Pyrolysis of n- octane at very low concentration and low temperature

N. Razafinarivo, R. Bounaceur, V. Burklé-Vitzthum, F. Lannuzel, R. Michels, G. Scacchi, P.M. M Marquaire

► To cite this version:

N. Razafinarivo, R. Bounaceur, V. Burklé-Vitzthum, F. Lannuzel, R. Michels, et al.. Pyrolysis of n-octane at very low concentration and low temperature. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis, 2016, 117, pp.282-289. 10.1016/j.jaap.2015.11.004 . hal-02930918

HAL Id: hal-02930918

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02930918>

Submitted on 3 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives| 4.0 International License

Pyrolysis of *n*-Octane at Very Low Concentration and Low Temperature

N. Razafinarivo ^{a,b}, R. Bounaceur ^a, V. Burklé-Vitzthum ^a, F. Lannuzel ^{a,b}, R. Michels ^b,
G. Scacchi ^a, P.M. Marquaire ^a

^a Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés, CNRS-UMR 7274,
Université de Lorraine, ENSIC, 1 rue Grandville, BP 20451, F-54001 NANCY Cedex, France

^b GeoRessources, CNRS-UMR 7359,
Université de Lorraine, BP 70239, F-54501 Vandœuvre lès Nancy, France

Abstract

Hydrocarbon pyrolysis concerns many different fields (petroleum geochemistry, refinery, fuel thermal stability, pyrocarbon deposition, etc.). It is therefore studied in a wide variety of temperature-pressure experimental conditions, which strongly affect the chemistry of hydrocarbons cracking.

An experimental study of the pyrolysis of *n*-octane has been performed at very low reactant concentration (1 mbar diluted in inert gas - total pressure 1500 mbar - molar fraction 0.07%) in a closed reactor, at temperatures ranging between 350°C and 450°C, and reaction time from 1 h to 70 h. The major products of the reaction are 1-alkenes (C₂H₄ to C₇H₁₄), methane and ethane; other alkanes (C₃H₈ to C₆H₁₄) are minor products. At 450°C and 4 h, the conversion is close to 9% and we observe, in terms of molar fractions:

These experimental results are very different from those of the thermal decomposition of *n*-alkanes at the same low temperature but at high pressure. In particular, the cracking stoichiometric equations (for example: C₈H₁₈ = C₆H₁₄ + C₂H₄) are not observed since alkanes

(except methane and ethane) are in very low quantities. This can be explained by the very low concentration of reactant which limits the bimolecular reactions. In our conditions, the radicals decompose several times by beta-scissions of C-C bonds when it is possible (unimolecular reaction), rather than react by H-transfers (metathesis) with the reactant (bimolecular reaction) which produce alkanes. A detailed free radical mechanism (184 reactions, 16 molecules and 18 radicals – mechanism available as Supplementary Material) allows modelling the experimental results.

Keywords

Pyrolysis, *n*-Octane, Thermal decomposition, High dilution of reactant, Kinetic modelling.

1. Introduction

Thermal chemistry of hydrocarbons during pyrolysis is important in many research fields as petroleum geochemistry [1-3], refining of crude oils, cracking of hydrocarbons to produce light olefins [4-7], thermal decomposition of endothermic jet fuels [8-10], conversion of coal and biomass to liquid fuels [11-14], depolymerization and recycling of synthetic polymers [15-17], pyrocarbon deposition for the elaboration of C/C composite materials [18-20], etc. The reaction conditions considered cover a very wide range of time and temperature, from 150°C during several millions of years in geochemistry studies, to 900°C for a few milliseconds or seconds during ethane/propane steam-cracking for instance. The pressure (and consequently the concentration of hydrocarbons) can also vary in a very large domain, from some millibars to 1000 bar. This diversity of pyrolysis conditions is illustrated in different reviews (e.g. [21, 22]). Free-radical reaction pathways are considered as the dominant mechanisms for pyrolysis reactions of organic materials (e.g. [22-24]). The pyrolysis chemistry of the same reactant (and the main products formed) can largely be modified according to the conditions of the reaction (temperature, pressure and time). The knowledge of the thermal reaction mechanism and the rate

of the different pathways provide means to better understand hydrocarbon pyrolysis as well as valuable information for kinetic modelling.

The study of the pyrolysis of *n*-alkanes is simpler than that of other hydrocarbons or organic components. It is rather well known because it has been widely studied for a long time (e.g. [24-34]). Except for methane, the pyrolysis of alkanes consists of free-radical chain reactions. The chemistry is similar whatever the size of the alkane, yet the number of products formed (and the size of the reaction mechanism) increases with the number of carbon atoms of the molecule. At low and usual pressure (0.1-10 bar), the pyrolysis of an alkane produces 1-alkenes and smaller alkanes or hydrogen by cracking reaction. For example, the pyrolysis of propane leads to two main stoichiometric equations:

This reaction is used for olefin production by steam-cracking for instance.

In petroleum geochemistry, at high pressure ($P > 100\text{bar}$) and low temperature ($200 < T < 500^\circ\text{C}$), several alkanes were chosen as model molecules of the alkane family in oils. For instance, *n*-hexane was chosen [28-29], as well as *n*-pentacosane [35], *n*-hexadecane [36-38] and *n*-octane [39]. In HP-LT (High Pressure-Low Temperature) conditions, the pyrolysis of alkanes is a long chain radical-reaction with two major types of propagation chains: a cracking chain and an alkylation one.

In HP-LT conditions, the detailed kinetic model of alkane pyrolysis was already investigated by several authors (e.g. [24, 29, 40-42]). Therefore, only the major aspects will be recalled here.

The pyrolysis of an alkane (here called « μH » [40]) can be schematized by the following free-radical cracking mechanism where $\mu\cdot$ and $\beta\cdot$ are free radicals.

Each cracking propagation chain includes two steps: a decomposition reaction by β -scission and an H-transfer reaction:

82 The corresponding cracking stoichiometric equation (I) is:

84 where $\beta\cdot$ is a radical lighter than $\mu\cdot$, and « alkane-minus » is an alkane lighter than the reactant
85 μH .

86 The alkylation propagation chains include two types of free radical reactions: additions of $\mu\cdot$
87 radicals to alkenes and H-transfers:

92 It leads to the alkylation stoichiometric equation (II):

94 where $\mu\text{-alk-plus}\cdot$ is a radical heavier than $\mu\cdot$, and « alkane-plus » is the corresponding alkane
95 which is heavier than the reactant μH .

96 At low temperature, the addition reactions are fast and the alkylation chains become important,
97 because the activation energy of the additions is particularly low (about 25-34 kJ/mol). So the
98 alkenes become minor products and the global (I + II) stoichiometric equation is:

100 Isomerization reactions also play an important role in product distribution. They can be
101 unimolecular (1,4 and 1,5 H shift isomerization) and bimolecular by H-transfers between the
102 reactant μH and one of its associated radicals $\mu_i\cdot$ leading to another isomer radical $\mu_j\cdot$:

We already studied and modelled the pyrolysis at high pressure (700 bar) and low temperature (300-400°C) of *n*-octane [39] and hexadecane [36-38]. The mechanisms described above lead to modelling results consistent with the experimental ones and they are therefore considered as sufficiently complete (meaning that no major reaction was missing).

For *n*-octane pyrolysis, there are 4 radicals $\mu_i\cdot$ ($i = 1$ to 4, Figure 1) and 6 stoichiometric equations. The major products are:

- 6 “alkane-minus”: CH_4 to C_6H_{14}

- 6 “alkane-plus”: $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{22}$ to $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{32}$

and the alkenes are minor products : C_2H_4 to C_7H_{14}

The objective of this work is to provide background information on the radical reactions occurring during the pyrolysis of *n*-octane at very low reactant concentration (1 mbar diluted in inert gas - total pressure 1500 mbar - molar fraction 0.07%). It is to be noted that the pressure dependence of rate constant (“fall-off”) [e.g. 23] is not studied here, because the total pressure is always above 1 bar. These results are used for constructing a kinetic model. Conditions of low reactant concentration (≤ 10 mbar of partial pressure) can be found in various processes or natural conditions: unburned gas and pollutants in fumes [43, 44], low pressure plasmas, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [45-48], very low pressure pyrolysis (VLPP) studies [25-28], atmospheric chemistry (earth or other planets) [49, 50], etc.

2. Experimental technique

The experimental pyrolysis study of *n*-octane has been performed in gas phase at very low reactant pressure (1 mbar) in a closed reactor (1 L) made of Pyrex. The *n*-octane is diluted in argon and the total pressure is close to 1500 mbar. Special care was taken to avoid oxygen traces. The temperatures range between 350°C and 450°C and the reaction time from 1 h to 70 h. The analysis was performed by two on-line gas chromatographs. Figure 2 shows the flow sheet of the experimental apparatus.

132 The experimental setup is connected to a vacuum pump ($< 10^{-3}$ mbar). The gases are introduced
133 in the reactor via a round-bottomed flask (2 L) which allows the mixing of the reactant and the
134 inert gas (argon). The liquid reactant (*n*-octane) is introduced by a syringe through a double
135 septum, protected from the air by a flow of argon. The amount of reactant is very small (10-50
136 μL), as to ensure that it is totally vaporized in the mixer which is under vacuum ($<10^{-3}$ mbar).
137 Inert gas is introduced by a central injector equipped with 4 nozzles in order to homogenize the
138 mixture. The total pressure in the mixer reaches 2-3 bar, which allows the expansion of the gas
139 toward the reactor in a second step.

140 The main three steps of an experiment are:

- 141 - pumping of all experimental setup and heating of the empty reactor,
- 142 - mixing of the reactant-argon mixture in the mixer and waiting for the homogenization
143 during 10 min,
- 144 - expansion of the gases toward the reactor and beginning of the thermal reaction.

145 During the same experiment, an aliquot fraction is removed at different reaction times from the
146 reactor by expansion toward the heated analysis line. The amount of the aliquot fraction is very
147 small and controlled by the pressure in the reactor (maximum drop of pressure = 20 mbar). The
148 pressure drops are small in regards to the total pressure in the reactor (1500 mbar). Therefore,
149 several aliquot fractions can be removed from the reactor without disturbing the reaction.

150 The analysis of the reactant and the products is performed by two online Gas Chromatographs.
151 The chromatograph HEWLETT-PACKARD HP 6850 is equipped with a FID and a semi-
152 capillary column (GS-Alumina, length 30 m, diameter 0.53 mm). The temperature program of
153 the oven is as follows: the initial temperature is set at 40°C during 10 min, then a heating rate of
154 10 °C/min is applied up to 190°C, and the final temperature is kept at 190°C during 20 min. This
155 allows the analysis of the light alkanes and alkenes (from C_1 to C_8). In this setup, hydrogen
156 cannot be measured.

157 The chromatograph SHIMADZU GC 17 is equipped with a FID and a packed column (Alltech,

length 3.6 m, stationary phase: 35% BCEF – 10% OV 101), which works isothermally at 50°C. It is particularly well adapted to the analysis of the heavy alkanes from C₉ to C₁₅, but none of them was detected in the entire study. The GC calibrations were performed by using several standard mixtures.

All data presented in this paper were generally verified by three or four sets of GC data. The repeatability for the molar fractions is usually less than 5% for the major products. The carbon balance is typically 1±0.05 and the C/H ratio remains quite constant (between 0.40 and 0.44 at 400°C and between 0.44 and 0.47 at 450°C).

3. Experimental results

The major products obtained are methane, ethane and 1-alkenes (C₂H₄ to C₇H₁₄). Figures 3 show the evolution of conversion versus reaction time at different temperatures.

At 450°C and 4 h, the *n*-octane conversion is greater than 8% and the three main reaction products are ethylene, propene and methane, with molar fractions ranging in the following order:

followed by higher alkenes and ethane:

The minor products observed are alkanes from C₃H₈ to C₇H₁₆.

The evolution of products versus reaction time will be detailed beneath in section 5 dedicated to the modeling. Figure 4 represents the global evolution of alkanes-minus and alkenes that are the two families of products.

It should be noted that these experimental results are very different from the thermal decomposition of *n*-alkanes at the same low temperature but at high pressure [39]. In particular, the cracking stoichiometric equations (for example: C₈H₁₈ = C₂H₄ + C₆H₁₄) are not observed since C₃⁺ alkanes are in very low quantities and the alkylation stoichiometric equation (for example: C₈H₁₈ + C₂H₄ = C₁₀H₂₂) are negligible: alkenes are important and alkanes-plus (C₈⁺) are

not detected. The experimental results at 450°C are given in Table 1 and the details as Supplementary Materials.

4. Discussion: kinetic mechanism of *n*-octane pyrolysis at very low concentration

In comparison with the study of the pyrolysis of *n*-octane at 700 bar [39], the range of temperature is the same (350-450°C), but the reactant partial pressure (1 mbar) is very different, the initial concentration of reactant being lower by more than a factor of 10⁵. The very low concentration of reactant (called μH) minimizes the importance of the bimolecular reactions in the mechanism, in particular the H-transfer in the cracking propagation chain:

In our low concentration conditions, the radicals are decomposed by β -scission of C-C bonds as much as possible (unimolecular reaction), rather than reacting by H-transfer with the reactant (bimolecular reaction, which produces an alkane). The methyl and ethyl radicals cannot be decomposed by β -scission of C-C bonds, so they react by H-transfer with the reactant and produce methane or ethane and $\mu\cdot$ which is the chain carrier radical. At low temperature and low pressure, the cracking propagation chain becomes:

For the *n*-octane pyrolysis, there are 4 $\mu_i\cdot$ radicals (Figure 1), which lead to 4 cracking

209 propagation chains and 4 stoichiometric equations.

210 From $\mu_1\cdot$ radical, the low pressure propagation chain is:

215 The corresponding cracking stoichiometric equation is:

217 From $\mu_2\cdot$ radical, an equivalent propagation chain leads to the stoichiometric equation:

219 In the case of $\mu_3\cdot$, there are two possibilities of C-C bond scission:

222 These chain reactions lead to the following stoichiometric equations:

225 In the $\mu_4\cdot$ radical, there are also two possibilities of C-C bond scission and the stoichiometric
226 equations are:

229 So, the stoichiometric equations lead to the formation of two alkanes, CH_4 and C_2H_6 , and all
230 alkenes from C_2 to C_7 . This well agrees with the main products observed when the pyrolysis of
231 *n*-octane is performed at very low reactant concentration.

232 If we suppose, in a first approximation, that all the cracking stoichiometric equations have the
233 same rate, the global stoichiometric equation is:

235 That leads to the following classification:

237 The classification has to be compared with our experimental results at 450°C and 4 h (Figures 5
238 and 6):

241 The little quantitative differences between the experimental classification and the classification
242 obtained by the stoichiometric equations could be explained by three approximations. First, the
243 concentrations of the $\mu_i^\cdot$ are not equal, because $\mu_1^\cdot$ is a primary radical which is less stable than a
244 secondary one:

245
$$[\mu_1^\cdot] < [\mu_2^\cdot] \sim [\mu_3^\cdot] \sim [\mu_4^\cdot]$$

246 Consequently, the stoichiometric equation I' (leading to C_2H_6) is overestimated in the argument
247 which only considers the stoichiometric equations.

248 Another correction is that the decompositions by β -scission of C-H bonds are not completely
249 negligible at 450°C. They lead to the conversion of ethane into ethylene, which is the major
250 product:

253 Finally, the formation of C_3^+ alkanes in very low quantities indicates that the H transfer reactions
254 of $\beta^\cdot$ radicals (C_3 - C_6 radicals) are not totally negligible and produce C_3^+ alkanes:

256 This brief kinetic interpretation well explains the chemistry observed for the *n*-octane pyrolysis
257 at low reactant concentration and low temperature, and the differences in the product distribution
258 obtained at high concentration and pressure (competition: unimolecular versus bimolecular
259 reactions).

260

5. Modeling of *n*-octane thermal decomposition at very low reactant concentration.

On the basis of these free-radical reactions, a systematic approach is used to build a detailed mechanism of *n*-octane pyrolysis at low reactant concentration and low temperature. Using EXGAS software [40, 51], the mechanism (primary and partial secondary) is made of 184 reactions involving 34 species (16 molecules and 18 radicals). The kinetic data originate from NIST Database [52] or literature and are summarized in [40]. The simulations are performed using Chemkin II software [53], in a closed isochoric and isothermal reactor (Senkin).

The comparison between the experimental results and simulation ones led to the adjustment of some rate constants by a minor factor (less or equal to 10) in order to better account for main pyrolysis products. Figures 5, 6 and 7 present the comparison between the experimental data and the simulation results of the molar fractions (*n*-octane and reaction products) versus time (1 to 240 minutes) at 450°C and 1 mbar of *n*-octane partial pressure (total pressure 1500 mbar - molar fraction 0.07%).

The detailed kinetic model accounts for the nature of the products observed as well as for their amounts, at least semi-quantitatively. The evolution of alkenes, *n*-octane and propane is well simulated by the kinetic model, although ethene is very slightly over-estimated, and pentene and hexene are under-estimated, but they are minor products. The overestimation of ethene can be due to additions of some radicals to alkenes, which are secondary reactions not taken into account by the model. Methane and ethane are also very slightly overestimated by the model, probably for the same reason, because methyl and ethyl radicals are the predominant radicals. In the case of ethane, this may also be due to the decomposition of C-H bonds, which is not completely negligible at 450°C and leads to the conversion of ethane into ethylene. Nevertheless we can therefore conclude that the kinetic model is globally validated as well as the kinetic scheme proposed to explain the chemistry observed at very low concentration.

By simulation of the kinetic model, the chain length can be estimated by the ratio of the global propagation rate to the initiation rate. The main H-transfers with *n*-octane are those implying the

methyl and ethyl radicals. The chain length is equal to 120 at 450°C and low *n*-octane concentration (molar fraction 0.07%). The chain length increases when the temperature decreases and in our conditions, the chain length is always very high.

When a reaction follows a first order kinetic law, the plot of log (1-conversion) versus time must give a linear relationship and the slope corresponds to the rate constant. Assuming a first order kinetics, we can estimate the apparent activation energy of *n*-octane pyrolysis: it is close to 218 kJ/mol and the frequency factor is about $3.63 \times 10^{10} \text{ (s}^{-1}\text{)}$, in the range 350°C – 450°C and 1 mbar of *n*-octane partial pressure. This apparent activation energy is lower than that of alkane pyrolysis at the same temperatures but at high pressure (100-700 bar), which is close to 284-289 kJ/mol [35, 39, 42, 54].

This difference can be explained by the concentration of reactant that is totally different in our case in comparison to those applied in [35, 39, 42]. The elementary reactions that are important, are also different, depending on the reaction conditions. Indeed, in the chain propagation, the H-transfers of radicals with reactant, which produce smaller alkanes than the reactant, are in competition with the decomposition of this radical by β -scission which produce smaller alkenes:

At very low reactant concentration, unimolecular decomposition reactions are greatly favored over bimolecular reactions, and that leads to very different apparent activation energies.

6. Conclusion

An experimental study of the pyrolysis of *n*-octane has been performed at very low reactant concentration (1 mbar diluted in inert gas - total pressure 1500 mbar - molar fraction 0.07%) in a closed reactor, at temperatures between 350°C and 450°C and reaction time from 1 h to 70 h. The major products of the reaction are 1-alkenes (C_2H_4 to C_7H_{14}), methane and ethane. These experimental results are different from the thermal decomposition of *n*-octane at the same low

313 temperature but at high pressure (the major products are only alkanes at 700 bar).
314 Indeed, at very low reactant concentration, unimolecular decomposition reactions are greatly
315 favored over bimolecular reactions. In our low concentration conditions, radicals decompose
316 several times by β -scission of C-C bonds as much as possible (unimolecular reaction), rather
317 than react by H-transfer with the reactant (bimolecular reaction) which produces an alkane. The
318 methyl and ethyl radicals cannot decompose by C-C β -scission, and so they react by H-transfer
319 with the reactant and produce methane or ethane and $\mu\cdot$, which is the chain carrier radical.

320
321 On the basis of these free-radical reactions, a detailed kinetic model (184 reactions, 16 molecules
322 and 18 radicals) is proposed, which allows a satisfying description of the experimental results:

- 323 - the nature of the principal reaction products is correct,
- 324 - C_2H_4 is the most important product,
- 325 - CH_4 and C_2H_6 are the only two important alkanes,
- 326 - the alkenes from C_3 to C_7 are formed in rather close quantities,
- 327 - the C_3^+ alkanes are very minor products.

328 These results of *n*-octane pyrolysis obtained at very low reactant concentration underline the
329 crucial role of concentration and partial pressure in the reaction pathways and products of the
330 alkane pyrolysis. They clearly demonstrate that results obtained at low partial pressure cannot be
331 extrapolated at high pressure and vice versa.

332

333

334 REFERENCES

- 335
- 336 [1] M. Vandenbroucke, F.Behar, J.L. Rudkiewicz, Kinetic modelling of petroleum formation and
 337 cracking: implications from the high pressure/high temperature Elgin Field (UK, North Sea),
 338 *Org. Geochem.* 30 (9) (1999) 1105–1125.
- 339 [2] K.E. Peters, M.G. Fowler, Applications of petroleum geochemistry to exploration and
 340 reservoir management, *Org. Geochem.* 33 (1) (2002) 5–36.
- 341 [3] R.J. Hill, Y. Tang, I.R. Kaplan, Insights into oil cracking based on laboratory experiments,
 342 *Org. Geochem.* 34 (12) (2003) 1651-1672.
- 343 [4] M. Bajus, V.C. Vesela, P.A. Leclercq, J.A. Rijks, Steam Cracking of Hydrocarbons. 2.
 344 Pyrolysis of Methylcyclohexane, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev* 18 (1) (1979) 135-142.
- 345 [5] G.F. Froment, Kinetics and reactor design in the thermal cracking for olefins production,
 346 *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 47 (9-11) (1992) 2163–2177.
- 347 [6] S.M. Sadrameli, A.E.S. Green, Systematics and modeling representations of naphtha thermal
 348 cracking for olefin production, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 73 (2) (2005) 305–313.
- 349 [7] K. M. Van Geem, D. Hudebine, M.F. Reyniers, F.Wahl, J. Verstraete, G. B. Marin,
 350 Molecular reconstruction of naphtha steam cracking feedstocks based on commercial indices,
 351 *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, 31 (9) (2007) 1020–1034.
- 352 [8] T. Edwards, Cracking and deposition behavior of supercritical hydrocarbon aviation fuels,
 353 *Combust. Sci. Tech.*, 178 (1-3) (2006) 307–334.
- 354 [9] O. Herbinet, B. Sirjean, R. Bounaceur, R. Fournet, F. Battin-Leclerc, G. Scacchi, P.M.
 355 Marquaire, Primary reactions of the thermal decomposition of tricyclodecane, *J. Phys. Chem. A*,
 356 110 (39) (2006) 11298-11314.
- 357 [10] N. Vandewiele, G. Magoon, K. Van Geem, M.F. Reyniers, W. Green, G. Marin, Kinetic
 358 Modeling of Jet Propellant-10 Pyrolysis, *Energy Fuels*, 29 (1) (2015) 413–427.
- 359 [11] P.R. Solomon, M.A. Serio, G.V., Despande, E. Kroo, Cross-linking reactions during coal

360 conversion, *Energy Fuels* 4 (1) (1990) 42–54.

361 [12] S. Wang, H. Huang, K. Wang, M.T. Klein, W.H. Calkins, Kinetics of Coal Liquefaction
362 Distillation Resid Conversion, *Energy Fuels*, 12 (6) (1998) 1335–1341.

363 [13] N. Howaniec, A. Smoliński, Effect of fuel blend composition on the efficiency of hydrogen-
364 rich gas production in co-gasification of coal and biomass, *Fuel* 128 (2014) 442–450.

365 [14] J.G. Speight, Coal gasification processes for synthetic liquid fuel production, in: R. Luque,
366 J. Speight (Eds.), *Gasification for Synthetic Fuel Production - Fundamentals, Processes and*
367 *Applications*, Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy, Elsevier Ltd, 2015.

368 [15] W. Kaminsky, B. Schlesselmann, C. Simon, Olefins from polyolefins and mixed plastics by
369 pyrolysis, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol.* 32 (1995) 19–27.

370 [16] A. Uddin, K. Koizumi, K. Murata, Y. Sakata, Thermal and catalytic degradation of
371 structurally different types of polyethylene into fuel oil, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 56 (1) (1997) 37–
372 44.

373 [17] E. Hajekova, M. Bajus, Recycling of low-density polyethylene and polypropylene via
374 copyrolysis of polyalkene oil/waxes with naphtha: product distribution and coke formation, *J.*
375 *Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 74 (1-2) (2005) 270–281.

376 [18] I. Ziegler, R. Fournet, P.M. Marquaire, Pyrolysis of propane for CVI of pyrocarbon - part I:
377 Experimental and modeling study of the formation of toluene and aliphatic species, *J. Anal.*
378 *Appl. Pyrol.* 73(2) (2005) 212–230.

379 [19] I. Ziegler, R. Fournet, P.M. Marquaire, Pyrolysis of propane for CVI of pyrocarbon - part II:
380 Experimental and modeling study of polyaromatic species, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol.* 73(2) (2005)
381 231–247.

382 [20] R. Lacroix, R. Fournet, I. Ziegler-Devin, P.M. Marquaire, Kinetic Modeling of Surface
383 Reactions Involved in CVI of Pyrocarbon obtained by propane pyrolysis, *Carbon* 48 (1)
384 (2010) 132–144.

385 [21] S. Moldoveanu, *Pyrolysis of organic molecules-Applications to Health and Environmental*

386 Issues, first ed., Elsevier, 2009.

387 [22] P.E. Savage, Mechanisms and kinetics models for hydrocarbon pyrolysis, J. Anal. Appl.
 388 Pyrolysis 54 (1-2) (2000) 109–126.

389 [23] K.J. Laidler, L.F. Louks, Comprehensive Chemical Kinetics, vol 5, Elsevier, 1972.

390 [24] M.L. Poutsma, Fundamental reactions of free radicals relevant to pyrolysis reactions, J.
 391 Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 54 (1-2) (2000) 5–35.

392 [25] F. O. Rice, K. F. Herzfeld, The Thermal Decomposition of Organic Compounds from the
 393 Standpoint of Free Radicals. VI. The Mechanism of Some Chain Reactions, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
 394 56 (1934) 284-289.

395 [26] D.L. Allara, R. Shaw, A compilation of kinetic parameters for the thermal degradation of
 396 normal-alkane molecules, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 9 (3) (1980) 523-559.

397 [27] T. J. Ford, Liquid-Phase Thermal Decomposition of Hexadecane: Reaction Mechanisms,
 398 Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam., 25 (2) (1986) 240-243.

399 [28] F. Dominé, Kinetics of hexane pyrolysis at very high pressures. 1. Experimental study,
 400 Energy Fuels 3 (1) (1989) 89–96.

401 [29] F. Dominé, P.M. Marquaire, C. Muller, G.-M. Côme, Kinetics of hexane pyrolysis at very
 402 high pressures. 2. Computer modeling, Energy Fuels 4(1) (1990) 2–10.

403 [30] F. Khorasheh, M. R. Gray, High-Pressure Thermal Cracking of *n*-Hexadecane, Ind. Eng.
 404 Chem. Res., 32 (9) (1993) 1853-1863.

405 [31] K.J. Jackson, A.K. Burnham, R.L. Braun, K.G. Knauss, Temperature and pressure
 406 dependence of *n*-hexadecane cracking, Org. Geochem. 23 (10) (1995) 941–953.

407 [32] O. Herbinet, P.M. Marquaire, F. Battin-Leclerc, R. Fournet, Thermal stability of *n*-dodecane:
 408 experiments and kinetic modeling, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol., 78(2) (2007) 419-429.

409 [33] M. J. De Witt, D. J. Dooling, L. J. Broadbelt, Computer Generation of Reaction Mechanisms
 410 Using Quantitative Rate Information: Application to Long-Chain Hydrocarbon Pyrolysis, Ind.
 411 Eng. Chem. Res. 39 (2000) 2228-2237.

412 [34] J. Yu, S. Eser, Kinetics of Supercritical-Phase Thermal Decomposition of C10–C14 Normal
 413 Alkanes and Their Mixtures Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 36 (3) (1997) 585–591.

414 [35] F. Behar, M. Vandenbroucke, Experimental Determination of the Rate Constants of the *n*-
 415 C25 Thermal Cracking at 120, 400, and 800 bar: Implications for High-Pressure/High-
 416 Temperature Prospects, Energy Fuels 10 (4) (1996) 932-940.

417 [36] R. Bounaceur, G. Scacchi, P.M. Marquaire, F. Dominé, D. Dessort, B. Pradier, Inhibiting
 418 effect of tetralin on the pyrolytic decomposition of hexadecane. Comparison with toluene, Ind.
 419 Eng. Chem. Res. 41 (19) (2002) 4689–4701.

420 [37] V. Burklé-Vitzthum, R. Michels, G. Scacchi, P.M. Marquaire, D. Dessort, B. Pradier, O.
 421 Brevart, Kinetic effect of alkylaromatics on the thermal stability of hydrocarbons under
 422 geological conditions, Org. Geochem. 35 (1) (2004) 3-31.

423 [38] V. Burklé-Vitzthum, R. Michels, R. Bounaceur, P.M. Marquaire, G. Scacchi, Experimental
 424 study and modeling of the role of hydronaphthalenics on the thermal stability of hydrocarbons at
 425 laboratory and geological conditions, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 44 (24) (2005) 8972-8987.

426 [39] F. Lannuzel, R. Bounaceur, R. Michels, G. Scacchi, P.M. Marquaire, Reassessment of the
 427 kinetic influence of toluene on *n*-alkanes pyrolysis, Energy Fuels 24 (7) (2010) 3817-3830.

428 [40] R. Bounaceur, V. Warth, P. M. Marquaire, G. Scacchi, F. Dominé, D. Dessort, B. Pradier,
 429 O. Brevart, Modeling of hydrocarbons pyrolysis at low temperature. Automatic generation of
 430 free radicals mechanisms, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 64 (2002) 103-122.

431 [41] F. Dominé, R. Bounaceur, G. Scacchi, P.M. Marquaire, D. Dessort, B. Pradier, O. Brévar,
 432 Up to what temperature is petroleum stable ? New insights from a 5200 free radical reactions
 433 model, Org. Geochem. 33 (12) (2002) 1487-1499.

434 [42] V. Burklé-Vitzthum, R. Bounaceur, P-M. Marquaire, F. Montel, L. Fusetti, Thermal
 435 evolution of *n*- and iso-alkanes in oils - Part 1: Pyrolysis model for a mixture of 78 alkanes (C1-
 436 C32) including 13,206 free radical reactions, Org. Geochem. 42 (5) (2011) 439-450.

437 [43] I. Ziegler-Devin, R. Bounaceur, C. Perrin, R. Wörner, F. Patisson, P. M. Marquaire, Kinetic

study of abatement of low concentration of dibenzofuran by oxidation – Effects of co-reactants,
 Chem. Eng. J. 218 (2013) 154-163.

[44] A. Tritz, I. Ziegler-Devin, C. Perrin, P.M. Marquaire, Experimental study of the oxidation
 and pyrolysis of dibenzofuran at very low concentration, J. Env. Chem. Eng., 2 (2014) 143-153.

[45] G. Vignoles, F. Langlais, C. Descamps, A. Mouchon, H. Le Poche H, N. Reuge, CVD and
 CVI of pyrocarbon from various precursors, Surf. Coat. Technol. 188-189 (2004) 241–249.

[46] K. Norinaga, O. Deutschmann, Detailed kinetic modeling of gas-phase reactions in the
 chemical vapor deposit of carbon from light hydrocarbons, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 46 (11) (2007)
 3547–3557.

[47] M. Frenklach, H. Wang, Detailed surface and gas-phase chemical kinetics of diamond
 deposit, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter, 43 (2) (1991) 1520-1545.

[48] S.W. Benson, G. N. Spokes, Very Low Pressure Pyrolysis. III t-butyl hydroperoxide in
 Fused Silica and Stainless Steel Reactors, J. Phys. Chem. 72 (1968)1182-1186.

[49] R. Atkinson, J. Arey, S. M. Aschmann Atmospheric chemistry of alkanes: Review and
 recent developments Atmospheric Environment, 42 (2008) 5859-5871

[50] O. Venot, E. Hébrard, M. Agúndez, L. Decin, R. Bounaceur A new chemical scheme to
 study carbon-rich exoplanet atmospheres Astronomy & Astrophysics, in press 2015
 (arXiv:1502.03567)

[51] F. Battin-Leclerc, J. Biet, R. Bounaceur, G.M. Côme, R. Fournet, P.-A. Glaude, X.
 Grandmougin, O. Herbinet, G. Scacchi, V. Warth, EXGAS-ALKANES-ESTERS: A software for
 the automatic generation of mechanisms for the oxidation of alkanes and esters, (2010) LRGP,
 UPR CNRS 3349

[52] J. A. Manion, R. E. Huie, R. D. Levin, D. R. Burgess Jr., V. L. Orkin, W. Tsang, W. S.
 McGivern, J. W. Hudgens, V. D. Knyazev, D. B. Atkinson, E. Chai, A. M. Tereza, C.-Y. Lin, T.
 C. Allison, W. G. Mallard, F. Westley, J. T. Herron, R. F. Hampson, D. H. Frizzell, NIST
 Chemical Kinetics Database, NIST Standard Reference Database 17, Version 7.0 (Web Version),

464 Release 1.4.3, Data version 2008.12, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
465 Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20899-8320. <http://kinetics.nist.gov>

466 [53] R.J Kee, F.M. Rupley, J.A. Miller, Chemkin-II: A Fortran chemical kinetics package for the
467 analysis of gas-phase chemical kinetics, (1989) Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA.

468 [54] F. Behar, F. Lorant, L. Mazeas, Elaboration of a new compositional kinetic schema for oil
469 cracking, Org. Geochem. 39 (6) (2008) 764–782.

470

471

472

473

474 TABLE CAPTION

475

476 **Table 1:** Product distribution in mol. % - Octane pyrolysis at 450°C-initial pressure of octane =

477 1 mbar - total pressure = 1500mbar

478

CH₄	-	9.40×10 ⁻⁴	1.39×10 ⁻³	1.87×10 ⁻³	2.25×10 ⁻³
C₂H₆	-	2.40×10 ⁻⁴	3.21×10 ⁻⁴	4.06×10 ⁻⁴	5.32×10 ⁻⁴
C₃H₈	-	8.07×10 ⁻⁶	1.01×10 ⁻⁵	9.46×10 ⁻⁶	1.31×10 ⁻⁵
C₄H₁₀	-	3.23×10 ⁻⁶	4.90×10 ⁻⁶	4.71×10 ⁻⁶	5.27×10 ⁻⁶
C₂H₄	-	3.28×10 ⁻³	4.79×10 ⁻³	6.31×10 ⁻³	7.56×10 ⁻³
C₃H₆	-	1.32×10 ⁻³	1.94×10 ⁻³	2.65×10 ⁻³	3.22×10 ⁻³
C₄H₈	-	6.56×10 ⁻⁴	8.85×10 ⁻⁴	1.16×10 ⁻³	1.40×10 ⁻³
C₅H₁₀	-	5.18×10 ⁻⁴	7.50×10 ⁻⁴	8.74×10 ⁻⁴	9.61×10 ⁻⁴
C₆H₁₂	-	4.94×10 ⁻⁴	6.43×10 ⁻⁴	7.50×10 ⁻⁴	8.60×10 ⁻⁴
C₇H₁₄	-	1.02×10 ⁻⁴	1.43×10 ⁻⁴	2.16×10 ⁻⁴	2.02×10 ⁻⁴
C₈H₁₈	6.65×10 ⁻²	6.49×10 ⁻²	6.31×10 ⁻²	6.21×10 ⁻²	6.11×10 ⁻²

479

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The $\mu_i \cdot$ radicals of *n*-octane. Names used in the equations of reactions.

Figure 2. Flow sheet of the experimental setup.

Figures 3. Evolution of *n*-octane conversion as a function of time, a) at 400°C, up to 4300 min, and b) at 350, 400, 450°C, up to 240 min (1mbar initial *n*-octane pressure).

Figure 4. Comparison between experimental (dots) and simulation (solid lines) molar fractions of the alkanes-minus and alkenes, as a function of time, at 450°C and 1mbar initial *n*-octane pressure.

Figures 5. Comparison between experimental (dots) and simulation (solid lines) molar fractions of the alkenes (from ethylene to heptene), as a function of time, at 450°C and 1mbar initial *n*-octane pressure.

523

524

525

526

527 **Figures 6.** Comparison between experimental (dots) and simulation (solid lines) molar fractions
528 of the alkanes (from methane to butane), as a function of time, at 450°C and 1mbar initial *n*-
529 octane pressure.

530

531

532

533

534 **Figure 7.** Comparison between experimental and simulation molar fraction of *n*-octane, as a
535 function of time, at 450°C and 1mbar initial *n*-octane pressure.

536

537