

Review of lactose and galactose metabolism in Lactic Acid Bacteria dedicated to expert genomic annotation

Christelle Iskandar, Catherine Cailliez-Grimal, Frederic Borges, Anne-Marie Revol-Junelles

▶ To cite this version:

Christelle Iskandar, Catherine Cailliez-Grimal, Frederic Borges, Anne-Marie Revol-Junelles. Review of lactose and galactose metabolism in Lactic Acid Bacteria dedicated to expert genomic annotation. Trends in Food Science and Technology, 2019, 88, pp.121-132. 10.1016/j.tifs.2019.03.020 . hal-02498292

HAL Id: hal-02498292 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02498292v1

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Review of lactose and galactose
2	metabolism in Lactic Acid Bacteria
3	dedicated to expert genomic annotation
4	
5	Christelle F. ISKANDAR ¹ , Catherine CAILLIEZ-GRIMAL ¹ , Frédéric BORGES ¹ and Anne-
6	Marie REVOL-JUNELLES ^{1*}
7	
8	1: Université de Lorraine, Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Biomolécules (LIBio) Vandoeuvre-lès-
9	Nancy, France;
10	
11	*corresponding author:
12	anne-marie.revol@univ-lorraine.fr,
13	Université de Lorraine, ENSAIA.,
14	Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Biomolécules (LIBio)
15	2, avenue de la Forêt de Haye
16	TSA 40602
17	54518 - VANDOEUVRE CEDEX
18	Tel : +33 (0)3 83 59 58 76
19	

20 ABSTRACT

21 Background

The term LAB (Lactic Acid Bacteria) relates to the metabolic capabilities of microorganisms to ferment various carbohydrates predominantly into lactic acid. LAB are involved in a large number of industrial food fermentations. Their primary contribution is rapid acid production and acidification of foods. The ability of starter cultures to metabolize lactose and the resulting galactose is therefore a major aspect of starter selection. Genome sequencing and the use of microbial genomics are powerful tools to rapidly screen for functions of interest in the starter culture industry.

29 Scope and approach

30 The annotation of the metabolic pathway encoding genes requires thorough knowledge of 31 the literature and a vast comprehension of the metabolic pathways and of the level of genetic 32 variability that LAB can exhibit. In this review an overview of lactose and galactose metabolism 33 as a basis for expert genomic annotation is presented. It includes a detailed literature overview of 34 the mechanism of internalization, subsequent metabolization of these carbohydrates and the 35 underlying genetics. In addition, a comparative genomic analysis of genes related to the Leloir 36 and Tagatose-6-P pathways is described in 237 LAB and other related Gram-positive genomes 37 with low GC content available in the MicroScope platform. 38 Key finfings and Conclusions

This analysis delineates the extent of genetic variability that can be expected for future
genomic investigation of LAB regarding lactose and galatose metabolism.

41

42 Keywords: lactose; galactose; genome annotation; Leloir pathway; Tagatose-6Phosphate
43 pathway; Lactic Acid bacteria

44 **1. Introduction**

The term LAB (Lactic Acid Bacteria) relates to the metabolic capabilities of 45 46 microorganisms to ferment various carbohydrates predominantly into lactic acid (Klaenhammer, Barrangou, Buck, Azcarate-Peril, & Altermann, 2005; Liu, 2003). LAB form a heterogeneous 47 48 group of microorganisms that belong to the genera Aerococcus, Carnobacterium, Enterococcus, 49 Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Oenococcus, Pediococcus, Streptococcus, 50 Tetragenococcus, Vagococcus and Weissella (Axelsson, 2004; Pot, 2008; Stackebrandt & 51 Teuber, 1988; Stiles & Holzapfel, 1997). LAB are naturally found in a variety of natural habitats, 52 including plants, meat and milk environment. They are involved in a large number of industrial 53 food fermentations where they are used as starter and adjunct cultures. Their primary 54 contribution is rapid acid production and acidification of foods, but metabolic processes 55 accompanying the growth of LAB impact also flavor, nutrition, and texture quality of a variety 56 of fermented foods (Axelsson, 2004; Klaenhammer et al., 2005).

57 Lactose is a disaccharide consisting of the combination of two simple sugars, α/β -D-glucose 58 and β -D-galactose, linked by a β (1-4) glycosidic bond and is the main carbon source in milk 59 (Kumar, Weatherly, & Beaman, 1991). Utilization of lactose is mainly related to the 60 fermentation processes by LAB and it is well documented (Cocaign-Bousquet, Garrigues, 61 Loubiere, & Lindley, 1996; Kandler, 1983; Leroy & De Vuyst, 2004; Neves, Pool, Kok, Kuipers, 62 & Santos, 2005; Wu, Cheung, & Shah, 2015). Galactose utilization is important at the industrial 63 level where its accumulation in the product is a major problem for producers as well as for 64 consummers (Wu et al., 2015). Galactose is a carbohydrate not only found in dairy products as a 65 subunit of lactose molecules but also in many environments such as cereals, fruits, legumes, nuts, 66 meats, seeds, and vegetables. It is present as a free form or bound to other carbohydrate units in 67 various glycosidic linkages, such as α -1,6, β -1,3 and β -1,4, and as a component of lipids and 68 proteins in cells (for more informations, review (Acosta & Gross, 1995)). The ability of starter 69 cultures to metabolize lactose and the resulting galactose is therefore a major aspect of starter 70 selection.

Thus, whereas the metabolism of lactose is complex, the genetics is well known. The first
LAB that was completely sequenced, *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* IL1403 came out in 2001
(Bolotin et al., 2001). According to ncbi, there are more than 102000 genomes available online

in 2017 (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome). The study of LAB sequenced bacteria showed that *Lactobacillales* present a diversity in genome size ranging from 1.8 Mbp for *Streptococcus* to 3.7
Mpb for *Carnobacterium* (Cailliez-Grimal et al., 2013; Cailliez-Grimal, Afzal, & Revol-Junelles,
2014). Some unindustrialized LAB have a high genetic diversity (Rahman et al., 2014, 2016)
which suggest high industrial potential.

79 Genome sequencing and the use of microbial genomics are powerful tools for 80 investigation of cellular processes (Koonin, Aravind, & Kondrashov, 2000; Wei et al., 2002). 81 The sequenced and annotated bacterial genomes permit the prediction of certain important 82 characteristics researched by industrials. Thanks to comparative genomics, it became easy to 83 search for metabolic pathways and this opens the possibility to consider the use of genomics to 84 rapidly screen for functions of interest in the starter culture industry. Indeed, Lactobacillus 85 helveticus CNRZ32 had been discovered to possess homologs of genes involved in the 86 proteolysis of casein found in milk (Broadbent, Hughes, Welker, Tompkins, & Steele, 2013). 87 The research project consists in predicting the formation of metabolic compounds via the 88 exploration of the genomic content of CDS (Coding DNA Sequences) in comparison to 89 previously annotated genomes.

Although the performance of automatic annotation is constantly improving, functional and relational annotations of genes still require human expertise. This is exemplified with the annotation of genes associated to metabolism, where the pathways are highly interconnected. Therefore, the annotation of metabolic pathways encoding genes requires a thourough dissection of the literature and requires a very good knowledge of the metabolic pathways and of the level of genetic variability that LAB can exhibit.

96 The aim of this review is to give an overview of the available data about lactose and 97 galactose metabolism in order to facilitate expert annotation with a special focus on LAB. To do 98 so, the litterature was reviewed as well as the data available in 237 accessible genomes (complete 99 or draft, see Table S1 for accession numbers) of LAB and some Gram-positive bacteria of the 100 low GC subdivision, like Bacillus, Listeria and Staphylococcus (Axelsson, 2004). Genome 101 analyses were mainly based on sequence alignment and synteny analyses which were performed 102 by using the MicroScope platform as previously described (Iskandar et al., 2016; Vallenet et al., 103 2013).

104

2. Overview of lactose and galactose metabolism

After internalization into the cell, galactose or lactose can be metabolized thanks to two
pathways: Leloir and Tagatose-6-Phosphate (Tagatose-6P) pathways (Figure 1) (Kandler, 1983).
The end-product of the Leloir pathway is Glucose-1P, whereas the Tagatose-6P end products are
triose-3 phosphates (Glyceraldehyde-3Phosphate (GPDH) and Dihydroxyacetone Phosphate
(DHAP)). All these end products can subsequently enter the glycolysis pathway.

110 The canonical Leloir pathway is encoded by galPMKTE. Galactose and lactose are 111 internalized by galP. Lactose is then hydrolyzed via a β -galactosidase (lacZ or lacLM) into 112 glucose and β-galactose (Maxwell, Kurahashi, & Kalckar, 1962; Poolman, Royer, Mainzer, & 113 Schmidt, 1989; van Rooijen, van Schalkwijk, & de Vos, 1991). The resulting galactose is 114 subsequently metabolized into glucose-1P by the action of galM (galactose mutarotase), galK 115 (galactose kinase) and galT/galE (galactose-1-phosphate uridyltransferase, UDP-glucose-4-116 epimerase). Glucose-1P is then transformed into glucose-6P, an intermediate of the glycolysis, 117 by the action of the phosphoglucomutase (encoded by *pgm*) (Figure 1).

118In the canonical Tagatose-6P pathway, lactose-6P is hydrolyzed by a phospho-β-119galactosidase (encoded by lacG) into glucose and galactose-6P. This latter is then transformed120into 2 trioses (GPDH and DHAP) via a series of 3 reactions encoded by lacAB (galactose-6-121phosphate isomerase), lacC (tagatose-6-phosphate kinase) and lacD (tagatose-1,6-diphosphate122aldolase) (Figure 1).

123 Not all LAB are able to metabolize galactose. Indeed, although some strains of 124 Streptococcus thermophilus are described to exhibit an active Leloir pathway (Anbukkarasi et 125 al., 2014), strains of this species are generally known to partially ferment lactose. Thus, 126 following lactose cleavage by the β -galactosidase encoded by *lacLM*, galactose negative S. 127 *thermophilus* strains metabolize the glucose moiety, and excrete galactose in the medium thanks 128 to the antiport permease *lacS*, which allows lactose/galactose exchange (Hutkins, Morris, & 129 McKay, 1985; Poolman, 1993) (Figure 1). Similarly, Lactobacillus delbruckii ATCC 11482 and 130 BAA-365 strains carry putative galP, lacLM putative genes (Table 1), and metabolize the 131 glucose and release galactose in the extracellular medium (Crow & Thomas, 1984; Kafsi et al., 132 2014; Leong-Morgenthaler, Zwahlen, & Hottinger, 1991).

133

3. Detailed view of lactose and galactose metabolism

134 **3.1.Transport**

Lactose and galactose can enter the cells *via* either permeases encoded by *galP* or
phosphotranferases systems encoded by *lacFE* (Figure 1). In general, permeases are non-specific
transport systems (Forrest, Krämer, & Ziegler, 2011; Yan, 2013) except for *lacS* (lactose
permease) found in *Streptococcus thermophilus* (Poolman et al., 1989).

139 In the Leloir pathway, the galactose can enter the cell *via* the permease encoded by 140 galP, and the lactose via a permease encoded by galP or lacS (Fortina, Ricci, Mora, 141 Guglielmetti, & Manachini, 2003; Leong-Morgenthaler et al., 1991; Neves et al., 2010). 142 However, a putative galP gene is not always present in all genomes possessing the Leloir 143 pathway (Table 1). However, the absence of the gene galP does not necessarily imply a 144 deficiency in lactose/galactose use. Indeed, Lactococcus lactis NZ9000 inactivated in the gene 145 galP internalizes galactose via an unknown PTS system. The resulting intracellular galactose-6P 146 is subsequently dephosphorylated thanks to an unknown phosphatase, and the produced 147 galactose enters the Leloir pathway (Neves et al., 2010) (Figure 1). Similarly, the genome of 148 Streptococcus mutans (holding Leloir and Tagatose-6P pathways) lacks galP responsible for 149 galactose uptake (Zeng, Das, & Burne, 2010). Instead, it would encode 14 putative PTS systems, 150 of which one is specific for lactose (Ajdic & Ferretti, 1998). Although there is no PTS system 151 specific for galactose in S. mutans, some data suggest that it can be transported by the lactose 152 PTS with low affinity (Abranches, Chen, & Burne, 2004; Zeng et al., 2010).

153 On the other hand, in the Tagatose-6P pathway a PTS transporter, encoded by the genes 154 lacFE, transports lactose and galactose. By contrast with the permease transport system, 155 internalization through the PTS system leads to the phosphorylation of lactose and galactose 156 (Abranches et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2010; Zeng, Martino, & Burne, 2012). The PTS system is 157 mainly encoded by *lacFE*, which can be duplicated in some cases (Table 1). It can be noticed 158 that some strains would not contain *lacFE* homologues, as exemplified by *Pediococcus* 159 acidilactici DSM20284, S. agalactiae A909 and S. agalactiae 2603 V/R (Table 1). It can be 160 hypothesized that for these strains lactose or galactose may enter the cell through other PTS 161 systems. Indeed, in general PTS are described as specific for one given carbohydrate. However, 162 in some cases one PTS system can have the ability to transport another carbohydrate but with

lower affinity (Solopova et al., 2012). Thus, in *Streptococcus gordonii* DL1 galactose can enter
 the cell *via* PTS^{Lac}, PTS^{Man} or *via* PTS^{Gal} (Zeng et al., 2012).

165 It is generally accepted that internalisation of galactose through one transport system 166 orientate the carbon flux towards one specific pathway. Thus, galactose internalization thanks to 167 a PTS system, which lead to galactose phosphorylation, is linked to the Tagatose-6P pathway, 168 whereas galactose internalization through a permease system, is linked to the Leloir pathway.

169

3.2.Beta-galactosidases

170 Lactose or phosphorylated lactose, depending on which transporter internalized lactose 171 into the cell, prior catabolism through the Leloir or the Tagatose-6P pathway, require hydrolysis 172 by the action of a β -galactosidase or phospho β -galactosidase, respectively (Figure 1). In the 173 Leloir and Tagatose-6P pathways, these enzymes are encoded by *lacZ/lacLM* and *lacG*, 174 respectively (Premi, Sandine, & Elliker, 1972). These two hydrolases belong to the large family 175 of glycosyl hydrolases. Glycosyl hydrolases are divided into 45 families with 52 E.C. entries, 176 according to an amino acid sequence comparison of 482 sequences (Henrissat, 1991; Henrissat & 177 Bairoch, 1993). One given bacterium may contain several types of glycosyl hydrolases allowing 178 to utilize different types of carbohydrates. Four of these 45 families (1, 2, 35 and 42) contain 179 enzymes with β -galactosidase activitiy. The P- β -galactosidase *lacG* is a family 1 member, and 180 the β -galactosidase *lacZ* is a family 2 member. It can be noticed that β -galactosidase can be 181 produced extracellularly. Indeed, in S. pneumoniae, BgaA (β-1,4-galactosidase) and BgaC (β-182 1,3-galactosidase) are cell surface enzymes. They catalyzes the hydrolysis of extracellular 183 galactose-containing glycans, allowing to release free galactose which can subsequently enters 184 the cell for catabolysis via the Tagatose-6P or the Leloir pathways (Jeong et al., 2009; Paixão et 185 al., 2015; Zähner & Hakenbeck, 2000) (Figure 1, Table 1).

186 Putative *lacG* genes are found in all genomes possessing the Tagatose-6P pathway (Table 1).

187 Accordingly, the inactivation of *lacG* gene in *S. gordonii* DL1 leads to the inability of the strain

to use lactose, whereas it is able to grow with galactose as carbohydrate source (Zeng et al.,

189 2012). By contrast, putative *lacZ/lacLM* genes are not always found in all genome possessing the

190 Leloir pathway suggesting the use of this pathway for galactose metabolism (Table 1). Indeed,

191 inactivation of *lacZ/lacLM* in *Streptococcus salivarius* 57.I leads to the inability of the strain to

192 use lactose while galactose can be metabolized, indicating that intracellular β -galactosidase is

193 essential for lactose metabolism (Chen, Betzenhauser, Snyder, & Burne, 2002). Among the 146 194 strains carrying putative Leloir pathway genes alone or in combination with the Tagatose-6P 195 pathway genes, less than 40 strains possess homologue of LacZ/LM of S. thermophilus. Even if 196 in these strains other β -galactosidases encoding genes can also be found in the vicinity of the 197 Leloir pathway encoding genes, it does not imply that they are able to use lactose as substrate. 198 Indeed, in C maltaromaticum 3.18, Carnobacterium sp. 17.4 and AT7 and Enterococcus 199 casseliflavus ATCC12755 putative genes encoding β -galactosidases (*bgaB* and *bgaC*) are 200 clustered with the genes putatively encoding the Leloir pathways (Figure 2A). These β -201 galactosidases would not be able to hydrolyse lactose, instead they would use other galactose-202 containing carbohydrate polymers (Coombs & Brenchley, 1999, 2001).

203 **3.3.Leloir pathway**

204 **3.3.1.** galM

Almost all genomes studied that possess the Leloir pathway genes, present alone or in combination with the Tagatose- 6P pathway genes, carry one putative *galM* gene (Table 1). The gene *galM* encodes a galactose mutarotase, which is not essential because its mutation does not affect the growth rate. It promotes the isomerization of β -galactose into α -galactose and the isomerization can take place spontaneously (Neves et al., 2010). It can be noticed that *galM* is present in strains without other *gal* and *lac* genes.

211 **3.3.2.** galK

212 The galactokinase encoded by *galK* phosphorylates α -D-galactose resulting in 213 galactose-1P. Putative *galK* gene is essential and is found in all genome holding the Leloir 214 pathway, and not present in all genome without this pathway (Table 1). Indeed, the deletion of 215 *galK* impairs severely the ability of the strain *S. mutans* UA159 to metabolize lactose and 216 galactose (Abranches et al., 2004).

217 **3.3.3.** galT

The galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase encoded by *galT* convert galactose-1P to glucose-1P. The gene *galT* is essential and is found in all genome holding the Leloir pathway, and not present in all genome without this pathway (Table 1). Indeed, a *Lactococcus lactis* NCD02054 *galT* mutant accumulates galactose-1P, which leads to the inability to grow on
lactose and galactose (Vaughan, Pridmore, & Mollet, 1998).

3.3.4. *galE*

UDP-galactose 4-epimerase (*galE*) plays a role in the last step of the Leloir pathway. It is an essential enzyme and homologues are present in all genomes predicted to encode the Leloir pathway (Table 1). However, it can be present in bacteria without the Leloir pathway as well, indeed this enzyme is also involved in other metabolic functions such as exopolysaccharide synthesis (Grossiord, Luesink, Vaughan, Arnaud, & de Vos, 2003) (Figure 1).

229

3.3.5. Regulation of the Leloir pathway genes

230 The regulation gene *galR* encodes an activator of the *galKTE* and *lacSZLM* operons, and 231 a negative regulator of its own expression. The gene galR is generally present in genomes 232 holding the Leloir pathway (Table 1), except for Bacillus subtilis 168 and S. gordonii CH1 233 which are unable to utilize lactose and galactose via the Leloir pathways (Krispin & 234 Allmansberger, 1998; Zeng et al., 2012). However, the presence an intanct copy the gene galR 235 does not necessarily lead to a galactose fermenting phenotype. Indeed, while some strains of S. 236 thermophilus possess all the genes necessary to build an active Leloir pathway, they are unable 237 to ferment galactose. These genes are silent thanks to the presence of a nucleotide 238 substitution in the *galK* or *galR* promoter region (Anbukkarasi et al., 2014; Vaughan, van den 239 Bogaard, Catzeddu, Kuipers, & de Vos, 2001).

The genes encoding the Leloir pathway are subsjected to catabolic repression and are regulated by CcpA which binds to catabolic-responsive elements (*cre*) sites in the promoter region of the Leloir genes (Carvalho, Kloosterman, Kuipers, & Neves, 2011).

243

3.4.Tagatose-6-phosphate pathway

244 **3.4.1.** *lacAB*

Galactose-6P isomerase encoded by *lacAB* transforms galactose-6P into tagatose-6P. This enzyme is essential since the inactivation of these genes leads to the inability to grow on lactose and galactose containing medium and leads to the accumulation of galactose-6P (Abranches et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2010, 2012). Therefore, it can be speculated that genomes without *lacAB* homologue does not have an active Tagatose-6P pathway (Table 1). 250

3.4.2. *lacC*

251 The tagatose-6-phosphate kinase, encoded by *lacC*, phosphorylates the tagatose-6P in 252 order to form tagatose-1,6diP. It is generally present in the genomes possessing the other genes 253 encoding the Tagatose-6P pathway except in Lactobacillus johnsonii FI9785, Lactobacillus 254 murinus ASF361 and Pediococcus acidilactici DSM20284 (Table 1). Inactivation of lacC does 255 not impair growth in medium containing lactose and/or galactose as a source of carbohydrate 256 (Abranches et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2010, 2012). LacC belongs to the pfkB family of 257 carbohydrate kinases, which includes ribokinase (RbsK) and 1-phosphofructokinase (FruK) 258 (Bork, Sander, & Valencia, 1993). It may present an enzymatic activity on fructose-6P when it is 259 present in the medium (Bissett & Anderson, 1980). This might explain the presence of *lacC* in 260 several copies in genomes predicted to encode the Tagatose-6P pathway as well as in five other 261 genomes where an incomplete Tagatose-6P pathway was predicted.

262

3.4.3. lacD

The tagatose 1,6-diphosphate aldolase, encoded by *lacD*, is essential and promotes the cleavage of Tagatose-1,6diP into 2 trioses. The *lacD* mutation causes the accumulation of Tagatose-1,6diP in the medium, which leads to the inhibition of growth of the cells (Abranches et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2010, 2012). Importantly, the tagatose 1,6 diP aldolase may play a role in the cleavage of Fructose-1,6diP (Bissett & Anderson, 1980) which could explain the fact that *lacD* can be found in genomes where an incomplete Tagatose-6P pathway was predicted (Table 1).

270

3.4.4. Regulation of the Tagatose 6-P

The gene *lacR* is present in all genomes possessing the Tagatose-6P pathway and encode a transciprtionnal repressor that binds the promoter region upstream *lacA*. It was suggested that its repression activity is alleviated when galactose-6P and tagatose-6P binds LacR (Oskouian & Stewart, 1990; van Rooijen, Dechering, Niek, Wilmink, & de Vos, 1993; Zeng et al., 2010).

The Tagatose-6P genes are also regulated by *lacT*, which is present in some strains holding the Tagatose-6P pathway, and encodes a transcriptional antiterminator protein present within the *lac* operon of many LAB, that may play a role in the regulation down-stream *lacFEG* expression (Richards, Choi, Bitar, Gurjar, & Stanhope, 2013; Zeng et al., 2012). By binding the
mRNA transcript, LacT prevent the formation of a terminator and thus allows the RNA
polymerase to transcribe the downstream genes (Declerck, Vincent, Hoh, Aymerich, & van
Tilbeurgh, 1999). The antitermination activity of LacT is modulated by PTS depdendant
phosphorylation : when lactose or galactose PTS permease are engaged in sugar transport, LacT
would be dephosphorylated, and would exert its antitermination activity (van Tilbeurgh &
Declerck, 2001).

286 4. Distribution of the Leloir and the Tagatose-6P pathways at the genus and species 287 levels

The contribution of the Leloir and the Tagatose-6P pathways to lactose and galactose metabolism was investigated at the genus and at the species levels (Table 1, Figure 3 A-B). In this analysis, each genus was represented by at least 3 species, and each species was represented by at least 8 strains. A genome is considered as a Leloir or Tagatose-6P pathway holder, if it possesses at least all the essential genes of one or the other pathway.

The analysis of presence and number of putative genes from Leloir (*gal* genes) or Tagatose-6P (*lac* genes) pathways indicated that, among the 237 strains, 4 different groups can be defined (Table 1): strains holding only the Leloir pathway genes (31%), strains holding only Tagatose-6P pathway genes (14%), strains holding both pathways genes (31%) and strains holding no pathway (24%).

Putative *gal* genes are presents in 72 strains holding the Leloir pathway alone and in 74
strains possessing Leloir and Tagatose-6P pathways (Table 1). Some strains can exhibit a partial
Tagatose-6P pathway as exemplified by *C. maltaromaticum* 3.18 which lack a *lacB* homologue.
It can be noticed that these *lac* homologues are dispersed in the genome and are likey involved in
other cellular processes than lactose and galactose metabolism (Iskandar et al., 2016).

The proportion of bacteria predicted to have the Leloir pathway and/or the Tagatose-6P pathways is very high, of at least 80%. This is contrasting with *Listeria* where 15% of the genomes analyzed within this genus were predicted to encode a functional Leloir pathway and none were predicted to encode a functional Tagatose-6P pathway. This is in agreement with the idea that galactose and lactose metabolism is an important component of the ecology of these 308 bacteria. However, a high heterogeneity was recorded within each genome. For instance, the

309 *Lactobacillus* genus contains bacteria that would exhibit either the Leloir pathway without the

310 Tagatose-6P, the Leloir pathway in combination with the Tagatose-6P pathway, or none of these

311 two pathways.

312 The Leloir pathway is in general highly represented within a given genus. On the other 313 hand, the Tagatose-6P pathway is less well represented since it was not found in the genera 314 Leuconostoc, Listeria, and Weissella. The concomitant presence of Leloir and Tagatose-6P 315 pathways is present in 4 different genus, Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, Lactococcus and 316 Streptococcus. The Tagatose-6P pathway is less well represented without the genes encoding the 317 Leloir pathway as it is indeed represented in approximately 20% of Carnobacterium, 318 Lactococcus, and Streptococcus genomes that do not exhibit a functional Leloir pathway (Figure 319 3.A). At the species level, the genetic profiles are rather homogenous (Figure 3.B). 320 Approximatelay half (5/9) of the species studied present only one profile, *i.e.* all the strains of the 321 2 species Enterococcus faecalis and Streptococcus suis are predicted to encode both pathways, 322 all the strains of the 2 species *Staphylococcus aureus* and *S. pyogenes* would encode the 323 Tagatose-6P pathway and not the Leloir pathway, and all the strains of the species Listeria 324 monocytogenes would exhibit both pathways. One third (3/9) of the species show 2 different 325 profiles (Enterococcus faecium, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Streptococcus sanguinis), some 326 strains of the same species possessing only Leloir pathway and the other the two pathways 327 together. Carnobacterium maltaromaticum is the species exhibiting the highest intraspecific 328 variability: some strains would possess the Leloir pathway, some others the Tagatose-6P 329 pathway, one strain hold the *lac* and *gal* genes and some none of these pathways (Figure 3-B). 330 This high intraspecific variability is in agreement with the high genetic diversity observed within 331 the population of this species by MLST analysis (Rahman et al., 2014).

332

2 **5.** Organization of *lac* and *gal* genes

Lactose and galactose utilization by LAB revealed various combinations of the *gal* and *lac* genes (Breidt, Hengstenberg, Finkeldei, & Stewart, 1987; Chassy & Thompson, 1983;

335 Grossiord, Vaughan, Luesink, & de Vos, 1998; Iskandar et al., 2016; Loughman & Caparon,

336 2007; Rosey & Stewart, 1992). While the *gal* genes are usually located on the chromosome, *lac*

337 genes of the Tagatose-6P pathway may be plasmidic or chromosomal. Although genes present

high homology and conserved genomic synteny, the co-localizations are not always the same.

- 339 The figures 2 A-B-C show the possible arrangements between the different genera and species.
- 340

6. Function of Leloir and Tagatose-6-P associated genes

341 Diversity within genomes can be relied to the functionality of each pathway in the cell.342 In fact, these two pathways play different roles in lactose and galactose metabolism.

343 The catabolysis of lactose and galactose via the Leloir pathway is described for 344 Lactococcus garviae ATCC 49156, L. lactis IL 1403, L. lactis SK11, L. lactis MG1363 (Fortina, 345 Ricci, & Borgo, 2009), L. lactis ATCC7962 (Vaughan et al., 1998), Lactobacillus helveticus 346 ATCC 15009^T (Fortina et al., 2003), S. thermophilus (Anbukkarasi et al., 2014) (Table 1). By 347 contrast, in strains of Lactobacillus casei (Bettenbrock, Siebers, Ehrenreich, & Alpert, 1999), 348 Lactobacillus rhamnosus (Tsai & Lin, 2006), L. lactis (Siezen et al., 2005), S. mutans 349 (Jagusztyn-Krynicka et al., 1992) (Table 1) lactose and galactose are catabolysed thanks to a 350 Tagatose-6P pathway encoded by one *lac* gene cluster. In the strain S. gordonii CH1, the *lac* 351 cluster is present in two copies, each cluster being specialized in the metabolism of one given 352 carbohydrate: one cluster allows lactose utilization whereas the other permits the galactose 353 metabolism (Zeng et al., 2010).

354 In some cases, *lac* and *gal* genes are present in the genome, like in S. *mutans*. It is 355 believed that the expression of the Tagatose-6P pathway in *S. mutans* is inducible by lactose 356 (Hamilton & Lebtag, 1979; Hamilton & Lo, 1978), whereas the expression of the Leloir pathway 357 can be induced by galactose in the medium or by intracellular galactose released from polymers, 358 such as melibiose (Ajdić, Sutcliffe, Russell, & Ferretti, 1996). However, this example cannot be 359 generalized to all genomes holding lac and gal genes. In S. gordonii CH1, gal genes are present 360 along with the *lac* genes, but only the *lac* genes are functional. It was hypothesized that the 361 Leloir pathway is either not sufficiently active or is crossregulated by the *lac* genes (Zeng et al., 362 2012).

The functional divergence between copies of the *lac* genes can be dramatically more pronounced. One example is *S. pyogenes* which exhibits two *lac* gene clusers : Lac.1 and Lac.2 (Ferretti et al., 2001). Lac. 2 is dedicated to carbohydrate utilization and exhibit a canonical 366 genetic organization while Lac.1 deviated from this structure during evolution. The Lac.1 cluster

is devoided of *lacG*, and the kinase encoding gene *lacC.1* is truncated. The gene *lacD.1* is a

368 functional gene and predicted to encode a tagatose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase. However, even if

- 369 LacD.1 retains a aldolase activity in vitro, LacD.1 regulates virulence genes in vivo (Loughman
- 370 & Caparon, 2006, 2007).

The Leloir pathway can be associated to anabolism in addition to carbohydrate
catabolysis. Indeed, the *galTE* product produces UDP-galactose when it converts galactose-1P to
glucose-1P. The UDP-galactose can be subsenquently used for exopolysaccharide synthesis
(Boels, Ramos, Kleerebezem, & De Vos, 2001; Boels, van Kranenburg, Hugenholtz,
Kleerebezem, & de Vos, 2001; Harutoshi, 2013).

376 Acknowledgments

377 The authors are grateful to the Genoscope, the MicroScope team, and the National378 Infrastructure "France Génomique".

379 **References**

- 380 Abranches, J., Chen, Y.-Y. M., & Burne, R. A. (2004). Galactose Metabolism by Streptococcus
- 381 *mutans*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 70(10), 6047–6052.
 382 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.10.6047-6052.2004
- Acosta, P., & Gross, K. (1995). Hidden Sources of Galactose in the Environment. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, *154*(7), S87–S92. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02143811
- Ajdic, D., & Ferretti, J. J. (1998). Transcriptional regulation of the *Streptococcus mutans gal*operon by the *GalR* repressor. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *180*(21), 5727–5732.
- Ajdić, D., Sutcliffe, I. C., Russell, R. R., & Ferretti, J. J. (1996). Organization and nucleotide
 sequence of the *Streptococcus mutans* galactose operon. *Gene*, *180*(1–2), 137–144.

389	Anbukkarasi, K., Nanda, D. K., UmaMaheswari, T., Hemalatha, T., Singh, P., & Singh, R.
390	(2014). Assessment of expression of Leloir pathway genes in wild-type galactose-
391	fermenting Streptococcus thermophilus by real-time PCR. European Food Research and
392	Technology, 239(5), 895–903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-014-2286-9

- Axelsson, L. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria: classification and physiology. In *Lactic Acid Bacteria: Microbiological and Functional Aspects*. (pp. 1–66). Salminen, S., von Wright, A.,
 Ouwehand, A.
- 396 Bettenbrock, K., Siebers, U., Ehrenreich, P., & Alpert, C.-A. (1999). Lactobacillus casei 64H
- Contains a Phosphoenolpyruvate-Dependent Phosphotransferase System for Uptake of
 Galactose, as Confirmed by Analysis of ptsH and Differentgal Mutants. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 181(1), 225–230.
- Bissett, D. L., & Anderson, R. L. (1980). Lactose and D-galactose metabolism in *Staphylococcus aureus*. III. Purification and properties of D-tagatose-6-phosphate kinase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 255(18), 8745–8749.
- Boels, I. C., Ramos, A., Kleerebezem, M., & De Vos, W. M. (2001). Functional analysis of the *Lactococcus lactis galU* and *galE* genes and their impact on sugar nucleotide and
 exopolysaccharide biosynthesis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 67(7), 3033–
 3040. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.7.3033-3040.2001
- Boels, I. C., van Kranenburg, R., Hugenholtz, J., Kleerebezem, M., & de Vos, W. M. (2001).
 Sugar catabolism and its impact on the biosynthesis and engineering of
 exopolysaccharide production in lactic acid bacteria. *International Dairy Journal*, *11*(9),
- 410 723–732. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(01)00116-9

- 411 Bolotin, A., Wincker, P., Mauger, S., Jaillon, O., Malarme, K., Weissenbach, J., ... Sorokin, A.
- 412 (2001). The Complete Genome Sequence of the Lactic Acid Bacterium *Lactococcus*
- 413 *lactis* ssp. *lactis* IL1403. *Genome Research*, 11(5), 731–753.
 414 https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.169701
- Bork, P., Sander, C., & Valencia, A. (1993). Convergent evolution of similar enzymatic function
 on different protein folds: the hexokinase, ribokinase, and galactokinase families of sugar
 kinases. *Protein Science : A Publication of the Protein Society*, 2(1), 31–40.
- 418 Breidt, F., Hengstenberg, W., Finkeldei, U., & Stewart, G. C. (1987). Identification of the genes
- for the lactose-specific components of the phosphotransferase system in the *lac* operon of *Staphylococcus aureus. The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 262(34), 16444–16449.
- Broadbent, J. R., Hughes, J. E., Welker, D. L., Tompkins, T. A., & Steele, J. L. (2013). Complete
 Genome Sequence for *Lactobacillus helveticus* CNRZ 32, an Industrial Cheese Starter
 and Cheese Flavor Adjunct. *Genome Announcements*, 1(4).
 https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00590-13
- 425 Cailliez-Grimal, C., Afzal, M. I., & Revol-Junelles, A.-M. (2014). *Carnobacterium*. In
 426 *Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology, Elsevier* (Vol. 1, pp. 379–383). Batt, C. A;,
 427 Tortorello, M. L.
- Cailliez-Grimal, C., Chaillou, S., Anba-Mondoloni, J., Loux, V., Afzal, M. I., Rahman, A., ...
 Borges, F. (2013). Complete Chromosome Sequence of *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum*LMA 28. *Genome Announcements*, 1(1), e00115-12.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00115-12

- 432 Carvalho, S. M., Kloosterman, T. G., Kuipers, O. P., & Neves, A. R. (2011). CcpA Ensures
 433 Optimal Metabolic Fitness of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PLOS ONE*, *6*(10), e26707.
 434 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026707
- Chassy, B. M., & Thompson, J. (1983). Regulation and characterization of the galactosephosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase system in *Lactobacillus casei*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *154*(3), 1204–1214.
- Chen, Y.-Y. M., Betzenhauser, M. J., Snyder, J. A., & Burne, R. A. (2002). Pathways for
 lactose/galactose catabolism by *Streptococcus salivarius*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*,
 209(1), 75–79.
- 441 Cocaign-Bousquet, M., Garrigues, C., Loubiere, P., & Lindley, N. D. (1996). Physiology of
 442 pyruvate metabolism in *Lactococcus lactis*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 70(2–4), 253–
 443 267.
- 444 Coombs, J. M., & Brenchley, J. E. (1999). Biochemical and phylogenetic analyses of a cold445 active β-galactosidase from the lactic acid bacterium *Carnobacterium piscicola* BA.
 446 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 65(12), 5443–5450.
- 447Coombs, J. M., & Brenchley, J. E. (2001). Characterization of Two New Glycosyl Hydrolases448from the Lactic Acid Bacterium Carnobacterium piscicola Strain BA. Applied and449EnvironmentalMicrobiology,67(11),5094–5099.
- 450 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.11.5094-5099.2001
- 451 Crow, V. L., & Thomas, T. D. (1984). Properties of a *Streptococcus lactis* strain that ferments
 452 lactose slowly. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 157(1), 28–34.

- Declerck, N., Vincent, F., Hoh, F., Aymerich, S., & van Tilbeurgh, H. (1999). RNA recognition
 by transcriptional antiterminators of the BglG/SacY family: functional and structural
 comparison of the CAT domain from SacY and LicT. *Journal of Molecular Biology*,
 294(2), 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.3256
- Ferretti, J. J., McShan, W. M., Ajdic, D., Savic, D. J., Savic, G., Lyon, K., ... McLaughlin, R.
 (2001). Complete genome sequence of an M1 strain of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(8),
 460 4658–4663. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.071559398
- 461 Forrest, L. R., Krämer, R., & Ziegler, C. (2011). The structural basis of secondary active
 462 transport mechanisms. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics*, 1807(2),
 463 167–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2010.10.014
- 464 Fortina, M. G., Ricci, G., & Borgo, F. (2009). A Study of Lactose Metabolism in *Lactococcus*465 *garvieae* Reveals a Genetic Marker for Distinguishing between Dairy and Fish Biotypes.
 466 *Journal of Food Protection*, 72(6), 1248–1254.
- 467 Fortina, M. G., Ricci, G., Mora, D., Guglielmetti, S., & Manachini, P. L. (2003). Unusual
 468 Organization for Lactose and Galactose Gene Clusters in *Lactobacillus helveticus*.
 469 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69(6), 3238–3243.
 470 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.6.3238-3243.2003
- 471 Grossiord, B., Luesink, E. J., Vaughan, E. E., Arnaud, A., & de Vos, W. M. (2003).
- 472 Characterization, expression, and mutation of the *Lactococcus lactis galPMKTE* genes,
- 473 involved in galactose utilization via the Leloir pathway. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 185(3),
- 474 870–878. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.3.870-878.2003

475	Grossiord, B., Vaughan, E. E., Luesink, E., & de Vos, W. M. (1998). Genetics of galactose
476	utilisation via the Leloir pathway in lactic acid bacteria. Lait, 78(1), 77–84.
477	Hamilton, I. R., & Lebtag, H. (1979). Lactose metabolism by Streptococcus mutans: evidence for
478	induction of the tagatose 6-phosphate pathway. Journal of Bacteriology, 140(3), 1102-
479	1104.
480	Hamilton, I. R., & Lo, G. C. (1978). Co-induction of beta-galactosidase and the lactose-P-
481	enolpyruvate phosphotransferase system in Streptococcus salivarius and Streptococcus
482	mutans. Journal of Bacteriology, 136(3), 900–908.
483	Harutoshi, T. (2013). Exopolysaccharides of Lactic Acid Bacteria for Food and Colon Health
484	Applications. In J. M. Kongo (Ed.), Lactic Acid Bacteria - R & D for Food, Health and

Livestock Purposes. InTech. Retrieved from http://www.intechopen.com/books/lactic-

486 acid-bacteria-r-d-for-food-health-and-livestock-purposes/exopolysaccharides-of-lactic-

487 acid-bacteria-for-food-and-colon-health-applications

- 488 Henrissat, B. (1991). A classification of glycosyl hydrolases based on amino acid sequence
 489 similarities. *Biochemical Journal*, 280(Pt 2), 309–316.
- Henrissat, B., & Bairoch, A. (1993). New families in the classification of glycosyl hydrolases
 based on amino acid sequence similarities. *Biochemical Journal*, 293, 781–788.
- Hutkins, R., Morris, H. A., & McKay, L. L. (1985). Galactokinase activity in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 50(4), 777–780.
- 494 Iskandar, C. F., Cailliez-Grimal, C., Rahman, A., Rondags, E., Remenant, B., Zagorec, M., ...
 495 Revol-Junelles, A.-M. (2016). Genes associated to lactose metabolism illustrate the high

- 496 diversity of *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum*. *Food Microbiology*, 58, 79–86.
 497 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.03.008
- 498 Jagusztyn-Krynicka, E. K., Hansen, J. B., Crow, V. L., Thomas, T. D., Honeyman, A. L., &
- 499 Curtiss, R. (1992). *Streptococcus mutans* serotype c tagatose 6-phosphate pathway gene
 500 cluster. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *174*(19), 6152–6158.
- Jeong, J. K., Kwon, O., Lee, Y. M., Oh, D.-B., Lee, J. M., Kim, S., ... Kang, H. A. (2009).
 Characterization of the *Streptococcus pneumoniae* BgaC Protein as a Novel Surface Galactosidase with Specific Hydrolysis Activity for the Gal 1-3GlcNAc Moiety of
 Oligosaccharides. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *191*(9), 3011–3023.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01601-08
- Kafsi, H. E., Binesse, J., Loux, V., Buratti, J., Boudebbouze, S., Dervyn, R., ... Guchte, M. van
 de. (2014). Genome Sequence of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *lactis* CNRZ327, a
- 508 Dairy Bacterium with Anti-Inflammatory Properties. Genome Announcements, 2(4),
- 509 e00328-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00328-14
- 510 Kandler, O. (1983). Carbohydrate metabolism in lactic acid bacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*,
 511 49(3), 209–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00399499
- Klaenhammer, T. R., Barrangou, R., Buck, B. L., Azcarate-Peril, M. A., & Altermann, E. (2005).
 Genomic features of lactic acid bacteria effecting bioprocessing and health. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 29(3), 393–409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fmrre.2005.04.007
- Koonin, E.V., Aravind, L., & Kondrashov, A.S. (2000). The Impact of Comparative Genomics on
 Our Understanding of Evolution. *Cell*, 101, 573–576. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80867-3

- 517 Krispin, O., & Allmansberger, R. (1998). The *Bacillus subtilis galE* gene is essential in the
 518 presence of glucose and galactose. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *180*(8), 2265–2270.
- Kumar, A., Weatherly, M. R., & Beaman, D. C. (1991). Sweeteners, flavorings, and dyes in
 antibiotic preparations. *Pediatrics*, 87(3), 352–360.
- Leong-Morgenthaler, P., Zwahlen, M., & Hottinger, H. (1991). Lactose Metabolism in
 Lactobacillus bulgaricus Analysis of the Primary Structure and Expression of the Genes
 Involved. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *173*(6), 1951–1957.
- Leroy, F., & De Vuyst, L. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria as functional starter cultures for the food
 fermentation industry. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 15(2), 67–78.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2003.09.004
- Liu, S.-Q. (2003). Practical implications of lactate and pyruvate metabolism by lactic acid
 bacteria in food and beverage fermentations. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 83(2), 115–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00366-5
- Loughman, J. A., & Caparon, M. G. (2006). A novel adaptation of aldolase regulates virulence in *Streptococcus pyogenes. The EMBO Journal*, 25(22), 5414–5422.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601393
- Loughman, J. A., & Caparon, M. G. (2007). Comparative functional analysis of the *lac* operons
 in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 64(2), 269–280.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05663.x
- 536 Maxwell, E., Kurahashi, K., & Kalckar, H. (1962). Enzymes of the Leloir Pathway. *Methods in* 537 *Enzymology*, 5, 174–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(62)05204-0

538	Neves, A. R., Pool, W. A., Kok, J., Kuipers, O. P., & Santos, H. (2005). Overview on sugar
539	metabolism and its control in Lactococcus lactis - The input from in vivo NMR. Fems
540	Microbiology Reviews, 29(3), 531–554. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2005.04.005
541	Neves, A. R., Pool, W. A., Solopova, A., Kok, J., Santos, H., & Kuipers, O. P. (2010). Towards
542	Enhanced Galactose Utilization by Lactococcus lactis. Applied and Environmental
543	Microbiology, 76(21), 7048-7060. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01195-10
544	Oskouian, B., & Stewart, G. C. (1990). Repression and catabolite repression of the lactose
545	operon of Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Bacteriology, 172(7), 3804–3812.
546	Paixão, L., Oliveira, J., Veríssimo, A., Vinga, S., Lourenço, E. C., Ventura, M. R., Neves, A.
547	R. (2015). Host Glycan Sugar-Specific Pathways in Streptococcus pneumonia: Galactose
548	as a Key Sugar in Colonisation and Infection. PLoS ONE, 10(3).
549	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121042
550	Poolman, B. (1993). Energy transduction in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Reviews,
551	12(1-3), 125–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1993.tb00015.x
552	Poolman, B., Royer, T. J., Mainzer, S. E., & Schmidt, B. F. (1989). Lactose transport system of
553	Streptococcus thermophilus: a hybrid protein with homology to the melibiose carrier and
554	enzyme III of phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase systems. Journal of

- 555 *Bacteriology*, *171*(1), 244–253.
- 556 Pot, B. (2008). The taxonomy of lactic acid bacteria. In *Bactéries lactiques, de la génétique aux*557 *ferments*. (Lavoisie, pp. 1–152). G. Corrieu, F.M. Luquet.
- 558 Premi, L., Sandine, W. E., & Elliker, P. R. (1972). Lactose-hydrolyzing enzymes of
 559 *Lactobacillus* species. *Applied Microbiology*, 24(1), 51–57.

- Price, C. E., Zeyniyev, A., Kuipers, O. P., & Kok, J. (2012). From meadows to milk to mucosa –
 adaptation of *Streptococcus* and *Lactococcus* species to their nutritional environments. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 36(5), 949–971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.15746976.2011.00323.x
- Rahman, A., Cailliez-Grimal, C., Bontemps, C., Payot, S., Chaillou, S., Revol-Junelles, A.-M., &
 Borges, F. (2014). High Genetic Diversity among Strains of the Unindustrialized Lactic
 Acid Bacterium *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum* in Dairy Products as Revealed by
 Multilocus Sequence Typing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 80(13), 3920–
 3929. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00681-14
- 569 Rahman, A., El Kheir, S. M., Back, A., Mangavel, C., Revol-Junelles, A.-M., & Borges, F. 570 Repeat-based Sequence Typing of *Carnobacterium* maltaromaticum. (2016). 571 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 226, 1–4. 572 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.03.003
- 573 Richards, V. P., Choi, S. C., Bitar, P. D. P., Gurjar, A. A., & Stanhope, M. J. (2013).
 574 Transcriptomic and genomic evidence for *Streptococcus agalactiae* adaptation to the
 575 bovine environment. *BMC Genomics*, *14*(1), 920. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14576 920
- Rosey, E. L., & Stewart, G. C. (1992). Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the *lacR*, *lacABCD*, and *lacFE* genes encoding the repressor, tagatose 6-phosphate gene
 cluster, and sugar-specific phosphotransferase system components of the lactose operon
 of *Streptococcus mutans*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *174*(19), 6159–6170.

Siezen, R. J., Renckens, B., Swam, I. van, Peters, S., Kranenburg, R. van, Kleerebezem, M., &
Vos, W. M. de. (2005). Complete Sequences of Four Plasmids of *Lactococcus lactis*subsp. *cremoris* SK11 Reveal Extensive Adaptation to the Dairy Environment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *71*(12), 8371–8382.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8371-8382.2005

- Solopova, A., Bachmann, H., Teusink, B., Kok, J., Neves, A. R., & Kuipers, O. P. (2012). A
 Specific Mutation in the Promoter Region of the Silent cel Cluster Accounts for the
 Appearance of Lactose-Utilizing *Lactococcus lactis* MG1363. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78(16), 5612–5621. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.0045512
- Stackebrandt, E., & Teuber, M. (1988). Molecular taxonomy and phylogenetic position of lactic
 acid bacteria. *Biochimie*, *70*(3), 317–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9084(88)90204-0
- 593 Stiles, M. E., & Holzapfel, W. H. (1997). Lactic acid bacteria of foods and their current
 594 taxonomy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 36(1), 1–29.
 595 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(96)01233-0
- Tsai, Y.-K., & Lin, T.-H. (2006). Sequence, organization, transcription and regulation of lactose
 and galactose operons in *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* TCELL-1. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *100*(3), 446–459. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02790.x
- 599 Vallenet, D., Belda, E., Calteau, A., Cruveiller, S., Engelen, S., Lajus, A., Le Fevre, F., Longin,
- 600 C., Mornico, D., Roche, D., Rouy, Z., Salvignol, G., Scarpelli, C., Thil Smith, A.A.,
- 601 Weiman, M., & Medigue, C. (2013). MicroScope--an integrated microbial resource for

- the curation and comparative analysis of genomic and metabolic data. *Nucleic Acids Research. 41*, D636–D647. http://doi:10.1093/nar/gks1194
- van Rooijen, R. J., Dechering, K. J., Niek, C., Wilmink, J., & de Vos, W. M. (1993). Lysines 72,
- 80 and 213 and aspartic acid 210 of the *Lactococcus lactis* LacR repressor are involved in
 the response to the inducer tagatose-6-phosphate leading to induction of *lac* operon
 expression. *Protein Engineering*, 6(2), 201–206.
- van Rooijen, R. J., van Schalkwijk, S., & de Vos, W. M. (1991). Molecular cloning,
 characterization, and nucleotide sequence of the tagatose 6-phosphate pathway gene
 cluster of the lactose operon of *Lactococcus lactis*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*,
 266(11), 7176–7181.
- van Tilbeurgh, H., & Declerck, N. (2001). Structural insights into the regulation of bacterial
 signalling proteins containing PRDs. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, *11*(6), 685–
 693.
- Vaughan, E. E., Pridmore, R. D., & Mollet, B. (1998). Transcriptional regulation and evolution
 of lactose genes in the galactose-lactose operon of *Lactococcus lactis* NCD02054. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *180*(18), 4893–4902.
- Vaughan, E. E., van den Bogaard, P. T. C., Catzeddu, P., Kuipers, O. P., & de Vos, W. M.
 (2001). Activation of silent gal genes in the *lac-gal* regulon of *Streptococcus thermophilus. Journal of Bacteriology*, *183*(4), 1184–1194.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.4.1184-1194.2001
- Wassenaar, T. M., & Lukjancenko, O. (2014). Comparative genomics of *Lactobacillus* and other
 LAB. In W. H. Holzapfel & B. J. B. Wood (Eds.), *Lactic Acid Bacteria* (pp. 55–69). John

624	Wiley	&	Sons,	Ltd.	Retrieved	from							
625	http://online	library.wile	y.com/doi/10.1002/	9781118655252	2.ch5/summary								
626	Wei, L., Liu, Y., D	ubchak, I., S	Shon, J., & Park, J.	(2002). Compa	arative genomics a	pproaches to							
627	study orga	nism simila	arities and differen	ces. Journal o	f Biomedical Info	ormatics, 35,							
628	142–150. d	loi:10.1016/	\$1532-0464(02)00	506-3									
629													
630	Wu, Q., Cheung, C.	K. W., & S	hah, N. P. (2015).	Fowards galacte	ose accumulation in	n dairy foods							
631	fermented by conventional starter cultures: Challenges and strategies. Trends in Food												
632	Science & Technology, 41(1), 24-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.08.010												
633	Yan, N. (2013). St	ructural adv	vances for the major	or facilitator su	perfamily (MFS)	transporters.							
634	Trends	in	Biochemical	Sciences,	38(3),	151–159.							
635	https://doi.or	rg/10.1016/j	.tibs.2013.01.003										
636	Zähner, D., & Hak	enbeck, R.	(2000). The Strept	ococcus pneun	<i>ioniae</i> Beta-Galac	tosidase Is a							
637	Surface Prot	ein. Journa	l of Bacteriology, 1	82(20), 5919–5	921.								
638	Zeng, L., Das, S., &	z Burne, R.	A. (2010). Utilizati	on of Lactose a	nd Galactose by S	streptococcus							
639	mutans: Tra	nsport, Tox	city, and Carbon C	atabolite Repre	ssion. Journal of I	Bacteriology,							
640	192(9), 2434	1–2444. http	s://doi.org/10.1128	/JB.01624-09									
641	Zeng, L., Martino,	N. C., & Bı	Irne, R. A. (2012).	Two Gene Clu	sters Coordinate C	balactose and							
642	Lactose M	letabolism	in Streptococcus	gordonii. 4	Applied and Er	nvironmental							
643	Microbiolog	y, 78(16), 5	597–5605. https://d	oi.org/10.1128/	AEM.01393-12								

646 Figure and Table legends

647 Figure 1: Schematic representation of the lactose and galactose metabolic pathways (according 648 to (Neves et al., 2010; Price, Zeyniyev, Kuipers, & Kok, 2012; Zeng et al., 2010)). Enzymes 649 encoded by the genes: *lacAB*, galactose-6-phosphate isomerase; *lacC*, tagatose-6-phosphate kinase; *lacD*, tagatose-1,6-diphosphate aldolase; *lacEF*, EIIABC^{lac/Gal}; *lacG*, phospho-β-650 651 galactosidase; *lacR*, transcriptional regulator; *lacS*, lactose permease; *lacZ*, β -galactosidase; 652 *lacLM*, β-galactosidase; *galP*, galactose permease; *galM*, galactose epimerase; *galK*, galactose 653 kinase; galT, galactose-1-phosphate uridyltransferase; galE, UDP-glucose-4-epimerase; pgm, 654 phosphoglucomutase; *glk*, glucokinase. GPDH: glyceraldehyde 3 phosphate, DHAP: 655 dihydroxyacetone phosphate. The dotted line symbolizes several reactions.

656 Figure 2: Organization and synteny between gal genes from the Leloir pathway and lac genes 657 genes from the Tagatose-6P pathway among representative strains. A. gal genes from the Leloir 658 pathway; B. gal and lac genes; C. lac genes from the Tagatose-6P pathway. The arrows show 659 the gene direction. galR (regulation gene), galK (galactose kinase), galM (galactose mutarotase), 660 galT (Galactose-1P uridyltransferase), galE (UDP-glucose 4-epimerase), lacZ/lacLM (beta 661 galactosidase), galP /lacS (galactose/lactose permease), agaR (alpha-galactosidase), bgaB (beta-662 galactosidase), bgaC (beta-galactosidase), bglH (beta-glucosidase). lacE (PTS system), lacF 663 (PTS system), HP (hypotetical protein), araC (AraC family transcriptional regulator), pgcA 664 (alpha-phosphoglucomutase), ganB (arabinogalactan endo-1,4-beta-galactosidase), α -gal 665 (alpha/beta hydrolase family), msm (multiple sugar metabolism), lacX (putative galactose mutarotase, plasmid protein). lacAB (galactose isomerase), lacC (Tagatose6P kinase), lacD 666 667 (tagatose-1,6P aldolase), lacFE (PTS system), lacG (P-beta galactosidase), lacR (regulation 668 genes), *trp* (transposase), *lacT* (anti-terminator transcriptional gene).

Figure 3: Diversity of the lactose and galactose metabolic pathways within (A) genus and (B) species of the different genus analyzed. The data used to build this graph were obtained from the genomes hosted in the MicroScope platform and from the results described in a previous study (Iskandar et al., 2016). The numbers between brackets on the X axis indicate the total number of strains analyzed in each genus.

674









676 Table 1 : Lactose/galactose metabolism genes present in LAB and non-LAB strains.

- 677 The shadowed boxes indicate the presence of homologue: light gray indicates that only one copy
- of the gene is present and the dark gray indicates that more than one copy are present. The 678
- 679 numbers indicate the number of copies of paralogues. *lacAB* (galactose isomerase), *lacC*
- 680 (tagatose-6P kinase), *lacD* (tagatose-1,6P aldolase), *lacFE* (PTS system), *lacG* (P-β
- galactosidase), lacR (regulation genes), lacS (lactose permease), lacLMZ (ßgalactosidase), galP 681
- 682 (galactose permease), galM (galactose epimerase), galK (galactose kinase), galT (galactose-1P-
- 683 uridyltransferase), galE (UDP-glucose-4-epimerase).

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the lactose and galactose metabolic pathways (according to Neves et al., 2010; Price et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2010). Enzymes encoded by the genes: *lacAB*, galactose-6-phosphate isomerase; *lacC*, tagatose-6-phosphate kinase; *lacD*, tagatose-1,6-diphosphate aldolase; *lacEF*, EIIABC^{lac/Gal}; *lacG*, phospho- β -galactosidase; *lacR*, transcriptional regulator; *lacS*, lactose permease; *lacZ*, β -galactosidase; *lacLM*, β -galactosidase; *galP*, galactose permease; *galM*, galactose epimerase; *galK*, galactose kinase; *galT*, galactose-1-phosphate uridyltransferase; *galE*, UDP-glucose-4-epimerase; *pgm*, phosphoglucomutase; *glk*, glucokinase. GPDH: glyceraldehyde 3 phosphate, DHAP: dihydroxyacetone phosphate. The dotted line symbolizes several reactions.



Figure 2: Organization and synteny between *gal* genes from the Leloir pathway and *lac* genes genes from the Tagatose-6P pathway among representative strains. A. *gal* genes from the Leloir pathway; B. *gal* and *lac* genes; C. *lac* genes from the Tagatose-6P pathway. The arrows show the gene direction. *galR* (regulation gene), *galK* (galactose kinase), *galM* (galactose mutarotase), *galT* (Galactose-1P uridyltransferase), *galE* (UDP-glucose 4-epimerase), *lacZ/lacLM* (beta galactosidase), *galP /lacS* (galactose/lactose permease), *agaR* (alpha-galactosidase), *bgaB* (beta-galactosidase), *bglH* (beta-glucosidase). *lacE* (PTS system), *lacF* (PTS system), *HP* (hypotetical protein), *araC* (AraC family transcriptional regulator), *pgcA* (alpha-phosphoglucomutase), *ganB* (arabinogalactan endo-1,4-beta-galactosidase), *a-gal* (alpha/beta hydrolase family), *msm* (multiple sugar metabolism), *lacX* (putative galactose mutarotase, plasmid protein). *lacAB* (galactose isomerase), *lacC* (Tagatose6P kinase), *lacD* (tagatose-1,6P aldolase), *lacFE* (PTS system), *lacG* (P-beta galactosidase), *lacR* (regulation genes), *trp* (transposase), *lacT* (anti-terminator transcriptional gene).

Carnobacterium inhibens ssp. gilichinskyi WN135	agalR lacF lacE lacZ galK galE galT galM galR 9 - ←───────────────────────────────────
Carnobacterium maltaromaticum 3.18	galK galE galT galM galR HP araC lacE lacF agaR bgaB bgaC
Carnobacterium sp. 17.4 and AT7	galK galE galT galM galR
<i>Enterococcus durans</i> ATCC6056, <i>E. hirae</i> ATCC9790	galK_galM_msmRgalE_galT_galR
Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM	galM galT galK lacZ lacS trp galR lacLM galE HP
Lactobacillus helveticus DPC 4571	galM galT galK trp lacZ lacS galR lacLM galE
Lactococcus garvieae ATCC49156 L. lactis ssp. cremoris MG1363	galE galT galK galM lacS
Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis KF147	galE lacZ HP galT galK galM lacS galT galK α-gal galR
L. lactis ssp. lactis IL1403	galE lacZ HP galT galK galM lacS
L. piscium MKFS47	lacZ lacE lacF bgaB galR galM galK galT

Figure 2A (continued)

Leuconostoc citreum KM20	galK galT galP lacZ1 LacZ2 galM galR
L. gasicomitatum LMG 18811 L. gelidum JB7	galP galR galK galT galM LacZ
L. kimchii IMSNU11154	galM LacZ galP galK galT galR
L. mesenteroides ssp. mesenteroides J18, ATCC829	galP galR α-gal galK galT galP galM galR galK galP HP LacZ 3
L. mesenteroides ssp. mesenteroides ATCC8293	galP galR α-gal galK galT galR ganA galP ganB galT galE galK trp galM galR galK galP HP LacZ
Listeria grayi DSM20601	galK galE galT galR pgcA
<i>Oenococcus oeni</i> AWRIB429, ATCC BAA- 1163, PSU-1	galP galK galT galE galM galR
Pediococcus acidilactici DSM 20284 P. pentosaceus ATCC 25745	galR galM galP lacLM galK galE galT
Streptococcus sanguinis SK353 S. salivarius SK126	galR galK galT galE
Streptococcus thermophilus LMG18311 S. salivarius CCHSS3	lacZ lacS galM galE galT galK galR
Weissella confusa LBAE C39-2	lacLM galT galK galP galR
Weissella cibaria KACC 11862 W. paramesenteroides ATCC 33313	lacLM galT galK galP galR
Weissella koreensis KACC 15510, KCTC 3621	gaik gair gair lacLM

Figure 2B	lacD lacC lacB lacA lacR PTS lacR lacE lacF HP lacG lacD lacC HP β-gal galE galT galR
Enterococcus avium ATCC14025	
	galR galT galE galK galM lacR lacA lacB lacC lacD lacF lacE lacG
Enterococcus faecalis TX1322	
	galM galK galE galT galR lacR lacA lacB lacC lacD lacF lacE lacG
Enterococcus faecalis V583	
Leader with a structure of CASI	lacE lacT lacF lacG galM galR galE galT galK lacF lacG lacE lacT
Lactobaculus rnamnosus CASL	
	lace lact lace lacg lace lace lace aalk gale galt galk galk
Lactobacillus rhamnosus 21052	
Lactobacillus casei BL 23	lact lack lack lack galk galk galk galk galM lack lack lack
	galK galE galT galR galM , lacC lacD lacB lacA lacR
L. casel ATCC334 (chr)	
L. casei ATCC334 (pl.)	
	lacR lacA lacB lacC lacD lacF lacE lacG ,, lacR lacA lacB lacD galR galK galE galT galM
L. curvatus CRL705	
Lactococcus lactis SK11 (chr)	
	lacE lacF lacD lacC lacB lacA lacR
L. Lactis SK11 (pl.)	
	agik agit gale gale tro lack lach lach lach
Straptococcus anglacting A909	
Sirepiococcus uguiucitue A909	
	galE galT galK galR lacX lacG lacE lacF lacD lacC lacB lacA lacR
Streptococcus infantarius ATCC BA-102	
Streptococcus gordonii CH1	galK galT galE lacX lacG lacE lacF lacT lacD lacC lacB lacA PTS PTS PTS lacD lacC lacB lacA lacR
Sureprotocous goruonni onn	
Streptococcus mutans UA159	galR galK galT galE lacX lacG lacE lacF lacD lacC lacB lacA lacR
Sirepiococcus muturis OA157	

	trp	lacR	lacA2 lacB2 lacX l	acA1lacB1 lacC1	lacD lacF1 lacE1	lacG	trp		trp	lacF2 bglH	lacE2	lacC2
Carnobacterium maltaromaticum LMA28	533333	X						K)
	trp		lacR lacA2 lacB2 lacX1	lacA1 lacB1 la	ICC lacD lacF1 lacE1	1 lacG	G1 trp		trp	lacF2 bglH	lacE2	lacG2
C. maltaromaticum ATCC35586	Acx2	S							<u> CEEENH</u>			₩ –
	lacR lacA	lacB lace	C lacD lacF lacE la	icG lacX								
Lactococcus raffinolactis 4877												
	lacG	lac	E lacFlacT lacD lacC	lacB lacA lacR								
Streptococcus agalactiae NEM316	())))]							
	lacR la	acA lacB	lacC lacD lacF lacE	lacG								
Staphylococcus aureus 16K				- 333330-								

Figure 3: Diversity of the lactose and galactose metabolic pathways within (A) genus and (B) species of the different genus analyzed. The data used to build this graph were obtained from the genomes hosted in the MicroScope platform and from the results described in a previous study (Iskandar et al., 2016). The numbers between brackets on the X axis indicate the total number of strains analyzed in each genus.

Leloir Pathway, Tagatose-6P pathway, Leloir and Tagatose-6P Pathways, No Pathway

Figure 3-A



Figure 3-B



Table 1

			Taga	atose-61	Phosph	ate pat	Leloir pathway									
	lacR	<i>lacA</i>	lac B	lacC	lacD	lac E	lac F	lacG	lacT	galP lacS lacY	lacZ lacLM	galR	galM	galK	galT	galE
Bacillus subtilis 168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Carnobacterium gilichinskyi WN1359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Carnobacterium maltaromaticum 3.18	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Carnobacterium sp. 17-4	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2
Carnobacterium sp. AT7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus durans ATCC6056	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus faecium D344SRF, DO NZ_AAAK, TX0133a04, TX0133B, TX0082, E1071, E1636, U0317, E1679, DO NZ_ACIY, TX0133a01, TX0133A, TX0133C, E1162, E1039,	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus hirae ATCC9790	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus mundtii QU25	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus brevis ATCC27305	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus brevis ATCC14869, KB290	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus brevis ATCC367	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus fermentum IFO3956	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus helveticus DPC4571	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus johnsonii NCC533	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus plantarum ATCC14917	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus plantarum JDM1, ST-III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus rhamnosus ATCC5462	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus sakei 23K	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus salivarius ATCC11741, GJ-24, NIAS840	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus salivarius SMXD51, UCC118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus sp. ASF360	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	3
Lactococcus garvieae ATCC49156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactococcus lactis KF147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	1
Lactococcus lactis MG1363, IL403	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lactococcus piscium MKFS47	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Leuconostoc citreum KM20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Leuconostoc gasicomitatum LMG18811	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Leuconostoc gelidum JB7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Leuconostoc kimchii IMSNU11154	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Leuconostoc mesenteroides J18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	2	1	1
Leuconostoc mesenteroides ATCC8293	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	2	2
Listeria grayi DSM20601	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
1163, PSU-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Pediococcus pentosaceus ATCC25745	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Streptococcus salivarius CCHSS3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Streptococcus salivarius SK126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
Streptococcus sanguinis SK353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Streptococcus thermophilus CNRZ1066, LMG18311, LMD-9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
Weissella cibaria KACC11862	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Weissella confusa LBAE C39-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Weissella koreensis KCTC3621, KACC15510	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Weissella paramesenteroides ATCC33313	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus avium ATCC14025	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus casseliflavus ATCC12755 Enterococcus faecalis V583, TX0635, TX0411, HH22, DAPTO 512, DAPTO 516, R712, S613, TX0104, TX0248, TX1322, TX0134, TX0855	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
TX0860, TUSoD Ef11, TX0470, PC1.1, ATCC29200, TX0109, TX0102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ū	-	Ū	-	-	-	-	-
Enterococcus faecium E980, PC4.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus faecium TX1330	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Enterococcus italicus DSM15952	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus curvatus CRL705	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Lactobacillus johnsonii FI 9785	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
lactobacillus murinus ASF361	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus paracasei 8700:2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus rhamnosus CASL, HN001, R0011, LMS2_1, ATCC21052, LC705	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG ATCC53103	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactochacillus casei ATCC334	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactochacillus casei BL 23	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Lactococcus lactis \$K11	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Dediagona anidiani DSM20284	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Streptococcus agalactiae A909	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Streptococcus gordonu CHI	1	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	I	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Streptococcus infantarius ATCC-BA-102	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Streptococcus mutans UA159	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Streptococcus pneumoniae D39	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	3
Streptococcus pneumoniae R6, TIGR4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	3
Streptococcus sanguinis A1CC29667, ATCC49296, 2908, SK36, SK335, SK678, SK1087, SK1057	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i> SK1, SK1056, SK1058, SK1059, SK115, SK160, SK340, SK405, SK408, SK49, SK72, SK150, SK330	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
Streptococcus sanguinis VMC 66 Streptococcus suis 05ZYH33, ST3, ST1,	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
SS12, JS14, 98HAH33	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Aerococcus viridans ATCC11563	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATCC35586	1	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Carnobacterium maltaromaticum LMA28, DSM20342 MX5	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lactococcus raffinolactis 4877	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staphylococcus aureus 16K, JKD6008,																
MRSA252, TW20, Z172, T0131, S0385, COL, N315, USA300-TSACH1516, CA-347, USA300-FPR3757, Mu50, MW2, 08BA02176, JH1, 11819-97, Newman NCTC8325	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Streptococcus agalactiae 2603 V/R	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Streptococcus agalactiae NEM 316	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Streptococcus pyogenes Manfredo, SSI-1, SF370, MGAS9429, MGAS8232, MGAS6180, MGAS10750, MGAS10394	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aerococcus urinae ACS-120-V-Col10a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carnobacterium maltaromaticum ML.1.97	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Lactobacillus delbruckii ATCC11842, ATCC BAA-365	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Leuconostoc carnosum JB16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Listeria innocua FSL S4-378, ATCC33091, FSL S1-023, Clip11262	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Listeria ivanovii FSL F6-596	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Listeria marthii FSL S4-120 Listeria monocytogenes FSL F2-515, FSL F2- 208, FSL N1-017, FSL R2-503, FSL J1-194, HCC23, L99, M7, serotype 4b, 08-5923, 104035, 08-5578, FSL R2-561, SLCC2372, HPB2262, Finland 1988, EGD-e, FSL J1-175, SLCC2479, SLCC5850, FSL J2-003, SLCC179, 07PF0776, FSL N3-165, F6900, J0161, J2818, J1816, serotype 1-2a, FSL J2- 071, L312, J1-220, SLCC2540, SLCC240, SLCC2376, SLCC2378, SLCC2755, serotype 7, ScottA, ATCC19117, L028, FSL J2-064.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Listeria seeligeri 1/2b SLCC5334, FSL N1- 067	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Listeria welshimeri 6b SLCC5334	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Streptococcus agalactiae FSL S3-026	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weissella ceti NC36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0