

Disentangling the direct and indirect effects of agricultural runoff on freshwater ecosystems subject to global warming: A microcosm study

Joey Allen, Elisabeth M. Gross, Camille Courcoul, Stéphanie Boulêtreau, Arthur Compin, Arnaud Elger, Jessica Ferriol, Sabine Hilt, Vincent Jassey, Martin Laviale, et al.

► To cite this version:

Joey Allen, Elisabeth M. Gross, Camille Courcoul, Stéphanie Boulêtreau, Arthur Compin, et al.. Disentangling the direct and indirect effects of agricultural runoff on freshwater ecosystems subject to global warming: A microcosm study. Water Research, 2021, 190, pp.116713. 10.1016/j.watres.2020.116713 . hal-03031007

HAL Id: hal-03031007 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03031007v1

Submitted on 22 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Disentangling the direct and indirect effects of agricultural runoff on freshwater ecosystems
 subject to global warming: A microcosm study.

3

Joey ALLEN^{1-2*}, Elisabeth M. GROSS², Camille COURCOUL¹, Stéphanie BOULETREAU¹, Arthur
COMPIN¹, Arnaud ELGER¹, Jessica FERRIOL¹, Sabine HILT³, Vincent E.J. JASSEY¹, Martin LAVIALE²,
Bastian H. POLST⁴, Mechthild SCHMITT-JANSEN⁴, Herwig STIBOR⁵, Vinita VIJAYARAJ², and Joséphine
LEFLAIVE¹

- ¹ Laboratoire écologie fonctionnelle et environnement, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS,
 ⁹ Toulouse, France
- 10 ² Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LIEC, F-57000 Metz, France
- ³ Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany
- ⁴ Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research UFZ, Dept of Bioanalytical Ecotoxicology, Leipzig,
 Germany
- ⁵ Department of Biology II, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany
- 15

16 * joeyallen@orange.fr

17 Abstract

Aquatic ecosystems are exposed to multiple stressors such as agricultural run-off (ARO) and climate-18 19 change related increase of temperature. We aimed to determine how ARO and the frequency of its 20 input can affect shallow lake ecosystems through direct and indirect effects on primary producers 21 and primary consumers, and whether warming can mitigate or reinforce the impact of ARO. We 22 performed a set of microcosm experiments simulating ARO using a cocktail of three organic 23 pesticides (terbuthylazine, tebuconazole, pirimicarb), copper and nitrate. Two experiments were 24 performed to determine the direct effect of ARO on primary producers (submerged macrophytes, 25 periphyton and phytoplankton) and on the grazing snail Lymnaea stagnalis, respectively. Three 26 different ARO concentrations added as single doses or as multiple pulses at two different 27 temperatures (22°C and 26°C) were applied. In a third experiment, primary producers and consumers 28 were exposed together to allow trophic interactions. When functional groups were exposed alone, 29 ARO had a direct positive effect on phytoplankton and a strong negative effect on L. stagnalis. When 30 exposed together, primary producer responses were contrasting, as the negative effect of ARO on 31 grazers led to an indirect positive effect on periphyton. Periphyton in turn exerted a strong control 32 on phytoplankton, leading to an indirect negative effect of ARO on phytoplankton. Macrophytes 33 showed little response to the stressors. Multiple pulse exposure increased the effect of ARO on L. 34 stagnalis and periphyton when compared with the same quantity of ARO added as a single dose. The 35 increase in temperature had only limited effects. Our results highlight the importance of indirect 36 effects of stressors, here mediated by grazers and periphyton, and the frequency of the ARO input in 37 aquatic ecosystems.

38

Keywords: Multiple stressors, aquatic ecosystems, agricultural run-off, global warming, biotic
 interactions

Ecosystems are severely affected by anthropogenic stressors (Barnosky et al., 2011; Butchart et al., 42 43 2010) and aquatic ecosystems are particularly threatened (Reid et al., 2019). In this context, it is 44 crucial to determine the mechanisms by which the impact of stressors on specific organisms can alter 45 ecosystem state and functioning (Bruder et al., 2019). Aquatic ecosystems are exposed to multiple 46 anthropogenic stressors (Jackson et al., 2016; Ormerod et al., 2010) partially originating from local 47 sources such as agricultural activities (Ippolito et al., 2015; Malaj et al., 2014; Stehle and Schulz, 2015). Agricultural run-off (ARO) is not only responsible for high nutrient input, but it also contains 48 49 multiple xenobiotics such as herbicides, insecticides, fungicides and trace metals that might affect 50 ecosystems and interact with other stressors in an unpredictable way (Schriever et al., 2007). Nutrient and pesticide input to adjacent waterbodies through ARO is highly dependent on the 51 52 strength and frequency of rain events and agricultural practices. Therefore, aquatic ecosystems are 53 frequently exposed to multiple pulses of nitrates and pesticides with variable intensity (Daouk et al., 54 2013; Liess et al., 1999; Reinert et al., 2002), further complicating the assessment and prediction of 55 ARO effects. Existing studies on ARO revealed severe effects on aquatic ecosystem processes such as 56 primary production and ecosystem respiration (Peters et al., 2013). Multiple pulse exposure to pollutants and nutrients can modulate the effects compared to a single or continuous exposure 57 (Davis et al., 2019; Reinert et al., 2002; Varga et al., 2019). In addition to local stressors, global 58 59 warming affects aquatic ecosystems by influencing the metabolic rates of organisms (Brown et al., 60 2004; Gillooly et al., 2001) as well as their sensitivity towards stressors (Cuco et al., 2016; Debecker 61 et al., 2017; Delnat et al., 2019). All the different stressors may have interactive effects, but determining how multiple stressors interact is challenging (Jackson et al., 2016), especially in 62 63 complex systems where biotic interactions lead to indirect effects (Bruder et al., 2019).

Shallow lakes are ideal ecosystems to address the aforementioned challenges, as they are the most
abundant waterbodies worldwide (Verpoorter et al., 2014), often exposed to ARO (Hijosa-Valsero et

al., 2016) and prone to warming (Adrian et al., 2009). The state of a shallow lake ecosystem is shaped 66 67 by biotic interactions among and between primary producers and higher trophic levels, and thus they 68 respond non-linearly to nutrient loading with rapid shifts between two alternative stable states: a 69 macrophyte-dominated clear-water state and a phytoplankton-dominated turbid state (Scheffer and 70 van Nes, 2007; Scheffer et al., 1993). Such shifts have severe consequences for several ecosystem 71 functions (Hilt et al., 2017) and services (Janssen et al., 2020). Both warming and exposure to ARO 72 have been shown to affect macrophytes (Mckee et al., 2002), phytoplankton (Donald et al., 2011; 73 Huisman et al., 2018; Paerl and Huisman, 2008; Zhao et al., 2019a), periphyton (Piggott et al., 2015) 74 and primary consumers (Schaum et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). Increasing temperature and 75 nutrient loading were predicted to increase the probability of a shift toward the turbid state (Moss et 76 al., 2011; Scheffer et al., 2015), while the effects of other pollutants including pesticides, trace metal 77 elements, trace organics, pharmaceuticals and vanity products remained largely unexplored (Phillips 78 et al., 2016). Combining expertise from ecology and ecotoxicology can help elucidating the 79 complexity of stressor and biotic interactions in shallow lakes, e.g. by using microcosm experiments 80 providing a higher ecological realism than single species exposed to stressors such as pollutants. Such 81 experiments will reveal direct and indirect effects on interacting functional groups in aquatic 82 communities, an essential prerequisite to predict and eventually mitigate the impact of global 83 warming by controlling local stressors (Scheffer et al., 2015).

84 Here, we investigated in microcosms the combined effect of global warming and ARO on two trophic 85 levels (primary producers and their consumers) representative of shallow lake ecosystems. We 86 hypothesised that 1) ARO and warming will affect primary producer and consumer development. 87 Particularly, we expected that ARO will cause a shift towards a phytoplankton dominance and that 88 warming will increase this ARO effect. We also expected that 2) direct effects on some organisms will 89 affect others indirectly through biotic interactions. We further hypothesise that 3) multiple pulses of 90 ARO will favour the overall resistance of the ecosystem in comparison to a single pulse treatment 91 with the same overall amount of pollutant added to the microcosms, because a more progressive

92 input of toxicants would allow organisms to acclimate to this stressor. The three microcosm experiments were performed mimicking ARO containing nitrate, copper and typical organic 93 pesticides with different exposure modes (addition of ARO by a single or multiple pulses) and 94 warming following the IPCC RCP 8.5 scenario (+4°C, IPCC, 2014). The effects of these stressors were 95 96 evaluated on microcosms containing only the primary producers, only the grazers or both with the 97 overall aim to gain a mechanistic understanding of direct and indirect effects of two major 98 environmental stressors affecting shallow aquatic ecosystems. We used structural equation 99 modelling to determine further causal linkages between these stressors and observed effects.

100

101 2. Material and methods

102 Three microcosm experiments were performed. In Experiments 1 and 2, respectively, primary 103 producers and grazers were exposed independent of each other to ARO and warming, while in 104 experiment 3, they were exposed together to allow for trophic interactions. The microcosms were 105 incubated at two different temperatures and exposed to a cocktail of pesticides, copper and nitrate 106 designed to mimic the effect of ARO (see Table 1 for ARO composition). Six different ARO treatments 107 were used: a control without ARO; three treatments corresponding to the ARO at the lowest 108 concentration (X), twice the lowest concentration (2X), and thrice the lowest concentration (3X) as a 109 single addition at the beginning of the experiment; two treatments with two or three pulses of ARO 110 at the lowest concentration (X) with four-day intervals between each addition (multiple pulse 111 treatments XX and XXX, with the same final amount of ARO as in 2X and 3X, respectively. Target 112 temperatures were set at 22°C (controls representing typical temperate lake summer temperatures 113 e.g. Mckee et al., 2002; Sand-Jensen et al. 2019) and 26°C (representing the future climate warming 114 scenario). We therefore used 12 different combinations of ARO and temperature: cold-control, 115 heated-control, cold-1X, heated-1X, cold-2X, heated-2X, cold-3X, heated-3X, cold-XX, heated-XX, 116 cold-XXX, heated-XXX with 5 replicates for each condition. In experiment 2, with grazers alone, only

the 1X and 3X treatments at both temperatures were used. In addition, the concentration of nitrateswas reduced since nitrates would not be consumed in the absence of primary producers (Table 1).

119 The ARO cocktail contained terbuthylazine (CAS number 5915-41-3), a triazine photosynthesisinhibiting herbicide (Lorente et al., 2015), tebuconazole (CAS number 107534-96-3), a triazole 120 121 fungicide known to affect biofilm and phytoplankton (Artigas et al., 2014), pirimicarb (CAS number 122 23103-98-2), a carbamate insecticide inhibiting acetylcholinesterase (Krieger, 2010), and copper 123 (CuSO₄, CAS number 7758-98-7), a trace metal used in fungicidal, bactericidal and algicidal 124 preparations (Lamichhane et al., 2018). All pesticides were PESTANAL® analytical standards (Merck, 125 Darmstadt, Germany). Concentrations were based on published EC values (EC10, 20, 50) for each 126 organism group, available SSD data and some unpublished data on the toxicity of all ARO 127 components. From these data, we identified an effective concentration for each ARO pollutant 128 (excluding nitrate) equivalent to the EC20 for chronic exposure for the most sensitive group, which 129 was used as the highest concentration in the subsequent experiments (Table 1). Stock solutions of 130 organic pesticides were prepared in Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, CAS 67-68-5, Merck). The same 131 amount of DMSO was added in all microcosms at a final concentration of 0.125%. Copper and nitrate 132 (KNO₃) solutions were prepared in MilliQ water.

133

134 **2.1. Experiment 1** - primary producers alone

135 In experiment 1, 8-L microcosms contained submerged macrophytes (*Myriophyllum spicatum* L., 136 *Elodea nuttallii* Michx., *and Potamogeton perfoliatus* L), planted in artificial sediments (OECD TG 239 137 (2014) modified to replace nutrient salts by 5 g kg⁻¹ nettle powder as fertilizer), and ten strains of 138 microalgae (SI table S1). Macrophytes were introduced first to allow rooting before being submitted 139 to competition with phytoplankton and periphyton. Algae were introduced in the microcosms 9 days 140 after macrophytes and 8 days before ARO addition and temperature increase, just after a complete 141 water renewal to remove initial differences in algae and nutrient levels that had developed in the microcosms. Achieved temperatures were 22.5 \pm 0.4°C (unheated) and 25.7 \pm 0.4°C (heated microcosms). Microcosms were maintained during 28 days after ARO addition with regular water and nutrient addition until final sampling. Air temperature was maintained at 22°C, light at 70 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (16:8 h light:dark cycle). Translucent polypropylene strips were placed in each microcosm for periphyton development monitoring. More information on microcosm settings are provided in the supplementary material.

148

2.2. Experiment 2 – grazers alone

149 The pond snail Lymnaea stagnalis was exposed to ARO at 22 ± 0.2°C and 26 ± 0.2°C in the absence of 150 any primary producer. This species was selected because it is commonly found in shallow freshwater 151 ecosystems, feeding primarily on benthic algae and aquatic plants (Elger et al., 2009). It is also a good 152 model in ecotoxicology (Amorim et al., 2019) recently normalized as OECD test guideline (OECD 153 2016). Lymnaea stagnalis was obtained from a nearby pond and bred in the laboratory for several 154 generations to obtain a parasite-free population. Individuals were selected to minimise size 155 differences, measured and weighed (mean shell length \pm SD = 13.7 \pm 1.3 mm, mean total weight = 156 202 ± 58 mg). Each snail was placed in a 12-cm diameter, 30-cm high cylindrical glass aquarium filled 157 with 1 L of Volvic® water with temperature control as in experiment 1. Snails were starved for 12 h 158 before simultaneous addition of ARO and ten pre-weighed 12-mm diameter lettuce discs (organically 159 grown). After 24 h, the remaining leaf discs were collected and freeze-dried. Additional lettuce discs 160 were used to determine initial dry mass, and the dry mass of lettuce consumed by each snail was 161 determined. The snails were then fed *ad libitum* with lettuce for 21 days. Water evaporation in the 162 aquaria was compensated by addition of deionised water twice a week. The light cycle was 16:8 light:dark with only 5 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ irradiance to control possible algal development. At the end of the 163 164 experiment, snails were starved for 12 h before a new feeding test was performed with 10 pre-165 weighed 14-mm diameter lettuce discs and stopped after 16 h to avoid complete consumption of the 166 food provided. The snails were then measured and weighed.

167

168

2.3. Experiment 3 - primary producers and consumers

169 We used the same experimental set up as in experiment 1 but added one individual of L. stagnalis, 170 one individual of zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) and twenty Daphnia magna neonates in each 171 microcosm. Snail individuals collected as in experiment 2 had an initial mean (± SD) shell length of 172 18.7 (± 2.2) mm and a mean total fresh mass of 0.4 (± 0.1) g including shell. Zebra mussels collected 173 in a lake were placed in aquaria for 1 week before being transferred to the microcosms and 174 measured using an electronic calliper (16.0 \pm 1.2 mm). Individuals were selected to be as 175 homogenous in size as possible. D. magna were bred at the laboratory. Macrophytes, algae and 176 primary consumers were introduced in the mesocosms 10, 7 and 3 days before addition of ARO, 177 respectively, to allow for settlement without stressors.

178 In addition, several adjustments were made due to observations in the first experiment and changes 179 in material availability. The organic pesticides were prepared as a 10-fold more concentrated 180 solution, and therefore, the amount of DMSO added to the microcosms was ten times lower (0.01%). CaCO₃ at 7.5 g kg⁻¹ was added in the sediment of each microcosm as a buffer to limit potential effects 181 182 of acidity on the primary consumers. An additional plastic strip was added. Mean water 183 temperatures were 21.4 \pm 0.3°C and 23.7 \pm 0.3°C in the non-heated and heated microcosms, 184 respectively. Final sampling was done 21 days after the addition of ARO as it corresponded to the 185 maximal development of phytoplankton in experiment 1.

186

187 **2.4. Measured parameters**

At the end of each experiment, water was collected and filtered at 0.7 μ m (GF/F). In the filtered water, concentrations of nitrate and dissolved phosphorus were determined by ion chromatography (Dionex Ics-5000⁺, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and by ammonium molybdate spectrophotometric method, respectively (AFNOR 1990). The plastic strips were cut at 20 cm from the bottom, and all *L. stagnalis* eggs and juveniles present on the strips were collected and counted. Periphyton on these strips was scraped and collected with 20 mL of Volvic[®] water. From the resulting suspension, subsamples of 1.5 mL were centrifuged (10000 g, 10 min) in 2 mL tubes. The filters and pellets were stored at -80°C until photosynthetic pigment analysis.

196 Phytoplankton and periphyton pigments were extracted to estimate total planktonic and periphytic 197 algae biomass and the proportion of diatoms, green algae and cyanobacteria. Pigment extraction was 198 carried out on periphyton and phytoplankton samples after freeze-drying by the addition of 2 mL 199 methanol buffered with 2% ammonium acetate 1 M, followed by an initial sonication step (3 min at 200 4°C) and 15 min of incubation at -20°C, and then a second sonication step. Pigment extracts were 201 recovered after centrifugation (10000 g, 5 min, at 2°C). The extraction steps were repeated until 202 obtained extracts were no longer visibly coloured. All the extracts of the same sample were pooled. 203 Extracts were filtered through a 0.2-µm PTFE membrane syringe filter (VWR International, United 204 States), and analysed by HPLC. HPLC analysis of pigments was performed as described by Capdeville 205 et al. (2019). Pigments specific to a particular group of primary producer (diatoms: fucoxanthin, 206 diatoxathin and diadinoxanthin; green algae: chlorophyll b, lutein, violaxanthin and neoxanthin; 207 cyanobacteria: zeaxanthin, echinenone and myxoxanthophyll) were used to assess variation of the 208 different groups of microalgae (Mackey et al., 1996; Majdi et al., 2011). The total P concentration in 209 periphyton biomass was determined following the ammonium molybdate spectrophotometric 210 method after persulphate digestion in acidic conditions of freeze-dried periphyton subsamples 211 following AFNOR guidelines (AFNOR 1990).

212 Macrophytes were handled as described in Gross et al. (2018). Macrophytes were removed from the 213 sediments and rinsed in water to remove attached particles and epiphytes. All the plants of one 214 species were spread in a large tray equipped with a ruler, and a picture was taken. Roots and 215 aboveground parts were separated and rapidly dried. Aboveground parts were then weighed to

estimate macrophyte biomass. Visible signs of macrophyte consumption by grazers were recordedbased on the pictures taken.

218 In experiment 3, adult *L. stagnalis* were collected, weighed and measured. Individuals of *D. polymorpha* were collected and measured. The presence of dead *L. stagnalis* or *D. polymorpha* was 220 recorded. Due to a rapid disappearance of *D. magna* in all microcosms, no measurement was 221 possible.

222

223 **2.5. Statistics**

ARO concentration, exposure mode (single or multiple pulses of ARO addition) and temperature effects on the different measured parameters were analysed using an ANCOVA with ARO concentration as a continuous variable and temperature and exposure mode as categorical independent variables. The effect of exposure mode was analysed using only 2X/XX and 3X/XXX data with contrast analysis. The magnitude of the effects was measured using Hedges' g (Hedges, 1981) effect size calculated from *t*-statistics derived from the ANCOVA according to Berben et al. (2012) using the R package {esc}.

231

232 2.6. Structural equation modelling

233 To explore the simultaneous effect of ARO and warming on the interactions between macrophytes, 234 phytoplankton, periphyton and grazers, we built a path analysis subjected to structural equation 235 modelling (SEM; Grace et al., 2010). SEM has been developed as a powerful tool for inferring cause-236 effect relationships among variables via proposed hypotheses tested against data (Grace et al., 2012; 237 Grace and Irvine, 2020). Furthermore, SEM permits the evaluation of direct and indirect effects 238 within a network of variables (Bruder et al., 2019). This approach has been successfully applied to 239 identify the mechanistic pathway underlying the effect of different stressors on aquatic ecosystems 240 (Alexander et al., 2016, 2013; Majdi et al., 2014; Rodrigues et al., 2018). Indeed, as a framework for

241 quantitative analyses, SEM is an extension of univariate mediation analyses taking into account the 242 effect of variable X on variable Z through its effect on Y (Grace and Irvine, 2020; Reisner et al., 2013). 243 Using a priori knowledge, we built a full model of cause-effect relationships based on hypothetical 244 pathways supported by literature (Table 1). Notably, the full model included a direct pathway 245 between ARO exposure and phytoplankton biomass, and several indirect pathways via competition 246 with periphyton or macrophytes (See Supplementary Figure S6). The hypothetical relationships 247 between variables (table 1) was then tested on the correlation matrix of experimental data (Grace 248 and Irvine, 2020; Reisner et al., 2013). We considered all available variables to investigate the 249 interactions among trophic levels. Using a priori knowledge, a full path analysis model was created 250 including simultaneously several hypothetical pathways (Table 2) through which ARO and warming 251 could affect macrophytes, phytoplankton, periphyton and grazers. We only used the biomasses of 252 macrophytes, phytoplankton, periphyton and grazers from experiment 3 (with primary producers 253 and consumers) to perform this analysis. For the primary consumers, only L. stagnalis size increase 254 was used as D. magna did not develop and no growth was observed on D. polymorpha. The different 255 directions and kind of interactions among trophic levels were tested. Then, successive full models 256 were simplified by step-wise exclusion of variables and/or linkages with non-significant weights and 257 non-significant co-variance, as estimated by Akaike Index Criterion (AIC), until a minimal adequate 258 model showing specific linkages remained. The best model was selected based on its coherence with 259 the direct effect observed in experiments 1 and 2. The adequacy of the final model was determined 260 using quality indicators such as Chi², root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), standardised 261 root mean square residual (SRMR), adjusted goodness of fit index (aGFI) and comparative fit index 262 (CFI) (Fan et al., 2016). SEM was performed using the R package {sem}.

263 3. **Results**

264 **3.1. Experiment 1: Effects of stressors on primary producers without consumers**

ARO exposure and elevated temperature had no effect on total macrophyte aboveground biomass (Fig. 1). The exposure mode did not change the effect on macrophytes (Fig. 1). The mean final

267 macrophyte dry mass per microcosm was 0.61 ± 0.24 g with values for individual microcosms ranging
268 from 0.11 to 1.23 g (Supplementary material Fig. S1).

Phytoplankton biomass varied between 2 and 238 μ g L⁻¹ Chl *a*, and increased with increasing concentrations of ARO (*p* = 0.041; Fig. 1). Clear-water states with low phytoplankton (< 50 μ g L⁻¹ of Chl *a*) were observed in all treatments, but highly turbid water with high phytoplankton (> 100 μ g L⁻¹ of Chl *a*) was observed only at the two higher ARO concentrations. The exposure mode did not change the effect of ARO on phytoplankton. Warming had no significant effect on phytoplankton (Fig. 1) but favoured green algae (Fig. 2).

ARO exposure and warming had no significant effect on periphyton biomass, with a mean value of 1.08 \pm 0.71 µg cm⁻² of Chl *a*. However, we observed a trend for higher periphyton biomass (*p* = 0.060) with ARO and a higher periphyton biomass with multiple pulses as compared to single additions of the ARO (*p* = 0.046, Fig. 1). ARO induced an increase of the cyanobacterial pigment/Chl *a* ratio in both periphyton and phytoplankton (Fig. 2). ARO had no significant effect on the total amount of P contained in periphyton (Supplementary material Fig. S5).

At the end of the experiment, concentrations of soluble reactive phosphate (p < 0.001) and nitrates (p < 0.001) were significantly higher in microcosm water with higher ARO additions. Repeated addition of ARO resulted in significantly lower dissolved phosphate concentrations compared to treatments with single ARO additions (p = 0.04), while only a marginal difference was recorded for nitrate concentrations (p = 0.07, Fig. 1).

286

207

287 **3.2. Experiment 2: Effects of stressors on grazers**

ARO exposure strongly reduced *L. stagnalis* growth with a significant effect on its increase in mass and length (Fig. 1). The snail mass increased by 603 ± 32 mg without ARO and by only 184 ± 44 mg at

the highest ARO concentration. Warming positively influenced length increase but had no effect onsnail mass (Fig. 1).

ARO induced a significant reduction (p < 0.001) of the consumption rates of *L. stagnalis* at the beginning of the exposure (from $8.14 \pm 0.79 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$ in the controls to $2.68 \pm 0.62 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$ in the 3X treatment). Similarly, after 3 weeks of exposure, consumption rates of *L. stagnalis* were 35% lower when exposed to the highest ARO dose (from $17.9 \pm 1.9 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$ in the control to $11.6 \pm 2.4 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$ at the 3X ARO concentration). No effect of warming on consumption rate was observed (Fig. 1).

297

298 **3.3. Experiment 3: Effects of stressors on interacting primary producers and consumers**

299 Macrophyte biomass did not respond to ARO addition (independent of the exposure mode) nor 300 warming (Fig. 1). In this experiment, ARO concentration negatively affected phytoplankton biomass 301 (Fig. 1), and these effects were stronger at the lower temperature indicating an interaction between 302 ARO exposure and warming (Fig. 1). The highest phytoplankton biomasses were recorded in the cold 303 control microcosms (cold: $84.8 \pm 36.0 \ \mu g \ L^{-1} \ Chl a$, warm: $45.0 \pm 14.4 \ \mu g \ L^{-1} \ Chl a$).

304 Conversely, ARO concentration caused a strong increase of periphyton biomass (Fig. 1). Periphyton biomass was very low in control conditions (0.22 \pm 0.07 µg cm⁻² Chl a and 0.38 \pm 0.19 µg cm⁻² Chl a for 305 306 cold and warm temperatures, respectively) but was significantly higher with ARO, especially when 307 added as multiple pulses (Fig. 1). At the highest ARO concentration, periphyton biomass reached 2.90 \pm 0.61 µg cm⁻² Chl a (cold) and 3.51 \pm 0.67 µg cm⁻² Chl a () when ARO was added as multiple pulses 308 (XXX), while it reached only 2.05 \pm 0.60 µg Chl a cm⁻² (in cold) and 2.11 \pm 0.78 µg Chl a cm⁻² (in warm) 309 310 when ARO was added as a single dose (3X). ARO, and particularly exposure to multiple pulses, 311 increased the P content of periphyton (p < 0.001 and p = 0.019). Warming did not significantly affect 312 final periphyton biomass (p = 0.48).

D. magna neonates disappeared in all microcosms except six microcosms where *D. magna* population develop but also disappeared before the end of the experiment. ARO strongly affected the mussels, all of which died at the higher ARO concentration (independent of frequency of addition), while they survived in all control microcosms. No clear increase in mussel size was observed in any of the microcosms where they remained alive.

318 The snails survived in all the microcosms except one. A noticeable size increase ($65.8 \pm 35.4\%$) and 319 the production of a high number of eggs (up to 489 eggs in one microcosm, but several microcosms 320 with no visible eggs) were observed. Juvenile snails were also observed, principally in the controls 321 (supplementary material). ARO strongly reduced the growth of L. stagnalis (Fig. 1). Multiple pulses 322 led to a higher reduction of growth than a single addition of ARO (Fig. 1). Warming had no significant 323 effect on snail growth or reproduction. The number of snail eggs was not affected by ARO 324 treatments, but the number of juveniles was strongly reduced by ARO concentration independent of 325 the exposure mode (Fig. 1). Damage due to snail feeding on *P. perfoliatus* was observed in 80% of the 326 controls; in 44%, 20% and 30% of the microcosms in the 1X, 2X and 3X treatments; and in 0% of the 327 microcosms in the multiple pulses (XX and XXX) treatments.

ARO and warming induced a decrease of the proportion of green algae and an increase of diatoms in the periphyton (Fig. 2). ARO also caused an increase of the diatom pigment in the phytoplankton. Warming negatively affected the growth of *M. spicatum* and *E. nuttallii* but not of *P. perfoliatus*. Repeated addition of ARO had a negative effect on macrophytes when compared with a single addition (Fig. 2).

Nitrate concentrations at the end of the experiment increased significantly with increasing ARO concentrations (p < 0.001). This effect was stronger in treatments with repeated ARO addition as compared to single additions (p < 0.001, Fig. 1). Concentrations of soluble reactive phosphate were lower in treatments with multiple pulses as compared to single additions. Warming led to significantly lower dissolved phosphate concentrations (p = 0.02, Fig. 1)

338

339 **3.4. Structural equation modelling**

340 A first SEM model was constructed including all possible relationships among measured variables and 341 between the measured variables and experimental parameters (Table 2, supplementary material Fig. 342 S6). We did not find a significant relationship between warming and any measured parameter in the 343 SEM performed, and therefore removed warming effects in the model. In the resulting model 344 (supplementary material Fig. S6), only periphyton biomass and snail growth were directly affected by 345 ARO. To explore further the direct and indirect effects of ARO on macrophyte or phytoplankton 346 dominance, we used a third model considering only the effect of periphyton on phytoplankton and of 347 periphyton on macrophytes without the respective reciprocal effects (Fig. 3).

348 Our model showed a strong positive effect of ARO concentration on periphyton development not 349 only directly (path = 0.59, p < 0.001; Fig. 3) but also indirectly through the negative effect of ARO on 350 periphyton grazers (Fig. 3). Indeed, ARO decreased grazer growth, which in turn reduced grazer 351 consumption of periphyton leading finally to an increased periphyton development (Fig. 3). We also 352 found a strong negative effect of periphyton on phytoplankton development (path = -0.69, p < 0.001; 353 Fig. 3). Therefore, phytoplankton development was indirectly affected by ARO through its effect on 354 periphyton. Our model further indicated no significant relationship between macrophyte biomass 355 and other parameters.

356

357 4. Discussion

The combination of experiments with one and two trophic levels allowed us to assess direct and indirect effects of two major environmental stressors, climate warming and agricultural run-off (ARO) on the functioning of shallow aquatic systems. Confirming our first hypothesis, we showed direct effects of ARO on primary producers and primary consumers. We further revealed the structuring role of periphyton and their grazers *(L. stagnalis)* in these systems often described only by the 363 alternative dominance of submerged macrophytes or phytoplankton (Scheffer and van Nes, 2007; Scheffer et al., 1993). Repeated exposure to ARO, as can be expected for shallow aquatic systems in 364 an agricultural landscape, enhanced these effects. In contrast to our expectations, warming by 365 366 approx. 3.5 °C did not enhance the negative effects, probably because our tested temperature 367 increase did not affect primary producers directly. Our results underline the importance to increase 368 ecological complexity when evaluating the effect of agricultural run-off including pesticides on 369 aquatic systems, as single species tests would not be able to reveal all direct and indirect effects. In 370 the following, we will detail how the results matched with our initial hypotheses.

371 **4.1.** Direct effects of ARO and warming on single trophic levels

372 To test our first hypothesis, experiments 1 and 2 were designed to determine the effect of the 373 stressors without trophic interactions. We observed a positive effect of ARO on phytoplankton 374 growth. This positive effect may be linked to the presence of nitrate in the ARO because it is 375 consistent with what can be expected with nitrate addition in P-rich environments (Donald et al., 376 2011; Leavitt et al., 2006; Paerl and Scott, 2010). The low amount of remaining nitrate at the end of 377 the experiment further supports a possible effect of nitrate. This increase in phytoplankton biomass 378 did not lead to a strong negative competitive effect on macrophytes, as could be expected, and there 379 was no shift to a typical phytoplankton-dominated state. However, such shifts can be expected under 380 in situ conditions, when higher water depth and longer duration result in significant shading of 381 macrophytes by phytoplankton.

The most remarkable direct effect of ARO was a strong inhibition of growth and feeding of the snail *L.* stagnalis. This is consistent with the known effect of pesticides and copper on this species (Amorim et al., 2019; Tufi et al., 2016). Indeed, Tufi et al. (2016) observed acetylcholinesterase inhibition on *L.* stagnalis exposed to 3.62 μ g L⁻¹ of pirimicarb. In our experiment, pirimicarb concentration ranged from 5 to 15 μ g L⁻¹. Tebuconazole and copper are linked to embryotoxicity in terrestrial snails (*Helix aspersa*; Druart et al., 2012). The highest concentration of Cu used in our study (42 μ g L⁻¹) is in the range of the estimated EC50 on juvenile *L. stagnalis* growth rate in a 28-day experiment (Vlaeminck et al., 2019). Nitrate cannot be responsible for the negative effect observed because the concentration in our experiment was more than 50-fold below the toxic concentration for any freshwater mollusc (Soucek and Dickinson, 2012).

392 The hypothesis that warming will promote phytoplankton dominance could not be confirmed. 393 Warming did not affect primary producers nor snail feeding activity. This result is surprising because 394 warming in the range of our experiments brings both chlorophytes and cyanobacteria closer to their 395 optimum temperature (Lürling et al., 2013), and snail activity was expected to increase with warming 396 in the tested range (Schaum et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). However, a lack of warming effects on 397 macrophytes was also reported in other studies, for instance, McKee et al., (2002) observed no 398 temperature-induced change in the development of macrophytes in a 2-year mesocosm experiment 399 with a 3°C warming above ambient temperature. Similarly, Feuchtmayr et al. (2019) found no effect 400 on primary producers using a 4°C temperature elevation, in a 1-year experiment where temperature 401 followed natural variations. Primary producers may have been driven more by nutrient limitation 402 (although we regularly added nutrients) than by temperature. Indeed, an antagonist between 403 warming and nutrient limitation have been observed in several studies (Birk et al., 2020; Richardson 404 et al., 2019). Also, studies with an impact of temperature on grazers (Schaum et al., 2018; Zhang et 405 al., 2018) used a higher temperature difference than our study.

406

407 **4.2.** Importance of indirect effects mediated by biotic interactions in a more complex system

In experiment 3, including both primary producers and grazers, the net effect of ARO on phytoplankton development was negative and thus opposite to the single trophic level experiment, probably due to the toxic effects of ARO on periphyton grazers that released periphyton from grazing pressure. By this mechanism, ARO had an indirect positive effect on periphyton, in addition to the direct positive effect of ARO on periphyton indicated by the SEM model. A strong negative

relationship was also observed between periphyton and phytoplankton probably due to competition
between the two functional groups for nutrients (more specifically for phosphorus because a
decrease in phytoplankton biomass was observed while nitrate was added with the ARO).

416 The negative effect of ARO on L. stagnalis was reduced in experiment 3 (with primary producers and 417 grazers), where the microcosms also included primary producers, compared to experiment 2 (grazers 418 alone). Different factors may explain this reduced effect. Notably, the other organisms present may 419 also have led to a reduced exposure of snails due to increased adsorption and degradation of 420 toxicants. Periphyton also represents a higher quality food than lettuce, leading to a decrease in the 421 sensitivity to toxicants (Fidder et al., 2018; Vlaeminck et al., 2019). Beside effects of food quality, the 422 available food in terms of periphyton biomass in the control microcosms was very low. Snail growth 423 may have been food limited, thus reducing the magnitude of the observed effect of ARO. This is 424 supported by the fact that L. stagnalis grazing on P. perfoliatus was more frequently observed in the 425 control microcosms than in treatments with higher periphyton biomass, indicating a limitation in the 426 availability of periphyton that they preferentially graze. This effect of resource limitation on snail 427 growth may have led to an underestimation of ARO effects on L. stagnalis.

This may have also resulted in an underestimation of the indirect effect of ARO on periphyton mediated by snail grazing as well as a lower direct effect than estimated with the SEM. Moreover, this is supported by the fact that ARO did not strongly affect periphyton in experiment 1 (primary producers only), so a strong direct effect is unlikely.

The inhibition of phytoplankton development by periphyton that we observed is consistent with other mesocosm studies that attributed this inhibition to allelopathic effects of filamentous algae (Trochine et al., 2011) or to nutrient competition (Kazanjian et al., 2018). Indeed, a higher periphyton biomass in ARO treatments retained more P, which was not available for phytoplankton development.

437 The role of periphyton and their grazers is not considered in classical models of alternative stable states in shallow eutrophic lakes (Scheffer and van Nes, 2007; Scheffer et al., 1993). Yet, shading 438 439 effects of periphyton on macrophytes (Jones and Sayer, 2003; Phillips et al., 2016; Roberts et al., 440 2003) and positive effects of snail grazing on macrophytes are well known (Mormul et al., 2018). Our 441 results contrasted with theory predicting the increase of phytoplankton biomass and the regression 442 of macrophytes in shallow lakes as a result of eutrophication and warming (Kosten et al., 2012; Mooij 443 et al., 2007; Moss et al., 2011; Scheffer and van Nes, 2007). However, they support modelling studies 444 (Genkai-Kato et al., 2012; Jäger and Diehl, 2014) indicating that also periphyton can control the 445 development of phytoplankton. This suggests a key role of periphyton and their grazers in macrophyte-phytoplankton interactions, as already outlined by Phillips et al. (1978), reinforced in 446 447 Phillips et al. (2016). We therefore consider that periphyton should receive more attention among 448 the steering factors controlling alternative stable states in shallow lakes.

449 We show here that while some stressors are expected leading to higher phytoplankton abundance 450 due to direct effects, complex interactions can lead to completely different results. Generally, the 451 monitoring of lake ecological quality is based on an indicator of eutrophication, including for example 452 measurement of phytoplankton Chl a (Laplace-Treyture and Feret, 2016). The complex effect of ARO 453 observed in this study can remain undetected by lake monitoring programs although the functioning 454 of the system has been truly altered. Similarly, while different environmental parameters are used to 455 predict the occurrence of cyanobacterial blooms (Zhao et al., 2019a), biotic interactions are not 456 included. Such indirect effects must be take into account, and therefore multiple components of the 457 ecosystems should be used for ecosystem health assessment (Zhao et al., 2019b), together with a 458 good understanding of mechanistic pathways linking stressors to observed effects.

459

460 **4.3. ARO arriving in multiple pulses increases effects**

461 In our third hypothesis, we expected that exposure to multiple pulses, compared to a single addition 462 of the same quantity of pollutants, would allow the system to remain below a critical threshold, thus 463 allowing the organisms to acclimate and reducing the possibility of a shift towards the dominance by phytoplankton. The stronger negative effect of multiple pulses on snail growth might be due to a 464 465 delayed recovery after multiple exposures. The positive effect on periphyton development is most 466 probably an indirect effect caused via the direct negative effects on grazers. However, a similar 467 nearly significant trend was observed in the first experiment. Therefore, the positive effect on biofilm 468 might be, partially, a direct effect of ARO, particularly the recurrent nitrate. A few studies have 469 considered single or repeated additions of toxicants. Pulses of herbicide have been suggested to 470 modulate the impact on macrophytes and algae of a subsequent exposure, while it depends strongly 471 on the ability of the organisms to recover from previous exposure (Vallotton et al., 2008, 2009; Varga 472 et al., 2019). The stronger effect of the multiple-pulse exposure on the grazers and periphyton can be 473 due to a rapid degradation of the pesticides or an interaction between pesticides or copper and 474 compounds present in the microcosms (e.g. extracellular polymeric substances produced by biofilm) 475 or changes in copper redox states (Coutaud et al., 2018) that limit the ARO effect. In an experiment 476 with similar microcosms, using ARO concentrations equivalent to our 3X treatment but with only the 477 primary producers present, 54% of terbuthylazine, 67% of pirimicarb and 88% of tebuconazole were 478 recovered in the water after 20 days (data not shown). It is therefore important to consider that ARO 479 input to lakes as multiple pulses can possibly increase the effect of agricultural run-off. Indeed, 480 multiple pulses can better reflect the real contamination patterns of aquatic ecosystems (Daouk et 481 al., 2013; Reinert et al., 2002).

482 5. **Conclusion**

483 Shallow lakes are complex ecosystems influenced by a strong benthic-pelagic coupling. They are 484 considered in a good ecological status when dominated by submerged macrophytes, while 485 dominance of phytoplankton or a massive development of periphytic algae are signs of degradation.

A few studies have already addressed of the potential of eutrophication and/or climate warming for 486 487 shifts between alternative stable states typical for these systems. Yet, our study is the first to investigate the impact of single or multiple pulses of agricultural run-off composed of organic and 488 inorganic pesticides and nitrates in the context of climate warming. We tested the first two trophic 489 490 levels, primary producers and primary consumers, and show that negative effects can foster either 491 phytoplankton or periphyton development. Our findings underline the importance to go beyond 492 simple laboratory systems using only single species or functional groups. Single species tests cannot 493 account for the complexity in these systems due to multiple direct and indirect effects acting in 494 parallel. Our series of microcosm experiments based on individual and combined trophic levels coupled with SEM allowed us to disentangle direct and indirect effects of two major global stressors, 495 496 climate warming and agricultural run-off (ARO) on the development of macrophytes, phytoplankton 497 and periphytic algae. We showed that direct positive effects of ARO on phytoplankton were 498 completely reversed by indirect effects mediated by biotic interactions such as the competition 499 between periphyton and phytoplankton or herbivory by grazers on periphyton. Effect of ARO on 500 grazers such as L. stagnalis strongly affected the balance between functional groups of primary producers, and this effect was enhanced when ARO arrived in multiple pulses. Experiments with 501 502 more complex systems allowing to take into account biotic interactions and different scenarios of 503 stressor exposure are a more reliable basis for ecosystem assessment and management.

504 Acknowledgments

- 505 This work was part of the CLIMSHIFT project funded by ANR [ANR-17-CE32-0013-01] and the EC2CO
- 506 CNRS INSU project I-MusSCyc. We thank N. Amoussou for assistance during sampling.

507 References

508Adrian, R., O'Reilly, C.M., Zagarese, H., Baines, S.B., Hessen, D.O., Keller, W., Livingstone, D.M.,509Sommaruga, R., Straile, D., van Donk, E., Weyhenmeyer, G.A., Winder, M., 2009. Lakes as510sentinels of climate change. Limnol. Oceanogr. 54, 2283–2297.511https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6_part_2.2283

512 AFNOR (1990). Eaux-Méthodes d'Essais. Association Française de Normalisation, Paris.

- Alexander, A.C., Culp, J.M., Baird, D.J., Cessna, A.J., 2016. Nutrient–insecticide interactions decouple
 density-dependent predation pressure in aquatic insects. Freshw. Biol. 61, 2090–2101.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12711
- Alexander, A.C., Luis, A.T., Culp, J.M., Baird, D.J., Cessna, A.J., 2013. Can nutrients mask community
 responses to insecticide mixtures? Ecotoxicology 22, 1085–1100.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-013-1096-3
- Amorim, J., Abreu, I., Rodrigues, P., Peixoto, D., Pinheiro, C., Saraiva, A., Carvalho, A.P., Guimarães, L.,
 Oliva-Teles, L., 2019. Lymnaea stagnalis as a freshwater model invertebrate for
 ecotoxicological studies. Sci. Total Environ. 669, 11–28.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.035
- Artigas, J., Pascault, N., Bouchez, A., Chastain, J., Debroas, D., Humbert, J.F., Leloup, J., Tadonleke,
 R.D., ter Halle, A., Pesce, S., 2014. Comparative sensitivity to the fungicide tebuconazole of
 biofilm and plankton microbial communities in freshwater ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ.
 468, 326–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.074
- Barnosky, A.D., Matzke, N., Tomiya, S., Wogan, G.O.U., Swartz, B., Quental, T.B., Marshall, C.,
 McGuire, J.L., Lindsey, E.L., Maguire, K.C., Mersey, B., Ferrer, E.A., 2011. Has the Earth's sixth
 mass extinction already arrived? Nature 471, 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09678
- Berben, L., Sereika, S.M., Engberg, S., 2012. Effect size estimation: Methods and examples. Int. J.
 Nurs. Stud. 49, 1039–1047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.01.015
- Birk, S., Chapman, D., Carvalho, L., Spears, B.M., Andersen, H.E., Argillier, C., Auer, S., BaattrupPedersen, A., Banin, L., Beklioğlu, M., Bondar-Kunze, E., Borja, A., Branco, P., Bucak, T.,
 Buijse, A.D., Cardoso, A.C., Couture, R.-M., Cremona, F., de Zwart, D., Feld, C.K., Ferreira,
- 535 M.T., Feuchtmayr, H., Gessner, M.O., Gieswein, A., Globevnik, L., Graeber, D., Graf, W.,
- 536 Gutiérrez-Cánovas, C., Hanganu, J., Işkın, U., Järvinen, M., Jeppesen, E., Kotamäki, N., Kuijper,
- 537 M., Lemm, J.U., Lu, S., Solheim, A.L., Mischke, U., Moe, S.J., Nõges, P., Nõges, T., Ormerod,
- 538 S.J., Panagopoulos, Y., Phillips, G., Posthuma, L., Pouso, S., Prudhomme, C., Rankinen, K.,
- 539 Rasmussen, J.J., Richardson, J., Sagouis, A., Santos, J.M., Schäfer, R.B., Schinegger, R.,
- 540 Schmutz, S., Schneider, S.C., Schülting, L., Segurado, P., Stefanidis, K., Sures, B., Thackeray,
- 541 S.J., Turunen, J., Uyarra, M.C., Venohr, M., von der Ohe, P.C., Willby, N., Hering, D., 2020. 542 Impacts of multiple stressors on freshwater biota across spatial scales and ecosystems. Nat.
- 543 Ecol. Evol. 4, 1060–1068. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1216-4
- 544 Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M., West, G.B., 2004. Toward a metabolic theory of 545 ecology. Ecology 85, 1771–1789. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-9000
- 546Bruder, A., Frainer, A., Rota, T., Primicerio, R., 2019. The importance of ecological networks in547multiple-stressor research and management. Front. Environ. Sci. 7:59.548https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00059
- Butchart, S.H.M., Walpole, M., Collen, B., Strien, A. van, Scharlemann, J.P.W., Almond, R.E.A., Baillie,
 J.E.M., Bomhard, B., Brown, C., Bruno, J., Carpenter, K.E., Carr, G.M., Chanson, J., Chenery,
 A.M., Csirke, J., Davidson, N.C., Dentener, F., Foster, M., Galli, A., Galloway, J.N., Genovesi, P.,
- 552 Gregory, R.D., Hockings, M., Kapos, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Leverington, F., Loh, J., McGeoch,
- 553 M.A., McRae, L., Minasyan, A., Morcillo, M.H., Oldfield, T.E.E., Pauly, D., Quader, S., Revenga,
- 554 C., Sauer, J.R., Skolnik, B., Spear, D., Stanwell-Smith, D., Stuart, S.N., Symes, A., Tierney, M.,
- 555 Tyrrell, T.D., Vié, J.-C., Watson, R., 2010. Global Biodiversity: Indicators of Recent Declines. 556 Science 328, 1164–1168. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1187512
- Capdeville, C., Pommier, T., Gervaix, J., Fromard, F., Rols, J.-L., Leflaive, J., 2019. Mangrove facies
 drives resistance and resilience of sediment microbes exposed to anthropic disturbance.
 Front. Microbiol. 9: 3337. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03337
- Coutaud, M., Méheut, M., Glatzel, P., Pokrovski, G.S., Viers, J., Rols, J.-L., Pokrovsky, O.S., 2018. Small
 changes in Cu redox state and speciation generate large isotope fractionation during
 adsorption and incorporation of Cu by a phototrophic biofilm. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta
 220, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.09.018

- 564 Daouk, S., Copin, P.-J., Rossi, L., Chèvre, N., Pfeifer, H.-R., 2013. Dynamics and environmental risk 565 assessment of the herbicide glyphosate and its metabolite AMPA in a small vineyard river of 566 the Lake Geneva catchment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 32, 2035-2044. 567 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2276
- Davis, S.J., Ó hUallacháin, D., Mellander, P.-E., Matthaei, C.D., Piggott, J.J., Kelly-Quinn, M., 2019.
 Chronic nutrient inputs affect stream macroinvertebrate communities more than acute
 inputs: An experiment manipulating phosphorus, nitrogen and sediment. Sci. Total Environ.
 683, 9–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.031
- 572Donald, D.B., Bogard, M.J., Finlay, K., Leavitt, P.R., 2011. Comparative effects of urea, ammonium,573and nitrate on phytoplankton abundance, community composition, and toxicity in574hypereutrophic freshwaters. Limnol. Oceanogr. 56, 2161–2175.575https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.6.2161
- 576 Druart, C., Scheifler, R., Millet, M., de Vaufleury, A., 2012. Landsnail eggs bioassays: A new tool to
 577 assess embryotoxicity of contaminants in the solid, liquid or gaseous phase of soil. Appl. Soil
 578 Ecol. 53, 56–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.11.006
- Elger, A., Willby, N.J., Cabello-Martinez, M., 2009. Invertebrate grazing during the regenerative phase
 affects the ultimate structure of macrophyte communities. Freshw. Biol. 54, 1246–1255.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02171.x
- Fan, Y., Chen, J., Shirkey, G., John, R., Wu, S.R., Park, H., Shao, C., 2016. Applications of structural
 equation modeling (SEM) in ecological studies: an updated review. Ecol. Process. 5, 19.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-016-0063-3
- Feuchtmayr, H., Pottinger, T.G., Moore, A., De Ville, M.M., Caillouet, L., Carter, H.T., Pereira, M.G.,
 Maberly, S.C., 2019. Effects of brownification and warming on algal blooms, metabolism and
 higher trophic levels in productive shallow lake mesocosms. Sci. Total Environ. 678, 227–238.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.105
- Fidder, B.N., Reátegui-Zirena, E.G., Salice, C.J., 2018. Diet quality affects chemical tolerance in the
 freshwater snail *Lymnaea stagnalis*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 37, 1158–1167.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4064
- Genkai-Kato, M., Vadeboncoeur, Y., Liboriussen, L., Jeppesen, E., 2012. Benthic–planktonic coupling,
 regime shifts, and whole-lake primary production in shallow lakes. Ecology 93, 619–631.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/10-2126.1
- Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., West, G.B., Savage, V.M., Charnov, E.L., 2001. Effects of Size and
 Temperature on Metabolic Rate. Science 293, 2248–2251.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1061967
- 598Grace, J.B., Anderson, T.M., Olff, H., Scheiner, S.M., 2010. On the specification of structural equation599models for ecological systems. Ecol. Monogr. 80, 67–87. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0464.1
- 600Grace, J.B., Irvine, K.M., 2020. Scientist's guide to developing explanatory statistical models using601causal analysis principles. Ecology 101, e02962. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2962
- Grace, J.B., Schoolmaster, D.R., Guntenspergen, G.R., Little, A.M., Mitchell, B.R., Miller, K.M.,
 Schweiger, E.W., 2012. Guidelines for a graph-theoretic implementation of structural
 equation modeling. Ecosphere 3, art73. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES12-00048.1
- Gross, E.M., Nuttens, A., Paroshin, D., Hussner, A., 2018. Sensitive response of sediment-grown
 Myriophyllum spicatum L. to arsenic pollution under different CO2 availability. Hydrobiologia
 812, 177–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-2956-7
- Hedges, L.V., 1981. Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. J.
 Educ. Stat. https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986006002107
- Hijosa-Valsero, M., Bécares, E., Fernández-Aláez, C., Fernández-Aláez, M., Mayo, R., Jiménez, J.J.,
 2016. Chemical pollution in inland shallow lakes in the Mediterranean region (NW Spain):
 PAHs, insecticides and herbicides in water and sediments. Sci. Total Environ. 544, 797–810.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.160

- Hilt, S., Brothers, S., Jeppesen, E., Veraart, A.J., Kosten, S., 2017. Translating Regime Shifts in Shallow
 Lakes into Changes in Ecosystem Functions and Services. Bioscience 67, 928–936.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix106
- Huisman, J., Codd, G.A., Paerl, H.W., Ibelings, B.W., Verspagen, J.M.H., Visser, P.M., 2018.
 Cyanobacterial blooms. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 16, 471–483. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579018-0040-1
- IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the
 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing
 Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.
- Ippolito, A., Kattwinkel, M., Rasmussen, J.J., Schäfer, R.B., Fornaroli, R., Liess, M., 2015. Modeling
 global distribution of agricultural insecticides in surface waters. Environ. Pollut. 198, 54–60.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.12.016
- Jackson, M.C., Loewen, C.J., Vinebrooke, R.D., Chimimba, C.T., 2016. Net effects of multiple stressors
 in freshwater ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Glob. Change Biol. 22, 180–189.
- Jäger, C.G., Diehl, S., 2014. Resource competition across habitat boundaries: asymmetric interactions
 between benthic and pelagic producers. Ecol. Monogr. 84, 287–302.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0613.1
- Janssen, A.B.G., Hilt, S., Kosten, S., Klein, J.J.M., Paerl, H.W., Van de Waal, D.B., 2020. Shifting states,
 shifting services: Linking regime shifts to changes in ecosystem services of shallow lakes.
 Freshw. Biol. fwb.13582. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13582
- Jones, J.I., Sayer, C.D., 2003. Does the fish–invertebrate–periphyton cascade precipitate plant loss in
 shallow lakes? Ecology 84, 2155–2167. https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0422
- Kazanjian, G., Velthuis, M., Aben, R., Stephan, S., Peeters, E.T.H.M., Frenken, T., Touwen, J., Xue, F.,
 Kosten, S., Van de Waal, D.B., de Senerpont Domis, L.N., van Donk, E., Hilt, S., 2018. Impacts
 of warming on top-down and bottom-up controls of periphyton production. Sci. Rep. 8, 9901.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26348-x
- Kosten, S., Huszar, V.L.M., Bécares, E., Costa, L.S., van Donk, E., Hansson, L.-A., Jeppesen, E., Kruk, C.,
 Lacerot, G., Mazzeo, N., Meester, L.D., Moss, B., Lürling, M., Nõges, T., Romo, S., Scheffer,
 M., 2012. Warmer climates boost cyanobacterial dominance in shallow lakes. Glob. Change
 Biol. 18, 118–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02488.x
- 644 Krieger, R.I., 2010. Hayes' handbook of pesticide toxicology, Third edition. ed. Elsevier/AP, 645 Amsterdam; Boston.
- Lamichhane, J.R., Osdaghi, E., Behlau, F., Köhl, J., Jones, J.B., Aubertot, J.-N., 2018. Thirteen decades
 of antimicrobial copper compounds applied in agriculture. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 38,
 28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-018-0503-9
- Laplace-Treyture, C., Feret, T., 2016. Performance of the Phytoplankton Index for Lakes (IPLAC): A
 multimetric phytoplankton index to assess the ecological status of water bodies in France.
 Ecol. Indic. 69, 686–698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.05.025
- Leavitt, P.R., Brock, C.S., Ebel, C., Patoine, A., 2006. Landscape-scale effects of urban nitrogen on a
 chain of freshwater lakes in central North America. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 2262–2277.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.2262
- Liess, M., Schulz, R., Liess, M.H.-D., Rother, B., Kreuzig, R., 1999. Determination of insecticide
 contamination in agricultural headwater streams. Water Res. 33, 239–247.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(98)00174-2
- Lorente, C., Causapé, J., Glud, R.N., Hancke, K., Merchán, D., Muñiz, S., Val, J., Navarro, E., 2015.
 Impacts of agricultural irrigation on nearby freshwater ecosystems: The seasonal influence of
 triazine herbicides in benthic algal communities. Sci. Total Environ., 151–158.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.108
- Lürling, M., Eshetu, F., Faassen, E.J., Kosten, S., Huszar, V.L.M., 2013. Comparison of cyanobacterial
 and green algal growth rates at different temperatures. Freshwater Biology 58, 552–559.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2012.02866.x

- Mackey, M., Mackey, D., Higgins, H., Wright, S., 1996. CHEMTAX a program for estimating class
 abundances from chemical markers:application to HPLC measurements of phytoplankton.
 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 144, 265–283. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps144265
- Majdi, N., Boiché, A., Traunspurger, W., Lecerf, A., 2014. Predator effects on a detritus-based food
 web are primarily mediated by non-trophic interactions. J. Anim. Ecol. 83, 953–962.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12189
- Majdi, N., Traunspurger, W., Boyer, S., Mialet, B., Tackx, M., Fernandez, R., Gehner, S., Ten-Hage, L.,
 Buffan-Dubau, E., 2011. Response of biofilm-dwelling nematodes to habitat changes in the
 Garonne River, France: influence of hydrodynamics and microalgal availability. Hydrobiologia
 673, 229–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0781-6
- Malaj, E., Ohe, P.C. von der, Grote, M., Kühne, R., Mondy, C.P., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Brack, W.,
 Schäfer, R.B., 2014. Organic chemicals jeopardize the health of freshwater ecosystems on the
 continental scale. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 9549–9554.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321082111
- Mckee, D., Hatton, K., Eaton, J.W., Atkinson, D., Atherton, A., Harvey, I., Moss, B., 2002. Effects of
 simulated climate warming on macrophytes in freshwater microcosm communities. Aquat.
 Bot. 74, 71–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3770(02)00048-7
- Mooij, W.M., Janse, J.H., De Senerpont Domis, L.N., Hülsmann, S., Ibelings, B.W., 2007. Predicting the
 effect of climate change on temperate shallow lakes with the ecosystem model PCLake.
 Hydrobiologia 584, 443–454. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-0600-2
- Mormul, R.P., Ahlgren, J., Brönmark, C., 2018. Snails have stronger indirect positive effects on
 submerged macrophyte growth attributes than zooplankton. Hydrobiologia 807, 165–173.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3391-0
- Moss, B., Kosten, S., Meerhoff, M., Battarbee, R.W., Jeppesen, E., Mazzeo, N., Havens, K., Lacerot, G.,
 Liu, Z., Meester, L.D., Paerl, H., Scheffer, M., 2011. Allied attack: climate change and
 eutrophication. Inland Waters 1, 101–105. https://doi.org/10.5268/IW-1.2.359
- 691OECD(2014). TestNo. 239: Water-Sediment*Myriophyllum Spicatum*ToxicityTest.692https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264224155-en.
- 693
 OECD
 (2016),
 Test
 No.
 243:
 Lymnaea
 stagnalis
 Reproduction
 Test.

 694
 https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264264335-en.
 Reproduction
 Test.
- 695 Ormerod, S.J., Dobson, M., Hildrew, A.G., Townsend, C., 2010. Multiple stressors in freshwater 696 ecosystems. Freshw. Biol. 55, 1–4.
- 697
 Paerl,
 H.W.,
 Huisman,
 J.,
 2008.
 Blooms
 like
 it
 hot.
 Science
 320,
 57–58.

 698
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155398
 https://doi.0126/science.1155398
 https://doi.0126/science.1155398
 https://doi.0126/science.1155398
 https://doi.0126/science.1155398
 https://doi.0126/science.1155398
 https://doi
- Paerl, H.W., Scott, J.T., 2010. Throwing fuel on the fire: Synergistic effects of excessive nitrogen
 inputs and global warming on harmful algal blooms. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 7756–7758.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es102665e
- 702Peters, K., Bundschuh, M., Schäfer, R.B., 2013. Review on the effects of toxicants on freshwater703ecosystemfunctions.Environ.Pollut.180,324–329.704https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.05.025
- Phillips, G., Willby, N., Moss, B., 2016. Submerged macrophyte decline in shallow lakes: What have we learnt in the last forty years? Aquat. Bot., Forty years of aquatic botany, what have we learned? 135, 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.04.004
- Phillips, G.L., Eminson, D., Moss, B., 1978. A mechanism to account for macrophyte decline in progressively eutrophicated freshwaters. Aquat. Bot. 4, 103–126.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(78)90012-8
- Piggott, J.J., Salis, R.K., Lear, G., Townsend, C.R., Matthaei, C.D., 2015. Climate warming and agricultural stressors interact to determine stream periphyton community composition.
 Glob. Change Biol. 21, 206–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12661
- Reid, A.J., Carlson, A.K., Creed, I.F., Eliason, E.J., Gell, P.A., Johnson, P.T.J., Kidd, K.A., MacCormack,
- 715 T.J., Olden, J.D., Ormerod, S.J., Smol, J.P., Taylor, W.W., Tockner, K., Vermaire, J.C., Dudgeon,

- 716D., Cooke, S.J., 2019. Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater717biodiversity. Biol. Rev. 94, 849–873. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12480
- Reinert, K.H., Giddings, J.M., Judd, L., 2002. Effects analysis of time-varying or repeated exposures in aquatic ecological risk assessment of agrochemicals. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 21, 1977–1992. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620210928
- Reisner, M.D., Grace, J.B., Pyke, D.A., Doescher, P.S., 2013. Conditions favouring Bromus tectorum
 dominance of endangered sagebrush steppe ecosystems. J. Appl. Ecol. 50, 1039–1049.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12097
- Richardson, J., Feuchtmayr, H., Miller, C., Hunter, P.D., Maberly, S.C., Carvalho, L., 2019. Response of
 cyanobacteria and phytoplankton abundance to warming, extreme rainfall events and
 nutrient enrichment. Glob. Change Biol. 25, 3365–3380. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14701
- Roberts, E., Kroker, J., Körner, S., Nicklisch, A., 2003. The role of periphyton during the re-colonization
 of a shallow lake with submerged macrophytes. Hydrobiologia 506, 525–530.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/B:HYDR.0000008560.73832.1c
- Rodrigues, A.C.M., Machado, A.L., Bordalo, M.D., Saro, L., Simão, F.C.P., Rocha, R.J.M., Golovko, O.,
 Žlábek, V., Barata, C., Soares, A.M.V.M., Pestana, J.L.T., 2018. Invasive Species Mediate
 Insecticide Effects on Community and Ecosystem Functioning. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52,
 4889–4900. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00193
- Sand-Jensen, K., Andersen, M.R., Martinsen, K.T., Borum, J., Kristensen, E., Kragh, T., 2019. Shallow
 plant-dominated lakes extreme environmental variability, carbon cycling and ecological
 species challenges. Ann. Bot. 124, 355–366. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz084
- Schaum, C.E., ffrench-Constant, R., Lowe, C., Ólafsson, J.S., Padfield, D., Yvon-Durocher, G., 2018.
 Temperature-driven selection on metabolic traits increases the strength of an algal–grazer
 interaction in naturally warmed streams. Glob. Change Biol. 24, 1793–1803.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14033
- Scheffer, M., Barrett, S., Carpenter, S.R., Folke, C., Green, A.J., Holmgren, M., Hughes, T.P., Kosten, S.,
 Leemput, I.A. van de, Nepstad, D.C., Nes, E.H. van, Peeters, E.T.H.M., Walker, B., 2015.
 Creating a safe operating space for iconic ecosystems. Science 347, 1317–1319.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa3769
- Scheffer, M., Hosper, S., Meijer, M., Moss, B., Jeppesen, E., 1993. Alternative equilibria in shallow
 lakes. Trends Ecol. Evol. 8, 275–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(93)90254-M
- Scheffer, M., van Nes, E.H., 2007. Shallow lakes theory revisited: Various alternative regimes driven
 by climate, nutrients, depth and lake size. Hydrobiologia 584, 455–466.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-0616-7
- Schriever, C.A., von der Ohe, P.C., Liess, M., 2007. Estimating pesticide runoff in small streams.
 Chemosphere 68, 2161–2171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.01.086
- Soucek, D.J., Dickinson, A., 2012. Acute toxicity of nitrate and nitrite to sensitive freshwater insects,
 mollusks, and a crustacean. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 62, 233–242.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-011-9705-8
- Stehle, S., Schulz, R., 2015. Agricultural insecticides threaten surface waters at the global scale. Proc.
 Natl. Acad. Sci. 112, 5750–5755. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1500232112
- Trochine, C., Guerrieri, M., Liboriussen, L., Meerhoff, M., Lauridsen, T.L., Søndergaard, M., Jeppesen,
 E., 2011. Filamentous green algae inhibit phytoplankton with enhanced effects when lakes
 get warmer. Freshw. Biol. 56, 541–553. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2010.02521.x
- Tufi, S., Wassenaar, P.N.H., Osorio, V., de Boer, J., Leonards, P.E.G., Lamoree, M.H., 2016. Pesticide
 Mixture Toxicity in Surface Water Extracts in Snails (Lymnaea stagnalis) by an in Vitro
 Acetylcholinesterase Inhibition Assay and Metabolomics. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 3937–
 3944. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04577
- Vallotton, N., Eggen, R.I.L., Chèvre, N., 2009. Effect of sequential isoproturon pulse exposure on
 Scenedesmus vacuolatus. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 56, 442–449.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-008-9200-z

- Vallotton, N., Moser, D., Eggen, R.I.L., Junghans, M., Chèvre, N., 2008. S-metolachlor pulse exposure
 on the alga *Scenedesmus vacuolatus*: Effects during exposure and the subsequent recovery.
 Chemosphere 73, 395–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.05.039
- Varga, M., Horvatić, J., Žurga, P., Brusić, I., Moslavac, M., 2019. Phytotoxicity assessment of
 isoproturon on growth and physiology of non-targeted aquatic plant *Lemna minor* L. A
 comparison of continuous and pulsed exposure with equivalent time-averaged
 concentrations. Aquat. Toxicol. 213, 105225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105225
- Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D.A., Tranvik, L.J., 2014. A global inventory of lakes based on high resolution satellite imagery. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 6396–6402.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060641
- Vlaeminck, K., Viaene, K.P.J., Van Sprang, P., Baken, S., De Schamphelaere, K.A.C., 2019. The use of
 mechanistic population models in metal risk assessment: Combined Effects of Copper and
 Food Source on *Lymnaea stagnalis* populations. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 38, 1104–1119.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4391
- Zhang, P., Blonk, B.A., van den Berg, R.F., Bakker, E.S., 2018. The effect of temperature on herbivory
 by the omnivorous ectotherm snail *Lymnaea stagnalis*. Hydrobiologia 812, 147–155.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-2891-7
- Zhao, C. S., Shao, N.F., Yang, S.T., Ren, H., Ge, Y.R., Feng, P., Dong, B.E., Zhao, Y., 2019a. Predicting
 cyanobacteria bloom occurrence in lakes and reservoirs before blooms occur. Sci. Total
 Environ. 670, 837–848. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.161
- Zhao, C.S., Yang, Y., Yang, S.T., Xiang, H., Wang, F., Chen, X., Zhang, H.M., Yu, Q., 2019b. Impact of
 spatial variations in water quality and hydrological factors on the food-web structure in
 urban aquatic environments. Water Res. 153, 121–133.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.01.015

792

791

796		Single addition			Multiple pulses	
707		1X	2X	3X	XX	XXX
/9/	Terbuthylazine (µg L ⁻¹)	1	2	3	2	3
798	Tebuconazole (µg L ⁻¹)	30	60	90	60	90
	Pirimicarb ($\mu g L^{-1}$)	5	10	15	10	15
799	Cu (μ g L ⁻¹)	14	28	42	28	42
	$N-NO_3 (mg L^{-1})$	3	6	9	6	9
800						

Table 1. Final concentration of the contaminants in the microcosms. For the experiment 2, only 1Xand 3X treatments were used.

801 802

-

Table 2. Links tested in the SEM. Experiment 1: primary producers alone, experiment 2: grazers alone.

Interaction	Effect	Hypothesis	Observed direct effect		
ARO \rightarrow macrophytes	+/-	Fertilisation by nitrates/toxicity of pesticides and Cu			
ARO \rightarrow periphyton	+/-	Fertilisation by nitrates/toxicity of pesticides and Cu	Non-significant effect but slight positive trend observed in experiment 1		
ARO \rightarrow phytoplankton	+	Fertilisation by nitrates/toxicity of pesticides and Cu	Positive effect observed in experiment 1		
ARO \rightarrow grazers	-	Toxicity of pesticides and Cu	Negative effect observed in experiment 2		
Temperature → macrophytes	+/-	Enhanced metabolisms/heat stress	No effect in experiment 1		
Temperature $ ightarrow$ periphyton	+/-	Enhanced metabolisms/heat stress	No effect in experiment 1		
Temperature → phytoplankton	+/-	Enhanced metabolisms/heat stress	No effect in experiment 1		
Temperature $ ightarrow$ grazers	+/-	Enhanced metabolisms/heat stress	Very limited effect in experiment 2		
Grazer $ ightarrow$ biofilm	-	grazing			
Grazer $ ightarrow$ macrophytes	-	grazing	Few damaged macrophytes		
Biofilm \rightarrow phytoplankton	-	Light & nutrient competition			
Phytoplankton $ ightarrow$ periphyton	-	Light & nutrient competition			
Phytoplankton → macrophytes	-	Light & nutrient competition			
Macrophytes $ ightarrow$ phytoplankton	-	Light & nutrient competition			
Macrophytes $ ightarrow$ periphyton	-	Light & nutrient competition			
Biofilm \rightarrow macrophytes	-	Light & nutrient competition			

806 Figures:





Fig. 1. Effect size (Hedges' g ± 95% confidence interval) on biomass or growth parameters in each
experiment for the three factors tested: ARO total concentration, exposure mode (*i.e.* single vs.
multiple pulses for a similar ARO concentration) and temperature. Open symbols show interaction
effect when significant. Grey symbols: non-significant effects, black symbols: significant effects.



815

Fig. 2. Effect size (Hedges' g ± 95% confidence interval) on the composition of periphyton, phytoplankton and macrophyte communities for the three factors tested: ARO total concentration, exposure mode (*i.e.* single vs. multiple pulses for a similar ARO concentration) and temperature. Variations of green algae, diatom and cyanobacteria biomass was assessed by taxa specific pigment/Chl a ratio. Cyanobacteria were absent in phytoplankton. Macrophyte biomass was separated into *M. spicatum*, *P. perfoliatus* and *E. nuttallii* biomass. Open symbols show interaction effect when significant. Grey symbols: non-significant effects, black symbols: significant effects.

823

824





Fig. 3. Path diagram of the selected SEM model. Red arrows indicate negative relations between variables. Green arrows indicate positive relations between variables. Dashed arrows represent nonsignificant relations between variables. Significant threshold was set at p = 0.05. R^2 are the

830 proportion of variation explained by the model for each response variable.