

Real-Time ellipsometric spectra without systematics errors

Laurent Broch, Nicolas Stein, Alexandre Zimmer, Yann Battie, Aotmane En Naciri

▶ To cite this version:

Laurent Broch, Nicolas Stein, Alexandre Zimmer, Yann Battie, Aotmane En Naciri. Real-Time ellipsometric spectra without systematics errors. ICSE-6, 2013, Kyoto, Japan. 2013. hal-02900842

HAL Id: hal-02900842 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02900842v1

Submitted on 16 Jul2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Real-Time ellipsometric spectra without systematics errors

L. BROCH¹, N. STEIN², A. ZIMMER³, Y. BATTIE¹, A. EN NACIRI¹

¹ Laboratoire de Chimie Physique-Approche Multi-échelle des milieux complexes (EA 4632), Université de Lorraine, 1 boulevard Dominique François Arago, CP 87811, F-57078 Metz cedex 3, France

² Institut Jean Lamour, Université de Lorraine, CNRS 7198, 1 boulevard Dominique François Arago, CP 87811, F-57078 Metz cedex 3, France

³ Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire Carnot de Bourgogne, Université de Bourgogne, CNRS 6303, 9 avenue Alain Savary, BP 47870, F-21078 Dijon cedex, France

In real-time measurement, it is difficult to reduce systematic errors on spectra of ellipsometric angles Ψ and Δ by the mean of two measurements at two analyzer positions with the incidence plane +45° and -45° [1-2]. Generally, the analyzer is mounted on a motorized rotation stage. The rotation of the optical component from -45° to +45° requires few seconds, and this is incompatible with dynamic measurements.



The systematic errors

The detected light has the following form:

$$I = I_0 \left[a_0 + \sum_n (a_{2n} \cos 2nC + b_{2n} \sin 2nC) \right]$$

where $C = \omega t$ is the angle of the fast axe of the compensator at time t. The ellipsometric parameters of the sample are deduced by:

$$\tan \Delta = \frac{\alpha_{2c} \sin 2P - \alpha_{2s} \cos 2P}{\alpha_{4c} \sin 2P - \alpha_{4s} \cos 2P} \left(\frac{1 - \cos \delta_c}{2 \sin \delta_c}\right)$$
$$K.sgnA. \sin 2A \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 1/K^2}\right)$$

All errors independent of their origins, propagate through the Fourier coefficients a_0 , a_{2n} and b_{2n} . The systematic errors due to the positioning of the optical elements can be reduced by a suitable calibration procedure, but other errors due to the imperfection of the components affect the measurements. They are evaluated by calculating the Jacobian matrix relating $\delta\Delta$ and $\delta\Psi$ to the errors δa_0 , δa_{2n} and δb_{2n} .

For example, the contribution to Δ resulting from δa_2 is derived from equation of tan Δ by, first differentiation with respect to α_2 :

$$\delta \Delta_{a_2} = \frac{1 - \cos \delta_c}{2 \sin \delta_c} \frac{\cos^2 \Delta \sin 2P}{\alpha_4 \sin 2P - \beta_4 \cos 2P} \delta o$$

Taking into account that α_2 and $\delta \alpha_2$ are proportional to a_2 and δa_2 [i.e. $\alpha_n = Ga_n$ and $\beta_n = Gb_n$, G may be considered as the orverall gain and is assumed to be real and frequency





In situ ellipsometric monitoring of electrocrystallization of Bismuth telluride films



- Optical data: Ψ and Δ spectra
- Gravimetric data: Electrochemical Quartz Crystal Microbalance
- Coulometric data: Potentiostat

Acquisition times : SE: 50 ms/EQCM: 160 ms/ potentiostat: 100 ms



Fitted parameters Material volumic fraction *f* (%) thickness *t (nm)*

	Time	Thickness (nm)	Volumic fraction (%)
Fitted only from S_{s(+45°)} data	22s	4.9	43.4
Fitted only from S_{s(-45°)} data		4.8	33.5
Fitted from data of both detectors		4.7	38.6
Fitted only from S_{s(+45°)} data	28s	35.8	52.5
Fitted only from S_{s(-45°)} data		30.6	50.3
Fitted from data of both detectors		32.9	50.5

- UMR 7198

References

- [1] R. Kleim, L. Kuntzler and A El Ghemaz, J. Opt. S. Am. A 11:2550-2558 (1994).
- [2] J. Lee, P. Rovira, I. An and R. Collins, Review of Scientific Instrument 72:1742-1754 (1998).
- [3] L. Broch, L. Johann, N. Stein, A. Zimmer and R. Beck, Review of Scientific Instrument 78:064101 (2007).



