

Composition and functional comparison of vetiver root endophytic microbiota originating from different geographic locations that show antagonistic activity towards Fusarium graminearum

Munakata Yuka, Carole Gavira, Julie Genestier, Frédéric Bourgaud, Alain

Hehn, S Slezack

▶ To cite this version:

Munakata Yuka, Carole Gavira, Julie Genestier, Frédéric Bourgaud, Alain Hehn, et al.. Composition and functional comparison of vetiver root endophytic microbiota originating from different geographic locations that show antagonistic activity towards Fusarium graminearum. Microbiological Research, 2021, 243, pp.126650. 10.1016/j.micres.2020.126650. hal-03207986

HAL Id: hal-03207986 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03207986v1

Submitted on 15 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Composition and functional comparison of vetiver root endophytic microbiota originating

- 2 from different geographic locations that show antagonistic activity towards Fusarium
- 3 graminearum
- 4
- 5 Yuka Munakata¹, Carole Gavira², Julie Genestier¹, Frédéric Bourgaud², Alain Hehn¹, Sophie
- 6 Slezack-Deschaumes1*
- 7 1 Université de Lorraine INRAE; LAE, F-54000 Nancy, France
- 8 2 Plant Advanced Technologies, F54500 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France
- 9

10 <u>Author contribution</u>

11 YM: Writing - original draft preparation, investigation, visualization. CG: Investigation. JG:

12 Investigation. **FB**: Conceptualization and resources. **AH**: Writing – review & editing, funding

13 acquisition. **SSD**: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing – review & editing,

- 14 funding acquisition
- 15

16 Abstract

17 Given the current trend towards reducing the use of chemical controls in agriculture, 18 microbial resources such as plant endophytes are being intensively investigated for traits 19 that are conducive to plant protection. Among the various important target pathogens, 20 Fusarium graminearum is a fungal pathogen of cereal crops that is responsible for severe 21 yield losses and mycotoxin contamination in grains. In the present study, we investigated 22 the bacterial endophytic communities from vetiver (Chrysopogon zizanioides (L.) Roberty) 23 roots originating from 5 different geographic locations across Europe and Africa. This study 24 relies on a global 16S metabarcoding approach and the isolation/functional characterization 25 of bacterial isolates. The results we obtained showed that geographical location is a factor 26 that influences the composition and relative abundance of root endophyte communities in 27 vetiver. Three hundred eighty-one bacterial endophytes were isolated and assessed for 28 their in vitro antagonistic activities towards F. graminearum mycelium growth. In total, 46% 29 of the isolates showed at least 50% inhibitory activity against F. graminearum. The 30 taxonomic identification of the bioactive isolates revealed that the composition of these 31 functional culturable endophytic communities was influenced by the geographic origins of 32 the roots. The selected communities consisted of 15 genera. Some endophytes in Bacillus, 33 Janthinobacterium, Kosakonia, Microbacterium, Pseudomonas, and Serratia showed strong 34 growth inhibition activity (\geq 70%) against *F. graminearum* and could be candidates for 35 further development as biocontrol agents.

36

37 Keywords

38 Bacterial endophytes, *Fusarium graminearum*, antagonistic activity, vetiver root microbiome,

39 geographic location, 16S rDNA high-throughput sequencing

40

41 **1. Introduction**

Fusarium graminearum is a causal agent of important diseases in small-grain cereals such as wheat (Dean et al., 2012; Taheri, 2018). This pathogen can induce seedling blight, root and stem-based rots and ear blight, which can lead to significant yield losses (Bottalico and Perrone, 2002). In addition, as this pathogenic fungus is able to contaminate cereals with mycotoxins, it can threaten human and animal health (Xu and Nicholson, 2009). Agricultural practices such as crop rotation, soil tillage, the application of chemical fungicides and the use of resistant cultivars are considered as the most effective strategies 49 for controlling F. graminearum. However, these strategies are not always fully effective in 50 controlling disease symptoms and preventing the accumulation of mycotoxins (Lamichhane 51 et al., 2015; van Lenteren et al., 2018; Wegulo et al., 2015). The use of chemical fungicides 52 may also cause concern for human health and the environment (Lamichhane et al., 2015). 53 Therefore, reducing the use of these chemicals has become a major concern in European 54 regulations in recent years. In this context, biocontrol agents, within the framework of 55 integrated pest management strategies, are promising solutions that protect plants from 56 biotic stresses and considered relatively environmentally friendly (Köhl et al., 2019; van 57 Lenteren et al., 2018; Wegulo et al., 2015).

58 Many bacterial and fungal microorganisms isolated from different environments, such as 59 the rhizosphere or the surface of wheat anthers, were investigated in both in vitro assays 60 and field experiments for their antagonistic activity towards F. graminearum (Shahzad et al., 61 2018; Tian et al., 2016). Recently, microbial endophytes that colonize inner plant tissues 62 during at least part of their life cycle have attracted attention. It is assumed that they might 63 protect plants more efficiently than rhizospheric and epiphytic microorganisms (Backman 64 and Sikora, 2008; Brader et al., 2014). These beneficial effects on plant health might be 65 specifically related to the ability of endophytic microorganisms to produce antimicrobial 66 substances (Ek-Ramos et al., 2019; Gouda et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2017). Since 67 endophytes have to survive in very different environmental conditions, they might be able to 68 produce a greater diversity of antimicrobial metabolites than free-living microorganisms 69 (Brader et al., 2014; Hardoim et al., 2015). Endophytes represent, therefore, pathways for 70 identifying new sources of biocontrol agents, and their diversity needs to be further 71 investigated (Hardoim et al., 2015; Lebeis et al., 2015; Thiergart et al., 2020; Toju et al., 72 2019).

Vetiver (*Chryzopogon zizanioides* (L.) Roberty) is a perennial poaceous plant that is native to India. This plant was introduced in tropical and subtropical regions such as Haiti and Reunion Island by traders and has spread worldwide (Belhassen et al., 2015). Vetiver is cultivated mainly for its essential oil, extracted from its roots, which is used for perfume production, cosmetics and aromatherapy (Burger et al., 2017). A study showed that vetiver essential oil also displays antimicrobial activities against several plant fungal pathogens (Sharma et al., 2009).

80 Vetiver essential oil is composed of highly diverse sesquiterpene derivatives (Belhassen 81 et al., 2015; Champagnat et al., 2006). Its composition is known to depend on different 82 factors, including environmental conditions and root-associated bacteria (Adams et al., 2004, 83 2003). Del Guidice and coworkers (Del Giudice et al., 2008) showed that some bacteria 84 isolated from vetiver roots could metabolize sesquiterpenes into the compounds that are 85 present in vetiver essential oil. These results therefore suggest that the endophytic bacterial 86 community from vetiver roots may contribute to the overall metabolic composition of the 87 essential oil, which has been reported to have antifungal activities (Del Giudice et al., 2008; 88 Hammer et al., 1999; Monteiro et al., 2011).

89 In this report, we assumed that the endophytic bacterial community of vetiver roots contributes to bioactivity against F. graminearum. Since bacterial communities are 90 91 influenced by the surrounding environmental conditions, we investigated vetiver roots 92 originating from different geographical locations assuming that the endophytic bacterial 93 communities would differ in their taxonomical composition and bioactivity against F. 94 graminearum. The results described here are related to Sunshine vetiver roots collected in 95 four different geographical regions *i.e.*, Madagascar, Portugal, Reunion Island, and Senegal. 96 To thoroughly describe endophytic bacterial community of vetiver roots, culture dependent

97 and independent approaches were used. Using the high resolutive meta-barcoding 98 approach, we assessed the structure and diversity of the bacterial endophytes. Through a 99 complementary culture-dependent approach, we functionally characterized the bacterial 100 endophytes with antagonistic activity towards *F. graminearum*. This allowed us to better 101 understand the role that these microorganisms can have and it also revealed which 102 microorganisms could be used in subsequent studies to develop biocontrol solutions with 103 respect to *F. graminearum*.

104

105

2. Materials and methods

106

107 2.1. Sampling of vetiver roots

108 Roots of Chrysopogon zizanioides (L.) Roberty were collected in summer 2013 in 109 Portugal in Sao Bartolomeu de Messines, in Madagascar on the Bemasoandro plantation in 110 Antananarivo, in Senegal at the Naac Baal nursery in Sebikotane, and on Reunion Island in 111 the areas Pierrefonds (Reunion1) and Domaine Vidot (Reunion2) (Figure S1). Three 112 individual plants were obtained from each geographical location. The collected fresh plants 113 were shipped at cold temperatures and processed immediately after being received. The 114 map of vetiver origins (Figure S1) was based on Global Map Version 1(2)-Elevation 115 published by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan 116 (https://maps.gsi.go.jp/development/ichiran.html); this map was edited and points 117 representing the sampling locations were added.

118

119 2.2. Preparation of root samples

120 The vetiver roots were first rinsed in running tap water to eliminate soil and surface

debris prior to surface sterilization under sterile conditions. For this purpose, they were cut into small pieces of approximately 3 to 4 cm in length. The root pieces were treated with 70% ethanol for 1 min 5 times, followed by immersion in 2.6% sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 min. The samples were then rinsed three times with sterile water for 1 min. After root surface sterilization, four grams of plant material were stored at -80°C until DNA extraction, and 1 g of root tissues was used for the isolation of bacterial endophytes.

127

128 2.3. Isolation of bacteria

129 The root samples were cut into smaller pieces (0.5 mm to 1 cm in length) and ground 130 using a Potter homogenizer. The samples were macerated in 10 ml of M9 medium without a 131 carbon source containing 100 mg L⁻¹ cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich™, USA) for 1 h at 28°C 132 under gentle agitation. A serial dilution of the homogenate from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶ was prepared in 133 phosphate buffer PBS, and 100 µl of each dilution was plated on 10% tryptic soy agar (TSA, 134 Sigma-Aldrich[™], USA) media containing 100 mg L⁻¹ cycloheximide. The plates were 135 incubated at 28°C for 10 days. Three replicates per dilution were prepared. Similarly, the 136 efficacy of the root surface sterilization was evaluated by plating 100 µl of the last root 137 washing water on 10% TSA media containing 100 mg L⁻¹ cycloheximide for 10 days at 28°C. 138 The number of microbial colonies was counted 10 days after isolation and expressed as log 139 CFU g⁻¹ of root dry matter. Bacterial colonies were randomly removed from the plates and 140 purified by repeated subculturing. The purified bacterial isolates were stored frozen at -80°C 141 in 15% glycerol.

142

143 2.4.DNA extraction from surface-sterilized vetiver roots

144 Total genomic DNA was extracted from 3 g of surface-sterilized vetiver roots according

145 to Ranjard et al. (Ranjard et al., 2003) with minor modifications. Briefly, frozen root materials 146 were ground in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and a pestle, both of which were autoclaved in 147 advance. Three grams of the ground material was distributed into 5 microtubes, and the 148 aliquots were mixed in 1 ml of lysis buffer (Ranjard et al., 2003) using a Retsch® MM400 149 agitator at 30 per sec for 2.5 min. After incubation at 70°C for 30 min, supernatants were 150 collected after centrifugation at 7000 g for 5 min at 15 - 20°C. The supernatants from the 151 same original root material were combined as a crude DNA solution. The obtained crude 152 extracts were purified using the GENECLEAN® Turbo Nucleic Acid Purification kit (MP 153 Biomedicals, France).

154

155 2.5. Metabarcoding Amplicon libraries

Target V5-V7 regions of 16S rRNA were prepared using the Metabiote® v 2.0 procedure
(Genoscreen, Lille, France) and were paired-end sequenced (2 × 250 bp) using an Illumina
MiSeq instrument.

159 Demultiplexed sequence reads were obtained using the CASAVA v 1.0 tool (Illumina) by 160 Genoscreen. Sequence reads were processed with the FROGS (Find Rapidly OTUs with 161 Galaxy Solution) pipeline (Escudié et al., 2018). Reads 1 and 2 were merged under 162 conditions of 10 bp minimum overlap and 10% mismatch allowance using the VSEARCH 163 algorithm (Rognes et al., 2016). Contigs were selected in the range of 380 bp to 500 bp, and 164 those including ambiguous bases were eliminated. These sequences were clustered with 165 Swarm (Mahé et al., 2014). Chimaeras were removed using a filtering tool with the following 166 criteria: OTUs needed to contain sequences shared by at least three samples and with a 167 read abundance higher than 0.005% of the total number of reads. The OTUs were 168 taxonomically associated using the Silva138 16S rRNA gene database (Quast et al., 2013). 169 Although the Genome Taxonomy Database recently proposed the repositioning of 170 Betaproteobacteria as an order (Burkholderiales) in Gammaproteobacteria (Parks et al., 171 2018), we decided to conserve the old classification to maintain consistency with previous 172 studies. OTUs were further filtered with a threshold of at least 99% BLAST identity and 173 100% coverage. The number of remaining reads after the 2nd filtration was in the range of 174 10,440 to 23,950 reads, with an average of 14,805 reads per plant. To compare the data 175 coming from the different vetiver samples, the sequencing results were normalized to the 176 same number of reads as that in the sample with the lowest total count (10,440 reads). 177 Rarefaction curves were depicted in parallel with the R packages vegan and phyloseq 178 (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) (Figure S2). Phylogenetic tree data were prepared with 179 FastTree, following a multiple alignment by PyNAST in FROGS.

180

181 2.6. Dual inoculation test with F. graminearum

182 The antagonistic activities of bacterial isolates were analysed using an in vitro 183 dual-inoculation method. F. graminearum was cultivated on potato dextrose agar medium 184 (PDA) (Sigma-Aldrich[™], USA) for 4 days. A 5-mm-diameter mycelial plug of fresh F. 185 graminearum cultures was inoculated at the centre of a 9 cm diameter plate containing 12 186 mL of PDA. Fifty microlitres of a bacterial suspension obtained from a liquid culture of an 187 isolated bacterium incubated in 2 ml of nutrient broth (Sigma-Aldrich™, USA) at 28°C for 12 188 h was placed at 4 points 3 cm from the centre of the Petri dish. Three independent replicates 189 were performed for each bacterial isolate. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 5 days. 190 The diameter of the fungus was measured and averaged among the replicates. Plates 191 inoculated with only F. graminearum were used as controls. Antagonistic activity was 192 expressed as the inhibition rate (%), calculated as follows: ((d_{control} - d_{assay})/d_{control}) x 100), 193 where d means the diameter of the *F. graminearum* mycelium.

194

195 2.7. Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of cultured bacterial endophytes

Selected bacterial strains were cultured on 10% TSA medium for 1 to 4 days. 16S rDNA amplification was performed on one single colony, as described in Cregut et al. (2009). PCR products were purified with the NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The clean amplicons were sequenced by Eurofins (Luxembourg). The sequences were compared to the GenBank database using BLASTn (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), and their taxonomic associations were determined using the Silva138 16S rRNA gene database.

203

204 2.8. Diversity and compositional analysis of the bacterial communities

All data treatments herein were performed using R (version 3.6.3) unless otherwise
noted.

207 For the calculation and illustration of the number of shared OTUs and genera across 208 locations, we used the Venn diagram R package. The number of observed OTUs and the 209 Chao1, Shannon, and inverse-Simpson indices were used to describe richness and 210 evenness within a location and were calculated with phyloseq. To evaluate the recovery rate 211 of richness in our samples, the coverage ratio (%) was calculated by dividing the number of 212 observed OTUs by the estimated richness, i.e., the Chao1 values (Marzano et al., 2016). 213 The beta diversity among vetiver bacterial communities by weighted UniFrac distance was 214 visualized through principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) using the phyloseq and ggplot2 R 215 packages.

216 The composition of the functionally selected endophyte communities was converted into a

table that includes the relative abundance at the genus level. These data were visualized using the ggplot2 R package. The table was used to measure the beta diversity among samples with a distance metric, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, using vegdist in the vegan R package. The result was visualized by PCoA with ggplot2 and ggpubr R packages.

221

222 2.9. Statistical analyses

223 The inhibition rate was visualized with ggplot2 and tested by the Tukey-Kramer method with 224 the multcomp R package. Multiple comparisons of alpha diversity indices and the relative 225 abundance of taxa were performed with the Tukey-Kramer method using the multcomp R 226 package. For the meta-barcoding analysis, the influence of the location factor was tested by 227 PERMANOVA (permutations = 9999) with Adonis available in the vegan R package 228 equipped in FROGS. The influence of the location factor on the variation in the Bray-Curtis 229 dissimilarity metric among the culturable endophyte communities was tested by 230 PERMANOVA (permutations = 10000) with adonis in the vegan R package.

231

232 **3. Results**

233

234 3.1. Biodiversity analysis of endophytic bacterial microbiota in vetiver

235

Vetiver roots were sampled from different places located in Madagascar, Portugal, Senegal and Reunion Island (Figure S1). Illumina sequencing performed on the DNA extracted from each sample generated a total of 366,169 raw sequences, and after a FROGS process (29), 222,081 sequences were retained. These sequences were clustered into 894 different OTUs (between 233 and 500 OTUs per sample) (Table S1). Among them, 241 55 OTUs were shared by all samples, whereas 32, 38, 100, 115 and 64 specific OTUs were 242 detected only in the Madagascar, Portugal, Reunion1, Reunion2 and Senegal vetiver root 243 samples, respectively (Figure S3). The observed number of OTUs differed according to the 244 geographic origin of the vetiver roots and was significantly higher in the samples from 245 Reunion Island than in the samples from the other geographic locations (Table 1). The 246 Chao1, Shannon, and inverse-Simpson indices were calculated to characterize the alpha 247 diversity of the bacterial communities associated with vetiver roots (Table 1). Overall, 248 although the differences were not always significant, our results show that the values of all 249 diversity indices were higher in the Reunion Island samples. This could indicate more 250 diverse bacterial communities in vetiver root samples from Reunion Island than in samples 251 from the other geographic locations (Table 1). To evaluate how our data reflect the diversity, 252 we calculated the ratio of observed OTUs to the Chao1 index values for each location. The 253 analysis showed that the Madagascar samples had significantly lower diversity coverage 254 than the Reunion samples (Table 1).

255 Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on weighted UniFrac distances among samples 256 showed that the endophytic root bacterial communities from the 5 vetiver geographic 257 locations were clearly separated from each other (Figure 1). The first two axes explained 258 78.4% of the variation. The samples from Reunion Island and Madagascar were separated 259 along the first axis (PCoA 1 = 51.6%), whereas the samples from Senegal and Portugal 260 were separated along the second axis (PCoA 2 = 26.8%). These results, which were further 261 validated statistically by PERMANOVA (Df=4, R²= 85%, P=0.0001), indicated that the 262 endophytic bacterial communities from vetiver roots are structured by geographic location.

263

264 3.2. Taxonomic composition of endophytic bacterial communities in vetiver roots from

265

different geographic locations

266

267 The detected vetiver root bacterial OTUs were affiliated with 13 bacterial phyla (Figure 268 2A). However, almost all OTUs were distributed in 4 phyla that represented 98.7% of the 269 total abundance. These phyla were Proteobacteria (70.3% +/- 12.1), Bacteroidota (13.7% 270 +/- 8.2), Firmicutes (7.8% +/- 9.7) and Actinobacteria (5.5% +/- 8.5). Proteobacteria were 271 common to all samples in relatively equal abundance, with three main subphyla, 272 Gammaproteobacteria (67.6% +/- 13.7), Alphaproteobacteria (29.7% +/- 13.2) and 273 Betaproteobacteria (2.6% +/- 2.5). In contrast, the other dominant phyla were more 274 specifically enriched the certain vetiver locations (Figure 2A). Bacteroidota were more 275 abundant in root samples from Reunion and Senegal (from 13.1% to 23.1%) than in the 276 samples from the other locations (Figure 2A). The abundances of Firmicutes and 277 Actinobacteriota also varied greatly among vetiver locations. The Firmicutes abundance in 278 vetiver roots originating from Senegal was 20.6% (4.0% on average in the other samples), 279 whereas Actinobacteria was mostly represented in samples from Portugal (20.6%) (1.7% on 280 average in the other samples) (Figure 2A).

Similar patterns were observed at lower levels of taxonomical classification. At the family level, Pseudomonadaceae were dominant (from 19% to almost 50% in abundance), with the exception of the samples from Senegal in which Enterobacteriaceae dominated (Figure S4). The abundance of other dominant families differed depending on the geographic origin of the vetiver. In particular, Streptomycetaceae and Exiguobacteraceae were only abundant in the Portugal and Senegal samples, respectively, whereas Flavobacteriaceae was shown to be more abundant in the Senegal and Reunion samples.

At the genus level, a total of 258 genera were found in our samples. Among them, 53

genera were shared across geographic sites; these genera accounted for 20.5% of the total
genera (Figure 3). The shared genera belonged to 6 phyla (Proteobacteria, Myxococcota,
Firmicutes, Bdellovibrionota, Bacteroidota, and Actinobacteriota) and made up an average
relative abundance of 72.5% +/- 12.7 of the total abundance at each location.

Except in the Senegal samples, in which *Exigobacterium* dominated, the most abundant genus was *Pseudomonas* (3.7 – 59.7% of the total abundance) (Figure 2B, 4A). The abundance of other dominant genera differed significantly depending on the vetiver geographic location (p value < 0.05, Figure 4A). Some genera, such as *Pantoea, Rhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Acidibacter, Enterobacter* and *Kosakonia*, were specifically enriched in samples originating from specific geographic locations, whereas *Flavobacterium* was shown to be enriched in samples from Senegal and Reunion Island (Figure 5).

300

301 3.3. Bacterial endophyte isolation and antagonistic activity towards F. graminearum

302 We isolated bacteria from the same vetiver roots that were used for the metagenomic 303 approach. First, the density of cultivable endophytic bacteria from the vetiver root samples 304 was estimated. We observed that the average density of cultivable endophytic bacteria in 305 vetiver roots did not differ significantly between each geographic location (5.2 to 6.2 log10 306 CFU g⁻¹ root dry weight) (Table 2). A total of 381 endophytes were recovered from vetiver 307 root samples and were tested for their ability to inhibit F. graminearum mycelial growth in in 308 vitro culture. The inhibition rate varied greatly among bacterial isolates (from -3.3 to 93.3), 309 but nearly all isolates (99.7%, Table S2) presented this functional trait. The mean inhibition 310 rate of the bacterial isolates was significantly lower in the isolates from Reunion1 (p<0.05, 311 31.7% +/- 14.9) than in the isolates from the other samples (Table 2). The endophytic 312 bacterial isolates with an inhibitory rate of at least 50% were selected for further analyses. 313 They represented 49% (176 isolates) of the total isolates, and 20% (36 isolates) of them had 314 an inhibition rate of at least 70% against F. graminearum (Table S2). The relative frequency 315 of these functional isolates differed significantly among the vetiver samples (p-value<0.05, 316 Table 2). In particular, only 14% of isolates from Reunion1 showed an inhibition rate \geq 50%. 317 while 77% of the isolates from Madagascar showed an inhibition rate \geq 50%. The isolates 318 with inhibition rate ≥ 70% were isolated from vetiver originating from Madagascar (2 319 isolates), Portugal (20 isolates), Reunion2 (11 isolates) and Senegal (3 isolates) (Table S4). 320 Based on partial 16S rDNA sequencing, 153 of these bacterial isolates were 321 taxonomically characterized (Table S3). They included Proteobacteria (86.2% +/- 22.0), 322 Firmicutes (12.2% +/- 22.4) and Actinobacteriota (1.4% +/- 3.0) (Figure S5). A total of 15 323 genera were identified (Figure 5). Among them, 8 genera had already been described using 324 16S rDNA high-throughput sequencing (Figure 6).

325 Pseudomonas was the dominant genus (47.0% +/- 36.3) and was observed in all 326 samples except those from Madagascar (Figure 5). Bacillus was mostly identified in 327 samples from Reunion 2, whereas Kosakonia was mostly observed in Madagascar samples 328 (Figure 5). The presence and/or proportion of each genus varied according to the samples. 329 It should be noted that the bacterial isolates possessing the highest inhibition rates ($\geq 70\%$) 330 against F. graminearum were mainly affiliated with the Pseudomonas, Serratia and Bacillus 331 genera (Table 3). The Pseudomonas isolates seemed to be most closely related to 3 332 species, P. koreensis, P. vancouverensis and P. nitroreducens, whereas the Serratia isolates 333 belonged to 2 species, S. marcescens and S. grimesii, and the Bacillus isolates were B. 334 subtilis and B. cereus.

The table of the relative abundance of genera was used to generate a Bray-Curtis distance matrix that was subjected to PCoA (Figure 7). This analysis showed that the functional microbial communities from Reunion2 and Madagascar were distinct from each other and from a cluster composed of the microbial communities from the Reunion1, Portugal and Senegal samples. These results were validated with PERMANOVA showing that the geographic location factor significantly altered the composition of the cultivable endophytic communities with antagonistic activity towards *F. graminearum* in vetiver roots (Df =4, R²= 66.4%, p< 0.001).

343

344 **4.** Discussion

345 Diseases caused by F. graminearum are among the most important diseases in grain 346 cereals. Chemical fungicides are still widely used to control this fungus, although their 347 detrimental impacts on the environment and human health are now well known. To reduce 348 the use of such chemicals while maintaining crop yields, it is now necessary to find and 349 develop alternative crop protection solutions. A number of previous studies revealed that 350 bacterial endophytes may help plants cope with pathogens (Berg et al., 2013; Card et al., 351 2015; Eljounaidi et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2009; Mancini and Romanazzi, 2014; Mousa et al., 352 2016). In particular, bacterial endophytes are able to produce a range of specialized 353 metabolites that have antimicrobial activity (Brader et al., 2014). In addition, coevolution 354 processes with their hosts led endophytes to mimic natural plant compounds (Baltrus, 2017). 355 Because of these findings, it is now accepted that bacterial endophytes contribute to the 356 biological activity of plant extracts, including their antimicrobial activity (Miller et al., 2012; 357 Strobel et al., 2004). The metabolic potential of bacterial endophytes suggests the possibility 358 of exploiting these microorganisms for biocontrol applications. In this study, we focused on 359 the bacterial endophytic community of vetiver roots since it has been shown that some 360 members of this community are involved in the synthesis of vetiver essential oil, which has

been reported to display antimicrobial activity (Chahal et al., 2015; Del Giudice et al., 2008;
Hammer et al., 1999; Powers et al., 2018).

363

364 The vetiver roots we investigated mainly harbour endophytic bacteria belonging to four 365 major phyla, i.e., Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Actinobacteriota. These 366 results are consistent with those of several previous studies showing that these phyla are 367 preferentially enriched in plant roots compared to their levels in the soil and rhizosphere 368 (Bulgarelli et al., 2013; Hardoim et al., 2015; Lundberg et al., 2012). Additionally, among 369 them, Proteobacteria were overrepresented in all vetiver roots sampled, which is similar to 370 the results obtained by Monteiro et al. (2011). This predominance might be related to the 371 fact that such copiotrophic microorganisms prefer a C-rich environment (Fierer et al., 2007; 372 Yang et al., 2017). They may therefore benefit from the flow of photoassimilates from shoots 373 to roots, which creates a nutrient-rich environment inside the roots. We showed that the Proteobacteria were mostly Gammaproteobacteria and were dominated by a few families 374 375 and genera. Among them, the genus Pseudomonas is a good root colonizer and was 376 prevalent. However, other genera, such as Kosakonia, Enterobacter and Pantoea, were 377 also observed. Most of these genera can benefit plants by promoting plant growth and/or 378 protection, although some of them have been described as pathogens (Bulgarelli et al., 379 2013). Bacteroidetes were represented by the dominant Flavobacterium genus, which is 380 often reported as an important member of root microbiomes, and some strains have traits 381 that are beneficial to plants (Kolton et al., 2016). Finally, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were 382 represented by the Streptomyces and Exiguobacterium genera, respectively, which are 383 known to produce antimicrobial compounds (Hardoim et al., 2015; Kasana and Pandey, 384 2018). Our study also provides additional insights since we showed that the geographic 385 location of the vetiver samples seemed to influence the composition and the relative 386 abundance of some of the taxa in the roots. Recently, Thiergart et al. (2020) provided 387 evidence that soil is the primary factor that influences root endophytic bacterial communities, 388 regardless of the spatial scale of the analysis or the host genotype. These data support the 389 findings of previous studies and led us to suggest that the variations we observed within the 390 vetiver root bacterial communities might be related to the differences in soil properties 391 across a geographic gradient from Europe to Africa (Bulgarelli et al., 2013; Chen et al., 392 2019; Fierer et al., 2007; Lundberg et al., 2012).

393

394 As some of the microbial groups we identified in vetiver roots have been described as 395 potential biocontrol agents against plant pathogens, we evaluated the ability of this 396 microbiota to suppress the growth of F. graminearum. For that purpose, the bacteria were 397 isolated and profiled in in vitro dual-culture assays with F. graminearum. Among the 381 398 isolates, most displayed antagonistic activity towards F. graminearum, with almost 50% 399 producing an inhibition rate of more than 50%. These bioactivities might be related to the 400 ability of the isolates to synthesize diverse antimicrobial compounds (specialized 401 metabolites) (Saleh et al., 2019; Strobel et al., 2004). Furthermore, these results reinforce 402 the hypothesis that microbial endophytes can contribute to the bioactivity of plant extracts 403 and, in our case, to the antimicrobial properties of the vetiver essential oil (Chahal et al., 404 2015; Del Giudice et al., 2008; Egamberdieva et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2012).

405

The metagenomic data showed that the bacterial isolates mostly belong to Proteobacteria, followed by Firmicutes and Actinobacteriota, and that their distribution is related to their geographic location. Our results also showed, at lower taxonomic levels, that 409 the geographic location shapes the cultivable bacteria in vetiver roots. As previously 410 suggested by Monteiro et al. (2011), soil could be an important factor influencing bacterial 411 communities from vetiver. Interestingly, the culture-based and molecular-based datasets do 412 not completely overlap. For instance, in the metagenomic data, *Pseudomonas* was highly 413 represented in the Madagascar samples, whereas most of the bacterial isolates in these 414 samples were affiliated with Kosakonia and Pantoea. This relative discrepancy could result 415 from the fact that most of the bacteria present in a sample cannot grow on the traditionally 416 used culture media (Eevers et al., 2015; Pascual et al., 2016). Bacteria with the capacity to 417 inhibit the in vitro growth of F. graminearum were affiliated with 15 genera. Some of these 418 genera, such as Pseudomonas, Enterobacter, and Serratia, have already been identified in 419 vetiver roots (Del Giudice et al., 2008).

420

421 This study performed on vetiver roots resulted in the isolation and identification of 422 32 highly bioactive isolates displaying at least 70% inhibition rates against F. graminearum. 423 These isolates were mostly from the genera Pseudomonas, Serratia, and Bacillus. Only 4 424 isolates were affiliated with different genera, i.e., Kosakonia, Janthinobacterium and 425 Microbacterium. Various reports have described bacteria affiliated with these dominant 426 genera that were isolated from different cultivated plants and display in vitro inhibition 427 activity against Fusarium spp. including F. graminearum (Bredow et al., 2015; Haas and 428 Défago, 2005; Ju et al., 2013). Furthermore, Serratia, Pseudomonas, Bacillus, and 429 Microbacterium have been reported to produce antimicrobial compounds. Bacillus, which is 430 one of the most investigated and commercially utilized genera worldwide (Lopes et al., 431 2018), is known to produce antimicrobial compounds such as lipopeptides, 432 exopolysaccharides, siderophores and polyketides (Ek-Ramos et al., 2019). In addition to 433 Bacillus, Pseudomonas strains, including those affiliated with P. koreensis, are also known 434 to produce structurally diverse specialized metabolites with antimicrobial properties (Kaur et 435 al., 2019; Raaijmakers and Mazzola, 2012). Likewise, some strains in the Serratia genus 436 can produce antibiotics whose synthesis is regulated by carbon sources (M Mai, 2018). 437 Another study performed on Janthinobacterium strains revealed that one of the modes of 438 action of this genus against F. graminearum occurs through biofilm formation following 439 quorum sensing (Haack et al., 2016). More recently, new antifungal compounds, such as 440 janthinopolyenemycins, were identified through the co-culturing of two Janthinobacterium 441 strains isolated from marine sediments (Anjum et al., 2018).

442

443 **5.** Conclusions

444 By combining culture-based and molecular-based approaches, we provided new 445 insights into the composition of the endophytic bacterial communities of vetiver roots. We 446 showed that the taxonomic composition as well as the ability of the members of the 447 endophytic community to exhibit in vitro antagonistic activity towards F. graminearum were 448 influenced by the geographic location of the vetiver roots. These results open new pathways 449 for accessing a wider diversity of bacteria and therefore identifying new functional bacteria. 450 The most effective bacteria for inhibiting the growth of F. graminearum in vitro might be 451 further studied as promising biological control solutions. The next step will now consist of 452 confirming the bioactivity of these isolates in plant inoculation assays and/or characterizing 453 the antimicrobial compounds produced.

454

455 Acknowledgments

456 This work was financially supported by the "Impact Biomolecules" project of the "Lorraine

- 457 Université d'Excellence" (Investissements d'avenir-ANR)

- **References**

Adams, R.P., Habte, M., Park, S., Dafforn, M.R., 2004. Preliminary comparison of vetiver
root essential oils from cleansed (bacteria- and fungus-free) versus non-cleansed
(normal) vetiver plants. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 32, 1137–1144.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2004.03.013

- Adams, R.P., Pandey, R.N., Dafforn, M.R., James, S.A., 2003. Vetiver DNA-Fingerprinted
 Cultivars: Effects of Environment on Growth, Oil Yields and Composition. Journal of
 Essential
 Oil
 Research
 15, 363–371.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2003.9698612
- Adams, R.P., Zhong, M., Turuspekov, Y., Dafforn, M.R., Veldkamp, J.F. (Plant B.C., 1998.
 DNA fingerprinting reveals clonal nature of Vetiveria zizanioides (L.) Nash,
 Gramineae and sources of potential new germplasm. Molecular Ecology (United
 Kingdom).
- Anjum, K., Sadiq, I., Chen, L., Kaleem, S., Li, X.-C., Zhang, Z., Lian, X.-Y., 2018. Novel
 antifungal janthinopolyenemycins A and B from a co-culture of marine-associated
 Janthinobacterium spp. ZZ145 and ZZ148. Tetrahedron Letters 59, 3490–3494.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.08.022
- Backman, P.A., Sikora, R.A., 2008. Endophytes: An emerging tool for biological control.
 Biological Control 46, 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.03.009
- Baltrus, D.A., 2017. Adaptation, specialization, and coevolution within phytobiomes. Current
 Opinion in Plant Biology 38, 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.04.023
- Belhassen, E., Filippi, J.-J., Brévard, H., Joulain, D., Baldovini, N., 2015. Volatile
 constituents of vetiver: a review: Volatile constituents of vetiver. Flavour and
 Fragrance Journal 30, 26–82. https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.3227
- Berg, G., Zachow, C., Müller, H., Philipps, J., Tilcher, R., 2013. Next-Generation
 Bio-Products Sowing the Seeds of Success for Sustainable Agriculture. Agronomy 3,
 648–656. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy3040648
- Bottalico, A., Perrone, G., 2002. Toxigenic Fusarium species and mycotoxins associated
 with head blight in small-grain cereals in Europe, in: Logrieco, A., Bailey, J.A.,
 Corazza, L., Cooke, B.M. (Eds.), Mycotoxins in Plant Disease. Springer Netherlands,
 Dordrecht, pp. 611–624. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0001-7_2
- 491 Brader, G., Compant, S., Mitter, B., Trognitz, F., Sessitsch, A., 2014. Metabolic potential of
 492 endophytic bacteria. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 27, 30–37.
 493 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.09.012
- Bredow, C., Azevedo, J.L., Pamphile, J.A., Mangolin, C.A., Rhoden, S.A., 2015. In silico
 analysis of the 16S rRNA gene of endophytic bacteria, isolated from the aerial parts
 and seeds of important agricultural crops. Genetics and Molecular Research 14,

- 497 9703–9721. https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.August.19.3
- Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., van Themaat, E.V.L., Schulze-Lefert, P., 2013.
 Structure and Functions of the Bacterial Microbiota of Plants. Annual Review of
 Plant Biology 64, 807–838. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120106
- Burger, P., Landreau, A., Watson, M., Janci, L., Cassisa, V., Kempf, M., Azoulay, S.,
 Fernandez, X., 2017. Vetiver Essential Oil in Cosmetics: What Is New? Medicines 4,
 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines4020041
- 504 Card, S.D., Hume, D.E., Roodi, D., McGill, C.R., Millner, J.P., Johnson, R.D., 2015.
 505 Beneficial endophytic microorganisms of Brassica A review. Biological Control 90, 506 102–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.06.001
- 507 Chahal, K.K., Bhardwaj, U., Kaushal, S., Sandhu, A.K., 2015. Chemical composition and
 508 biological properties of Chrysopogon zizanioides (L.) Roberty syn. Vetiveria
 509 zizanioides (L.) Nash- A Review 10.
- Champagnat, P., Figueredo, G., Chalchat, J.-C., Carnat, A.-P., Bessière, J.-M., 2006. A
 Study on the Composition of Commercial *Vetiveria zizanioides* Oils from Different
 Geographical Origins. Journal of Essential Oil Research 18, 416–422.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2006.9699129
- Chen, L., Xin, X., Zhang, J., Redmile-Gordon, M., Nie, G., Wang, Q., 2019. Soil
 Characteristics Overwhelm Cultivar Effects on the Structure and Assembly of
 Root-Associated Microbiomes of Modern Maize. Pedosphere 29, 360–373.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(17)60370-9
- Cregut, M., Piutti, S., Vong, P.-C., Slezack-Deschaumes, S., Crovisier, I., Benizri, E., 2009.
 Density, structure, and diversity of the cultivable arylsulfatase-producing bacterial
 community in the rhizosphere of field-grown rape and barley. Soil Biology and
 Biochemistry 41, 704–710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.01.005
- Dean, R., Van Kan, J.A.L., Pretorius, Z.A., Hammond-Kosack, K.E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu,
 P.D., Rudd, J.J., Dickman, M., Kahmann, R., Ellis, J., Foster, G.D., 2012. The Top 10
 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology: Top 10 fungal pathogens. Molecular
 Plant Pathology 13, 414–430. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00783.x
- Del Giudice, L., Massardo, D.R., Pontieri, P., Bertea, C.M., Mombello, D., Carata, E., Tredici,
 S.M., Talà, A., Mucciarelli, M., Groudeva, V.I., De Stefano, M., Vigliotta, G., Maffei,
 M.E., Alifano, P., 2008. The microbial community of Vetiver root and its involvement
 into essential oil biogenesis: Vetiver root bacteria and essential oil biogenesis.
 Environmental Microbiology 10, 2824–2841.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01703.x
- 532 Eevers, N., Gielen, M., Sánchez-López, A., Jaspers, S., White, J.C., Vangronsveld, J.,

Weyens, N., 2015. Optimization of isolation and cultivation of bacterial endophytes
through addition of plant extract to nutrient media. Microb Biotechnol 8, 707–715.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12291

- Egamberdieva, D., Wirth, S., Behrendt, U., Ahmad, P., Berg, G., 2017. Antimicrobial Activity
 of Medicinal Plants Correlates with the Proportion of Antagonistic Endophytes.
 Frontiers in Microbiology 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00199
- 539 M.J., Gomez-Flores, R., Orozco-Flores, A.A., Rodríguez-Padilla, Ek-Ramos, С.. 540 González-Ochoa, G., Tamez-Guerra, P., 2019. Bioactive Products From 541 Plant-Endophytic Gram-Positive Bacteria. Frontiers in Microbiology 10. 542 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00463
- Eljounaidi, K., Lee, S.K., Bae, H., 2016. Bacterial endophytes as potential biocontrol agents
 of vascular wilt diseases Review and future prospects. Biological Control 103, 62–
 68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.07.013
- Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K., Maman, S.,
 Hernandez-Raquet, G., Combes, S., Pascal, G., 2018. FROGS: Find, Rapidly,
 OTUs with Galaxy Solution. Bioinformatics 34, 1287–1294.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791
- 550 Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A., Jackson, R.B., 2007. TOWARD AN ECOLOGICAL
 551 CLASSIFICATION OF SOIL BACTERIA. Ecology 88, 1354–1364.
 552 https://doi.org/10.1890/05-1839
- Gouda, S., Das, G., Sen, S.K., Shin, H.-S., Patra, J.K., 2016. Endophytes: A Treasure
 House of Bioactive Compounds of Medicinal Importance. Frontiers in Microbiology 7.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01538
- Haack, F.S., Poehlein, A., Kröger, C., Voigt, C.A., Piepenbring, M., Bode, H.B., Daniel, R.,
 Schäfer, W., Streit, W.R., 2016. Molecular Keys to the Janthinobacterium and
 Duganella spp. Interaction with the Plant Pathogen Fusarium graminearum.
 Frontiers in Microbiology 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01668
- Haas, D., Défago, G., 2005. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent
 pseudomonads. Nature Reviews Microbiology 3, 307–319.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1129
- Hammer, K.A., Carson, C.F., Riley, T.V., 1999. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils and
 other plant extracts. Journal of Applied Microbiology 86, 985–990.
 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00780.x
- Hardoim, P.R., van Overbeek, L.S., Berg, G., Pirttilä, A.M., Compant, S., Campisano, A.,
 Döring, M., Sessitsch, A., 2015. The Hidden World within Plants: Ecological and
 Evolutionary Considerations for Defining Functioning of Microbial Endophytes.

- 569 Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 79, 293–320. 570 https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00050-14
- Ju, R., Zhao, Y., Li, J., Jiang, H., Liu, P., Yang, T., Bao, Z., Zhou, B., Zhou, X., Liu, X., 2013.
 Identification and evaluation of a potential biocontrol agent, Bacillus subtilis, against
 Fusarium sp. in apple seedlings. Annals of Microbiology.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0672-3
- Kasana, R.C., Pandey, C.B., 2018. Exiguobacterium: an overview of a versatile genus with
 potential in industry and agriculture. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology 38, 141–156.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2017.1312273
- Kaur, M., Jangra, M., Singh, H., Tambat, R., Singh, N., Jachak, S.M., Mishra, S., Sharma, C.,
 Nandanwar, H., Pinnaka, A.K., 2019. Pseudomonas koreensis Recovered From
 Raw Yak Milk Synthesizes a β-Carboline Derivative With Antimicrobial Properties.
 Frontiers in Microbiology 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01728
- Köhl, J., Kolnaar, R., Ravensberg, W.J., 2019. Mode of Action of Microbial Biological Control
 Agents Against Plant Diseases: Relevance Beyond Efficacy. Front. Plant Sci. 10.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00845
- Kolton, M., Erlacher, A., Berg, G., Cytryn, E., 2016. The Flavobacterium Genus in the Plant
 Holobiont: Ecological, Physiological, and Applicative Insights, in: Castro-Sowinski, S.
 (Ed.), Microbial Models: From Environmental to Industrial Sustainability. Springer
 Singapore, Singapore, pp. 189–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2555-6_9
- Lamichhane, J.R., Dachbrodt-Saaydeh, S., Kudsk, P., Messéan, A., 2015. Toward a
 Reduced Reliance on Conventional Pesticides in European Agriculture. Plant
 Disease 100, 10–24. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-15-0574-FE
- Lebeis, S.L., Paredes, S.H., Lundberg, D.S., Breakfield, N., Gehring, J., McDonald, M.,
 Malfatti, S., Glavina del Rio, T., Jones, C.D., Tringe, S.G., Dangl, J.L., 2015. Salicylic
 acid modulates colonization of the root microbiome by specific bacterial taxa.
 Science 349, 860–864. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8764
- Liu, B., Qiao, H., Huang, L., Buchenauer, H., Han, Q., Kang, Z., Gong, Y., 2009. Biological
 control of take-all in wheat by endophytic Bacillus subtilis E1R-j and potential mode
 of action. Biological Control 49, 277–285.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2009.02.007
- Lopes, R., Tsui, S., Gonçalves, P.J.R.O., de Queiroz, M.V., 2018. A look into a
 multifunctional toolbox: endophytic Bacillus species provide broad and
 underexploited benefits for plants. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology
 34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-018-2479-7
- 604 Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

- 605
 for
 RNA-seq
 data
 with
 DESeq2.
 Genome
 Biology
 15,
 550.

 606
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

 </td
- 607 Lundberg, D.S., Lebeis, S.L., Paredes, S.H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., 608 Tremblay, J., Engelbrektson, A., Kunin, V., Rio, T.G. del, Edgar, R.C., Eickhorst, T., 609 Ley, R.E., Hugenholtz, P., Tringe, S.G., Dangl, J.L., 2012. Defining the core 610 Arabidopsis thaliana root microbiome. Nature 488, 86-90. 611 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11237
- M Mai, A.-G., 2018. Serratia A Novel Source of Secondary Metabolites. Advances in
 Biotechnology & Microbiology 11. https://doi.org/10.19080/AIBM.2018.11.555814
- Mahé, F., Rognes, T., Quince, C., de Vargas, C., Dunthorn, M., 2014. Swarm: robust and
 fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies. PeerJ 2, e593.
 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.593
- Mancini, V., Romanazzi, G., 2014. Seed treatments to control seedborne fungal pathogens
 of vegetable crops: Seed treatments to control seedborne fungi. Pest Management
 Science 70, 860–868. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3693
- Marzano, M., Fosso, B., Manzari, C., Grieco, F., Intranuovo, M., Cozzi, G., Mule, G.,
 Scioscia, G., Valiente, G., Tullo, A., Sbisà, E., Pesole, G., Santamaria, M., 2016.
 Complexity and Dynamics of the Winemaking Bacterial Communities in Berries,
 Musts, and Wines from Apulian Grape Cultivars through Time and Space. PLOS
 ONE 11, e0157383. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157383
- McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S., 2013. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive
 Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS ONE 8, e61217.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Miller, K.I., Qing, C., Sze, D.M.Y., Neilan, B.A., 2012. Investigation of the Biosynthetic
 Potential of Endophytes in Traditional Chinese Anticancer Herbs. PLoS ONE 7,
 e35953. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035953
- 631 Monteiro, J.M., Vollú, R.E., Coelho, M.R.R., Fonseca, A., Gomes Neto, S.C., Seldin, L., 632 2011. Bacterial communities within the rhizosphere and roots of vetiver 633 (Chrysopogon zizanioides (L.) Roberty) sampled at different growth stages. 634 European Journal of Soil Biology 47, 236-242. 635 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2011.05.006
- Mousa, W.K., Shearer, C., Limay-Rios, V., Ettinger, C.L., Eisen, J.A., Raizada, M.N., 2016.
 Root-hair endophyte stacking in finger millet creates a physicochemical barrier to
 trap the fungal pathogen Fusarium graminearum. Nature Microbiology 1.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.167
- 640 Parks, D.H., Chuvochina, M., Waite, D.W., Rinke, C., Skarshewski, A., Chaumeil, P.-A.,

Hugenholtz, P., 2018. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome
phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. Nature Biotechnology 36, 996–1004.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4229

- Pascual, J., Blanco, S., García-López, M., García-Salamanca, A., Bursakov, S.A., Genilloud,
 O., Bills, G.F., Ramos, J.L., Dillewijn, P. van, 2016. Assessing Bacterial Diversity in
 the Rhizosphere of Thymus zygis Growing in the Sierra Nevada National Park
 (Spain) through Culture-Dependent and Independent Approaches. PLOS ONE 11,
 e0146558. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146558
- Powers, C., Osier, J., McFeeters, R., Brazell, C., Olsen, E., Moriarity, D., Satyal, P., Setzer,
 W., 2018. Antifungal and Cytotoxic Activities of Sixty Commercially-Available
 Essential Oils. Molecules 23, 1549. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071549
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., Glöckner,
 F.O., 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
 processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41, D590–D596.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Raaijmakers, J.M., Mazzola, M., 2012. Diversity and Natural Functions of Antibiotics
 Produced by Beneficial and Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. Annual Review of
 Phytopathology 50, 403–424. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-172908
- Ranjard, L., Lejon, D.P.H., Mougel, C., Schehrer, L., Merdinoglu, D., Chaussod, R., 2003.
 Sampling strategy in molecular microbial ecology: influence of soil sample size on
 DNA fingerprinting analysis of fungal and bacterial communities. Environmental
 Microbiology 5, 1111–1120. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00521.x
- Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open
 source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4, e2584. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584
- Saleh, D., Jarry, J., Rani, M., Aliferis, K.A., Seguin, P., Jabaji, S.H., 2019. Diversity,
 distribution and multi-functional attributes of bacterial communities associated with
 the rhizosphere and endosphere of timothy (Phleum pratense L.). Journal of Applied
 Microbiology 127, 794–811. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14334
- Shahzad, R., Khan, A.L., Bilal, S., Asaf, S., Lee, I.-J., 2018. What Is There in Seeds?
 Vertically Transmitted Endophytic Resources for Sustainable Improvement in Plant
 Growth. Frontiers in Plant Science 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00024
- Sharma, P.K., Raina, A.P., Dureja, P., 2009. Evaluation of the antifungal and phytotoxic
 effects of various essential oils against *Sclerotium rolfsii* (Sacc) and *Rhizoctonia bataticola* (Taub). Archives Of Phytopathology And Plant Protection 42, 65–72.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/03235400600940897
- 676 Singh, M., Kumar, A., Singh, R., Pandey, K.D., 2017. Endophytic bacteria: a new source of

bioactive compounds. 3 Biotech 7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-0942-z

- Strobel, G., Daisy, B., Castillo, U., Harper, J., 2004. Natural Products from Endophytic
 Microorganisms. J. Nat. Prod. 67, 257–268. https://doi.org/10.1021/np030397v
- Taheri, P., 2018. Cereal diseases caused by Fusarium graminearum: from biology of the
 pathogen to oxidative burst-related host defense responses. Eur J Plant Pathol 152,
 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-1471-2
- 683 Thiergart, T., Durán, P., Ellis, T., Vannier, N., Garrido-Oter, R., Kemen, E., Roux, F., 684 Alonso-Blanco, C., Ågren, J., Schulze-Lefert, P., Hacquard, S., 2020. Root 685 microbiota assembly and adaptive differentiation among European Arabidopsis 686 populations. Nature Ecology & **Evolution** 4, 122-131. 687 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1063-3
- Tian, Y., Tan, Y., Liu, N., Liao, Y., Sun, C., Wang, S., Wu, A., 2016. Functional Agents to
 Biologically Control Deoxynivalenol Contamination in Cereal Grains. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00395
- Toju, H., Kurokawa, H., Kenta, T., 2019. Factors Influencing Leaf- and Root-Associated
 Communities of Bacteria and Fungi Across 33 Plant Orders in a Grassland.
 Frontiers in Microbiology 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00241
- van Lenteren, J.C., Bolckmans, K., Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W.J., Urbaneja, A., 2018.
 Biological control using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities. BioControl 63, 39–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9801-4
- Wegulo, S.N., Baenziger, P.S., Hernandez Nopsa, J., Bockus, W.W., Hallen-Adams, H.,
 2015. Management of Fusarium head blight of wheat and barley. Crop Protection 73,
 100–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.02.025
- Xu, X., Nicholson, P., 2009. Community Ecology of Fungal Pathogens Causing Wheat Head
 Blight. Annual Review of Phytopathology 47, 83–103.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080508-081737
- Yang, Y., Wang, N., Guo, X., Zhang, Y., Ye, B., 2017. Comparative analysis of bacterial
 community structure in the rhizosphere of maize by high-throughput pyrosequencing.
 PLOS ONE 12, e0178425. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178425
- 706 707
- 708
- 709
- 710
- 711

Table 1|. Alpha diversity of Vetiver bacterial communities in five locations using the indices of richness (the number of observed OTUs and Chao 1), coverage (the ratio of observed OTUs and Chao 1), and the indices of evenness (Shannon and InvSimpson), with \pm standard deviation. Different letters besides the values indicate differences with p value < 0.05 within an index by location group.

	Richness						Evenness					
Locations	Observed		Chao1		Coverage (%)		Shannon		InvSimpson			
Madagascar	275.3 ± 56.9	b	349.1 ± 64.8	с	78.7 ± 1.6	b	3.5 ± 0.4	с	13.2 ± 3.3	b		
Portugal	354.0 ± 19.2	b	417.6 ± 43.6	bc	85.1 ± 4.7	ab	3.8 ± 0.3	bc	12.9 ± 5.4	b		
Reunion1	471.7 ± 25.1	а	530.6 ± 35.5	ab	89.0 ± 3.0	а	4.8 ± 0.1	а	38.1 ± 8.8	а		
Reunion2	487.0 ± 11.8	а	559.9 ± 29.0	а	87.1 ± 3.2	а	4.6 ± 0.3	ab	33.3 ± 13.6	ab		
Senegal	316.3 ± 32.3	b	382.1 ± 39.8	с	82.8 ± 1.6	ab	3.7 ± 0.5	bc	16.9 ± 9.6	ab		



Figure 1| PCoA plot based on weighted UniFrac distance of Vetiver samples. Points represent individual plants, Points represent individual plants, and squares and ellipses show the center of gravity and a 95% confidential zone of each locational group, respectively.



Figure 2| Taxonomical compositions of 13 phyla (A) and dominant 12 genera (B) in bacterial endophyte communities of Vetiver roots in 5 locations.



Total 258 genera

Figure 3 Venn diagram of the number of shared genera over locations and specific genera for each location. The shown percentages are percentage of shared or specific genera against total number of genera found through all samples.



Figure 4| Relative abundance of dominant genera in 4 phyla, in Vetiver root endophyte communities. (A) Proteobacteria, (B) *Bacillus* and *Exiguobacterium* (Firmicutes), *Flavobacterium* (Bacteroidota), *Streptomyces* (Actinobacteriota). The same letters within a genus mean no differences with p value <0.05 (Tukey-Kramer test)

Table 2| Summary of isolation and antagonistic activities of endophytic isolates of Vetiver roots from 5 different locations. Colony forming unit (CFU) of Vetiver root endophytes per 1 g of dried root materials (dry weight, DW). The same letters within a column indicate no significant difference among locations with p value <0.05 (Tukey-Kramer test).

Site	Log 10 CFU/g of	Total number	Inhibitio	on rate ag	gainst <i>F. graminear</i> (%)	Isolates with ≥50% antagonism against F. graminearum		
	Dw	orisolates	Min.	Max.	Average ± SD		Number of isolates	Population within a site
Madagascar	6.1 ± 0.3 a	48	0.5	83.5	55.1 ± 11.6	а	37	77% a
Portugal	5.7 ± 0.5 a	89	28.7	79.9	54.2 ± 14.9	а	46	52% ab
Reunion 1	5.6 ± 0.2 a	86	-3.3	65.0	31.7 ± 14.9	b	12	14% b
Reunion 2	5.6 ± 0.3 a	76	18.3	93.3	52.0 ± 17.2	а	43	57% ab
Senegal	6.2 ± 0.3 a	82	22.9	82.8	52.9 ± 12.6	а	38	46% ab
total		381					176	



Figure 5| Taxonomical compositions of 15 genera in functionally selected bacterial endophyte communities of Vetiver roots in 5 sites



Figure 6 Venn diagram of the number of common or unique genera in the metabarcoding data and the culturable communities.



Figure 7 PCoA ordination of isolated endophyte communities with bioactivities against F. *graminearum* using Bray-Curtis distance. Points represent individual plants, and squares and ellipses show the center of gravity and a 95% confidential zone of each locational group, respectively.

Site	Accession ra	hibition ite (%)	¹ Phylum	Genus	Top hit	Identity (%)
Madagascar	M1_08	71.3	Proteobacteria	Serratia	Serratia marcescens subsp. marcescens ATCC 13880 strain DSM 30121	100
Portugal	P2_28	70.6	Actinobacteriota	Microbacterium	Microbacterium hominis strain IFO 15708	100
	P2_02	73.5		Pseudomonas	Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	100
	P2_16	72.1			Pseudomonas vancouverensis strain DhA-51	100
	P2_06	78.5	Proteobacteria		Pseudomonas vancouverensis strain DhA-51	99.61
	P2_25	79.2			Pseudomonas vancouverensis strain DhA-51	100
	P2_15	73.1		Serratia	Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.67
	P3_18	70.6		Pseudomonas	Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	99.44
	P3_08	71.0			Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	100
	P3_19	71.7			Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	99.42
	P3_26	72.1			Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	99.79
	P3_27	73.8			Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	99.51
	P3_24	74.2	Proteobacteria		Pseudomonas koreensis strain Ps 9-14	99.45
	P3_01	72.1			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.69
	P3_07	73.1			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.68
	P3_25	73.1		Serratia	Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.65
	P3_30	73.5			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.7
	P3_17 73.8	73.8			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.67
	P3_13	74.9			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.67
	P3_28	78.1			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.67
	P3_29	79.9			Serratia grimesii strain DSM 30063	99.71
Reunion2	R22_05	81.7			Bacillus subtilis strain IAM 12118	99.83
	R22_06	81.7	Firmicutes	Bacillus	Bacillus subtilis strain IAM 12118	100
	R22_17	93.3			Bacillus subtilis strain IAM 12118	97.95
	R22_08	70.0	Proteobacteria	Pseudomonas	Pseudomonas nitroreducens strain NBRC 12694	100
	R23_17	78.3			Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579	100
	R23_12	70.0	Firmicutoc	Bacillus	Bacillus subtilis strain IAM 12118	99.86
	R23_28	73.3	Firmicules		Bacillus subtilis strain IAM 12118	100
	R23_08	75.0			Bacillus subtilis strain IAM 12118	100
Senegal	S1_29	82.8	Proteobacteria	Kosakonia	Kosakonia oryzendophytica strain REICA_082	100
	S2_18	76.0	Drotochactoria	lanthinghactorium	Janthinobacterium agaricidamnosum strain NBRC 102515	100
	S2_11	82.5	Proteobacteria	Janthinopacterium	Janthinobacterium lividum strain DSM 1522	99.71