

Quantitative Measurement of Rare Earth Elements in Brines: Isolation from the Charged Matrix Versus Direct LA-ICP-MS Measurements – A Comparative Study

Maria A Kokh, Béatrice Luais, Laurent Truche, Marie Christine Boiron,

Chantal Peiffert, Aimeryc Schumacher

To cite this version:

Maria A Kokh, Béatrice Luais, Laurent Truche, Marie Christine Boiron, Chantal Peiffert, et al.. Quantitative Measurement of Rare Earth Elements in Brines: Isolation from the Charged Matrix Versus Direct LA-ICP-MS Measurements – A Comparative Study. Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 2021, 45 (2), pp.341-358. 10.1111/GGR.12376, hal-03360101

HAL Id: hal-03360101 <https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03360101>

Submitted on 30 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

 The quantification of the REEs in natural rocks and fluids is important not only for the sustainable use of geological resources but also for fundamental geological research. Due to significant improvements in experimental, modeling and analytical techniques, it was 29 discovered that the REEs could be efficiently mobilized and transported by hydrothermal fluids. Thus, analyzing the REEs in fluids, especially in highly saline solutions, is a prerequisite to understand their fate in hydrothermal fluids. An analytical methodology was established to determine the trace quantities of the REEs in aqueous solutions with compositions typical to seawater and brines. The developed protocol was applied for the REEs quantification in experimental sodium-rich carbonate-bearing hydrothermal solutions. For this purpose, we used two analytical approaches: i) the REEs were chromatographically isolated from the charged matrix and introduced in a single collector quadrupole ICP-MS, and ii) direct analysis of the REEs by LA-ICP-MS contents of experimental solutions loaded into glass capillaries without any additional isolation procedure. Both LA-ICP-MS and solution nebulization ICP-MS analysis after the REEs isolation provide identic data in terms of the REEs concentrations in brines and are consistent within analytical uncertainties. Being an express method, LA-ICP-MS could be recommended for the REEs quantification in brines. At the same time, the REEs' isolation from 42 the charged matrix with subsequent ICP-MS analysis provides higher accuracy and precision, giving additional information for the REEs mass fractions that are below the detection limit of 44 the LA-ICP-MS that was estimated at \sim 10 ng g-1 level.

Keywords: rare earth elements, brine, cation-exchange resin, ICP-MS, LA-ICP-MS.

1. Introduction

Geological and industrial significance of precise quantification of the rare earth elements in hydrothermal brines

 The rare earth elements (REEs) are crucial in many modern technologies, such as mobile phones, screens, hard drives, electric vehicles, and play a key role in the development of techniques related to the renewable carbon-free energy industry. The rise of demand for many items that require rare earth metals induced an increase in metal mining and stimulated significant industrial efforts for their efficient recovery and recycling, as well as prospection of new deposits. The quantification of the REEs in natural rocks and fluids is important not only for the sustainable use of natural resources but also for the fundamental geological research: the rare earths are widely used as redox conditions proxies (*e.g.,* Bau 1991, González-Álvarez and Kerrich 2010), geothermobarometers (*e.g.*, Gratz and Heinrich 1998, Heinrich *et al.* 1997, Pyle *et al.* 2001), geochronometers using the ¹⁴⁷Sm-¹⁴³Nd and ¹⁴⁶Sm-¹⁴²Nd (*e.g.*, Workman and Hart 63 2005, Boyet and Carlson 2006), ¹³⁸La-¹³⁸Ce (Tanaka and Masuda 1982) systems, and geochemical tracers of various petrogenetic processes occurring in mantle, crust and seafloor hydrothermal systems (*e.g.*, Luais *et al.* 2009, Schmidt *et al.* 2010, Cole *et al.* 2014, Mercadier *et al.* 2011, Doucelance *et al.* 2014, Chavagnac *et al.* 2018). For a long time, magmatism and sedimentary processes were considered as two main

 forces controlling the distribution of the REEs on the Earth. However, during the last thirty years, due to significant improvements in experimental, modeling and analytical techniques (*e.g.,* Wood 1990, Bau 1991, Ghazy *et al.* 1993), it was found that the REEs could be efficiently mobilized and transported by hydrothermal fluids as a result of both regional or contact

metamorphism (*e.g.,* González-Álvarez and Kerrich 2010, Richard *et al.* 2013) and post-

magmatic hydrothermal events (Banks *et al.* 1994, Salvi and Williams-Jones 2006). These later

processes may lead to their redistribution, fractionation and/or focused concentration.

 Geothermal brines were even considered as a potential source of the REEs for future industrial 76 extraction (Smith *et al.* 2017).

 Hydrothermal mobilization and transport of the REEs (as well as of other metals) from a parent magmatic body or metal-bearing rock is provided by water, preferentially in the form of aqueous complexes with the most abundant ligands in the crust. The knowledge about this complexation is a key for the understanding of the transporting capacities of hydrothermal fluids (*e.g.*, Seward *et al.* 2014 and refs. therein). The first studies of the REEs solubilities in hydrothermal fluids implied their complexation with chloride, fluoride and sulfate anions (*e.g.,* Banks *et al.* 1994, Salvi and Williams-Jones 2006), later acetate anions and hydrocarbons were found to be important for some specific geological environments (*e.g.,* Lecumberri-Sanchez *et al.* 2018); finally, there is growing evidence that carbonate ligands could be also involved into the hydrothermal mobilization of the REEs (Fan *et al.* 2004, Liu *et al.* 2018, Vasyukova and Williams-Jones 2018).

 In our particular case, we study the role of carbonate and bicarbonate ligands in the hydrothermal transport of the REEs. During this research, we carried out autoclave experiments and faced the necessity of determining the trace quantities of the REEs in experimental products, including solutions with high mass fractions of sodium comparable with those in seawater (up to 3.0 % m/m). Another area of our study is focused on monazite interaction with hydrothermal brines with high concentrations of alkali and alkali earth metals originating from seawater evaporites. These two types of experiments have led us to seek the best method for the REEs quantification in solutions in terms of implementation time, cost of analyzes as well as

 accessible: nowadays INAA is practically replaced by ICP-MS due to its complexity and associated costs.

 In our case, we used a single collector quadrupole based ICP-MS unit either coupled with a laser ablation unit, or equipped with traditional nebulization and spray chamber, in order to compare the results of the REEs measurements with the two different ways of sample solution introduction into ICP. Here, we compare the direct laser ablation of experimental solutions loaded into glass capillaries and the classical injection of experimental solutions aerosols after their matrix isolation using column chromatography with strong cation-exchange. Alternative ICP-MS systems, such as sector-field (sf-ICP-MS) or time of flight (TOF) systems that both can have either single or multiple collector detection system (e.g., Sindern 2017) are out of the scope of the present study. By using single collector quadrupole ICP-MS we assume, that the ratio of stable isotope 131 of the REEs in our samples have a chondritic isotope composition, thus, only one isotope of each REE can be acquired to obtain the element concentration in a sample. However, we measured a few isotopes of the same REE (where available) during ICP-MS analysis to verify any biais due to uncorrected interferences. **2.2. Sample preparation of rocks, minerals and natural fluids applied for ICP-MS analyses of the Rare Earth Elements** During previous studies of the REEs quantification by ICP-MS, two main analytical issues were identified: 1) low abundances of the REEs in some natural objects that approach the detection limits of ICP-MS method (*e.g.,* sub ng g-1 level in residual ultramafic rocks; Nakamura

and Chang 2007; Ulrich et al., 2012), and 2) a strong matrix effect. High concentrations of matrix

 elements in solutions (essentially Si, F and NaCl-rich solutions obtained from digested quartz after crush-leach procedures) induce an enhancement or a suppression of the analytical signal, (Ghazy *et al.* 1993). For example, Eaton *et al.* (1992) detected a progressive signal suppression as the salt (NaCl) concentration in the sample solution was increased. These findings determined the main sample preparation procedures for the REEs analysis by ICP-MS: matrix elimination and target elements preconcentration followed by an introduction of sample solution into ICP.

 The ion exchange resins are commonly used in chromatography and ICP-MS analysis for element separations. Conventional ion exchange resins consist of a cross-linked polymer matrix with a relatively uniform distribution of ion-active sites. A cross-link is a bond that links one polymer chain to another. The degree of cross-linking (DC), expressed quantitatively as the mole percent, relates to the number of groups that interconnect the polymerization mixture. The DC affects the kinetics of the cation-exchange process (Michaud 2011, Kołodyńska *et al.* 156 2019). The resin AG 50W® is commonly used for REEs isolation from the matrix. It is composed of sulfonic acid functional groups attached to a styrene-divinylbenzene (S/DVB) copolymer lattice: the strands of polystyrene (S) are held together by DVB and X8 or X12 means DC = 8% or 159 DC = 12%, respectively (here, the mole percent of DVB in the (S/DVB) copolymer lattice). Luais *et al.* (1997) used a column of strong cation-exchange resin AG 50W®X12 in HCl acid media for high-precision isotope ratios measurements of neodymium and samarium in basalt samples (Reunion Island, Indian Ocean) using a multiple collector ICP-MS (MC-ICP-MS). This method allowed to isolate Heavy Rare Earth Elements and Yttrium (HREEs+Y) from the light and medium REEs (LREEs+MREEs). In the subsequent studies the REEs separation protocol mentioned above was combined with the other procedures of element separation to study the behavior of multiple isotopic systems during fluid-related deformation zone of leucogranite from the French

 Massif Central (Luais *et al.* 2009) and metamorphic dehydration–hydration in eclogite and amphibolite samples from the Western Gneiss Region in Norway (Martin *et al.* 2010). Cation exchange resins methods before REE+Y measurements using ICP-MS techniques is required in some specific cases for precisely and accurately determine REE+Y contents, such as accurate measurements of geological reference materials (JGb2 leucogabbro and JR3 peralkaline rhyolite from the Geological Survey of Japan) (Lee *et al.* 2000). This also ensures a pre-concentration of REE in highly depleted lithologies, such as ultra-depleted in REEs peridotites (Ulrich *et al.* 2012). or REE separation from highly charged matrix such as salts (Steinman *et al.* 2001). Halizc *et al.* (1996) similarly, developed an on-line preconcentration method for ICP-MS to quantify the REEs in seawaters and highly saline brines. Here, on the basis of previous analytical developments acquired for solid samples in CRPG (Nancy, France) and ISTerre (Grenoble, France) laboratories, we customize an offline isolation protocol for brines, with highly-charged matrix, typical to our experimental systems. **2.3. LA-ICP-MS as a tool for express quantification of the REEs in solutions** LA-ICP-MS analyses of the REEs in minerals and fluid inclusions have already been performed successfully to tackle scientific issues such as abundance and fractionation of the REEs in natural minerals and fluid inclusions (Leisen 2011), genesis of uranium deposits (Mercadier *et al.* 2011, Lach *et al.* 2013), geochronometric dating of rocks and minerals using 187 the radioactive REE isotopes 138 La, 147 Sm and 176 Lu (Sindern 2017), mineralogy of the REEs in coal fly ash (Thompson *et al.* 2018), partial resettling of the U-Th-Pb geochronometers during monazite recrystallization (Grand'Homme *et al.* 2018), adsorption of the REEs on aluminum and

 iron (oxy)-hydroxides in bauxites (Mondillo *et al.* 2019) and variety of fluids origin in iron oxide-copper-gold ore deposits revealed by the REEs signatures in fluorites (Schlegel *et al.* 2020).

 LA-ICP-MS is widely used in geosciences due to the unique combination of its spatial resolution, fast acquisition of results and low detection limits which are supported by reasonable analytical costs. Spatial resolution is critical for some minerals and fluid inclusion assemblages that have micrometer to millimeter scale, overgrowths and zoning of minerals related to successive geological events. Thus, a spatially resolved analysis at an appropriate scale is the only way to determine the evolution of the mineral composition through time and thereby decipher multiple processes related to geochemical evolution during single crystal growth (*e.g.*, Lach *et al*. 2013).

 The use of LA-ICP-MS for solutions historically originates from the challenging studies 201 of mineral fluid inclusions, that are complicated by very small quantities of solution in individual 202 fluid inclusions typically ranging from 10^{-13} to 10^{-6} g (Roedder 1984). However, it was shown that this method could be also used for a small quantity of any aqueous solution (*e.g.,* standard or experimental) loaded into pure silica capillary that could be then ablated by laser like a fluid inclusion (Leisen *et al.* 2012a,b, Harlaux *et al.* 2015, Grand'Homme *et al.* 2018). The matrix effects for elemental analyses using LA-ICP-MS were widely discussed in literature (*e.g.,* Günther *et al.* 1998, Velásquez *et al.* 2012, Leisen *et al.* 2012a, Grand'Homme *et al.* 2018). Liquid samples can be quantitatively analyzed by their introduction into glass capillaries without any additional chemical treatment using NIST SRM glasses as reference materials and precisely quantified sodium concentrations as an internal standard (Günther *et al.* 1998). This procedure, 211 which uses solid reference materials to quantify the REEs mass fractions in aqueous solution, is carefully validated in this study.

- **3. Experimental**
-

3.1. Experimental conditions and setup of hydrothermal reactors

 solution (2-3 g) were regularly sampled during the experiments. The first sample during one sampling session served to remove the dead volume from the sampling tubes and to measure the pH at ambient conditions. The second sample was filtered through a 0.2 μm PTFE filter and 241 acidified with 2 wt. % HNO₃ solution (dilution factor 10) for the subsequent ICP-OES analyses of sodium and determination of the REEs using LA-ICP-MS and ICP-MS.

243

244 **3.2. Chemical reagents used for the hydrothermal experiments**

245

246 The initial solid phases of the REEs used for the hydrothermal experiments were the 247 following oxide powders: yttrium oxide Y₂O₃ (Rare Earth Products Ltd., 99.999 % m/m, CAS № 248 1314-36-9), lanthanum oxide La₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99 % m/m, CAS № 1312-81-8), cerium 249 oxide CeO₂ (Prolabo Rhône-Poulenc Ltd., 99.9 % m/m, CAS № 1306-38-3), praseodymium oxide 250 Pr₂O₃ (Rhône-Poulenc Industries, 99.99%, CAS № 12036-32-7), neodymium oxide Nd₂O₃ (Sigma-251 Aldrich, 99.9 % m/m, CAS № 1313-97-9), samarium oxide Sm₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9 % m/m, 252 CAS № 12060-58-1), europium oxide Eu2O3 (Rhône-Poulenc Industries, 99.99 % m/m, CAS № 253 1308-96-9), gadolinium oxide Gd₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9 % m/m, CAS № 12064-62-9), 254 dysprosium oxide Dy₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99 % m/m, CAS № 1308-87-8), erbium oxide Er₂O₃ 255 (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99 % m/m, CAS № 12061-16-4), ytterbium oxide Yb2O3 (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99 256 % m/m, CAS № 1314-37-0). The mass of each oxide powder loaded into the autoclave was ~100 257 mg in all the experiments. Experimental solutions were prepared using the following salts: 258 Na₂CO₃ (Roth, ≥99,5 % m/m, ACS, anhydrous, CAS Nº 497-19-8) and NaHCO₃ (Sigma Aldrich, 259 $≥99.7 % m/m, ACS, an hydrogen, CAS N₂ 144-55-8). An aqueous solution of HNO₃ (2 % m/m) was$ 260 prepared from a bi-distilled acid.

261

 3.3. Certified reference materials (CRM) used for ICP-MS, LA-ICP-MS and ICP-OES analyses and standard solutions preparation

 All chemical procedures were carried out in clean room conditions. Preparation of reactants, standard solutions, and dilutions were performed by weight and using high purity 267 18.2 MΩ cm MQ water (Elga Chorus system®) was used through this study. HCl and HNO₃ acids were purified by double distillation using a Savillex™ DST-1000 sub-boiling distillation system (Savillex, USA).

 Calibration curves for the REEs analyses by ICP-MS were established relative to 271 standard solutions containing 1, 10, 25 and 50 ng g-1 of the REEs in HNO₃ matrix (2 % m/m). These standard solutions were prepared by weighting the certified CRM standard solution REE 273 mix TraceCERT® Co. LLC Sigma-Aldrich, 16 elements, 50 mg l-1 in 2 % m/m nitric acid. Standard solutions containing 100 and 500 ng g-1 of the REEs were also prepared and analyzed as additional standards to check the linearity of the obtained calibration curves, which was useful 276 for analyses of the most concentrated samples.

 Standard solutions with high contents of matrix elements (sodium, potassium, magnesium and calcium) were prepared to establish the off-line quantification protocol for the 279 REEs separation from a charged matrix using cation-exchange resin. The following salts were 280 weighted with the REE mix and yttrium CRM solutions and Milli-Q water: MgCl₂·6H₂O (Prolabo), 281 CaCl₂·2H₂O (Merck), NaCl (99.5 % m/m, Acros Organics), KCl (99.5 % m/m, Roth pa ACS ISO Reagent). The prepared standard solutions were analyzed for major cations in SARM (CRPG, Nancy) using ICP-OES Thermo Fischer ICap 6500. An analytical signal calibration of sodium 284 during ICP-OES analyses was performed using the sodium CRM for ICP (TraceCERT® Co. LLC Sigma-Aldrich, 1000 mg l-1 Na in 2 % m/m nitric acid).

 conditioned by 20 ml of HCl (2 mol l-1). After complete elution of sodium (or complete elution of Na, Mg, K, and ~75 % m/m of Ca for the case of calibration tests) with 90 ml HCl solution (2.0 mol l-1), the fraction containing the REEs was collected in Savilex® Teflon vials with 155 ml of HCl solution (6.0 mol l-1). The REEs fraction was evaporated to dryness at 90°C and redissolved 314 in 10 ml HNO₃ 2 % m/m solution for the subsequent ICP-MS analyses. All chromatographic isolation and evaporation steps were made in cleanroom conditions.

 The REEs mass fractions in solutions were measured using the quadrupole ICP-MS X- Series 2 ThermoScientific at CRPG laboratory (Nancy, France) (Table 2). The selected isotopes for REE quantification, and corresponding instrumental detection and quantification limits 319 obtained after ten parallel measurements of matrix solution HNO₃ (2 % m/m) are reported in 320 Table 3. Detection limits for all the REEs were determined as the mass fraction equivalent of 321 three times the standard deviation of the procedural blank. They range from 0.1 pg g-1 for Lu to 5 pg g-1 for La and 20 pg g-1 for Y, and are better than published values published, e.g., from 1 323 to 120 pg g-1 (Ulrich et al. 2012). Our detection limits are significantly lower relative to the REE mass fractions of all the experimental solutions analyzed in this work and are systematically lower than the REE contents determined in procedural blanks after the use of the chemical protocol that is described below (Table 6).

Table 2. Instrumental operating parameters for ICP-MS.

 Table 3. The detection limits (DL, 3σ) and the quantification limits (QL, 10σ) for the ICP-MS analyses of the REEs, reported in pg g-1.

3.5. LA-ICP-MS analyses of experimental solutions

-
- **Table 4.** Instrumental operating parameters for LA-ICP-MS.
-
-
-
-

4. Results

4.1. Calibration tests and choice of the cation-exchange resin, validation of analytical protocol of off-line sample preparation for ICP-MS analysis of the REEs

 Seven tests of column calibration on standard solutions were performed during this study. The off-line protocol for the REEs separation from sodium-rich matrix, *e.g.*, type of resin, concentrations and volumes of acids, was established from calibration tests with simulated Na- K-Mg-Ca-rich standard solutions (Table 3). Using these solutions, we selected the type of cation- exchange resin, as well as molarities and volumes of HCl acids used for subsequent elution of matrix and the REEs. The cation exchange columns were calibrated to obtain the best yields (100±7%) for the REEs using minimal volumes of acids during the elution process (Fig. 1). The two AG 50W® resins (200-400 mesh) with 8 and 12 mol % cross-linkage were tested for this purpose. The AG50W-X8 resin (8 mol % in DVB) was chosen because the use of AG50W-X12 (12 mol % in DVB) required more than 225 mL of HCl 6.0 mol l-1 solution to quantitatively collect all the REEs from the column, rising issues concerning procedural blanks, sample throughput and reactive consumption.

 Here we report only the result of the last calibration with complete isolation of the 376 REEs. A volume of 5 ml of the standard solution in HCl (2.0 mol l-1), containing MgCl₂ 5.18 g l-1, CaCl2 10.01 g l-1, NaCl 15.93 g l-1, KCl 25.38 g l-1, lanthanides and Sc 3.85 mg l-1, and Y 8.27 mg l-1, was loaded into the column. This matrix composition was chosen to simulate a composition of typical natural brine that always contains high concentrations of the alkaline and alkaline earth elements (see chapter 1.1 for details). Sodium, magnesium, and potassium were completely removed from the resin after the elution with 90 ml of HCl solution (2.0 mol l-1),

434 ~10 µg g-1 REEs mass fractions. Our analytical protocol is validated for the lanthanides and 435 yttrium measurements with a ± 10 % accuracy, within a mass fraction range from \approx 10 ng g-1 to ~10 µg g-1. The reported accuracy estimation is comparable with the repeatability of the ICP- MS analysis for the same solution, whereas RSD for an individual analysis typically varied between 3 and 5%.

 4.2. Accuracy and repeatability of LA-ICP-MS analyses of the REEs in solutions with simulated matrix loaded in glass capillaries, reproducibility of NIST SRM glasses analyses

 The accuracy of LA-ICP-MS analyses was verified by using standard solutions prepared by weighing (see section 2.3). Typical time resolved LA-ICP-MS signals and the REEs responses 446 during capillary ablation are shown in Fig. 2. Limits of detection for each REE, as well as chosen 447 isotopes, are reported in Table 7. Typical relative standard deviations (RSD, %) for an analytical signal obtained on standard solutions during LA-ICP-MS measurements were found to be below 20% for the mass fraction range from 100 ng g-1 to 10 µg g-1 for all the REEs and about 50-60% for a standard solution containing 10 ng g-1 of the REEs. Accuracy and repeatability between measurements obtained by multiple ablations of the same standard solutions are illustrated in 452 Fig. 3 and are reported in the Electronic Supplementary Material. Analyses of standard solutions 453 with the REEs mass fractions lower than 1 µg g-1 are less accurate, with deviation from the regression line towards lower measured values.

 As a whole, the mass fractions of the REEs measured in acidified experimental solutions (Fig. 4) are in a very good agreement with pn-ICP-MS for the case of Ce, Pr, Eu, Er and Yb within associated analytical uncertainties (~18-22%). Yttrium, Nd, Sm and Dy were well quantified 485 within their typical RSD and reproducibility values (~25-30%, Tables 8-11), whereas the data 486 obtained for La and Gd are much less accurate (~47 and ~41% of the pn-ICP-MS values, respectively).

 There are however few outliers for Ce. Indeed, few low Ce-samples (10-70 ng g-1) show LA-ICP-MS mass fractions systematically lower than those obtained by pn-ICP-MS, with, however, similar typical analytical uncertainties in terms of 3RSD (%) for both methods (Tables 491 8-11). Such deviation towards low Ce mass fractions is also seen for LA-ICP-MS Ce analyses of 492 standard solutions of same concentration range (Fig. 3). Then, it is improbable that for the low concentrations, cerium mass fractions are overestimated by pn-ICP-MS analyses after matrix separation of sample solutions and blank correction. The calculated Ce mass fractions of those samples by LA-ICP-MS, using the regression line equation in Fig. 4, would be in the range of (12- 496 85 ng g-1). Then, the observed discrepancy is more likely due to Ce concentrations being close to the detection limit of the LA-ICP-MS.

499 **Table 8.** Fluid compositions of experimental samples in the H₂O–NaHCO₃–REEs system. LA-ICP-MS data. Uncertainty (measurement repeatability) is expressed in terms of 3RSD.

502 **Table 9.** Fluid composition of experimental samples in the H₂O–NaHCO₃–REEs system. ICP-MS after ion-exchange resin Na-isolation. Uncertainty (measurement repeatability) is expressed in

terms of 3RSD.

506 **Table 10.** Fluid composition in the H₂O–Na₂CO₃–REEs system. LA-ICP-MS data. Uncertainty (measurement repeatability) is expressed in terms of 3RSD.

509 **Table 11.** Fluid composition in the H₂O–Na₂CO₃–REEs system. ICP-MS after ion-exchange resin Na-isolation. Uncertainty (measurement repeatability) is expressed in terms of 3RSD.

5.2. Analyzing REEs in solutions by LA-ICP-MS

 The current practice of LA-ICP-MS analyses of the REEs in solutions using NIST SRM glasses as reference materials and sodium as an internal standard was further verified in the present study. Three types of matrix were ablated for the REEs analyses: synthetic silicate RM 517 glasses NIST SRM 610 and NIST SRM 612, NaCl-rich neutral brines and NaNO₃-rich acidified experimental solutions. The obtained results show that the presence of matrix effects for the REEs analyses in solutions could not be totally excluded for using NIST SRM glasses standardization, at least for the MS configurations with nanosecond laser. In NaCl-rich neutral brines all the REEs mass fractions analyzed with NIST SRM glasses are systematically underestimated by 4 to 40% compared to the REEs mass fractions in prepared standard 523 solutions (Fig. 3). At the same time, for the case of acidified NaNO₃-rich experimental samples the obtained results are systematically overestimated if we assume the data of nb-ICP-MS 525 preceding by off-line sample preparation as real values (Fig. 4, Tables 8-11). Thus, the concentrations of the REEs in solutions could be both systematically overestimated and

 underestimated by LA-ICP-MS depending on the nature of the sample (here, essentially presence of Si, Cl, N matrix elements and pH of analyzed solution).

 The matrix effects on some REEs observed in this study sometimes exceed the analytical uncertainties reported both in terms of RSD (%, 3σ confidence level), reproducibility of multiple ablations of the same solution and cross-analysis of the two NIST SRM glasses using sodium as an internal standard. For example, the analyzed mass fractions of Er in NaCl-rich neutral brines are systematically underestimated by ~40% of the values known from the 534 preparation procedure of the standard solutions (Fig. 3). Such a high discrepancy for Er exceeds both the estimated values of RSD (~30%, 3σ confidence level) and reproducibility (~30%) from parallel LA-ICP-MS analyses, at least for the mass fractions above 100 ng g-1. However, for the same analytical session, the matrix effects for Nd, Sm and Gd are undistinguishable within the reported analytical uncertainties.

 The solution matrix effects for the REEs vary in a very individual manner: the same REE could be well analyzed in one type of matrix using NIST SRM reference materials and be subjected to the matrix effect in another type of matrix as could be seen for Gd and Er (Table 12). Thus, the NIST SRM calibration method works, but some systematic variations that were observed in the present study encourage us to recommend an additional calibration using the REEs standard solutions with adjusted matrix type, loaded in glass capillaries. The precision of analyses could be further improved by adding an isotope spike of a single element (*e.g.*, Sm or Nd) to sample solutions by weight and quantifying a single element with isotope dilution after LA-ICP-MS. Then this element can be used as precisely known internal standard to correct all other REEs for matrix effects.

 Fig. 4. Comparison of the mass fractions of the REEs in solutions obtained using LA-ICP-MS and ICP-MS after sodium isolation.

6. Conclusions

The key points of this study are the following:

 The established analytical protocol for the REEs quantification by ICP-MS in brines after matrix isolation with cation-exchange resin provides robust data for the REEs mass fractions as low as the 1 ng g-1 level.

 LA-ICP-MS analyses of solutions loaded into capillaries and classical pneumatic nebulization ICP-MS analyses after saline matrix isolation with cation exchange resin provide consistent results for the REEs quantification in brines in a range of mass fractions between 10 ng g-1 and 10 µg g-1 within reported analytical uncertainties (RSD from 15 to 30% of a value for LA-ICP-MS). The precision level acquired during this study by LA-ICP-MS technique is acceptable

and sufficient enough for the purposes of the experimental hydrothermal geochemistry.

 LA-ICP-MS, being an express method, could be highly recommended for the REEs quantification in brines: in a single day of LA-ICP-MS analyses it is possible to measure up to 100 capillaries with REE solution, while it takes one month of cleanroom REE isolations to produce these analyses with classical ICP-MS with pneumatic nebulizer. At the same time, the REEs isolation from the charged matrix with subsequent ICP-MS analysis provides more precise results (±10% a value within 3SD confidential level), and is required for the REEs mass fractions 572 that are below the quantification limit of the LA-ICP-MS that was estimated at the \sim 100 ng g-1 level. These results provide a framework for the selection of analytical methods in future studies, depending on the required accuracy of REE quantification.

Acknowledgments

- **Grand'Homme A., Janots E., Seydoux-Guillaume A.M., Guillaume D., Magnin V., Hövelmann J., Höschen C. and Boiron M.-C. (2018)** Mass transport and fractionation during monazite alteration by anisotropic replacement. **Chemical Geology, 484,** 51-68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.10.008. **Gratz R. and Heinrich W. (1998)** Monazite-xenotime thermometry. III. Experimental calibration of the partitioning of gadolinium between monazite and xenotime. **European Journal of Mineralogy, 10,** 579-588, https://doi.org/10.1127/ejm/10/3/0579. **Günther D., Audétat A., Frischknecht R. and Heinrich C. A. (1998)** Quantitative analysis of major, minor and trace elements in fluid inclusions using laser ablation- inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. **Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 13,** 263–270, https://doi.org/10.1039/A707372K. **Halicz L., Gavrieli I. and Dorfman E. (1996)** On-line method for inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric determination of Rare Earth Elements in highly saline brines. **Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 11,** 811-814, https://doi.org/10.1039/JA9961100811. **Harlaux M., Borovinskaya O., Frick D. A., Tabersky D., Gschwind S., Richard A., Günther D. and Mercadier J. (2015)** Capabilities of sequential and quasi-simultaneous LA-ICPMS for the multi-element analysis of small
	- quantity of liquids (pl to nl): insights from fluid inclusion analysis. **Journal of Analytical Atomic**
	- **Spectrometry, 30,** 1945–1969, https://doi.org/10.1039/C5JA00111K.
	-
	- **Heinrich W., Anders G. and Franz G. (1997)**
- Monazite-xenotime miscibility gap thermometry. 1. An empirical calibration. **Journal of**
- **Metamorphic Geology, 15,** 3-16, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.1997.t01-1-00052.x.
-
- **Houk R.S., Fassle V.A., Flesch G.D., Svec H.J., Gray A.L. and Taylor C.E. (1980)**
- Inductively coupled plasma as an ion source for mass spectrometric determination of trace elements.
- **Analytical Chemistry, 52,** 2283-2289, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50064a012.
-
- **Ishikawa T., Sugimoto K. and Nagaishi K. (2003)**
- Determination of rare-earth elements in rock samples by an improved high-performance ion
- chromatography. **Geochemical Journal, 37,** 671-680, https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.37.671
-
- **Jochum K. P., Weis U., Stoll B., Kuzmin D., Yang Q., Raczek I., Jacob D. E., Stracke A.,**
- **Birbaum K., Frick D. A., Günther D. and Enzweiler J. (2011)**
- Determination of Reference Values for NIST SRM 610-617 Glasses Following ISO Guidelines.
- **Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 35**, 397–429, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-
- 908X.2011.00120.x.
-
- **Kajiya T., Aihara M. and Hirata S. (2004)**
- Determination of rare earth elements in seawater by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
- with on-line column pre-concentration using 8-quinolinole-immobilized fluorinated metal alkoxide
- glass. **Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 59**, 543–550,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2003.12.019
-
- **Kołodyńska D., Fila D., Gajda B., Gęga J. and Hubicki Z. (2019)**
- Rare Earth Elements—separation methods yesterday and today. In: Inamuddin A. M. and Asiri A.
- (eds.), **Applications of Ion Exchange Materials in the Environment, Springer (Cham)**, pp. 161-
- 185, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10430-6_8.
-
- **Lach P., Mercadier J., Dubessy J., Boiron M.**-**C. and Cuney M. (2013)**
- 703 In situ quantitative measurement of Rare Earth Elements in u²ranium oxides by Laser Ablation-
- Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry. **Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 37,**
- 277-296, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2012.00161.x.
-

Lecumberri-Sanchez P., Bouabdellah M. and Zemri O. (2018)

- Transport of rare earth elements by hydrocarbon-bearing brines: Implications for ore deposition and
- the use of REEs as fluid source tracers. **Chemical Geology, 479,** 204-215,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.010.
-
- **Lee K.-H., Shishido S., Kusachi I. and Motomizu S. (2000)**
- Determination of lanthanoids and yttrium in JGb2 and JR3 by inductively coupled plasma-mass
- spectrometry after cation-exchange pretreatment. **Geochemical Journal, 34,** 383-393,
- https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.34.383.
-

Leisen M. (2011)

- Analyse chimique des inclusions fluides par ablation laser couplée à l'ICP-MS et applications
- géochimiques. **PhD thesis**, **Nancy University,** 306 p, https://www.theses.fr/2011NAN10149.
-
- **Leisen M., Boiron M.-C., Richard A. and Dubessy J. (2012a)**
- Determination of Cl and Br concentrations in individual fluid inclusions by combining
- microthermometry and LA-ICPMS analysis: Implications for the origin of salinity in crustal fluids.
- **Chemical Geology, 330–331,** 197-206, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.09.003.
-
- **Leisen M., Dubessy J., Boiron M.-C. and Lach P. (2012b)**
- Improvement of the determination of element concentrations in quartz-hosted fluid inclusions by LA-
- ICP-MS and Pitzer thermodynamic modeling of ice melting temperature. **Geochimica et**
- **Cosmochimica Acta, 90,** 110-125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.04.040.
-
- **Liu Y., Chakhmouradian A.R., Hou Z., Song W. and Kynický J. (2018)**
- Development of REE mineralization in the giant Maoniuping deposit (Sichuan, China): insights from
- mineralogy, fluid inclusions, and trace-element geochemistry. **Minerium Deposita, 54,** 701-718,
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00126-018-0836-y.
-
- **Longerich H.P., Jackson S.E. and Gunther D. (1996)**
- Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric transient signal data acquisition and
- analyte concentration calculation. **Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 11,** 899-904,
- https://doi.org/10.1039/JA9961100899.
-
- **Luais B., Telouk P. and Albarède F. (1997)**
- Precise and accurate neodymium isotopic measurements by plasma-source mass spectrometry.
- **Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 61,** 4847-4854,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00293-7.
-
- **Luais B., Le Carlier de Veslud C., Géraud Y. and Gauthier-Lafaye F. (2009)**
- Comparative behavior of Sr, Nd and Hf isotopic systems during fluid-related deformation at middle
- crust levels. **Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73,** 2961-2977,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.026.
-
- **Martin C., Duchêne S., Luais B., Goncalves P., Deloule E. and Fournier C. (2010)**
- Behavior of trace elements in relation to Lu–Hf and Sm–Nd geochronometers during metamorphic
- dehydration–hydration in the HP domain of Vårdalsneset, Western Gneiss Region, Norway.
- **Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 159,** 437-458, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-009-
- 0434-1.

- **Mercadier J., Cuney M., Lach P., Boiron M.-C., Bonhoure J., Richard A., Leisen M. and Kister P. (2011)**
- Origin of uranium deposits revealed by their rare earth element signature. **Terra Nova, 23,** 264-269,
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2011.01008.x.

On the extraction of Rare Earth Elements from geothermal brines. **Resources, 6(3),** 39,

https://doi.org/10.3390/resources6030039.

-
- **Steinmann M., Stille P., Mengel K. and Kiefel B. (2001)**
- Trace element and isotopic evidence for REE migration and fractionation in salts next to a basalt
- dyke. **Applied Geochemistry, 16,** 351-361, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(00)00039-1.
-
- **Tanaka T. and Musada A. (1982)**
- The La–Ce geochronometer: a new dating method. **Nature, 300,** 515-518,
- https://doi.org/10.1038/300515a0.
-
- **Thompson R.L., Bank T., Montross S., Roth E., Howard B., Verba C. and Granite E. (2018)**
- 831 Analysis of rare earth elements in coal fly ash using laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass
- spectrometry and scanning electron microscopy. **Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic**
- **Spectroscopy, 143,** 1-11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2018.02.009.
-
- **Truche L., Bazarkina E.F., Berger G., Caumon M.-C., Bessaque G. and Dubessy J. (2016)**
- 836 Direct measurement of CO₂ solubility and pH in NaCl hydrothermal solutions by combining in-situ
- potentiometry and Raman spectroscopy up to 280°C and 150 bar. **Geochimica et Cosmochimica**
- **Acta, 177,** 238-253, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.12.033.
-
- **Ulrich M., Bureau S., Chauvel C. and Picard C. (2012)**
- Accurate measurement of rare earth elements by ICP-MS after ion-exchange separation: application
- to ultra-depleted samples. **Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 36,** 7-20,
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2011.00116.x.
-
- **Vasyukova O.V. and Williams-Jones A.E. (2018)**

873 **Tables**

874

875 **Table 1**. Summary of the experiments with the REE oxides conducted in this study.

876

877 **Table 2.** Instrumental operating parameters for ICP-MS.

878

879 **Table 3.** The detection (DL) and quantification (QL) limits for the ICP-MS analyses, in pg g-1.

881 **Table 4.** Instrumental operating parameters for LA-ICP-MS.

882

883 **Table 5.** Results of the cation-exchange resin column calibration, chemical yield (%).

- 891
892
- 892 Blank MQ REE-free Milli-Q solution treated like a sample;
893 Blank salt REE-free 1 mol l-1 NaCl solution treated like a s

893 Blank salt – REE-free 1 mol l-1 NaCl solution treated like a sample;
894 Standard 1, reference mass fractions: REEs (lanthanides and Sc) 2

894 Standard 1, reference mass fractions: REEs (lanthanides and Sc) 25.1 ng g-1, Y 50.9 ng g-1;
895 Standard 2, reference mass fractions: REEs (lanthanides and Sc) 51.0 ng g-1, Y 103.3 ng g-1

895 Standard 2, reference mass fractions: REEs (lanthanides and Sc) 51.0 ng g-1, Y 103.3 ng g-1.
896 N/A – not analyzed; *Sc mass fractions were tentatively checked in blanks and standard solutic

896 N/A – not analyzed; **Sc mass fractions were tentatively checked in blanks and standard solutions (yield 75-77%).*

898 **Table 7.** Mean values of detection and quantification limits (DL and QL) acquired on standard solutions

899 during one LA-ICP-MS measurement session, in ng g-1.

	89V	139 _{La}	140 Ce	$141p_r$	143 Nd	146 Nd	147 Sm	149 Sm	151 Fu	153 F_{11}	157 Gd
$DL.3\sigma$	N/A	28	32	24	N/A	140	250	N/A	N/A	37	180
QL. 10σ	N/A	93	110	78	N/A	470	820	N/A	N/A	120	590
		¹⁵⁹ Th	¹⁶¹ ⊓∖	163ת/	¹⁶⁵ Ho	166 Fr	167 Fr	169 Tm	171Yb	172 Yh	¹⁷⁵ Lu
$DL, 3\sigma$		49	N/A	75	31	55	N/A	24	N/A	88	23
$QL, 10\sigma$		160	N/A	250	100	182	N/A	80	N/A	293	78

900

901 Table 8. Fluid compositions of experimental samples in the H₂O-NaHCO₃-REEs system. LA-ICP-MS

902 data. Uncertainty (measurement repeatability) is expressed in terms of 3RSD.

Sample	Na,	v	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Dy	Er	Yb
number	mol/kg soln							ng g-1				
$3-0$	0.63	6845	$\overline{}$	3.6	43	421	1827	4 4 4 7	17 695	2460	325	1523
$3 - 1$	0.62	15 5 25	$\overline{}$	5.7	29	147	958	2982	10 080	9 500	960	4 160
$3-2$	0.65	53 945	$\overline{}$	12	132	228	706	3412	6315	37 360	13865	55 650
$3 - 3$	0.65	59 250	$\overline{}$	16	466	505	1747	3 3 0 0	7400	43 345	32 965	97 750
$3 - 4$	0.63	55 510	$\overline{}$	12	240	344	1472	3 3 2 3	7665	40 905	33 605	101 750
$3-5$	0.65	19 135	$\overline{}$	8.4	419	142	490	980	2 2 4 5	8850	26 300	155 000
$3-6$	0.67	14 380	$\overline{}$	bdl	287	90	361	852	2059	8620	21 6 20	104 067
$3 - 7$	0.67	14 270	$\overline{}$	bdl	186	66	296	814	1896	9 1 8 0	17635	86 100
$3-8$	0.60						N/A--					
$3-9$	0.53	6 2 4 0	$\overline{}$	bdl	40	$\overline{}$	174	371	915	3790	6640	33 000
$3 - 10$	0.52	5800	\blacksquare	bdl	68	56	194	389	947	3740	6400	27 5 20
$3 - 11$	0.48	7 507	$\overline{}$	3.5	77	88	256	557	1 3 1 6	5043	8570	38 830
$3 - 15$	0.71	7640	\blacksquare	28	539	557	1433	1883	3505	7575	7 3 9 5	23 650
3RSD,	±15	±25	$\overline{}$	±20	±20	±20	±25	±20	±25	±25	±25	±25
$\%$												

903

904 "bdl" – below detection limit; N/A – not analyzed. The samples N 3-12, 3-13, and 3-14 were not analyzed
905 because of the low concentration of sodium that was used as an internal standard for LA-ICP-MS. The
906 result because of the low concentration of sodium that was used as an internal standard for LA-ICP-MS. The 906 results of measurements with an unexploitable signal during laser ablation are not reported (-).

3RSD, %

909 Table 9. Fluid composition of experimental samples in the H₂O-NaHCO₃-REEs system. ICP-MS after

Sample	Na,	Y	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Dy	Er	Yb
number	mol/kg soln							ng g-1				
$3-0$	0.63	4 7 6 0	16	13	31	345	391	3472	11 530	1902	257	1 2 9 7
$3 - 1$	0.62	11 199	32	48	28	150	890	2758	7073	8 0 5 9	841	3620
$3-2$	0.65	41722	31	22	136	216	669	3 2 4 1	4630	32 623	12856	49461
$3 - 3$	0.65	43725	121	26	448	479	560	3038	5479	36 748	29 437	82 370
$3 - 4$	0.63	41 003	36	20	212	298	1 203	2 7 9 4	5416	33 000	28 155	80 257
$3-5$	0.65	15 180	65	11	397	136	434	895	1653	8012	22 9 54	131 423
$3-6$	0.67	12 174	57	12	270	99	342	816	1479	7423	18 559	86 114
$3 - 7$	0.67	11 972	38	10	182	69	279	750	1 350	7660	14 707	68 387
$3-8$	0.60	11 665	36	10	200	80	414	863	1650	7576	12 558	58 247
$3-9$	0.53	6 1 6 8	16	9.5	42	41	164	337	671	3091	5697	26 684
$3 - 10$	0.52	5913	26	11	78	58	192	395	745	3 2 2 5	5 5 6 0	22 027
$3 - 11$	0.48	6803	27	13	80	93	240	518	901	3800	6 5 27	28 166
$3 - 12$	0.0051	946	22	19	22	22	43	96	188	643	508	997
$3 - 13$	0.0004	1415	51	12	85	66	185	384	778	1668	791	1 174
$3 - 14$	0.0058	149	13	15	2.7	11	12	24	41	73	37	58
$3 - 15$	0.71	5 1 0 2	86	32	383	393	869	1 2 9 2	2 1 5 8	5 3 9 4	5440	16 476
3RSD,	±15	±20	±10	±10	±10	±10	±10	±10	±15	±15	±15	±15

910 ion-exchange resin Na-isolation. Uncertainty (measurement repeatability) is expressed in terms of 3RSD.

911 **Table 10.** Fluid composition in the H₂O-Na₂CO₃-REEs system. LA-ICP-MS data. Uncertainty

Sample	Na.	v	La	Cе	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Dy	Er	Yb
name	mol/kg soln		ng g-1									
$2 - 0$	1.1	119 733	7 7 1 5	387	2 603	2 2 1 5	4 0 6 0	325	136 400	73 300	13 970	6020
$2 - 1$	1.2	183 133	4425	312	1813	2 9 0 7	17 237	625	207 333	81 400	16 260	5430
$2 - 2$	1.2	199 133	1808	267	1 0 6 4	2 3 2 8	15 307	587	181 533	76 000	15 573	5447
$2 - 3$	1.2	230 150	1 384	211	861	1980	13 045	1 371	160 650	78 700	16915	5850
$2 - 4$	1.2	126 200	311	14	208	430	2085	211	36 900	69 000	90 900	86 300
$2 - 5$	1.2	36 570	481	11	567	1705	4519	100	16 165	21800	36 825	39 470
$2-6$	1.2	19 700		bdl	360	$\overline{}$	7 300		10 710	10 450	21 400	22 400
$2 - 7$	1.2	33 4 95	770	12	783	1437	9 0 5 0	157	19 250	20 260	35 4 35	44 425
$2 - 8$	1.1	29 390	723	11	943	1 5 2 0	6 2 4 0	157	16 695	17925	32 765	47 335
$2 - 9$	1.2	24 770	450	7.4	1012	143 $\mathbf 1$	3 3 5 8	101	10 060	13715	30 015	52 100
$2 - 10$	1.2	24 4 30	$\overline{}$	bdl	608	-			6740	10870	31 400	56 200
$2 - 11$	1.2	11800	-	bdl	214	175	384	30	1 3 8 3	4 0 5 0	13 945	26 700
$2 - 12$	0.7	4865	\blacksquare	bdl	125	128	446	24	1515	1949	3620	4 4 3 5
$2 - 13$	1.3	14 910	$\overline{}$	bdl	32	86	390	81	1625	5 3 0 5	12 115	12445
3RSD, %	±15	±25	±45	±20	±20	±20	±25	±20	±25	±25	±25	±25

912 (measurement repeatability) is expressed in terms of 3RSD.

913 The results of measurements with an unstable signal during the laser ablation are not reported (-).

914 Table 11. Fluid composition in the H₂O-Na₂CO₃-REEs system. ICP-MS after ion-exchange resin Na-

916

917 **Table 12.** Quantitative estimation of matrix effects observed on solutions during LA-ICP-MS.

Matrix effect, %	Matrix salt					La Ce Pr Nd Sm Eu Gd Dy Er Yb
Underestimated vs prepared NaCl 3.0 mol -1 pH = 5.5						4 13 17 3 2 26 6 36 41 30
	Overestimated vs pn-ICP-MS NaNO ₃ 0.06-0.11 mol I-1 pH = 1.0 47 22 18 30 26 16 41 30 19 22					

919 **Figures**

920
921

922 the cation-exchange process in a column during elution with acid; c) elution of sodium,

923 magnesium, potassium and calcium-bearing matrix with HCl 2.0 mol l-1 solution; d) subsequent

924 removal of the REEs during elution with HCl 6.0 mol l-1.

926 **Fig. 2.** ICP-MS profiles of the REEs during the ablation of standard solutions in glass capillaries.

927

Fig. 3. REEs analyses of standard solutions obtained using LA-ICP-MS (for other REEs see Electronic Supplementary Material). Analytical uncertainties (2SD) are expressed in the symbols' sizes. Solid lines and corresponding equations represent the linear fits of the analytical results obtained for the prepared by weight standard solutions, whereas analyses of the NIST SRM glasses (610 and 612) used as calibrators are plotted for information.

Fig. 4. Comparison of the mass fractions of the REEs in experimental solutions obtained using LA-ICP-MS and ICP-MS after sodium isolation (regression lines for elements are calculated taking into account the complete set of obtained analytical results, including the few outliers for Ce).