



HAL
open science

Evaluation of rammelsbergite (NiAs₂) as a novel mineral for ¹⁸⁷Re-¹⁸⁷Os dating and implications for unconformity-related U deposits

Stepan Chernonozhkin, Julien Mercadier, Laurie Reisberg, Béatrice Luais, Catherine Zimmermann, Christophe Morlot, Lise Salsi, Andreï Lecomte, Olivier Rouer, Marc Brouand, et al.

► To cite this version:

Stepan Chernonozhkin, Julien Mercadier, Laurie Reisberg, Béatrice Luais, Catherine Zimmermann, et al.. Evaluation of rammelsbergite (NiAs₂) as a novel mineral for ¹⁸⁷Re-¹⁸⁷Os dating and implications for unconformity-related U deposits. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 2020, 280, pp.85-101. 10.1016/j.gca.2020.04.011 . hal-02970170

HAL Id: hal-02970170

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-02970170v1>

Submitted on 26 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Evaluation of rammelsbergite (NiAs₂) as a novel mineral for ¹⁸⁷Re - ¹⁸⁷Os dating and implications**
2 **for unconformity-related U deposits**

3

4 Stepan M. Chernonozhkin^{1,2}, Julien Mercadier^{1*}, Laurie Reisberg², Béatrice Luais², Catherine
5 Zimmermann², Christophe Morlot¹, Lise Salsi¹, Andreï Lecomte¹, Olivier Rouer¹, Marc Brouand³, Amber
6 Doney⁴, Patrick Ledru⁴

7

8 ¹ Université de Lorraine, CNRS, CREGU, GeoRessources Lab, Campus Aiguillettes, Faculté des
9 Sciences et Technologies, rue Jacques Callot, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, F-54506, France

10 ² Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG), CNRS, Université de Lorraine,
11 UMR 7358, 15 rue Notre Dame des Pauvres, Vandoeuvre lès Nancy, F-54501, France

12 ³ Orano Mining, 1 place Jean Millier, 92400 Courbevoie, France

13 ⁴ Orano Canada Inc. 817 45th Street West, S7K 3X5 Saskatoon, Canada

14

15 * Corresponding author: julien.mercadier@univ-lorraine.fr

16 Stepan M. Chernonozhkin ORCID ID: 0000-0003-4521-0805;

17

18 **Abstract**

19 Rammelsbergite (NiAs₂) is often present in ore deposits worldwide in association with other arsenides, sulphides
20 and sulfarsenides. This work demonstrates the value of the application of the Re-Os isotopic system to the dating
21 of rammelsbergite. Using the example of the Cigar Lake uranium deposit (Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan,
22 Canada), our results show that rammelsbergite concentrates significant and variable amounts of Re when
23 crystallizing, up to 1.6 µg g⁻¹, while incorporating minimal common Os. Such characteristics make this mineral
24 an ideal target for Re-Os isotopic dating, by both isochron and model age methods. Remarkable agreement of the
25 Re-Os age of the rammelsbergite with the U-Pb age of the uranium oxide grains found inside one of the massive
26 rammelsbergite veins confirms the applicability of the method. The selected rammelsbergite samples from the
27 Cigar Lake uranium deposit yield a ¹⁸⁷Re-¹⁸⁷Os isochron age of 1239 +33/-20 Ma. These results challenge a long-
28 standing petrogenetic model which postulates contemporaneous U oxide and Ni-Co-arsenide deposition in
29 unconformity-related uranium deposits. The new Re-Os age of rammelsbergite veins indicates that arsenide

30 crystallization postdated the deposition of the primary uranium oxides at 1461-1341 Ma, implying that uranium
31 oxides and nickel arsenides, as well as other sulphides and sulfarsenides, were not precipitated contemporaneously
32 during a single hydrothermal event as previously proposed. The ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os data suggest that crystallization of
33 rammelsbergite and co-genetic sulphides, arsenides and sulfarsenides was linked to the ca. 1270 Ma Mackenzie
34 magmatic event, marked by the emplacement of mafic dikes throughout the Canadian Shield, including in the area
35 of the Athabasca Basin. This study therefore suggests a new hypothesis for the origin of nickel, cobalt, sulfur and
36 arsenic in unconformity-related U deposits of the Athabasca Basin. More broadly, the successful application of
37 the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os rammelsbergite chronometer in this geological context suggests that its application in other ore
38 deposit environments worldwide is likely to provide similar insights. In this respect, the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os chronometer
39 applied to rammelsbergite, and potentially to other arsenides and sulfarsenides, represents a valuable complement
40 to molybdenite dating because it can be used in systems that lack molybdenite.

41 Keywords: ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os , rammelsbergite, arsenide, unconformity-related, uranium deposit, Cigar Lake,
42 Mackenzie magmatic event

43 1. Introduction

44 The dating of mineral phases, including those of economic interest, is a key step for constraining their formation
45 mechanisms and how these may have changed as the Earth evolved. Major progress has been made in the direct
46 dating of metal-bearing phases (such as wolframite, cassiterite, columbite-tantalite or sulfides, notably
47 molybdenite) in recent years, through both conventional and in-situ methods (Deng et al., 2019; Harlaux et al.,
48 2018; Legros et al., 2019; Saintilan et al., 2017; Stein et al., 2001). Parallel to this improvement of dating ore-
49 bearing minerals, there is growing interest in the so-called “critical metals”, because of their importance in
50 communication technologies, and in the energy and transport transitions which will drive the 21st century. Nickel
51 is one of these highly coveted metals so any new method allowing to temporally constrain Ni mineralization is a
52 sought-after tool. Nickel sulfides and/or arsenides are particularly useful forms of economically exploitable Ni.
53 Among these phases, rammelsbergite (NiAs_2 , containing 28 % nickel and 72 % arsenic) is an uncommon mineral
54 at a global scale, but is nevertheless formed in a wide range of geological settings (magmatic, metamorphic or
55 hydrothermal) and is commonly found as disseminated grains or in the form of bulk veins in over 200 mining
56 locations worldwide, including some world class districts. Rammelsbergite occurs as a minor component of
57 nickeline–chromite ores in metaperidotite, *i.e.* Ni-Cr ores hosted in ultramafic rocks of the Beni Bousera massif
58 of Morocco, or in the La Gallega and Los Jarales mines in Malaga province in Spain (Oen, 1973). Magmatic
59 rammelsbergite is also described in nepheline-sodalite syenite plutonic rocks (naujaite) in the Ilimaussaq alkaline
60 massif, South Greenland (Oen et al., 1977). Rammelsbergite, along with other arsenides and sulfarsenides, is a
61 common mineral in metamorphosed Ni–Cu-PGE sulfide ores, such as the metamorphic-hydrothermal
62 assemblages of disseminated Co-Ni-Cu ores of the Duluth Complex, Minnesota, USA (Raič et al., 2015), and
63 Norilsk ore field (Gritsenko and Spiridonov, 2008). In this type of deposit, rammelsbergite and other arsenides
64 co-crystallize from hydrothermal fluids that have reworked primary sulfides. Rammelsbergite is also a ubiquitous
65 phase in several Ag-Bi-Ni-Co ore deposits of hydrothermal origin, in which it is found in association with other
66 arsenides and sulfarsenides and with native silver and bismuth within carbonate veins. Typical examples of such
67 associations include the Cobalt-Gowganda silver mining areas (Ontario, Canada) (Petruk, 1971), the five-element
68 deposits of the Variscan belt (*e.g.* Jáchymov ore district, Czech Republic), deposits of the Odenwald and
69 Niederschlema–Alberoda, Germany (Ondrus et al., 2003; Forster et al., 2004; Burisch et al., 2017), the Khovu-
70 Aksy Co–Ni arsenide ores in Tuva, Russia (Bortnikova et al., 2012), and deposits of the Great Bear Lake region,
71 Northwest Territories, Canada (Changkakoti and Morton, 1986). Rammelsbergite is also described in
72 hydrothermal vein type Cu-Ni-Co-U deposits of the Anarak mining area in Central Iran (Bagheri, 2015). Finally,

73 rammelsbergite is often found in association with uranium in the world class unconformity-related U deposits of
74 the Athabasca Basin (Saskatchewan, Canada; Jefferson et al., 2007; Kyser and Cuney, 2015), for example, at the
75 Key Lake (Carl et al., 1992), Moore Lake (Annesley et al., 2000), Cigar Lake and Midwest deposits (Reyx and
76 Rulhmann, 1993). For these deposits and for numerous other U occurrences throughout the Athabasca Basin,
77 rammelsbergite is found in association with different arsenides and sulfarsenides within or in close vicinity to the
78 uranium mineralization (Reyx and Rulhmann, 1993). Based on petrographic observations, rammelsbergite is
79 suggested to be one of the primary arsenide minerals in a sequence of multiple arsenides and sulfarsenides, while
80 nickeline, gesrdorfitte and cobaltite are considered to crystallize later but during the same global fluid event (Reyx
81 and Rulhmann, 1993).

82 The widespread presence of rammelsbergite in many types of ore deposits makes this mineral an attractive target
83 for Re-Os dating, compared to other arsenide minerals previously evaluated (Arne et al., 2001; Morelli et al.,
84 2005; Morelli et al., 2007; Saintilan et al., 2017). Considering the example of unconformity-related Athabaskan
85 U deposits, the conditions of crystallization of the uranium mineralization are currently not entirely known,
86 leading to loosely constrained genetic models for this major ore province. Several dating approaches, based on
87 different target minerals (uranium oxides and clays), have been attempted to determine the timing and duration of
88 fluid circulation episodes within the last 1.6 Ga and their role in controlling the current shape of the uranium
89 deposits. But the post-crystallization preservation of these phases and therefore of the isotopic systems used for
90 dating is a recurrent problem leading to questionable results (Fryer and Taylor, 1984; Philippe et al., 1993; Fayek
91 et al., 2002a; Martz et al., 2019b). In consequence, the links between tectono-thermal event(s), successive fluid
92 circulations and potential episode(s) of metal deposition in the Athabasca Basin (Jefferson et al., 2007; Kyser and
93 Cuney, 2015) are not fully established for this province, as for many other metalliferous provinces worldwide. In
94 this study we evaluate the applicability of the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os rammelsbergite chronometer, using the example of the
95 Cigar Lake U deposit, the archetype of unconformity-related U deposits. The new key temporal constraints
96 provided by rammelsbergite dating for the formation and evolution of this deposit are used to demonstrate the
97 potential utility of the rammelsbergite chronometer in the wide range of depositional environments in which this
98 phase is found.

99 **2. Regional geology and geochronology of the Cigar Lake uranium deposit**

100 Detailed description of the geology at the regional, local and deposit scales and of the current metallogenic models
101 for unconformity-related U deposits are provided in numerous publications, such as Reyx and Rulhmann (1993),
102 Bruneton (1993), Pacquet and Weber (1993), Kotzer and Kyser (1995), Annesley et al. (2005), Jefferson et al.

103 (2007), Kyser and Cuney (2015), and Martz et al. (2017, 2019). Only a short summary is presented here and for
104 more details the reader is referred to the papers above.

105 The Cigar Lake deposit is a world-class uranium deposit located close to the eastern edge of the Athabasca Basin,
106 in northern Saskatchewan, Canada. The deposit is lens-shaped and elongated in the E-W direction over 1.9 km,
107 and is 15 to 100 m wide and 10-20 m high. With 613,400 t of uranium reserves at an average grade of 16.2% U_3O_8
108 (Cameco, 2016), the Cigar Lake deposit is the world's second largest high-grade uranium deposit after McArthur
109 River, also located in the Athabasca Basin 45 km to the south-west. The Cigar Lake deposit is classified as
110 unconformity-related because the mineralization is located 410 to 450 m below the surface at the unconformity
111 between an Archean to Paleoproterozoic basement and the unmetamorphosed Paleo- to Mesoproterozoic
112 sedimentary basin (the Athabasca Basin). The deposit is almost entirely basin-hosted, and the basin in this area is
113 composed of red-bed sandstones and conglomerates. The basin has been greatly eroded since the formation of the
114 deposit, with a possible thickness of up to 5 km at the time of deposit formation (Pagel, 1975). The Cigar Lake
115 deposit sits directly above a major E-W-oriented basement-hosted graphitic-rich structure called the "Cigar Main
116 conductor", which is considered to be a key structure for the formation of the deposit (Bruneton, 1993; Martz et
117 al., 2017). The deposition of uranium is associated with massive hydrothermal alteration in both basin and
118 basement, dominated by the development of an illite-chlorite clay zone and major desilicification (Bruneton,
119 1993). Large-scale circulations of NaCl- and $CaCl_2$ -rich basinal brines at the basin-basement interface favoured
120 massive fluid-rock interactions leading to the formation of the hydrothermal alteration and U mineralization
121 (Derome et al., 2005; Mercadier et al., 2010; Richard et al., 2016; Martz et al., 2019a). The Cigar Lake uranium
122 deposit is also marked by the presence in the U ore of arsenide and sulpharsenide minerals of potential economic
123 importance as by-products: rammelsbergite ($NiAs_2$), nickeline ($NiAs$), maucherite ($Ni_{11}As_8$), löllingite ($FeAs_2$),
124 skutterudite ($NiAs_3$), gersdorffite ($NiAsS$) and cobaltite ($CoAsS$) (Reyx and Rulhmann, 1993; Kaczowka; 2017).
125 The overall tonnage is estimated at 11 000 t for As, 7200 t for Ni and 1360 t for Co (Cameco, 2016). Although
126 petrographic observations suggest that Ni-Co-Fe arsenides and sulfarsenides were emplaced into the Cigar Lake
127 deposit after fracturing and brecciation of the primary uraninite (Kaczowka, 2017), neither the age of the Ni-Co-
128 As-S episode nor the origin and type of the mineralizing fluids are known, and the exact conditions and mechanism
129 of emplacement of Ni, Co, As and S remain unconstrained.

130 The Cigar Lake U deposit, like all the unconformity-related U deposits of the Athabasca Basin, is marked by a
131 major post-crystallization alteration of the uranium oxides and associated minerals (clays), leading to
132 remobilization of U and formation of secondary disseminated U ore-bodies (Alexandre and Kyser, 2005; Martz

133 et al., 2019b). Such alteration/remobilization resulted in resetting of the apparent isotopic ages, complicating
134 accurate and precise U-Pb dating on uranium oxides, but also K-Ar or $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating on clays. Multiple
135 approaches to dating the primary uranium mineralization event in the Cigar Lake deposit have been taken, and
136 the resulting ages lie between 1341 ± 17 (U-Pb TIMS analysis of uranium oxide mineral separates, Philippe et
137 al., 1993) and 1461 ± 47 Ma ($\pm 1\sigma$, in-situ SIMS U-Pb measurements of pure primary uraninite grains, Fayek et
138 al., 2002). Subsequent fluid alteration episodes recrystallized younger generations of U minerals. Fayek et al.
139 (2002) reported stage 2 (1176 ± 9) and stage 3 (876 ± 14 Ma) generations of U oxides, and Kaczowka (2017)
140 resolved 3 generations of secondary U oxides at 1270 ± 10 Ma, 1163 ± 25 Ma and 947 ± 57 Ma using U-Pb dating
141 by LA-ICP-MS. The Rb-Sr isochron method provides an age of 1477 ± 57 Ma for crystalline illites (Kotzer and
142 Kyser, 1995). Philippe et al. (1993) reported K-Ar ages of 1385 ± 30 and 1390 ± 33 Ma for the $< 2 \mu\text{m}$ fraction
143 of clays from the alteration area of the deposit. An event of U remobilization with crystallization of new U oxides
144 is reported at 320 Ma (Philippe et al., 1993).

145 **3. Materials and methods**

146 3.1 Sample selection and characterization. The rammelsbergite samples were taken from two exploration
147 drillholes executed by Areva Resources Canada (currently Orano Canada). Most samples were taken from
148 drillhole WC287 (58.07175° N 104.51813° W) on the Cigar East mineralized extension of the main body while a
149 single sample was taken from the Cigar North mineralized corridor (WC530; 457.2 m; 58.04123° N 104.33593°
150 W). The WC287 drillhole first crosscuts fine to medium grained fresh sandstone with rare medium grained grit
151 layers and isolated clay clasts (down to 199.5 m), followed by fine to medium grained unaltered sandstone with
152 regular pebbly/gritty intervals before reaching the unconformity with the basement at 424.5 m, underlain by
153 chloritized and argillized graphitic gneiss/pegmatite basement lithologies. The 6.5 m immediately above the
154 unconformity (418 to 424.5 m) are characterised by the presence of high-grade U mineralization and abundant
155 nickel arsenides. Figure 1 A illustrates massive U oxide vein at 419.1 – 419.3 m depth. Massive veins of
156 rammelsbergite are observed between 420.0 and 420.7 m of the drillhole. The association of massive U oxides
157 and rammelsbergite veins at 419.9-420.1 m is shown in Figure 1 B. Three different samples from these massive
158 veins of rammelsbergite were taken at the levels of 420.0, 420.1 and 420.7 meters, as shown in Figure 1 A,B,C.
159 No disseminated arsenides were examined in this study. The sample from WC530 was taken from a 2.5 m
160 chloritized and argillized zone (456.2-458.7) above the unconformity (458.7 m), characterized by medium
161 uranium grade and abundant nickel arsenides. This zone is underlain by chloritized and argillized graphitic
162 gneiss/pegmatite basement lithologies. The petrography of these rammelsbergite veins is identical to that

163 described earlier by Reyx and Rulhmann (1993) for the historical drillholes of Cigar Lake U deposits, as well as
164 by Kaczowka (2017) in a recent study of this deposit.

165 The drillcores were specifically chosen because they present the most massive and freshest intercepts of
166 rammelsbergite drilled during the last exploration campaigns. Due to its massive character, the rammelsbergite in
167 these veins is easily separable from the uranium oxides and is identical to the rammelsbergite described in the
168 main U mineralization by previous authors for the Cigar Lake U deposit (Reyx and Rulhmann, 1993; Kaczowka,
169 2017), as well as for other U deposits of the Athabasca Basin (Carl et al., 1992; Annesley et al., 2000; Jefferson
170 et al., 2007; Kyser and Cuney, 2015).

171 Thick sections were prepared from the samples and were first observed by optical and scanning electron
172 microscopy. The samples are mainly (> 90%) composed of pure rammelsbergite, a few centimetres thick,
173 contained in a matrix of Mg-Al clays, siderite, uranium oxide and/or calcite (Figure 2A). Small areas of
174 gersdorffite (NiAsS) are occasionally observed at the borders between massive rammelsbergite and the
175 clay/uranium oxide/carbonate matrix. The matrix of the three samples from WC287 also contains disseminated
176 grains of gersdorffite, pyrite (often intergrown with gersdorffite) and chalcopyrite. Massive rammelsbergite from
177 WC530 contains a zone with several 10-100 μm euhedral uranium oxide grains in association with gersdorffite
178 (Figure 3), co-genetic with or closely post-dating the rammelsbergite crystallization. Traces of sparse nickeline
179 (NiAs) are sometimes observed at the edges of the rammelsbergite crystals (Figure 3).

180 Major and minor element contents of nickel arsenides and sulfarsenides (rammelsbergite and gersdorffite) were
181 measured with a CAMECA SX100 electron microprobe (EMPA) at the Service Commun de Microscopies
182 Electroniques et de Microanalyses of the GeoRessources laboratory (Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France). A set of
183 Co, Ni, Bi, Cu metal, and pyrite (FeS_2), molybdenite (MoS_2), clausthalite (PbSe), stibnite (Sb_2S_3) and gallium
184 arsenide (GaAs) mineral standards was used, together with measurement parameters of 20 nA beam current and
185 20 kV accelerating voltage with a beam diameter of 1 μm . In spot analysis mode, the intensities of 10 elements
186 were acquired at K_α lines of S, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu, L_α lines of As, Se, Sb and Mo, and M_β line of Bi, with a 10 s
187 on-peak acquisition time. An on-peak acquisition time of 200 ms and spacing of 2 – 8 μm (depending on total
188 mapped area, to limit the total mapping time) was used in mapping mode for Ni, As, Co, S and Se. BSE images
189 in high-contrast mode were taken using the CAMECA SX100 electron microprobe during the same analytical
190 session. Two-dimensional element distribution maps of the entire thick sections of the samples from 420.1 and
191 420.7 m depth levels (drillhole WC287) were acquired using a Bruker M4 Tornado XRF instrument at the

192 GeoRessources laboratory (Figure 2A), equipped with a 30W Rh- anode X-ray source (50 kV operating voltage,
193 150 μ A operating current) and a Be side-window. In this instrument, the X-rays are focused to a 25 μ m spot
194 (measured for Mo K_{α}) using a polycapillary lens. The instrument is equipped with two silicon drift detector
195 spectrometers (30 mm^2 , 145 eV energy resolution for Mn K_{α}), placed symmetrically relative to the sample.
196 Measurements were performed under a vacuum of 20 mbar.

197 Rammelsbergite chips of 100-250 mg for Re-Os analysis were cut using a diamond wire saw from the massive
198 vein samples of the WC530 core at 457.2 m and of the WC287 cores at 420.0 m, 420.1 m and 420.7 m and are
199 referred to respectively as WC530 and WC287 (420.0), each composed of a single 200 mg sub-sample; WC287
200 (420.1) A-F (six sub-samples); and WC287 (420.7) A-E (five sub-samples). Prior to acid digestion, the purity of
201 representative rammelsbergite samples and the absence of any potential inclusions of other minerals (silicate,
202 sulfides, *etc.*) and/or alteration phases were verified by observing their virtual 3D models (Fig. 2B) obtained by X-
203 ray microtomography using the CT-Scan Nanotom S Phoenix (GE) equipment of the X-ray tomography platform,
204 UMR 7359 GeoRessources Laboratory (Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France). This instrument is equipped with a 180
205 kV micro-focus X-ray tube/generator and Flat CMOS 5 Mpx system which enables high-definition X-ray
206 projections. About 1500 projections of rammelsbergite samples were made, using parameters of 98 kV tension,
207 98 μ A current, time exposure of 1000 ms, and about 200 mm distance to the X-ray source detector, with the latter
208 parameter adjusted to obtain the best resolution to size ratio. The spatial resolution varies according to the sample
209 size.

210 3.2 U-Pb SIMS analysis. The U/Pb and Pb/Pb isotopic compositions of the uranium oxide grains in the WC530
211 sample were measured with a CAMECA IMS 1280 HR2 ion microprobe at the Centre de Recherches
212 Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG-Nancy, France). The conditions for data acquisition and the isotope
213 data processing protocols are identical to those described in Martz et al. (2019b) and references therein.

214 3.3 Re-Os reference materials and spikes. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA) reference
215 material 8599 Henderson molybdenite was used for quality control of Re and Os analysis and the model age
216 measured (Markey et al., 2007). Isotope spikes of ^{185}Re ($\omega = 96.73\%$) and ^{190}Os ($\omega = 96.99\%$) were obtained
217 from Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Tennessee, USA) and mixed at concentrations of $4.72 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ nmol g}^{-1} \text{ }^{190}\text{Os}$
218 and $1.72 \text{ nmol g}^{-1} \text{ }^{185}\text{Re}$ in high-purity 6 N HCl (Le Mignot et al., 2017).

219 3.4 Sample preparation and digestion protocols for Re-Os dating. All Re-Os isolation and measurements were
220 carried out at the Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG) Nancy, France, under clean

221 laboratory conditions using high purity reagents and ultrapure water. Rammelsbergite is a metallic mineral, and
222 bulk vein material cannot be crushed or powdered to a mesh size needed for homogenization using traditional
223 approaches. The samples were thus digested in the form of 50-250 μg fragments of pure rammelsbergite, after
224 addition of weighed amounts of ^{185}Re - ^{190}Os mixed isotope tracer (spike). Rammelsbergite appears to passivate in
225 concentrated HCl, but dissolves in HCl – HNO_3 mixtures. Thus to dissolve the rammelsbergite, 8 ml reversed
226 *aqua regia* (6 ml 14 M HNO_3 and 2 ml 12 M HCl) were added to the spiked rammelsbergite in 60 ml pre-cleaned
227 quartz digestion vials. Several minutes were allowed before sealing the quartz vials as a precaution against
228 potential vigorous dissolution. Although neither an instant effervescent reaction nor heating in the reversed *aqua*
229 *regia* was observed, minor loss of Os as OsO_4 at this stage cannot be fully excluded, potentially leading to scatter
230 of the ^{187}Os concentrations measured if this occurs prior to spike-sample equilibration. Though the absence of
231 heating (and the consistency of the results, see below) suggests that this effect was minor to negligible, cooling
232 the digestion vials during the process of adding the acid to the sample-spike mixtures might be a potential
233 improvement of the analytical procedure to minimize any potential loss of Os. Just before sealing the vials 0.1 ml
234 of H_2O_2 were added to assure full oxidation. The sealed vials were then placed in a High-Pressure Asher HPA-S
235 (Anton Paar, Austria) and heated at 280 $^\circ\text{C}$ and a pressure of 100 bars for 6 hours. This procedure not only
236 permitted digestion of the samples, but also brought all of the Os to its highest oxidation state, essential to assure
237 spike-sample equilibration.

238 3.5 Re-Os chemical separation procedure, isotope ratio measurements and data reduction protocols. Os extraction
239 protocols were modified from Birck et al. (1997). After acid digestion, Os was selectively separated from the
240 sample solution using solvent extraction into liquid bromine, while Re remained in the reversed *aqua regia*. After
241 addition of HBr to promote Os reduction, the Br_2 fraction was evaporated, and the Os was further purified by
242 micro-distillation. Os isotopic compositions of the rammelsbergite samples, molybdenite standards and blanks
243 were measured by negative thermal ionization mass spectrometry (N-TIMS; Creaser et al., 1991; Völkening et
244 al., 1991). For this the purified Os concentrated in 1-2 μl of HBr was loaded onto Re-free Pt filaments with
245 $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ - NaOH as an emission activator. Isotope ratios of Os were measured as OsO_3^- ions in CRPG Nancy
246 using a FinniganMAT 262 mass spectrometer operated in single-collection peak jumping mode using an ETP
247 electron multiplier. An oxygen leak valve was used to maintain a source pressure of $3\text{-}5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ mbar. The isotope
248 ratios of Os are corrected off-line for heavy oxide, mass fractionation, common Os, and blank contributions,
249 allowing calculation of ^{187}Os concentrations by isotope dilution. Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected
250 iteratively simultaneously with the oxide contribution, assuming that the true $^{192}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ratio of the spiked sample

251 lies on a mixing line between the natural ($^{192}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os} = 3.08271$) and the spike ($^{192}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os} = 5.00736$)
252 composition. Radiogenic Os ($^{187}\text{Os}^*$) was corrected for a contribution of common Os, assuming a $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ratio
253 of 0.5 ± 0.4 , and the uncertainty on this ratio is included in the combined uncertainty on $^{187}\text{Os}^*$. The ^{187}Re decay
254 constant ($\lambda^{187}\text{Re}$) used for the age calculation is $1.666 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ a}^{-1}$ (Smoliar et al., 1996).

255 Re was separated from the residual acidic solution remaining after Os extraction into liquid bromine. This solution
256 was evaporated to dryness and re-dissolved in 0.4 M HNO_3 . Re was extracted from the sample matrix by a simple
257 anion exchange technique using 200-400 mesh AG1X8 resin (BioRad, USA). Re was adsorbed onto 0.6 ml resin
258 in the 0.4 M HNO_3 media, the matrix was eluted using 0.8 M HNO_3 , and after that Re was collected with 8 M
259 HNO_3 . Measurements of $^{187}\text{Re}/^{185}\text{Re}$ ratios for isotope dilution calculations in rammelsbergite, the Henderson
260 molybdenite reference material and blanks were carried out using an XSeriesII (Thermo Scientific, USA)
261 quadrupole-based ICP-MS unit. Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected for with a sample-standard
262 bracketing approach using a Re standard solution of natural isotopic composition (taken as $^{187}\text{Re}/^{185}\text{Re} = 1.6738$).

263 Total procedural blanks were 0.08 - 3 pg for radiogenic $^{187}\text{Os}^*$ and 0.01 - 0.5 ng for Re, representing less than 1%
264 compared with the quantities of ^{187}Os (0.47 - 5.6 ng) and Re (35 - 430 ng) analysed in the rammelsbergite samples
265 (Table 2). The isotope data are corrected for the blank contribution, and the uncertainty on the blank contribution
266 is included in the total combined uncertainty. These blank values are considerably higher than those typically
267 encountered for non-radiogenic samples in the CRPG laboratory, arguing for some limited cross-contamination
268 between these highly radiogenic, Re-rich samples. Based on repeated measurements of the instrumental blanks
269 before and after every analytical session, it can be concluded that the major part of the Os blank is a result of
270 memory effects within the source of the TIMS instrument, a consequence of high amounts of radiogenic Os found
271 in the samples. Two analyses of the Henderson molybdenite reference material (NIST 8599) were performed,
272 yielding ages of $28.21 \pm 0.34 \text{ Ma}$ and $27.98 \pm 0.31 \text{ Ma}$, which are 0.5 - 0.8 % higher than that given in the detailed
273 characterization of the Henderson reference material by Markey et al. (2007) ($27.656 \pm 0.022 \text{ Ma}$, 95% confidence
274 interval). This could mean that the ages of the rammelsbergite obtained in our study are about 0.5 - 0.8 % (i.e., ~
275 10 My) too high, but this will not change the relative ages of the various sample aliquots.

276 **4. Results**

277 4.1. μXRF , $\mu\text{-tomography}$ and EMPA results. Micro XRF 2D mapping of the entire 2.5 cm epoxy mounts with
278 the embedded WC287 samples from the 420.1 and 420.7 m depth levels (Figure 2A) reveals inhomogeneous
279 chemical areas within the rammelsbergite veins. These elemental variations are related to changes in the Co/Ni

280 ratio within the rammelsbergite, as also observed using BSE and element mapping modes of EMPA (Figure 4).
281 The cobalt content varies mostly between 0.88 and 1.72 wt.% Co, with one exceptional analysis at 4.85 wt.% Co
282 for WC287 420.1 and between 0.26 and 1.02 wt.% for WC287 420.7 (Table 1). The studied arsenides have low
283 average Co (1.53 ± 1.2 wt% for WC287 420.1 and 0.64 ± 0.27 wt% for WC287 420.7) and Fe (< 0.08 wt%)
284 contents, classifying them as rammelsbergite (see Table 1). Different fragments prepared for Re-Os analysis of
285 rammelsbergite veins from the WC287 drill hole were separately analysed by micro X-ray tomography to
286 characterize their homogeneity in 3D (Fig. 2B and the electronic supplementary materials). These fragments are
287 homogeneous without any detectable inclusions of sulphides, other arsenides or other phases (silicates,
288 carbonates) and do not show any trace of alteration, as demonstrated by the comparison with a fragment of
289 rammelsbergite from drill hole CIG 259-1 (Cigar Lake U deposit, Fig. 2B). This impure fragment displays
290 inclusions of gersdorffite (NiAsS), silicate matrix, and areas of co-existing rammelsbergite and gersdorffite easily
291 detectable by micro X-ray tomography cross-section analysis.

292 4.2 U-Pb isotope data on the U oxides. The results of the U-Pb and Pb-Pb SIMS measurements of the U oxides
293 embedded in the WC530 massive rammelsbergite vein (Fig. 3) are presented in Figure 5. An upper intercept
294 discordia age of 1255 ± 71 Ma ($n = 15$, MSWD = 12) was calculated using model 1 of Ludwig's Isoplot 3.7 (York,
295 1966; Ludwig, 2008). All analyses display variable degrees of discordancy, indicating post-crystallization
296 alteration as visible in Figure 3B. The discordia is interpreted to represent the age of crystallization of the uranium
297 crystals at 1255 Ma, followed by a lead loss event at 242 Ma (calculated age for the lower intercept).

298 4.3 Re-Os isotope data. The results of ID-NTIMS Os measurements and ID-ICP-MS measurements of Re are
299 presented in Table 2. Rammelsbergite contains high and variable amounts of Re, ranging from 63 ng g^{-1} to $1.6 \mu\text{g}$
300 g^{-1} . The Os in rammelsbergite samples consists almost entirely of radiogenic ^{187}Os , ranging from 0.8 to 22 ng g^{-1} ,
301 while having minimal common Os (9 to 42 pg g^{-1} of ^{188}Os , equivalent to $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ratios of 94-3442).

302 4.4 Chronological interpretation of the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os isotope data. Figure 6 A shows $^{187}\text{Os}^*$ plotted against ^{187}Re for
303 the 13 chips prepared from the 4 rammelsbergite samples (WC530, WC287 420, WC287 420.1 and
304 WC287 420.7). The data plotted in a conventional $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ vs. $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ isochron diagram are presented in
305 figure 6B. As these two approaches provide nearly identical ages, while the latter is associated with large
306 propagated uncertainties due to low ^{188}Os abundance and high blank contribution for this isotope, we only discuss
307 the results calculated with $^{187}\text{Os}^* - ^{187}\text{Re}$ diagram hereafter. This is in line with recommendation of Stein et al.
308 (2001) for phases that are almost devoid of common Os. A well-defined linear correlation is observed, which if

309 interpreted as an isochron, yields a crystallization age for the rammelsbergite of 1267 ± 33 Ma (2 sigma),
310 calculated using York's regression, implemented as model 1 of Ludwig's Isoplot 3.7 (York, 1966; Ludwig, 2008).
311 The scatter however greatly exceeds the levels that can be accounted for solely by the assigned analytical
312 uncertainties, as the Mean Square of Weighted Deviation (MSWD) is equal to 138. Because the linearized
313 probability plot for Re-Os model ages suggests that a normal distribution is questionable, the dataset was evaluated
314 for the potential presence of outliers, using the generalized extreme Studentized many-outlier test (GESO test,
315 Rosner, 1983). The test indicates that the rammelsbergite sub-sample «WC287 (420.7m) B», with a model age of
316 1347.6 ± 5.2 Ma, may be considered an outlier ($G=2.608 > G_{crit}=2.462$) at the 95 % confidence level. Treating the
317 «WC287 (420.7m) B» datapoint as an outlier and recalculating a York regression for the data set of 12 points
318 yields an age of 1252 ± 16 Ma with a smaller MSWD = 30.

319 However, at elevated levels of scatter, the assumption made in the isochron line-fitting algorithm of York's
320 regression (York, 1966) that the weighing factors should be inversely proportional to the analytical uncertainties,
321 is unlikely to be valid (Ludwig, 2008). Although assuming one datapoint as an outlier decreases the MSWD from
322 138 to 30, this value is still quite large, suggesting that York's algorithm is not an appropriate choice for line
323 fitting in this case. In situations of elevated scatter, with MSWD $\gg 1$, such as observed for the rammelsbergite,
324 it is preferable to use a line fitting model free of arbitrary assumptions concerning the nature of the scatter, such
325 as that provided by the "robust regression" option in Isoplot 3.70 (Ludwig, 2008). This algorithm calculates the
326 slope as the median of all pairwise slopes (Theil, 1992), with errors calculated according to Rock and Duffy (1986)
327 after Vugrinovich (1981). Using the "robust regression" option on 13 points provides a rammelsbergite
328 emplacement age of $1237 +34/-24$ Ma. If the WC287 (420.7m) B datapoint is excluded from the solution as an
329 outlier, a very similar estimate of $1239 +33/-20$ Ma is obtained. The model ages calculated for the 12 individual
330 samples, presented in Table 2 and as a histogram in Figure 6, result in an average of 1257 ± 43 Ma and median of
331 1257.2, once the result of WC287 420.7 B is cancelled as an outlier.

332 When sub-samples of the WC287 rammelsbergite are evaluated for each of the 420.1 and 420.7 sampling depths
333 individually, the robust regression solution yields $1250 +650/-150$ Ma for 420.7 and $1200 +78/-78$ Ma for 420.1
334 sub-samples (solutions on 5 and 6 points respectively, no outliers confirmed using the GESO test).

335 **5. Discussion**

336 5.1 Applicability of Re-Os geochronology to rammelsbergite. This work presents, to our knowledge, the first
337 application of the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os chronometer to rammelsbergite (NiAs_2), and one of the few attempts to date

338 arsenides using this system. Concerning the other arsenide minerals, ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os system was previously used to
339 date löllingite (FeAs_2) by Saintilan *et al.* (2017), arsenopyrite (FeAsS) by Arne *et al.* (2001) and Morelli *et al.*
340 (2005, 2007), and Co-arsenopyrite by Mikulski *et al.* (2005). The rammelsbergite from the Cigar Lake U deposit
341 is specifically characterised by elevated and variable Re contents, up to nearly $2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, and very little common
342 Os (up to 0.04 ng g^{-1} of ^{188}Os , see Table 2). The low abundance of common non-radiogenic Os, the large relative
343 amounts of Re and its variable concentrations (Table 2) make rammelsbergite an excellent target for ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os
344 dating. Compared to the other tested arsenide minerals, rammelsbergite is more suitable for ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os dating
345 than *e.g.* arsenopyrite or löllingite, which can contain large amounts of common Os (*e.g.* löllingite from the Broken
346 Hill Pb-Zn-Ag deposit in Australia contains up to $\sim 26 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$ of ^{188}Os , calculated from data in Saintilan *et al.*
347 (2017)) or be characterized by relatively low levels of parent Re (below 12 ng g^{-1}); Arne *et al.* (2001) and Morelli
348 *et al.* (2005, 2007)). We suggest that crystal lattice constraints of the rammelsbergite allow it to preferentially
349 concentrate Re rather than Os during crystallization, leading over time to the accumulation of radiogenic $^{187}\text{Os}^*$
350 in quantities sufficient for high-precision isotopic analysis. In this respect rammelsbergite is analogous to
351 molybdenite, the most widely used phase in Re-Os geochronometry (Stein *et al.*, 2001). The U oxide grains found
352 in the WC530 massive rammelsbergite (Figure 3) are crystallized within the rammelsbergite, without clear
353 relationship to specific fractures cross-cutting the rammelsbergite. These euhedral crystals of uranium oxide can
354 be thus considered as co-genetic to the crystallization of the rammelsbergite, suggesting that the two phases
355 crystallized during the same fluid circulation event. As such, the $1255 \pm 71 \text{ Ma}$ U-Pb upper intercept discordia
356 age (Figure 5) defined for these U oxides can be interpreted as the oldest temporal constraint for the
357 rammelsbergite at the Cigar Lake U deposit. The fact that the U-Pb SIMS age coincides within error with the 1254
358 $\pm 12 \text{ Ma}$ model age for the fragment of WC530 (Table 2) and with the Re-Os $1239 +33/-20 \text{ Ma}$ isochron age
359 calculated for the Cigar Lake rammelsbergite confirms the suitability of the Re-Os radioisotope decay couple for
360 rammelsbergite dating. It also confirms that the rammelsbergite Re-Os isotope data array is not an accidental
361 mixing line between two components.

362 The isotopic data for rammelsbergite plot very close to a straight line in $^{187}\text{Os} - ^{187}\text{Re}$ space (Figure 6) whereas
363 several hydrothermal fluid circulation events occurred in the Cigar Lake U deposits after the crystallization of the
364 rammelsbergite (*ca.* 1170 Ma, *ca.* 900 Ma, *ca.* 320 Ma, *ca.* 250 Ma and *ca.* 40 Ma) and perturbed the isotopic
365 systems of both uranium oxides (*e.g.* at 242 Ma, see Figure 5 and Martz *et al.*, 2019b) and clays (Fayek *et al.*,
366 2002; Kaczowka, 2017; Philippe *et al.*, 1993). Such linearity indicates the resistance of rammelsbergite to gain or
367 loss of Re or incorporation of common Os and/or radiogenic Os loss after its initial crystallization. Tests now need

368 to be done on rammelsbergite from other types of deposits, from the Athabasca Basin and elsewhere, including
369 those formed under different conditions to confirm the global applicability of the Re-Os isotopic system for dating
370 of this mineral and related Ni mineralization.

371 5.2 Chemical and isotopic heterogeneities of massive rammelsbergite. μ XRF and EMPA elemental maps
372 demonstrate that the tested rammelsbergite veins include intermixtures of domains with variable Co contents
373 (Figures 2 and 4; table 1). The variability of the Re content measured in the different chips of rammelsbergite may
374 be linked to this heterogeneity of the Co/Ni ratio within the massive rammelsbergite veins. The variable
375 concentration of Re in the rammelsbergite at the time of crystallization is a key point for ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os dating of this
376 phase, allowing for construction of single-mineral ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os isochrons as is classically done for molybdenite
377 (Stein et al., 2000). The origin of these chemical variations is not currently known. This fine-scale heterogeneity
378 of the massive rammelsbergite might be related to a subsolidus process occurring during slow cooling after the
379 initial precipitation of the rammelsbergite, before sub-solidus diffusion is effectively closed. Alternatively, the
380 structure of Co-rich and Co-poor domains of the rammelsbergite might develop during post-depositional thermal
381 reequilibration events younger than $1239 \pm 33/-20$ Ma, such as that responsible for formation of stage 3 at 876-
382 947 Ma (Fayek et al., 2002; Kaczowka, 2017) or ca. 350 Ma (Philippe et al., 1993) uranium oxides for example.
383 While both cases could contribute to the scatter in the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os isotope systematics, the effect of Re-Os
384 redistribution in the latter case would be expected to be much stronger, since the events occurred several hundred
385 millions of years after rammelsbergite crystallization, after substantial amounts of ^{187}Os have had the chance to
386 grow in. Such a process would not produce the obtained isochron. Thus, the protracted cooling period after the
387 initial precipitation of rammelsbergite linked to subsolidus equilibration of Re and Co-Ni seems a much more
388 likely explanation. A third possibility could be that the heterogeneous Co/Ni composition of massive
389 rammelsbergite results from growth under changing conditions, at varying temperature, fluid compositions, and/or
390 activities of Co and Ni. Common Os of variable isotopic composition could be incorporated into the nickel
391 arsenide during this process, which could contribute to the scatter of the isochron. During our analytical procedure,
392 common Os was measured and is later corrected for assuming a $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ratio of 0.5 ± 0.4 . However, if common
393 Os with more radiogenic and/or more variable composition is incorporated into the sub-samples during
394 crystallization of rammelsbergite, this would not be accounted for, and could introduce scatter. However, the fact
395 that the y-intercept of the isochron in Figure 6 plots well within error of zero suggests that underestimation of the
396 initial radiogenic Os component was not a generalized problem.

397 Finally, some of the scatter in the isochron diagram could result from the fact that Re and ^{187}Os may not enter into
398 the same structural sites in the crystal, resulting in spatial decoupling. This possibility was summarized for
399 molybdenite in Stein and Hannah (2014). As shown by Takahashi et al. (2007), ^{187}Os has a smaller radius and a
400 lower valence than Re incorporated in the molybdenite structure, suggesting that newly formed radiogenic Os
401 could diffuse more rapidly than Re and thus is more likely to enter into structural defects. It is possible that a
402 similar process could cause spatial decoupling of radiogenic Os from its parent Re in the rammelsbergite.
403 Radiogenic Os may have diffused out of (or into) the small sub-sample over time into more favourable sites in the
404 surrounding crystal, thus leading to the scatter observed.

405 These different possibilities of scatter need to be constrained, but the first results on rammelsbergite clearly
406 demonstrate that this mineral presents a high potential for use in Re-Os geochronometry. The diversity of
407 geological contexts in which rammelsbergite can be found, from magmatic to hydrothermal conditions, and its
408 possible future significance as a source of nickel, could allow a rapid development of its use to constrain geological
409 models, as demonstrated below for the unconformity-related U deposits. In this context rammelsbergite could
410 complement molybdenite as dating targets in deposit environments where molybdenite is not common.

411 5.3 Contribution of rammelsbergite Re-Os dating to the formation model for unconformity-related U deposits.

412 The best estimate for the age of emplacement of rammelsbergite in the Cigar Lake uranium deposit is $1239 \pm 33/-$
413 20 Ma (robust regression solution on 12 points, excluding sample WC287 (420.7m) B, figure 6). This age is the
414 first obtained on sulphides, sulpharsenides or arsenides for the unconformity-related U deposits of the Athabasca
415 Basin. It provides new key information about the formation of unconformity-related U deposits and fills several
416 important gaps in our understanding of the genetic model of these world-class deposits.

417 The $1239 \pm 33/-20$ Ma Re-Os age first demonstrates that rammelsbergite crystallized late compared to the earliest
418 generation of uranium oxide. The age of primary deposition of uranium oxides in the Cigar Lake deposit is still
419 debated, due to the susceptibility of the U-Pb system in uranium oxide to disturbance under post-crystallization
420 conditions (Fayek et al., 2000; Alexandre and Kyser, 2005; Macmillan et al., 2016; Martz et al., 2019a), but the
421 $1341 - 1461$ Ma age range is commonly interpreted to date the primary U oxide deposition event (Philippe et al.,
422 1993; Fayek et al., 2002). Numerous U-Pb ages post-dating the first U deposition have been measured on uranium
423 oxides in the Cigar Lake U deposit, as well as at a broader scale for the different unconformity-related U deposits
424 of the Athabasca Basin. These ages cover a broad period of over a billion years, with different individualized
425 statistical peaks (Cumming and Krstic, 1992; Philippe et al., 1993; Fayek et al., 2002a; Fayek et al., 2002b;

426 Alexandre et al., 2009; Cloutier et al., 2009; Cloutier et al., 2011). Among these peaks, the 1250-1270 Ma period
427 is one of the most frequently defined within the Athabasca Basin. The similarity between the Re-Os age of
428 rammelsbergite, the U-Pb ages of secondary uranium oxides within the Athabasca U province, and the age of the
429 U oxides found within the WC530 rammelsbergite vein (figure 5) likely indicates that primary uranium oxides
430 were strongly hydrothermally remobilized/perturbed during the emplacement of the arsenides, sulfides and
431 sulpharsenides in these unconformity-related U deposits. Temporal distinction between the rammelsbergite and
432 initial U oxide emplacement observed in this work is also in agreement with recently observed discrepancies in
433 lateral distributions of U and Ni in a single pod of the Cigar Lake deposit (c.f. figure 2.21 of Kaczowka, 2017).

434 Another possibility is that the massive veins of rammelsbergite are secondary and do not represent rammelsbergite
435 disseminated in the U ores, which might instead be contemporaneous with primary U mineralization at ca. 1341
436 – 1461 Ma. Though we did not evaluate disseminated Ni arsenides in this study, such phases may have precipitated
437 in the same event as the primary U oxides but then have been mobilized as primary uranium oxides in a subsequent
438 1239 Ma event, which reset the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os system and crystallized massive rammelsbergite veins. This scenario
439 is however not supported by the near-zero initial $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os} = 0.8 \pm 6.6$ observed for the massive rammelsbergite
440 (Fig. 6B). If the massive rammelsbergite veins were indeed formed by dissolution and remobilization of primary
441 Ni arsenides, radiogenic initial Os is expected because in the intervening 100-220 Ma period the hypothetical
442 primary Ni arsenides would acquire significant amounts of ^{187}Os by radiogenic ingrowth. We cannot completely
443 exclude a secondary origin for the massive rammelsbergite, because near-zero initial $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ could
444 conceivably be explained by (i) elevated uncertainty on the intercept as a result of geological scatter, or (ii) an
445 absence of Re in the hypothetical primary Ni arsenides, which would then have been introduced into the system
446 only at 1239 Ma, or (iii) a potentially high distribution coefficient for Os in the fluid-mineral system, preventing
447 any radiogenic Os from entering the rammelsbergite lattice. However in the absence of any evidence supporting
448 these suggestions, the contemporaneous emplacement of U and Ni arsenides in the Cigar Lake deposit must be
449 viewed as hypothetical.

450 Despite the elevated uncertainty on the ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os age found for Cigar Lake rammelsbergite, our data strongly
451 suggest that the endowment of Ni, Co, S and As in unconformity-related U deposits of the Athabasca Basin is
452 linked to the Mesoproterozoic magmatic event responsible for the emplacement at 1269 Ma of the Mackenzie
453 large igneous province (LIP) over a vast area of northern Canada and Russia (LeCheminant and Heaman, 1989).
454 The Mackenzie LIP is represented by the mafic-ultramafic layered Muskox intrusion (1269 ± 2 Ma, Mackie et al.,
455 2009) and the Coppermine basalts ($1263 +20/-16$ Ma, Day et al., 2013). Both are located at the focal point of the

456 related giant swarm of Mackenzie diabase dikes (1267 ± 2 Ma to 1268.5 ± 1.5 Ma, LeCheminant and Heaman,
457 1989; Schwab et al., 2004), which radiate outwards over at least 2400 km, reaching the Athabasca Basin (Mackie
458 et al., 2009). The focal point of the dike swarm is located approximately 1100 km north east of the Cigar Lake
459 deposit and several mafic dykes have been described as crosscutting the Athabasca Basin and the U mineralization,
460 for example at the Midwest and Centennial deposits (Alexandre et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2014). Our new ^{187}Re -
461 ^{187}Os age of $1239 \pm 33/-20$ Ma for the rammelsbergite of the Cigar Lake U deposit demonstrates that nickel
462 arsenide veins in the uranium deposit were either emplaced at the same time as the mafic dikes, or formed several
463 millions to tens of millions of years after their emplacement, by fluid mobilization of elements (Ni, Co, As) derived
464 from a probable mafic source. Presumably, the Mackenzie event provided both the material, in the form of Ni-As
465 rich magmas, and potentially the energy needed for hydrothermal activity to transport the metals to the Athabasca
466 Basin, where they are redeposited in the form of arsenides, sulfides and sulfarsenides in the uranium deposits. In
467 this context Ni-Co-As mineral precipitation seems to be dependent on structural controls and reducing
468 environments, likely resulting from hydrogen produced by the radiolysis of water, similar to those that drove
469 earlier U reduction and deposition (Dargent et al., 2015; Truche et al., 2018) because there are no occurrences of
470 Ni-Co-As independent from U deposits in the Athabasca Basin. The Mackenzie event thus deeply modified the
471 initial characteristics of the unconformity-related U deposits, being likely responsible for remobilisation of the
472 primary stage 1 uranium oxides in the deposit, with partial to total resetting of the U-Pb uraninite age and
473 formation of stage 2 uranium oxides (as well as the U oxides found within the WC530 rammelsbergite vein), and
474 for massive deposition of nickel, cobalt, sulfur and arsenic in the form of arsenides, sulphides and sulfarsenides.

475 The compositional spectrum of unconformity-related U deposits in the Athabasca Basin is currently described in
476 terms of monometallic and polymetallic genetic models, on the basis of associated metals. Polymetallic ores, like
477 that of the Cigar Lake U deposit, are characterized by anomalous concentrations of sulphides, sulpharsenides and
478 arsenides containing significant amounts of Ni, Co, S, As, Cu, Pb, Zn and Mo, whereas monometallic ores, like
479 that of the McArthur U deposit, contain only traces of metals other than U (Jefferson et al., 2007; Kyser and
480 Cuney, 2015). Such mineralogical differences have been explained until now using two end-member genetic
481 models for the unconformity-related U deposits in the Athabasca Basin, one for monometallic deposits and one
482 for polymetallic deposits (Hoeve and Sibbald, 1978; Fayek and Kyser, 1997; Quirt, 2003; Jefferson et al., 2007;
483 Kyser and Cuney, 2015). The major differences between these two end-member models is the involvement of a
484 basement-derived reduced fluid to explain both U deposition and the source of Ni, Co, S, As, Cu and Zn in
485 polymetallic deposits. Such a fluid is not considered to have been involved in monometallic deposits, which

486 instead are thought to have formed from oxidized and U-bearing basinal brines flowing into basement-rooted
487 structures and interacting with reduced minerals (Hoeve and Sibbald, 1978; Kotzer and Kyser, 1995; Fayek and
488 Kyser, 1997; Jefferson et al., 2007; Kyser and Cuney, 2015). These two genetic models which have guided the
489 exploration of unconformity-related U deposits for years are based on the postulate that the U, Ni, Co, S and As
490 (\pm Zn, Cu) are introduced simultaneously into the deposits. The clear differences in the timing of the initial
491 deposition of the uranium and of the Ni-Co in the Athabasca Basin calls for reconsideration of the existing models.
492 Our results suggest that the presence of nickel and cobalt, as well as associated elements such as S and As, in
493 unconformity-related U deposits is directly linked to the local involvement of mafic dykes of MacKenzie age in
494 the vicinity of some of these U deposits, including the Cigar Lake, Midwest and Centennial deposits. These dykes
495 favoured specific and localized circulations of Ni- and Co-rich fluids at ca. 1250-1270 Ma leading to
496 crystallization of arsenides, sulphides and sulfarsenides in the reduced environment of the uranium deposits and
497 the perturbation/recrystallization of the uranium oxides. This scenario explains the formation of the polymetallic
498 deposits. The U deposits of the Athabasca Basin in which geological and structural conditions have not allowed
499 the local intrusion of dykes and related fluid circulation at ca. 1250-1270 Ma are not enriched in sulphides,
500 sulfarsenides or arsenides, and have thus remained monometallic.

501 **6. Summary and conclusions**

502 This work presents the first tests of Re-Os dating on rammelsbergite (NiAs_2), a rare mineral at a global scale but
503 often present in ore deposits worldwide in association with other arsenides, sulphides and sulfarsenides. This study
504 is one of the first published on the Re-Os dating of arsenides, minerals that may be of future economic interest
505 due to their content in nickel or cobalt. The suitability of the Re-Os chronometer for dating rammelsbergite is
506 demonstrated here for the unconformity-related uranium deposits of the Athabasca Basin (Canada), using the
507 example of the world-class Cigar Lake deposit. The massive rammelsbergite veins found in this deposit display
508 significant (up to $1.7 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) and variable Re contents, coupled with a near absence of common Os, making this
509 mineral an ideal candidate for ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os dating, by both isochron and model age methods, comparable in this
510 respect to molybdenite. Similarity of the Re-Os age of the rammelsbergite and the U-Pb age of the accessory
511 uranium oxide grains found inside one of the massive rammelsbergite veins confirms the applicability of the
512 method. Furthermore, the Re-Os isotopic system appears to be resistant to post-crystallization perturbation. The
513 ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os isotope system applied to rammelsbergites from various economically important ore deposits
514 throughout the world may thus serve as a powerful dating tool. This study demonstrates that the Re-Os

515 chronometer can be applied to rammelsbergite in ore deposits where molybdenite is rare or absent, and suggests
516 that the pertinence of this system should also be evaluated in other arsenide minerals.

517 For the case of unconformity-related U deposits, rammelsbergite from the Cigar Lake deposit demonstrates the
518 potential of new ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os mineral proxies used in conjunction with traditional chronometers, to challenge long-
519 established petrogenetic deposit models and to advance our understanding of the distribution of critical mineral
520 resources. The $1239 \pm 33/-20$ Ma age calculated for the crystallization of the rammelsbergite in the Cigar Lake
521 uranium deposit provides new first-order constraints on the formation and evolution of unconformity-related U
522 deposits, and argues for a profound reconsideration of existing genetic models. This result first demonstrates that
523 the massive precipitation of arsenides, sulphides and sulpharsenides post-dates the deposition of primary uranium
524 oxides (1341 – 1461 Ma) and that the endowment of Ni-Co in the Athabasca Basin was disconnected in time from
525 that of U. The similarity between the age of the rammelsbergite and a secondary age peak including many uranium
526 oxides in Athabascan unconformity-related U deposits indicates that the primary uranium oxides were strongly
527 perturbed and/or remobilized when rammelsbergite and related minerals crystallized. The massive emplacement
528 of rammelsbergite is most probably genetically linked to the 1269 Ma Mesoproterozoic magmatic Mackenzie
529 event. Presumably, this event activated regional hydrothermal activity, resulting in partial mobilisation of primary
530 uranium oxides, resetting of the U-Pb system in the uraninite, mobilisation of Ni, Co and As from the ultramafic
531 materials, and their precipitation in the deposit adjacent to uranium oxides. In favourable structural contexts this
532 process led to the formation of the so-called “polymetallic deposits” of the Athabasca Basin. This study thus
533 suggests a plausible new mechanism for the emplacement of nickel and cobalt in unconformity-related U deposits
534 and represents a first step towards defining a new genetic model for these world-class deposits.

535

536 **7. Acknowledgments**

537 S.Ch. acknowledges his postdoctoral fellowship grant provided by CREGU (Centre de Recherches sur la Géologie
538 des Matières Premières Minérales et Energétiques), a subsidiary of ORANO and TOTAL. The authors thank
539 ORANO for the permission to publish these results, and CAMECO for logistical assistance during the sampling.
540 Christiane Parmentier and Aimery Schumacher (CRPG Nancy) are acknowledged for their kind help with the
541 clean laboratory and mass-spectrometry setup. J.M. would like to thank Mathias Burisch (TUB Freiberg) for his
542 help referencing the occurrences of arsenides. The executive editor Jeffrey Catalano, Holly Stein and two

543 anonymous reviewers are acknowledged for their useful comments, which helped to improve the quality of this
544 manuscript.

545 **References**

546 Alexandre P., Kyser K., Jiricka D. and Witt G. (2012) Formation and Evolution of the Centennial
547 Unconformity-Related Uranium Deposit in the South-Central Athabasca Basin, Canada. *Econ. Geol.* **107**,
548 385–400. Available at: <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/107/3/385-400/128352>
549 [Accessed May 19, 2019].

550 Alexandre P., Kyser K., Thomas D., Polito P. and Marlat J. (2009) Geochronology of unconformity-related
551 uranium deposits in the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, Canada and their integration in the evolution of
552 the basin. *Miner. Depos.* **44**, 41–59. Available at: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00126-007-0153-3>
553 [Accessed May 2, 2019].

554 Alexandre P. and Kyser T. K. (2005) Effects of cationic substitutions and alteration in uraninite, and
555 implications for the dating of uranium deposits. *Can. Mineral.* **43**, 1005–1017. Available at:
556 <http://www.canmin.org/cgi/doi/10.2113/gscanmin.43.3.1005> [Accessed May 2, 2019].

557 Annesley I. R., Madore C. and Bonli T. (2000) Uraninite-bearing Granitic Pegmatite, Moore Lakes,
558 Saskatchewan: Petrology and U-Th-Pb Chemical Ages. *Summ. Investig. Saskatchewan Energy Mines,*
559 *Saskatchewan Geol. Surv.* **2**, 201–211.

560 Annesley I. R., Madore C. and Portella P. (2005) Geology and thermotectonic evolution of the western margin
561 of the Trans-Hudson Orogen: evidence from the eastern sub-Athabasca basement, Saskatchewan. *Can. J.*
562 *Earth Sci.* **42**, 573–597. Available at: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/e05-034> [Accessed
563 May 18, 2018].

564 Arne D. C., Bierlin F. P., Morgan J. W. and Stein H. J. (2001) Re-Os dating of sulfides associated with gold
565 mineralization in Central Victoria, Australia. *Econ. Geol.* **96**, 1455–1459. Available at:
566 <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/96/6/1455-1459/22109> [Accessed December
567 11, 2019].

568 Bagheri H. (2015) Crustal lineament control on mineralization in the Anarak area of Central Iran. *Ore Geol.*
569 *Rev.* **66**, 293–308. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2014.10.028>.

570 Birck J. L., Barman M. R. and Capmas F. (1997) Re-Os Isotopic Measurements at the Femtomole Level in

571 Natural Samples. *Geostand. Geoanalytical Res.* **21**, 19–27. Available at:
572 <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1751-908X.1997.tb00528.x>.

573 Bortnikova S., Bessonova E. and Gaskova O. (2012) Geochemistry of arsenic and metals in stored tailings of a
574 Co–Ni arsenide-ore, Khovu-Aksy area, Russia. *Appl. Geochemistry* **27**, 2238–2250. Available at:
575 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.02.033>.

576 Bruneton P. (1993) Geological environment of the Cigar Lake uranium deposit. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **30**, 653–673.
577 Available at: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/e93-054>.

578 Burisch M., Gerdes A., Walter B. F., Neumann U., Fettel M. and Markl G. (2017) Methane and the origin of
579 five-element veins: Mineralogy, age, fluid inclusion chemistry and ore forming processes in the
580 Odenwald, SW Germany. *Ore Geol. Rev.* **81**, 42–61. Available at:
581 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169136816303675> [Accessed May 8, 2019].

582 Cameco (2016) Reserves and Resources. Available at:
583 <https://www.cameco.com/businesses/uraniumoperations/Canada/cigar-lake/reserves-resources>.

584 Carl C., Pechmann E. von, Höhndorf A. and Ruhrmann G. (1992) Mineralogy and U/Pb, Pb/Pb, and Sm/Nd
585 geochronology of the Key Lake uranium deposit, Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, Canada. *Can. J. Earth*
586 *Sci.* **29**, 879–895. Available at: [http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/e92-075#.VN4lzPmG-](http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/e92-075#.VN4lzPmGLE)
587 [LE](http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/e92-075#.VN4lzPmGLE).

588 Changkakoti A. and Morton R. D. (1986) Electron microprobe analyses of native silver and associated arsenides
589 from the Great Bear Lake silver deposits, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **23**, 1470–
590 1479. Available at: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/e86-142#.WwfVXSvKFD9>.

591 Cloutier J., Kyser K., Olivo G. R., Alexandre P. and Halaburda J. (2009) The Millennium Uranium Deposit,
592 Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, Canada: An Atypical Basement-Hosted Unconformity-Related Uranium
593 Deposit. *Econ. Geol.* **104**, 815–840. Available at:
594 <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/104/6/815-840/128121> [Accessed May 2,
595 2019].

596 Cloutier J., Kyser K., Olivo G. R. and Brisbin D. (2011) Geochemical, isotopic, and geochronologic constraints
597 on the formation of the Eagle Point basement-hosted uranium deposit, Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan,
598 Canada and recent remobilization of primary uraninite in secondary structures. *Miner. Depos.* **46**, 35–56.
599 Available at: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00126-010-0308-5> [Accessed May 2, 2019].

600 Creaser R. A., Papanastassiou D. A. and Wasserburg G. J. (1991) Negative thermal ion mass spectrometry of
601 osmium, rhenium and iridium. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **55**, 397–401. Available at:
602 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0016703791904277> [Accessed May 16, 2018].

603 Cumming G. L. and Krstic D. (1992) The age of unconformity-related uranium mineralization in the Athabasca
604 Basin, northern Saskatchewan. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **29**, 1623–1639. Available at:
605 <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/e92-128> [Accessed May 2, 2019].

606 Dargent M., Truche L., Dubessy J., Bessaque G. and Marmier H. (2015) Reduction kinetics of aqueous U(VI) in
607 acidic chloride brines to uraninite by methane, hydrogen or C-graphite under hydrothermal conditions:
608 Implications for the genesis of unconformity-related uranium ore deposits. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*
609 **167**, 11–26. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S001670371500410X>
610 [Accessed December 11, 2019].

611 Day J. M. D., Pearson D. G. and Hulbert L. J. (2013) Highly siderophile element behaviour during flood basalt
612 genesis and evidence for melts from intrusive chromitite formation in the Mackenzie large igneous
613 province. *Lithos* **182–183**, 242–258. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.10.011>.

614 Deng X.-D., Luo T., Li J.-W. and Hu Z.-C. (2019) Direct dating of hydrothermal tungsten mineralization using
615 in situ wolframite U–Pb chronology by laser ablation ICP-MS. *Chem. Geol.* **515**, 94–104. Available at:
616 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0009254119301688> [Accessed May 19, 2019].

617 Derome D., Cathelineau M., Cuney M., Fabre C., Lhomme T. and Banks D. A. (2005) Mixing of Sodic and
618 Calcic Brines and Uranium Deposition at McArthur River, Saskatchewan, Canada: A Raman and Laser-
619 Induced Breakdown Spectroscopic Study of Fluid Inclusions. *Econ. Geol.* **100**, 1529–1545. Available at:
620 <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/100/8/1529-1545/127679> [Accessed April 25,
621 2019].

622 Fayek M., Burns P., Guo Y.-X. and Ewing R. C. (2000) Micro-structures associated with uraninite alteration. *J.*
623 *Nucl. Mater.* **277**, 204–210. Available at:
624 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022311599001993> [Accessed May 2, 2019].

625 Fayek M., Harrison T. M., Ewing R. C., Grove M. and Coath C. D. (2002a) O and Pb isotopic analyses of
626 uranium minerals by ion microprobe and U-Pb ages from the Cigar Lake deposit. *Chem. Geol.* **185**, 205–
627 225.

628 Fayek M., Kyser T. K. and Riciputi L. R. (2002b) U and Pb isotope analysis of uranium minerals by ion

629 microprobe and the geochronology of the McArthur river and Sue Zone uranium deposits, Saskatchewan,
630 Canada. *Can. Mineral.* **40**, 1553–1570. Available at:
631 <http://www.canmin.org/cgi/doi/10.2113/gscanmin.40.6.1553> [Accessed May 2, 2019].

632 Forster H.-J., Rhede D. and Tischendorf G. (2004) Mineralogy of the Niederschlema Alberoda U Se
633 polymetallic deposit, Erzgebirge, Germany. I. Jolliffeite, NiAsSe, the rare Se-dominant analogue of
634 gersdorffite. *Can. Mineral.* **42**, 841–849. Available at:
635 <http://www.canmin.org/cgi/doi/10.2113/gscanmin.42.3.841>.

636 Fryer B. J. and Taylor R. P. (1984) Sm-Nd direct dating of the Collins Bay hydrothermal uranium deposit,
637 Saskatchewan. *Geology* **12**, 479–482. Available at: <http://geology.gsapubs.org/content/12/8/479.short>.

638 Gritsenko Y. D. and Spiridonov E. M. (2008) Maucherite from metamorphic-hydrothermal assemblages of the
639 Noril'sk ore field. *Geol. Ore Depos.* **50**, 590–598. Available at:
640 <http://link.springer.com/10.1134/S1075701508070106>.

641 Harlaux M., Romer R. L., Mercadier J., Morlot C., Marignac C. and Cuney M. (2018) 40 Ma of hydrothermal
642 W mineralization during the Variscan orogenic evolution of the French Massif Central revealed by U-Pb
643 dating of wolframite. *Miner. Depos.* **53**, 21–51. Available at: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00126-](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00126-017-0721-0)
644 [017-0721-0](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00126-017-0721-0) [Accessed April 25, 2019].

645 Jefferson C. W., Thomas D. J., Gandhi S. S., Ramaekers P., Delaney G., Brisbin D., Cutts C., Quirt D., Portella
646 P. and Olson R. A. (2007) Unconformity-associated uranium deposits of the Athabasca Basin,
647 Saskatchewan and Alberta. In *EXTECH IV: Geology and Uranium Exploration Technology of the*
648 *Protoproterozoic Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan and Alberta* (eds. C. W. Jefferson and G. Delaney).
649 Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin. pp. 23–67.

650 Kaczowka A. J. (2017) Geometallurgical and geological evaluation of the high-grade polymetallic
651 unconformity-related Cigar Lake uranium deposit. Queen's University Kingston, Ontario, Canada.

652 Kotzer T. G. and Kyser T. K. (1995) Petrogenesis of the Proterozoic Athabasca Basin, northern Saskatchewan,
653 Canada, and its relation to diagenesis, hydrothermal uranium mineralization and paleohydrogeology.
654 *Chem. Geol.* **120**, 45–89. Available at:
655 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000925419400114N> [Accessed May 18, 2018].

656 Kyser K. and Cuney M. (2015) *The Geology and Geochemistry of Uranium and Thorium Deposits.*,
657 Mineralogical Association of Canada, Montreal.

- 658 LeCheminant A. N. and Heaman L. M. (1989) Mackenzie igneous events, Canada: Middle Proterozoic hotspot
659 magmatism associated with ocean opening. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **96**, 38–48.
- 660 Legros H., Mercadier J., Villeneuve J., Romer R. L., Deloule E., Van Lichtervelde M., Dewaele S., Lach P., Che
661 X.-D., Wang R.-C., Zhu Z.-Y., Gloaguen E. and Melleton J. (2019) U-Pb isotopic dating of columbite-
662 tantalite minerals: Development of reference materials and in situ applications by ion microprobe. *Chem.*
663 *Geol.* **512**, 69–84. Available at:
664 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0009254119300993> [Accessed May 19, 2019].
- 665 Ludwig K. R. (2008) User's manual for Isoplot 3.70. *Berkeley Geochronol. Cent. Spec. Publ. No.4*.
- 666 Mackie R. A., Scoates J. S. and Weis D. (2009) Age and Nd-Hf isotopic constraints on the origin of marginal
667 rocks from the Muskox layered intrusion (Nunavut, Canada) and implications for the evolution of the 1.27
668 Ga Mackenzie large igneous province. *Precambrian Res.* **172**, 46–66.
- 669 Macmillan E., Ciobanu C. L., Ehrig K., Cook N. J. and Pring A. (2016) Chemical zoning and lattice distortion
670 in uraninite from Olympic Dam, South Australia. *Am. Mineral.* **101**, 2351–2354. Available at:
671 <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/ammin/article/101/10/2351-2354/298305>.
- 672 Markey R., Stein H. J., Hannah J. L., Zimmerman A., Selby D. and Creaser R. A. (2007) Standardizing Re–Os
673 geochronology: A new molybdenite Reference Material (Henderson, USA) and the stoichiometry of Os
674 salts. *Chem. Geol.* **244**, 74–87. Available at:
675 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009254107002513> [Accessed August 7, 2018].
- 676 Martz P., Cathelineau M., Mercadier J., Boiron M. C., Jaguin J., Tarantola A., Demacon M., Gerbeaud O., Quirt
677 D., Doney A. and Ledru P. (2017) C-O-H-N fluids circulations and graphite precipitation in reactivated
678 Hudsonian shear zones during basement uplift of the Wollaston-Mudjatik Transition Zone: Example of
679 the Cigar Lake U deposit. *Lithos* **294–295**, 222–245. Available at:
680 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2017.10.001>.
- 681 Martz P., Mercadier J., Cathelineau M., Boiron M.-C., Quirt D., Doney A., Gerbeaud O., De Wally E. and
682 Ledru P. (2019a) Formation of U-rich mineralizing fluids through basinal brine migration within
683 basement-hosted shear zones: A large-scale study of the fluid chemistry around the unconformity-related
684 Cigar Lake U deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). *Chem. Geol.* **508**, 116–143. Available at:
685 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009254118302985> [Accessed April 25, 2019].
- 686 Martz P., Mercadier J., Perret J., Villeneuve J., Deloule E., Cathelineau M., Quirt D., Doney A. and Ledru P.

687 (2019b) Post-crystallization alteration of natural uraninites: Implications for dating, tracing, and nuclear
688 forensics. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **249**, 138–159. Available at:
689 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703719300493> [Accessed May 19, 2019].

690 Mercadier J., Richard A., Boiron M.-C., Cathelineau M. and Cuney M. (2010) Migration of brines in the
691 basement rocks of the Athabasca Basin through microfracture networks (P-Patch U deposit, Canada).
692 *Lithos* **115**, 121–136. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024493709004666>
693 [Accessed April 25, 2019].

694 Le Mignot E., Siebenaller L., Béziat D., André-Mayer A.-S., Reisberg L., Salvi S., Velasquez G., Zimmermann
695 C., Naré A. and Franceschi G. (2017) The Paleoproterozoic Copper-Gold Deposits of the Gaoua District,
696 Burkina Faso: Superposition of Orogenic Gold on a Porphyry Copper Occurrence? *Econ. Geol.* **112**, 99–
697 122. Available at: <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/112/1/99-122/152614>.

698 Mikulski S. Z., Markey R. J. and Stein H. J. (2005) Re-Os ages for auriferous sulfides from the gold deposits in
699 the Kaczawa Mountains (SW Poland). In *Mineral Deposit Research: Meeting the Global Challenge*
700 Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. pp. 793–796. Available at:
701 http://link.springer.com/10.1007/3-540-27946-6_202 [Accessed December 11, 2019].

702 Morelli R., Creaser R. A., Seltmann R., Stuart F. M., Selby D. and Graupner T. (2007) Age and source
703 constraints for the giant Muruntau gold deposit, Uzbekistan, from coupled Re-Os-He isotopes in
704 arsenopyrite. *Geology* **35**, 795. Available at: [https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/geology/article/35/9/795-
705 798/129960](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/geology/article/35/9/795-798/129960) [Accessed December 11, 2019].

706 Morelli R. M., Creaser R. A., Selby D., Kontak D. J. and Horne R. J. (2005) Rhenium-Osmium Geochronology
707 of Arsenopyrite in Meguma Group Gold Deposits, Meguma Terrane, Nova Scotia, Canada: Evidence for
708 Multiple Gold-Mineralizing Events. *Econ. Geol.* **100**, 1229–1242. Available at:
709 <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/100/6/1229-1242/127654> [Accessed December
710 11, 2019].

711 Oen I. S. (1973) A peculiar type of Cr-Ni-mineralization; cordierite-chromite-niccolite ores of Malaga, Spain,
712 and their possible origin by liquid unmixing. *Econ. Geol.* **68**, 831–842. Available at:
713 [http://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/68/6/831/18431/A-peculiar-type-of-
714 CrNi-mineralization](http://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/68/6/831/18431/A-peculiar-type-of-CrNi-mineralization).

715 Oen I. S., Burke E. A. J. and Kieft C. (1977) Westerveldite from Igdhlnuaq, Ilimanguaq alkaline massif,

716 South Greenland. *Mineral. Mag.* **41**, 77–83.

717 Ondrus P., Veselovsky F., Gabasova A., Drabek M., Dobes P., Maly K., Hlousek J. and Sejkora J. (2003) Ore-
718 forming processes and mineral parageneses of the Jachymov ore district. *J. Czech Geol. Soc.* **48**, 157–192.
719 Available at:
720 <http://www.jgeosci.org/index.php?pg=detail&ID=JCGS.949%5Cnhttp://www.jgeosci.org/content/JCGS2>
721 [003_3-4__ondrus4.pdf](http://www.jgeosci.org/content/JCGS2003_3-4__ondrus4.pdf).

722 Pacquet A. and Weber F. (1993) Pétrographie et minéralogie des halos d’altération autour du gisement de Cigar
723 Lake et leurs relations avec les minéralisations. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **30**, 674–688. Available at:
724 <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/e93-055> [Accessed April 25, 2019].

725 Pagel M. (1975) Détermination des conditions physico-chimiques de la silicification diagenétique des grès
726 Athabasca (Canada) au moyen des inclusions fluides. *Comptes Rendus Académie Sci. Paris* **280**, 2301–
727 2304.

728 Petruk W. (1971) Mineralogical characteristics of the deposits and textures of the ore minerals. *Can. Mineral.*
729 **11**, 108–139. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/>.

730 Philippe S., Lancelot J. R., Clauer N. and Pacquet A. (1993) Formation and evolution of the Cigar Lake uranium
731 deposit based on U- Pb and K-Ar isotope systematics. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **30**, 720–730.

732 Raič S., Mogessie A., Benkó Z., Molnár F., Hauck S. and Severson M. (2015) Arsenic-rich Cu-Ni-PGE
733 mineralization in Werlegs, Duluth complex, St. Louis County, Minnesota, USA. *Can. Mineral.* **53**, 105–
734 132.

735 Reid K. D., Ansdell K., Jiricka D., Witt G. and Card C. (2014) Regional Setting, Geology, and Paragenesis of
736 the Centennial Unconformity-Related Uranium Deposit, Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, Canada. *Econ.*
737 *Geol.* **109**, 539–566. Available at: [https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/109/3/539-](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/109/3/539-566/128570)
738 [566/128570](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/109/3/539-566/128570) [Accessed May 19, 2019].

739 Reyx J. and Rulhmann F. (1993) Etude metallographique des différentes associations minérales et
740 caractérisation chimique des minéraux uranifères du gisement de Cigar Lake (Saskatchewan, Canada).
741 *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **30**, 705–719.

742 Richard A., Cathelineau M., Boiron M.-C., Mercadier J., Banks D. A. and Cuney M. (2016) Metal-rich fluid
743 inclusions provide new insights into unconformity-related U deposits (Athabasca Basin and Basement,

744 Canada). *Miner. Depos.* **51**, 249–270. Available at: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00126-015-0601-4>
745 [Accessed April 25, 2019].

746 Rock N. M. . and Duffy T. . (1986) REGRES: A FORTRAN-77 program to calculate nonparametric and
747 “structural” parametric solutions to bivariate regression equations. *Comput. Geosci.* **12**, 807–818.
748 Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0098300486900312> [Accessed June 15,
749 2018].

750 Rosner B. (1983) Percentage points for a generalized ESD many-outlier procedure. *Technometrics* **25**, 165–172.

751 Saintilan N. J., Creaser R. A., Spry P. G. and Hnatyshin D. (2017) Re-Os Systematics of löllingite and
752 arsenopyrite in granulite-facies garnet rocks: Insights into the metamorphic history and thermal evolution
753 of the Broken Hill Block during the early mesoproterozoic (New South Wales, Australia). *Can. Mineral.*
754 **55**, 29–44.

755 Schwab D. L., Thorkelson D. J., Mortensen J. K., Creaser R. A. and Abbott J. G. (2004) The Bear River dykes
756 (1265–1269 Ma): westward continuation of the Mackenzie dyke swarm into Yukon, Canada. *Precambrian*
757 *Res.* **133**, 175–186. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301926804000890>
758 [Accessed June 14, 2018].

759 Smoliar M. I., Walker R. J. and Morgan J. W. (1996) Re-Os Ages of Group IIA, IIIA, IVA, and IVB Iron
760 Meteorites. *Science (80-.)*. **271**, 1099–1102. Available at:
761 <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/271/5252/1099.short> [Accessed August 8, 2014].

762 Stein H. J. and Hannah J. L. (2014) Tiny Molybdenites Tell Diffusion Tales. In *American Geophysical Union,*
763 *Fall Meeting 2014* pp. V33A-4837. Available at: <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014AGUFM.V33A4837S>
764 [Accessed August 10, 2018].

765 Stein H. J., Markey R. J., Morgan J. W., Hannah J. L. and Schersten A. (2001) The remarkable Re-Os
766 chronometer in molybdenite: how and why it works. *Terra Nov.* **13**, 479–486. Available at:
767 <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1365-3121.2001.00395.x> [Accessed August 8, 2018].

768 Stein H. J., Morgan J. W. and Schersten A. (2000) Re-Os Dating of Low-Level Highly Radiogenic (LLHR)
769 Sulfides: The Harnas Gold Deposit, Southwest Sweden, Records Continental-Scale Tectonic Events. *Econ.*
770 *Geol.* **95**, 1657–1671. Available at: [https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/95/8/1657-](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/95/8/1657-1671/22042)
771 [1671/22042](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/economicgeology/article/95/8/1657-1671/22042).

772 Takahashi Y., Uruga T., Suzuki K., Tanida H., Terada Y. and Hattori K. H. (2007) An atomic level study of
773 rhenium and radiogenic osmium in molybdenite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **71**, 5180–5190. Available
774 at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703707004619> [Accessed August 10, 2018].

775 Theil H. (1992) A Rank-Invariant Method of Linear and Polynomial Regression Analysis. In *Henri Theil's*
776 *Contributions to Economics and Econometrics. Advanced Studies in Theoretical and Applied*
777 *Econometrics* Springer, Dordrecht. pp. 345–381. Available at:
778 http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/978-94-011-2546-8_20 [Accessed June 15, 2018].

779 Truche L., Joubert G., Dargent M., Martz P., Cathelineau M., Rigaudier T. and Quirt D. (2018) Clay minerals
780 trap hydrogen in the Earth's crust: Evidence from the Cigar Lake uranium deposit, Athabasca. *Earth*
781 *Planet. Sci. Lett.* **493**, 186–197. Available at:
782 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X18302413> [Accessed January 8, 2020].

783 Völkening J., Walczyk T. and G. Heumann K. (1991) Osmium isotope ratio determinations by negative thermal
784 ionization mass spectrometry. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Process.* **105**, 147–159. Available at:
785 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/016811769180077Z> [Accessed May 16, 2018].

786 Vugrinovich R. G. (1981) A distribution-free alternative to least-squares regression and its application to Rb/Sr
787 isochron calculations. *J. Int. Assoc. Math. Geol.* **13**, 443–454. Available at:
788 <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF01079647> [Accessed June 15, 2018].

789 York D. (1966) Least-squares fitting of a straight line. *Can. J. Phys.* **44**, 1079–1086. Available at:
790 <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/p66-090> [Accessed December 8, 2014].

791

		S wt %	Co wt %	Ni wt %	As wt %
WC287 rammelsbergite (420.7 m)	#1	<0.07	0.89 ± 0.11	28.51 ± 0.61	71.4 ± 1.4
	#2	<0.08	1.02 ± 0.12	27.97 ± 0.60	71.1 ± 1.4
	#3	<0.08	0.79 ± 0.11	28.01 ± 0.60	70.76 ± 1.4
	#4	<0.08	0.45 ± 0.10	27.92 ± 0.60	71.3 ± 1.4
	#5	<0.08	0.39 ± 0.10	27.98 ± 0.60	71.2 ± 1.4
	#6	<0.07	0.82 ± 0.11	27.83 ± 0.60	71.1 ± 1.4
	#7	<0.08	0.26 ± 0.09	28.04 ± 0.60	71.3 ± 1.4
	#8	<0.09	0.38 ± 0.09	28.48 ± 0.61	71.4 ± 1.4
	#9	<0.09	0.50 ± 0.10	28.52 ± 0.61	71.3 ± 1.4
	#10	<0.08	0.88 ± 0.12	27.65 ± 0.59	71.0 ± 1.4
<i>average 420.7 m</i>	-	<i>0.64 ± 0.27</i>	<i>28.09 ± 0.31</i>	<i>71.2 ± 0.21</i>	
WC287 rammelsbergite (420.1 m)	#11	<0.09	1.05 ± 0.12	27.36 ± 0.59	71.3 ± 1.4
	#12	0.08 ± 0.07	1.72 ± 0.14	27.33 ± 0.59	71.1 ± 1.4
	#13	<0.09	1.24 ± 0.12	27.22 ± 0.59	71.3 ± 1.4
	#14	<0.08	0.88 ± 0.12	27.16 ± 0.59	71.4 ± 1.4
	#15	0.08 ± 0.08	1.00 ± 0.12	27.05 ± 0.58	71.0 ± 1.4
	#16	0.09 ± 0.07	1.00 ± 0.12	27.25 ± 0.59	71.2 ± 1.4
	#17	<0.07	4.85 ± 0.21	23.04 ± 0.53	71.0 ± 1.4
	#18	<0.09	1.50 ± 0.13	27.13 ± 0.59	71.2 ± 1.4
	#19	<0.09	1.03 ± 0.12	26.66 ± 0.58	71.3 ± 1.4
	#20	<0.08	1.01 ± 0.12	26.72 ± 0.58	71.3 ± 1.4
<i>average 420.1 m</i>	-	<i>1.53 ± 1.2</i>	<i>26.69 ± 1.3</i>	<i>71.2 ± 0.14</i>	

793 **Table 1** Results of EMPA measurements of the rammelsbergite from 420.1 and 420.7 m depth levels (WC287
794 drill hole, Cigar Lake U deposit, Saskatchewan, Canada). The locations of the analyses are shown in Figure 4.
795 Uncertainty represents one standard deviation. All measurements for Fe, Se, Sb, Bi, Cu and Mo are below
796 detection limits (0.08, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1 and 0.2 wt % respectively).

	Sample weight, mg	Blank contribution on $^{187}\text{Os}^*$ radiogenic, %	^{188}Os , pg g $^{-1}$	$^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$	$^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$	rho	$^{187}\text{Os}^*$ radiogenic, ng g $^{-1}$	Re, ng g $^{-1}$	$^{187}\text{Os}/^{187}\text{Re}$	Model age
WC530 (457.2m)	247	0.2	9.03 ± 0.17	94.0 ± 1.7	4443 ± 91	0.893	0.8465 ± 0.0077	63.48 ± 0.58	0.02112 ± 0.00020	1254 ± 12 Ma
WC287 (420.0m)	191	0.1	14.59 ± 0.22	169.8 ± 2.6	8110 ± 140	0.912	2.473 ± 0.010	187.1 ± 1.3	0.02092 ± 0.00014	1 242.6 ± 8.5 Ma
WC287 (420.1m) A	126	0.03	30.36 ± 0.33	431.5 ± 4.7	19590 ± 280	0.774	13.092 ± 0.016	941.2 ± 8.4	0.02201 ± 0.00010	1 307 ± 12 Ma
WC287 (420.1m) B	155	0.02	26.98 ± 0.98	554 ± 20	25910 ± 970	0.968	14.954 ± 0.027	1106 ± 10	0.02139 ± 0.00010	1 270 ± 12 Ma
WC287 (420.1m) C	140	0.03	29.54 ± 0.31	382.6 ± 4.0	18030 ± 200	0.921	11.295 ± 0.015	842.7 ± 3.7	0.021211 ± 0.000049	1 259.8 ± 5.8 Ma
WC287 (420.1m) D	141	0.04	8.90 ± 0.46	1038 ± 53	48600 ± 2500	0.997	9.231 ± 0.016	684.2 ± 2.4	0.021352 ± 0.000042	1 268.2 ± 5.0 Ma
WC287 (420.1m) E	107	0.02	36.36 ± 0.78	581 ± 12	28040 ± 610	0.976	21.129 ± 0.044	1613.2 ± 7.0	0.020727 ± 0.000050	1 231.4 ± 5.9 Ma
WC287 (420.1m) F	252	0.009	39.29 ± 0.73	565 ± 11	27330 ± 540	0.933	22.189 ± 0.040	1699 ± 12	0.020663 ± 0.000074	1 227.6 ± 8.8 Ma
WC287 (420.7m) A	139	0.05	4.68 ± 0.30	1690 ± 110	79300 ± 5100	0.993	7.895 ± 0.014	586.5 ± 4.4	0.021305 ± 0.000083	1 265.4 ± 9.8 Ma
WC287 (420.7m) B	136	0.04	8.26 ± 0.45	1077 ± 59	47300 ± 2600	0.998	8.892 ± 0.017	618.4 ± 2.2	0.022757 ± 0.000046	1 350.6 ± 5.5 Ma
WC287 (420.7m) C	188	0.07	10.00 ± 0.69	392 ± 27	18400 ± 1300	0.999	3.921 ± 0.012	290.95 ± 0.91	0.021327 ± 0.000047	1 266.7 ± 5.6 Ma
WC287 (420.7m) D	106	0.03	4.30 ± 0.43	3440 ± 340	165000 ± 16000	0.999	14.812 ± 0.024	1123.7 ± 3.9	0.020862 ± 0.000040	1 239.3 ± 4.8 Ma
WC287 (420.7m) E	143	0.05	5.63 ± 0.37	1227 ± 82	58400 ± 3900	0.997	6.904 ± 0.015	520.5 ± 2.4	0.020992 ± 0.000054	1 246.9 ± 6.4 Ma
Henderson a	73	0.2	3.6 ± 2.4	920 ± 610	2000000 ± 1300000	0.9999	3.350 ± 0.027	11280 ± 100	0.0004700 ± 0.0000028	28.21±0.34 Ma
Henderson b	118	0.1	2.2 ± 1.3	1490 ± 890	3200000 ± 1900000	0.9999	3.220 ± 0.018	10930 ± 110	0.0004663 ± 0.0000026	27.98±0.31 Ma

798 **Table 2.** ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os isotope data and model ages of rammelsbergite for the 13 selected fragments of rammelsbergite from Cigar Lake uranium deposit, Athabasca Basin


799 (Saskatchewan, Canada). $^{187}\text{Os}^*$ stands for radiogenic only ^{187}Os , corrected for a contribution of common Os, assumed to have a $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ratio of 0.5 ± 0.4 . All uncertainties

800 are 2SE. All data are blank corrected and uncertainties include the uncertainties on the blank value and on the assumed common Os isotopic composition.

802 **Figures**




804 **Fig. 1** The 417.4 – 421.6 m section of the WC287 drill core, Cigar Lake U deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). A)
805 Massive U oxide and rammelsbergite vein at 419.1 – 419.3 m depth. B) Association of massive U oxides and
806 rammelsbergite veins at 419.9-420.1 m. C) Rammelsbergite vein at 420.6-420.8 m depth. Red stars correspond to
807 the locations of the three samples analysed (420.0, 420.1 and 420.7). The bottom part of the figure shows an
808 expanded view of each of the three sampled intervals. The number given above each interval of 10 cm is the total
809 counts per second of radioactivity measured by a hand scintillometer. The last sample of a massive rammelsbergite
810 vein was taken from the WC530 drillhole in the Cigar Lake deposit area, at 457.2 m.



811

812 **Fig. 2** A) μ XRF element distribution intensity maps of the two rammelsbergite samples from 420.1 m (left) and
 813 420.7 m (right) depth levels of the WC287 drill core, Cigar Lake U deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). Arsenic K_{α}
 814 intensity distribution maps are shown on top, and Co K_{α} intensity distribution maps are presented on the bottom.
 815 The insert shows an expanded Co K_{α} intensity distribution map measured on a pure rammelsbergite area of sample
 816 WC287 420.1, free of visible clay contamination, which demonstrates an inhomogeneous distribution of Co within
 817 rammelsbergite. B) Micro X-ray tomography cross-sections of three different fragments of rammelsbergite from
 818 the WC287 drill hole before their dating by Re-Os analysis. The X-ray tomography demonstrates the purity of the
 819 dated fragments, which can be compared with an altered fragment of rammelsbergite compromised by silicates
 820 and gersdorffite (Gersd) from another drill hole at the Cigar Lake U deposit (CIG 259-1).




821

822 **Fig. 3** SEM-BSE images of the massive rammelsbergite vein material from the WC530 borehole, 457.2 m depth,

823 Cigar Lake deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). A – association of the rammelsbergite, gersdorffite and U oxide. B


824 – euhedral U oxide grains. The darker areas within the U oxide correspond to the Pb loss event (c.f. Figure 5).

825



826

827 **Fig. 4** EMPA maps and chemical analyses of 4 different locations for two samples (WC287 420.1 and
 828 WC287 420.7) of pure rammelsbergite from the WC287 drill core, Cigar Lake uranium deposit, Athabasca Basin
 829 (Saskatchewan, Canada). BSE images are shown to the left, and the areas corresponding to element maps (Co and
 830 Ni) are highlighted with yellow rectangles. Ni K_{α} intensity distribution maps are shown in the right column and
 831 quantitative Co element distribution maps (in wt.%) of the same region in the middle column. The numbered spots
 832 refer to the locations where quantitative *in situ* spot analyses were made (Table 1). To see this figure in colour,
 833 the reader is referred to the online version of the manuscript.




834

835 **Fig. 5** Wetherill's Concordia diagram for 15 U-Pb analyses measured for the uranium oxides crystallized within

836 the massive rammelsbergite vein, Cigar Lake deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada), sample WC530, 457.2 m (c.f.

837 Figure 3).

838



839

840 **Fig. 6 A** – ^{187}Re – ^{187}Os isochron plot for the 13 fragments of rammelsbergite from WC287 and WC530 drillholes,
 841 Cigar Lake U deposit, Athabasca Basin (Saskatchewan, Canada). The WC287 samples from 420, 420.1 and 420.7
 842 m are shown with green, yellow and blue diamonds respectively, and the WC530 sample with light blue. The
 843 insert in the upper left shows probability distribution histogram of the individual model ages, with a mean age of
 844 1257 ± 43 Ma (12 individual ages, WC287 420.7 B considered as outlier). Error bars represent the total 2SE
 845 analytical uncertainty due to the isotopic measurements and blank corrections (see text for details). The isochron
 846 shown with the solid line and corresponding error envelope represents a solution on 12 points using regression of

847 York (1966) excluding one outlier (WC287 420.7 B, marked in red), (calculated using model 1 of Isoplot 3.7
848 (Ludwig, 2008)). Due to the scatter of the data, which greatly exceeds that which can be explained by the assigned
849 analytical uncertainties (MSWD = 30), a robust regression solution (dashed line) is preferred. B – when presented
850 in $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os} - ^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ isochron space, the data provide similar crystallization ages within associated
851 uncertainties.

852 **Captions for tables and figures.**

853 **Table 1** Results of EMPA measurements of the rammelsbergite from 420.1 and 420.7 m depth levels (WC287
854 drill hole, Cigar Lake U deposit, Saskatchewan, Canada). The locations of the analyses are shown on Figure 4.
855 Uncertainty represents one standard deviation. All measurements for Fe, Se, Sb, Bi, Cu and Mo are below
856 detection limits (0.08, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1 and 0.2 wt % respectively).

857 **Table 2.** ^{187}Re - ^{187}Os isotope data and model ages of rammelsbergite for the 13 selected fragments of
858 rammelsbergite from Cigar Lake uranium deposit, Athabasca Basin (Saskatchewan, Canada). $^{187}\text{Os}^*$ stands for
859 radiogenic only ^{187}Os , corrected for a contribution of common Os, assumed to have a $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ratio of 0.5 ± 0.4 .
860 All uncertainties are 2SE. All data are blank corrected and uncertainties include the uncertainties on the blank
861 value and on the assumed common Os isotopic composition.

862 **Fig. 1** The 417.4 – 421.6 m section of the WC287 drill core, Cigar Lake U deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). A)
863 Massive U oxide and rammelsbergite vein at 419.1 – 419.3 m depth. B) Association of massive U oxides and
864 rammelsbergite veins at 419.9-420.1 m. C) Rammelsbergite vein at 420.6-420.8 m depth. Red stars correspond to
865 the locations of the three samples analysed (420.0, 420.1 and 420.7). The bottom part of the figure shows an
866 expanded view of each of the three sampled intervals. The number given above each interval of 10 cm is the total
867 counts per second of radioactivity measured by a hand scintillometer. The last sample of a massive rammelsbergite
868 vein was taken from the WC530 drill hole in the Cigar Lake deposit area, at 457.2 m.

869 **Fig. 2** A) μXRF element distribution intensity maps of the two rammelsbergite samples from 420.1 m (left) and
870 420.7 m (right) depth levels of the WC287 drill core, Cigar Lake U deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). Arsenic K_{α}
871 intensity distribution maps are shown on top, and Co K_{α} intensity distribution maps are presented on the bottom.
872 The insert shows an expanded Co K_{α} intensity distribution map measured on a pure rammelsbergite area of sample
873 WC287 420.1, free of visible clay contamination, which demonstrates an inhomogeneous distribution of Co within
874 rammelsbergite. B) Micro X-ray tomography cross-sections of three different fragments of rammelsbergite from
875 the WC287 drill hole before their dating by Re-Os analysis. The X-ray tomography demonstrates the purity of the
876 dated fragments, which can be compared with an altered fragment of rammelsbergite compromised by silicates
877 and gersdorffite (Gersd) from another drill hole at the Cigar Lake U deposit (CIG 259-1).

878 **Fig. 3** SEM-BSE images of the massive rammelsbergite vein material from the WC530 borehole, 457.2 m depth,
879 Cigar Lake deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada). A – association of the rammelsbergite, gersdorffite and U oxide. C
880 – euhedral U oxide grains. The darker areas within the U oxide correspond to the Pb loss event (c.f. Figure 5).

881 **Fig. 4** EMPA maps and chemical analyses of 4 different locations for two samples (WC287 420.1 and
882 WC287 420.7) of pure rammelsbergite from the WC287 drill core, Cigar Lake uranium deposit, Athabasca Basin
883 (Saskatchewan, Canada). BSE images are shown to the left, and the areas corresponding to element maps (Co and
884 Ni) are highlighted with red rectangles. Ni K_{α} intensity distribution maps are shown in the right column and
885 quantitative Co element distribution maps (in wt.%) of the same region in the middle column. The numbered spots
886 refer to the locations where quantitative *in situ* spot analyses were made (Table 1). To see this figure in colour,
887 the reader is referred to the online version of the manuscript.

888 **Fig. 5** Wetherill's Concordia diagram for 15 U-Pb analyses measured for the uranium oxides crystallized within
889 the massive rammelsbergite vein, Cigar Lake deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada), sample WC530, 457.2 m (c.f.
890 Figure 3).

891 **Fig. 6** A $^{187}\text{Re} - ^{187}\text{Os}$ isochron plot for the 13 fragments of rammelsbergite from WC287 and WC530 drillholes,
892 Cigar Lake U deposit, Athabasca Basin (Saskatchewan, Canada). The WC287 samples from 420, 420.1 and 420.7
893 m are shown with green, yellow and blue diamonds respectively, and the WC530 sample with light blue. The
894 insert in the upper left shows probability distribution histogram of the individual model ages, with a mean age of
895 1257 ± 43 Ma (12 individual ages, WC287 420.7 B considered as outlier). Error bars represent the total analytical
896 uncertainty due to the isotopic measurements and blank corrections (see text for details). The isochron shown with
897 the solid line and corresponding error envelope represents a solution on 12 points using regression of York (1966)
898 not taking one outlier (WC287 420.7 B, marked in red) into account (calculated using model 1 of the isoplot 3.7
899 (Ludwig, 2008)). Due to the scatter of the data, which greatly exceeds that which can be explained by the assigned
900 analytical uncertainties (MSWD = 30), a robust regression solution (dashed line) is preferred. B – when presented
901 in $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os} - ^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ isochron space, the data provides similar crystallization ages within associated
902 uncertainties.