

Cerebrospinal Fluid $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ as a Means to Limiting Tube- and Storage-Dependent Pre-Analytical Variability in Clinical Setting

Christelle Gervaise-Henry, Gasshan Watfa, Eliane Albuisson, Allan Kolodziej, Brigitte Dousset, Jean-Luc Olivier, Thérèse Rivasseau Jonveaux, Catherine

Malaplate-Armand

▶ To cite this version:

Christelle Gervaise-Henry, Gasshan Watfa, Eliane Albuisson, Allan Kolodziej, Brigitte Dousset, et al.. Cerebrospinal Fluid A β 42/A β 40 as a Means to Limiting Tube- and Storage-Dependent Pre-Analytical Variability in Clinical Setting. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 2017, 57 (2), pp.437-445. 10.3233/JAD-160865. hal-02450425

HAL Id: hal-02450425 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02450425

Submitted on 30 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	CSF $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ as means to limiting tube- and storage-dependent pre-analytical
2	variability in clinical setting
3	Christelle Gervaise-Henry ^a , Gasshan Watfa ^b , Eliane Albuisson ^c , Allan Kolodziej ^a , Brigitte
4	Dousset ^a , Jean-Luc Olivier ^{a,d} , Thérèse Rivasseau Jonveaux ^b , Catherine Malaplate-Armand ^{a,d}
5	
6	^a Laboratoire de Biochimie et Biologie Moléculaire, UF Oncologie – Endocrinologie –
7	Neurobiologie, Hôpital Central, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Nancy, F-54000, France.
8	^b CMRR de Lorraine Hôpital de Brabois CHU Nancy, Vandoeuvre lès Nancy, F-54500,
9	France.
10	^c Unité ESPRI-BioBase, CHRU Nancy, Vandoeuvre lès Nancy, France.
11	^d UR AFPA – USC 340, Equipe BFLA, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, F-54000, France
12	
13	
14	Running title: $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ ratio <i>in pre-analytical steps</i>
15	
16	Corresponding author: Dr Catherine Malaplate-Armand, Laboratoire de Biochimie et de
17	Biologie Moléculaire, Hôpital Central, 29 avenue du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny – CO n°
18	34 – 54035 Nancy Cedex France. Tel: +33-(3)-83-85 27 85, Fax: +33-(3)-83-85 19 69. <i>E-mail</i>
19	address: <u>c.armand@chru-nancy.fr</u>
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	1
	-

26 Abstract

Background. Alzheimer cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers have recently been included in the criteria for Alzheimer's disease (AD) diagnosis. Unfortunately, their wider use in routine and interpretation require more standardization, particularly for the pre-analytical steps. In particular, $A\beta_{42}$ peptide measurement is strongly influenced by the type of collection tube and by repeated freeze/thaw cycles.

32 **Objective**. The objectives of this study were to compare, in clinical setting the impact of 33 collection tubes and the repetition of freeze/thaw cycles on A β 42 and A β 40 concentrations 34 and consequently determine if the A β 42/A β 40 ratio could resolve the diagnosis difficulties 35 related to these pre-analytical parameters.

36 **Methods**. CSF from 35 patients was collected in different PP and stored at -80°C after 37 sampling. For CSF collected in the reference tube, three successive freeze-thaw cycles were 38 done. A β 42 and A β 40 concentrations were determined in each condition in order to calculate 39 the A β 42/A β 40 ratio.

40 **Results**. Our results showed that CSF A β 42 and A β 40 values were significantly different 41 according to the type of collection tube and the number of freeze/thaw cycles. Although the 42 calculation of the A β 42/A β 40 ratio eliminated the effect of PP tube-dependent variation, this 43 was not the case for freeze-thaw cycle-associated variation.

44 Conclusion. The use of Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio rather than Aβ42 alone could contribute towards
45 pre-analytical standardization, thus allowing the general use of CSF AD biomarkers in routine
46 practice.

47

48 Key words: Alzheimer's disease, Aβ40 peptide, Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio, Aβ42 peptide,
49 cerebrospinal fluid, pre-analytical standardization, tube, freeze-thaw cycles

50 Introduction

51 Alzheimer'disease (AD) diagnosis criteria were revised in 2011 to assimilate the advances in 52 the understanding of AD (1). Although clinical symptoms still constitute the core of the 53 diagnosis, new criteria now include biomarkers related to the AD pathophysiological 54 processes such as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and imaging biomarkers. In the CSF, AD is 55 biologically characterized by a reduction in CSF A β 42 peptide levels which is correlated with 56 the density of neuritic plaques (2) and by an increase in CSF Tau and phosphorylated Tau (Ptau) levels associated with neurodegeneration and neocortical neurofibrillary pathology (3). 57 58 The combination of these three parameters have shown high levels of sensitivity and 59 specificity as well as diagnostic accuracy in discriminating AD versus controls (4).

In 2004, Lewczuk *et al.* (5) was the first to propose $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio rather than the absolute 60 value of A β 42 peptide to improve the percentage of appropriately diagnosed patients. Since 61 this publication, several studies have largely demonstrated the interest of using A\beta\beta2/A\beta40 62 ratios for better interpretative CSF analysis and increase the level of evidence of AD process 63 (6-13). A β 40 peptide is the most abundant A β peptide in the brain and its CSF level might 64 therefore be considered to most closely reflect the total AB load in the brain. CSF AB40 was 65 characterized by a great inter-individual variability (14) and was long considered as 66 67 unchanged in AD. Today, findings are less clear-cut. Indeed, Bousiges et al. (15) confirmed that CSF A^{β40} level was not significantly different in AD than in the control group, whereas 68 69 it was decreased in patients with body Lewy dementia (BLD). Thus, AB42/AB40 allowed 70 better discrimination of AD from BLD than Aβ42 alone (15). On the contrary, others studies showed lower CSF Aβ40 levels in AD patients than in controls (13, 16). More recently, Dorey 71 et al. demonstrated that absolute value of CSF Aβ40 levels allowed the correct classification 72 of AD patients with non-pathological AB42 levels and control patients with pathological 73 A β 42 levels (11). 74

75

76 Various papers have pointed out pre-analytical and analytical variability between laboratories for Aβ42 peptide (17-21). Tubes for collection, sample handling and sample storage 77 78 conditions were especially noted as critical factors (22-23). In the clinical setting, despite 79 numerous recommendations, the use of a non-recommended tube to collect CSF and the 80 thawing of sample are two abnormalities frequently encountered. This is particularly the case 81 when CSF AD biomarkers are analyzed for a large geographical area and samples are 82 aliquoted and frozen by a local lab close to the applicant hospital before being transferred to a 83 central laboratory. Transport conditions are unfortunately not always respected, leading to 84 premature thawing of the samples. These pre-analytical confounding factors strongly influence Aβ42 peptide analysis, potentially leading to misinterpretation of biological 85 86 profiles. A clear understanding of how these factors influence AB levels would therefore 87 represent a major step for a general widespread clinical application.

88

89 In this study, we sought to determine if the $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio, which might be less affected by 90 the CSF collection tube and the repetition of freeze-thaw cycles, could be more largely used 91 to limit variability due to these two major pre-analytical confounding parameters.

92

93 Material and methods

94 **Patients**

95 Thirty-five subjects needing CSF AD biomarkers (Tau, P-Tau, Aβ42 and Aβ40 peptides)
96 were recruited in the Clinical and Research Memory Center of Nancy (France) *i.e.* patients
97 with atypical clinical presentation or early-onset dementia (24).

99 CSF biomarkers were carried out according to European Federation of Neurological Societies
100 guidelines (diagnosis doubt, atypical clinical presentations, or early-onset dementia) or for
101 amnesic MCI according to research criteria for the diagnosis of AD published in 2007 (25).

Each subject had signed a written informed consent for CSF assessment, analysis and storageand protocol was approved by local ethical committee.

104

105 Samples

106 CSF was collected by lumbar puncture of the L3-L4 or L4-L5 intervertebral space after local 107 anaesthesia in non-fasting patients. Two mL CSF were collected in 3 different polypropylene 108 (PP) tubes, always in the same order (1, R, 2). Ten mL Sarstedt PP tube (ref 62.610.201, PP + 109 polyethylene copolymer) was chosen as the reference tube (tube R), most commonly as the 110 standard tube in French laboratories. Five mL Gosselin PP tube (ref TP 10-03, PP), and 15 111 mL Biosciences Discovery Labware Falcon tube (ref 352096, PP + Polyethylene copolymer) 112 were respectively named tube 1 and tube 2.

All CSF were transported to the Biochemistry Laboratory of the University Hospital of Nancy, at 4 °C in less than 4 hours after the lumbar puncture. There, they were centrifuged at $4,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4°C (FROILABO® centrifuge). Samples with blood contamination were excluded. CSF was sampled by 250 µL each in 500 µL PP storage tubes (ref 045513, Dutscher®) and stored at -80 °C until assay (THERMO SCIENTIFIC® freezer).

118 Freeze-thaw cycles procedure

119 The freeze-thaw cycles study was carried out with CSF collected in tube R but only 24 120 samples contained enough CSF to prepare at least 2 samples (250 μ L each). Cycles 1 and 2 121 were done with 2 different 250 μ L samples. Cycle 3 were done with the residual 120 μ L CSF after second thawing step. Time at room temperature during a freeze-thaw cycle was 45 min. A β 42 and A β 40 concentrations were determined at each step in order to calculate A β 42/A β 40 ratio. For the freezing step, samples were stored at -80°C for at least 24h.

125 **Biochemical analyses**

126 AB42 and AB40 concentrations were determined using commercially available sandwich 127 ELISA procedures (INNOTEST®, Fujirebio, Ghent, Belgium) according to the 128 manufacturer's instructions. For each sample, AB42/AB40 ratio was calculated. A CSF pool 129 stored at -80 °C in PP tubes was used as internal quality control for each assay. Standards, 130 controls and samples were run in duplicate. Measures were done at 450 nm with a BIO-131 TEK® spectrophotometer (MWGt Lambda Scan 200, BIO-TEK®, USA). Data were analyzed 132 with KC4 software v.3.3 (BIO-TEK®, USA). In cases of variation coefficients exceeding 133 10%, the sample was re-assayed.

134 Statistical analysis

135

136 Variables were expressed, according to their distributions, as number, percent, mean \pm 137 Standard Deviation or median with Inter Quartile Range (IQR) and minimum maximum 138 (range). Comparisons of CSF biological parameters for the 3 tubes and for the 3 repeated 139 freeze-thaw cycles were performed by non-parametric test (Friedman test) with 5% level of 140 significance. When the Friedman test concluded to a significant difference, a Dunn test was 141 performed in order to identify the differences among the tubes or among the freeze-thaw 142 cycles. To study the stability of the ratio between different tubes, we used the Spearman's 143 rank correlation coefficient (Spearman's rho; r_s) and the Bland-Altman plot to analyze 144 correlation and agreement.

146 **Results**

147 **Demographical characteristics**

148 Thirty-five subjects were included in the study. Mean age was 74 ± 10 years (range: 53-89 149 years) and gender ratio (men/women) was 0.7.

150

151 Effect of tube type on Aβ peptide concentrations and Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio

152 Each comparison was done between median values because AB peptide concentrations and 153 AB42/AB40 ratio were not normally distributed. AB42 and AB40 concentrations ranged from 154 252.5 to 1506 pg/mL and from 2379 to 18052 pg/mL, respectively. Median and extreme Aβ 155 peptide concentration and A\u00e342/A\u00e340 ratio are summarized in Table 1 for each tube. Box-156 plots of AB42 and AB40 concentrations and AB42/AB40 ratios are presented in Figure 1. 157 Concentrations were quite variable and extended all over the range of measure. Detectable AB42 and AB40 concentrations of samples in different PP tubes were significantly different 158 159 (p<0.001 for both peptides). Results from these data showed lower median values of A β 42 160 and Aβ40 peptides in tubes 1 -6.4% (-40.2 to 10.9), p<0.01 and -12.9% (-59.5 to -6.5), 161 p<0.01, respectively) and 2 (-26.7 (-47 to 21.7) p<0.001 and -29.9% (-62.6 to 52.2), p<0.001, 162 respectively) compared to tube R. However, no significant difference in the median of AB42/AB40 ratio was globally observed between the 3 tubes in the whole population 163 164 (Friedman test, p = 0.549) (Table 1, Figure 1).

Furthermore, individually, all the correlations between the ratios were significant for T1 vs TR, T1 vs T2 and T2 vs TR ($r_s=0.957$ p=0.0001; $r_s=0.913$ p=0.0001; $r_s=0.899$ p=0.0001 respectively). Bland-Altman plots showed that the number of observations located outside the corresponding interval (differences within mean ± 1.96 SD) is 2 (5.7%) for T1 vs TR; 3 (8.6%) for T1 vs T2 and 2 (5.7%) for T2 vs TR. Overall, it should be noted that 2 7

observations located outside the intervals were the same for T1 vs T2 and T2 vs TR. Thus 30 170 171 observations among the 35 (85.7%) are located within the 95% limits of agreement (Figure 2). Finally, median CSF protein and glucose concentrations were 0.47 g/L (range from 0.19 to 172 0.81 g/L) and 3.55 mmol/L (range from 1.27 to 6.2 mmol/L), respectively. In this range of 173 CSF protein concentrations and after one freeze/thaw cycle, variations in CSF AB42 or AB40 174 according to the tube were not influenced by CSF protein level (Table 2). On the contrary, 175 Aβ42 or Aβ40 mean values were weakly correlated with variations in peptide levels 176 177 according the type of tube used (Table 3).

178

179 Effect of free-thaw cycles on Aβ peptide concentrations and Aβ42/Aβ40 ratios

180 Twenty-four CSF were used for the freeze-thaw cycles study. Aß peptide concentrations and 181 A\u00e342/A\u00e340 ratio were not normally distributed. Median and extreme A\u00e3 peptide concentration and A β 42/A β 40 ratios are summarized in Table 4. Box-plots of A β 42 and A β 40 182 concentrations and A\u00e342/A\u00e340 ratios are presented in Figure 2. Median values of A\u00e342 and 183 184 Aβ40 concentrations were observed to be significantly different with the number of 185 freeze/thaw cycles. Results from these data showed lower AB42 level after 2 freeze-thaw 186 cycles compared to one (- 17.6% (-27.9 to -14), p<0.001) whereas Aβ40 level was not 187 significantly changed -3.1% (-23.9 to 17.4), NS). Consequently, a significant difference for 188 Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio (-15.8% (-18.2 to 1.4), p<0.001) was observed.

On the contrary, after the third freeze-thaw cycle, Aβ42 levels were similar to those measured after one cycle and were significantly increased comparatively to the levels obtained after 2 cycles (+ 21.6% (11.1 to 40.7), p<0.001). Similarly, Aβ40 levels were statistically higher after the third cycle than after both first and second cycles (+ 17.8% (6.9 to 35.5), p<0.001 and + 21.6% (10.8 to 52 .4), p<0.001). Consequently Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio was similar after 2 and 3 free-thaw cycles (-6.6% (-9.1 to 11.5), NS). Freeze-thaw cycles-induced variations in Aβ

195 peptide concentrations and $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratios led to biological misinterpretations in 4 patients 196 among 24 (15%) since A42/A β 40 ratio was lower or higher than reference threshold (0.07) 197 according the freeze-thaw cycle.

198

199

200 Discussion

In this study, we showed that the use of the CSF $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio rather than $A\beta 42$ alone could solve some pre-analytical difficulties which currently limit the standardization process and thus wider routine use of CSF biomarkers. We demonstrated that while $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio eliminated PP tube-dependent analytical variability, it did not completely resolve freeze-thaw cycle-associated variations.

206

207 Currently, PP is clearly recommended as the best material for CSF collection tubes, due to 208 limited variability in A\beta42 values (26). However, heterogeneous results were also obtained 209 with PP collection tubes from different suppliers (18). Indeed, by investigating the 210 composition of 11 different PP tubes, Perret-Liaudet et al. revealed the presence of co-211 polymers such as polyethylene which are reported to largely influence A β 42 adsorption (27) 212 and led to more than 50% disparity in A β 42 values depending on the tube used (18). This 213 study led to the conclusion that AB42 cut-off needed to be established by the laboratory using 214 a single type of sampling tube (28). Recommendations were made for the least adsorbent tube (Tube R in our study) to be used routinely in French labs (29). This tube is composed of PP 215 216 and polyethylene. Here we chose to use a commonly used tube (tube 1, PP only) and another tube containing PP and polyethylene similar to tube R. As in Perret-Liaudet study (18), we 217 observed that tube 2 was the most adsorbent for Aβ42 but also for Aβ40 suggesting that 218

information about tube composition provided by the supplier was insufficient to anticipate theadsorption potential of the tube.

221 Aβ40 is known to be less hydrophobic than Aβ2 peptide (30) suggesting a lesser tendency to interact with sampling tubes, but no study is available in the literature. We demonstrated here 222 223 that variations in A β 40 concentrations according to the tube type were equivalent to those observed with A β 42 concentrations leading to A β 42/A β 40 ratios that were not globally 224 significantly different, and independent of the tube type. Individual analysis showed that 225 226 variations of the ratio according to the tube type were close to the mean except for 5 patients, mostly with ratio values very high relative to the threshold of 0.07 and without influence on 227 the biological interpretation. These results support those of Lewczuk et al. (26) and 228 229 Vanderstichele *et al.* (31) which concluded that $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio was comparable between PP 230 and polystyrene, polycarbonate tubes (26) or PP and low protein binding tubes (31). Thus the 231 use of A\u00e342/A\u00e340 ratio rather than A\u00e342 alone could also represent a solution towards 232 minimizing pre-analytical variability related to the various collection PP tube. In daily 233 practice, CSF collection in a non-recommended tube is a frequent mistake that leads to 234 rejection of the sample and exposing the patient a second time to lumbar puncture-associated 235 adverse effects and discomfort. The absence of variation in $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio could be used as 236 an alternative solution, rather than carrying out another CSF sampling.

237

Freeze/thaw cycles before Aβ42 peptide analysis represent another critical pre-analytical step,
especially for CSF transferred from others hospitals since CSF AD biomarkers are analyzed in
one laboratory for a given geographic area. Unfortunately, data from published studies are
extremely discordant. Several studies shown that samples could be frozen and thawed up to
two times without any effect on the AD biomarkers in CSF (20, 32), whereas other
demonstrated a decrease in Aβ42 values after one (33) or two freeze/thaw cycles (34). Taken

244 together, these data led to imprecise recommendations suggesting the avoidance of repeated 245 freeze/thawing of samples (28). Finally, the use of $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratios rather than $A\beta 42$ alone 246 could also have been an interesting solution. Only one publication showed that $A\beta 40$ level was stable for 2 freeze/thaw cycles (32). Otherwise Vanderstichele et al., recently reported 247 248 that an additional freeze-thaw cycle in a PP tube reduced both CSF AB42 and AB40 levels 249 whereas the $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$ ratio was not significantly affected (31). Here, we observed a 250 significant decrease in Aβ42 levels between the first and the second cycle while Aβ40 levels 251 remained stable. Interestingly, both A β 42 and A β 40 were significantly increased between the 252 second and the third freeze/thaw cycles. These results led to two major conclusions. Firstly, 253 AB42/AB40 ratio did not prevent freeze-thaw cycle-associated variability in AB peptide 254 concentrations, which could lead to misdiagnosis. Indeed, 4 samples out of 24 included (15%) 255 had ratio values above or below the pathological cut-off, depending on the considered 256 freeze/thaw cycle. This result demonstrates the need to work with a defined number of 257 freeze/thaw cycles and to establish a ratio cut-off according selected conditions. Secondly, the 258 Aβ42 and Aβ40 level re-increase in CSF after 3 freeze/thaw cycles suggested that amyloid 259 degradation was not the unique cause of variability. Aliquot volume was identified as a 260 potential confounding factor in CSF AB42 peptide measurement (35-36). In our study, an 261 extra variation factor occurred, since the total CSF volume was lower in cycle 3 than cycles 1 262 and 2. This could contribute to the variability between freeze/thaw cycles. However, it is 263 known that tube A β 42 adsorption is rapid, and is a saturable phenomenon (18). Here, the 264 volume was effectively lower in cycle 3 than cycle 1, but CSF was left in the initial storage 265 tube during the entire experimental period. In studies on the influence of aliquot volume such 266 as that of Toombs et al. (35), different storage volumes (50-1500 µL) were tested in 267 independent storage tubes. No data about the impact of the variation of volume of CSF in the 268 initial storage tube are available in literature. However, the decrease in CSF volume in 11

269 storage tube could modify the equilibrium state between CSF and tube walls, and eventually 270 lead to the release of A β peptide from tube to CSF. Further investigation would be necessary 271 to clarify this issue. Other processes could be taken into account such as conformational changes of AB peptides, oligomerization, protein binding or modification in adsorption of 272 273 amyloid on the tube wall which could depend on delay to freezing and changes in freezing or 274 thawing temperatures (37). Moreover, a protein desorption processing could occur and it 275 could be dependent on several parameters such as temperature, pressure (38), or eventually 276 CSF volume variations. Finally, the impact of repeated freeze/thaw cycles on amyloid level 277 variability seems to be a very complex process which requires further investigation to 278 determine the respective involvement of amyloid species, storage conditions and nature of the 279 storage tube.

280

281 The systematic use AB42/AB40 ratio could limit variability associated with some pre-282 analytical aspects, but results should be confirmed by further investigations with a larger 283 cohort and by testing PP tubes from other suppliers. The effects of freezing seem to be 284 dependent on the storage tube type and a cross-study exploring the link between PP tubes and 285 repeated freeze/thaw cycle on amyloid pre-analytical variability could be relevant. Moreover, 286 few data are available about amyloid level in fresh CSF. Blennow et al. (39) showed that 287 AB42 concentrations were highly consistent between fresh and frozen CSF samples. It would 288 be interesting to know if similar results would be obtained with A β 40 and A β 42/A β 40 ratio.

289

290 There are several limitations to note in this study. Firstly, patients were not selected according 291 to their diagnosis, whereas Aβ42 and Aβ40 levels seem to influence tube-associated 292 variations. However, this choice was deliberate because this study was voluntarily conducted 293 in a clinical setting before knowing final diagnosis and Aβ values. Secondly, time delay 12 294 between LP and centrifugation was very broad (< 4h) and could affect the amyloid peptide 295 adsorption process. Again, the aim of this study was to evaluate the CSF AB42/AB40 as 296 means to limiting variability in clinical settings. Consequently, reducing this delay to less than 297 4h would be very difficult. Today, AD biomarkers are not only research biomarkers, but also 298 are largely integrated in diagnostic tools. It is thus very important to have information about 299 pre-analytical confounding factors in daily practice. Moreover, AB adsorption occurred 300 quickly, measurable within 15min of storage and did not increase with longer incubation 301 times, suggesting that this delay should have little influence (18).

302

303 In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the use of Aβ42/Aβ40 rather than Aβ42 could 304 contribute to pre-analytical standardization which will allow generalizing the use of CSF AD 305 biomarkers. If this ratio seems to be interesting to solve PP tube-dependent variability, it is 306 not relevant or even inappropriate to limit freeze/thaw cycle associated variability. Further 307 investigation must be carried out to better understand complex interactions between these two 308 critical pre-analytical factors.

309

310 Additional contribution

The authors gratefully acknowledge all geriatricians and neurologists from CMRR. They alsothank Dr Frances T. Yen for her useful suggestions after reading the manuscript.

313

314 **References**

McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack CR, Kawas CH, Klunk
 WE, Koroshetz WJ, Manly JJ, Mayeux R, Mohs RC, Morris JC, Rossor MN,
 Scheltens P, Carrillo MC, Thies B, Weinsraub S, Phelps CH (2011) The diagnosis of
 dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute

- on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for
 Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 7, 263–269.
- Tapiola T, Alafuzoff I, Herukka SK, Parkkinen L, Hartikainen P, Soininen H, Pirttila
 T (2009) Cerebrospinal fluid {beta}-amyloid 42 and tau proteins as biomarkers of
 Alzheimer-type pathologic changes in the brain. *Arch Neurol* 66, 382–389.
- 324 3. Maccioni RB, Muñoz JP, Barbeito L (2001) The molecular bases of Alzheimer's
 325 disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. *Arch Med Res* 32, 367–381.
- 4. Engelborghs S, De Vreese K, Van de Casteele T, Vanderstichele H, Van Everbroeck
 B, Cras P, Martin JJ, Vanmechelen E, De Deyn PP (2008) Diagnostic performance of
 a CSF-biomarker panel in autopsy-confirmed dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* 29,1143–
- 3291159.
- 5. Lewczuk P, Esselmann H, Otto M, Maler JM, Henkel AW, Henkel MK, Elkenberg O,
 Antz C, Krause WR, Reulbach U, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J (2004) Neurochemical
 diagnosis of Alzheimer's dementia by CSF Abeta42, Abeta42/Abeta40 ratio and total
 tau. *Neurobiol Aging* 25, 273–281.
- 334 6. Hansson O, Zetterberg H, Buchhave P, Andreasson U, Londos E, Minthon L,
 335 Blennow K (2007) Prediction of Alzheimers Disease Using the CSF Abeta42/Abeta40
 336 Ratio in Patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 23,
 337 316–320.
- 338 7. Spies PE, Slats D, Sjögren JM, Kremer BP, Verhey FR, Rikkert MG, Verbeek MM
 339 (2010) The cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta42/40 ratio in the differentiation of
 340 Alzheimer's disease from non-Alzheimer's dementia. *Curr Alzheimer Res* 7, 470–476.
 341 8. Parnetti L, Chiasserini D, Eusebi P, Giannandrea D, Bellomo G, De Carlo C,
- 342 Padilgioni C, Mastrocola S, Lisetti V, Calabresi P (2012) Performance of aβ1-40, aβ1-

- 343 42, total tau, and phosphorylated tau as predictors of dementia in a cohort of patients
 344 with mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimers Dis* 29, 229–238.
- Nutu M, Zetterberg H, Londos E, Minthon L, Nägga K, Blennow K, Hansson O,
 Ohrfelt A (2013) Evaluation of the Cerebrospinal Fluid Amyloid-β1-42/Amyloid-β140 Ratio Measured by Alpha-LISA to Distinguish Alzheimer's Disease from Other
 Dementia Disorders. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 36, 99–110.
- 349 10. Sauvee M, DidierLaurent G, Latarche C, Escanye MC, Olivier JL, Malaplate-Armand
 350 C (2014) Additional Use of Aβ42/Aβ40 Ratio with Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers P 351 Tau and Aβ42 Increases the Level of Evidence of Alzheimer's Disease
 352 Pathophysiological Process in Routine Practice. *J Alzheimers Dis* 41, 377–386.
- 353 11. Dorey A, Perret-Liaudet A, Tholance Y, Fourier A, Quadrio I (2015) Cerebrospinal
 354 Fluid Aβ40 Improves the Interpretation of Aβ42 Concentration for Diagnosing
 355 Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Neurol* 6, 247.
- 356 12. Struyfs H, Van Broeck B, Timmers M, Fransen E, Sleegers K, Van Broeckhoven C De
 357 Deyn PP, Streffer JR, Mercken M, Engelborghs S (2015) Diagnostic Accuracy of
 358 Cerebrospinal Fluid Amyloid-β Isoforms for Early and Differential Dementia
 359 Diagnosis. *J Alzheimers Dis* 45, 813–822.
- 360 13. Janelidze S, Zetterberg H, Mattsson N, Palmqvist S, Vanderstichele H, Lindberg O,
 361 van Westen D, Stomrud E, Minthon L, Blennow K; Swedish BioFINDER study
 362 group, Hansson O (2016) CSF Aβ42/Aβ40 and Aβ42/Aβ38 ratios: better diagnostic
 363 markers of Alzheimer disease. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* **3**, 154–165.
- 364 14. Wiltfang J, Esselmann H, Bibl M, Hüll M, Hampel H, Kessler H, Frölich L, Schröder
 365 J, Peters O, Jessen F, Luckhaus C, Perneczky R, Jahn H, Fiszer M, Maler JM,
 366 Zimmermann R, Bruckmoser R, Kornhuber J, Lewczuk P (2007) Amyloid beta

- peptide ratio 42/40 but not A beta 42 correlates with phospho-Tau in patients with
 low- and high-CSF A beta 40 load. *J Neurochem* 101, 1053–1059.
- 369 15. Bousiges O, Cretin B, Lavaux T, Philippi N, Jung B, Hezard S, Heitz C, Demuynck C,
 370 Gabel A, Martin-Junyadi C, Blanc F (2016) Diagnostic value of cerebrospinal fluid
 371 biomarkers (Phospho-Tau181, total-Tau, Aβ42, and Aβ40) in prodromal stage of
 372 Alzheimer's disease and dementia with Lewy Bodies. *J Alzheimer Dis* **51**, 1069-83.
- 373 16. Slaets S, Le Bastard N, Martin JJ, Sleegers K, Van Broeckhoven C, De Deyn PP,
 374 Engelborghs S (2013) Cerebrospinal fluid Aβ1-40 improves differential dementia
 375 diagnosis in patients with intermediate P-tau181P levels. *J Alzheimers Dis* 36, 759-67.
- 376 17. Verwey NA, van der Flier WM, Blennow K, Clark C, Sokolow S, De Deyn PP,
 377 Galasko D, Hampel H, Hartmann T, Kapaki E, Lannfelt L, Mehta PD, Parnetto L,
 378 Petzold A, Pirttila T, Saleh L, Skinninsgrud A, Swieten JC, Verbeek MM, Wiltfang J,
 379 Younkin S, Scheltens P, Blankenstein MA (2009) A worldwide multicentre
 380 comparison of assays for cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann*381 *Clin Biochem* 46, 235–240.
- 18. Perret-Liaudet A, Pelpel M, Tholance Y, Dumont B, Vanderstichele H, Zorzi W,
 Elmoualij B, Schraen S, Moreaud O, Gabelle A, Thouvenot E, Thomas-Anterion C,
 touchon J, Krolak-Salmon P, Kovacs GG, Coudreuse A, Quadrio I, Lehmann S (2012)
 Risk of Alzheimer's Disease Biological Misdiagnosis Linked to Cerebrospinal
 Collection Tubes. *J Alzheimers Dis* **31**, 13–20.
- 19. Leitão MJ, Baldeiras I, Herukka S-K, Pikkarainen M, Leinonen V, Simonsen AH,
 Perret-Liaudet A, Fourier A, Quadrio I, Veiga PM, de Oliviera CR (2015) Chasing the
 Effects of Pre-Analytical Confounders A Multicenter Study on CSF-AD
 Biomarkers. *Front Neurol* 6, 153.

- 20. Le Bastard N, De Deyn PP, Engelborghs S (2015) Importance and impact of
 preanalytical variables on Alzheimer disease biomarker concentrations in
 cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Chem* 61, 734–743.
- 394 21. Fourier A, Portelius E, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Quadrio I, Perret-Liaudet A (2015)
 395 Pre-analytical and analytical factors influencing Alzheimer's disease cerebrospinal
 396 fluid biomarker variability. *Clin Chim Acta* 449, 9–15.
- 397 22. Bjerke M, Portelius E, Minthon L, Wallin A, Anckarsäter H, Anckarsäter R,
 398 Andreasen N, Zetterberg H, Andreasson U, Blennow K (2010) Confounding factors
 399 influencing amyloid Beta concentration in cerebrospinal fluid. *Int J Alzheimers Dis*400 2010.
- 401 23. Del Campo M, Mollenhauer B, Bertolotto A, Engelborghs S, Hampel H, Simonsen
 402 AH, Kapaki E, Kruse N, Le Bastard N, Lehmann S, Molinuevo JL, Parnetti L, Perret-
- 403 Liaudet A, Saez-Valero J, Saka E, Urbani A, Vanmechelen E, Verbeek M, Visser PJ,
- 404 Teunissen C (2012) Recommendations to standardize preanalytical confounding 405 factors in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers: an 406 update. *Biomark Med* **6**, 419–430.
- 407 24. Haute Autorité de Santé (2012) Maladie d'Alzheimer et autres démences. Actes et
 408 Prestations Affections de Longue Durée.
- 25. Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Dekosky ST, Barberger-Gateau P, Cummings J,
 Delacourte A, Galasko D, Gauthier S, Jicha G, Meguro K, O'brien J, Pasquier F,
- 411 Robert P, Rossor M, Salloway S, Stern Y, Visser PJ, Scheltens P (2007) Research
- 412 criteria for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: revising the NINCDS-ADRDA
 413 criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 6, 734–746.
- 414 26. Lewczuk P, Beck G, Esselmann H, Bruckmoser R, Zimmermann R, Fiszer M, Bibi M,
 415 Maler JM, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J (2006) Effect of sample collection tubes on
 17

- 416 cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of tau proteins and amyloid beta peptides. *Clin*417 *Chem* 52, 332–334.
- 418 27. Toombs J, Paterson RW, Schott JM, Zetterberg H (2014) Amyloid-beta 42 adsorption
 419 following serial tube transfer. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 6, 5.
- 420 28. Vanderstichele H, Bibl M, Engelborghs S, Le Bastard N, Lewczuk P, Molinuevo JL,
- 421 Parnetti L, Perret-Liaudet A, Shaw LM, Teunissen C, Wouter D, Biennow K (2012)
 422 Standardization of preanalytical aspects of cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing for
 423 Alzheimer's disease diagnosis: A consensus paper from the Alzheimer's Biomarkers
- 424 Standardization Initiative. *Alzheimers Dement* **8**, 65–73.
- 425 29. Lehmann S, Schraen S, Quadrio I, Paquet C, Bombois S, Delaby C, Dorey A,
- 426 Dumurgier J, Hirtz C, Krolak-Salmon P, Laplanche JL, Moreaud O, Peoc'h K, Rouaud
- 427 O, Sabionnière B, Thouvenot E Touchon J, Vercruysse O, Hugon J, gabelle A,
- 428 Pasquier F, Perret-Liaudet A (2014) Impact of harmonization of collection tubes on
 429 Alzheimer's disease diagnosis. *Alzheimers Dement* 10, \$390–394.
- 430 30. Kim W, Hecht MH (2005) Sequence determinants of enhanced amyloidogenicity of
 431 Alzheimer Aβ42 peptide relative to Aβ 40. *J Biol Chem* 280, 35069–35076.
- 432 31. Vanderstichele HMJ, Janelidze S, Demeyer L, Coart E, Stoops E, Herbst V, Mauroo
- 433 K, Brix B, Hansson O (2016) Optimized Standard Operating Procedures for the 434 Analysis of Cerebrospinal Fluid A β 42 and the Ratios of A β Isoforms Using Low 435 Protein Binding Tubes. *J Alzheimers Dis* **53**, 1121–1132.
- 32. Zimmermann R, Lelental N, Ganslandt O, Maler JM, Kornhuber J, Lewczuk P (2011)
 Preanalytical sample handling and sample stability testing for the neurochemical
 dementia diagnostics. *J Alzheimers Dis* 25(4):739–45.
- 33. Bibl M, Esselmann H, Otto M, Lewczuk P, Cepek L, Rüther E, Kornhuber J, Wildfang
 J (2004) Cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta peptide patterns in Alzheimer's disease

- patients and nondemented controls depend on sample pretreatment: indication of
 carrier-mediated epitope masking of amyloid beta peptides. *Electrophoresis*25(17):2912–8.
- 34. Schoonenboom NS, Mulder C, Vanderstichele H, Van Elk EJ, Kok A, Van Kamp GJ,
 Scheltens P, Blankenstein MA (2004) Effects of Processing and Storage Conditions on
 Amyloid (1-42) and Tau Concentrations in Cerebrospinal Fluid: Implications for Use
 in Clinical Practice. *Clin Chem* 11;51(1):189–95.
- 35. Toombs J, Paterson RW, Lunn MP, Nicholas JM, Fox NC, Chapman MD, Schott JM,
 Zetterberg H (2013) Identification of an important potential confound in CSF AD
 studies: aliquot volumes. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 51(12): 2311-7.
- 451 36. Park SA, Kang JH, Kang ES, Ki CS, Roh JH, Youn YV, Kim SY, Sim SY (2015) A
 452 consensus in Korea regarding a protocol to reduce preanalytical sources of variability
 453 in the measurement of the cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease. J
 454 *Clin Neurol* 2: 132-41.
- 37. Nakanishi K, Sakiyama T, Imamura K (2001) On the adsorption of proteins on solid
 surfaces, a common but very complicated phenomenon. *J Biosci Bioeng.* 91(3):233–
 44.
- 458 38. Fang F, Satulovsky J, Szleifer I (2005) Kinetics of Protein Adsorption and Desorption
 459 on Surfaces with Grafted Polymers. *Biophys J.* 89(3):1516–33.
- 39. Blennow K, Biscetti L, Eusebi P, Parnetti L (2016) Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in
 Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases-From pathophysiology to clinical practice. *Mov Disord* 31:836-47.

464

Tube	Variable	Median (IQR)	Min	Max
Tube R	Αβ42	607.9 (270.7)	438.8	1506.0
	Αβ40	9983.0 (5352.2)	3356.2	18052.0
	A β 42/A β 40 ratio	0.064 (0.059)	0.033	0.162
Tube 1	Αβ42	569.1 (282.9)	296.0	1435.0
	Αβ40	8695.0 (5664.0)	2910.3	15151.0
	Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio	0.070 (0.054)	0.037	0.147
Tube 2	Αβ42	446.0 (222.4)	252.5	1407.7

Αβ40 6994.5 (5308.2) 2379.0

р

0.008

0.001

0.0001

0.0001

13416.0

Friedmann NS

	A	Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio	0.069	(0.064)	0.022	0.151	Friedmann NS	
466	Table 1. CS	бF Аβ42, Аβ40 а	and Aβ42	2/Aβ40 med	ian values acco	ording to	the PP tube type.	
467	Data are ex	xpressed as med	lian (IQI	R), minimal	and maximal	values.	All groups were	
468	compared to	tube R. Mediar	values v	vere statistic	ally different fi	rom tube	R according to the	
469	Dunn test aft	ter a significant I	Friedman	test if p < 0.	01. NS: Not sig	nificant, 1	n=35.	
470								
471								
472								
473								
474								
475								

- 170
- 476

	Δ Tube R/tube1	Δ TubeT/tube 2	
Αβ42	$r_s = -0.255$	$r_s = 0.042$	_
	p = 0.137	p = 0.801	
Αβ40	$r_s = -0.216$	$r_{s} = -0.060$	
	p = 0.201	p = 0.728	
			_
Table 2. Influ	ence of CSF protein conce	entration on tube-assoc	iated variation after one
freeze/thaw cy	cle. Rs: Spearman's rank co	rrelation coefficient. NS:	Not significant, n=35.

		Δ Tube R/tube1	Δ TubeT/tube 2	
	Αβ42	$r_{s} = 0.157$	$r_s = 0.393$	
		p = 0.366	p = 0.020	
	Αβ40	$r_s = -0.344$	$r_{s} = -0.28$	
		p = 0.043	p = 0.873	
495	Table 3. Influence of	of Aβ levels on tube-as	sociated variation after	one freeze/thaw cycle.
496	Rs: Spearman's rank	correlation coefficient. N	NS: Not significant, n=35	5.
497				
498				
499				
500				
501				
502				
503				
503				
-05				
05				
506				
507				
508				
509				
510				
511				
512				

Cycle	Variable	Median (IQR)	Min	Max	р
Cycle 1	Αβ42	631.8 (478.6)	438.8	1506.0	
	Αβ40	11363.0 (5666.1)	3356.2	18052.0	
	A β 42/A β 40 ratio	0.057 (0.058)	0.033	0.155	
Cycle 2	Αβ42	520.9 (324.3)	346.3	1237.3	0.0001
	Αβ40	11009.0 (5571.0)	3938.9	19905.0	1
	A β 42/A β 40 ratio	0.048 (0.042)	0.026	0.101	0.0001
Cycle 3	Αβ42	633.3 (425.4)	417.4	1404.3	0.13
	Αβ40	13384.5 (7138.7)	4364.9	23321.0	0.0001
	A β 42/A β 40 ratio	0.045 (0.046)	0.025	0.104	0.0001

Table 4. CSF A β 42, A β 40 and A β 42/A β 40 median values according to number of freeze/thaw cycles. Data are expressed as median (IQR), minimal and maximal values. All groups were compared to tube R. Median values were statistically different from tube R according to the Dunn test after a significant Friedman test if p < 0.01. NS: Not significant, n=24.

527	
528	
529	
530	Figure legends
531	
532	Figure 1: Variations of CSF Aβ42, Aβ40 and Aβ42/Aβ40 values according to the PP tube
533	type. Box-plots of the dispersion of A β 42 (A), A β 40 (B) and A β 42/A β 40 ratio (C). Values
534	were statistically different if ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ or not significantly different (NS), $n =$
535	35.
536	
537	Figure 2: Individual variations of CSF Aβ42, Aβ40 and Aβ42/Aβ40 values according to
538	the PP tube type. Bland-Altman plots showing the number of observations located outside
539	the corresponding interval (differences within mean \pm 1.96 SD), n = 35.
540	
541	Figure 3: Variations of CSF A β 42, A β 40 and A β 42/A β 40 values according the number of
542	freeze/thaw cycles. Box-plots present the dispersion of A β 42 (A), A β 40 (B) and A β 42/A β 40
543	ratio (C). Values were statistically different if $*** p < 0.001$ or not significantly different
544	(NS), n = 24.









Figure 2





Figure 3