

Dyskalemia: a management problem for students.

Lisa Senninger, Laure Abensur Vuillaume, Luc Frimat, Nicolas Girerd, Zohra Lamiral, Patrick Rossignol, Jean-Marc Boivin

▶ To cite this version:

Lisa Senninger, Laure Abensur Vuillaume, Luc Frimat, Nicolas Girerd, Zohra Lamiral, et al.. Dyskalemia: a management problem for students.. Fundamental & Clinical Pharmacology, 2020, 35 (2), pp.473-484. 10.1111/fcp.12621 . hal-03025955

HAL Id: hal-03025955 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03025955

Submitted on 26 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Dyskalemia: a management problem for students
2	
3 4	Lisa Senninger ¹ Laure Abensur Vuillaume ^{2,3} , Luc Frimat ² , Nicolas Girerd ² , Zohra Lamiral ² , Patrick Rossignol ² , Jean-Marc Boivin ²
5	¹ Université de Lorraine-Nancy (France)
6	² Université de Lorraine, Inserm, Centre d'Investigations Cliniques- Plurithématique 14-33,
7	and Inserm U1116, CHRU, F-CRIN INI-CRCT (Cardiovascular and Renal Clinical Trialists),
8	Vandoeuvre (France)
9	³ Emergency Departement, Regional Hospital Metz-Thionville, France
10	
11	Corresponding author: jm.boivin@chru-nancy.fr
12	Phone number: 0033 383 157 311
13	
14	Keywords: Hypokalemia, hyperkalemia, potassium, heart failure, renal failure, general
15	medicine, students
16	
17	Words count: 3833
18	
19	
20	Abstract
21	
22	Background: Although dyskalemia is common, its management can be problematic for
23	students and general practitioners, especially when it occurs in patients with heart and renal
24	failure. The basic academic knowledge of general medicine students, who have often not yet
25	encountered clinical situations of dyskalemia, remains unclear in this regard.
26	
27	Objectives : The purpose of this study was to evaluate the knowledge and reflexive practices
28	of general medicine students in regard to dyskalemia.
29	
30	Methods: A cross-sectional survey, based on a self-questionnaire, of all of the students
31	enrolled in general medicine studies at the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Nancy
32	(France) at the end of their degree. The students were asked questions pertaining to specific

clinical situations. The answers were compared to the information provided in the medicalcurriculum as well as to the relevant European guidelines.

35

36 **Results**: We collected 290 of the questionnaires (participation rate: 81.2%). The hyper- and 37 hypo-kalemia thresholds considered pathological (3.5-5.0 mmol/L) were known by 78% and 67% of the students, respectively. The perception of danger in case of severe hypokalemia 38 39 was underestimated by 62.7% of them. In most cases, the proposed management of 40 hyperkalemia in heart and renal failure did not comply with the relevant guidelines. The 41 students tended to favor permanent discontinuation of the administration of converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE) and/or mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA) without 42 43 considering the need for their reintroduction (51.6%). Sodium polystyrene sulfate was 44 frequently seen as an appropriate first-line treatment for hyperkalemia (45%).

45 Conclusions: The knowledge and competence of general medicine students appear to be 46 lacking for hyperkalemia in heart and renal failure, and they are long way from full 47 compliance with the relevant European guidelines. Exposure to complex clinical situations as 48 part of the medical curriculum, therefore, seems essential to improve the way dyskalemia is 49 managed in France.

50 51

52 Strengths and limitations of this study

53

54 1) The main strength of this study lies with the use of an open-ended questionnaire, 55 which ensured that there were no leading questions and not suggest possible answers 56 to the situations described.

- 57 2) The second strength: The questionnaires were filled out in the presence of a friendly
 58 and neutral team, without direct intervention but with immediate availability of
 59 assistance if required (self-administered questionnaire).
- 60 3) The third strength: Methodological bias was minimized by providing resources to61 organize the task of collecting, analyzing, and processing the information.
- 62 4) The first limitation: Sample selection bias cannot be fully ruled out, although we are
 63 confident that we were able to ensure adequate representativity of the population of
 64 students enrolled in French general medicine studies.
- 5) The second limitation: we cannot exclude that the respondents were the mostmotivated students, however we had a high response rate of over 81%.

- 67
- 68

69 **1. Background**

70

71 Dyskalemia (hypokalemia and hyperkalemia) are potentially dangerous conditions (1,2). It is 72 mostly encountered in high-risk patients (e.g., with heart failure, renal failure, cardio-renal 73 syndrome, diabetes, hypertension) but also, occasionally and unexpectedly, people without 74 comorbidities (3). Management is not consensual according to the guidelines in place, and the 75 thresholds for hypokalemia and hyperkalemia are not universal (4).

Many studies of patients with heart failure have focused on the association between blockers of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and anti-aldosterone, as all may trigger hyperkalemia and can be a source of problems, particularly for general practitioners (5-8). In contrast, loop diuretics may lead to hypokalemia or dehydration, which can be difficult to manage in the context of renal insufficiency (9).

It is not precisely known how general practitioners deal with dyskalemia, especially as there is not a specific decision tree to guide them in this regard. While the way they go about this is presumably based on their prior experiences and on interactions with other specialists, the basic academic knowledge and skills of general medicine students who have not yet encountered clinical situations of dyskalemia remains largely unknown.

86

87 2. Methods

88

90

91 The main objective of this study was to evaluate the knowledge of French general medicine92 students regarding dyskalemia.

93 The secondary objective was to evaluate how they manage clinical situations involving94 dyskalemia.

95

```
96 2.2. Type of study
```

97

All of the students (from the first to the third year of study) at the end of their general medical
studies in Nancy, France, (N=357) were asked to answer a self-questionnaire, kind of like

^{89 2.1.} Objectives

100 Durieu et al, regarding the perception of adverse drug reactions (10) during their final exams 101 in June 2017. The questionnaire was in two parts: the first two questions focused on the 102 perception of dyskalemia and the next three questions were clinical situations with open answers, to avoid influencing the answers. The questionnaire was constructed in such a way 103 104 that the study reflects a general medicine context, where more information is not available at 105 the start usually limited to the only information available in the medical report. The objective 106 was to evaluate the student's "reflex" management without suggesting a list of predefined 107 answers so as not to influence the student. The answer was open-ended.

108 The questionnaire was first tested with ten students to ensure that it was fully understood in 109 the first round and that the answers were stable in the second round, as well as to ensure the 110 validity of the questionnaire (11).

111 The results were analyzed by collecting the terms in verbatim form. Thus, "monitoring under 112 scope", "intravenous hydration", and "calcium gluconate" were classified under the term 113 "hospitalization/emergency" as these treatments are not available in the public health care 114 system; "taking vital signs" and "blood pressure measurement" were classified under 115 "assessment/clinical examination". The analysis and classification of the verbatim statements 116 were performed after comparing the independent analyses of two authors (S. L. and B. J.M.) 117 followed by arbitration.

118

The answers were then compared to the treatment stipulated in the medical curriculum (from the University College of Nephrology Teachers) (12), and then to the treatment recommended by the relevant European guidelines (12,13). The answers were stratified by the years of study.

123

124 2.3. Statistical analysis

125

The analyses were performed using SAS® R9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).
The bilateral significance level was set at p < 0.05.

128

All of the students' answers, which were generally binary, were proportionally described in the general population according to the year of study. Those regarding the hyperkalemia and hypokalemia thresholds were categorized; their distribution was analyzed according to the year of study.

134	The proportion of answers that were in keeping with the curriculum and the relevant
135	European recommendations/literature data/expert opinions were based on indicator variables.
136	These were created from the students' answers, as well as the information in the curriculum
137	and the European guidelines.
138	Each indicator variable was rated "1" if the student's answer was in keeping with the medical
139	curriculum or the guidelines, and "0" if the answer was non-compliant.
140	
141	The results were compared according to the years of study using Fisher's exact test, and the
142	thresholds of dyskalemia according to the years of study were compared using a Chi ² test of
143	homogeneity.
144	
145	3. Results
146	The percentage of questionnaires returned was 81.2% (n=290/357). Three questionnaires were
147	partially completed and were not included (Figure 1).
148	
149	3.1. Description of the population
150	The general medicine students who responded to the questionnaire were evenly distributed
151	among the three years of internship (33.8% in the first year, 35.9% in the second year, and
152	30.3% in the third year).
153	
154	3.2. Overall results and by the years of study and compare with the relevant guidelines
155	
156	3.2.1. Limits of kalemia considered to be pathological
157	
158	
159	
160	
161	
162	
163	
164	
165	
166	

- 168
- 169
- 170

The students considered a mean hyperkalemia of 5.1 mmol/L to be pathological. The minimum hyperkalemia value proposed by one student was 3.5 mmol/L and the maximum value was 6.5 mmol/L. The mean hypokalemia considered to be pathological by the students was 3.4 mmol/L (minimum 2.5 mmol/L and maximum 3.8 mmol/L). (table 1)

		Hyperkalemia threshold				Hypokalemia threshold			
Veen		K<5	K=5	$5 < K \le 5.5$	K>5.5	K<3	$3 \le K < 3.5$	K=3.5	K>3.5
rear	n=287	17 (5.9%)	192 (66.9%)	71 (24.7%)	7 (2.4%)	8 (2.8%)	53 (18.5%)	224 (78%)	2 (0.7%)
1^{st}	n=97	10 (18.2%)	72 (74%)	11 (11.3%)	4 (4.1%)	4 (4.1%)	16 (16.5%)	76 (78.4%)	1 (1%)
2^{nd}	n=103	6 (5.8%)	61 (59.2%)	34 (33%)	2 (1.9%)	3 (2.9%)	17 (16.5%)	82 (79.6%)	1 (1%)
3 rd	n=87	1 (1.1%)	59 (67.8%)	26 (29.9%)	1 (1.1%)	1 (1.1%)	20 (23%)	66 (79.5%)	0 (0%)
		p=0.0005				<i>p=0.85</i>			

175

176

177

Table 1: Hyperkalemia and hypokalemia threshold (mmol/L) according to the years of study.

180

There was a statistically significant difference in the hyperkalemia thresholds for the different
years of study (p=0.0005). Nearly a quarter of the students rated the threshold for
hypokalemia as being strictly below 3.5 mmol/L (21.3%, n=61/287) (Table 1).

The kalemia thresholds considered to be pathological according to the curriculum (11) and the relevant guidelines (13) were the same (hypokalemia = 3.5 mmol/L and hyperkalemia = 5.0 mmol/L). This hypokalemia threshold was indicated by 78% (n=224/287) of the students, while this hyperkalemia threshold was indicated by 66.9% (n=192/287) of the students, and 59.6% (n=171/287) of the students correctly identified both of these pathological thresholds.

190 3.2.2. Perception of danger

191 More than half of the general medicine students thought that severe hyperkalemia was more 192 of a "concern" than severe hypokalemia (60.3% (n=173/287) vs. 26.5% (n=76/287)); for 10.8% (n=31/287) both types of kalemia were a concern, while for 2.4% (n=7/287) severe
dyskalemia was not a concern. The students' answers were similar across all internship years
(p=0.74).

196 There was no difference in terms of what the students had been taught regarding the danger 197 associated with hyperkalemia versus hypokalemia. The Expert Consensus of the European 198 Society of Cardiology has stated that hypokalemia maybe even more dangerous than 199 hyperkalemia (14) (only 26.5% (n=76/287) of the students shared this opinion).

- 200
- 201

3.2.3. Clinical cases and comparison with evidence by medicine

202 The students were presented with 3 hypothetical clinical situations:

203

204 1/ Isolated hypokalemia at 2.6 mmol/L (Figure 2)

205

206 Most of the students stated that they would perform an electrocardiogram (ECG) (79.8%, 207 n=229/287) and oral potassium supplementation (70.7%, n=203/287); while 16.7% 208 (n=48/287) would provide intravenous supplementation. Half of them (53.7%, n=154/287) 209 would request another kalemia test and 59.9% (n=172/287) would perform additional 210 biological tests. Only a quarter of the students (28.6%, n=82/287) would undertake oral 211 questioning and a clinical examination of the patient. They were generally not inclined to ask 212 for advice from a specialist (28.6%, n=82/287). The further along the student was with their 213 studies, the less likely they were to perform an electrocardiogram (ECG) in case of isolated 214 hypokalemia (p=0.0001). On the other hand, they would more often request additional 215 biological tests (p=0.004). The students in the second year were the most inclined to 216 supplement with potassium (p=0.029) and they were the least inclined to refer their patients to 217 the emergency department or to a hospital (p=0.025). There was not a statistical difference 218 between the internship year in terms of checking the kalemia a second time (p=0.33) or 219 performing an interview and a clinical examination (p=0.47).

More than 50% of the students provided answers that were in keeping with the medical curriculum and with the data in the literature, except for the items "Interrogation/clinical examination" (28.6%, n=82/287) and "Diet rich in potassium" (1.4%, n=4/287). The major difference lies in the way the patient would be supplemented with potassium: 70.7% (n=203/287) of the patients would be given an oral supplementation in accordance with the data in the literature (15,16). Indeed, interrogation, clinical examination and further investigations are essential to determine whether the patient should be hospitalized, and if so, the route of administration will be intravenous. If there is good tolerance and no signs of
severity, the route of administration will be oral. There is no discrepancy between curriculum
and expert opinion.

230

231 2/ Isolated hyperkalemia at 5.7mmol/L (Figure 3)

232

233 Approximately three-quarters of the students indicated that they would first request another kalemia test (75.3%, n=216/287). An ECG was favored by 59.9% (n=172/287), and slightly 234 235 less than half would prescribe an ion-exchange resin (44.9%, n=129/287) or additional 236 biological testing (47%, n=135/287). Very few would undertake oral questioning and a 237 clinical examination of the patient (15.7%, n=45/287) or ask for the opinion of a specialist 238 (20.6%, n=59/287). Students in the first year of internship were the most likely to favor 239 performing an ECG (p < 0.0001) and to treat the patient with Polystyrene Sulfonate 240 (p=0.0002), while students in the second year were the least likely to recommend an ECG 241 (p < 0.0001) and to treat with Polystyrene Sulfonate (p=0.0002). There was not a statistical 242 difference between the year of study with regard to checking the kalemia a second time to 243 rule-out false hyperkalemia (p=0.21) or performing additional biological tests (p=0.098).

In case of true hyperkalemia (excluding false hyperkalemia secondary to hemolysis and/or delayed blood centrifugation), three items were different between the medical curriculum and the expert opinions: the students agreed with the curriculum in terms of performing an ECG (59.9%, n=172/287) but also with the experts' opinions in regard to not performing additional biological examinations (53%, n=152/287) or prescribing Sodium Polystyrene Sulfonate (55.1%, n=158/287), at this level of kalemia.

250

251 3/ Hyperkalemia of 6.0 mmol/L in a patient with heart and kidney failure (Figure 4)

252

253 The answers of the students regarding the management of this situation were very 254 heterogeneous: half of them would perform an ECG (51.2%, n=147/287, 51.6% of the 255 students would discontinue the MRA and/or ACE inhibitor (n=148/287), while a third would 256 reduce their dose (34.5%, n=99/287). More than a third of the students (39.7%, n=114/287) 257 stated that they would treat this hyperkalemia with an ion-exchange resin. Less than half of 258 the students (42.5%, n=122/287) indicated that they would ask for specialist advice. The 259 further along the general medicine student was with their studies, the more they favored 260 prescribing an ion-exchange resin (p=0.023), and the more they would perform another check

of the kalemia (p < 0.0001) to rule-out a false hyperkalemia (due to the sampling process e.g. at home, with delayed centrifugation leading to hemolysis) and additional biological examinations (p=0.024). There was not a statistical difference between the years of study in regard to whether they would perform an ECG (p=0.42) or transfer the patient to the emergency department (p=0.085).

Several items were discordant between the medical curriculum and the guidelines: the students' answers were in keeping with the European guidelines to not undertake additional biological examinations (80.1%, n=230/287). On the other hand, only 3.8% (n=11/287) followed the guidelines for "temporary" discontinuation of MRA and/or ACE, and more than 51.6% (n=148/287) recommended "permanent" discontinuation. Increasing the dosage of Furosemide was considered appropriate by 20.6% (n=59/287).

- 272
- 273

4. Discussion

275

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the competence and the perception ofdanger of graduating French general medicine students regarding dyskalemia.

The knowledge regarding the management of dyskalemia was highly variable from one
student to the next and according to how close they were to completion of their medical
degree.

- The thresholds for dyskalemia were not well known and there was inadequate recognition ofthe risk associated with hypokalemia.

- French medical students appear to favor the use of ion exchange resins. This is specific toFrance and probably due to what is taught in the medical curriculum.

- In case of hyperkalemia in the context of heart and renal failure, management was mostlyfocused on the renal risk, while ignoring the cardiovascular benefit.

- The students tended to be disinclined to examine patients in case of dyskalemia.
- The students tended to see little merit in engaging a specialist when faced with dyskalemia.
- The use of ECG decreased as the studies progressed, probably because the internship in the

```
290 GP's office has not yet been completed in the seventh-year initial formation.
```

- 291
- 292
- 293

4.1. Variability of the answers

We were surprised to discover that some students considered extreme and dangerous values to be the pathological thresholds for kalemia: 2.5 mmol/L for hypokalemia and 6.5 mmol/L for hyperkalemia.

The greatest diversity in the answers related to hyperkalemia with heart and renal failure, and was dependent on the level of advancement in the specialty, especially in regard to the prescription of Sodium Polystyrene Sulfonate (28.9% of students in the first year of internship versus 47.1% in the third year) and performing a second kalemia test to rule-out a false hyperkalemia due to the sampling process (19.6% in the first year versus 49.4% in the third year). Four students even stated that they would stop all treatments (1.4%), which is generally thought to be extremely dangerous for the patient.

In case of isolated hypokalemia, management varied also according to the years of study: students in the second year of internship were the most likely to supplement by oral administration and to not refer their patients to the emergency department, unlike the other two years. In addition, there was a difference in the way the potassium would be supplemented: 16.7% would do so intravenously versus 68.3% favoring oral administration.

In the case of isolated hyperkalemia, the approach of the seventh-year medical students was essentially to perform an ECG, to treat with Sodium Polystyrene Sulfonate, and to recheck the kalemia, whereas the approach of the ninth-year medical students was to initially only recheck the kalemia. The thresholds considered pathological are different in each laboratory, and students rely on these standards to manage hyperkalemia.

- 315
- 316

4.2 Inadequate recognition of the risk related to hypokalemia

317 The risk associated with hypokalemia is often underestimated by students. Indeed, mortality is 318 significantly increased below a threshold of 4.1 mmol/L in case of hypertension (1) or chronic 319 heart failure (17), and 3.9 mmol/L in case of acute heart failure after myocardial infarction 320 (18). Several studies have also shown that hypokalemia is associated with increased mortality 321 in heart failure (19), and that blood potassium levels below 4.0 mmol/L are associated with an 322 increased risk of all-cause mortality, mortality from cardiovascular disease and progressive 323 heart failure, and an increased rate of all-cause and cardiovascular hospitalizations (9,20). 324 Hypokalemia increases the risk of ventricular arrhythmia and cardiac arrest (2,21); a decrease 325 in blood potassium by 1 mmol/L increases the risk of ventricular arrhythmia by 28% (22). In 326 case of cardiac arrest, kalemia is thought to be significantly lower than in controls, and 327 survivors are often hypokalemic (23-25).

There is a U-shaped relationship between kalemia and all-cause mortality, and levels of potassium that are considered "normal" are also associated with an increased risk of death. In general, the more the potassium level deviates from normal, the higher the mortality (1).

- 331
- 332

4.3. Widespread use of ion-exchange resins

333 French general medicine students are taught to use Sodium Polystyrene Sulfonate (SPS), 334 which is an ion-exchange resin. This is in accordance with the practices of French doctors, who use SPS much more frequently than their German, Italian, Spanish, and English 335 336 colleagues (26). However, there is no evidence regarding the efficacy of SPS in the 337 emergency treatment of hyperkalemia (27, 28). The optimal rate of correction for 338 hyperkalemia is unknown, and the relevance of an immediate decrease of kalemia in patients 339 without cardiac manifestations of hyperkalemia has not been demonstrated (29). Additionally, 340 this drug has not been rigorously evaluated in clinical trials to prove its efficacy and safety in acute or chronic hyperkalemia (30). Since the counter-exchange ion is sodium, extreme 341 342 caution should be taken in patients with heart failure, as such patients cannot tolerate even a 343 small increase in sodium load (30). It should be noted that SPS has marketing authorization 344 (MA) in France for medical services rendered that are considered important. This drug was 345 indicated as a first-line treatment for hyperkalemic patients in the French medical curriculum 346 (12) at the time that the questionnaire was distributed (June 2017). This has been modified in 347 the new version of the curriculum released in 2018 by the University College of Nephrology 348 Teachers (version 8), which no longer considers SPS as a necessity but as an option in case of 349 hyperkalemia. Of importance, none of the new-generation potassium binders is reimbursed in 350 France to date, therefore preventing from any related teaching process.

- 351
- 352

4.4. Management of hyperkalemia in heart and renal failure

353 The management of hyperkalemia by French general medicine students differs quite 354 considerably from the European guidelines. They tend to discontinue ACE inhibitors and/or 355 MRAs without considering reintroducing them, which can adversely affect patients as the 356 morbi-mortality increases without the use of an optimal dose of these drugs (30-32). Trevisan 357 et al. have shown that after hyperkalemia, 47% of MRA treatments are halted, and they are 358 not reintroduced in 76% of cases (33). Discontinuation of RAAS inhibitor therapy is 359 associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and total mortality 360 (14). The European guidelines in regard to heart failure tolerate a maximum hyperkalemia 361 threshold of 6.0 mmol/L. Above this limit, RAAS inhibitors should be discontinued for a

time, but not definitively; between 5.5 and 6.0 mmol/L, there can be brief discontinuation or dose reduction (13,14). Thus, the European guidelines emphasize the need to try to reintroduce RAAS inhibitors as soon as possible, when they have been temporarily halted or the dosage decreased due to hyperkalemia, with close monitoring of kalemia and renal function (13). Increasing the dosage of furosemide, considered as appropriate by 20% of the students, is an acceptable solution in case of hyperkalemia, but it should however be avoided in case of AKI and signs of dehydration.

369 The management is in accordance with what is taught in the Nephrology module of the 370 medical school curriculum in the chapter entitled "Potassium balance abnormalities" (12). 371 This is theory-based teaching that does not consider the complexity encountered in case of 372 comorbidities such as the association with heart failure, and which recommends, in the case of 373 moderate hyperkalemia, "eviction of hyperkalemic drugs", without their subsequent 374 reintroduction. The risk/benefit ratio of hyperkalemia is not emphasized in teaching, and 375 general medicine students tend to refer to "Primum Non Nocere", which is a concept that has 376 become obsolete.

377 On the other hand, in the chapter regarding how to treat chronic renal failure, the possibility 378 of "temporary" discontinuation of ACE inhibitors or ARA2 is discussed, without any 379 reference to anti-aldosterone drugs, which are not mentioned in the curriculum. However, this 380 information is too "diluted" to be taken in by the students, especially as it contradicts the 381 information in the chapter "Potassium balance abnormalities".

382 Medical students are faced with contradictions that are even more prejudicial, as there is no 383 clear consensus regarding the management of these patients with cardio-renal syndrome in 384 general practice. The fear of inducing serious secondary effects (hyperkalemia, deterioration 385 of renal function) has led some doctors to avoid RAAS inhibitors (6), without considering the 386 demonstrated benefit of these molecules on morbi-mortality in the event of heart failure (5, 387 34,35). Moreover, the use of RAAS inhibitors in France is low compared to Germany, Italy, 388 Spain, and the United Kingdom (26). For this reason, the use of new experimental potassium 389 chelating agents (patiromer or sodium zirconium cyclosilicate (ZS-9)) could be an option in 390 the near future to compensate for this under-prescription (36-40). These drugs have a 391 European MA but are not presently available in France.

392

393

4.5. Limited use of interrogation and clinical examination

Surprisingly, we found that the students were very rarely inclined to carry out an interrogationand clinical examination of patients with dyskalemia, even though this is generally considered

to be essential during a medical consultation. In case of dyskalemia, it is crucial to identify any dietary issues and clinical elements associated with dyskalemia and to provide advice accordingly. Very few students introduce, and therefore explain to the patient, a potassiumrich diet in case of hypokalemia, whereas it is recommended in association with supplementation (41,42).

- 401
- 402

4.6. Limited use of specialists

The students indicated that they would rarely request specialist advice and rarely refer their patients to hospitals or emergency departments in case of isolated dyskalemia. They are inclined to manage these situations on their own, especially if they are advanced in their studies. The use of specialists and hospitalization is also low among practicing GPs, ranging from 5.8 to 6.6% according to the study (43-45).

- 408
- 409

4.7. Use of the ECG

410 For isolated dyskalemia discovered in general practice, students in the first year of internship 411 more often recommend performing an ECG than those in the following two years of study. 412 This may be a result of the internship with a general practitioner. Indeed, although the 413 availability of the required equipment in doctors' offices varies from 49% to 86% according to 414 the study, the frequency of their use is at least once a week for half of the doctors who have 415 an ECG device available (46,47). Moreover, an ECG is not a very sensitive indicator of the 416 severity of dyskalemia. Cardiac manifestations may be non-specific or absent at potassium 417 concentrations that are associated with a risk of mortality (29). In case of hyperkalemia, an 418 ECG is recommended above 6.0 mmol/L (29), whereas in case of hypokalemia, it is 419 recommended for all patients, irrespective of their potassium level (49).

- 420
- 421

4.8. Strengths and limitations of the study

422

The main strength of this study lies with the use of an open-ended questionnaire, whichensured that there were no leading questions.

We limited the potential for bias by clearly explaining the objectives of the study and how the questionnaire was to be filled out before completing it. The questionnaires were filled out in the presence of a friendly and neutral team, without direct intervention but with immediate availability of assistance if required (self-administered questionnaire). 429 Methodological bias was minimized by providing resources to organize the task of collecting,
430 analyzing, and processing the information. The questions asked were intended to be
431 straightforward, short, neutral, and without ambiguity.

- 432 Naturally, sample selection bias cannot be fully ruled out, although we are confident that we
- were able to ensure adequate representativity of the population of students enrolled in Frenchgeneral medicine studies.
- The bias linked to the "experience" of the general medicine students could be assessed by the last question, although this question contained ambiguities. In June 2017, the students who had just started a new stage of internship on May 1st did not necessarily include this in their answer. Indeed, "current or ongoing internships" was not specified. There was, therefore, a loss of information.
- The questionnaire is not without its limitations, but overall, we avoided many of the potential biases by excluding any leading questions and by reducing the time needed to complete the questionnaire. The questions were open-ended so as not to suggest possible answers to the situations described.
- In conclusion, the validity of the results clearly needs to take into account the limitations
 mentioned above, but these do not significantly detract from the coherence and overall
 meaning of the results.
- 447
- 448 **5.** Conclusion

449 The medical curriculum cannot always take into account the complex situations experienced 450 in clinical practice. Our study points out a lack of coherence between what is taught regarding 451 the management of dyskalemia and the guidelines regarding patients with heart and renal 452 failure. This often results in inadequate management and a reluctance to reintroduce drugs prone to induce hyperkalemia (ACE/ARA2 and MRA) after an initial episode of 453 454 hyperkalemia. This at least in part explains why France has the lowest level of RAAS 455 inhibitor use in Europe (27), and why these drugs are often used at a suboptimal dosage in heart failure. This is quite the opposite in case of chronic kidney insufficiency, for which 456 457 France has the highest level of RAAS inhibitor use in Europe (50).

458 It is essential for medical students to very early on be faced with complex situations in the 459 management of cardio-renal syndrome, due to the increasing prevalence of this syndrome 460 among older patients with diabetes, heart failure, and kidney disease. Medico-administrative data such as the SNDS (Système National de Données de Santé) are collected by the Primary Health Insurance in France, but the tools proposed to SNDS users make their in-depth exploitation difficult. A view based on a generic model of care trajectories could make it possible to identify situations in which the trajectory of care can be improved (e.g., severe hypokalemia, severe hyperkalemia) and thus serve as a basis for more pragmatic teaching, adapted to general practitioners. (51)

Indeed, the recent opening of access to the French nationwide health record database SNDS is a great opportunity to carry out comprehensive health studies at the country level. Happe and Drezen have proposed a toolbox to query and cope with the complexity of care pathways of patients, because access is very difficult for nonexpert data scientists. This toolbox is particularly suitable for understanding timeline representations of individual patient healthcare trajectories in case of heart failure and cardiorenal syndrome. (52)

It would also be appropriate to standardize the guidelines and the curriculum in order to
provide pragmatic instruments to help with the management of dyskalemia in patients with
heart failure and kidney disease, either as a decision tree or a dedicated computer tool.

476

477

478

- 479
- 480

6. References

481 1) Krogager ML, Torp-Pedersen C, Mortensen RN, et al. Short-term mortality risk of serum
482 potassium levels in hypertension: a retrospective analysis of nationwide registry data. Eur
483 Heart J. 2017 Jul; 38(2):104- 12.

484

485 2) Macdonald JE, Struthers AD. What is the optimal serum potassium level in cardiovascular
486 patients? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004 Jan 21; 43(2):155- 61.

487

3) Nilsson E, Gasparini A, Ärnlöv J, et al. Incidence and determinants of hyperkalaemia and
hypokalaemia in a large healthcare system. Int J Cardiol. 2017 Oct 15; 245:277-84.

490

4) Pitt B, Bakris G, Ruilope LM, DiCarlo L, Mukherjee R, on Behalf of the EPHESUS492 Investigators. Serum Potassium and Clinical Outcomes in the Eplerenone Post-Acute

493 Myocardial Infarction Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study (EPHESUS). Circulation.
494 2008 Oct 14; 118(16):1643-50.

495

496 5) Zannad F, McMurray JJV, Krum H, et al. Eplerenone in Patients with Systolic Heart
497 Failure and Mild Symptoms. N Engl J Med. 2011 Jan 6; 364(1):11- 21.

498

6) Rossignol P, Zannad F, Pitt B. Time to retrieve the best benefits from renin angiotensin
aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibition in heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction:
Lessons from randomized controlled trials and registries. Int J Cardiol. 2014
Dec;177(3):731- 3.

503

7) Rossignol P, Dobre D, McMurray JJV, et al. Incidence, determinants, and prognostic
significance of hyperkalaemia and worsening renal function in patients with heart failure
receiving the mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist eplerenone or placebo in addition to
optimal medical therapy: results from the Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and
Survival Study in Heart Failure (EMPHASIS-HF). Circ Heart Fail. 2014 Jan 1; 7(1):51-8.

8) Zannad F, Stough G, Rossignol P, et al. Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists for heart
failure with reduced ejection fraction: integrating evidence into clinical practice. Eur Heart J.
2012 Nov; 33(22):2782-95.

513

9) Ahmed A, Zannad F, Love TE, et al. A propensity-matched study of the association of low
serum potassium levels and mortality in chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2007 Jun;
28(11):1334-43.

517

518 10) Durrieu G, Hurault C, Damase-Michel C, Montastruc JL. Perception of risk of adverse drug
519 reactions: a 3-year follow-up of a cohort of medical students. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2010
520 Aug;24(4):423-7.

521

522 11) A. Bouletreau, D. Chouaniere, P. Wild, J.M. Fontana. Concevoir, traduire et valider un

523 questionnaire. A propos d'un exemple, EUROQUEST. [Rapport de recherche] Notes

scientifiques et techniques de l'INRS NS 178, Institut National de Recherche et de Sécurité

525 (INRS). 1999, 46 p., ill., bibliogr. ffhal01420163f.

526 12) Collège Universitaire des Enseignants de Néphrologie, auteurs. Néphrologie. 6^{ème} édition.
527 Paris : Ellipses ; 2014.

528

529 13) Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and
530 treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment
531 of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)Developed
532 with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J.
533 2016 Jul 14; 37(27):2129- 200.

534

14) Rosano et al. Expert consensus document on the management of hyperkalaemia in
patients with cardiovascular disease treated with renin angiotensin aldosterone system
inhibitors: coordinated by the Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy of the
European Society of Cardiology. Cardiovascular pharmacotherapy. Eur Heart J.
2018;4(3):180-188.

540 15) Staple B, Fleming S. Management of Hypokalaemia Clinical Guideline V2.0. Royal
541 Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust. August 2019.

542 16) Rastergar A, Soleimani M. Hypokalaemia and hyperkalaemia. Postgrad Med J. 2001 Dec;
543 77(914):759–764.

544 17) Aldahl M, Jensen AC, Davidsen L, et al. Associations of serum potassium levels with
545 mortality in chronic heart failure patients. Eur Heart J. 2017 Oct 7; 38(38):2890-2896.
546

547 18) Krogager ML, Eggers-Kaas L, Aasbjerg K, et al. Short-term mortality risk of serum
548 potassium levels in acute heart failure following myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J. 2015 Oct;
549 1(4):245-51.

550

19) Cleland JG, Dargie HJ, Ford I. Mortality in heart failure: clinical variables of prognostic
value. Br Heart J. 1987 Dec; 58(6) 572-82.

553

20) Rossignol P, Girerd N, Bakris G, et al. Impact of eplerenone on cardiovascular outcomes
in heart failure patients with hypokalaemia. Eur J Heart Fail. 2017 Jun; 19(6):792-799.

557 21) Schulman M, Narins RG. Hypokalaemia and cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. 1990
558 Mar 6; 65(10):4E–9E.

559

560 22) Cohen JD, Neaton JD, Prineas RJ, Daniels KA. Diuretics, serum potassium and
561 ventricular arrhythmias in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention trial. Am J Cardiol 1987 Sep
562 1; 60(7):548-54.

563 23) Salerno DM, Asinger RW, Elsperger J, Ruiz E, Hodges M. Frequency of hypokalaemia
564 after successfully resuscitated out-of- hospital cardiac arrest compared with that in transmural
565 acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 1987 Jan 1; 59(1):84-8.

566 24) Johnson CJ, Peterson DR, Smith EK. Myocardial tissue concentrations of magnesium and
567 potassium in men dying suddenly from ischemic heart disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 1979 May;
568 32(5): 967-70.

569 25) Kjeldsen K. Hypokalaemia and sudden cardiac death. Exp Clin Cardiol. 2010; 15(4):e96570 e99.

571 26) Rossignol P, M Ruilope L, Cupisti A, et al. Recurrent hyperkalaemia management and

572 use of renin- angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors. A European multi-national targeted

573 chart review. Clinical Kidney Journal. 2019 Sept 30: 1-6.

574 27) Mahoney BA, Smith WA, Lo DS, Tsoi K, Tonelli M, Clase CM. Emergency interventions
575 for hyperkalaemia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005 Apr 18;(2).

576 28) Elliott MJ, Ronksley PE, Clase CM, Ahmed SB, Hemmelgarn BR. Management of
577 patients with acute hyperkalaemia. CMAJ. 2010 Oct 19; 182(15):1631–1635.

578 29) Rossignol P, Legrand M, Kosiborod M, et al. Emergency management of severe
579 hyperkalaemia: Guideline for best practice and opportunities for the future. Pharmacol Res.
580 2016 Nov; 113:585- 91.

30) Epstein M, Reaven NL, Funk SE, McGaughey KJ, Oestreicher N, Knispel J. Evaluation
of the treatment gap between clinical guidelines and the utilization of renin-angiotensinaldosterone system inhibitors. Am J Manag Care. 2015; 21(11):212-220

585 31) Beusekamp JC, Tromp J, van der Wal HH, et al. Potassium and the use of renin-586 angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: 587 data from BIOSTAT-CHF. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018 May; 20(5):923-930.

32) Ouwerkerk W, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. Determinants and clinical outcome of uptitration of ACE-inhibitors and beta-blockers in patients with heart failure: a prospective Eu3)
Trevisan M, de Deco P, Xu H, et al. Incidence, predictors and clinical management of
hyperkalaemia in new users of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018;
20(8):1217-1226.

593 34) Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme W, et al. The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and
594 mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study
595 Investigators. N Engl J Med. 1999; 341(10):709-717.

596

597 35) Pitt B, Remme W, Zannad F, et al. Eplerenone, a Selective Aldosterone Blocker, in
598 Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction after Myocardial Infarction. N Engl J Med 2003;
599 348(14):1309-1321.

600 36) Agarwal R, Rossignol P, Romero A, et al. Patiromer versus placebo to enable
601 spironolactone use in patients with resistant hypertension and chronic kidney disease
602 (AMBER): a phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2019 Sept.

603 37) Pitt B, Bakris GL, Bushinsky DA, et al. Effect of patiromer on reducing serum potassium

and preventing recurrent hyperkalaemia in patients with heart failure and chronic kidney

disease on RAAS inhibitors. Eur J Heart Fail. 2015 Oct; 17(10):1057-65.

38) Weir MR, Bakris GL, Bushinsky DA, et al. Patiromer in patients with kidney disease and
hyperkalemia receiving RAAS inhibitors. N Engl J Med. 2015 Jan 15; 372(3):211-21.

608 39) Anker SD, Kosiborod M, Zannad F, et al. Maintenance of serum potassium with sodium

609 zirconium cyclosilicate (ZS-9) in heart failure patients: results from a phase 3 randomized,

610 double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2015 Oct; 17(10):1050-6.

611 40) Rafique Z, Peacock WF, LoVecchio F, Levy PD. Sodium zirconium cyclosilicate (ZS-9)
612 for the treatment of hyperkalemia. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2015; 16(11):1727-34.

41) Viera A, Wouk N. Potassium Disorders: Hypokalaemia and Hyperkalaemia. Am Fam
Physician. 2015 Sept 15; 92(6):487-495.

615 42) Cohn JN, R. Kowey PR, K. Whelton PK, Prisant LM. New Guidelines for Potassium
616 Replacement in Clinical Practice. A Contemporary Review by the National Council on
617 Potassium in Clinical Practice. Arch Intern Med; 2000 Sep 11; 160(16):2429-36.

- 618 43) Existe-t-il une typologie des actes effectués en médecine générale ? Rev Prat Med Gen
 619 2004 ; 656/657: 781-84.
- 620 44) Gallais JL. Actes et fonctions du médecin généraliste dans leurs dimensions médicales et
 621 sociales. Doc Rech Med Gen. 1997 ; 45.

622 45) Évaluation des recours demandés par les médecins généralistes à leurs confrères des
623 autres spécialités. Collège des généralistes enseignants du Poitou-Charentes. 1994.

- 46) Le Goaziou M-F. L'équipement du cabinet médical. Exercer. 2003 ;67 :20-26.
- 625

47) Robin C. Etat des lieux de la pratique de l'électrocardiogramme en médecine générale en
Picardie. [Thèse pour l'obtention du diplôme d'Etat de docteur en médecine]. Amiens :
Université de Picardie Jules Verne Faculté de Médecine ; 2018.

629

48) Lehel A. Exercice de la médecine générale avec ou sans électrocardiogramme. [Thèse
pour l'obtention du diplôme d'Etat de docteur en médecine]. Bordeaux : Université de
Bordeaux UFR des sciences médicales ; 2015.

- 633
- 49) Kardalas E, Paschou SA, Anagnostis P, Muscogiuri G, Siasos G, Vryonidou A.
 Hypokalaemia: a clinical update. Endocrine Connections. 2018 Apr; 7(4):135-146.
- 636

637 50) Pecoits-Filho R. et al. Prescription of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors
638 (RAASi) and its determinants in patients with advanced CKD under nephrologist care. J Clin
639 Hypertens (Greenwich). 2019 Jul; 21(7):991-1001.

640

643 Feb;32(1):78

⁵¹⁾ Drezen E, Guyet , Happe A. From medico-administrative databases analysis to care
trajectories analytics: an example with the French SNDS. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2018





Figure 2: Hypokalemia of 2.6mmol/L in a patient who is not on any treatment: student's

responses and comparison with literature and curriculum

- The radar chart is represented by student's answers in green, curriculum in red, and expert
- opinion in grey.
- Legend: IV (intravenous), ED (emergency department).



- Figure 3: Hyperkalemia of 5.7mmol/L in a patient who is not on any treatment:
 student's responses and comparison with expert opinions and curriculum
- 713 The radar chart is represented by student's answers in green, curriculum in orange, and
- *expert opinion in blue.*
- 715 Legend: ARM (Angiotensin Receptor Agonist), ACE (Angiotensin-comverting enzyme
- *inhibitors), SPS (Sodium Polystyrene sulfate), ED (emergency department).*



Figure 4: Hyperkalemia of 6.0mmol/L in a patient with heart and kidney failure,
student's responses and comparison with European guidelines and curriculum

730 The radar chart is represented by student's answers in green, curriculum in red, and expert

opinion in blue.

732 Legend: ARM (Angiotensin Receptor Agonist), ACE (Angiotensin-converting enzyme

733 inhibitors), SPS (Sodium Polystyrene sulfate), ED (emergency department), GFR (Glomerular

filtration rate), HF (Heart failure), CKD (Chronic kidney disease).

		Hyperkalemia threshold				Hypokalemia threshold			
Veen		K<5	K=5	$5 < K \le 5.5$	K>5.5	K<3	$3 \le K < 3.5$	K=3.5	K>3.5
rear	n=287	17 (5.9%)	192 (66.9%)	71 (24.7%)	7 (2.4%)	8 (2.8%)	53 (18.5%)	224 (78%)	2 (0.7%)
1^{st}	n=97	10 (18.2%)	72 (74%)	11 (11.3%)	4 (4.1%)	4 (4.1%)	16 (16.5%)	76 (78.4%)	1 (1%)
2^{nd}	n=103	6 (5.8%)	61 (59.2%)	34 (33%)	2 (1.9%)	3 (2.9%)	17 (16.5%)	82 (79.6%)	1 (1%)
3 rd	n=87	1 (1.1%)	59 (67.8%)	26 (29.9%)	1 (1.1%)	1 (1.1%)	20 (23%)	66 (79.5%)	0 (0%)
		<i>p=0.0005</i>				<i>p</i> =0.85			

742 Table 1: Hyperkalemia and hypokalemia threshold (mmol/L) according to the years of

743 study.