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# EXISTENCE AND NONEXISTENCE RESULTS FOR A WEIGHTED ELLIPTIC EQUATION IN EXTERIOR DOMAINS 

ZONGMING GUO, XIA HUANG, AND DONG YE

Abstract. We consider positive solutions to the weighted elliptic problem

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla u\right)=|x|^{\ell} u^{p} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial B,
$$

where $B$ is the standard unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We give a complete answer for the existence question for $N^{\prime}:=N+\theta>2$ and $p>0$. In particular, for $N^{\prime}>2$ and $\tau:=\ell-\theta>-2$, it is shown that for $0<p \leq p_{s}:=\frac{N^{\prime}+2+2 \tau}{N^{\prime}-2}$, the only nonnegative solution to the problem is $u \equiv 0$. This nonexistence result is new, even for the classical case $\theta=\ell=0$ and $\frac{N}{N-2}<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}, N \geq 3$. The interesting feature here is that we do not require any behavior at infinity or any symmetry assumption.

## 1. Introduction

We study positive solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla u\right)=|x|^{\ell} u^{p} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial B \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|x|<1\right\}, p>0$.
For $\theta=\ell=0$, the classical elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=u^{p} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial B \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been studied intensively, see for instance $[1,2,3,4,7,11,13,15]$ and the references therein.
It is well-known from [1, 2, 7, 11] that (1.2) does not admit any positive solution provided $0<p \leq \frac{N}{N-2}$ for $N \geq 3$; or $p>0$ for $N \leq 2$. It is also well-known from [3] that for any supercritical exponent $p>\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 3$, the problem (1.2) admits a unique positive radial solution $u$ satisfying

$$
u(x)=O\left(|x|^{2-N}\right) \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

For $\frac{N}{N-2}<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}, N \geq 3$, Theorem 2.2 in [13] showed that (1.2) does not admit any positive radial solution. However, the following natural question remained open:
(*) Is there any non radial positive solution of (1.2) for $\frac{N}{N-2}<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}, N \geq 3$ ?
It's worthy to mention two closely linked results, which seem to suggest that the answer for $(*)$ could be complex. Firstly, there exist infinitely many non radial slow decay positive solutions to (1.2) for any supercritical exponent $p$. More precisely, let $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$, it was proved in [4] that for the homogenous Dirichlet problem $-\Delta u=u^{p}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$ with $p>\frac{N+2}{N-2}$, there exist always infinitely many positive solutions such that

$$
u(x)=O\left(|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}\right) \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

[^0]Secondly, by Proposition 6.1 in [15], Zhang showed that for any bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3), p>\frac{N+\ell}{N-2}$, the problem

$$
-\Delta u=|x|^{\ell} u^{p} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega, \quad u=f \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

admits a positive solution, if $f$ is a nontrivial nonnegative function in $L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$ and $\|f\|_{\infty}$ is small enough.

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solutions to the more general equation (1.1), under the following basic assumption:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}:=N+\theta>2, \quad \tau:=\ell-\theta>-2 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elliptic problem like $-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)=b(x) f(x, u)$ can be used to modelling some physical phenomena related to the equilibrium of continuous media, see [5].

We will show that

$$
p_{s}:=\frac{N^{\prime}+2+2 \tau}{N^{\prime}-2}
$$

is effectively the critical exponent for (1.1). In particular, when $\theta=\ell=0$, we prove that the answer to the question ( $*$ ) is indeed negative.

Our main results are the follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $N^{\prime}>2$ and $\tau>-2$. The only nonnegative solution of (1.1) is $u_{p} \equiv 0$ provided $0<p \leq p_{s}$.

The interesting feature here is that we do not require any behavior at infinity or any symmetry assumption for $u$. Note that by scaling, our results hold obviously on $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}, \forall R>0$.

For $p \leq \frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}$, we show a more general nonexistence result inspired by [1, 2], see Theorem 4.1 below. For $1<p \leq p_{s}$, we apply the moving-sphere method to get a monotonicity property for the eventual positive solution, then we conclude by contradiction with integral estimate and stability argument. Comparing to Zhang's result mentioned above, the homogenous Dirichlet boundary condition plays a crucial role for our nonexistence result whenever $\frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}<p \leq p_{s}$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $N^{\prime}>2, \tau>-2$ and $p>p_{s}$. Then the problem (1.1) admits a unique positive radial solution $u_{p} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\right)$.

Combining with the approaches in $[1,9,12,14]$, we show finally a complete picture for the existence of positive solution to (1.1), for all $N^{\prime}>2$ and $p>0$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $N^{\prime}>2$ and $p>0$.
(1) If $\tau>-2$, then (1.1) admits a positive solution if and only if $p>\frac{N^{\prime}+2+2 \tau}{N^{\prime}-2}$.
(2) If $\tau<-2$, then (1.1) admits a positive solution for any $p>1$ and $p \in(0,1)$.
(3) If $\tau<-2$ and $p=1$, then (1.1) admits a positive solution if and only if $\lambda_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)=0$ in $H_{0}^{1, \theta}(B)$ where

$$
L_{1}(v):=-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla v\right)-|x|^{-(4+\tau-\theta)} v,
$$

and $H_{0}^{1, \theta}(B)$ is the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(B)$ under the norm $\|u\|=\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(B,\left.|x|\right|^{\theta} d x\right)}$.
(4) Let $\tau=-2$. then (1.1) has a positive solution if and only if $p=1, N^{\prime} \geq 4$; or $p>1$.

In our analysis, we shall use the Kelvin transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y)=|x|^{N^{\prime}-2} u(x) \text { where } y=\frac{x}{|x|^{2}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a solution to (1.1), then $v$ satisfies the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|y|^{\theta} \nabla v\right)=|y|^{\sigma} v^{p} \text { in } B \backslash\{0\}, \quad v=0 \text { on } \partial B . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\sigma=\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)(p-1)-(4+\tau-\theta) .
$$

By direct calculation, there holds $\tau^{\prime}:=\sigma-\theta>-2$ if $p>\frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p>p_{s}>1 \text { if and only if } 1<p<p_{s}^{\prime}:=\frac{N^{\prime}+2+2 \tau^{\prime}}{N^{\prime}-2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1 implies that problem (1.5) does not admit any nontrivial nonnegative solution in $C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\})$ for $N^{\prime}>2, \tau^{\prime}>-2$ and $p \geq p_{s}^{\prime}$. A similar nonexistence result was proved in [9] with the additional assumption that $v \in C^{0}(\bar{B})$. We prove Theorem 1.2 by using a positive radial solution $v_{p} \in C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{B})$ to (1.5) given in [9].

## 2. EXISTENCE FOR SUPERCRITICAL CASE

Here we prove Theorem 1.2 by using the Kelvin transformation (1.4), and we are looking for a solution $v$ satisfying the equation (1.5).

Recall that $\sigma=\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)(p-1)-(4+\tau-\theta)$ and $\tau^{\prime}=\sigma-\theta>-2$. As $1<p<p_{s}^{\prime}$ provided $p>p_{s}$, it follows from [9] that (1.5) admits a positive radial solution $v_{p} \in C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{B})$. Hence $r \mapsto v_{p}(r)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(r^{N^{\prime}-1} v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N^{\prime}+\tau^{\prime}-1} v^{p}(r) \text { in }(0,1), \quad v(1)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $r^{N^{\prime}-1} v_{p}^{\prime}$ is decreasing in $r, N^{\prime}>2 ; v_{p}$ is positive and uniformly bounded in $(0,1), v_{p}$ must satisfy the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N^{\prime}-1} v^{\prime}(r)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v_{p}^{\prime}(r)<0$ in $(0,1)$, this implies that $v_{p}(0)>0$.
We now prove that $v_{p}$ is the unique positive radial solution of (1.5) in $C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{B})$. Suppose that there is another such radial solution $\underline{v}$, let

$$
\lambda^{\frac{2+\tau^{\prime}}{p-1}}=\frac{\underline{v}(0)}{v_{p}(0)}, \quad \text { and } \quad w(r):=\lambda^{\frac{2+\tau^{\prime}}{p-1}} v_{p}(\lambda r) \text { for all } r \in\left[0, \lambda^{-1}\right]
$$

Clearly, $w$ satisfies the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(r^{N^{\prime}-1} w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N^{\prime}+\tau^{\prime}-1} w^{p} \text { in }\left(0, \lambda^{-1}\right), \quad w(0)=\underline{v}(0) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as above,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N^{\prime}-1} w^{\prime}(r)=0
$$

Consider $h=w-\underline{v}$, so $h(0)=0$ and $h$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r)=-\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N^{\prime}+\tau^{\prime}-1}\left[w^{p}(s)-\underline{v}^{p}(s)\right] d s d t, \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq \min \left(1, \lambda^{-1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix any $0<r_{0}<\min \left(1, \lambda^{-1}\right)$. By the continuity of $w, \underline{v}$ in $\left[0, r_{0}\right]$, the mean value theorem and Fubini's theorem imply that there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
|h(r)| \leq C \int_{0}^{r} s^{\tau^{\prime}+1}|h(s)| d s, \quad \forall r \in\left[0, r_{0}\right]
$$

Remark that $s^{\tau^{\prime}+1} \in L_{l o c}^{1}(0, \infty)$ since $\tau^{\prime}>-2$, the classical Gronwall formula implies that $h \equiv 0$ in $\left[0, r_{0}\right]$. Therefore $w \equiv \underline{v}$ in $\left[0, r_{0}\right]$, hence in $\left[0, \min \left(1, \lambda^{-1}\right)\right)$. This is possible if and only if $\lambda=1$ seeing the Dirichlet boundary conditions and $v_{p}, \underline{v}>0$ in $B$. To conclude, $\underline{v} \equiv v_{p}$ in $[0,1]$.

Conversely, let $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\right)$ be a positive radial solution to (1.1), then

$$
-\left(r^{N^{\prime}-1} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N^{\prime}+\tau-1} u^{p}(r) \text { in }[1, \infty)
$$

So we have $r^{N^{\prime}-1} u^{\prime}$ is decreasing and $u(r)=O\left(r^{2-N^{\prime}}\right)$ at infinity. Under the Kelvin transform (1.4), we get a bounded radial function $v \in C^{2}(\bar{B} \backslash\{0\})$ which satisfies (2.1). As above, the boundedness of $v$ implies (2.2), hence $v$ is decreasing by (2.1) and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} v(r)=\ell_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ exists,
because $v$ is bounded. In other words, $v \in C^{0}(\bar{B})$. The uniqueness of solution for (2.1) in $C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{B})$ completes the proof.

## 3. Nonexistence for $1<p \leq p_{s}$

Let $1<p \leq p_{s}$, we show first a monotonicity property for positive solution of (1.1) if it exists.
Proposition 3.1. Let $N^{\prime}>2, \tau>-2,1<p \leq p_{s}$ and $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\right)$ be a nontrivial nonnegative solution to (1.1). Then $|x|^{\frac{N^{\prime}-2}{2}} u(x)$ is increasing with respect to the radius $r=|x|$.
Proof. By the strong maximum principle, $u>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}$. We introduce the following transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, \omega)=r^{\frac{N^{\prime}-2}{2}} u(r, \omega), \quad t=\ln r . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $v$ satisfies the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{t t}+\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} v+e^{p_{*} t} v^{p}-\frac{\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)^{2}}{4} v=0, \quad(t, \omega) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1},  \tag{3.2}\\
\left.v(0, \cdot)\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
p_{*}=\frac{\left(N^{\prime}+2+2 \tau\right)-\left(N^{\prime}-2\right) p}{2} \geq 0 .
$$

Let $\Sigma:=(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. For $T>0$, define

$$
\Sigma_{T}:=(0, T) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \quad S_{T}=\{T\} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}
$$

Furthermore, we denote by $(t, \omega)_{T}$ the reflection of $(t, \omega)$ with respect to $S_{T}$, namely,

$$
(t, \omega)_{T}=(2 T-t, \omega) .
$$

Then the functions

$$
v_{T}(t, \omega):=v\left((t, \omega)_{T}\right)=v(2 T-t, \omega) \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{T}=v-v_{T}
$$

are well-defined in $\Sigma_{T}$ for $T>0$. Moreover, $\xi_{T}$ satisfies the following equation in $\Sigma_{T}$ :

$$
\left(\xi_{T}\right)_{t t}+\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \xi_{T}+e^{p_{*} t} v^{p}-e^{p_{*}(2 T-t)} v_{T}^{p}-\frac{\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)^{2}}{4} \xi_{T}=0
$$

As $p_{*} \geq 0$, there holds $e^{p_{*}(2 T-t)} \geq e^{p_{*} t}$ for any $t \leq T$. So we obtain

$$
\left(\xi_{T}\right)_{t t}+\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \xi_{T}+e^{p_{*} t}\left(v^{p}-v_{T}^{p}\right)-\frac{\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)^{2}}{4} \xi_{T} \geq 0 \text { in } \Sigma_{T},
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi_{T}\right)_{t t}+\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \xi_{T}+\eta \xi_{T} \geq 0 \text { in } \Sigma_{T}, \quad \xi_{T} \leq 0 \text { on } \partial \Sigma_{T} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta(t, \omega):=c(t, \omega)-\frac{\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)^{2}}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad c(t, \omega)=p e^{p_{*} t} \psi^{p-1}(t, \omega),
$$

with $\psi(t, \omega)$ in the interval formed by $v(t, \omega)$ and $v_{T}(t, \omega)$. The fact that $\xi_{T} \leq 0$ on $\partial \Sigma_{T}$ for any $T>0$ comes easily from $u=0$ on $\partial B$.

By the continuity of $v$, we see that $\eta(t, \cdot)$ tends uniformly to $-\frac{\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)^{2}}{4}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ as $T \rightarrow 0^{+}$. This implies that there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\eta(t, \omega)<0 \text { for }(t, \omega) \in \Sigma_{T} \text { and } 0<T \leq \delta_{1} .
$$

Applying the maximum principle to (3.3), it follows that $\xi_{T} \leq 0$ in $\Sigma_{T}$ provided $T \leq \delta_{1}$. As $\xi_{T}(0, \omega)<0$, the strong maximum principle (see for example [8]) yields that for $0<T \leq \delta_{1}$, there hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{T}(t, \omega)<0 \text { in } \Sigma_{T}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi_{T}}{\partial t}(t, \omega)>0 \text { on } S_{T} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \xi_{T}}{\partial t}(T, \omega)=2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(T, \omega), \quad \forall T>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now

$$
T_{0}=\sup \left\{T_{1}>0: \text { (3.4) holds for } T \leq T_{1}\right\}
$$

Then $T_{0} \geq \delta_{1}$ is well-defined. We want to claim that $T_{0}=\infty$.
Suppose the contrary that $T_{0}<\infty$, then $\xi_{T_{0}} \leq 0$ in $\Sigma_{T_{0}}$ by continuity. Seeing the elliptic equation (3.3), as $\xi_{T_{0}}(0, \omega)<0$, the strong maximum principle means that (3.4) holds true for $T=T_{0}$. Using (3.5), we deduce that $\partial_{t} v>0$ in $\left(0, T_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. Recall that $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\right)$, by the compactness of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, there exists $\varepsilon_{1} \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, \omega)>0 \quad \text { for }(t, \omega) \in\left(0, T_{0}+3 \varepsilon_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}
$$

We get then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{T}(t, \omega)<0 \text { in } \Sigma_{T} \backslash \Sigma_{T_{0}-\varepsilon_{1}}, \quad \forall T_{0} \leq T \leq T_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\max _{\bar{\Sigma}_{T_{0}-\varepsilon_{1}}} \xi_{T_{0}}<0$ by continuity and (3.4) with $T=T_{0}$. Using the continuity of $v$, there exists $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ such that

$$
v(t, \omega)-v(2 T-t, \omega)<0 \text { in } \bar{\Sigma}_{T_{0}-\varepsilon_{1}}, \quad \forall T_{0} \leq T \leq T_{0}+\varepsilon_{2}
$$

Combining with (3.6), let $\varepsilon=\min \left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)>0$, there holds $\xi_{T} \leq 0$ in $\Sigma_{T}$ for any $T \in\left[T_{0}, T_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$.
Again, as $\xi_{T}<0$ on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, the strong maximum principle applying to (3.3) shows that (3.4) remains valid for $T \in\left[T_{0}, T_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$, this is a contradiction to the definition of $T_{0}$. Therefore $T_{0}$ must be $\infty$, hence (3.4) holds true for any $T>0$.

Using (3.5), for any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, the function $r \mapsto r^{\frac{N^{\prime}-2}{2}} u(r, \omega)$ is increasing in $[0, \infty)$.
Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 for $1<p \leq p_{s}$. Suppose that (1.1) admits a nontrivial nonnegative solution $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\right)$. We claim that

$$
\varphi(x):=\nabla u \cdot x+\frac{2+\tau}{p-1} u(x)>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B} .
$$

In fact, as $1<p \leq p_{s}$, we have

$$
|x|^{\frac{2+\tau}{p-1}} u(x)=|x|^{\beta}|x|^{\frac{N^{\prime}-2}{2}} u(x), \quad \text { where } \beta:=\frac{2+\tau}{p-1}-\frac{N^{\prime}-2}{2} \geq 0 .
$$

So the function $|x|^{\frac{2+\tau}{p-1}} u(x)$ is increasing in $|x|$, thanks to Proposition 3.1. By the above proof, $\partial_{t} v>0$ in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, hence $\varphi>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}$.

Direct calculation via scaling yields that $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\right)$ is a positive weak solution to the linearized equation

$$
L_{u}(w):=-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla w\right)-p|x|^{\ell} u^{p-1} w=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B} .
$$

By classical elliptic theory, for any bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1, \Omega}\left(L_{u}\right)>0, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1, \Omega}\left(L_{u}\right)$ stands for the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet problem

$$
L_{u}(h)=\lambda h \text { in } \Omega, \quad h=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

So $u$ is stable in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}$.
Using Theorem 3.1 in [6], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}}\left(|x|^{\theta}|\nabla u|^{2}+|x|^{\ell} u^{p+1}\right) d x<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, Proposition 3.1 implies then the existence of $C>0$ such that

$$
u(x) \geq C|x|^{-\frac{N^{\prime}-2}{2}}, \quad \forall|x| \geq 2
$$

Recall that $1<p \leq p_{s}$, there holds

$$
\int_{|x|>2}|x|^{\ell} u^{p+1} d x \geq C \int_{2}^{\infty} r^{N-1+\ell} r^{-\frac{(p+1)\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)}{2}} d r=\infty
$$

since

$$
N-1+\ell-\frac{(p+1)\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)}{2} \geq N^{\prime}+\tau-1-\frac{\left(p_{s}+1\right)\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)}{2}=-1 .
$$

This contradiction with (3.8) means that there is no nontrivial nonnegative solution to (1.1).

## 4. Further results

First, we consider the existence and nonexistence of positive solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla u\right) \geq|x|^{\ell} f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \bar{B}_{R}, R>0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that we do not impose any boundary condition or the value of $R$.
A first nonexistence result has been obtained by Gidas-Spruck (see Theorem A. 3 in [7]), they showed that for $\theta=\ell, N^{\prime}=N+\theta>2$ and $f(u)=u^{p}$, (4.1) admits only trivial nonnegative solution $u \equiv 0$ provided $1<p \leq \frac{N^{\prime}}{N^{\prime}-2}$. Since then, many existence and nonexistence results have been established for (4.1) under suitable conditions, interested readers can look at $[1,2,10,12,14,15]$ and the references therein.

Inspired by Theorem 8 of [1], we show a very general result to characterize the existence of positive solution to (4.1). In particular, the following result implies Theorem 1.1 for $0<p \leq$ $\frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $N^{\prime}>2, \tau>-2$ and $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is continuous. Then the problem (4.1) admits a positive classical solution for some $R>0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} f(t) t^{-\frac{2\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}} d t<\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$.
Proof. We need only to adapt the approach in [1]. Note that to prove Lemma 4 in [1], the key points are the maximum principle and the rotational invariance of the equation, which obviously remain true in our setting.

Therefore, we can claim that if (4.1) admits a positive solution, then for some $R_{0}>R$, there exists a $C^{1}$ positive radial function $\bar{u}$ verifying

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla u\right)=|x|^{\ell} f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R_{0}}
$$

in the weak sense. Moreover, if $\bar{u}(x)=v(|x|)$, then $v \in C^{2}\left(R_{0}, \infty\right)$ and there exists $R_{1} \geq R_{0}$ such that $v$ is monotone for $r>R_{1}$. Note that $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v^{\prime \prime}-\frac{N^{\prime}-1}{r} v^{\prime}=r^{\tau} f(v) \text { in }\left[R_{1}, \infty\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $N^{\prime}>2$, there holds $v(r) \leq-C_{1} r^{2-N^{\prime}}+C_{2}$. Hence $v$ is bounded in $\left[R_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=$ $\kappa \geq 0$ exists.

If $\kappa>0$, there exists $C>0$ such that $\left(r^{N^{\prime}-1} v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq-C r^{N^{\prime}+\tau-1}$ for $r$ large enough. Using $N^{\prime}+\tau>0$ and integrating, we deduce that $v^{\prime}(r) \leq-C r^{\tau+1}$ for large $r$. As $\tau>-2$, this implies that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=-\infty$ which is impossible. Hence we can claim that $v^{\prime}(r)<0$ in $\left[R_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=0$.

Similarly to [1], we introduce the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=r^{2-N^{\prime}}, \quad w(s)=v(r) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w$ is a positive solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w^{\prime \prime}(s)=a s^{-\gamma} f(w) \text { in }\left(0, s_{0}\right), \quad w(0)=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $a$ and $s_{0}$, where (as $\tau>-2$ )

$$
\gamma=\frac{2\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}>2
$$

Finally, applying Theorem 6 in [1], a positive solution of (4.5) exists if and only if (4.2) holds true.

Remark 4.2. Let $N^{\prime}>2, \tau>-2$, then

$$
p-\frac{2\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2} \leq-1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p \leq \frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}
$$

For $f(t)=t^{p}$ with $0<p \leq \frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}$, Proposition 4.1 implies that (4.1) cannot have positive solution for any $R>0$, hence the equation (1.1) does not admit positive solution.

Remark 4.3. It is well known that if $N^{\prime}>2, \tau>-2$ and $p>\frac{N^{\prime}+\tau}{N^{\prime}-2}, v(x)=C r^{-\frac{\tau+2}{p-1}}$ with suitable $C\left(N^{\prime}, \tau, p\right)>0$ will satisfy $-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla v\right)=|x|^{\ell} v^{p}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$.

Moreover, Przeradzki and Stańczy [12, 14] obtained that if $N>2, \theta=0$ and $\ell<-2$, the equation (1.1) admits a positive solution for any $p>1$ and $p \in(0,1)$, see Remark 5 in [14] and Corollary 1 in [12]. It's not difficult to be convinced that their approach via radial solutions remains valid to (1.1) for any $N^{\prime}>2$ and $\tau<-2$. We get then a complete answer to the existence question of positive solution of (1.1), under the only assumption $N^{\prime}:=N+\theta>2$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. The point (1) is given by Theorems 1.1-1.2. The point (2) is ensured by $[12,14]$ as explained above.

Consider $\tau<-2$ and $p=1$, assume that a positive solution exists to (1.1). As the equation is linear, the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) yields a positive radial solution $u$ to (1.1), and there holds $u(r)=O\left(r^{2-N^{\prime}}\right)$ at infinity. By the Kelvin transform (1.4), we get a solution $v$ to (2.1) with $p=1$. As above, there holds $v \in C^{0}(\bar{B})$ and $v$ satisfies (2.2). Using the equation, we see that $v \in H_{0}^{1, \theta}(B)$ is a positive solution to $L_{1}(v)=0$, with $\tau^{\prime}=-(4+\tau)>-2$. It means that 0 is the principal eigenvalue to $L_{1}$ in $H_{0}^{1, \theta}(B)$.

Fix now $\tau=-2$.

- If $0<p<1$, we will prove that the problem (4.1) has no solution for any $R>0$. Suppose the contrary, as in [1], we get a positive radial solution to $-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\theta} \nabla v\right)=|x|^{\ell} v^{p}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R_{1}}$ for some $R_{1}>R$. Under the change of variable (4.4), there exists $a, s_{0}>0$ such that

$$
-w^{\prime \prime}=a s^{-2} w^{p} \text { in }\left(0, s_{0}\right), \quad w(0)=0
$$

However, this is impossible seeing the proof of Theorem 8 (b) in [1], where we can replace $N$ just by $N^{\prime}>2$.

- If $p=1$, as before, the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) is equivalent to the existence of a positive radial solution. Assume that $u$ is a radial solution to (1.1), let $w(s)=u\left(e^{s}\right)$, then $w$ is a solution to

$$
w^{\prime \prime}+\left(N^{\prime}-2\right) w^{\prime}+w=0 \text { in }(0, \infty), \quad w(0)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} w(s)=0
$$

Clearly, such a positive solution $w$ exists if and only if the equation $\lambda^{2}+\left(N^{\prime}-2\right) \lambda+1=0$ has only negative roots, or equivalently, $N^{\prime} \geq 4$.

- Finally let $p>1$. Under the Kelvin transform, we are led to consider (1.5). We check readily that $\tau^{\prime}=\sigma-\theta=\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)(p-1)-2>-2$ and $p$ is subcritical, since here

$$
p<\frac{N^{\prime}+2+2 \tau^{\prime}}{N^{\prime}-2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad(p-1)\left(N^{\prime}-2\right)>0
$$

There exists a positive radial solution of (1.5) as in section 2, using the result in [9].
Remark 4.4. For $N^{\prime}=2, \tau>-2$, similarly to [1], we can show that the problem (4.1) admits a positive solution if and only if there exists $a>0$ such that $e^{a t} f(t)$ is integrable at $+\infty$. Consequently, in this case, for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the equation (4.1) does not admit positive solution.
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