.

This paper is concerned with linear BSDEs driven by gaussian Volterra processes X . This class of processes contains multifractional brownian motions and multifractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Contrary to fractional Brownian motion where the Hurst parameter H is constant, it becomes for multifractional Brownian motion a function h which is assumed here to be differentiable and with values in $(1/2, 1)$. The aim is to obtain the solution of the linear BSDE with the associated linear PDE whose classical solution is given explicitly. This generalizes a result in [2] obtained for fractional brownian motion. We define the stochastic integral $\int_t^T Z_s dX_s$ as a divergence integral, and extend an Itô formula in [1] to the multidimensional case. The Itô formula is then applied to the solution of the associated PDE in order to get a solution of the BSDE. The question of uniqueness of solutions of (not necessarily linear) BSDEs driven by Volterra processes will be treated in a separate paper. Special attention is given to the fact that the variance of Volterra processes is not necessarily an increasing function of time, but in general only of bounded variation. The explicit solution of the associated PDE contains this variance and is given by a Feynman-Kac type formula on time intervals where it is increasing. The application of this formula to the BSDEs is therefore restricted to time intervals where this variance is increasing.

In this section we define the class of Volterra processes X we have in mind and the linear BSDEs and the associated PDE. Section 2 is concerned with complements on the Skorohod integral with respect to Volterra processes. The Itô formula is proved in Section 3 and applied in Section 4 to the linear BSDE.

1.1 Gaussian Volterra processes

Let $X = \{X_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a zero mean continuous Gaussian process given by

$$X_t = \int_0^T K(t, s) dW_s \quad (2)$$

where $W = \{W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a standard Brownian motion and $K : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a square integrable kernel, i.e. $\int_{[0, T]^2} K(t, s)^2 dt ds < +\infty$. We assume that K is of Volterra type, i.e. $K(t, s) = 0$ whenever $t < s$. Usually, the representation (2) is called a Volterra representation of X . Gaussian Volterra processes and their stochastic analysis have been studied e.g. in [1], [7] and [19]. In [1] K is called *regular* if it satisfies

(H) For all $s \in (0, T]$, $\int_0^T |K((s, T], s)|^2 ds < \infty$, where $|K|((s, T], s)$ denotes the total variation of $K(\cdot, s)$ on $(s, T]$.

We assume the following condition on $K(t, s)$ which is more restrictive than (H) ([1], [7]):

(H1) $K(t, s)$ is continuously differentiable in the variable t in $0 < s < t < T$,

(H2) For some $0 < \alpha, \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, there is a finite constant $c > 0$ such that, for all $s > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(t, s) \right| \leq c(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{t}{s} \right)^\beta, \quad t \in (s, T].$$

The covariance function of X is given by

$$R(t, s) := \mathbb{E}X_t X_s = \int_0^{\inf(t, s)} K(t, u)K(s, u)du. \quad (3)$$

We discuss shortly some examples of Gaussian Volterra processes that satisfy (H1) and (H2).

Example 1. The multi-fractional brownian motion (mbm) $(B_t^{h(t)}, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ with Hurst function $h : [0, T] \rightarrow [a, b] \subset (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Its kernel is given by [5]

$$K(t, s) = s^{1/2-h(t)} \int_s^t (y-s)^{h(t)-3/2} y^{h(t)-1/2} dy, \quad (4)$$

where h is assumed to be continuously differentiable with bounded derivative. We get

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(t, s) = h'(t)s^{\frac{1}{2}-h(t)} \int_s^t (y-s)^{h(t)-\frac{3}{2}} y^{h(t)-\frac{1}{2}} \ln\left(\frac{y}{s} - 1\right) y dy + s^{\frac{1}{2}-h(t)} (t-s)^{h(t)-\frac{3}{2}} t^{h(t)-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that (H2) is satisfied with $\alpha = a - \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = b + \epsilon - \frac{1}{2}$ with ϵ small enough and c depends on a, b, T and ϵ . The mbm generalizes fractional brownian motion (fbm) with Hurst index $H > 1/2$. MbM is a more flexible model than fbM since the Hölder continuity of its trajectories varies with h . The trajectories of mbM $B_t^{h(\cdot)}$ are in fact locally Hölder continuous of order $h(t)$ at t .

Example 2. The multi-fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $U = \{U_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ given by $U_t = \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dB_s^{h(s)}$, where $\theta > 0$ is a parameter and B^h is the mbm of Example 1. The kernel of U is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(t, r) = \int_r^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, r) ds = K(t, r) - \theta \int_r^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} K(s, r) ds.$$

In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t, r) dW_r &= \int_0^t K(t, r) dW_r - \theta \int_0^t \left(\int_r^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} K(s, r) ds \right) dW_r \\ &= B_t^{h(t)} - \theta \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} B_s^{h(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

An integration by parts gives the representation of U . We notice that in the framework of the divergence integral (Section 2) the integral with respect to mbm can be reduced to an integral with respect to brownian motion. (H2) is satisfied with the same values of α and β as in Example 1.

Example 3. The Liouville multi-fractional Brownian motion (LmBm) $(B_t^{L, h(t)}, t \in [0, T])$ with Hurst function h as in Example 1. Its kernel is given by $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(t, r) = (t-r)^{h(t)-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, t]}(r)$.

1.2 Linear backward stochastic differential equations

Let $W = (W^1, \dots, W^n)$ a standard brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^n , defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and let $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}, t \in [0, T]\}$ be the filtration generated by W and augmented by the P -null sets. We consider the \mathbb{R}^n -valued Volterra processes $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ given by

$$X_t^j = \int_0^t K^j(t, s) dW_s^j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

where $K^j : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions (H1) and (H2). Let $\sigma^j, j = 1, \dots, n$ be bounded functions on $[0, T]$, and let $b^j \in \mathcal{C}^1((0, T), \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}), j = 1, \dots, n$. The process $N := (N^1, \dots, N^n)$ is defined by

$$N_t^j = b_t^j + \int_0^t \sigma_s^j \delta X_s^j, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (6)$$

Let $t_0 \geq 0$ be fixed, and denote by $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of \mathbb{F} -adapted \mathbb{R}^n -valued processes Z such that $\mathbb{E}(\int_{t_0}^T |Z_t|^2 dt) < \infty$. We consider the linear BDSE for the processes $Y = (Y_t, t \in [t_0, T]) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{R})$ and $Z = ((Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^n), t \in [t_0, T]) \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$Y_t = g(N_T) - \int_t^T [f(s) + A_1(s)Y_s - A_2(s)Z_s] ds + \int_t^T Z_s \delta X_s, \quad t \in [t_0, T], \quad (7)$$

where the real-valued functions g, f, A_1 and the \mathbb{R}^n -valued function A_2 are supposed to be known and the integral $\int_t^T Z_s \delta X_s$ is defined as a divergence integral and will be studied in Sections 2 and 3. (7) is associated to the following second order linear PDE with terminal condition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d}{dt} \text{Var}(N_t^j) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}(t, x) + \sum_{j=1}^n [\sigma_t^j A_2^j(t) - \frac{d}{dt} b_t^j] \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(t, x) + A_1(t)u(t, x) + f(t), \\ u(T, x) &= g(x), \quad (t, x) \in [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By means of the Itô formula of Section 3 we show that

$$Y_t := u(t, N_t) \text{ and } Z_t^j := -\sigma_t^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t), \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad (9)$$

is a solution of (7). (8) is solved explicitly in Section 4.

A possible application of this result is to formulate and to solve, in the context of Volterra processes, the well known problem of self-financing trading strategies against a positive contingency claim ξ at a time T . Volterra processes are in fact more flexible than brownian motion to modelize the different kinds of uncertainties that occur on financial markets. Let P_t^0 be the price at time $t \in [t_0, T]$ of a riskless asset that is governed by the equation $dP_t^0 = P_t^0 r_t dt$, where r_t is the short rate, and let $P_t^j, j = 1, \dots, n$ be the prices of n risky assets that are governed by the equations $dP_t^j = P_t^j (b_t^j dt + \sigma_t^j \delta X_t^j)$, where b_t^j is the appreciation rate and σ_t^j is the volatility. Then $\theta_t^j := (b_t^j - r_t)/\sigma_t^j$ is called the risk premium for the risky asset j . The problem is to find the part π_t^j of the wealth V_t to invest at time t in the asset $j, j = 1, \dots, n$. Of course this decision can only be based on the current information \mathcal{F}_t , i.e. the processes π_t^j and $\pi_t^0 := V_t - \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_t^j$ must be \mathcal{F}_t -adapted. In the model of Harrison and Pliska ([10]) V_t satisfies the equation $dV_t = r_t V_t dt + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_t^j - r_t) \pi_t^j dt + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_t^j \sigma_t^j \delta X_t^j$ with final condition $V_T = \xi$. If ξ is of the form $g(N_T), (V_t, \pi_t^1, \dots, \pi_t^n), t \in [t_0, T]$, is the solution of (7) with $A_1(s) = r_s$, and $A_2^j(s) = \theta_s^j \sigma_s^j, j = 1, \dots, n$.

2 On the divergence integral for Gaussian Volterra processes

The kernel K in (2) defines a linear operator in $L^2([0, T])$ given by $(K\sigma)_t = \int_0^t K(t, s)\sigma_s ds$, $\sigma \in L^2([0, T])$. Let \mathcal{E} be the set of step functions of $[0, T]$, and let $K_T^* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^2([0, T])$ be defined by

$$(K_T^*\sigma)_u := \int_u^T \sigma_s \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, u) ds.$$

The operator K_T^* is the adjoint of K ([1], Lemma 1).

Remarks: a) For $s > t$, we have $(K_T^*\sigma 1_{[0, t]})_s = 0$, and we will denote $(K_T^*\sigma 1_{[0, t]})_s$ by $(K_t^*\sigma)_s$ where K_t^* is the adjoint of the operator K in the interval $[0, t]$.

b) If $K(u, u) = 0$ for all $u \in [0, T]$, $(K_T^*1_{[0, r]})_u = K(r, u)$ for $u < r$. Indeed, if $u \leq r$, we have

$$(K_T^*1_{[0, r]})_u = \int_u^T 1_{[0, r]}(s) \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, u) ds = \int_u^r \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, u) ds.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R(t, s) &= \mathbb{E}[X_t X_s] = \int_0^{\inf(t, s)} (K_T^*1_{[0, t]})_u (K_T^*1_{[0, s]})_u du \\ &= \langle K_T^*1_{[0, t]}, K_T^*1_{[0, s]} \rangle_{L^2([0, T])}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{E}$ this may be extended to

$$X(\sigma) := \int_0^t (K_t^*\sigma)_s dW_s \quad \text{and} \quad E[X(\sigma)X(\tilde{\sigma})] = \langle K_T^*\sigma, K_T^*\tilde{\sigma} \rangle_{L^2([0, T])}.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be the closure of the linear span of the indicator functions $1_{[0, t]}$, $t \in [0, T]$ with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle 1_{[0, t]}, 1_{[0, s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \langle K_T^*1_{[0, t]}, K_T^*1_{[0, s]} \rangle_{L^2([0, T])}.$$

The operator K_T^* is an isometry between \mathcal{H} and a closed subspace of $L^2([0, T])$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a semi-norm on \mathcal{H} . Furthermore, for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle K_T^*\varphi, K_T^*\psi \rangle_{L^2([0, T])} = \int_0^T \int_0^T \left(\int_0^{\inf(r, s)} \frac{\partial K}{\partial r}(r, t) \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, t) dt \right) \varphi_r \psi_s ds dr.$$

For further use let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r, s) &: = \int_0^{\inf(r, s)} \frac{\partial K}{\partial r}(r, t) \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, t) dt, \quad r \neq s, \\ \tilde{\phi}(r, s) &: = \int_0^{\inf(r, s)} \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial r}(r, t) \right| \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, t) \right| dt, \quad r \neq s, \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi(r, s) = \partial^2 / \partial s \partial r R(r, s)$ (ϕ may be infinite on the diagonal $r = s$). Let $|\mathcal{H}|$ be the closure of the linear span of indicator functions with respect to the semi-norm given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}^2 &= \int_0^T \left(\int_t^T |\varphi_r| \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial r}(r, t) \right| dr \right)^2 dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^T dr \int_0^r ds \tilde{\phi}(r, s) |\varphi_r| |\varphi_s|.\end{aligned}$$

We briefly recall some basic elements of the stochastic calculus of variations with respect to X . We refer to [15] for a more complete presentation. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of random variables of the form $F = f(X(\varphi_1), \dots, X(\varphi_n))$, where $n \geq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (f and its derivatives are bounded) and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}$. The derivative of F

$$D^X F := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(X(\varphi_1), \dots, X(\varphi_n)) \varphi_j.$$

is an \mathcal{H} -valued random variable, and D^X is a closable operator from $L^p(\Omega)$ to $L^p(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$ for all $p \geq 1$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}_{1,p}^X$ the closure of \mathcal{S} with respect to the semi-norm

$$\|F\|_{1,p}^p = \mathbb{E}|F|^p + \mathbb{E}\|D^X F\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p.$$

Let δ^X the adjoint of D^X . For $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$ in the domain of δ^X , $\delta^X(u)$ is the element in $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by the duality relationship

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F \delta^X(u)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\langle D^X F, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right], \quad F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X.$$

We also use the notation $\int_0^T u_t \delta X_t$ for $\delta^X(u)$. A class of processes that belong to the domain of δ^X is given as follows: let $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{H}}$ be the class of \mathcal{H} -valued random variables $u = \sum_{j=1}^n F_j h_j$ ($F_j \in \mathcal{S}$, $h_j \in \mathcal{H}$), and let, for $p \geq 1$, $\mathbb{D}_{1,p}^X(\mathcal{H})$ be the completion of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{H}}$ with respect to the semi-norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,\mathcal{H}}^p = \mathbb{E}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p + \mathbb{E}\|D^X u\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}}^p.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}}$ is given by

$$\langle D^X u, D^X v \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} = \int_{[0,T]^4} D_s^X u_t D_{t'}^X v_{s'} \phi(s, s') \phi(t, t') ds dt ds' dt'.$$

In the same way $\mathbb{D}_{1,p}^X(|\mathcal{H}|)$ is defined as the completion of $\mathcal{S}^{|\mathcal{H}|}$ under the semi-norm $\|u\|_{1,p,|\mathcal{H}|}^p$ where

$$\|D^X u\|_{|\mathcal{H}| \otimes |\mathcal{H}|}^2 = \int_{[0,T]^4} |D_s^X u_t| |D_{t'}^X u_{s'}| \tilde{\phi}(s, s') \tilde{\phi}(t, t') ds dt ds' dt'.$$

For $F \in \mathcal{S}$ let

$$\mathbb{D}_s^X F := \int_0^T \phi(s, t) D_t^X F dt, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$\langle D^X u, D^X v \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} = \int_{[0,T]^2} \mathbb{D}_s^X u_t \mathbb{D}_t^X v_s ds dt.$$

Proposition 2.1. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X(|\mathcal{H}|)$. Then the integrals $\delta^X(f)$ and $\delta^X(g)$ exist in $L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\delta^X(f) \delta^X(g) \right] = \mathbb{E} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \int_0^T ds \int_0^T dt \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{D}_t^X f_s \mathbb{D}_s^X g_t \right]. \quad (10)$$

Remark. With the choice $f = g$, Proposition 2.1 implies $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X(|\mathcal{H}|) \subset \text{dom}(\delta^X)$. In fact, for $f \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X(|\mathcal{H}|)$,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|f\|_{|\mathcal{H}|} \text{ and } \int_{[0,T]^2} \mathbb{D}_s^X f_t \mathbb{D}_t^X f_s ds dt \leq \|D^X f\|_{|\mathcal{H}| \otimes |\mathcal{H}|}^2.$$

For the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X$ and $f \in \text{dom}(\delta^X)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\delta^X(f) G \right] = \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[f_t \mathbb{D}_t^X G \right] dt.$$

Proof of the Lemma.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\delta^X(f) G \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\langle D^X G, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\langle (K_T^* D^X G)_\cdot, (K^* f)_\cdot \rangle_{L^2([0,T])} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T dt \int_t^T du_1 f_{u_1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial u_1}(u_1, t) \int_t^T du_2 D_{u_2}^X G \frac{\partial K}{\partial u_2}(u_2, t) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T du_1 f_{u_1} \int_0^T du_2 D_{u_2}^X G \phi(u_1, u_2) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T f_{u_1} \mathbb{D}_{u_1}^X G du_1 \right]. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let first $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^{|\mathcal{H}|}$. If $f = \sum_{j=1}^n F_j h_j$, $F_j \in \mathcal{S}$, $h_j \in |\mathcal{H}|$, lemma 2.2 in [15] shows that

$$\delta^X(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n (F_j X(h_j) - \langle D^X F_j, h_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows $D^X \delta^X(f) \in \mathcal{S}^{|\mathcal{H}|}$ and $\delta^X(D^X f) \in \mathcal{S}^{|\mathcal{H}|}$. Moreover, by means of the notion of directional derivatives ([15], p.27), one shows

$$\langle f, D^X(\delta^X(g)) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f, \delta^X(D^X g) + g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\delta^X(f) \delta^X(g) \right] = \mathbb{E} \langle f, D^X(\delta^X(g)) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathbb{E} \langle f, \delta^X(D^X g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \langle f, \delta^X(D^X g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (K_T^* f)_u (K_T^* \delta^X(D^X g))_u du \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T du \int_u^T ds f_s \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, u) \int_u^T dr \delta^X(D_r^X g) \frac{\partial K}{\partial r}(r, u) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T ds \int_0^T dr f_s \delta^X(D_r^X g) \left(\int_0^{r \wedge s} \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, u) \frac{\partial K}{\partial r}(r, u) du \right) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T ds \int_0^T dr f_s \delta^X(D_r^X g) \phi(s, r) \right] = \int_0^T ds \int_0^T dr \mathbb{E} [f_s \delta^X(D_r^X g) \phi(s, r)] \\
&= \int_0^T ds \int_0^T dr \mathbb{E} \left[\langle D_r^X g, D_r^X f_s \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right] \phi(s, r) \\
&= \int_0^T ds \int_0^T dr \mathbb{E} \left[\langle K_T^* D_r^X g, K_T^* D_r^X f_s \rangle_{L^2([0, T])} \right] \phi(s, r) \\
&= \int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} \left[\langle K_T^* \int_0^T D_r^X g \phi(s, r) dr, K_T^* D_s^X f_s \rangle_{L^2([0, T])} \right] \\
&= \int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \int_0^T D_r^X g \phi(s, r) dr, D_s^X f_s \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right] = \int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} \left[f_s \delta^X \left(\int_0^T D_r^X g \phi(s, r) dr \right) \right] \\
&= \int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} [f_s \delta^X(\mathbb{D}_s^X g)] = \int_0^T ds \int_0^T dt \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{D}_s^X g_t \mathbb{D}_t^X f_s],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the preceding lemma in the last equality. This proves (10) for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^{|\mathcal{H}|}$.

Let now $f \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X(|\mathcal{H}|)$. There exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}^{|\mathcal{H}|}$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$ and $D^X f_n \rightarrow D^X f$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$. Therefore $\delta^X(f_n)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$. By proposition 1.3.6 in [15] the limit is $\delta^X(f)$. ■

3 Itô formula

Let $F \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and suppose that

$$\max \left(\left| F(t, x) \right|, \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, x) \right|, \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, x) \right|, \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(t, x) \right|, j = 1, \dots, n \right) \leq c e^{\lambda |x|^2}, \quad (11)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where c, λ are positive constants such that $\lambda < \frac{1}{4} \min_i \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \text{Var}(N_t^i) \right)^{-1}$.

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left| F(t, N_t) \right|^2 &\leq c^2 \mathbb{E} \exp(2\lambda |N_t|^2) \\
&= \frac{c^2}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(\text{Var}(N_t^i))^{1/2}} \int \exp \left(2\lambda x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_i^2}{\text{Var}(N_t^i)} \right) dx_i < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and the same property holds for $\partial/\partial t F(t, x)$, $\partial/\partial x_j F(t, x)$ and $\partial^2/\partial x_j^2 F(t, x)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let N be given by (6), and suppose that, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, the kernels K^j of X^j satisfy (H1) and (H2), $b^j \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, and $\sigma = \{\sigma_t^j, t \in [0, T], j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is bounded. If $F \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (11), $\partial/\partial x_j F(\cdot, N) \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^X(|\mathcal{H}^j|)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and, for all $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$F(t, N_t) = F(0, 0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, N_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s) \left(\frac{d}{ds} b_s^j ds + \sigma_s^j \delta X_s^j \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(s, N_s) \frac{d}{ds} \text{Var}(N_s^j) ds. \quad (12)$$

Remarks. a) A more general model for N than in Section 1.2 is

$$\tilde{N}_t^i = b_t^i + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}_s^{i,j} \delta X_s^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma} = (\tilde{\sigma}_t^{i,j}, i, j = 1, \dots, n)$ is a matrix of bounded functions $\tilde{\sigma}^{i,j}$ defined on $[0, T]$. Let $\tilde{N} = (\tilde{N}^1, \dots, \tilde{N}^n)$. The components of \tilde{N} are dependent since \tilde{N}^i depends not only on a single random perturbation X^i , but on the others X^j ($j \neq i$) as well. The model of Section 1.2 may be recovered by choosing the matrix $\tilde{\sigma}$ diagonal with functions $\sigma^j := \tilde{\sigma}^{j,j}$ in the diagonal. An Itô formula can be shown for $F(t, \tilde{N}_t)$ too, but, instead of the variances of N , the covariances of \tilde{N} appear now in the second order term. It reads

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, \tilde{N}_t) &= F(0, 0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, \tilde{N}_s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(s, \tilde{N}_s) \left(\frac{d}{ds} b_s^i ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\sigma}_s^{i,j} \delta X_s^j \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(s, \tilde{N}_s) \frac{d}{ds} \text{Cov}(\tilde{N}_s^i, \tilde{N}_s^j) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Two problems arise with this model. The first is to find a positive constant λ in the growth condition (11) that implies $\mathbb{E} \left| F(t, \tilde{N}_t) \right|^2 < \infty$. It seems therefore that (14) can be shown for $F \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ only, i.e. if F and its derivatives are bounded, a hypothesis that is in general too restrictive for an application to the solution u of the PDE (8). The second problem is that, in order to get the solution of the BSDE (7) with \tilde{N} , the derivatives of the variances of N in the second order term of (8) should be replaced by the derivatives of the covariances of \tilde{N} , and it would be necessary to assume that the matrix $(\frac{d}{dt} \text{Cov}(\tilde{N}_t^i, \tilde{N}_t^j), i, j = 1, \dots, n)$ is positive definite, a hypothesis which seems to be restrictive (see also the remark below). For this reason we prefer to consider BSDEs with N given by (6). We notice that

$$\text{Var}(N_t^j) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t (K_t^{*,j} \sigma^j)_s \delta W_s^j \right)^2 = \int_0^t (K_t^{*,j} \sigma^j)_s^2 ds, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Var}(N_t^j) = 2\sigma_t^j \mathbb{D}_t^j N_t^j,$$

b) In the model where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is diagonal, X^i and X^j are defined with independent brownian motions W^i and W^j if $i \neq j$. This differs from the model where all the Volterra processes \bar{X}^j are defined with the same brownian motion \bar{W} as follows:

$$\bar{X}_t^j = \int_0^t K^j(t, s) \delta \bar{W}_s, \quad \bar{N}_t^j = b_t^j + \int_0^t \sigma_s^j \delta \bar{X}_s^j, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

In this case the processes \bar{N}^j are correlated, and the matrix $(\frac{d}{dt} \text{Cov}(\bar{N}_t^i, \bar{N}_t^j), i, j = 1, \dots, n)$ is not diagonal. For $n = 2$ a direct verification shows that this matrix is negative (semi-)definite.

Proof of Theorem 3.1

1. Let us first show that $\partial/\partial x_j F(\cdot, N) \in \delta^{X^j}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. For this we show that $\partial/\partial x_j F(\cdot, N) \in$

$\mathbb{D}_{1,2}^{X^j}(|\mathcal{H}^j|)$, where \mathcal{H}^j is the space defined in Section 2 with X replaced by X^j . The terms ϕ^j and $\widetilde{\phi}^j$ refer now to the kernel K^j of X^j . The constants in the inequalities below may vary from line to line.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(\cdot, N_\cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^j}^2 &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t) \right| \left| \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial t}(t, s) \right| dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq c \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T \exp(\lambda |N_t|^2) (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^\beta dt \right)^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to $1 < p < \frac{1}{1-\alpha} < 2$ and q its conjugate, we get

$$\left(\int_s^T \exp(\lambda |N_t|^2) (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^\beta dt \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_s^T \exp(q\lambda |N_t|^2) dt \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \left(\int_s^T (t-s)^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{p\beta} dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \exp(q\lambda |N_t|^2) dt \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \exp(q\lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |N_t|^2) dt \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq T^{2/q} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \exp(2\lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (N_t^i)^2) dt < \infty$$

for $\lambda < \frac{1}{4} \min_i \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \text{Var}(N_t^i) \right)^{-1}$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_s^T (t-s)^{p(\alpha-1)} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{p\beta} dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \leq T^{2(\alpha-1) + \frac{2}{p} + 1} \beta (1 - 2\beta, 2(\alpha-1) + \frac{2}{p} + 1).$$

It remains to show that $\mathbb{E} \left\| D^{X^j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(\cdot, N_\cdot) \right\|_{|\mathcal{H}^j| \otimes |\mathcal{H}^j|} < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left\| D^{X^j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(\cdot, N_\cdot) \right\|_{|\mathcal{H}^j| \otimes |\mathcal{H}^j|}^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_{[0, T]^4} \left| D_s^{X^j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t) \right| \left| D_{t'}^{X^j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(s', N_{s'}) \right| \widetilde{\phi}^j(s, s') \widetilde{\phi}^j(t, t') ds dt ds' dt' \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_{[0, T]^4} \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(t, N_t) \sigma_s^j \right| \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(s', N_{s'}) \sigma_{t'}^j \right| \widetilde{\phi}^j(s, s') \widetilde{\phi}^j(t, t') ds dt ds' dt' \\ &\leq c \int_0^T dt \int_0^T ds' \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(t, N_t) \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(s', N_{s'}) \right)^2 \right] \int_0^T dt' \widetilde{\phi}^j(t, t') \int_0^T ds \widetilde{\phi}^j(s', s). \end{aligned}$$

By (11),

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(t, N_t) \right)^2 \leq c \mathbb{E} \exp(2\lambda |N_t|^2) \leq c' \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 4\lambda \text{Var}(N_t^i))^{-1/2},$$

and by the choice of λ the right side stays bounded in $t \in [0, T]$. The finiteness of the remaining integrals follows from (H1), (H2) applied to K^j .

2. We proceed now to the outline of the proof of the Itô formula. Let

$$N_t^{j, \varepsilon} = b_t^j + \int_0^t \left(\int_s^t \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r+\varepsilon, s) dr \right) \delta W_s^j = b_t^j + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^r \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r+\varepsilon, s) \delta W_s^j \right) dr, \quad t \leq T - \varepsilon.$$

Then, $F(t, N_t^{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, N_t^{n,\varepsilon})$ has locally bounded variation, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} dF(t, N_t^{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, N_t^{n,\varepsilon}) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, N_t^{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, N_t^{n,\varepsilon})dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(t, N_t^{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, N_t^{n,\varepsilon})dN_t^{i,\varepsilon} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \frac{d}{dt} b_t^j \right) dt + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \sigma_t^j \int_0^t \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial t}(t + \varepsilon, s) \delta W_s^j dt \end{aligned}$$

with the notation $N^\varepsilon = (N^{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, N^{n,\varepsilon})$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \sigma_t^j \int_0^t \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial t}(t + \varepsilon, s) \delta W_s^j &= \sigma_t^j \left[\int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial t}(t + \varepsilon, s) \delta W_s^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t D_s^{W^j} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \right) \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial t}(t + \varepsilon, s) ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_s^{W^j} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \right) = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) D_s^{W^j} N_t^{j,\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(t, N_t^\varepsilon) \int_s^t \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r + \varepsilon, s) dr.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, N_t^\varepsilon) &= F(0, 0) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, N_s^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s^\varepsilon) \frac{d}{ds} b_s^j \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left(\int_s^t \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(r, N_r^\varepsilon) \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r + \varepsilon, s) dr \right) \delta W_s^j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j^2}(r, N_r^\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\int_0^r (K_r^{*,\varepsilon,j} \sigma^j)^2 ds \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that the divergence integral in the second line above appears now outside of the integral with respect to dr . This permutation may be shown with the definition by duality of the divergence integral. The integral coincides, up to ε , with the divergence integral that appears in the statement of the theorem. The last term coincides, up to ε , with the term in Remark a) after the theorem. It remains to show that the terms above converge in $L^2(\Omega)$ towards the terms in the statement of the theorem as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This can be done for each integral similarly as in the proof of theorem 4 in [1].

■

4 Solvability of linear BSDEs

As mentioned in the introduction the aim is to apply the Itô formula (12) to the classical solution u of the PDE (8) and to show that Y and Z defined by (9) satisfy the BSDE (7). We will show later in this section that u in fact satisfies the growth condition (11) under a suitable growth condition on the final condition in (7).

The Itô formula (12), applied to u reads

$$u(t, N_t) = u(T, N_T) - \int_t^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s, N_s) ds - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^T \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s) \left(\frac{d}{ds} b_s^j ds + \sigma_s^j \delta X_s^j \right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^T \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}(s, N_s) \frac{d}{ds} \text{Var}(N_s^j) ds. \quad (15)$$

An application of (8) to the second term on the right hand side of (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, N_t) &= u(T, N_T) - \int_t^T \left(f(s) + A_1(s)u(s, N_s) + \sum_{j=1}^n A_2^j(s) \sigma_s^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s) \right) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^T \sigma_s^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s) \delta X_s^j. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We get (7) by setting $Y_t := u(t, N_t)$ and $Z_t^j := -\sigma_t^j \partial / \partial x_j u(t, N_t)$, i.e. $(Y, (Z^1, \dots, Z^n))$ solves (7) and is adapted to \mathbb{F} . As in Section 1.2 we consider this equation for $t \in [t_0, T]$, for some fixed $t_0 \geq 0$.

In order to solve (8) explicetely, we have to assume, in addition to (H1) and (H2) for the kernels K^j , some regularity and integrability conditions. (H5) will be discussed later.

(H3) There exist constants $c, C > 0$ such that $c < \sigma^j < C$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $A_1, f, A_2 := (A_2^1, \dots, A_2^n)$ are bounded.

(H4) g is continous, and there exist positive constants c' and $\lambda' < \min_{j=1, \dots, n} (16 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1}$

such that $|g(x)| \leq c' e^{\lambda' |x|^2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(H5) $d/dt \text{Var}(N_t^j) > 0$ for all $t \in [t_0, T]$ and $\int_{t_0}^T (\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1/2} dt < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that (H1)-(H5) hold, and let $v(t, z) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-z^2/2t)$. Then $(Y, (Z^1, \dots, Z^n))$ given by (9) solves (7), where the classical solution of (8) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= - \int_t^T \exp\left(\int_s^t A_1(r) dr\right) f(s) ds + \exp\left(-\int_t^T A_1(s) ds\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \prod_{j=1}^n v\left(\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j), x_j - \int_t^T (\sigma_s^j A_2^j(s) - \frac{d}{ds} b_s^j) ds - y_j\right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

An explicit calculation of $\partial / \partial t u(t, x)$ shows that u is in fact the (classical) solution of (8). We show now that u verifies the growth condition (11).

Lemma 4.2. Let u be given by (17). Then there are positive constants M and $\lambda < \min_{j=1, \dots, n} (4 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1}$ such that

- 1) $|u(t, x)| \leq M e^{\lambda |x|^2}$,
- 2) $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(t, x) \right| \leq M (\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1/2} e^{\lambda |x|^2}$,
- 3) $\left| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}(t, x) \right| \leq M (\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1} e^{\lambda |x|^2}$.

Proof. We give the proof of 1), the proofs of 2) and 3) are similar. We write r_s^j for $\sigma_s^j A_2^j(s) - \frac{d}{ds} b_s^j$ and D_t^j for $\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j)$ in (17). Then, by the change of variables $z_j = x_j - \int_t^T r_s^j ds - y_j$,

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(\lambda' \left| x - \int_t^T r_s ds - z \right|^2\right) \prod_{j=1}^n v(D_t^j, z_j) dy.$$

Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(\lambda' \left| x - \int_t^T r_s ds - z \right|^2\right) \leq \exp\left(2\lambda' \left| x - \int_t^T r_s ds \right|^2\right) \exp\left(2\lambda' |z|^2\right) \quad (18)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t, x)| &\leq C \exp\left(2\lambda' \left| x - \int_t^T r_s ds \right|^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left(-\frac{\frac{1}{2} - 2\lambda' \text{Var}(N_T^j)}{D_t^j} z_j^2\right) (2\pi D_t^j)^{-1/2} dz \\ &= C \exp\left(2\lambda' \left| x - \int_t^T r_s ds \right|^2\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - 4\lambda' \text{Var}(N_T^j)\right)^{-1/2} \\ &\leq C \exp\left(2\lambda' \left| x - \int_t^T r_s ds \right|^2\right) \leq C \exp\left(4\lambda' |x|^2\right) \exp\left(4\lambda' \left| \int_t^T r_s ds \right|^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

and 1) follows by choosing $M = \exp\left(4\lambda' \left| \int_t^T r_s ds \right|^2\right)$ and $\lambda = 4\lambda'$. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.1 It remains to show that (Y, Z) satisfies the BSDE (7). By the preceding lemma u satisfies (16) with T replaced by $T - \varepsilon$. Since $\mathbb{E} \exp(2\lambda |N_t|^2)$ is bounded on $[0, T]$ for $\lambda < \min_{j=1, \dots, n} (4 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |f(s) + A_1(s)u(s, N_s) + \sum_{j=1}^n A_2^j(s) \sigma_s^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s)| ds \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^T (\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1/2} dt < \infty$$

for all $t < T$ by (H5). By continuity of u and N , the terms in the first line of (16) with T replaced by $T - \varepsilon$ converge to the terms in (16) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The divergence integrals converge too in the sense

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^{T-\varepsilon} \sigma_s^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s) \delta X_s^j F \right] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \sigma_s^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(s, N_s) \delta X_s^j F \right]$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $F \in \mathcal{S}$. ■

Remark. We discuss now the hypothesis (H5). The positivity of $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Var}(N_t^j)$ means that $R(t) := \text{Var}(N_t^j)$ is (strictly) increasing on $[t_0, T]$. We note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Var}(N_t^j) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (K_t^{*,j} \sigma_s^j)^2 ds = 2 \int_0^t \sigma_t^j \sigma_s^j \int_0^u \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial t}(t, s) \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial u}(u, s) ds du = 2\sigma_t^j \int_0^t \sigma_u^j \phi^j(t, u) du.$$

Since $\sigma^j > 0$ by (H3), a sufficient condition for $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Var}(N_t^j) > 0$ for all $t \in [t_0, T]$ is $\phi^j > 0$ on $[0, T]^2 \setminus [0, t_0]^2$. This is the case if $\frac{\partial K^j}{\partial u}(u, s) > 0$ for all $(u, s) \in [0, T]^2, u > s$. For mbm (Example 1) such cases can easily be found for Hurst functions h that are increasing or decreasing on $[0, T]$, but other examples of mbm show that R is increasing on $[t_0, T]$ is possible for suitable values of t_0 even if h is only of bounded variation. We note that for fractional brownian motion B^H with Hurst index $H > 1/2$

$$\phi^H(t, u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial u} \mathbb{E} B_t^H B_u^H = C_H |t - u|^{2H-2} > 0,$$

where $C_H > 0$ is a constant depending on H .

Let us comment now on the hypothesis of integrability of $(D_t^j)^{-1/2} := (\text{Var}(N_T^j) - \text{Var}(N_t^j))^{-1/2}$ near T . We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^j &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \delta W_s^j \int_s^T \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) dr \right)^2 - \left(\int_0^t \delta W_s^j \int_s^t \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) dr \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \delta W_s^j \int_s^T \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) dr + \int_0^t \delta W_s^j \int_s^t \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) dr \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^T \delta W_s^j \int_s^T \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) dr - \int_0^t \delta W_s^j \int_s^t \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

An explicit calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^j &= \int_0^t dr \int_t^T dr' \sigma_r^j \sigma_{r'}^j \phi^j(r, r') + \int_0^t ds \left(\int_t^T dr \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) \right)^2 + \int_t^T ds \left(\int_s^T dr \sigma_r^j \frac{\partial K^j}{\partial r}(r, s) \right)^2 \\ &=: A_t^1 + A_t^2 + A_t^3. \end{aligned}$$

Under the hypothesis (H3) for σ^j and if $\phi^j > 0$, a sufficient condition for $\int_{t_0}^T (D_t^j)^{-1/2} dt < \infty$ is

$$A_t^3 = \int_t^T (K_T^{*,j} \sigma^j)_s^2 ds \geq c(T - t)^a$$

for some constant $c > 0$ and $a \in (0, 2)$ as $t \nearrow T$. For fractional brownian motion B^H with $H > 1/2$ this condition is satisfied with $a = H + 1/2$. For the Volterra processes in Examples 1-3 this condition is satisfied with $a = 2h(T)$ if h is such that $\frac{\partial K}{\partial u}(u, s) > 0$, for $(u, s) \in (t_0, T)^2, u > s$.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the program Hubert Curien "Utique" of the 'French Ministry of Foreign Affairs' and the 'Tunisian Ministry of Education and Research' for the financial support.

References

- [1] E. ALÒS, O. MAZET AND D. NUALART. Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 29:766 – 801, 2001.

- [2] C. BENDER. Explicit solutions of a class of linear fractional BSDEs. *Syst. Control Letters*, 54:671 – 680, 2005.
- [3] C. BENDER. Backward SDE's driven by gaussian processes. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 124:2892 – 2916, 2014.
- [4] C. BENDER, L. VIITASAARI. A general non-existence result for linear BSDEs driven by a Gaussian process. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 127:1204 – 1233, 2017.
- [5] B. BOUFOUSSI, M. DOZZI, R. MARTY. Local time and Tanaka formula for a Volterra-type multifractional Gaussian process. *Bernoulli*, 16:1294 – 1311, 2010.
- [6] R. CARMONA. *Lectures on BSDEs, stochastic control and stochastic differential games with financial applications*. SIAM, 2016.
- [7] P. ČOUPEK, B. MASŁOWSKI. Stochastic evolution equations with Volterra noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 127:877 – 900, 2017.
- [8] S. CRÉPEY. *Financial modeling, a backward stochastic differential equations perspective*. Springer Verlag, 2013.
- [9] J. DIEHL, P. FRIZ. Backward stochastic differential equations with rough drivers. *Ann. Probab.*, 40:1715 – 1758, 2014.
- [10] M. HARRISON, S.R. PLISKA. Martingales and stochastic integrals in the theory of continuous trading. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 11:215–260, 1981.
- [11] Y. HU, D. OCONE, J. SONG. Some results on backward stochastic differential equations driven by fractional brownian motion. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications to Finance, Intediscip. Math. Sci.*, 13:225 – 242, 2012.
- [12] Y. HU, S. PENG. Backward stochastic differential equation driven by fractional brownian motion. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 48:1675 – 1700, 2009.
- [13] K. JAŃCZAK-BORKOWSKA. Generalized BSDEs driven by fractional brownian motion. *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, 83:805 – 811, 2013.
- [14] L. MATICIUC, T. NIE. Fractional backward stochastic differential equations and fractional backward variational inequalities. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 28:337 – 395, 2015.
- [15] D. NUALART. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. 2nd edn. Springer, 2006.
- [16] E. PARDOUX, A. RĂȘCANU. *Stochastic differential equations, backward SDEs, partial differential equations*. Springer, 2014.
- [17] E. PARDOUX, S. G. PENG. Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Syst. Control Lett.*, 14:55 – 61, 1990.
- [18] H. PHAM. *Continuous-time stochastic control and optimization with financial applications*. Springer Verlag, 2009.
- [19] T. SOTTINEN, L. VIITASAARI. Stochastic analysis of gaussian processes via fredholm representation. *Intern. J. Stoch. Anal.*, 2016.
- [20] A. SOW, B. DIOUF. Fractional anticipated BSDEs with stochastic lipschitz coefficients. *Random Oper. Stoch. Eq.*, 26:143 – 161, 2018.

- [21] N. TOUZI. *Optimal stochastic control, stochastic target problems and backward SDE*. Springer Verlag, 2012.
- [22] J. WEN, Y. SHI. Anticipative backward stochastic differential equations driven by fractional brownian motion. *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, 122:118 – 127, 2017.
- [23] H. ZHANG. Properties of solutions of fractional backward stochastic differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 228:446 – 453, 2014.
- [24] J. ZHANG. *Backward Stochastic differential equations, probability theory and stochastic modelling*. Springer Verlag, 2017.