

An analytical modeling with experimental validation of bone temperature rise in drilling process

Foli Amewoui, Gael Le Coz, Anne-Sophie Bonnet, Abdelhadi Moufki

► To cite this version:

Foli Amewoui, Gael Le Coz, Anne-Sophie Bonnet, Abdelhadi Moufki. An analytical modeling with experimental validation of bone temperature rise in drilling process. Medical Engineering & Physics, 2020, 84, pp.151-160. 10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.007 . hal-03040692

HAL Id: hal-03040692 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03040692

Submitted on 4 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	An analytical modelling with experimental validation of bone
2	temperature rise in drilling process
3	Foli Amewoui, Gaël Le Coz, Anne-Sophie Bonnet [*] , Abdelhadi Moufki
4	Laboratoire d'Etude des Microstructures et de Mécanique des Matériaux, LEM3
5	Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Arts et Métiers Paris Tech, LEM3, F-57000 Metz,
6	France
7	
8	
9	foli-noel.amewoui-ekoue-adjoka@univ-lorraine.fr;
10	gael.lecoz@univ-lorraine.fr
11	abdelhadi.moufki@univ-lorraine.fr
12	
13	*Corresponding author: Anne-Sophie Bonnet
14	e-mail: anne-sophie.bonnet@univ-lorraine.fr,
15	tel: +33 (0)3-72-74-86-47
16	
17	

18 ABSTRACT

19

Predicting the bone thermal response in a surgical operation remains a major 20 21 challenge. In the previous works, metal machining theory has frequently been used to predict bone temperature in drilling process. However, several experimental studies 22 23 demonstrate that the chip formation process is very complex compared to metal cutting. In the present study, a simplified analytical model based on the moving heat 24 25 source approach combined with the method of image sources is developed. The heat 26 source due to the drill-bit tip was supposed to be proportional to the cutting energy. 27 The friction at the tool-hole contact was also considered. An experimental study was performed on fresh femur pig bone for cutting speeds from 2 to 20 m/min. Temperature 28 29 rise, drilling forces and bone volume fraction were measured. The experimental 30 validation showed that the model reproduces satisfactorily the increase in temperature 31 up to the maximum value while it overestimates the temperature during the cooling 32 stage. A parametric study (thermal boundary conditions, lateral friction) was also performed. From the predicted results, it appears that the model can be improved by 33 34 considering the effects of the bone volume fraction which can present a significant variation in the bone sample. 35

36 Keywords

Bone drilling, Bone necrosis, Bone temperature rise, Thermal model for bone drilling,
Moving heat source, Axial drilling force and torque, Bone volume fraction.

39

40 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Bone cutting is a common step in bone fracture treatment and implantology.
Notwithstanding that bone cutting method includes grooving, sawing, drilling, and
milling, the most discussed process in the literature is bone drilling [1]. The reason of

44 this increasing interest among researchers comes from the fact that drilling process 45 generates significant heat that may lead to thermal injury of bone [2-4]. Hillery and 46 Shuaib [5] report that, for exposure time greater than 30 s, the necrosis threshold in 47 human and bovine bones is 55 °C. According to Bertollo et al. [6], Pandey and Panda 48 [1], bone necrosis can appear for very small exposure time when the bone temperature 49 is 70 °C. In spite of the fact that there is no consensus concerning the critical values of 50 exposure duration and temperature magnitude, the typical value of 47 °C during at least 60 s is often used as a reference threshold for the thermal injury apparition [3,7]. 51 52 Pioneering works related to bone drilling deal about the prevention of osteonecrosis. 53 The key step of these investigations is the assessment of the cutting temperature by 54 using experimental and/or theoretical techniques [1,3,8-10]. Due to the variability of 55 bone samples properties and experimental conditions and to the large number of

drilling parameters, these investigations often do not converge towards general conclusions about the temperature rise with respect to the drilling parameters. So, it appears necessary to develop realistic thermo-mechanical models of bone drilling operation to investigate the effect of each parameter, on temperature elevation.

Metal machining theory has frequently been used to calculate drilling heat generated 60 during bone drilling [8,11,12]. The authors assumed that bone behaves like metal when 61 it is machined. Therefore, the deformation in the chip was supposed to be 62 63 characterized by three zones; the primary deformation zone where the required energy in material shearing is converted into heat; the secondary zone where heat is 64 generated by shearing along the tool rake face and the third zone where friction 65 66 between the tool clearance face and the new surface of bone produces heat. A similar assumption has been taken by Lee et al. [8] to suggest a thermal model for bone 67 drilling. In their investigation, the heat generated in the third zone was assumed to be 68

69 negligible for a sharp cutting tool, exactly as in the case of metal cutting. This theory 70 has been extended further upon by Maani *et al.* [12] to develop a mathematical model 71 for predicting the thermal response in the surgical drilling of bone. To determine the 72 temperature rise during drilling, Kalidindi [13] also used the approach developed by 73 Oxley [14].

Other authors investigated the cutting and chip formation mechanism of bone cutting 74 75 and suggested an alternative view. Jacob et al. [15] analysed, through microscopic and 76 SEM images, bone chips shape during orthogonal cutting. They showed that Merchant 77 [16] analysis of orthogonal cutting, commonly used in material cutting, has limited applicability to bone material. Further investigations done by Wiggins and Malkin [17] 78 79 on the mechanics of orthogonal machining of bone showed that the chip formation 80 process occurred by a series of discrete fractures. Recently, Liao and Axinte [18] 81 identified three different chip formation modes related to the uncut chip thickness. 82 Feldman et al. [19] corroborated the observations of Liao and Axinte [18]. They found the bone cutting behaviour changed from a ductile to a fracture cutting mode as cutting 83 84 depth increases. The threshold cutting depth inducing cracks with chips formation was 85 shown to be 20 µm [20].

86 Thus, within these experimental investigations, it appears that the chip formation 87 process is very complex compared to metal cutting and that during bone cutting 88 process, chips are formed more by fracture mode than shear mode, regardless of 89 cutting direction, as shown in Fig. 1. Consequently, it seems more realistic to assume 90 that bone behaves like a quasi-brittle material in drilling process. Therefore, whereas for metal cutting the heat sources in the chip are clearly associated to the plastic 91 92 deformation in the shear zones, the temperature elevation inside bone can clearly not be associated to the same physical mechanisms. As a consequence, analytical models 93

94 based on metal machining theory cannot be considered as an appropriate approach to 95 predict the bone temperature rise in drilling. In addition, the source of the heat 96 generated during bone drilling is not clearly defined for a wide range of drilling 97 conditions and needs further investigation.

98 Recently, new thermal models for bone drilling, based on the moving heat source approach of Jaeger [21], have been introduced by Feldmann et al. [19,22]. The 99 100 interactions between drill tip and bone are modeled by a moving heat source 101 assimilated to a disk source with the size of the drill bit diameter. The authors [19,22] 102 expressed the thermal energy as a function of bone density distribution. With the 103 Green's function of time-dependent moving point source, they determined the 104 temperature distribution in function of time. It can however be noted that the model 105 [19,22] presents different limitations: (i) the bone has been assimilated to an infinite 106 medium (i.e. the bone material fills all the space). As it will be shown in the present 107 work, this assumption may induce an underestimation of bone temperature if the feed 108 rate is not large enough. (ii) during the drill bit penetration into the bone, the distance 109 between the heat source, which moves with the tool, and the measurement position of 110 temperature has been taken constant for a fixed time t, (iii) the heat sources induced 111 by the bone-tool interactions are restricted to the tool tip effect.

As indicated previously, the bone behaves as a quasi-brittle material during cutting process. Therefore, friction between the drilled hole and the tool can affect the bone temperature. In the present work, a simplified analytical model is developed using the following assumptions: (i) bone temperature rise results from two main sources corresponding to the interaction between the drill-bit tip and bone and the friction between the drill-bit side and the drilled hole, (ii) the effect of the point angle is neglected and the heat source associated to the tool tip is assimilated to a disk. The

model was used to analyze the influence of spindle speed which affects the heat generation and the heat transfer through the exposure time. Experimental tests of bone drilling have also been conducted on porcine samples. After a validation study of the model with temperature experimental data, a parametric study has been performed and compared with the results of the experimental campaign.

124

125

5 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

126 2.1 Experimental study

127 Bone samples used in these experiments were extracted from the diaphyseal part of a porcine femoral bone aged of 6 months. The bone was obtained from a local 128 129 slaughterhouse just after the slaughter of the animal and directly frozen (-20°C). There 130 was no animal sacrifice specifically for this study. Experiments were conducted on 131 bones devoted to food industry. Before sample preparation, bone was thawed to a 132 temperature between 3 and 6 degrees during 24 hours. Bone samples were finally 133 machined at room temperature to get dimensions of approximately 70 mm-long and 134 10 mm-wide. Fig. 2(a) shows the final state of the sample to drill.

135

Each bone sample was scanned by X-ray micro-computed tomography (μ CT) machine EasyTom (RX Solutions, Chavanod, France) before drillings tests. The scanning parameters are the following ones: voltage 100 keV, current 50 μ A, exposure time 2.6 s and voxel size 15x15x15 μ m³. Microtomography was conducted on several bone samples but the most homogeneous sample in terms of microstructure (i.e. with a cortical layer of quite constant thickness along the whole specimen) and presenting the highest thickness of cortical bone was kept for the drilling process and thecomparison with the analytical model.

144 After image acquisition of the bone sample, the drilling experiments were performed 145 on it. A feed per tooth of 0.02 mm was chosen and cutting velocities varying between 146 2 to 20 m/min were tested. The drilling tests were performed on a three axes CNC milling machine Roeders RP600. A Nobel Biocare twist drill of 3.2 mm diameter with a 147 point angle of 118° and an helix angle of 30° was used. The drilling depth was 4 mm. 148 149 Drill is made of stainless steel with a diamond-like carbon (DLC) coating. The whole 150 machine, sample, and tool are considered perfectly rigid so that the cutting vibrations 151 are negligible.

Samples were clamped and mounted on a Kistler 9256C2 piezoelectric dynamometer to measure the feed force F and the cutting torque M, see Fig. 2(b). The signal was amplified by a Kistler 5017B charge amplifier and recorded by a National Instrument data acquisition system coupled with a Labview software. Signals obtained were filtered by a Butterworth type filter, traditionally used during the machining data processing.

Temperature data were recorded by two K-type thermocouples OMEGA KMTSS-IM025U-150 with a wire diameter of 0.25 mm implanted in pre-drilled holes. The measuring junctions were positioned precisely at 1.5 mm depth and 0.5 and 0.9 mm from the wall, see Fig. 2(c). A conductor paste was injected through the holes to optimise the conduction from bone to thermocouples.

After drilling tests, microtomography of drilled bone was performed with the parameters presented previously. The reconstruction process of bone sample volume was conducted via RX Solutions X-ACT software. The resetting of drilled and undrilled bone

tomograms was done on Avizo 9 software. By subtracting tomographic images before and after drilling tests, the 3D volume of the drilling zones of bone was obtained to represent our volume of interest. To facilitate the post-treatment, these zones were approximated by the addition of a cylinder and a cone, Fig. 3(a). Bone volume fractions (BV/TV) were then determined within these zones. BV/TV is extracted from each slice through drilling direction of the whole stack of the volume of interest.

- 172
- 173

174 2.2 Analytical modelling of bone temperature rise

175 The temperature distribution in the bone can be obtained from the approach 176 developed by Carslaw and Jaeger [23]. A fixed coordinate system (x, y, z) is associated 177 to the bone sample where the beginning of the drilled hole is located at position x=0, Fig. 4. Heat is liberated at time t', from 0 to $t (0 \le t' \le t)$, at point Q(x', y', z') where the 178 heat rate $q_{c}ds$ ($q_{c}(w/m^{2})$) is associated to an elementary surface ds and due to the 179 180 cutting process. During drilling, the heat source moves with a constant speed V in x-181 direction so that x' = Vt' as shown in Fig. 4. When the bone sample is assimilated to 182 an infinite medium (i.e. the bone is supposed to occupy all the space 183 $-\infty < x, y and z < +\infty$) and according to Carslaw and Jaeger [23], the temperature 184 elevation ΔT at a point M(x, y, z) for time t is given by:

185
$$\Delta T(x, y, z, t) = \frac{q ds}{8\rho c (\pi a)^{3/2}} \int_{0}^{t} (t - t')^{-3/2} e^{-\left\{(x - Vt')^{2} + (y - y')^{2} + (z - z')^{2}\right\}/4a(t - t')} dt'$$
(1)

186 where ρ , k, c, and a represent respectively the density, the thermal conductivity, 187 the specific heat and the thermal diffusivity. Note that in (1), q_c is time independent. 188 According to Tu *et al.* [24], the thermal properties and the density of pig bone are given 189 by: k = 0.45 W/Km, c = 1640 J/kg K and $\rho = 1640 kg/m^3$. A similar approach has been proposed by Feldmann *et al.* for the tool tip contribution [19,22]. However, there is a difference between the two models. Indeed, during the heat source displacement for $0 \le t' \le t$, its vertical position *x'* was supposed to be equal to *Vt* in Feldmann *et al.* work [19,22]. This is equivalent to consider that the distance between the heat source Q(x', y', z') and the measurement position M(x, y, z) is constant for $0 \le t' \le t$.

Eq. (1) means that elevation temperature distribution at time *t* is obtained by adding the rise temperature caused by the amount of heat liberated during the source displacement namely when it was at the positions (x' = Vt', y', z') with $0 \le t' \le t$.

199 By introducing the variable $\tau = t - t'$, (1) is reduced to

200
$$\Delta T(x, y, z, t) = \frac{q_c ds}{8\rho c (\pi a)^{3/2}} e^{-V(x-Vt)/2a} \int_0^t \tau^{-3/2} e^{-\left(\frac{d^2}{4a\tau} + \frac{V^2\tau}{4a}\right)} d\tau$$
(2)

201 where $d = \sqrt{(x - Vt)^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}$ is the distance from the heat source as reported 202 in Fig. 4.

203

204 From the close form of the time integral term, we get:

205
$$\Delta T(x, y, z, t) = \frac{q_c ds}{8\rho c \pi a} e^{-V(x-Vt)/2a} f(d, t)$$
(3)

206 with

207
$$f(d,t) = \frac{1}{d} \left\{ e^{Vd/2a} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{d}{2\sqrt{at}} + V\sqrt{at}/2a\right) + e^{-Vd/2a} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{d}{2\sqrt{at}} - V\sqrt{at}/2a\right) \right\}$$
(4)

The temperature distribution, due to the drill-bit tip contribution, is obtained by adding the temperature rise caused by each elementary heat source $q_c ds$ ($q[w/m^2]$) over the disk surface, see Fig. 4(a):

211
$$T_{iip}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{8\rho c \pi a} e^{-V(x-Vt)/2a} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} q_c f(d, t) d\theta r dr$$
(5)

where *R* is the drill bit radius and *d* is expressed in polar coordinates $d = \sqrt{(x - Vt)^2 + (y - r\cos\theta)^2 + (z - r\sin\theta)^2}$. In (5), the bone is considered as an infinite medium.

For drilling operation, the bone can be assimilated to a half space (i.e. the bone sample 215 216 occupies the half space $x \ge 0$ and its top surface is located at position x = 0). To obtain 217 the temperature distribution in a semi-infinite medium with adiabatic surface, the image sources $q_{im}ds$ (with $q_{im}=q_c$) are introduced as in Komanduri and Hou [25-27]. These 218 fictive sources move with a constant speed -V in x-direction such as $q_{im}ds$ is located 219 at $Q_{im}(x' = -Vt', y', z')$ where heat is liberated at time t', from 0 to t $(0 \le t' \le t)$, see Fig. 220 221 4(a). The temperature distribution due to Q_{im} is deduced from (5) by replacing d with $d_{im} = \sqrt{(x+Vt)^2 + (y-r\cos\theta)^2 + (z-r\sin\theta)^2}$ and V with -V. Finally, for the bone 222 223 assimilated to a half space, we obtain:

224
$$T_{iip}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{8\rho c \pi a} \left\{ e^{-V(x-Vt)/2a} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} q f(d,t) d\theta r dr + e^{V(x+Vt)/2a} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} q f(d_{im},t) d\theta r dr \right\}$$
(6)

The integral terms in (6) are calculated numerically by using Gauss quadrature method. To account for the friction between the drill-bit side and the drilled hole, the depth *H* of the hole is divided into *N* small differential elements having ring shape. The height of each element j ($1 \le j \le N$) is given by $\Delta h = H/N$, Fig. 4(b).

When the drill bit penetrates deeper into the bone, frictional heat is liberated at time t', from t_{0j} to $t(t_{0j} \le t' \le t)$, at point $Q_f(x', y', z')$ where the heat rate $q_f ds(q_f(w/m^2))$ is associated to an elementary surface $ds = R d\theta \Delta h$, see Fig. 4(b). The heat source moves with a constant speed *V* in *x*-direction so that x' = Vt' as shown in Fig. 4(b). Following the drill bit penetration, each source at Q_f is activated at $t' = t_{0j}$ with $t_{0j} = j\Delta h/V + R/V \tan(\psi/2)$. In this equation, the term $R/\tan(\psi/2)$ represents the height of the drill-bit tip and ψ is the point angle, see Fig. 4(a). Thus, at time *t*, the number of differential elements (elementary rings of Fig. 4(b)) forming the total friction zone is given by the integer part of the ratio $Vt/\Delta h$.

Applying the foregoing approach, the temperature distribution in the bone (modeled as a half space), due to the side drill bit contribution (frictional heat), is as follows:

240
$$T_{side}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{R \Delta h}{8\rho c \pi a} \sum_{j}^{N} \left\{ e^{-V(x+h_{j}-Vt)/2a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} q_{f} f(d, t-t_{oj}) d\theta + e^{V(x-h_{j}+Vt)/2a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} q_{f} f(d_{im}, t-t_{oj}) d\theta \right\}$$

(7)

241

242 with

243

$$\begin{cases}
h_{j} = (j-1/2)\Delta h \\
d = \sqrt{(x+h_{j}-Vt)^{2} + (y-R\cos\theta)^{2} + (z-R\sin\theta)^{2}} \\
d_{im} = \sqrt{(x-h_{j}+Vt)^{2} + (y-R\cos\theta)^{2} + (z-R\sin\theta)^{2}}
\end{cases}$$
(8)

The length h_j is defined in Fig. 4(b) and the integral terms in (7) are calculated numerically by using Gauss quadrature method. Note that in (7), q_f is time independent.

The temperature distribution in the bone, resulting from the two contributions, is givenby:

249
$$T(x, y, z, t) = T_0 + T_{tip}(x, y, z, t) + T_{side}(x, y, z, t)$$
(9)

250 where T_0 is the initial bone temperature.

During cutting operation, the tool-bone interaction changes due to the variation of the bone behavior from the cortical part to the cancellous bone. Consequently to estimate the heat source, we propose to use the average of the maximum and minimum values of feed force *F* and cutting torque *M* denoted by \overline{F} and \overline{M} respectively, see the Results section. Following this approach, the heat source relative to the tool tip is assumed to be constant during drilling, and equal to a fraction $0 < \eta < 1$ of the mean value of the mechanical energy :

258

$$q_c = \eta \left(\bar{F} V + \bar{M} \omega \right) / (\pi R^2) \tag{10}$$

where $_{V}$ and $_{\varpi}$ represent respectively the feed rate and the rotational speed (*rad/s*). Eq. (10) corresponds to a heat source which is time independent as in the present thermal model.

The frictional heat induced by the contact between the drill-bit side and the drilled holeis given by:

264

$$q_f = \mu_f \, p \, V_c \tag{11}$$

with μ_f the friction coefficient, *p* the contact pressure and V_c the cutting velocity $(V_c = \omega R)$.

It should be noted that before applying the analytical model to analyse the thermal problem in the bone, we have to calibrate the parameters η and p. The calibration procedure will be presented and discussed in the Discussion section.

270

271 **3. RESULTS**

Fig. 3(a) shows an example of obtained 3D volume rendering. Each curve reported in Fig. 3(b) joins bone volume fractions determined on each slice of the drilled zones in the drilling direction. The typical evolution of bone volume fractions confirms the drilling of two different types of bone. The relatively flat part of the curve denotes drilling through cortical bone whose bone volume fraction is relatively stable (greater than 0.9). The highly sloped part of bone volume fraction curve represents the entrance into spongy bone where BV/TV can drop to 0.4 at the end of the drilling process. It is also
visible that the bone volume fraction highly varies from a drilled site to another, even
inside the cortical part of the bone.

281 The variation of the feed force and cutting torgue during drilling is observed in parallel. 282 The curves obtained are reported in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). The force rapidly increases at 283 the entrance into bone samples to reach a maximum value. Then, the values slightly 284 decrease until the end of the drilling process where the values drop to zero. This diminution trend is similar to the one observed on the BV/TV curves (Fig. 3b). 285 286 Therefore, it can be deduced that a relationship exists between the evolution of feed 287 force and bone density. Concerning the torgues, their evolution with the drilling depth 288 depends on the cutting speed considered. No general trend can be observed.

In order to evaluate the heat source at the drill tip, given by Eq. (9), the average values of feed force \overline{F} and cutting torque \overline{M} are extracted on the curve profiles from the drilling maximal and minimum values, see Figs. 5(a) to 5(d). These figures reveal that \overline{F} varies only slightly with cutting speed V_c while \overline{M} is larger for $V_c \ge 10 \ m/min$ than for $V_c \le 5 \ m/min$. The obtained values $\overline{F} = 18N$ and $\overline{M} = 0.08N.m$ (mean value for V_c from 2 to 20 m/min) have been used to estimate the heat source (9).

295

After model calibration (described and discussed in the Discussion section), the predicted temperature of the model and measurement are compared. Fig. 6 shows the comparison for both positions of thermocouples and for each cutting velocity. All curves present two phases with a first one where the bone temperature increases because of the drilling process and a second one illustrating the cooling phase. The culmination of the curve coincides with the end of the drilling stage.

302

303

304 The influence of the heat source due to the lateral friction is reported in Fig. 7(a). As 305 expected, the lateral friction induces an increase in the temperature rise. A modification 306 of the position of the maximum temperature is also observed mainly for the small value 307 of cutting velocity 2 m/min. Thus, the duration of the temperature increase becomes larger. Fig. 7(b) shows how the predicted temperature changes when the bone is 308 309 assimilated to an infinite or semi-infinite medium. When a semi-infinite medium with an 310 adiabatic surface is considered (i.e. the bone sample occupies the half space $x \ge 0$ 311 and its top surface is located at position x=0), the temperature rises obtained are higher but the global profiles of the temperature curves remain unchanged. Concerning 312 the comparison of the maximal temperature rises, the increase with V_{a} from 2 to 10 313 m/min is reproduced by the model, Fig. 7(c). From 10 to 20 m/min, the predicted values 314 tend to saturate while the temperature measurements show a decrease, Fig. 7(c). 315

316

317

318 4. DISCUSSION

Heat generation, due to the conversion of fraction of cutting energy into thermal energy, is a critical parameter for thermal modelling of bone drilling. As discussed before, the application of the metal machining theory assumes that the cutting mechanisms are similar in bone and metal: in particular, the shear angle can be estimated from the Merchant law [16]. For instance, Davidson and James [11], Maani *et al.* [12], Lee *et al.* [8] have supposed that a fraction of shearing energy, due to the primary shear zone, goes into the bone. In Maani *et al.* [12], Lee *et al.* [8], this fraction was deduced from

the metal machining theory of Oxley [14] and Davidson and James [11] supposed thatit is equal to 0.5.

In the present approach, bone temperature rise results from two main sources: the 328 329 drill-bit tip (cutting process) and the friction between the drill-bit side and the drilled 330 hole. The values of both sources q_c and q_f are obtained thanks to relationships (10) 331 and (11). Expression (10) requires the determination of average values of feed force 332 \overline{F} and cutting torque \overline{M} which are provided in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 5(c), the drilling 333 force \overline{F} is almost constant as a function of the cutting speed. Fig. 5(d) shows two 334 distinct levels for the torque \overline{M} : one for the smallest cutting velocities 2 and 5 m/min 335 and a higher value for velocities 10 and 20 m/min. The values used in this work are: $\overline{F} = 18N$ and $\overline{M} = 0.08N.m$ (average value of \overline{M} for V_c from 2 to 20 m/min). Further 336 337 investigations with higher values of cutting velocities would have to be conducted in order to complete these first results. Finally, the determination of q_c and q_f requires 338 the values of parameters $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle f},\eta$ and ${}_{p}$. The value of $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle f}$ was fixed to 0.3 according to 339 data from the literature. Indeed, Rancourt *et al.* report that $\mu_f = 0.3$ for tibial cancellous 340 341 bone sliding against stainless steel surface [28]. Davim and Margues [29] analyzed the 342 influence of sliding velocity on μ_{f} for bovine bone-steel contact pair. They indicate that $\mu_f = 0.25$ for sliding velocities from 0.02 *m*/s to 0.1 *m*/s. More recently, Zhu *et al.* [30] 343 344 examined the variation of μ_{f} in function of contact pressure and sliding velocity with 345 water lubrication for bovine femoral cortical bone-316 L stainless steel contact pair. For 346 sliding velocity of 0.44 m/s and contact pressure of 0.42 MPa, Zhu et al. [30] showed 347 that $\mu_{f} = 0.25$.

Concerning parameters η (fraction of mechanical energy resulting from the tool tip-348 bone interaction converted into heat) and p (contact pressure between the drill-bit side 349 and the drilled hole), a calibration procedure was carried out. From the parametric 350 351 study reported in Fig. 7(a), it appears that the time t_c , corresponding to the maximum 352 temperature rise ΔT_{max} (t_c is time during which temperature increases), is mainly 353 dependent on the side heat source (11) (via the contact pressure p) especially for 354 small cutting velocities. Based on this trend, the calibration of p and η was performed with respect to the time t_c and ΔT_{max} respectively. Thus, the calibration procedure is 355 356 based on the following iterative approach. First, the two parameters were varied within 357 a defined range (for instance $\eta \in [0.1, 0.7]$ and $p[MPa] \in [0, 20]$). Secondly, for each value of η , pressure p is selected so that the condition $t_c^{model} \approx t_c^{exp}$ is satisfied. Finally, among 358 359 all values of η and for each calibrated pressure, the chosen value of η must satisfy the condition $\Delta T_{\text{max}}^{\text{model}} \approx \Delta T_{\text{max}}^{\text{exp}}$ (t_c^{exp} and $\Delta T_{\text{max}}^{\text{exp}}$ represent the experimental measurements). 360 According to this approach, η and p were calibrated such as the experimental 361 temperature and the model prediction are as close as possible for the smallest cutting 362 363 speed $V_c = 2 m/min$ as reported in Fig. 6(a) (temperature was measured at 0.5 mm from the drilled hole, see Fig. 2). The values of parameters η and p obtained with this 364 365 calibration are $\eta = 0.35$ and p = 1MPa. Besides, Fig. 7(c) indicates that the difference 366 between the temperature elevation when the bone is assimilated to a semi-infinite medium with adiabatic surface or considered as an infinite medium is more significant 367 for $V_c = 2 m/min$ than for $V_c = 20 m/min$. This can be explained by the fact that the feed 368 rate V increases with the cutting speed V_c and, at low feed rate, conduction 369

overcomes the convection of heat (thanks to the heat source displacement) so thatheat diffusion will extend deep into the infinite medium.

In order to dissociate the effect of the feed rate per tooth f_t from the influence of V_c , f_t is kept constant $f_t = 0.02 \text{ mm/tooth}$. Fig. 6. shows the effect of cutting speed V_c on the bone temperature elevation over time at depth 1.5 mm and for the two thermocouples placed at 0.5 and 0.9 mm from the hole (denoted respectively by 'Th1' and 'Th2', see Fig. 2). As expected, the model results indicate that the temperature is an increasing function of V_c (i.e. the rotational speed ω) while the exposure time decreases with V_c . This temperature growth is more significant for 'Th1' than for 'Th2'.

379 Overall, the model correctly reproduces the evolution of temperature rise with time t from 0 to t_c (i.e. $0 \le \Delta T \le \Delta T_{max}$). The low heat conductivity and the high heat capacity 380 381 of the bone result in lower temperatures at the location of the second thermocouple 'Th2', Figs. 6(e)-6(h). Because of keeping f_t constant ($f_t = 0.02 \text{ mm/tooth.}$), the feed 382 rate V increases with cutting speed V_c ($V = f_t V_c / (\pi R)$). Consequently, the heat 383 384 sources increase with V_c while the duration of heat exchange (exposure time) with the 385 bone is reduced. These two opposite effects result in a slowing down in the increase of the maximum temperature with V_c as reported in Fig. 7(c) (the heat sources q_c and 386 q_{f} are proportional to V_{c} , see Eq. (10) and (11), but the evolution of the maximum 387 388 temperature results from the two opposite effects). The model predictions reveal that 389 the growth of cutting speed V_c from 2 to 5 m/min induces an increase of temperature of thermocouple 'T_{h1}' of about 16 °C (8 °C for 'T_{h2}') while it is about 2°C for a variation 390 391 from 10 to 20 m/min (2 °C for 'Th2'). These tendencies are close to the temperature 392 measurements, Fig. 7(c). It can also be observed from Fig. 7 that the analytical model 393 predicts the maximum temperature rise with a maximum error of 18% for 'Th1' whereas

we have 28% for 'T_{h2}', which is logical as the model was calibrated for the closest position of the thermocouple. The difference between model results and measurements can be attributed to several parameters which are not considered in the present model: (i) the evolution of the bone density during cutting, (ii) the relationship between the bone thermal properties and its density, (iii) the influence of the presence of both thermocouples on the bone temperature distribution, and (iv) the effect of cutting conditions on the model parameters : η , p, \overline{M} and μ_f .

401 During bone drilling, the temperature rise depends on the bone density (through 402 BV/TV). Fig. 3(b) shows that the ratio BV/TV is much higher for the cutting tests with 403 $V_c = 2$ and 5 m/min than for $V_c = 10$ and 20 m/min. Note also that the thermal conductivity 404 depends on the bone density, see Feldmann et al. [31]. These variations are not taken 405 into account in the present approach where the heat sources are supposed to be 406 constant. To improve the model predictions, the effect of BV/TV on the heat generation 407 and on the thermal conductivity have to be considered. Additionally, the model does 408 not reproduce correctly the cooling stage. Indeed to reduce the computational time, 409 the cooling process was estimated from the moving heat source solution by keeping it 410 to zero during the cooling step (i.e. when $t_c \leq t$). To reproduce the bone cooling 411 process when $t_c < t$, we have to consider the numerical solution of the heat problem 412 where the initial temperature distribution corresponds to the solution given by the 413 present model at $t = t_c$.

The thermal damage of the bone is mainly dependent on two factors: exposure time and temperature magnitude. Several parameters affect these two critical factors: drilling conditions, drilling strategies, cooling and thermomechanical bone properties. From the foregoing comparison between the model predictions and the experimental

418 results, it appears that despite the model simplicity, it can be helpful to examine the 419 bone necrosis in terms of drilling conditions for $0 \le t \le t_c$ (i.e. $0 \le \Delta T \le \Delta T_{max}$).

420

421 **5.** CONCLUSION

422 In the present study, a simplified analytical model based on the moving heat source 423 approach is developed. Besides, to be closer to the boundary conditions of the thermal 424 problem, the method of image sources has been applied. Because of the complexity 425 of the chip formation mechanisms in bone cutting process, the heat source due to the 426 drill-bit tip has been supposed to be proportional to the cutting energy. The friction 427 between the drill-bit side and the drilled hole was also taken into account. Drilling experiments were conducted on fresh femur pig bone. The distribution of bone volume 428 429 fraction through the drilled hole was determined by microtomography for the bone 430 samples. The present model requires the calibration of two parameters. The 431 experimental validation has shown the model reproduces satisfactorily the increase in 432 temperature up to the maximum value while it overestimates the temperature during 433 the cooling stage. A parametric study, concerning the thermal boundaries conditions 434 (infinite or finite medium) and the lateral friction, was also performed. The results show 435 that the difference of temperature rise between semi-infinite or infinite medium is more 436 pronounced for small cutting velocities. The lateral friction has a direct influence on the 437 duration of the temperature increase, especially for small cutting velocities. Finally, the 438 present simplified approach can be improved by considering the effects of the bone 439 volume fraction which can present a significant variation in the bone sample.

440

441 **COMPETING INTERESTS:** None declared

443

444 ETHICAL APPROVAL: Not required

445

- 446 **FUNDING**
- 447 This work was supported by the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research.
- 448

449 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

450 The authors would like to thank Alexis Jacquillard for its contribution to the 451 experimental work.

452

453 **REFERENCES**

- Pandey RK, Panda SS. Drilling of bone: a comprehensive review. Journal of
 Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma 2013;4:15-30.
- Bachus KN, Rondina MT, Hutchinson DT. The effects of drilling force on cortical
 temperatures and their duration: an in vitro study. Medical Engineering and
 Physics 2000;22:685-691.
- 459 3. Eriksson AR, Albrektsson M. Temperature threshold levels for heat-induced bone
 460 tissue injury: a vital-microscopic study in the rabbit. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry
 461 1983;50:101–107.
- 462 4. Lee J, Ozdoganlar OB, Rabin Y. An experimental investigation on thermal
 463 exposure during bone drilling. Medical Engineering and Physics 2012;34:1510464 1520.
- 465 5. Hillery MT, Shuaib I. Temperature effects on the drilling of human and bovine one.
 466 Journal of Materials Processing Technology 1999;92-93:302-308.

467 6. Bertollo N, Walsh WR. Drilling of Bone: practicality, limitations and complications
468 associated with surgical drill-bits. In: Biomechanics in Applications 2011;53-83.

- Augustin G, Davila S, Mihoci K, Udiljak T, Vedrina DS, Antabak A. Thermal
 osteonecrosis and bone drilling parameters revisited. Archives of Orthopaedic and
 Trauma Surgery 2007;128 :71-77.
- 472 8. Lee J, Rabin Y, Ozdoganlar OB. A new thermal model for bone drilling with
 473 applications to orthopaedic surgery. Medical Engineering and Physics.
 474 2011;33:1234-1244.
- Gupta V, Pandey PM. Experimental investigation and statistical modeling of
 temperature rise in rotary ultrasonic bone drilling. Medical Engineering and Physics
 2016;38:1330-1338.
- 478 10. Matthews LS, Hirsch C. Temperatures Measured in Human Cortical Bone when
 479 Drilling. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 1972;54:297 -308.
- 480 11. Davidson SRH, James DF. Drilling in Bone: Modeling Heat Generation and
 481 Temperature Distribution. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering 2003;125:305 482 314.
- 12. Maani N, Farhang K, Hodaei M. A Model for the Prediction of Thermal Response
 of Bone in Surgical Drilling. Journal of Thermal science and Engineering
 Applications 2014;6: 041005-1-041005-16.
- 486 13. Kalidindi V. Optimization of drill design and coolant systems during dental implant
 487 surgery, Master's Thesis, University of Kentucky, 2004.
- 488 14. Oxley PLB. The Mechanics of Machining: an Analytical Approach to Assessing
 489 Machinability. Chichester, E. Horwood ; New York : Halsted Press, 1989.
- 490 15. Jacobs CH, Pope MH, Berry JT, Hoaglund F. A study of the bone machining
- 491 process Orthogonal cutting. Journal of Biomechanics 1974;7:131-136.

- 492 16. Merchant ME. Mechanics of the metal cutting process. II. Plasticity conditions in
 493 orthogonal cutting. Journal of Applied Physics 1945;16:318-324.
- 494 17. Wiggins KL, Malkin S. Orthogonal Machining of Bone. Journal of Biomechanical
 495 Engineering 1978;100: 122-130.
- 496 18. Liao Z, Axinte DA. On chip formation mechanism in orthogonal cutting of bone.
 497 International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture 2016;102: 41-55.
- 498 19. Feldmann A, Ganser P, Nolte L, Zysset P. Orthogonal cutting of cortical bone:
 499 Temperature elevation and fracture toughness. International Journal of Machine
 500 Tools and Manufacture 2017;1:1-11.
- 501 20. Sugita N, Mitsuishi M. Specifications for machining the bovine cortical bone in 502 relations to its microstructure. Journal of Biomechanics 2009;42:2826-2829.
- 503 21. Jaeger JC. Moving source of heat and the temperature at sliding contacts. Journal
 504 and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales 1942;76:203–224.
- 505 22. Feldmann A, Anso J, Bell B, Williamson T, Gavaghan K, Gerber N, Rohrbach H,
- 506 Weber S, Zysset P. Temperature prediction model for bone drilling based on 507 density distribution and in vivo experiments for minimally invasive robotic cochlear
- 508 implantation. Annals of Biomedical Engineering 2016;44:1576-1586.
- 509 23. Carslaw HS, Jaeger JC. Conduction of heat in solids. *Oxford University Press*.
 510 1959.
- 511 24. Tu Y, Chen L, Ciou J, Hsiao C. Finite element simulation of bone temperature rise
 512 during bone drilling based on a bone analog. Journal of Medical and Biological
 513 Engineering 2012;33:269-274.
- 514 25. Komanduri R, Hou ZB. Thermal modeling of the metal cutting process. Part-III--
- 515 temperature-rise-distribution-due-to-the-combined-effects-of-shear-plane-heat-

- source-and-the-tool-chip-interface-frictional-heat-source. International Journal of
 Mechanical Sciences 2001;43:89-107.
- 518 26. Komanduri R, Hou ZB. Thermal modeling of the metal cutting process: part I—
 519 temperature rise distribution due to shear plane heat source. International Journal
 520 of Mechanical Sciences 2000;42:1715–1752.
- 521 27. Komanduri R, Hou ZB. Thermal modeling of the metal cutting process—Part II:
 522 temperature rise distribution due to frictional heat source at the tool–chip interface.
 523 International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 2001;43:57–88.
- 28. Rancourt D, Shirazi-Adl A, Drouin G, Paiement G. Friction properties of the
 interface between porous-surfaced metals and tibial cancellous bone. Journal of
 Biomedical Materials Research 1990;24:1503-1519.
- 527 29. Davim JP, Marques N. Dynamical experimental study of friction and wear behavior
- of bovine cancellous bone sliding against a metallic counterface in a water
 lubrificated environment. Journal of Materials Processing Technology 2002;152:
 389-394.
- 30. Zhu B, Xiaofeng H, Zhao T. Friction and wear characteristics of natural bovine
 bone lubrificated with water. *Wear* 2015;322:91-100.
- 533 31. Feldmann A, Wili P, Maquer G, Zysset P. The thermal conductivity of cortical and
 534 cancellous bone. European Cells & Materials 2018;35:25-33.