

Yield and compositions of bark phenolic extractives from three commercially significant softwoods show intra- and inter-specific variation

Maree Brennan, Clément Fritsch, Sylvain Cosgun, Stéphane Dumarcay,

Francis Colin, Philippe Gerardin

▶ To cite this version:

Maree Brennan, Clément Fritsch, Sylvain Cosgun, Stéphane Dumarcay, Francis Colin, et al.. Yield and compositions of bark phenolic extractives from three commercially significant softwoods show intra- and inter-specific variation. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 2020, 155, pp.346-356. 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.07.033. hal-02933179

HAL Id: hal-02933179 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02933179v1

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

- 1 Yield and compositions of bark phenolic extractives from three commercially
- 2 significant softwoods show intra- and inter-specific variation
- 3 Maree Brennan^a, Clément Fritsch^a, Sylvain Cosgun^a, Stéphane Dumarcay^a, Francis Colin^b, Philippe
- 4 Gérardin^{a*}
- ⁵ ^aUniversité de Lorraine, INRAE, LERMAB, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Boulevard des
- 6 Aiguillettes, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France
- ⁷ ^bINRAE, AgroParisTech, Université de Lorraine, SILVA, Route d'Amance, 54280, Champenoux, France
- 8 *Corresponding author at: LERMAB, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Université de Lorraine,
- 9 Boulevard des Aiguillettes, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France
- 10 *E-mail address*: philippe.gerardin@univ-lorraine.fr
- 11

13 Abstract

14 Tree bark is rich in commercially valuable secondary metabolites such as polyphenolic compounds 15 like flavonoids and tannins. The yield and composition of bark extractives from Abies alba varies 16 longitudinally within the stem. A. alba bark above the crown had the greatest extractive content, but 17 the concentration of polyphenolic compounds was greatest below the crown. Here, we use a 18 nonlinear model describing how bark extractive yields of A. alba, Picea abies and Pseudotsuga 19 menziesii change with height, where differences among species are accounted for using different 20 model coefficients. For all species there is longitudinal variability in extract yield. For P. abies and P. 21 menziesii, the form of that variation differs depending on whether the bark was located at the same 22 position in the stem as branches. Although the relationship form of total extractive yield differs 23 between branched and un-branched samples, the relationship forms for individual compound yields 24 does not change depending on branch presence. Despite trees from thinned stands having longer 25 crowns and faster growth rates, indicative of greater photosynthetic activity, there was no evidence 26 that thinning had affected either the yield or composition of extractives in these species. In P. abies, 27 the proportions of flavonoids was higher in bark from the top of the tree, whereas epi-gallocatechin 28 gallate was found in high proportions at the stem base. In P. menziesii bark extracts, taxifolin was the 29 dominant compound, present in significantly higher proportions in bark towards the base of the 30 stem.

31

32 Keywords

33 Bark extractives; Douglas fir; Norway spruce; Silver fir; tannin; within-tree variability

34

35 1. Introduction

36 Bark comprises the outer tissues surrounding the cambium of tree trunks, branches and roots, and 37 has diverse roles within the tree. As the outermost organ, it provides a mechanical barrier against 38 pathogens and grazing animals, and also against transpiration. Not just a mechanical barrier, bark 39 contains a range of secondary metabolites that help resist pathogen attack by arresting the growth of 40 pathogenic fungi, and that can also make the bark less palatable for grazing animals and bark-beetles 41 (Evensen et al., 2000; Franceschi et al., 2005, 2000). There are two bark tissues, the "inner" bark 42 which includes the cells of the secondary phloem including the polyphenolic parenchyma cells which are responsible for synthesis of tannins and other pehnolic compounds (Krekling et al., 2000), and 43 44 the "outer" bark (the periderm) which forms a highly effective protective barrier due to its suberised 45 cells impregnated with tannins and lipidic compounds.

46 The secondary metabolites present in bark largely comprise tannins, which can make up 10 to 47 39% of dry softwood bark biomass depending on species, tissue, and the method of quantification 48 (Hernes and Hedges, 2004; Kemppainen et al., 2014; Trivelato et al., 2016; Vieira et al., 2011). 49 Tannins are polyphenolic compounds classed as either hydrolysable or condensed. Hydrolysable 50 tannins are esters of gallic acid, whereas condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins) are oligomers of 51 flavan-3-ol, and are either procyanidins (oligomers of catechin or epicatchin, Fig. 1) or prodelphinidins (oligomers of gallocatechin). B-type procyanidins are dimers which have C4-C8 52 53 interflavan linkages (procyanidins B1 to B4) or C6-C8 interflavan linkages (procyanidins B5 to B8). C-54 type procyanidins are trimers of these and A-type procyanidins contain additional ether-type 55 interflavan linkages between units (He et al., 2008). They are the products of the flavonoid pathway, 56 and therefore it can be reasonably assumed that the availability of precursors might be directly 57 affected by changes in available photoassimilate, due to differences in light interception caused by 58 canopy size for example.

Tannins are useful not just for tanning, but condensed tannins contain a large proportion of
hydroxyl groups which renders them useful for the production of resins, foams and adhesives (Feng

61 et al., 2013; García et al., 2014; Lacoste et al., 2015). Tannins are hydrophilic compounds and can be 62 efficiently extracted with water, or a mixture of water and ethanol (Bianchi et al., 2015; Markom et 63 al., 2007), solvents which can feasibly be used and recovered in industrial processes with low environmental impact (Capello et al., 2007). The compounds present in such extracts can be 64 65 separated, quantified and identified using UV high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), gas 66 chromatography or matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionisation followed by mass spectroscopy (MS) 67 (Benković et al., 2014; Bianchi et al., 2015; Karonen et al., 2004; Krogell et al., 2012; Ohara et al., 2003). 68

69 Currently, tannins used commercially in Europe are obtained mainly from the heartwood of the 70 exotic species quebracho (Schinopsis lorentzii), or the bark of black wattle (Acacia mearnsii). Ideally, a 71 more local supply of tannins from currently available sources would both ensure sustainability and 72 reduce the current environmental costs associated with their production and transport. More locally, 73 European softwood barks are used in the horticulture sectors, energy sectors (for burning) and 74 directly as a material (e.g. cork). It has recently been shown that the bark of European softwood 75 species contains valuable compounds, and that the tannins of A. alba may even have better rates of 76 condensation reactions than those of currently used industrial species due to longer oligomers and 77 higher proportions of hydroxyl groups (Bianchi et al., 2015, 2014; Chupin et al., 2013; García et al., 78 2014; Jablonsky et al., 2017; Lacoste et al., 2015). However, there is limited information on how the 79 diversity and availability of these compounds from local supply sources varies within trees of 80 different softwoods. Such information would allow identification of co-product fractions of the most 81 value for the chemical industries, ensuring better efficiency and therefore sustainability in the supply 82 chain by allowing the most effective use of the primary resource for specific markets. It has been 83 shown that the proportion of polyphenolic compounds in bark of silver fir (Abies alba) is greatest 84 below the base of the crown where the highest proportion of outer bark is found, and that stand 85 thinning does not have an effect on the yield of ethanol:water extracts in that species (Brennan et al.,

2020). Consequently, intra-specific variation in extractives should be taken into account in order not
to waste energy and consumables by extracting low-value bark fractions.

88 In this study, the relationships between bark extractive yield and height were investigated among 89 three economically-important European softwood species, A. alba, Picea abies and Pseudotsuga menziesii. We tested the hypotheses that extractive yield changes longitudinally along the stems of 90 91 all three species, and that this relationship may be influenced by the stand management practice of 92 thinning, and/or whether the bark was taken from discs at the same height as branches, or discs at 93 heights without branches. Further, we tested the hypothesis that the diversity and quantity of phenolic compounds present in the bark extracts of P. abies and P. menziesii differed depending on 94 95 longitudinal sample height within the stem, as was previously reported for trees of A. alba (Brennan 96 et al., 2020).

97

98 2. Materials and Methods

99 1. Tree growth and disc sampling

100 The A. alba trees were grown as described in Brennan et al. (2020). Trees of P. abies were grown in 101 the Mas-Dorier forest, Puy-de-Dôme, at an elevation of 770 m and latitude north 45°75,360', 102 longitude east 3°6,540', and were harvested at 48 years of age. Trees of P. menziesii were grown in 103 the Domaniale de Mélagues forest, Aveyron, at an altitude of 800 m and latitude north 43°71,330', 104 longitude east 3°0,580', and were harvested at 53 years of age. Two management programmes were 105 used: un-thinned and thinned management. Final stand densities for these treatments were 3233 106 and 591, 1370 and 308, 972 and 141 trees per hectare for the un-thinned and thinned management 107 type, for A. alba, P. abies and P. menziesii, respectively. Eight trees were harvested from each species. 108 As described in Brennan et al. (2020), up to 13 discs with height of ~10 cm were harvested from 109 the base of the trees (30 cm above the ground), to the height where the trunk has a diameter of 10

110 cm, which defines the limit of wood suitable for industrial use. The samples harvested for each tree, 111 their height, tree heights, ages and management are shown in Table 1. Samples at the base of the 112 stem were harvested at 30, 80 and 130 cm above the ground, and did not have branches present. 113 The remaining samples were harvested with branches (where they were present), and 10 cm above 114 these positions samples without branches were harvested. These positions were the following: limit 115 of construction wood (20 cm stem diameter), mid-height between stem base and the limit of 116 construction wood, the first green branch, crown base and the limit of industrial wood (10 cm stem 117 diameter).

118

119 2. Milling and extraction

120 The total extractive yield was calculated as the weight percentage of dry bark as described in 121 Brennan et al. (2020). Briefly, bark from each disc was milled at 1100 rpm in a Fritsch pulverisette 9 122 mill (Fritsch, Idar Oberstein, Germany) for 90 s. Some samples of *P. menziesii* bark at the base of the 123 stem required milling for 180 s because the outer bark of this species contains layers of cork and the texture made milling less efficient, as reported in Trivelato et al. (2016). In triplicate, 2.00 g samples 124 125 (± 0.01 g) were extracted in a Thermo Scientific[™] ASE[™] 350 Accelerated Solvent Extractor with water:ethanol (50:50, v/v), three cycles at 1600 psi and 100°C, with 60% fresh solvent for each cycle. 126 127 The extract was quantitatively transferred to a flask of known weight (± 0.0001 g), the ethanol 128 removed by rotary evaporation, and the water removed by freeze-drying before weighing to 129 calculate the extract mass. This mass was used to calculate yield as a percentage of dry weight of the 130 bark.

131

132 3. Compositional analysis

For analysis of the extract composition, a subset of four trees was chosen for each species. In each species, the subset comprised two trees of each management type, and for each management type one taller and one shorter tree was chosen, in order to maximise variability. The trees chosen were the following for each species: *A. alba*, trees 1, 2, 5 and 8; *P. abies*, trees 2, 4, 6 and 8; *P. menziesii*, trees 1, 3, 5 and 6.

138 The polyphenolic compounds in the extracts were assigned a number and quantified using 139 UV-HPLC as described in Brennan et al. (2020). Briefly, to 10 mg of dry extract 1 ml of water:ethanol 140 (50:50, v/v) was added and the sample was sonicated for 2 min before analysis. Samples (5μ) were 141 analysed on a Shimadzu LC-20A ultra-HPLC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with an 142 autosampler interfaced with an SPD-20A photodiode-array (PDA) UV detector. Separation was done 143 using a Luna C18 analytical column (i.d. 150 mm × 3 mm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), and 144 mass spectrometry was done with a Shimadzu LC-MS 8030 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer. 145 The profile was as follows: 0.0 to 10.0 min 5% (v/v) acetonitrile (≥99.9%, LC-MS Chromasolv[™]) in 146 water (LC-MS Chromasolv[™]), 10.0 to 18.0 min 30% acetonitrile, 18.0 to 19.0 min 80% acetonitrile, 147 19.0 to 20.0 min 5% acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, at a flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹. UV-148 visible spectra were recorded from 190 to 800 nm. Positive and negative ion electrospray mass 149 spectrometric analyses were done with unit resolution from 100 to 2000 m/z and scan speed of 150 15000 U/s and ion spray voltage +/- 4500 V. Heatblock and desolvation line temperatures were 151 400°C and 250°C. Nitrogen gas was used for drying (15 litres min⁻¹) and nebulizing (3 litres min⁻¹). 152 Data were acquired and analyzed with LabSolutions software (5.42SP4, Shimadzu). Peaks visible at 153 the phenolic absorption maximum 280 nm were numbered according to their mass spectra, and 154 identified by comparing their mass spectra to the literature and standards of (+)-catechin hydrate 155 and (-)-epicatechin. Numbered peaks, their retention times and characteristic ions in both positive 156 and negative mode used for identification are given in Table 2. All quantities (mg) of polyphenolic 157 compounds represented by each peak are expressed in catechin equivalent weights (using the mean

158 yield-area relationships of the two standards) and converted to a yield of mg compound per g dry159 bark.

160

161 4. Data analysis

162 Statistical analyses were done using R (R Development Core Team., 2008). For total extractive yield, 163 samples with and without branches were modelled separately. To examine how total extractive yield 164 changes along the height of the tree for all three species, a linear mixed effects model was built with 165 total yield (% by weight) as the response variable, and using the interaction between species and the 166 second-degree polynomial of % total height as the predictor variables, with total tree height as the 167 random effect. To examine how the amount of individual compounds present in the bark changes 168 with respect to tree height for the species P. albies and P. menziesii, the raw data were plotted and a 169 model built to test the significant of height using an appropriate functional form depending on the 170 form in the plot; only the linear form was tested where there was no detectable relationship with 171 height. Model forms tested were linear $(y = \alpha x + c)$, exponential $(y = \alpha \beta^{x} [+ c])$ or exponential decay $(y = \alpha x + c)$, exponential $(y = \alpha x + c)$. 172 $= \alpha^{\beta x}$), where y is the response variable, α and β are calculable or estimatable parameters, and c is an 173 intercept which is generally zero. Final model parameters are given in Table S1. There was no 174 evidence that either thinning management or branch presence had an influence on the form of the 175 relationship between yield and height of any individual compound, for either of these species. For 176 the nonlinear decay relationships, the parameters α and β were first estimated then iteratively 177 tested and chosen to maximise correlation and covariance with y.

178

179 *3.* Results

180 1. Tree form

For each of the three species, up to 13 samples were harvested along the height of a total of eight trees. For each tree, the lowest sample was 30 cm above the ground, and the highest sample was taken where the diameter of the trunk was 10 cm. The height of all samples for each tree is shown in Table 1, with the information about thinning management type, tree height, age, and diameter at breast height (DBH).

186 The trees of A. alba have previously been described in Brennan et al. (2020). Briefly, these 187 trees had similar mean heights between the thinned and un-thinned stands, however, the trees from 188 the thinned stand had a greater mean DBH (32.3 cm) than those from the un-thinned stand (18.7 189 cm), with the thinned-stand trees also having greater crown depth. Similarly, P. abies trees had 190 similar mean tree heights between the un-thinned (2749 cm) and thinned (2747 cm) stands, but the 191 mean DBH of trees from the un-thinned stand (31.4 cm) was less than that of the thinned-stand trees 192 (39.5 cm). The trees of P. menziesii differed slightly in that the trees of the un-thinned stand had a 193 lower mean height (3020 cm) compared to the trees from the thinned stand (3125 cm). However, 194 like the other species examined, the mean DBH of trees of the un-thinned stand was less (33.8 cm) 195 compared with the thinned-stand trees (55.4 cm). Both P. abies and P. menziesii had greater crown 196 depth in trees from the thinned management stand compared with the un-thinned stand, and 197 consequently the height of the first green branch was also lowest in the thinned-stand trees. 198 Together, these results indicate that across all species, trees in the thinned stands had a greater 199 capacity for photosynthesis compared to trees from the un-thinned stands, which consequently 200 resulted in better radial growth.

201

202 2. Extractive yields

The coefficients for the modelled relationships between extractive yield (% dry weight) and the % total height of samples is given for each species, without and with branches, in Table S2. The relationships are plotted in Fig. 2A for samples without branches, and in Fig. 2B for samples with

206 branches. For samples without branches, the use of total tree height as the random effect gave a 207 small improvement over the use of diameter at breast height (DBH) (each explaining 34.6 and 32.7% 208 of the total variance, respectively), and the inclusion of the random effect improved the model fit 209 compared to not using any random effect (AICs (Aikaike information criterion) were 1012 and 1080, 210 respectively). Similarly for samples with branches, total tree height was the slightly better random 211 effect compared with DBH (each explaining 25.1 and 21.6% of the total variance, respectively), with 212 inclusion of the random effect improving the model fit compared to not using any random effect (AIC 213 559 and 607, respectively). Because for all species, the crown depth was greater in trees from the 214 thinned management stand, the mean height of the base of the crown occurred at a lower height in 215 these trees, although there was no significant effect of management type on the relationship form (p < 0.3, p < 0.8, without and with branches, respectively). Although the management type did not have 216 217 a significant effect on the relationship, the points in Fig. 2 are shown filled (un-thinned management) 218 and open (thinned management) to illustrate this point.

219 Across the three species, the mean height of the base of the crown for the un-thinned group 220 was 69.5% of total height, whereas the mean height of the base of the crown for the thinned group 221 was 42.2% of total height. Between these two heights is a transitional zone where, for samples both 222 without and with branches, the direction of the correlations between yield and height changes in a 223 species-dependent manner, giving rise to the significant polynomial form of the relationship. For 224 samples without branches, A. alba and P. menziesii have a similar relationship (Fig. 2A). The mean 225 yield increases with sample height until the transition zone around the base of the crown is reached. 226 After this point, the mean yield tends to remain stable, giving a reasonably flat polynomial curve. In 227 P. abies on the other hand, the mean extractive yield tends to be relatively stable until the transition 228 zone is reached, after which yield increases rapidly with sample height reaching its peak at the 229 highest-harvested sample, resulting in a polynomial with a positive leading coefficent. For samples 230 without branches (Fig. 2B) as previously reported (Brennan et al., 2020), A. alba has a similar form of 231 relationship as found in samples with branches. In P. abies, the relationship is different for samples

with branches compared to those without, having a negative leading coefficient caused by an
increase in extractive yield with height which peaks during the transitional zone, after which it
stabilises. *P. menziesii* has a positive coefficient on the other hand, although extractive yield only
increased with height after the transitional zone.

236

237 3. Extractive compositions

238 Example chromatograms show the retention times of the 15 quantified compounds from P. abies 239 extracts (Fig. 3A), and the 20 quantified compounds from P. menziesii extracts (Fig. 3A). Compound 240 masses and intensities used for identification from their mass spectra are given in Table 2, and 241 correspond to the peak numbers in Fig. 3. It is clear that extracts from *P. abies* contain a large 242 proportion of condensed tannins with a degree of polymerisation of three or more; these are 243 evidenced by the rising baseline caused by these molecules which are unable to be well resolved 244 (Cadahia et al., 1997; Mueller-Harvey et al., 1987; Putman and Butler, 1989). The extracts of P 245 menziesii however, are dominated by a large peak at eight minutes (comprising a single compound, 246 taxifolin), which obscures the rising baseline caused by unresolved tannins. Although oligomeric 247 tannins are clearly present in quantifiable proportions in *P. menziesii*, they comprise less of the total 248 extract than those present in *P. abies*.

249 Individual plots of the yield (mg g⁻¹ bark) of all compounds against sample height are shown for P. abies in Supplementary Figure S1. Few of the compounds quantified from the P. abies extracts 250 251 had a significant relationship with sample height. Compound 5 and epigallocatechin gallate were 252 present only at the base of the stem, with epigallocatechin gallate fitting an exponential decay curve 253 (Fig. 4A, see Table S1). Other compounds that had a relationship between yield and height typically 254 had a growing rate of increase from zero mg g⁻¹ bark at the base of the stem, towards a higher yield 255 at the top of the stem, making an exponential model appropriate. This relationship was only 256 significant for compounds 13, isorhamnetin and cirsimaritin (Fig. 4B, 4C and 4D, respectively). Both

257 samples without and with branches present had an exponentially increasing yield for compound 13, 258 isorhamnetin and cirsimaritin, despite samples with branches typically having a stable total extract 259 yield after the crown base transition zone. Although the samples without branches had a significant 260 yield increase for total extractives after the crown base transitional zone, branch presence was not a 261 significant factor influencing the yield of individual compounds along the height of the tree. For the two most abundant compounds, piceatanol-3-glucoside (4.7 mg g^{-1}) and compound 12 (1.2 mg g^{-1}), 262 263 some samples without branches did have higher yields towards the top of the tree which are likely to 264 have contributed to the observed increase in total extractive weight from higher samples in this 265 species. However, other samples without branches retained near-zero yields for these compounds. 266 Identified compounds with stable yields along the length of the stem include protocatechuic acid (0.3 mg g^{-1}), protocatechuic aldehyde (0.8 mg g^{-1}), catechin (0.1 mg g^{-1}), dihydroquercetin-3-O-267

268 rhamnoside (0.8 mg g^{-1}) and taxifolin (0.8 mg g^{-1}).

Individual plots of the yield (mg g⁻¹ bark) of all compounds against sample height are shown 269 270 for P. menziesii in Supplementary Figure S2.In contrast to the composition of P. abies, 16 out of the 271 20 quantified compounds present in P. menziesii have a significant relationship between their yield 272 and the height of the sample. Seven compounds have an increase in yield with sample height, 273 generally approximating to an exponential relationship although compound 3 is linear (Fig. 5A), 274 whereas the other nine have a decreasing relationship with sample height approximating to an 275 exponential decay curve except for compound 2 which was linear. Although the relationship between 276 total extract yield and sample height was different for samples without and samples with branches, 277 there were no significant differences in the relationships of individual compounds between samples 278 with opposing branch presence. The increasing total extract yield for samples with branches that 279 occurs after the crown base transition zone in this species must therefore be due to the presence of 280 non-UV visible compounds. Those compounds with an exponential relationship had very low 281 proportions of the compounds towards the base of the stem (e.g. compound 10, a procyanidin C1, 282 Fig. 5B), typically with lower variance than those towards the top of the stem as compound yield

increased. Some of the compounds with this relationship had values close to zero mg g⁻¹ bark at the base of the stem, whereas others such as compound 5, a procyanidin B2 (0.25 mg g⁻¹), had a higher minimum yield. Taxifolin (Fig. 5C) and eriodictyol (Fig. 5D) were the two most abundant compounds found in *P. menziesii*, and their abundance decreased from 200 and 10 mg g⁻¹ down to zero mg g⁻¹ respectively. All such compounds with exponential decay relationships tended towards a value of zero for samples at the top of the trees. A procyanidin B1 (compound 7, 1.0 mg g⁻¹), epicatechin (0.6 mg g⁻¹) and compounds 11 (0.5 mg g⁻¹) and 14 (0.4 mg g⁻¹) had stable yields along the stem height.

290

291 1. Discussion

292 Previously, it was shown that the total extractive yield of A. alba bark increased from the base of the 293 stem up to the base of the crown, and stayed reasonably stable within the crown, only increasing 294 slightly and with no effect of branch type (Brennan et al., 2020). Here, this relationship between 295 extractive yield and height was expanded to include a further two economically significant softwood 296 species, P. abies and P. menziesii. Like A. alba, a polynomial model was able to describe how 297 extractive yield changes along the length of the tree, although the model coefficients are dependent 298 on species. However, for these two additional species, it was clear that the form of the relationship 299 changed depending on whether the disc was taken at heights where brances were present. The 300 polynomial form is necessary to describe the variation in extractive yield due to the change at the 301 transitional zone at the base of the crown, from a stable relationship to a positive relationship, or 302 vice versa, depening on species and branch presence. This indicates that for P. abies and P. menziesii 303 at least, either synthesis or transport of the extractives differed when branches were present. It is 304 possible that samples taken from discs containing branches had more immediate access to 305 photoassimilate for metabolite synthesis. There is little information in the literature about how the 306 total bark extract yield changes longitudinally within the tree for these species, although it has been 307 shown that the yield of extractives in knot wood tends to be higher at the base of the crown than at

the top (Kebbi-Benkeder et al., 2017; Piispanen et al., 2008). Studies that compare extractive yields
from inner and outer bark tissues can be used to infer how yields might be expected to change along
the tree stem, as there is a greater proportion of outer bark in the mature (basal) parts of the stem
compared to that of the younger (apical) stem parts. Inner bark generally contains higher proportions
of extractives (Eberhardt, 2013; Krogell et al., 2012), and the results of the present study indicate
that bark from higher samples does indeed contain the largest proportion of extractives in all three
species.

315 Changes in bark properties along stem height were apparent during milling of P. abies and P. 316 menziesii that were not previously found for A. alba in the study of Brennan et al. (2020). The bark 317 from the top of the *P. abies* trees contained a greater proportion of sticky resin compared to samples 318 from the upper stem portions of A. alba or P. menziesii, and the bark from the lower portions of P. 319 menziesii contained a large amount of cork not present in the other two species. The resinous quality 320 of the upper bark likely explains the sharp increase in yield observed in P. abies samples without 321 branches after the transitional zone at the base of the crown, compared to the other species which 322 had only a gradual increase in extractive yield, although it is not clear why this was not observed for 323 bark samples taken at the level of branches. The presence of cork layers in bark of the lower stem 324 portion of P. menziesii has been indicated previously, and it has been shown that the yield of 325 extractives from phloem interspersed in between the cork layers changes radially (Trivelato et al., 326 2016). Although the management type resulted in different crown sizes, there was no difference in 327 extractive yield between management type, indicating that trees from these un-thinned stands do 328 not have a reduced ability to synthesise extractable secondary metabolites, although we cannot rule 329 out that this is due to the small sample size analysed between treatments. It is known that the 330 proportions of extractives in the different bark tissues also changes with height (Vedernikov et al., 331 2011), and it would be interesting to know whether specifically the inner bark extractives in the 332 crown change with management or at the transitional zone, as differences in secondary metabolite 333 synthesis may be obscured by analysing both bark tissues together. That the presence of branches

changed the relationship form in *P. abies* and *P. menziesii* however, suggests that branch position
affects transport of photoassimilates in these species more than in *A. alba*.

336 Previously, bark extracts of *P. abies* have been shown to contain tannins, stilbenes, lignans, 337 flavonoids and the stilbene glucosides piceid, astringin and isorhapontin (Bianchi et al., 2014; Jyske et 338 al., 2014; Pietarinen et al., 2006; Royer et al., 2013). Co-elution of some compounds occurred, where 339 two or more molecules have the same retention times, complicating the precise identification of 340 some peaks in the present study. In this study, astringin was also identified, and was sometimes (but 341 not often) present in large quantities. Flavonoids identified include isorhamnetin and cirscimaritin, 342 which are compounds which have anti-inflammatory effects. No lignans, piceid or isorhapontin were 343 identified in P. abies extracts, although these have previously been identified . These may co-elute as 344 one or part of the unidentified numbered compounds, as masses of 302 m/z were found, indicating 345 that piceid may have been present. The composition of P. menziesii bark has been less well-studied, 346 and it is clearly not as complex a mixture as P. abies. As in the study of Trivelato et al. (2016), the 347 extracts were dominated by taxifolin, and oligomeric tannins. Large amounts of sugars have also 348 been found in P. menziesii bark extracts (Pietarinen et al., 2006), however the present methodology 349 only identified compounds visible in UV, therefore sugars were not identified. Epi-catechin has been 350 shown to be the typical repeating unit of *P. abies* tannins, which are procyanidins, and have a degree 351 of polymerisation up to 13 (Bianchi et al., 2014), although we only found it in minor quantities in our studies. Although the degree of polymerisation of the oligomeric tannins in the present study was 352 353 not measured, it has been demonstrated that longer tannin oligomers elute later than shorter ones 354 (Cadahia et al., 1997; Mueller-Harvey et al., 1987; Putman and Butler, 1989). Procyanidin units were 355 the most abundant tannins that resolved well in chromatograms of P. menziesii, indicating that the 356 tannins of this species are largely procyanidins. The later elution of *P. menziesii* oligomeric tannins 357 compared to that of *P. abies* indicates that in *P. menziesii*, the oligomers are likely to have a mean 358 degree of polymerisation even greater than 13.

359 That the extractive yield increased so dramatically with height for samples without branches but 360 within the crown of *P. abies*, and as it was noted that higher bark samples were more resinous, it 361 could be expected that a higher proportions of resin acids and terpenes would be identified and 362 change significantly with bark height. The most commonly identified resin acid of *P. abies* is abietic 363 acid (Norin and Winell, 1972), which was not identified in the present study. Protocatechuic acid was 364 identified, but was present in stable proportions across the different heights, rather than increasing 365 with height. Only two flavonoids and an unidentified compound increased with bark height in fact, 366 therefore it is possible that the increased extractive yield observed was potentially due to oligomeric 367 tannins which were not quantified here, or due to sugars or fatty acids which are not UV-visible and 368 thus were not identified. Starch granules are known to be present in the polyphenolic parenchyma cells of P. abies for example (Krekling et al., 2000), and may also be present in the extracts and 369 370 contribute to yield. Other sugars are known to be present in bark extracts, either alone or conjugated 371 to phenolic compounds, and it is not easy to separate them whilst quantitatively leaving only the 372 phenolics (Kemppainen et al., 2014; Krogell et al., 2012; Le Normand et al., 2012). Epi-gallocatechin 373 gallate (0.1 mg g⁻¹ bark), an important anti-cancer polyphenol of green tea (Du et al., 2012), was 374 higher in bark at the stem base of *P. abies*, but was not often present in bark above 1.3 m, making 375 this an unlikely source specifically of epi-gallocatechin gallate for commercial markets. Previously, 376 stilbene glucosides including astringin were shown to decrease with height in the bark of P. abies 377 (Jyske et al., 2014). No significant change in astringin abundance with height was observed in the 378 present study; Jyske et al. (2014) examined trees with no differences in stem diameter or mean 379 annual growth, and random effects such as stem diameter were not included when modelling 380 changes in compound quantity here. The flavonoid taxifolin was the most abundant compound in P. 381 menziesii bark as previously reported, but towards the top of the tree it is present in only minor 382 proportions, therefore bark from the lower portions of the stem only could be an important source 383 of this compound. Catechin and procyanidin units tended to augment with height, indicating that the 384 building blocks of condensed tannins are more abundant in younger bark in this species. The smaller

proportions of these compounds in the lower part of the trunk could be explained if they are becomepart of tannin oligomers with higher degrees of polymerisation in older bark.

387

388 2. Conclusions

389 The bark extractive yields of A. alba, P. abies and P. menziesii do change longitudinally along the 390 stems, with yields typically higher at the top of the tree. This indicates that synthesis of such 391 compound is unlikely to be uniform along the stem, perhaps depending on distance from the crown. 392 The form of the relationship between yield and height is a second-degree polynomial, with the sign 393 (positive or negative) of the coefficient depending on species. The stand management did not 394 significanlty affect extractive yields, but branch presence or absence changed the form of the yield-395 height relationship in P. abies and P. menziesii. The diversity and quantity of phenolic compounds in 396 P. abies and P. menziesii does differ depending on height. This is most evident for for flavonoids in P. 397 abies extracts, which have higher abundance with increasing height of the bark within the tree. In P. 398 menziesii however, taxifolin is most abundant in extracts from bark lower in the trunk.

399

400 Acknowledgements

401 The authors warmly thank Antoine Billard, Rodolphe Bauer, Fleur Longuetaud and Frederic Mothe 402 who did the sampling, and Adrien Contini, Antoine Sarrouille and Coline Lebreton for technical 403 support. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Lab of Excellence ARBRE for the post-doctoral 404 fellowship granted to the first author. The UMR 1434 SILVA and EA 4370 LERMAB are supported by a 405 grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the "Investissements 406 d'Avenir" program (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01, Lab of Excellence ARBRE) in the frame of the project "BARK-TAN-BIO" included in the wider project "EXTRAFOREST" supported in addition by the French 407 408 Ministery of Agriculture, the Lorraine-FEDER, ADEME and Grand-Est Region. Further thanks to ONF

409 (the French National Forest Service) and Christine Deleuze who provided the material from the

410 forests; including Emila Akroume and Anthony Masanthe who provided the Abies alba material, Lucie

411 Arnoudet and Alexandre Durin who provided the material from the Mas Dorier forest and Jean Ladier

- 412 who provided the material from the Mélagues forest.
- 413

414 References

- 415 Benković, E.T., Grohar, T., Žigon, D., Švajger, U., Janeš, D., Kreft, S., Štrukelj, B., 2014. Chemical
- 416 composition of the silver fir (*Abies alba*) bark extract Abigenol[®] and its antioxidant activity. Ind.

417 Crops Prod. 52, 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.10.005

418 Bianchi, S., Gloess, A.N., Kroslakova, I., Mayer, I., Pichelin, F., 2014. Analysis of the structure of

419 condensed tannins in water extracts from bark tissues of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* [Karst.])

420 and Silver fir (Abies alba [Mill.]) using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Ind. Crops Prod. 61, 430–

421 437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.07.038

422 Bianchi, S., Kroslakova, I., Janzon, R., Mayer, I., Saake, B., Pichelin, F., 2015. Characterization of

423 condensed tannins and carbohydrates in hot water bark extracts of European softwood species.

424 Phytochemistry 120, 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.10.006

425 Brennan, M., Fritsch, C., Cosgun, S., Dumarcay, S., Colin, F., Gérardin, P., 2020. Quantitative and

- qualitative composition of bark polyphenols changes longitudinally with bark maturity in *Abies alba* Mill. Ann. For. Sci. 77, 9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-019-0916-x
- Cadahia, E., Conde, E., Fernandez de Simon, B., Garcia-Vallejo, M.C., 1997. Tannin composition of
 Eucalyptus camadulensis, E. globulus and *E. rudis*. Holzforschung 51, 125–129.
- 430 Capello, C., Fischer, U., Hungerbühler, K., 2007. What is a green solvent? A comprehensive
- 431 framework for the environmental assessment of solvents. Green Chem. 9, 927–934.
- 432 https://doi.org/10.1039/b617536h
- 433 Chupin, L., Motillon, C., Charrier-El Bouhtoury, F., Pizzi, A., Charrier, B., 2013. Characterisation of
- 434 maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*) bark tannins extracted under different conditions by
- 435 spectroscopic methods, FTIR and HPLC. Ind. Crops Prod. 49, 897–903.
- 436 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.06.045

- 437 Du, G.J., Zhang, Z., Wen, X.D., Yu, C., Calway, T., Yuan, C.S., Wang, C.Z., 2012. Epigallocatechin gallate
- 438 (EGCG) is the most effective cancer chemopreventive polyphenol in green tea. Nutrients 4,
 439 1679–1691. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu4111679
- Eberhardt, T.L., 2013. Longleaf pine inner bark and outer bark thicknesses: measurement and
 relevance. South. J. Appl. For. 37, 177–180.
- 442 Evensen, P.C., Solheim, H., Høiland, K., Stenersen, J., 2000. Induced resistance of Norway spruce,
- variation of phenolic compounds and their effects on fungal pathogens. For. Pathol. 30, 97–108.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0329.2000.00189.x
- Feng, S., Cheng, S., Yuan, Z., Leitch, M., Xu, C., 2013. Valorization of bark for chemicals and materials:
 A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 26, 560–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.06.024
- 447 Franceschi, V.R., Krokene, P., Christiansen, E., Krekling, T., 2005. Anatomical and chemical defenses
- 448 of conifer bark against bark beetles and other pests. New Phytol. 167, 353–376.
- 449 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01436.x
- 450 Franceschi, V.R., Krokene, P., Krekling, T., Christiansen, E., 2000. Phloem parenchyma cells are
 451 involved in local and distant defense responses to fungal inoculation or bark-beetle attack in
 452 Norway spruce (Pinaceae). Am. J. Bot. 87, 314–326. https://doi.org/10.2307/2656627
- 453 García, D.E., Glasser, W.G., Pizzi, A., Lacoste, C., Laborie, M.P., 2014. Polyphenolic resins prepared
- 454 with maritime pine bark tannin and bulky-aldehydes. Ind. Crops Prod. 62, 84–93.
- 455 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.08.010
- He, F., Pan, Q.H., Shi, Y., Duan, C.Q., 2008. Biosynthesis and genetic regulation of proanthocyanidins
 in plants. Molecules 13, 2674–2703. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules13102674
- Hernes, P.J., Hedges, J.I., 2004. Tannin signatures of barks, needles, leaves, cones, and wood at the
 molecular level. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 1293–1307.
- 460 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2003.09.015
- 461 Jablonsky, M., Nosalova, J., Sladkova, A., Haz, A., Kreps, F., Valka, J., Miertus, S., Frecer, V.,
- 462 Ondrejovic, M., Sima, J., Surina, I., 2017. Valorisation of softwood bark through extraction of
- 463 utilizable chemicals. A review. Biotechnol. Adv. 35, 726–750.
- 464 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2017.07.007
- Jyske, T., Laakso, T., Latva-Mäenpää, H., Tapanila, T., Saranpää, P., 2014. Yield of stilbene glucosides
 from the bark of young and old Norway spruce stems. Biomass and Bioenergy 71, 216–227.

467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.10.005

- Karonen, M., Loponen, J., Ossipov, V., Pihlaja, K., 2004. Analysis of procyanidins in pine bark with
 reversed-phase and normal-phase high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray
 ionization mass spectrometry. Anal. Chim. Acta 522, 105–112.
- 471 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2004.06.041
- 472 Kebbi-Benkeder, Z., Manso, R., Gérardin, P., Dumarçay, S., Chopard, B., Colin, F., 2017. Knot
- 473 extractives: a model for analysing the eco-physiological factors that control the within and
- 474 between-tree variability. Trees 31, 1619–1633. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-017-1573-z
- 475 Kemppainen, K., Siika-aho, M., Pattathil, S., Giovando, S., Kruus, K., 2014. Spruce bark as an industrial
- 476 source of condensed tannins and non-cellulosic sugars. Ind. Crops Prod. 52, 158–168.
- 477 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.10.009
- 478 Krekling, T., Franceschi, V.R., Berryman, A.A., Christiansen, E., 2000. The structure and development
- of polyphenolic parenchyma cells in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) bark. Flora 195, 354–369.
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)30994-5
- 481 Krogell, J., Holmbom, B., Pranovich, A., Jarl, H., Willför, S., 2012. Extraction and chemical
- 482 characterization of Norway spruce inner and outer bark. Nord. Pulp Pap. Res. J. 27, 6–17.
- 483 Lacoste, C., Čop, M., Kemppainen, K., Giovando, S., Pizzi, A., Laborie, M.P., Sernek, M., Celzard, A.,
- 484 2015. Biobased foams from condensed tannin extracts from Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) bark.
- 485 Ind. Crops Prod. 73, 144–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.03.089
- Le Normand, M., Edlund, U., Holmbom, B., Ek, M., 2012. Hot-water extraction and characterization of
 spruce bark non-cellulosic polysaccharides. Nord. Pulp Pap. Res. J. 27, 18–23.
- 488 Markom, M., Hasan, M., Daud, W.R.W., Singh, H., Jahim, J.M., 2007. Extraction of hydrolysable
- 489 tannins from *Phyllanthus niruri* Linn.: Effects of solvents and extraction methods. Sep. Purif.
- 490 Technol. 52, 487–496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2006.06.003
- 491 Mueller-Harvey, I., Hartley, R.D., Reed, J.D., 1987. Characterisation of phenolic compounds, including
 492 flavonoids and tannins, of ten ethiopian browse species by high performance liquid
- 493 chromatography. J. Sci. Food Agric. 39, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740390102
- 494 Norin, T., Winell, B., 1972. Extractives from the bark of common spruce, *Picea abies* L. Karst. Acta
 495 Chem. Scand. 26, 2289–2296.

- Ohara, S., Yasuta, Y., Ohi, H., 2003. Structure elucidation of condensed tannins from barks by
 pyrolysis/gas chromatography. Holzforschung 57, 145–149.
- 498 https://doi.org/10.1515/HF.2003.023
- 499 Pietarinen, S.P., Willför, S.M., Ahotupa, M.O., Hemming, J.E., Holmbom, B.R., 2006. Knotwood and
- bark extracts: Strong antioxidants from waste materials. J. Wood Sci. 52, 436–444.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-005-0780-1
- 502 Piispanen, R., Willför, S., Saranpää, P., Holmbom, B., 2008. Variation of lignans in Norway spruce
- (Picea abies [L.] Karst.) knotwood: Within-stem variation and the effect of fertilisation at two
 experimental sites in Finland. Trees Struct. Funct. 22, 317–328.
- 505 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-007-0186-3
- 506 Putman, L.J., Butler, L.G., 1989. Separation of high molecular weight sorghum procyanidins by high-
- 507 performance liquid chromatography. J. Agric. Food Chem. 37, 943–946.
- 508 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00088a025
- 509 R Development Core Team., 2008. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 510 Royer, M., Houde, R., Stevanovic, T., 2013. Non-wood Forest Products Based on Extractives- A New
- 511 Opportunity for Canadian Forest Industry Part 2- Softwood Forest Species. J. Food Res. 2, 164.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.5539/jfr.v2n5p164
- 513 Trivelato, P., Mayer, C., Barakat, A., Fulcrand, H., Aouf, C., 2016. Douglas bark dry fractionation for
- polyphenols isolation: From forestry waste to added value products. Ind. Crops Prod. 86, 12–15.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.03.014
- 516 Vedernikov, D.N., Shabanova, N.Y., Roshchin, V.I., 2011. Change in the chemical composition of the
- 517 crust and inner bark of the *Betula pendula* roth. Birch (Betulaceae) with tree height. Russ. J.
- 518 Bioorganic Chem. 37, 877–882. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1068162011070259
- Vieira, M.C., Lelis, R.C.C., Silva, B.C. da, Oliveira, G. de L., 2011. Tannin extraction from the bark of
 Pinus oocarpa var. *oocarpa* with sodium carbonate and sodium bisulfite. Floresta e Ambient. 18,
 1–8. https://doi.org/10.4322/floram.2011.017
- 521
- 522

Species Treatment	Tree	30	80	130	MILAB	MII SB	DFC20AB	DEC20SB	H1BVAB	H1BVSB	HBHAB	HBHSB	DFC10AB	DEC10SB	Height	Age	DBH⁵
Ahies alha							22020102	2101002					21010102	2101002			
Un-thinned	1	30	80	130	480	490		955	1130	1140	1365	1375	1685	1705	2240	50	28.0
	2	30	80	130		330			1136	1150	1350	1361	617	629	1575	44	13.9
	-	30	80	130	265	277	465	479	950	965	1095	1107	1509	1523	2050	51	22.6
	4	30	80	130	200				947	958	947	958			1360	57	10.4
Thinned	5	30	80	130	600	605			720	732	780	793	1147	1154	1699	48	19.6
	6	30	80	130	697		1350	1365	495	505	795	800	1745	1765	2140	43	41.2
	7	30	80	130	435	445	870	885	515	525	765	775	1540	1525	2010	43	29.5
	8	30	80	130	685		1318	1340	703	713	890	905	1720	1740	2120	44	38.9
Picea abies	-																
Un-thinned	1	30	80	130	1040	1055	2036	2065	1540	1552	1965	2003	2567	2588	3065	53	40.9
	2	30	80	130	995	1010			1785	1804	2014	2028	2580	2595	3055	53	34.7
	3	30	80	130	860	872	376	388	1470	1480	1868	1880	1595	1605	2203	53	22.3
	4	30	80	117	662	674	1224	1239	1535	1547	1827	1837	2100	2110	2672	53	27.7
Thinned	5	30	80	130			1535	1552	744	760	1148	1160	2050	2061	2500	53	33.8
	6	30	80	130	836	850	1710	1730	915	930	1295	1310	2250	2265	2693	53	39.3
	7	30	80	130	966	982	1914	1927	760	772	1050	1065	2488	2496	2952	53	44.1
	8	30	80	130			1857	1884	932	955	1414	1446	2396	2412	2844	53	40.9
Pseudotsuga	menzies	ii															
Un-thinned	5	30	80	130	225	245	445	465	1780	1790	2412	2423	225	245	2719	48	23.2
	6	30	80	130	1103	1125	2211	2233	1882	1908	2059	2080	2716	2733	3175	48	47.7
	7	30	80	130	785	762	1530	1548	1815	1832	2040	2053	2408	2420	3065	48	33.3
	8	30	80	130	785	815	1512	1527	1767	1780	1918	1930	2452	2474	3120	48	31.4
Thinned	1	30	80	130			2142	2155	1112	1150	1421	1455	2590	2615	3072	48	57.0
	2	30	80	130	1274	1300	2500	2525	1045	1065	1415	1436	2725	2740	3413	48	46.5
	3	30	80	130	925	952	1880	1902	810	840	1065	1095	2200	2225	2655	48	52.5
	4	30	80	130	1300	1335	2530	2550	900	928	1215	1262	2865	2885	3360	48	65.6

Table 1. Height (cm) of discs^a sampled within each tree, tree height, age and management type.

^a30 cm = 30 cm above ground; 80 cm = 80 cm above ground; 130 cm = 130 cm above ground; MILAB = mid-height of the tree, with branches; MILSB = 10 cm above MILAB, without branches; DEC20AB = limit of wood for use in construction, with branches; DEC20SB = 10 cm above DEC20AB, without branches; H1BVAB = first green branch above

- 525 the ground; H1BVSB = 10 cm above H1BVAB, without branches; HBHAB = base of the crown; HBHSB = 10 cm above HBHAB, without branches; DEC10AB = limit of wood for 526 industrial use, with branches; DEC10SB = 10 cm above DEC10AB, without branches.
- ^bDBH = Diameter at breast height (130 cm).
- 528
- 529

Table 2. Compound assignments based on mass spectra.

Species	Compound		m/z (Intensity)
Picea abies	Compound 1	[M+H] ⁺	158.15 (100), 140.00 (12), 156.15 (11)
		[M-H] ⁻	195.15 (100)
	Protocatechuic acid	[M+H]⁺ [M-H] ⁻	105.25 (100), 122.75 (100), 248.05 (74), 102.45 (73), 114.85 (37), 188.25 (36), 174.00 (34), 187.50 (24), 180.35 (22), 197.90 (16), 290.15 (15), 161.00 (15), 155.40 (14), 209.05 (13), 260.10 (13), 319.00 (13), 207.55 (12), 249.20 (12), 138.95 (11), 225.25 (10), 204.30 (10) 153.25 (100), 197.15 (41)
	Protocatechuic aldehyde	[M+H]⁺	194.95 (100), 367.10 (97), 579.30 (58), 379.00 (56), 105.15 (51), 371.15 (39), 139.10 (35), 239.00 (35), 369.20 (24), 389.15 (22), 122.75 (20), 580.30 (18), 441.00 (18), 218.70 (18), 376.10 (17), 331.10 (15), 177.15 (15), 304.55 (13), 351.20 (12), 114.40 (12), 172.25 (12), 425.10 (12), 212.30 (11), 102.25 (11)
		[M-H] ⁻	401.25 (100), 137.30 (99), 355.30 (79), 577.35 (49), 389.35 (40), 578.30 (19), 402.30 (19), 307.35 (15), 391.40 (14), 356.30 (14), 169.25 (12), 361.30 (12), 193.25 (11), 275.15 (11), 423.25 (10)
	Catechin	[M+H] ⁺	291.00 (100), 105.25 (17), 381.05 (16), 122.50 (11), 226.55 (11)
		[M-H] ⁻	289.25 (100), 335.25 (21), 352.25 (15), 290.30 (15)
	Compound 5	[M+H]⁺	102.40 (100), 441.05 (57), 104.95 (56), 122.80 (45), 276.85 (39), 439.15 (28), 114.85 (19), 476.85 (15), 667.35 (14), 179.65 (14), 145.90 (13), 196.40 (13), 461.10 (12), 291.35 (10), 167.05 (10)
		[M-H] ⁻	437.25 (100), 665.55 (26), 463.40 (15), 577.30 (15), 505.00 (10), 721.05 (10)
	(-)-Epigallocatechin gallate	[M+H]⁺	102.20 (100), 105.15 (95), 667.40 (56), 122.85 (35), 299.05 (33), 499.25 (33), 668.40 (29), 114.85 (25), 317.25 (18), 207.85 (15), 278.55 (15), 291.15 (14), 428.20 (14), 198.40 (11), 197.45 (11)
		[M-H] ⁻	521.40 (100), 665.50 (51), 538.45 (32), 522.35 (24), 666.50 (24), 553.35 (16), 539.30 (12), 475.35 (10)
	Astringin (piceatannol-3-glucoside)	[M+H] ⁺	245.00 (100), 407.05 (41), 369.10 (10)
		[M-H] ⁻	405.30 (100), 451.30 (49), 468.30 (37), 811.55 (33), 406.30 (22), 812.50 (13), 452.30 (11)
	Epimer of Astringin	[M+H] ⁺	Epimer of compound 7
		[M-H] ⁻	Epimer of compound 7
	Dihydromyricetin 3-O-rhamnoside	[M+H] ⁺	305.00 (100), 105.15 (17), 306.05 (16), 169.10 (10)
		[M-H] ⁻	465.30 (100), 466.30 (24), 375.35 (20), 528.35 (10)
	Compound 10	[M+H] ⁺	105.05 (100), 667.40 (35.73), 123.00 (32.31), 169.05 (28.25), 102.55 (26.92), 353.10 (24.25), 114.95 (21.99), 122.20 (19.88), 515.15 (17.8), 152.95 (17.29), 173.70 (16.15), 208.20 (14.61), 547.35 (12.63), 165.25 (11.86), 598.70 (11.23), 305.05 (11.04),
		[M-H] ⁻	665.45 (100), 465.30 (57), 271.20 (37), 193.20 (31), 335.30 (24), 981.40 (20), 309.25 (18), 809.45 (17), 195.30 (16), 537.10 (16), 173.45 (15), 375.35 (14), 377.30 (13), 1235.70 (12), 523.45 (11), 333.35 (11),

720.20 (10)

	Taxifolin (dihydroquercetin) Compound 12 Compound 13	[M+H] ⁺	305.05 (100), 317.15 (57), 105.05 (42), 233.55 (28), 529.30 (21), 306.15 (16), 318.25 (12), 371.15 (10)
		[M-H]⁻	303.20 (100)
		[M+H] ⁺	259.05 (100), 421.10 (35), 401.15 (30), 271.10 (13), 105.00 (11)
		[M-H]⁻	465.35 (100), 419.30 (57), 482.30 (31), 466.30 (23), 420.30 (13), 257.25 (10)
		[M+H] ⁺	297.10 (100), 313.10 (84), 331.10 (67), 277.10 (59), 333.15 (50), 355.15 (33), 687.55 (32), 315.15 (30), 298.20 (30), 317.20 (20), 299.10 (20), 105.10 (18), 275.15 (17), 688.55 (14), 318.20 (13), 334.20 (12), 351.15 (12)
			551.55 (100), 529.55 (52), 549.40 (20), 552.50 (21), 275.55 (20), 515.55 (12)
	lsorhamnetin (t)ª	[M+H]⁺	317.15 (100), 339.15 (72), 655.55 (55), 299.15 (43), 315.15 (36), 261.15 (27), 656.55 (24), 340.10 (17), 301.15 (16), 309.25 (14), 289.15 (13), 319.15 (12), 380.15 (11), 105.10 (10)
		[M-H] ⁻	315.35 (100), 316.35 (24)
	Cirsimaritin (t)	[M+H] ⁺	315.15 (100), 317.15 (24), 105.15 (21), 289.20 (15), 629.50 (14), 356.20 (12), 261.15 (12), 337.10 (11), 339.15 (11)
		[M-H] ⁻	313.35 (100), 315.40 (39), 359.40 (12), 316.40 (11), 345.40 (10)
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Compound 1	[M+H] ⁺	102.35 (100), 122.70 (86), 105.00 (83), 127.00 (48), 187.90 (46), 214.45 (35), 208.45 (27), 179.70 (26), 123.90 (25), 172.65 (21), 114.05 (19), 195.30 (14), 391.10 (12), 213.45 (12), 265.00 (12), 193.25 (12), 180.85 (10), 167.10 (10), 371.00 (10)
		[ועו-ח]	1043.70 (100), 213.73 (99), 437.80 (77), 148.90 (74), 113.20 (32), 173.13 (29), 233.33 (17), 130.03 (16), 322.25 (15), 261.10 (14), 239.00 (14), 242.70 (14), 205.40 (12), 295.10 (11), 208.80 (11), 243.50 (11), 141.00 (10), 641.75 (10)
	Compound 2	[M+H] ⁺	101.90 (100), 122.80 (95), 105.05 (86), 114.80 (25), 179.85 (21), 188.10 (21), 180.70 (19), 213.20 (15), 110.55 (15), 226.90 (14), 1385.60 (14), 173.30 (13), 275.30 (13), 198.60 (13), 240.10 (12), 259.55 (12), 234.60 (12), 207.85 (11), 302.95 (11), 214.80 (10), 289.15 (10), 391.15 (10)
		[M-H]⁻	153.15 (100), 197.15 (32), 154.40 (12)
	Compound 3	[M+H]⁺	250.95 (100), 102.30 (98), 105.05 (89), 122.65 (88), 114.85 (47), 188.10 (43), 187.35 (32), 391.15 (32), 198.40 (31), 274.25 (24), 167.50 (24), 208.20 (23), 173.20 (19), 224.95 (19), 331.05 (18), 171.20 (17), 252.95 (15), 403.10 (15), 241.35 (15), 212.70 (14), 226.25 (13), 127.05 (13), 103.80 (13), 329.10 (12), 134.80 (12), 211.00 (11), 245.10 (11), 255.10 (11), 232.85 (11), 189.40 (11), 279.60 (11), 361.15 (11), 345.05 (10)
		[M-H] ⁻	211.20 (100), 389.25 (77), 343.20 (59), 147.05 (49), 289.35 (39), 181.35 (35), 379.55 (30), 242.60 (22), 113.70 (21), 459.10 (19), 411.50 (18), 175.50 (17), 255.25 (17), 390.45 (15), 344.60 (15), 413.35 (14), 194.90 (14), 130.05 (14), 112.45 (14), 345.35 (14), 155.45 (13), 406.10 (13), 478.30 (13), 281.55 (13), 239.30 (13), 407.10 (13), 180.50 (13), 238.35 (13), 278.60 (12), 158.50 (12), 391.35 (12), 514.60 (11), 421.10 (11), 174.40 (11), 461.15 (11), 473.80 (11), 246.95 (11), 331.60 (11), 473.05 (11), 204.90 (10)

Procyanidin B1	[M+H]⁺ [M-H] ⁻	579.20 (100), 105.15 (38), 580.25 (30), 338.95 (21), 350.85 (20), 102.30 (19), 331.10 (12), 123.00 (11), 501.20 (11), 218.95 (10), 329.55 (10) 577.35 (100), 578.35 (36), 361.15 (35), 378.40 (14), 579.40 (11)
Procyanidin B2	[M+H]⁺	102.25 (100), 122.80 (92), 105.15 (77), 579.20 (52), 188.25 (45), 208.40 (36), 115.00 (33), 139.20 (22), 580.20 (22), 166.60 (18), 305.00 (18), 198.40 (18), 179.50 (16), 367.10 (15), 109.80 (14), 289.00 (13), 103.85 (12), 200.60 (11), 274.25 (11), 172.55 (11), 466.35 (11), 131.95 (11), 170.70 (10), 294.10 (10)
	[M-H] ⁻	577.35 (100), 465.25 (74), 137.00 (59), 147.15 (36), 307.05 (22), 466.25 (21), 303.25 (21), 175.40 (19), 389.55 (18), 1959.35 (17), 535.45 (17), 361.10 (16), 533.40 (15), 391.25 (14), 528.30 (14), 507.45 (11), 191.70 (11), 208.90 (11), 159.30 (11), 662.35 (10)
Catechin	[M+H]⁺	291.00 (100), 381.00 (52.48), 395.10 (30.45), 105.25 (28.83), 102.25 (23.74), 226.55 (17.76), 114.70 (13.18), 122.60 (11.45)
	[M-H]⁻	289.25 (100), 352.30 (29), 290.30 (20), 335.20 (15), 357.30 (12), 865.45 (11)
Procyanidin B1	[M+H] ⁺	579.20 (100), 580.25 (35), 441.05 (18), 105.00 (16)
	[M-H]⁻	577.35 (100), 578.40 (32)
Compound 8	[M+H]⁺	307.05 (100), 102.10 (93), 105.30 (69), 122.55 (56), 203.10 (28), 288.95 (16), 369.15 (15), 114.75 (15), 579.20 (12)
	[M-H] ⁻	329.25 (100), 577.30 (37), 346.35 (34), 449.30 (22), 283.30 (20), 330.40 (17), 391.35 (16), 720.80 (11), 865.35 (11), 147.50 (10)
Epicatechin	[M+H]⁺	291.00 (100), 105.05 (21), 102.30 (14), 226.55 (13), 317.20 (12), 531.25 (10)
	[M-H]⁻	289.25 (100), 352.30 (28), 290.25 (17), 335.25 (17)
Procyanidin C1	[M+H]⁺	105.15 (100), 102.30 (69), 122.40 (69), 867.55 (54), 453.15 (40), 473.70 (25), 868.50 (24), 114.85 (23), 579.20 (23), 290.90 (18), 559.85 (17), 288.55 (15), 208.60 (12), 198.30 (11), 466.10 (11), 425.25 (10)
	[M-H]⁻	865.45 (100), 866.45 (44), 289.25 (15), 576.35 (14), 721.00 (13), 867.55 (13), 345.35 (11), 495.45 (10)
Compound 11	[M+H]⁺	105.10 (100), 102.10 (84), 153.05 (39), 122.70 (28), 114.80 (19), 188.35 (16), 198.40 (14), 180.25 (13), 208.15 (12), 279.30 (10)
	[M-H] ⁻	146.85 (100), 767.40 (81), 151.35 (72), 113.20 (63), 345.15 (38), 247.40 (34), 555.50 (33), 375.40 (27), 391.25 (25), 768.55 (23), 515.30 (21), 272.50 (21), 202.60 (20), 377.00 (20)
Compound 12	[M+H]⁺	105.10 (100), 102.10 (91), 453.15 (64), 304.95 (53), 122.25 (48), 255.45 (39), 114.70 (35), 187.90 (28), 123.15 (17), 454.15 (16), 341.70 (15), 234.95 (15), 487.30 (14), 294.00 (14), 307.05 (13), 314.60 (13), 369.05 (13), 448.15 (12), 991.00 (12), 247.25 (11), 208.20 (11), 439.15 (10)
	[M-H]⁻	465.30 (100), 475.40 (72), 528.40 (23), 492.50 (17), 476.40 (16), 176.30 (13), 537.55 (11), 1008.95 (10)
Compound 13	[M+H] ⁺	105.15 (100), 102.05 (63), 122.60 (48), 194.75 (19), 198.25 (18), 188.10 (16), 114.85 (13), 279.15 (11)
	[A A 1 1]	400 40 (400) DAE DE (E4) DAE DE (D0) 474 00 (40) 470 40 (47) DDE D0 (4C) 440 CE (4C) D04 4E (4C)

[M-H]⁻ 193.10 (100), 345.35 (51), 346.35 (23), 174.90 (19), 173.40 (17), 335.20 (16), 146.65 (16), 301.15 (16), 334.40 (14), 309.15 (14), 179.40 (13), 391.25 (12), 720.45 (12), 291.10 (12), 112.85 (11), 112.10 (11), 553.25 (10)

Compound 14	[M+H]⁺ [M-H] ⁻	105.15 (100), 102.15 (62.66), 122.7 (56.09), 336.8 (12.82), 198.35 (12.19), 114.85 (11.26), 194.95 (11.09), 187.95 (10.57), 208.35 (10.37) 335.15 (100), 317.25 (19.02)
Taxifolin	[M+H] ⁺	305.00 (100), 628.35 (16), 105.10 (14), 401.15 (10)
	[M-H] ⁻	303.20 (100), 607.35 (22), 366.25 (10)
Compound 16	[M+H] ⁺	105.10 (100), 102.15 (60), 305.00 (55), 122.90 (34), 233.55 (22), 114.85 (19), 225.40 (13), 198.30 (12), 289.05 (10)
	[M-H] ⁻	303.20 (100), 287.20 (28), 366.30 (11)
Compound 17	[M+H] ⁺	105.15 (100), 102.25 (90), 375.10 (84), 397.10 (77), 357.10 (74), 122.85 (57), 248.05 (36), 198.25 (23), 398.20 (19), 114.95 (19), 305.00 (16), 379.00 (11), 240.30 (11)
	[M-H] ⁻	373.30 (100), 303.20 (36), 317.25 (33), 374.30 (25), 605.40 (11)
Eriodictyol (t)	[M+H] ⁺	289.00 (100), 105.10 (54), 102.20 (41), 122.70 (20), 225.55 (16)
	[M-H]⁻	287.20 (100), 350.30 (21), 333.20 (14)
Compound 19	$[M+H]^+$	341.10 (100), 105.05 (44), 102.25 (39), 122.65 (24), 359.15 (16), 114.85 (11)
	[M-H] ⁻	605.35 (100), 301.35 (35), 299.20 (33), 606.40 (28), 350.15 (26), 284.95 (25), 675.40 (17), 453.30 (16), 607.35 (16), 112.70 (15), 633.45 (14), 174.45 (13), 287.25 (12), 147.55 (11), 601.45 (10)
Compound 20	[M+H] ⁺	105.15 (100), 102.25 (68), 122.75 (34), 198.40 (17), 661.35 (15), 114.90 (11)
	[M-H]⁻	659.50 (100), 299.20 (42), 660.50 (41), 603.35 (41), 301.20 (22), 307.20 (17), 112.90 (13), 604.35 (13), 481.20 (13), 661.40 (13)

a''t'' = tentative assignment

534 Figure captions

Fig. 1 Examples of procyanidin structures. These can be A-, B-, or C-type depending on the different linkages
between flavanol units.

537

Fig. 2 Modelled yield (% dry weight) for samples (A) without branches, circles, and (B) with branches, triangles, as a function of % total height, with total tree height as a random effect. The two plots each show one model and are shown conditional on species. There is no difference in relationship form between the un-thinned-management samples (filled shapes) and the thinned-management samples (open shapes), despite the difference in the mean height at which the crown begins.

543

Fig. 3 UV-chromatograms of samples from (A) *P. abies* and (B) *P. menziesii* with the positions of compounds that
 were quantified. The rising baseline indicates the relative proportion of oligomeric tannins with degree of
 polymerisation above three that can not be well resolved.

547

Fig. 4 *P. abies* compounds that have a relationship between yield and sample height. A, Epigallocatechin gallate. B, compound 13. C, Isorhamnetin. D, Cirsimaritin. Samples with branches are shown with triangular shapes, whereas samples without branches are circles. There is no clear effect of branch presence or management type (un-thinned management = open shapes).

552

Fig. 5 Selected *P. menziesii* compounds that have a relationship between yield and sample height. A, compound 2. B,
 Procyanidin C1. C, Taxifolin. D, Eriodictyol. Samples with branches are shown with triangular shapes, whereas
 samples without branches are circles. There is no clear effect of branch presence or management type (un-thinned
 management = filled shapes, thinned management = open shapes).

557

558





Height (% total)









Retention time (min)







Α

Yield (mg g⁻¹ bark)



Height (% total)

В



100

D









100

Height (% total)







В



