

# Unravelling the interplay between hyperkalaemia, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone inhibitor use and clinical outcomes. Data from 9222 chronic heart failure patients of the ESC-HFA-EORP Heart Failure Long-Term Registry

Patrick Rossignol, Mitja Lainscak, Maria G Crespo-Leiro, Cécile Laroche, Massimo F Piepoli, Gerasimos Filippatos, Giuseppe Mc Rosano, Gianluigi Savarese, Stefan D Anker, Frank Ruschitzka, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Patrick Rossignol, Mitja Lainscak, Maria G Crespo-Leiro, Cécile Laroche, Massimo F Piepoli, et al.. Unravelling the interplay between hyperkalaemia, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone inhibitor use and clinical outcomes. Data from 9222 chronic heart failure patients of the ESC-HFA-EORP Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2020, 22 (8), pp.1378-1389. 10.1002/ejhf.1793 hal-03038400

# HAL Id: hal-03038400 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03038400

Submitted on 4 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Unravelling the interplay between hyperkalaemia, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitor use and clinical outcomes. Data from 9 222 chronic heart failure patients of the ESC HFA EORP Heart Failure Long-Term HF LT Registry

Authors: Patrick Rossignol<sup>1</sup>, Mitja Lainscak<sup>2</sup>, Maria G Crespo-Leiro<sup>3</sup>, Cécile Laroche<sup>4</sup>, Massimo F Piepoli<sup>5</sup>, Gerasimos Filippatos<sup>6</sup>, Giuseppe MC Rosano<sup>7</sup>, Gianluigi Savarese<sup>8</sup>, Stefan D Anker<sup>9</sup>, Petar M Seferovic<sup>10</sup>, Frank Ruschitzka<sup>11</sup>, Andrew JS Coats<sup>7</sup>, Alexandre Mebazaa<sup>12</sup>, Theresa McDonagh<sup>13</sup>, Ana Sahuquillo<sup>14</sup>, Maria Penco<sup>15</sup>, Aldo P Maggioni<sup>4</sup>,<sup>16</sup>, Lars H Lund<sup>17</sup>, On behalf of the Heart Failure Long-Term Registry Investigators group \* \* Listed in the Appendix 1

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> Université de Lorraine, Centre d'Investigation Clinique Plurithématique 1433 -INSERM- CHRU de Nancy, Inserm U1116 & FCRIN INI-CRCT (Cardiovascular and Renal Clinical Trialists), Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France

<sup>2</sup> Division of Cardiology, General Hospital Murska Sobota, Murska Sobota and Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia, Slovenia

<sup>3</sup> Unidad de Insuficiencia Cardiaca y Trasplante Cardiaco, Complexo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruna (CHUAC), INIBIC, UDC, CIBERCV, La Coruna, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Heart Failure Unit, Cardiac Department, Guglielmo da Saliceto Hospital, AUSL Piacenza, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> EURObservational Research Programme, European Society of Cardiology, Sophia-Antipolis, France

<sup>6</sup> School of Medicine, University of Cyprus & Heart Failure Unit, Department of Cardiology,

University Hospital Attikon, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

<sup>7</sup> IRCCS, San Raffaele, Pisana, Rome, Italy

<sup>8</sup> Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; Heart and Vascular Theme, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>9</sup> Department of Cardiology (CVK); and Berlin Institute of Health Center for Regenerative Therapies

(BCRT); German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK) partner site Berlin; Charité

Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

<sup>10</sup> University of Belgrade Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>11</sup> University Hospital, Clinic of Cardiology, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>12</sup> UMR 942 Inserm MASCOT; Université de Paris; APHP Saint Louis Lariboisière University

Hospitals, Department of Anesthesia-Burn-Critical Care, Paris, France.

<sup>13</sup> King's College Hospital, London, United Kingdom

<sup>14</sup> Hospital de Manacor, Balearic Island, Spain

<sup>15</sup> Cardiology University of L'Aquila, Italy

<sup>16</sup> ANMCO Research Center, Florence, Italy

<sup>17</sup> Unit of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, and Heart and Vascular Theme, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

#### **Corresponding author**

Professor Patrick Rossignol

Centre d'Investigations Cliniques-INSERM CHRU de Nancy,

Institut Lorrain du Cœur et des Vaisseaux Louis Mathieu,

4 Rue du Morvan, 54500 Vandoeuvre lès Nancy, France.

E-mail: p.rossignol@chru-nancy.fr

Word count abstract: 248, text: 3390

#### Abstract

#### Aims:

We assessed the interplay between hyperkalaemia (HK) and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor (RAASi) use, dose and discontinuation, and their association with all-cause or cardiovascular (CV) death in patients with chronic heart failure (CHF). We hypothesized that HK-associated increased death may be related to RAASi withdrawal.

#### Methods and Results:

The ESC HFA EORP HF Long-Term Registry was used. Among 9222 out-patients (HF with reduced ejection fraction: 60.6%, HF with mid-range ejection fraction: 22.9%, HF with preserved ejection fraction: 16.5%) from 31 countries, 16.6% had HK ( $\geq$ 5.0 mmol/L) at baseline. ACEi or ARB was used in 88.3%, an MRA in 58.7%, or a combination in 53.2%; of these, at  $\geq$ 50% of target dose in ACEi: 61.8%; ARB: 64.7%; and MRA: 90.3%. At a median follow-up of 12.2 months, there were 789 deaths (8.6%). Both hypokalaemia and HK were independently associated with higher mortality, and ACEi/ARB prescription at baseline with lower mortality. MRA prescription was not retained in the model. In multivariable analyses, HK at baseline was independently associated with MRA non-prescription at baseline and subsequent discontinuation. When considering subsequent discontinuation of RAASi (instead of baseline use), HK was no longer found associated with all-cause deaths. Importantly, all RAASi (ACEI, ARB, or MRA) discontinuations were strongly associated with mortality. **Conclusions**:

In HF, hyper and hypokalaemia were associated with mortality. However, when adjusting for RAASi discontinuation, HK was no longer associated with mortality, suggesting that HK may be a risk marker for RAASi discontinuation rather than a risk factor for worse outcomes. **Keywords:** Hyperkalaemia; Hypokalaemia; Heart Failure; Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone System inhibitors; Prognosis-mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists.

3

#### Introduction

Inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAASi) (i.e. angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors [ACEs], angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), mineralocorticoid-receptor antagonists [MRAs], and angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors [ARNis]), and  $\beta$  blockers are the cornerstones of therapy in patients with chronic heart failure (CHF) with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Based on the highest level of evidence, they are strongly recommended in international guidelines (class IA(1)) for improving survival, decreasing sudden death, and preventing heart failure hospitalisations in patients with HFrEF (2). International registry data have shown that adherence to guideline-recommended medications in HFrEF is associated with improved outcomes (3). However, guidelines are not being implemented (4), both in terms of overall use and target doses, often because of actual, or concerns for potential adverse events (2) (5, 6). Hyperkalaemia, hypotension, deterioration of renal function, higher age and poor access to cardiologist care are the most frequently cited reasons for underdosing, underuse and discontinuation of RAAS inhibitors in patients with HFrEF (2, 3, 5, 7, 8) and at the same time, K is poorly monitored (9), even though benefits of RAASi agents appear as important in patients with severe CKD (10) and older age (11). The ESC HFA EORP Heart Failure Long-Term Registry (HF-LT) identified hyperkalaemia, impaired kidney function and hypotension as the main causes for the non-prescription or underdosing of RAASi (7).

In a recent observational study including all Stockholm citizens initiating MRA therapy, the development of hyperkalaemia within a year was associated with a four-fold significantly higher risk in overall mortality, with the results being consistent in the subpopulation of patients with HF. Following the occurrence of hyperkalaemia, 47% discontinued MRA whereas only 10% reduced the prescribed dose. Strikingly, when MRA was discontinued, most patients (76%) were not reintroduced to MRA therapy during the subsequent year (8).

4

Reintroduction of RAASi is less likely the longer the break in therapy (12). Therefore, hyperkalaemia, beyond its potentially lethal proarrhythmogenic properties, may be both a biomarker of RAASi under-use and under-dosing, a barrier to RAASi use, and a trigger for subsequent RAASi discontinuation, with all potentially being associated with poor outcomes in various populations (13), including HF (14).

We hypothesize that hyperkalaemia-associated increased death may be related to RAASi withdrawal. Therefore, using the ESC HFA EORP Heart Failure Long-Term Registry (HF-LT), we aimed to assess the interplay between hyperkalaemia (and its determinants), RAASi prescription and dosing at baseline, RAASi discontinuation, and associations with outcomes in out-patients with CHF enrolled in the HF-LT registry.

#### Methods

#### Data Source and Study Population

The primary objective of the ESC-HFA EORP HF-LT registry is the description of the clinical epidemiology of HF out-patients and in-patients in ESC and affiliated countries, as well as the diagnostic and therapeutic processes used in the care of these patients. All national cardiology societies affiliated to the ESC were invited to participate (7, 15). The ESC HFA EORP HF-LT registry (HF-LT) is a prospective, multicentre, observational registry of patients referred to a broad range of cardiology centres in 31 countries. The 31 countries are Belarus, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Georgia, Hungary, Moldova, Poland, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia (Eastern countries), Israel (Middle East), Egypt (North Africa), Denmark, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Sweden (Northern), Bosnia Herzegovina, Cyprus, Greece, Italy, Kosovo, Macedonia, Portugal, Spain, Turkey (Southern), Austria, France, Switzerland (Western). The study design has previously been described (7, 16). Briefly, there were no specific exclusion criteria, with the exception of age, which had to be greater than 18 years. Patients were followed up in accordance with the usual practice of the centres, with the

exception of a mandatory follow-up visit at 12 months to collect information on morbidity and mortality. In cases where the patient was unable to reach the clinical centre, a phone survey replaced this follow-up clinical visit. The HF-LT registry was approved by each local ethics board and written informed consent obtained in accordance with each country's legislation. Random audits were conducted in each participating country. ESC-HF-LT registry enrolled all the out-patients with CHF seen at the clinics and those admitted to hospital for AHF (either pre-existing or new-onset HF) for whom intravenous HF therapy (diuretics, inotropes or vasodilators) was used (16). Patients were enrolled 1 day per week for 12 consecutive months(7).

In the current analysis only HF out-patients (i.e. chronic HF) were considered. Compared to the previous publications where 7401 (7) (16) CHF patients were described, a total of 9222 patients could now be described at the time the present research question was first addressed (2017). RAASi target doses were defined as in the ESC HF guidelines related to HFrEF (1). Patients who were receiving a RAASi dose equal to or above 50% of the target dosage were compared to those who received less than 50% or no treatment. This threshold was based on the recent observation in the European setting that patients reaching <50% of the recommended ACE-inhibitor/ARB (and beta-blocker) dose displayed an increased risk of death and/or heart failure hospitalisation. Patients reaching 50-99% of the recommended ACE-inhibitor/ARB and/or beta-blocker dose had a comparable risk of death and/or heart failure hospitalisation to those reaching  $\geq$ 100% (17).

#### Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise frequency tabulations (n, %) and distributions [median, interquartile range (IQR)]. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test or Fisher exact test and continuous variables with a non-parametric test (Kruskal–Wallis test).

#### Predictors of MRA use

Because MRAs is the RAASi category most affecting K homeostasis(18) and also the least likely to be started and the most likely to be stopped in HF(19) we assessed predictors of 1) non-use or at low dose at baseline 2) MRA discontinuation during the follow-up. In order to investigate why patients with low doses of MRAs or without MRAs at baseline or with subsequent MRA discontinuation at one-year follow-up, logistic regression models were performed with a stepwise procedure, using a p-value < 0.05 to allow entry in the model and a p-value < 0.05 to remain in the updated model. Independent variables that were significant at univariable analysis (p<0.10) as well as variables considered of relevant clinical interest were included at the beginning in the multivariable model. Potential interactions between baseline characteristics and baseline kalaemia (as a categorical variable) were tested. For the logistic regression model, MRA discontinuation corresponds to patients with any MRA agent at baseline but not at one-year follow-up (i.e. stopped during the 1-year follow-up or at the 1year follow-up visit).

#### Outcomes

For all-cause and cardiovascular death, Cox regression models including baseline potassium level were performed using the same stepwise procedure as in the logistic regression models. Two different models were performed : 1) including ARBs, ACEi and MRA, separately, as use vs. non-use at the baseline; 2) comparing RAASi use at the baseline but not at the follow-up (i.e. discontinued) and, separately, no use of RAASi at the baseline and at the follow-up with RAASi use at baseline and at 1-year follow-up. In addition, natural cubic splines were presented for the unadjusted association between potassium levels and outcomes. Finally, three mediations analyses were performed (20) for ACEi, ARB or MRA discontinuation, where the "direct effect" was the direct effect of the potassium level on overall/cardiovascular mortality, meaning if significant that the potassium level directly

impacts the mortality. The indirect effect means that the potassium level has an impact on MRA/ACEi/ARB discontinuation, which has its own impact on mortality.

P-value <0.05 was used as a cut-off for statistical significance. All analyses were performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

#### Results

Between April 2011 and May 2017, 19,136 patients were included in the Registry. Of these, 8,290 (43.3%) were in-patients hospitalised with a diagnosis of AHF and 10,846 (56.7%) were out-patients with CHF. Among out-patients, baseline potassium values were available in 9,222 (85.0%) patients (Online supplement Figure), who represented our study population, and of whom 16.6% had hyperkalaemia at baseline ( $\geq$ 5.0 mmol/L). Over a median follow-up of 371 days [363-427], there were 789 deaths (8.6%), 241 (2.6%) patients lost to follow-up, and 410 CV deaths (4.4%, or 52% of all deaths) as well as 195 deaths (2.1%, or 25% of all deaths) from unknown causes.

Patient baseline features are presented in Table 1. In this mixed population of HFrEF (60.6%), HF with mid-range (HFmrEF) (22.9%) and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) (16.5%), with the latter two without any guideline recommendation for RAASi (2), most patients were treated with an ACEi or an ARB (88.3%), an MRA (58.7%) or a combination thereof (53.2%), mostly at doses above or equal to 50% (ACEi: 61.8%  $\geq$  50% of the target dose; ARB: 64.7% ; MRA: 90.3%). After one year, the frequency was 57.5%, 23.5%, 79.1% and 54.3% for ACEi, ARB, ACEi/ARB and MRA respectively.

# Factors associated with RAASi prescription and dosing at baseline, or RAASi discontinuation

Patients with hyperkalaemia at baseline were older, more frequently men, with diabetes and lower eGFR compared to normokalaemic patients (4.0- 5.0 mmol/L). There were no significant differences in the proportion of patients with HFrEF, HFmrEF or HFpEF across the potassium strata (Table 1). ACEi use was more common in mildly hyperkalaemic (5-5.5 mmol/L) patients while MRA use was more common in normokalaemic patients. The co-prescription of ACEI/ARB and MRA was less common in patients with serum potassium  $\geq$ 5.5 mmol/L. While the percentage of ACEi or ARB target dose at baseline did not differ

significantly across the potassium categories, hyperkalaemic patients were 2-3 times more frequently prescribed an MRA at < 50% of the target dose at baseline (Table 1). Hyperkalaemic patients were also more prone to undergo ACEI/ARB or MRA discontinuation during follow-up (table1).

Multivariable analyses did not confirm an association between baseline potassium categories and no use or low dose use of ACEI or ARB at baseline, while lower systolic blood pressure (SBP), ejection fraction (EF) >50% (vs. <40%) (for ACEI drug class) and renal dysfunction (for both drug classes) were found significantly and independently associated with no use or low dose use at baseline (data not shown). In multivariable analysis, hyperkalaemic patients (5 - 5.5 mmol/L vs. 4 - 5 mmol/L):  $\geq$ 5.5 mmol/L vs. 4 - 5 mmol/L, and patients with renal dysfunction, along with those with higher SBP were more prone to receive lower doses or no MRA at baseline (Figure 1).

In multivariable analyses, lower BP and kidney dysfunction were significantly associated with subsequent discontinuation of ACEI/ARB while potassium levels were not (data not shown). In contrast, renal dysfunction and potassium levels (hyperK  $\geq$ 5.5 mmol/L) were associated with MRA discontinuation, along with other factors such as older age, EF >50 % vs. <40 %, and comorbidities (Figure 2).

#### Factors associated with clinical outcomes

In univariable analysis, a U-shaped relationship was observed between baseline potassium and risk of all-cause death (Figure 3A), with a statistically significant association above approximately 5 mmol/ for HK and below 4 mmol/L for hypokalaemia. In multivariable analysis, HK $\geq$  5.5 mmol/L remained associated with greater risk of death [HR 1.40 (1.02-1.92), p=0.038], as was hypokalaemia [(3 - 4 mmol/L), HR 1.26 (1.02-1.55), p= 0.031], while ACEI and/or ARB prescriptions at baseline were associated with better outcomes (HR 0.70 (0.57-0.86), p<0.001; HR 0.70 (0.56-0.89), p=0.004). MRA prescription was not retained in the model (p= 0.476). (Figure 4)

When considering RAASi (ACEi, ARB, MRA) baseline percent of target doses instead of only use vs. non-use, the non-prescription of ACEi performed significantly worse compared to the prescription of ACEi at lower than 50% of the target doses (HR 1.42 (1.13;1.79), p=0.003), while the comparison between <50% and  $\geq$ 50% target doses did not reach statistical significance. In this model, only baseline hypokalaemia was associated with all-cause mortality (HR 1.25 (1.01-1.56), p=0.042).

When considering subsequent discontinuation of RAASi for adjustments (instead of baseline use or dose) and serum potassium at baseline, hyperkalaemia was no longer associated with risk of all-cause death while all RAASi (ACEI, ARB, or MRA) discontinuations, regardless of class, were strongly associated with the outcomes (Figure 5). In a sensitivity univariable analysis, ACEI, ARB or MRA discontinuations were found associated with all-cause death across the ejection fraction strata (i.e. EF <40, 40-50, >50 %: data not shown)

In further sensitivity analyses, CV rather than all-cause deaths were considered. In univariable analysis, a U-shaped relationship was observed between baseline potassium and risk of CV death (Figure 3B), with a significant association for HK and for hypokalaemia. However, serum potassium concentrations were not identified as a predictor of CV death in the multivariable models, while use of ACEI or ARB at baseline were associated with better outcomes. (Online data supplement Table 1). When considering RAASi (ACEi, ARB, MRA) baseline target doses instead, only the non-prescription of ACEi or ARB performed significantly worse compared to the prescription of ACEi or ARB lower than 50% of the target doses (Online data supplement Table 2)

11

Again, as for all-cause death, ACEI, ARB or MRA discontinuations were all associated with a higher risk also of CV death (online data supplement Table 3). In a sensitivity univariable analysis, ACEI, ARB or MRA discontinuations were found associated with CV death across the ejection fraction strata (i.e. EF <40, 40-50, >50 %: data not shown)

Finally, three multivariable mediation analyses (for ACEi, or ARB, or MRA discontinuation, respectively) were performed. Hyperkalaemia (>5.5 mmol/L) was associated with mortality and CV mortality by mediating discontinuation of ACEi (marginally significant for all-cause death: P= 0.059), ARB (not statistically significant), and MRA (P= 0.007 for total mortality; P= 0.080 for CV death) (online data supplement Table 4).

#### Discussion

In this contemporary European out-patient HF cohort, with a high rate of patients treated with RAASi compared to other HF populations, the prevalence of hyperkalemia was high (16.6%), while the 1-year outcome was poor (overall and CV death: 8.6% and 4.4%, respectively). Similar to a number of surveys in post-discharge post- acute HF(21, 22), chronic HFrEF (23) and HFpEF (24) patients, a U-shape relationship between baseline serum potassium and all-cause death and CV death was observed over one-year follow-up in univariate analysis.

In a multivariable model considering all deaths, both hypokalaemia and HK were associated with poor outcomes, while ACEi/ARB but not MRA prescription was found to be independently associated with better outcomes. The absence of association between MRA use and outcomes has been previously described in observational settings and is most likely due to residual unmeasured confounding, such as higher use of MRAs in patients with refractory oedema, ascites, liver disease, diuretic resistance, high dose diuretic use, and/or other factors associated with poor outcomes. However, in additional multivariable analyses, when considering RAASi doses at baseline or subsequent RAASi discontinuation - instead of considering RAASi prescription at baseline - only RAASi discontinuations (ACEI, ARB, or MRA) were found consistently associated with all-cause and CV death, independent from serum potassium at baseline and, importantly, hyperkalaemia was no longer associated with the clinical outcomes. Moreover, in multivariable analyses, hyperkalaemia at baseline was found to be associated with MRA non-prescription at baseline and with its subsequent discontinuation. Additional mediation analyses showed that hyperkalaemia above 5.5 mmol/L was associated with mortality and CV mortality by mediating discontinuation of ACEi, ARB, MRA, although it was not statistically significant for all. This was actually much more significant for MRA and suggests that the hyperkalaemia is more important through MRA discontinuation than through ACEi/ARB discontinuation.

Altogether, these data provide insights into the interplay between hyperkalaemia, RAASi use and clinical outcomes in real-life HF settings. Indeed, they clearly depict the current dilemma facing the implementation of these life-saving drugs, in particular MRAs, in routine practice. The present findings complement numerous previous reports from The HF-LT Registry and from the Swedish Heart Failure Registry studying the reasons for nonprescription of RAASi (5, 7, 19, 25). In the large majority of these cases, reported contraindications, a documented intolerance or concerns about potential intolerance (hyperkalaemia, worsening renal function, hypotension) were the main reasons for the nonprescription of these drugs (7). From this HF-LT Registry dataset, it was reported that the true rate of under-treatment for ACEi/ARB and MRAs in this well treated population was 3.2% and 5.4%, respectively (compared to others (4)), at least in terms of RAASi use (7). We also previously reported that with regard to the target dosages of these drugs, fewer than one-third of the patients were currently prescribed the target dosages suggested by current guidelines: 29.3% for ACEIs, 24.1% for ARBs, and 30.5% for MRAs (7).

For the present study, the threshold of 50% of the recommended ACEI /ARB (and beta-blocker) was selected since in a recent European survey, patients receiving less displayed an increased risk of death and/or heart failure hospitalisation (17). Altogether, these data confirm that hyperkalaemia in itself is a major barrier toward the implementation of, and importantly maintenance of RAASi and more specifically of MRA, since prescribers, in accordance with the guidelines, are not prone to use MRA (3, 26) or to discontinue them in presence of hyperkalaemia (8, 27). In other words, beyond its proarrythmogenic properties, hyperkalaemia could also be a risk marker that leads to suboptimal use of RAASi, in particular MRAs, which in turn is causative of poor outcomes, as also suggested by previous surveys (28), and as shown here for the first time, being a marker of discontinuation. Clinicians may be well served by recognizing the causes of RAASi underuse. The present

14

analysis from the ESC-EORP-HF-LT Registry suggests that hyperkalaemia is harmful because it causes RAASi discontinuation and a similar study from the SwedeHF registry suggests that hyperkalaemia is harmful because it causes RAASi avoidance and discontinuation(29). Together with a previous analysis from BIOSTAT suggesting that hyperkalaemia is in itself not harmful but prevents RAASi up-titration (30) it is becoming increasingly clear that the universally poor implementation of RAASi and especially MRA drugs in HFrEF is extensively driven by actual or concerns for hyperkalaemia (28). Whether the vicious circle surrounding hyperkalaemia, which is an inherent risk factor for RAASi under-use, may be overcome by the availability of new potassium binders (31, 32) and/or novel MRAs less prone to hyperkalaemia warrants prospective CV outcome trials.

#### Limitations:

As previously acknowledged (7), there are limitations of this and other registry analyses. All centres were cardiology centres, potentially limiting generalizability to internal medicine and primary care. To mitigate this issue, the centres in HF-LT were selected in proportion to the size of the population of the participating countries, taking into account the different technological levels of the cardiology centres invited to participate (7).

More specifically in relation to the present analysis, observational data cannot establish causality. This is particularly relevant for the associations between RAASi use and better outcomes, where available randomized trials exist. Secondly, only baseline serum potassium and baseline target doses were considered, whereas we previously reported from this registry that, in approximately one third of the patients not achieving the target doses, a drug up-titration was still ongoing (7), and neither the timing of RAASi discontinuation nor potassium levels thereafter were recorded. Third, the study population consisted of an amalgamation of HFrEF, HFmrEF and HFpEF patients (in whom target doses were derived from HFrEF recommendations). However, ACEI, ARB or MRA discontinuations were found

15

associated with all-cause death and CV death across the ejection fraction strata (i.e. EF <40, 40-50, >50 %). Fourth, sacubutril/valsartan was not considered, not being approved at the time of data collection, while its use may be associated with less frequent hyperkalaemia in MRA recipients (33). However, findings related to the combined use of ACEi/ARB/MRA match the latest findings in a recently described European dataset. Indeed, the Dutch CHECK-HF registry of HFrEF patients observed that, between 2013-2016, 84% of patents were treated with ACEi/ARB and 56% with MRA, and approximately one-half of the HFrEF patients taking the prescribed medication were receiving less than the target dose of MRAs and ACEi/ARB (34).

In conclusion, in this large, contemporary, international CHF population, hyper and hypokalaemia- as well as non –use of ACEI/ARB were associated with worse outcomes. Importantly, after adjusting for discontinuation of a RAASi (ACEI/ARB or MRA), hyperkalaemia was no longer associated with increased risk, suggesting that hyperkalaemia may be a risk factor for RAASi discontinuation and through this association becoming a risk marker rather than a risk factor for worse outcomes (28). This was further confirmed by mediation analyses especially considering MRA discontinuation. Hyperkalaemia, also at baseline, represented a major hurdle against MRA use, thus its treatment and/or the prevention of its (re)occurrence under RAASi treatment may represent a potential therapeutic target.

#### Acknowledgements

EORP Oversight Committee, Registry Executive Committee and Steering Committee of the EURObservational Research Programme (EORP). Data collection was conducted by the EORP department from the ESC by Emanuela Fiorucci as Project Officer, Gérard Gracia and Maryna Andarala as Data Managers. Statistical analyses were performed by Cécile Laroche. Overall activities were coordinated and supervised by Doctor Aldo P. Maggioni (EORP Scientific Coordinator). All investigators listed in the Supplemental *Appendix*.

#### Funding

Since the start of EORP, the following companies have supported the programme: Abbott Vascular Int. (2011-2021), Amgen Cardiovascular (2009-2018), AstraZeneca (2014-2021), Bayer AG (2009-2018), Boehringer Ingelheim (2009-2019), Boston Scientific (2009-2012), The Bristol Myers Squibb and Pfizer Alliance (2011-2019), Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH (2011-2020), The Alliance Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH and Eli Lilly and Company (2014-2017), Edwards (2016-2019), Gedeon Richter Plc. (2014-2016), Menarini Int. Op. (2009-2012), MSD-Merck & Co. (2011-2014), Novartis Pharma AG (2014-2020), ResMed (2014-2016), Sanofi (2009-2011), SERVIER (2009-2018), Vifor (2019-2022).

#### **Conflict of interest**

Dr. Anker reports grants and personal fees from Vifor Int, personal fees from Bayer,
Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Servier, grants and personal fees from Abbott Vascular,
personal fees from Impulse Dynamics, SJM, outside the submitted work;
Dr. Coats reports personal fees from Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Menarini, Novartis, Nutricia,
Servier, Vifor, Actimed, Cardiac Dimension, CVRx, Enopace, Faraday, Gore, Respicardia,
Stealth Peptides, V-Wave, outside the submitted work;

Dr. Crespo Leiro reports grants from CIBERCV, personal fees and non-financial support from Novartis, personal fees from Abbott, personal fees and non-financial support from MSD, non-financial support from Daichi-Sankio, Vifor-Pharma, grants from Astra-Zeneka, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Filippatos reports other from Novartis, Servier, BI, Medtronic, Vifor, outside the submitted work;

Dr. Lainscak reports personal fees from Vifor, Astra Zeneca during the conduct of the study; grants from Roche, Boehringer Ingerlheim, personal fees from Novartis, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Lund reports personal fees from Merck, grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Sanofi, grants and personal fees from Vifor-Fresenius, AstraZeneca, Relypsa, personal fees from Bayer, grants from Boston Scientific, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Pharmacosmos, Abbott, grants and personal fees from Mundipharma, personal fees from Medscape, outside the submitted work;

Dr. Maggioni reports personal fees from Bayer, Fresenius, Novartis outside the submitted work;

C. Laroche, M Piepoli, G Rosano, T McDonagh, F Ruschitzka have nothing to disclose. Dr. Rossignol reports grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Bayer, CVRx, personal fees from Fresenius, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Grunenthal, Servier, Stealth Peptides, Vifor Fresenius Medical Care Renal Pharma, Idorsia, NovoNordisk, Ablative Solutions, G3P, Corvidia, Relypsa, outside the submitted work; and Cofounder: CardioRenal. Cofounder: CardioRenal, a company developing a telemonitoring loop in heart failure (including potassium measurements)

Dr. Sahuquillo reports personal fees from Servier during the conduct of the study;

19

Dr. Savarese reports grants and personal fees from Vifor, grants and non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Societa' Prodotti Antibiotici, grants from MSD, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Roche, Servier, grants from Novartis, personal fees from GENESIS outside the submitted work;

## References

1. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016;18(8):891-975.

2. Rossignol P, Hernandez AF, Solomon SD, Zannad F. Heart failure drug treatment. Lancet. 2019;393(10175):1034-44.

3. Komajda M, Cowie MR, Tavazzi L, Ponikowski P, Anker SD, Filippatos GS, et al. Physicians' guideline adherence is associated with better prognosis in outpatients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: the QUALIFY international registry. Eur J Heart Fail. 2017;19(11):1414-23.

4. Greene SJ, Butler J, Albert NM, DeVore AD, Sharma PP, Duffy CI, et al. Medical Therapy for Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: The CHAMP-HF Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(4):351-66.

5. Savarese G, Carrero JJ, Pitt B, Anker SD, Rosano GMC, Dahlstrom U, et al. Factors associated with underuse of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: an analysis of 11 215 patients from the Swedish Heart Failure Registry. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018;20(9):1326-34.

6. Lund LH, Carrero JJ, Farahmand B, Henriksson KM, Jonsson A, Jernberg T, et al. Association between enrolment in a heart failure quality registry and subsequent mortality-a nationwide cohort study. Eur J Heart Fail. 2017;19(9):1107-16.

7. Maggioni AP, Anker SD, Dahlstrom U, Filippatos G, Ponikowski P, Zannad F, et al. Are hospitalized or ambulatory patients with heart failure treated in accordance with European Society of Cardiology guidelines? Evidence from 12,440 patients of the ESC Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. Eur J Heart Fail. 2013;15(10):1173-84.

8. Trevisan M, de Deco P, Xu H, Evans M, Lindholm B, Bellocco R, et al. Incidence, predictors and clinical management of hyperkalaemia in new users of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018;20(8):1217-26.

9. Nilsson E, De Deco P, Trevisan M, Bellocco R, Lindholm B, Lund LH, et al. A real-world cohort study on the quality of potassium and creatinine monitoring during initiation of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in patients with heart failure. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2018;4(4):267-73.

10. Edner M, Benson L, Dahlstrom U, Lund LH. Association between renin-angiotensin system antagonist use and mortality in heart failure with severe renal insufficiency: a prospective propensity score-matched cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2015;36(34):2318-26.

11. Savarese G, Dahlstrom U, Vasko P, Pitt B, Lund LH. Association between reninangiotensin system inhibitor use and mortality/morbidity in elderly patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a prospective propensity score-matched cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2018;39(48):4257-65.

12. Gjesing A, Schou M, Torp-Pedersen C, Kober L, Gustafsson F, Hildebrandt P, et al. Patient adherence to evidence-based pharmacotherapy in systolic heart failure and the transition of follow-up from specialized heart failure outpatient clinics to primary care. Eur J Heart Fail. 2013;15(6):671-8. 13. Rossignol P. A new area for the management of hyperkalaemia with potassium binders: clinical use in nephrology. Eur Heart J Suppl. 2019;21(Suppl A):A48-A54.

14. Epstein M, Reaven NL, Funk SE, McGaughey KJ, Oestreicher N, Knispel J. Evaluation of the treatment gap between clinical guidelines and the utilization of renin-angiotensinaldosterone system inhibitors. Am J Manag Care. 2015;21(11 Suppl):s212-20.

15. Maggioni AP, Dahlstrom U, Filippatos G, Chioncel O, Crespo Leiro M, Drozdz J, et al. EURObservational Research Programme: regional differences and 1-year follow-up results of the Heart Failure Pilot Survey (ESC-HF Pilot). Eur J Heart Fail. 2013;15(7):808-17.

16. Crespo-Leiro MG, Anker SD, Maggioni AP, Coats AJ, Filippatos G, Ruschitzka F, et al. European Society of Cardiology Heart Failure Long-Term Registry (ESC-HF-LT): 1-year followup outcomes and differences across regions. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016;18(6):613-25.

17. Ouwerkerk W, Voors AA, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Dickstein K, Filippatos G, et al. Determinants and clinical outcome of uptitration of ACE-inhibitors and beta-blockers in patients with heart failure: a prospective European study. Eur Heart J. 2017;38(24):1883-90.

18. Bandak G, Sang Y, Gasparini A, Chang AR, Ballew SH, Evans M, et al. Hyperkalemia After Initiating Renin-Angiotensin System Blockade: The Stockholm Creatinine Measurements (SCREAM) Project. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6(7).

19. Thorvaldsen T, Benson L, Dahlstrom U, Edner M, Lund LH. Use of evidence-based therapy and survival in heart failure in Sweden 2003-2012. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016;18(5):503-11.

20. Lange T, Vansteelandt S, Bekaert M. A simple unified approach for estimating natural direct and indirect effects. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176(3):190-5.

21. Nunez J, Bayes-Genis A, Zannad F, Rossignol P, Nunez E, Bodi V, et al. Long-Term Potassium Monitoring and Dynamics in Heart Failure and Risk of Mortality. Circulation. 2017;137(13):1320-30.

22. Legrand M, Ludes PO, Massy Z, Rossignol P, Parenica J, Park JJ, et al. Association between hypo- and hyperkalemia and outcome in acute heart failure patients: the role of medications. Clinical research in cardiology : official journal of the German Cardiac Society. 2018;107(3):214-21.

23. Vardeny O, Claggett B, Anand I, Rossignol P, Desai AS, Zannad F, et al. Incidence, Predictors and Outcomes Related to Hypo and Hyperkalemia in Severe Heart Failure Patients Treated with a Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist. Circ Heart Fail. 2014;7(4):573-9.

24. Nishihara T, Tokitsu T, Sueta D, Takae M, Oike F, Fujisue K, et al. Serum Potassium and Cardiovascular Events in Heart Failure With Preserved Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction Patients. Am J Hypertens. 2018;31(10):1098-105.

25. Savarese G, Xu H, Trevisan M, Dahlstrom U, Rossignol P, Pitt B, et al. Incidence, Predictors, and Outcome Associations of Dyskalemia in Heart Failure With Preserved, Mid-Range, and Reduced Ejection Fraction. JACC Heart Fail. 2019;7(1):65-76.

26. Shirazian S, Grant CD, Mujeeb S, Sharif S, Kumari P, Bhagat M, et al. Underprescription of renin-angiotensin system blockers in moderate to severe chronic kidney disease. Am J Med Sci. 2015;349(6):510-5.

27. Chang AR, Sang Y, Leddy J, Yahya T, Kirchner HL, Inker LA, et al. Antihypertensive Medications and the Prevalence of Hyperkalemia in a Large Health System. Hypertension. 2016;67(6):1181-8.

28. Lund LH, Pitt B. Is hyperkalaemia in heart failure a risk factor or a risk marker? Implications for renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor use. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018;20(5):931-2. 29. Cooper LB, Lina Benson L, Mentz RJ, Savarese G, DeVore AD, Carrero JJ, et al. Association between Potassium Level and Outcomes in Heart Failure wi 1 th Reduced Ejection Fraction: ACohort Study from the Swedish Heart Failure Registry. Eur J Heart Fail. 2020;In press.

30. Beusekamp JC, Tromp J, van der Wal HH, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Dickstein K, et al. Potassium and the use of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: data from BIOSTAT-CHF. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018;20(5):923-30.

31. Pitt B, Anker SD, Bushinsky DA, Kitzman DW, Zannad F, Huang IZ. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of RLY5016, a polymeric potassium binder, in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients with chronic heart failure (the PEARL-HF) trial. Eur Heart J. 2011;32(7):820-8.

32. Pitt B, Bushinsky DA, Kitzman DW, Ruschitzka F, Metra M, Filippatos G, et al. Evaluation of an individualized dose titration regimen of patiromer to prevent hyperkalaemia in patients with heart failure and chronic kidney disease. ESC Heart Fail. 2018;5:257-66.

33. Desai AS, Vardeny O, Claggett B, McMurray JJ, Packer M, Swedberg K, et al. Reduced Risk of Hyperkalemia During Treatment of Heart Failure With Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists by Use of Sacubitril/Valsartan Compared With Enalapril: A Secondary Analysis of the PARADIGM-HF Trial. JAMA Cardiol. 2017;2(1):79-85.

34. Brunner-La Rocca HP, Linssen GC, Smeele FJ, van Drimmelen AA, Schaafsma HJ, Westendorp PH, et al. Contemporary Drug Treatment of Chronic Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: The CHECK-HF Registry. JACC Heart Fail. 2019;7(1):13-21.

## **Figures legends**

**Figure 1**: Multivariable analysis for clinical predictors of low dosage of MRAs at baseline, considering potassium at baseline

**Figure 2**: Multivariable analysis for treatment discontinuation of MRA during a one-year follow-up, considering potassium at baseline

**Figure 3 A**: Relationship between baseline serum potassium and 1-year all-cause death -Natural cubic spline without adjustment

**Figure 3 B**: Relationship between baseline serum potassium and 1-year CV death - Natural cubic spline without adjustment

Figure 4: Baseline factors associated with all deaths in multivariable analysis considering RAASi prescription

Figure 5: Baseline factors associated with all deaths in multivariable analysis considering RAASi discontinuation during one-year follow-up

## Figure 1

Multivariable analysis for clinical predictors of low dosage of MRAs at baseline



#### Notes:

Number of patients with complete data and included in the analysis: 5188 of a total of 5253. MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; CI= Confidence Interval; BMI= Body Mass Index; SBP= Systolic Blood Pressure; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; vs= versus.

Logistic regression with reference >= 50% target dose.

## Figure 2

Multivariable analysis for treatment discontinuation of MRA during a one-year follow-up



**Notes:** Number of patients with complete data and included in the analysis: 4408/4748. CI= Confidence Interval; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; BMI= Body Mass Index; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; EF= Ejection Fraction; PVD= Peripheral Vascular Disease; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; vs= versus.

# Figure 3 A

Relationship between baseline serum potassium and 1-year all-cause death - Natural cubic spline without adjustment



Baseline potassium levels in mmol/L

# Figure 3 B

Relationship between baseline serum potassium and 1-year CV death - Natural cubic spline without adjustment



Baseline potassium levels in mmol/L

## Figure 4

Baseline factors associated with all-cause death in multivariable analysis considering RAASi

prescription at the baseline



#### Notes:

Number of patients with complete data and included in the analysis: 8173/9222.

RAASi= Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors; CI= Confidence Interval; ACEi=

Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitor; ARB= Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; CRT-D= Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy with defibrillator; BMI= Body Mass Index; SBP= Systolic Blood Pressure; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; EF= Ejection Fraction; PVD= Peripheral Vascular Disease; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; vs= versus.

## Figure 5

Baseline factors associated with all-cause death in multivariable analysis considering RAASi



discontinuation during one-year follow-up

#### Notes:

Number of patients with complete data and included in the analysis: 7413/9222. RAASi= Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors; CI= Confidence Interval; ACEi= Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitor; ARB= Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; CRT-D= Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy with defibrillator; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; BMI= Body Mass Index; SBP= Systolic Blood Pressure; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; EF= Ejection Fraction; PVD= Peripheral Vascular Disease; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; vs= versus.

Variables are the same as in the previous model but instead of RAASi treatment at baseline, RAASi treatment correspond to RAASi treatment during the 1-year follow-up with for each treatment, 3 possibilities: discontinuation – no treatment at baseline – no discontinuation with No discontinuation as reference). **Table 1:** Patient baseline characteristics and RAASi maintenance after one year

Variable	Modality	Total (N=9222)	<3 mmol/L (N=14, 0.2%)	[3 - 4 mmol/L) (N=1431, 15.5%)	[4 -5 mmol/L) (N=6249, 67.8%)	[5 - 5.5 mmol/L) (N=1202, 13.0%)	≥5.5 mmol/L (N=326, 3.5%)	P-value
Age, Median		67 [58; 75]	56.5 [46; 68]	67 [56: 75]	66 [57: 75]	69 [60; 76]	69 [62; 78]	<0.001
[Q1-Q3]								
Female		2646/9222	5/14 (25 70())	471/1431	1779/6249	305/1202	86/326	-0.001
		(28.7%)	5/14 (55.7%)	(32.9%)	(28.5%)	(25.4%)	(26.4%)	<0.001
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		27.5 [24.6;	27.3 [25.4;	27.3 [24.2;	27.6 [24.6;	27.4 [24.6;	26.8 [24.4;	0.1.41
Median [Q1; Q3]		30.9]	31.1]	30.8]	30.9]	31.0]	30.5]	0.141
SBP (mmHg)		120 [110 120]	100 500 1441	100 [110 100]	100 [110 100]	120 [110 120]	120.5 [110;	
Median [Q1; Q3]		120 [110; 138]	120 [90; 144]	120 [110; 138]	120 [110; 138]	120 [110; 139]	140]	
HR (bpm)		70 [62, 90]	90 [71, 105]	70 [(2, 01]	70 [61, 90]	70 [(0, 70]	70 ( ( 2, 01)	-0.001
Median [Q1; Q3]		70 [62; 80]	89[71;105]	/0[63;81]	70 [61; 80]	70 [60; 79]	/0 [63; 81]	<0.001
Last known Ejection Fraction	-400/	5073/8369	4/12	749/1236	3445/5685	678/1128	197/308	0.500
<40% class, (%)	(60.6%)	(33.3%)	(60.6%)	(60.6%)	(60.1%)	(64.0%)	0.580	
		1918/8369	4/12	275/1236	1315/5685	259/1128	65/308	
	40-50%	(22.9%)	(33.3%)	(22.2%)	(23.1%)	(23.0%)	(21.1%)	

						[5 - 5.5	≥5.5	
Variable	Modality	<b>Total</b> (N-9222)	<3 mmol/L (N=14,	[3 - 4 mmol/L) (N=1431,	[4 -5 mmol/L) (N=6249,	mmol/L)	mmol/L	P-value
		(11-)222)	0.2%)	15.5%)	67.8%)	13.0%)	3.5%)	
	. 500/	1378/8369	4/12	212/1236	925/5685	191/1128	46/308	
>50%	(16.5%)	(33.3%)	(17.2%)	(16.3%)	(16.9%)	(14.9%)		
NYHA, (%)		1512/9197	2/14	198/1420	1058/6236	209/1201	45/326	0.001
	ΝΥΗΑΙ	(16.4%)	(14.3%)	(13.9%)	(17.0%)	(17.4%)	(13.8%)	<0.001
	NVHA II	5213/9197	7/14	771/1420	3549/6236	695/1201	191/326	
	NTIAT	(56.7%)	(50.0%)	(54.3%)	(56.9%)	(57.9%)	(58.6%)	
	NYHA III	2288/9197	4/14	420/1420	1510/6236	278/1201	76/326	
		(24.9%)	(28.6%)	(29.6%)	(24.2%)	(23.1%)	(23.3%)	
		184/9197	1/14	31/1420	119/6236	19/1201	14/326	
	NINAIV	(2.0%)	(7.1%)	(2.2%)	(1.9%)	(1.6%)	(4.3%)	
$\mathbf{D}$ 1		1365/8934	2/14	250/1369	894/6038	166/1189	53/324	0.015
Pulmonary rales, (%)		(15.3%)	(14.3%)	(18.3%)	(14.8%)	(14.0%)	(16.4%)	0.015
		1859/8945	8/14	354/1371	1216/6047	215/1191	66/322	-0.001
Peripheral oedema, (%)		(20.8%)	(57.1%)	(25.8%)	(20.1%)	(18.1%)	(20.5%)	<0.001

Variable	Modality	Total (N=9222)	<3 mmol/L (N=14,	[3 - 4 mmol/L) (N=1431,	[4 -5 mmol/L) (N=6249,	[5 - 5.5 mmol/L) (N=1202,	≥5.5 mmol/L (N=326,	P-value
			0.270)	13.370)	07.870)	13.0%)	3.5%)	
Drimory Etiology (04)	Dilated cardiomyonathy	2585/9171	3/14	382/1421	1790/6210	324/1201	86/325	0.053
rinnary Euology, (70)	Difated cardiomyopathy	(28.2%)	(21.4%)	(26.9%)	(28.8%)	(27.0%)	(26.5%)	0.055
Η	Hemoretoncion	777/9171	1/14	125/1421	516/6210	101/1201	34/325	
	rrypenension	(8.5%)	(7.1%)	(8.8%)	(8.3%)	(8.4%)	(10.5%)	
	<b>.</b>	3956/9171	3/14	588/1421	2670/6210	547/1201	148/325	
	Ischemic neart disease	(43.1%)	(21.4%)	(41.4%)	(43.0%)	(45.5%)	(45.5%)	
		931/9171	4/14	149/1421	628/6210	124/1201	26/325	
	Other	(10.2%)	(28.6%)	(10.5%)	(10.1%)	(10.3%)	(8.0%)	
	Tachycardia related	132/9171	0/14	30/1421	85/6210	13/1201	4/325	
	cardiomyopathy	(1.4%)	(0.0%)	(2.1%)	(1.4%)	(1.1%)	(1.2%)	
		790/9171	3/14	147/1421	521/6210	92/1201	27/325	
Valve disease	(8.6%)	(21.4%)	(10.3%)	(8.4%)	(7.7%)	(8.3%)		
		3545/9221	8/14	604/1430	2350/6249	448/1202	135/326	0.000
Atrial fibriliation		(38.4%)	(57.1%)	(42.2%)	(37.6%)	(37.3%)	(41.4%)	0.006

						[5 - 5.5	≥5.5	
Variable	Modality	Total	<3 mmol/L (N=14,	[3 - 4 mmol/L) (N=1431,	[4 -5 mmol/L) (N=6249,	mmol/L)	mmol/L	P-value
		(N=9222)	0 20/ )	15.5%)	67 80/ )	(N=1202,	(N=326,	
			0.270)		07.070)	13.0%)	3.5%)	
Stroko/TLA (0/)		860/9213	1/14	127/1428	594/6246	105/1199	33/326	0.952
Stroke/11A, (70)		(9.3%)	(7.1%)	(8.9%)	(9.5%)	(8.8%)	(10.1%)	0.835
Diabetes class, (%)		2988/9222	5/14	400/1431	1959/6249	484/1202	140/326	<0.001
		(32.4%)	(35.7%)	(28.0%)	(31.3%)	(40.3%)	(42.9%)	<0.001
Humortonsion Trastment (0/)		5523/9208	9/14	859/1427	3703/6239	730/1202	222/326	0.034
Trypertension Treatment, (%)		(60.0%)	(64.3%)	(60.2%)	(59.4%)	(60.7%)	(68.1%)	
Perinheral vaccular disease (%)		1149/8936	0/14	161/1370	793/6040	144/1188	51/324	0.120
r empherar vascular disease, (70)		(12.9%)	(0.0%)	(11.8%)	(13.1%)	(12.1%)	(15.7%)	0.139
Chronic kidney dysfunction (%)		1870/9213	2/14	259/1425	1145/6246	327/1202	137/326	<0.001
Chrome kinney dystanction, (%)		(20.3%)	(14.3%)	(18.2%)	(18.3%)	(27.2%)	(42.0%)	<0.001
ICD (%)		1468/9203	1/14	223/1425	1018/6237	180/1201	46/326	0.525
ICD, (%)		(16.0%)	(7.1%)	(15.6%)	(16.3%)	(15.0%)	(14.1%)	0.525
CRT - P/D, (%)		1236/9203	2/14	202/1425	828/6237	165/1201	39/326	0 823
		(13.4%)	(14.3%)	(14.2%)	(13.3%)	(13.7%)	(12.0%)	0.023

Variable	Modality	Total (N=9222)	<3 mmol/L (N=14, 0.2%)	[3 - 4 mmol/L) (N=1431, 15.5%)	[4 -5 mmol/L) (N=6249, 67.8%)	[5 - 5.5 mmol/L) (N=1202, 13.0%)	≥5.5 mmol/L (N=326, 3.5%)	P-value
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )		62.9	64.8	65.0	64.6	55.5	43.6	<0.001
Median [Q1; Q3]		[46.6; 79.9]	[49.3; 77.6]	[49.5; 81.2]	[48.6; 81.5]	[41.0; 73.0]	[29.9; 61.4]	<0.001
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) in class	<30	604/8583	1/14	79/1281	335/5824	111/1153	78/311	<0.001
		(7.0%)	(7.1%)	(6.2%)	(5.8%)	(9.6%)	(25.1%)	<0.001
30-60	20.60	3278/8583	5/14	457/1281	2122/5824	546/1153	148/311	
	50-00	(38.2%)	(35.7%)	(35.7%)	(36.4%)	(47.4%)	(47.6%)	
	>60	4701/8583	8/14	745/1281	3367/5824	496/1153	85/311	
	200	(54.8%)	(57.1%)	(58.2%)	(57.8%)	(43.0%)	(27.3%)	
		6066/9210	4/14	879/1431	4154/6239	822/1201	207/325	<0.001
ACEI, (70)		(65.9%)	(28.6%)	(61.4%)	(66.6%)	(68.4%)	(63.7%)	<0.001
		2234/9218	1/14	349/1431	1510/6245	294/1202	80/326	0.680
ARB, (%)		(24.2%)	(7.1%)	(24.4%)	(24.2%)	(24.5%)	(24.5%)	0.080
		8129/9210	5/14	1201/1431	5559/6239	1089/1201	275/325	<0.001
ACLIMAND, (70)		(88.3%)	(35.7%)	(83.9%)	(89.1%)	(90.7%)	(84.6%)	<u>\0.001</u>

			<3 mmol/L	[3 - 4 mmol/L) (N=1431,	L) [4 -5 mmol/L) (N=6249,	[5 - 5.5	≥5.5	
Variable	Modality	Total (N-9222)	(N=14,			mmol/L)	mmol/L	P-value
		(11-9222)	0.2%)	15.5%)	67.8%)	(1 <b>1</b> -1202, 13.0%)	(1 <b>1</b> -320, 3.5%)	
Betablockers, (%)		8197/9217	8/14	1234/1431	5582/6244	1100/1202	273/326	
		(88.9%)	(57.1%)	(86.2%)	(89.4%)	(91.5%)	(83.7%)	<0.001
MRA (%)		5412/9218	8/14	838/1431	3725/6245	702/1202	139/326	.0.001
		(58.7%)	(57.1%)	(58.6%)	(59.6%)	(58.4%)	(42.6%)	<0.001
		4907/9216	4/14	721/1431	3395/6244	661/1202	126/325	<0.001
ACEI/ARB and MRA, (%)		(53.2%)	(28.6%)	(50.4%)	(54.4%)	(55.0%)	(38.8%)	<0.001
Diverties Oral (9/)		7684/9217	13/14	1242/1431	5149/6244	1014/1202	266/326	0.001
Differences Oral, (%)		(83.4%)	(92.9%)	(86.8%)	(82.5%)	(84.4%)	(81.6%)	0.001
Luchanding (0/)		815/8958	0/14	116/1376	560/6052	114/1192	25/324	0.400
Ivaoradine, (%)		(9.1%)	(0.0%)	(8.4%)	(9.3%)	(9.6%)	(7.7%)	0.496
Digitalia (%)		1982/9214	6/14	337/1430	1340/6242	223/1202	76/326	0.007
Digitalis, (%)		(21.5%)	(42.9%)	(23.6%)	(21.5%)	(18.6%)	(23.3%)	0.007
Stating (%)		5646/9218	4/14	796/1431	3845/6245	806/1202	195/326	<0.001
Statins, (%)		(61.2%)	(28.6%)	(55.6%)	(61.6%)	(67.1%)	(59.8%)	<b>\U.UU1</b>

			<3 mmol/L	[ <b>3 - 4 mmol/L</b> .)	[4 -5 mmol/L)	[5 - 5.5	≥5.5	
Variable	Modality	Total	(N=14,	(N=1431,	(N=6249,	mmol/L)	mmol/L	P-value
		(N=9222)	0.2%)	15.5%)	67.8%)	(N=1202,	(N=326,	
			,	,	010070)	13.0%)	3.5%)	
ACEi target dose. (%)	< 50% may dagage	2195/5743	3/4	338/827	1483/3937	296/782	75/193	0.254
ACEI target dose, (%)	< 50% max dosage	(38.2%)	(75.0%)	(40.9%)	(37.7%)	(37.9%)	(38.9%)	0.234
	5.500/ 1	3548/5743	1/4	489/827	2454/3937	486/782	118/193	
	$\geq$ 50% max dosage	(61.8%)	(25.0%)	(59.1%)	(62.3%)	(62.1%)	(61.1%)	
	. 500/ 1	666/1885	0/1	105/290	464/1283	76/250	21/61	0.446
ARB target dose, (%)	< 50% max dosage	(35.3%)	(0.0%)	(36.2%)	(36.2%)	(30.4%)	(34.4%)	0.446
	> 500/ 1	1219/1885	1/1	185/290	819/1283	174/250	40/61	
	$\geq$ 50% max dosage	(64.7%)	(100.0%)	(63.8%)	(63.8%)	(69.6%)	(65.6%)	
	. 50% 1	511/5253	0/8	69/807	310/3611	104/690	28/137	0.001(0)
MRA target dose, (%)	< 50% max dosage	(9.7%)	(0.0%)	(8.6%)	(8.6%)	(15.1%)	(20.4%)	<0.001(8)
	> 500/ 1	4742/5253	8/8	738/807	3301/3611	586/690	109/137	
$\geq$ 50% max dosage	(90.3%)	(100.0%)	(91.4%)	(91.4%)	(84.9%)	(79.6%)		
	(0/)	949/7045	1/4	159/1001	600/4830	141/963	48/247	-0.001
ACE/AKB Discontinuation,	(%)	(13.5%)	(25.0%)	(15.9%)	(12.4%)	(14.6%)	(19.4%)	<0.001

			<3 mmol/L	[3 - 4 mmol/L)	[4 -5 mmol/L)	[5 - 5.5	≥5.5	
Variable	Modality	Total	(N=14,	(N=1431,	(N=6249,	mmol/L)	mmol/L	P-value
	·	(N=9222)	0.2%)	15 5%)	67 8%)	(N=1202,	(N=326,	
				10.00 / 00)	07.070)	13.0%)	3.5%)	
ACE Discontinuation (%)		914/5237	1/3	147/724	580/3599	142/726	44/185	0.002
ACE Discontinuation, (%)		(17.5%)	(33.3%)	(20.3%)	(16.1%)	(19.6%)	(23.8%)	0.002
ADD Discontinuation (0/)		418/1962	0/1	69/301	268/1326	53/261	28/73	0.000
AKB Discontinuation, (%)		(21.3%)	(0.0%)	(22.9%)	(20.2%)	(20.3%)	(38.4%)	0.000
		787/7161	2/7	137/1040	495/4890	110/979	43/245	-0.001
BB Discontinuation, (%)		(11.0%)	(28.6%)	(13.2%)	(10.1%)	(11.2%)	(17.6%)	<0.001
MRA Discontinuation, (%)		890/4748		135/701	583/3295	130/618	40/127	-0.001
		(18.7%)	2/7 (28.0%)	(19.3%)	(17.7%)	(21.0%)	(31.5%)	<0.001
ARB Discontinuation, (%) BB Discontinuation, (%) MRA Discontinuation, (%)		(21.3%) 787/7161 (11.0%) 890/4748 (18.7%)	(0.0%) 2/7 (28.6%) 2/7 (28.6%)	(22.9%) 137/1040 (13.2%) 135/701 (19.3%)	(20.2%) 495/4890 (10.1%) 583/3295 (17.7%)	(20.3%) 110/979 (11.2%) 130/618 (21.0%)	(38.4%) 43/245 (17.6%) 40/127 (31.5%)	0.006 <0.00 <0.00

RAASi= Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors; SBP= Systolic Blood Pressure; HR= Heart Rate; NYHA= New York Heart Association; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; ICD= Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator; CRT-P/D= Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy with or without defibrillator; eGFR= estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; ACEi= Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitor; ARB= Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; BB= Beta Blocker. Supplementary figure 1: Heart Failure Long-Term Registry flow-diagram



# Supplementary Table 1

Factors associated with cardiovascular deaths in multivariable analysis considering RAASi prescription at baseline

Multivariable		
Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue
<3 vs [4 – 5)	2.92 (0.66;12.82)	0.156
[3-4) vs [4-5)	1.19 (0.89;1.59)	0.236
[5 - 5.5) vs [4 - 5)	0.98 (0.71;1.34)	0.882
≥5.5 vs [4 – 5)	1.08 (0.68;1.74)	0.735
Yes vs No	0.64 (0.49;0.84)	0.001
Yes vs No	0.63 (0.45;0.87)	0.006
Yes vs No	1.42 (1.06;1.90)	0.017
Yes vs No	1.24 (0.98;1.58)	0.077
Yes vs No	1.65 (1.12;2.44)	0.011
	MultivariableComparison $<3 vs [4-5)$ $[3-4) vs [4-5)$ $[5-5.5) vs [4-5)$ $\geq 5.5 vs [4-5)$ Yes vs NoYes vs No	MultivariableComparisonHR (95% CI) $<3 vs [4-5)$ $2.92 (0.66;12.82)$ $[3-4) vs [4-5)$ $1.19 (0.89;1.59)$ $[5-5.5) vs [4-5)$ $0.98 (0.71;1.34)$ $\geq 5.5 vs [4-5)$ $1.08 (0.68;1.74)$ Yes vs No $0.64 (0.49;0.84)$ Yes vs No $0.63 (0.45;0.87)$ Yes vs No $1.42 (1.06;1.90)$ Yes vs No $1.24 (0.98;1.58)$ Yes vs No $1.65 (1.12;2.44)$

Multivariable								
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue					
Age (in years)		1.03 (1.02;1.04)	<0.001					
Aortic stenosis	Yes vs No	1.92 (1.29;2.85)	0.001					
Atrial fibrillation	Yes vs No	1.35 (1.08;1.69)	0.009					
BMI at baseline		0.96 (0.94;0.99)	0.002					
Systolic BP (in mmHg) at baseline		0.98 (0.97;0.98)	< 0.001					
COPD	Yes vs No	1.28 (0.98;1.67)	0.073					
Depression	Yes vs No	1.68 (1.22;2.31)	0.001					
Diabetes class	Yes vs No	1.44 (1.14;1.82)	0.002					
EF (%)	40-50 vs <40	0.94 (0.70;1.25)	0.651					
	>50 vs <40	0.75 (0.51;1.09)	0.129					

	Multivariable							
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue					
	Ischemic heart disease							
Primary Etiology	vs Non-ischemic heart	1.15 (0.91;1.45)	0.249					
	disease							
Hepatic dysfunction	Yes vs No	1.42 (0.92;2.18)	0.110					
Chronic kidney dysfunction	Yes vs No	1.82 (1.44;2.32)	< 0.001					
Peripheral vascular disease	Yes vs No	1.68 (1.29;2.18)	< 0.001					
Stroke/TIA	Yes vs No	1.36 (1.00;1.84)	0.050					
Sex	Female vs Male	0.80 (0.61;1.05)	0.111					
Beta blockers (Prior)	Yes vs No	0.61 (0.46;0.80)	< 0.001					
Mitral regurgitation	Yes vs No	1.16 (0.91;1.47)	0.232					

Notes:

Number of patients in the analysis: 8173/9222. RAASi= Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors; HR=Hazard Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval; ACEi= Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitor; ARB= Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; CRT-D= Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy with defibrillator; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; BMI= Body Mass Index; BP= Blood Pressure; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; EF= Ejection Fraction; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; vs= versus.

# Supplementary Table 2

Factors associated with cardiovascular deaths in multivariable analysis considering RAASi target doses prescription at baseline

Multivariable								
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue					
Potassium (in mmol/L) at baseline	<3 vs [4 – 5)	2.80 (0.63;12.39)	0.176					
	[3-4) vs [4-5)	1.19 (0.88;1.61)	0.267					
	[5 - 5.5) vs [4 - 5)	1.01 (0.72;1.41)	0.959					
	≥5.5 vs [4 – 5)	0.97 (0.58;1.63)	0.908					
	No ACE vs Inferior	1.45 (1.06;1.97)	0.020					
Target dose ACEi	target dose							
	Superior target dose vs	0.75 (0.55;1.03)	0.077					
	Inferior target dose							
Target dose ARB	No ARB vs Inferior	1.59 (1.03;2.45)	0.037					
	target dose							

Multivariable					
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue		
	Superior target dose vs Inferior target dose	0.96 (0.57;1.62)	0.883		
CRT-D	Yes vs No	1.42 (1.05;1.92)	0.025		
Target dose MRA	No MRA vs Inferior target dose	1.00 (0.60;1.66)	0.995		
	Superior target dose vs Inferior target dose	1.30 (0.79;2.14)	0.292		
S3 gallop	Yes vs No	1.75 (1.17;2.63)	0.007		
Age (in years)		1.03 (1.02;1.04)	< 0.001		
Aortic stenosis	Yes vs No	1.99 (1.30;3.03)	0.001		
Atrial fibrillation	Yes vs No	1.30 (1.03;1.65)	0.027		
BMI at baseline		0.96 (0.93;0.98)	0.001		

Multivariable						
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue			
Systolic BP (in mmHg) at baseline		0.97 (0.97;0.98)	< 0.001			
COPD	Yes vs No	1.19 (0.89;1.58)	0.234			
Depression	Yes vs No	1.70 (1.22;2.37)	0.002			
Diabetes	Yes vs No	1.50 (1.17;1.92)	0.001			
EF (%)	40-50 vs <40	0.97 (0.72;1.32)	0.861			
	>50 vs <40	0.79 (0.53;1.18)	0.256			
	Ischemic heart disease					
Primary Etiology	vs Non-ischemic heart	1.20 (0.94;1.54)	0.145			
	disease					
Hepatic dysfunction	Yes vs No	1.45 (0.94;2.26)	0.096			
Chronic kidney dysfunction	Yes vs No	1.78 (1.38;2.29)	< 0.001			
Peripheral vascular disease	Yes vs No	1.66 (1.26;2.18)	< 0.001			

Multivariable					
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue		
Stroke/TIA	Yes vs No	1.25 (0.90;1.74)	0.187		
Sex	Female vs Male	0.71 (0.53;0.95)	0.021		
Beta blockers (Prior)	Yes vs No	0.62 (0.47;0.83)	0.001		
Mitral regurgitation	Yes vs No	1.08 (0.84;1.40)	0.544		

#### Notes:

Number of patients in the analysis: 7514/9222. RAASi= Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors; HR=Hazard Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval; ACEi= Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitor; ARB= Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; CRT-D= Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy with defibrillator; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; BMI= Body Mass Index; BP= Blood Pressure; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; EF= Ejection Fraction; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; vs= versus.

# Supplementary Table 3

Multivariable						
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue			
Potassium (in mmol/L) at baseline	<3 vs [4 – 5)	4.32 (0.97;19.19)	0.054			
	[3-4) vs [4-5)	1.04 (0.75;1.43)	0.817			
	[5 - 5.5) vs [4 - 5)	1.03 (0.73;1.46)	0.852			
	≥5.5 vs [4 – 5)	0.91 (0.55;1.51)	0.725			
	Discontinuation vs No	12 17 (8 06:18 37)	<0.001			
ACEi	Discontinuation	12.17 (0.00,10.57)	<0.001			
	No ACEi vs No	(05 (4 51.10 72))	<0.001			
	Discontinuation	6.95 (4.51;10.72)	<0.001			
	Discontinuation vs No					
ARB	Discontinuation	8.13 (4.35;15.22)	< 0.001			

Factors associated with cardiovascular deaths in multivariable analysis considering RAASi discontinuation during one-year follow-up

	Multivariable		
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue
	No ARB vs No	5 44 (2 00 0 00)	0.001
	Discontinuation	5.44 (3.00;9.88)	<0.001
CRT-D	Yes vs No	1.43 (1.05;1.96)	0.023
	Discontinuation vs No		
MRA	Discontinuation	6.44 (4.45;9.33)	<0.001
	No MRA vs No		
	Discontinuation	2.51 (1.71;3.68)	<0.001
S3 gallop	Yes vs No	1.54 (1.02;2.32)	0.040
Age (in years)		1.02 (1.01;1.03)	< 0.001
Aortic stenosis	Yes vs No	1.51 (0.96;2.37)	0.072
Atrial fibrillation	Yes vs No	1.41 (1.11;1.80)	0.006
BMI at baseline		0.98 (0.95;1.00)	0.100

	Multivariable		
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue
Systolic BP (in mmHg) at baseline		0.98 (0.97;0.99)	<0.001
COPD	Yes vs No	1.21 (0.91;1.62)	0.191
Depression	Yes vs No	1.39 (0.99;1.96)	0.059
Diabetes	Yes vs No	1.32 (1.02;1.70)	0.036
EF (%)	40-50 vs <40	0.95 (0.70;1.30)	0.756
	>50 vs <40	0.68 (0.45;1.04)	0.073
	Ischemic heart disease		
	VS	1 24 (1 04.1 72)	0.022
Primary Etiology	Non-ischemic heart	1.34 (1.04;1.73)	0.023
	disease		
		1.156 (0.718;1.86	0
Hepatic dysfunction	Yes vs No	0)	0.551)

Multivariable						
Covariates	Comparison	HR (95% CI)	Pvalue			
Chronic kidney dysfunction	Yes vs No	1.380 (1.062;1.79 3)	0.016			
Peripheral vascular disease	Yes vs No	1.755 (1.327;2.32 2)	<0.001			
Stroke/TIA	Yes vs No	1.211 (0.871;1.68 4)	0.256			
Sex	Female vs Male	0.852 (0.634;1.14 5)	0.288			
Beta blockers (Prior)	Yes vs No	0.622 (0.462;0.83 7)	0.002			
Mitral regurgitation	Yes vs No	1.158 (0.891;1.50 6)	0.273			

Notes: Number of patients in the analysis: 7413/9222.RAASi= Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System inhibitors; HR=Hazard Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval; ACEi= Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitor; ARB= Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; CRT-D= Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy with defibrillator; MRA= Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; BMI= Body Mass Index; BP= Blood Pressure; COPD= Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; EF= Ejection Fraction; TIA= Transient Ischemic Attack; vs= versus. Supplementary Table 4: three mediation analyses (for ACEi, or ARB, or MRA discontinuation, respectively), using multivariable\* Cox models for the outcomes: all-cause death and cardiovascular death

	All-cause death				Card	iovascular death		
	Тур	be 3 tests	Paramete	er estimate	Type 3		Paramet	er estimate
	Potassium (in mmol/L) at baseline natural direct effect	Potassium level (in mmol/L) at baseline (natural indirect effect via a drug discontinuation mediation)	Potassium (in mmol/L) at baseline natural direct effect	Potassium level (in mmol/L) at baseline (natural indirect effect via a drug discontinuation mediation)	Potassium (in mmol/L) at baseline natural direct effect	Potassium level (in mmol/L) at baseline (natural indirect effect via a drug discontinuation mediation)	Potassium (in mmol/L) at baseline natural direct effect	Potassium level (in mmol/L) at baseline (natural indirect effect via a drug discontinuation mediation)
Models considering ACEI discontinuation	P<0.001	P<0.001	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): HR (CI) 1.03 [0.92; 1.15] P= 0.636	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.03 [0.91; 1.16] P=0.658	<0.044	0.009	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5) 0.89 [0.76; 1.05] P= 0.171	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.03 [0.88; 1.21] P=0.703
			>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.20 [0.99; 1.44] P= 0.057	>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.12 [1.00; 1.26] P= 0.059			>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 0.97 [0.74; 1.27] P=0.814	>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.12 [0.96; 1.32] P= 0.151
Models considering ARB discontinuation	P<0.001	P=0.480	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.08 [0.98; 1.20] P= 0.136	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.00 [0.90; 1.12] P=0.953	<0.001	0.734	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.04 [0.90; 1.20] P=0.623	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.00[0.86; 1.17] P=0.974
			>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.36 [1.15; 1.60] P<0.001	>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.09 [0.97; 1.22] P= 0.136			>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.03 [0.79; 1.34] P=0.827	>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.09 [0.93; 1.27] P= 0.285
Models considering	P<0.001	P<0.001	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.09 [0.98; 1.22]	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.03 [0.92; 1.16]	0.008	<0.001	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 0.99 [0.85; 1.15]	[5; 5.5) vs. [4; 5): 1.03 [0.88; 1.21]

MRA discontinuation		P=0.109	P=0.609		P=0.893	P=0.689
		>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.21 [1.03; 1.43] P= 0.024	>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.18 [1.04; 1.32] P= 0.007		>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 0.85 [0.66; 1.10] P= 0.213	>5.5 vs. [4; 5) 1.15 [0.98; 1.36] P= 0.080

\*Age, aortic stenosis, systolic blood pressure at baseline, ejection fraction, primary etiology, chronic kidney dysfunction, peripheral vascular disease, prior beta blockers as covariates in each of the multivariable models