



HAL
open science

Aspirin use is associated with increased risk for incident heart failure: a patient-level pooled analysis

Blerim Mujaj, Zhen-yu Zhang, Wen-Yi Yang, Lutgarde Thijs, Fang-fei Wei, Peter Verhamme, Christian Delles, Javed Butler, Peter Sever, Roberto Latini, et al.

► To cite this version:

Blerim Mujaj, Zhen-yu Zhang, Wen-Yi Yang, Lutgarde Thijs, Fang-fei Wei, et al.. Aspirin use is associated with increased risk for incident heart failure: a patient-level pooled analysis. ESC Heart Failure, 2021, 10.1002/ehf2.13688 . hal-03467860

HAL Id: hal-03467860

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03467860>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2021














HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Aspirin use is associated with increased risk for incident heart failure: a patient-level pooled analysis

Blerim Mujaj^{1,2} , Zhen-Yu Zhang¹ , Wen-Yi Yang¹ , Lutgarde Thijs¹ , Fang-Fei Wei¹ , Peter Verhamme³ , Christian Delles⁴ , Javed Butler⁵ , Peter Sever⁶ , Roberto Latini⁷ , John GF Cleland⁸ , Faiez Zannad⁹ , Jan A. Staessen^{10,11*}  and Heart Omics in Ageing Investigators

¹Studies Coordinating Centre, Research Unit Hypertension and Cardiovascular Epidemiology, KU Leuven Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ²Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Centre, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany; ³Centre for Molecular and Vascular Biology, KU Leuven Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ⁴Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK; ⁵Department of Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Centre, Jackson, MS, USA; ⁶International Centre for Circulatory Health and National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK; ⁷Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, IRCCS – Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche “Mario Negri”, Milan, Italy; ⁸Cardiology Department, Castle Hill Hospital, University of Hull and Imperial College London, London, UK; ⁹INSERM, Centre d’Investigations Cliniques Plurithématique 1433, INSERM U1116, CHRU de Nancy, F-CRIN INI-CRCT, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France; ¹⁰Research Institute Alliance for the Promotion of Preventive Medicine (APPREMED), Mechelen, Belgium; and ¹¹Biomedical Science Group, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Abstract

Aims Recent trials evaluating the effect of aspirin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease showed little or no benefit. However, the role of aspirin on the risk of incident heart failure (HF) remains elusive. This study aimed to evaluate the role of aspirin use on HF incidence in primary and secondary prevention and whether aspirin use increases the risk of incident HF in patients at risk.

Methods and results Data from 30 827 patients at risk for HF enrolled in six observational studies were analysed [women 33.9%, mean age (\pm standard deviation) 66.8 \pm 9.2 years]. Cardiovascular risk factors and aspirin use were recorded at baseline, and patients were followed up for the first incident of fatal or non-fatal HF. The association of incident HF with aspirin use was assessed using multivariable-adjusted proportional hazard regression, which accounted for study and cardiovascular risk factors. Over 5.3 years (median; 5th–95th percentile interval, 2.1–11.7 years), 1330 patients experienced HF. The fully adjusted hazard ratio (HR) associated with aspirin use was 1.26 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.12–1.41; $P \leq 0.001$]. Further, in a propensity-score-matched analysis, the HR was 1.26 (95% CI 1.10–1.44; $P \leq 0.001$). In 22 690 patients (73.6%) without history of cardiovascular disease, the HR was 1.27 (95% CI 1.10–1.46; $P = 0.001$).

Conclusions In patients, at risk, aspirin use was associated with incident HF, independent of other risk factors. In the absence of conclusive trial evidence, our observations suggest that aspirins should be prescribed with caution in patients at risk of HF or having HF.

Keywords Aspirin use; Heart failure; Primary prevention; Secondary prevention; Cardiovascular diseases

Received: 9 January 2021; Revised: 5 October 2021; Accepted: 14 October 2021

*Correspondence to: Jan A. Staessen, MD, PhD, Research Institute Alliance for the Promotion of Preventive Medicine, Leopoldstraat 59, BE-2800 Mechelen, Belgium. Tel: +32-16-34-7104 (office), +32-47-632-4928 (mobile); Facsimile: +32-16-34-7106 (office). Email: jan.staessen@appremed.org; jan.staessen@med.kuleuven.be
Address reprint requests to Dr. Staessen.

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome with abnormal cardiac function and structure.^{1,2} Due to comorbidities and diminished cardiac output, HF is qualified as a pro-thrombotic condition, which might indicate the initiation of antithrombotic treatment.³ On the other hand, antithrombotic treatment, particularly aspirin, is prescribed in the prevention of

cardiovascular events [cardiovascular disease (CVD)],⁴ which may consequently lead to HF. Recent trials showed a little benefit of aspirin use in primary prevention,^{5–7} and the effect of aspirin in the secondary prevention of CVD events⁸ has been well established. However, the use of aspirin in HF is still controversial. Earlier prospective randomized trials WATCH⁹ and WARCEF³ reported no beneficial effect of aspirin in HF patients compared with other antithrombotic therapy. The

WASH trial,¹⁰ which did not include an antithrombotic arm, found no evidence that aspirin is effective or safe in patients with HF. In contrast, a retrospective HF patients cohort study reported that low-dose aspirin of 75 mg/day was associated with reduced mortality risk.¹¹ Also, a more recent study¹² with Danish residents, included 12 277 patients with new-onset HF, was unable to detect an association between low-dose aspirin use and the composite outcome of all-cause mortality, admission for myocardial infarction, and admission for stroke in HF patients. Interestingly, this study reported that aspirin use was associated with an increased risk of re-admissions for HF. Again, in context to recent trials,^{5–7} the effect of aspirin on the HF remained understudied and generated uncertainty.^{8,13} To address these knowledge gaps, we investigated the association between aspirin use and incident HF in a large sample of participants free of HF enrolled in the HOMAGE study.

Methods

Heart ‘Omics’ in AGEing (HOMAGE) database is constructed and stored at the Studies Coordinating Centre in Leuven, Belgium. The HOMAGE partners contributed with anonymized data and confirmed that their studies complied with the Helsinki Declaration,¹⁴ and all participants provided written informed consent. The HOMAGE database has been described in detail elsewhere,^{2,15} and the data were locked on 14 March 2017.

Study population

The HOMAGE database consisted of subject-level data of 46 437 participants from 21 studies. Studies were eligible for inclusion in this analysis if the information on aspirin use and the incidence of fatal and/or non-fatal HF was available, with at least 20 HF events. We excluded 3 HF studies ($n = 1073$) and 12 studies ($n = 11 160$) without information on HF incidence. We included the participants that were enrolled in six studies: The Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT),¹⁶ The Flemish Study on Environment, Genes and Health Outcome (FLEMENGHO),¹⁷ The Health Aging and Body Composition study (HEALTH ABC),¹⁸ The HULL LIFELAB patient study,¹⁵ *Valutazione Della PREvalenza di Disfunzione Cardiaca asintomatica e di scompenso cardiaco* (PREDICTOR),¹⁹ and The Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER).²⁰ Of 34 204 participants with a history of HF ($n = 2437$), no information on aspirin use at baseline ($n = 88$), history of valvular heart disease ($n = 8$) or history of coronary artery bypass grafting ($n = 51$), anticoagulant use at baseline ($n = 333$), below the age of 40 years ($n = 187$), and lost to follow-up ($n = 273$) were

excluded. In total, 30 827 participants were included in the analyses (Supporting Information, *Figure S1*).

Derivation set

The ASCOT, a prospective, randomized, open, blinded end-point trial with high-risk hypertensive patients, recruited in Scandinavian countries, the UK, and Ireland (1998–2000), was used as the derivation set.¹⁶

Validation set

The validation set consisted of the FLEMENGHO,¹⁷ HEALTH ABC,¹⁸ HULL LIFE LAB,¹⁵ PREDICTOR,¹⁹ and PROSPER²⁰ studies.

The FLEMENGHO is a population study of a random sample of households living in Northern Belgium, recruited between 1985 and 1999. From 2005 until 2010, participants were invited for a follow-up examination at the field centre, including echocardiography.¹⁷ The HEALTH ABC is a cohort of women and men (aged ≥ 70 years), randomly recruited among Medicare beneficiaries residing in Pittsburg, Pennsylvania, and Memphis, Tennessee, USA,¹⁸ between April 1997 and June 1998. The HULL LIFE LAB patient cohort consists of consecutive patients referred to a community HF clinic at the Hull Royal Infirmary Hospital, UK, for investigation of suspected HF between 2001 and 2014.¹⁵ The PREDICTOR is a population study in older participants (65–84 years) randomly selected from the regional population registry of four cities in the Lazio region in central Italy between 2007 and 2010.¹⁹ The PROSPER is a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of women and men older than 70 years, with a history of vascular disease or at high risk for developing vascular disease. From 1997 until 1999, patients were enrolled in Scotland, Ireland, and the Netherlands.²⁰

Ascertainment of heart failure

At baseline, all participants were free of HF. The diagnosis of first incident HF required hospitalization. Hospital records were examined, and HF was defined based on a combination of signs and symptoms, including chest radiography with fluid congestion or echocardiogram.¹ Further confirmation was made by reviewing medical records. More detailed information on each study is provided in the supporting information. The primary outcome of the study was a composite of fatal and non-fatal HF. The study population was followed up until death, HF incident, or end of the study.

Assessment of aspirin use

The information for the use of aspirin [acetylsalicylic acid (ATC code B01AC06)] at baseline was recorded from all participants. At the time of the enrolment, participants were either aspirin users or non-users and had no other antithrombotic treatment. Among the aspirin users, we registered the main therapeutic indications, such as a history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, atrial fibrillation, or a history of cerebrovascular events.

Other information

Other baseline information included smoking status, alcohol use, anthropometric characteristics, co-medication use, routine haematological and biochemical measurements including total cholesterol, high-density cholesterol (HDL), and creatinine. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared. Diabetes mellitus was a fasting glucose concentration of ≥ 7.0 mmol/L or non-fasting blood glucose concentration of ≥ 11.1 mmol/L or the use of anti-diabetic medication. Hypertension was an office blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg or the use of antihypertensive treatment. The previous history of CVDs was verified based on medical records.

Statistical analysis

SAS software Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary; NC, USA) was used for database management and statistical analysis. Continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation and categorical variables as proportions. For comparison of means and proportions, we applied the large-sample z-test and χ^2 test, respectively. Missing values for the covariates such as BMI ($n = 14$), systolic ($n = 9$) and diastolic ($n = 10$) blood pressure, heart rate ($n = 136$), total cholesterol ($n = 236$), HDL-cholesterol ($n = 277$), and creatinine ($n = 6392$) were interpolated from the regression slope on age after stratification for study and sex. To compute 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of rates, we applied the formula as $R \pm 1.96 \times (R \pm 1.96 \times 1.96\sqrt{R/T})$, where R and T are the rates and the number of individuals used to compute the rate. A two-sided P -value of < 0.05 was used as statistical significance.

We assessed the aspirin use in multivariable-adjusted Cox proportional hazards regression. The proportional hazards assumption was checked by the Kolmogorov-type supremum test and by testing the interaction between follow-up duration and aspirin treatment. We implemented four levels of adjustment. The first model adjusted for sex and age. In the second model, we additionally adjusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol

drinking, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, total cholesterol/HDL ratio, and creatinine. In the third model, we added treatment with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone-system (RAAS) inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, beta-blockers, and lipid-lowering treatment. And finally, in the fourth model, we additionally adjusted for history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and history of CVD. In all models, we accounted for the clustering of participants within studies by including cohorts as a random effect.

The consistencies of the results were assessed in a four-step approach. First, we used the propensity-score matching method²¹ to match aspirin users with non-users. Using logistic regression, we calculated the propensity of aspirin use using all covariables as listed in Supporting Information, *Table S3*. Second, we performed sensitivity analyses by excluding all participants with a known history of CVD. Third, to minimize the influence of the reverse causation, we excluded the participants who developed HF within the first 2 years of the follow-up. Finally, we performed stratified analyses to check the consistency of the results according to sex, study-specific medians for age and body weight,²² and categories of systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Furthermore, using stratified analyses, we also investigated drug interactions.

Results

Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the participants in the derivation and validation sets, and in the HOMAGE set, are summarized in *Table 1*. The total study population ($n = 30\,827$) included 10 451 (33.9%) women, 6640 (21.5%) diabetics, 8144 (26.4%) current smokers, and 20 561 (66.7%) participants reporting alcohol consumption. Age averaged 66.8 (standard deviation 9.2) years. Of the 26 453 (85.5%) hypertensive patients, 21 633 (81.7%) were taking antihypertensive drugs. The number of participants reporting a history of myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, stroke, or atrial fibrillation was 874 (2.8%), 8137 (26.4%), 2987 (9.6%), and 343 (1.1%), respectively. At baseline, a total of 7698 (24.9%) participants were treated with aspirin.

Incidence of heart failure in aspirin users and non-users

Median follow-up was 5.3 years (5th to 95th percentile interval, 2.1 to 11.7 years). In the HOMAGE set during 164 913 person-years of follow-up, 1330 participants experienced fatal or non-fatal HF with an incident rate of 14.5 (95% CI 13.4–15.7) per 1000 person-years in the aspirin group versus 5.9 (95% CI 5.5–6.4) per 1000 person-years in the non-aspirin

Table 1 Baseline characteristics

Characteristic	All	Derivation set	Validation set	<i>P</i>
Number patients	30 827	19 257	11 570	
Number with characteristics, (%)				
Woman	10 451 (33.9)	4515 (23.4)	5936 (51.3)	<0.001
Smoking	8144 (26.4)	5893 (30.6)	2251 (19.4)	<0.001
Alcohol intake	20 561 (66.7)	14 294 (74.2)	6267 (54.1)	<0.001
Hypertension	26 453 (85.8)	19 257 (100)	7196 (62.2)	<0.001
Diabetes mellitus	6640 (21.5)	5145 (26.7)	1495 (12.9)	<0.001
History of myocardial infarction	874 (2.8)	0 (0)	874 (7.5)	<0.001
History of coronary artery disease	8137 (26.4)	5284 (27.4)	2853 (24.6)	<0.001
History of atrial fibrillation	343 (1.1)	230 (1.2)	113 (1.0)	0.08
History of cerebrovascular incident	2987 (9.6)	2113 (10.9)	874 (7.5)	<0.001
Antihypertensive treatment	21 633 (81.7)	15 591 (80.9)	6042 (52.2)	<0.001
Use of RAAS	8750 (28.3)	6129 (31.8)	2621 (22.6)	<0.001
Use of calcium channel blockers	7918 (25.7)	5515 (28.6)	2403 (20.7)	<0.001
Use of diuretics	9220 (29.9)	5490 (28.5)	3730 (32.2)	<0.001
Use of beta-blockers	8658 (28.1)	6158 (31.9)	2500 (21.6)	<0.001
Use of statins	9158 (29.7)	5134 (26.6)	4024 (34.7)	<0.001
Use of aspirin	7698 (24.9)	3688 (19.1)	4010 (34.6)	<0.001
Mean of characteristic ± SD				
Age, years	66.8 ± 9.2	62.9 ± 8.4	73.3 ± 6.3	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	157.1 ± 21.8	164.0 ± 18.0	145.7 ± 22.7	<0.001
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	89.1 ± 13.2	94.6 ± 10.3	79.8 ± 12.2	<0.001
Heart rate, beats per min	70.0 ± 12.5	71.1 ± 12.6	66.8 ± 11.8	<0.001
Body mass index, kg/m ²	28.0 ± 4.6	28.7 ± 4.7	27.0 ± 4.5	<0.001
Total cholesterol/HDL ratio	4.6 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 1.2	<0.001
Serum creatinine, µmol/L	96.9 ± 18.3	98.1 ± 14.0	95.0 ± 23.8	<0.001

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; RAAS, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker; SD, standard deviation. Average values are arithmetic mean (SD) for continuous variables or numbers (percentage) for categorical variables. *P*-values were derived by the large-sample *z*-test and the χ^2 statistic.

group. In the discovery set during 105 623 person-years of follow-up, 293 incident cases of HF occurred, with a rate of 4.3 (95% CI 3.5–5.3) per 1000 person-years in the aspirin group versus 2.4 (95% CI 2.1–2.7) per 1000 person-years in the non-aspirin group. In the validation set (*Figure 1*), during 59 289 person-years of follow-up, 1037 incident HF occurred, an incident rate of 24.4 (95% CI 22.4–26.7) per 1000 person-years in the aspirin group versus 13.8 (95% CI 12.7–15.0) per 1000 person-years in the non-aspirin group. Supporting Information, *Table S6* lists the number of events per individual study.

Association between incident heart failure and aspirin use

Table 2 shows the hazard ratios (HRs) associated with aspirin intake in the derivation and validation sets, as well as in the whole analysis data. The proportional hazard assumption was met for all models. Irrespective of the data set used and the level of adjustment, the risk of HF was consistently and positively associated with aspirin use. As expected, the HRs tended to weaken with tighter adjustment ranging from 1.43 (*P* = 0.006) to 1.32 (*P* = 0.03) in the derivation cohort, from 1.52 (*P* < 0.001) to 1.17 (*P* = 0.02) for the validation cohort, and from 1.52 (*P* < 0.001) to 1.26 (*P* < 0.001) in all study participants (*Table 2*).

Sensitivity analyses


As shown in *Table 3*, sensitivity analyses produced confirmatory results, if participants were matched based on a propensity score including all covariables (HR 1.26; *P* < 0.001), if analyses were limited to participants without a history of CVD at baseline (HRs in Model 3, 1.27; *P* = 0.001), and if participants with incident HF within 2 years of enrolment were excluded (HR in Model 4, 1.23; *P* = 0.004).

The association between the HF risk and aspirin use was consistent across strata of systolic (*Figure 2A*) and diastolic (*Figure 2B*) blood pressure at entry. Interaction analyses (*Figure 2C*) suggested that the HF risk was associated with slightly greater in patients not taking diuretics versus those on diuretics at entry (multivariable-adjusted HRs, 1.38 vs. 1.14; *P*-value for interaction, 0.02) and in patients taking statins versus those not on statin treatment (multivariable-adjusted HRs, 1.50 vs. 1.19; *P*-value for interaction, 0.04).

Stratified analyses

Stratified analyses were conducted to assess the differences between the categories of sex, age, and body weight in three sets, using a study-specific median. In the HOMAGE set, we found an association of increased risk for incident HF in men (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.17–1.59; *P*-interaction = 0.04) and an association of increased risk for incident HF among

FIGURE 1 Panels (A), (B), (C), and (D) show study, sex-standardized, and age-standardized cumulative incidence of heart failure in participants using aspirin use (red line) and non-using aspirin (blue line). The tabulated numbers represent the participants at risk with intervals in years. *P*-value is for the significance of the difference between aspirin use and non-use.



the participants aged >69 years (*P*-interaction = 0.03) (Supporting Information, *Table S2.2*).

Discussion

In this large-scale study with participants free of HF at enrolment, aspirin use was associated with a higher risk of HF. The results of the present study with aspirin use are the first to report an increased risk of HF, among participants at risk for HF.

Uncertainty on aspirin use has been reflected in current guideline recommendations^{4,23} and aspirin use for primary prevention has been controversial even when compared with aspirin use in patients with established atherosclerotic

CVD.^{22,24} Considering the evidence on the risk of HF, the current study demonstrates that cardiovascular benefits associated with aspirin use on HF events require further clarity. Further, recent published clinical trials assessed the effect of aspirin in primary prevention, ASPREE reported 88 HF hospitalizations (excluding fatal HF) with an HR 1.07 (95% CI 0.79–1.44),⁷ ASCEND reported 95 HF incidents with a rate ratio of 0.84 (95% CI 0.64–1.10),⁵ and ARRIVE did not report HF.⁶ Similar HF incidents among aspirin-treated patients were observed also in ASCOT and PROSPER trials with 86 and 113, respectively. When we focused on participants with low cardiovascular risk in primary prevention, similar to recent trials with few CVD risk factors, aspirin use was associated with increased risk for HF and the results were confirmatory. Although the differences between studies exist, as trials with primary prevention recruited the healthier participants with

Table 2 Association between heart failure and aspirin use

Aspirin use	Yes	No	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value
<i>Derivation</i>	HF events/at risk	HF events/at risk	HF events/at risk	
Model 1	86/3688	207/15 569	1.40 (1.09–1.81)	0.009
Model 2	86/3688	207/15 569	1.43 (1.11–1.84)	0.006
Model 3	86/3688	207/15 569	1.32 (1.02–1.71)	0.03
Model 4	86/3688	207/15 569	1.33 (1.03–1.72)	0.03
<i>Validation</i>				
Model 1	497/4010	540/7560	1.47 (1.31–1.68)	<0.001
Model 2	497/4010	540/7560	1.52 (1.34–1.72)	<0.001
Model 3	497/4010	540/7560	1.39 (1.22–1.57)	<0.001
Model 4	497/4010	540/7560	1.17 (1.03–1.34)	0.02
<i>HOMAGE</i>				
Model 1	583/7698	747/23 129	1.47 (1.32–1.65)	<0.001
Model 2	583/7698	747/23 129	1.52 (1.36–1.69)	<0.001
Model 3	583/7698	747/23 129	1.39 (1.24–1.55)	<0.001
Model 4	583/7698	747/23 129	1.26 (1.12–1.41)	<0.001

CI, confidence interval; HF, heart failure; HR, hazard ratio.

Estimates (HR), given with a 95% confidence interval, represent the risk of heart failure on exposure to the aspirin. Model 1—adjusted for study, sex, and age; Model 2—Model 1 + body mass index, smoking and drinking, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein ratio, and creatinine; Model 3—Model 2 + treatment with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, beta-blockers, and statins; Model 4—Model 3 + history of cardiovascular diseases.

Table 3 Association between heart failure and aspirin use in propensity-score-matched participants, participants without a history of cardiovascular diseases, participants without incident heart failure within the first 2 years of follow-up

Aspirin use	Yes	No	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value
<i>Propensity score</i>	HF events/at risk	HF events/at risk	HF events/at risk	
Model	496/7186	396/7186	1.26 (1.10–1.44)	<0.001
<i>Free of CVD</i>				
Model 1	283/5074	577/17 616	1.29 (1.12–1.49)	<0.001
Model 2	283/5074	577/17 616	1.33 (1.15–1.53)	<0.001
Model 3	283/5074	577/17 616	1.27 (1.10–1.46)	0.001
<i>2 years excluded</i>				
Model 1	371/7486	515/22 897	1.40 (1.22–1.61)	<0.001
Model 2	371/7486	515/22 897	1.44 (1.25–1.65)	<0.001
Model 3	371/7486	515/22 897	1.33 (1.16–1.53)	<0.001
Model 4	371/7486	515/22 897	1.23 (1.06–1.41)	0.004

CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HF, heart failure.


Hazard ratio (HR), given with a 95% confidence interval, represents the risk of heart failure in exposure to the aspirin. Model 1—adjusted for study, sex, and age; Model 2—Model 1 + body mass index, smoking and drinking, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein ratio, and creatinine; Model 3—Model 2 + treatment with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, beta-blockers, and statins; Model 4—Model 3 + history of cardiovascular diseases.

fewer risk factors and lower cardiovascular risk in general, yet in ASPREE, a positive trend between aspirin use and HF hospitalizations was present. Given that ASCOT and PROSPER primarily tested the effect of statins, we have observed a significant interaction between aspirin and statins in concomitant use. Therefore, the observed trend in ASPREE might be explained based on the interaction between these drugs. Alternatively, in ASCEND, 19% of aspirin users were on concomitant use of diuretics. When we assessed the role of the diuretics, we found that the use of diuretics is associated with a reduced risk of HF in aspirin users, which might influence into lower rate ratio within ASCEND.

Aspirin use remains controversial in secondary prevention.^{8,25–27} In this regard, we elaborated on the role of aspirin in secondary prevention, among patients with overt CVD, and findings showed a similar trend with primary prevention, where aspirin was associated with increased risk for HF.

Previous studies have assessed the effects of aspirin use in cardiovascular events or secondary endpoints among HF patients including HF hospitalizations as well. An observational retrospective study investigated aspirin use in 1476 HF patients and reported that low-dose aspirin of 75 mg/day was associated with a reduction of deaths in HF patients but did not reduce HF hospitalizations.¹¹ Another recent study that included 12 277 patients with new-onset of HF, using propensity-matched analysis, reported no association between low-dose aspirin and composite outcomes of all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, or stroke. This study also reported that aspirin use was associated with an increased risk of re-hospitalizations for HF.¹² Earlier, the WASH trial randomized 279 HF patients using aspirin versus warfarin or no antithrombotic treatment, and the trial reported an increased risk for HF hospitalizations in the aspirin arm.¹⁰ In Addition, the WATCH trial compared aspirin versus warfarin

FIGURE 2 Panels (A) and (B) represent the plotted hazard ratio of systolic and diastolic blood pressure categories in stratified analyses fully adjusted for all risk factors presented in *Table 1*. Panel (C) represents the risk of heart failure based on the concomitant use of antihypertensive drugs by class and statin medication for participants treated and not treated with aspirin and plotted as a hazard ratio and 95% confidence interval. *P*-value is for the significance of the difference between aspirin use and non-use. *P*-interaction represents the *P*-value for the interaction.



in terms of safety and effectiveness in patients with chronic HF, and the trial was prematurely terminated due to a non-significant difference between tested drugs, yet the trial reported significantly higher hospitalization events in aspirin arm 218 vs. 155 in warfarin arm ($P < 0.001$).⁹ Therefore, both studies suggested that an increased risk for HF hospitalization was observed among patients receiving aspirin. Also, the WARCEF trial³ included 2305 HF patients and reported no significant difference in HF hospitalization between the warfarin group and the aspirin group, but yet comparable event rates for HF hospitalizations (warfarin 6.7 vs. aspirin 5.6).³ And more recently, a COMPASS trial, compared with aspirin alone vs. rivaroxaban-plus-aspirin in patients with a history of stable atherosclerotic vascular disease and reported 192 incident HF in the aspirin-alone arm versus 197 in the rivaroxaban-plus-aspirin arm compared and 191 in the rivaroxaban-alone arm.²⁸

Accounting for the risk factors, given that hypertension is a major risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes,^{29–32} including HF,³³ we investigated the interaction of systolic and diastolic blood pressure and the association of aspirin use with the risk of HF. In participants with a systolic blood pressure of 131 mmHg or higher, aspirin use was associated with increased risk of HF; likewise, diastolic blood pressure of 71 mmHg or higher was significantly associated with increased risk of HF. There was no significant interaction between systolic or diastolic blood pressure with aspirin use. In the context of blood pressure, patients on diuretic use potentially benefited from diuretics that contribute to better control of blood pressure³⁴ while non-users were harmed due to renal function impairment, caused by aspirin.^{12,35,36} Aspirin acts in kidneys through water and salt retention mechanisms while our data show that aspirin interacts with diuretics in concomitant use. Diuretics provide a beneficial role and may attenuate risk for incident HF in effect-

modification fashion, through accelerated diuresis and increased excretion of aspirin.³⁴ Another biological plausible explanation is aspirin has been reported to induce angiogenesis and neovascularization within atherosclerotic plaque and promote the presence of intraplaque haemorrhage.³⁷ Further, plaque progression mechanisms are involved in coronary ischaemia, given that intraplaque haemorrhage is the driver of atherosclerotic plaque progression and worsening of ischaemia in coronaries, which at a later stage might translate into a higher incident of HF.³⁸ Alternatively, other mechanisms linking the aspirin effect with kidney function and iron deficiency may indirectly elucidate HF incidents.^{39,40} In addition, aspirin interacted with statins, and this interaction may contribute to improved platelet responsiveness to aspirin⁴¹ and further reduced platelet adhesion,⁴² which may contribute to extended leakage from neovascular vessels.⁴³

Strength and limitations

The strengths of the current study include the large sample size with free HF participants with long follow-ups. To our knowledge, this is the first large study to investigate the role of aspirin on the risk of HF in primary prevention as well as secondary prevention. Also, replication of the findings in discovery and replication set along with corroboration or results through sensitivity analysis should be mentioned. Moreover, taking into account the combination of individual data of a large number of participants from 6 studies in 12 countries and 2 continents simplifies the generalizability of findings on a large scale. Nevertheless, our study has also limitations. First, we had information on the use of aspirin and other drugs at enrolment but could not analyse the intake of medication as a time-dependent covariable. Second, because of

dichotomous information on aspirin use, we could not assess the dose effect. Third, we have no information on adherence to prescribed medications and non-hospitalized HF incidents. Fourth, although the ejection fraction (EF) is considered an HF diagnostic parameter and guidance for HF treatment after diagnosis, we lacked the data on EF to better characterize the incident HF according to EF subgroups of HF and lacked data to distinguish HF between ischaemic and non-ischaemic. Although we performed a sensitivity analysis to minimize the effect of reverse causality, yet we cannot exclude reverse causality with absolute certainty. Finally, the study suffers residual confounding that cannot be ruled out due to observational design; however, in the absence of a conclusive trial, our data provide important scientific evidence that may contribute to clinical practice.

Conclusions

Aspirin use is associated with an increased risk of HF in patients receiving aspirin with or without a previous history of CVDs. In the absence of conclusive trial evidence, our observations suggest that aspirin should be prescribed with caution in patients at risk of HF or having HF.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the expert clerical assistance of Vera De Leebeeck and Renilde Wolfs at the Studies Coordinating Centre in Leuven, Belgium.

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

1. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GMC, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the heart failure association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016; **37**: 2129–2200.
2. Jacobs L, Efremov L, Ferreira JP, Thijs L, Yang WY, Zhang ZY, Latini R, Masson S, Agabiti N, Sever P, Delles C, Sattar N, Butler J, Cleland JGF, Kuznetsova T, Staessen JA, Zannad F, the Heart “OMics” in AGEing (HOMAGE) investigators, Pinet F, Pizard A, Rouet P, Leenders J, Diez J, Odili A, Wei FF, Newman A, Papadimitriou L, Davoli M, Mureddu GF, Ford I, Jukema W, Stott DJ, Poulter N. Risk for incident heart failure: A subject-level meta-analysis from the heart “OMics” in AGEing (HOMAGE) study. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017; **6**.
3. Homma S, Thompson JL, Pullicino PM, Levin B, Freudenberger RS, Teerlink JR, Ammon SE, Graham S, Sacco RL, Mann DL, Mohr JP, Massie BM, Labovitz AJ, Anker SD, Lok DJ, Ponikowski P, Estol CJ, Lip GY, di Tullio MR, Sanford AR,

Funding

The European Union (HEALTH-F7-305507 HOMAGE), the European Research Council (Advanced Researcher Grant 2011-294713-EPLORE), the European Research Council (Proof-of-Concept Grant 713601-uPROPHET), and the European Research Area Net for Cardiovascular Diseases (JTC2017-046-PROACT) supported the Research Unit Hypertension and Cardiovascular Research. The Non-Profit Association Alliance for the Promotion of Preventive Medicine (APPREMED; URL, <http://www.appremed.org>), Mechelen, Belgium, received a nonbinding grant from OMRON Healthcare, Co, Ltd, Kyoto, Japan. The sponsors had no role in the preparation of this report.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1. The flow chart of the study population.

Figure S2. The cumulative incidence in HOMAGE.

Table S1. Baseline characteristics per study.

Table S1.1 Baseline characteristics per study (continuation).

Table S2. Effect of aspirin on the risk of heart failure in stratified analysis.

Table S2.1 Effect of aspirin in the risk of heart failure in stratified analysis.

Table S2.2 Effect of aspirin in the risk of heart failure in stratified analysis.

Table S3. Aspirin use in subjects with history of cardiovascular diseases.

Table S4. Comparison of characteristics propensity score sample.

Table S5. Description of studies.

Table S6. Incidence and number of events.

- Mejia V, Gabriel AP, del Valle M, Buchsbaum R, WARCEF Investigators. Warfarin and aspirin in patients with heart failure and sinus rhythm. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 1859–1869.
4. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, Cooney MT, Corrà U, Cosyns B, Deaton C, Graham I, Hall MS, Hobbs FDR, Løchen ML, Löllgen H, Marques-Vidal P, Perk J, Prescott E, Redon J, Richter DJ, Sattar N, Smulders Y, Tiberi M, van der Worp HB, van Dis I, Verschuren WMM, Binnos S, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J*. 2016; **37**: 2315–2381.
 5. Bowman L, Mafham M, Wallendszus K, Stevens W, Buck G, Barton J, Murphy K, Aung T, Haynes R, Cox J, Murawska A, Young A, Lay M, Chen F, Sammons E, Waters E, Adler A, Bodansky J, Farmer A, McPherson R, Neil A, Simpson D, Peto R, Baigent C, Collins R, Parish S, Armitage J. Effects of aspirin for primary prevention in persons with diabetes mellitus. *New England J Med*. 2018; **379**: 1529–1539.
 6. Gaziano JM, Brotons C, Coppolecchia R, Cricelli C, Darius H, Gorelick PB, Howard G, Pearson TA, Rothwell PM, Ruilope LM, Tendera M, Tognoni G, ARRIVE Executive Committee. Use of aspirin to reduce risk of initial vascular events in patients at moderate risk of cardiovascular disease (ARRIVE): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2018; **392**: 1036–1046.
 7. McNeil JJ, Wolfe R, Woods RL, Tonkin AM, Donnan GA, Nelson MR, Reid CM, Lockyer JE, Kirpach B, Storey E, Shah RC, Williamson JD, Margolis KL, Ernst ME, Abhayaratna WP, Stocks N, Fitzgerald SM, Orchard SG, Trevaaks RE, Beilin LJ, Johnston CI, Ryan J, Radziszewska B, Jelinek M, Malik M, Eaton CB, Brauer D, Cloud G, Wood EM, Mahady SE, Satterfield S, Grimm R, Murray AM, ASPREE Investigator Group. Effect of aspirin on cardiovascular events and bleeding in the healthy elderly. *N Engl J Med* 2018; **379**: 1509–1518.
 8. Baigent C, Blackwell L, Collins R, Emberson J, Godwin J, Peto R, Buring J, Hennekens C, Kearney P, Meade T, Patrono C. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2009; **373**: 1849–1860.
 9. Massie BM, Collins JF, Ammon SE, Armstrong PW, Cleland JG, Ezekowitz M, Jafri SM, Krol WF, O'Connor CM, Schulman KA, Teo K, Warren SR, WATCH Trial Investigators. Randomized trial of warfarin, aspirin, and clopidogrel in patients with chronic heart failure: The warfarin and antiplatelet therapy in chronic heart failure (WATCH) trial. *Circulation* 2009; **119**: 1616–1624.
 10. Cleland JG, Findlay I, Jafri S, Sutton G, Falk R, Bulpitt C, Prentice C, Ford I, Trainer A, Poole-Wilson PA. The Warfarin/Aspirin Study in Heart failure (WASH): a randomized trial comparing antithrombotic strategies for patients with heart failure. *Am Heart J*. 2004; **148**: 157–164.
 11. Birmingham M, Shanahan MK, O'Connell E, Dawkins I, Miwa S, O'Hanlon R, O'Connell E, Dawkins I, Miwa S, O'Hanlon R, Gilmer J, McDonald K, Ledwidge M. Aspirin use in heart failure: Is low-dose therapy associated with mortality and morbidity benefits in a large community population? *Circ Heart Fail* 2014; **7**: 243–250.
 12. Madelaire C, Gislason G, Kristensen SL, Fosbol EL, Bjerre J, D'Souza M, Fosbol EL, Bjerre J, D'Souza M, Gustafsson F, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Schou M. Low-dose aspirin in heart failure not complicated by atrial fibrillation: A Nationwide propensity-matched study. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2018; **6**: 156–167.
 13. Cleland JG. Is aspirin useful in primary prevention? *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**: 3412–3418.
 14. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013; **310**: 2191–2194.
 15. Jacobs L, Thijs L, Jin Y, Zannad F, Mebazaa A, Rouet P, Pinet F, Bauters C, Pieske B, Tomaschitz A, Mamas M, Diez J, McDonald K, Cleland JG, Brunner-la Rocca HP, Heymans S, Latini R, Masson S, Sever P, Delle C, Pocock S, Collier T, Kuznetsova T, Staessen JA. Heart 'omics' in AGEing (HOMAGE): Design, research objectives and characteristics of the common database. *J Biomed Res* 2014; **28**: 349–359.
 16. Sever PS, Dahlof B, Poulter NR, Wedel H, Beevers G, Caulfield M, Dahlöf B, Poulter NR, Wedel H, Beevers G, Caulfield M, Collins R, Kjeldsen SE, McInnes GT, Mehlsen J, Nieminen M, O'Brien E, Ostergren J. Rationale, design, methods and baseline demography of participants of the anglo-scandinavian cardiac outcomes trial. *ASCOT investigators. J Hypertens*. 2001; **19**: 1139–1147.
 17. Staessen JA, Wang JG, Brand E, Barlassina C, Birkenhager WH, Herrmann SM, Birkenhager WH, Herrmann SM, Fagard R, Tizzoni L, Bianchi G. Effects of three candidate genes on prevalence and incidence of hypertension in a caucasian population. *J Hypertens* 2001; **19**: 1349–1358.
 18. Kalogeropoulos A, Georgiopoulou V, Kritchevsky SB, Psaty BM, Smith NL, Newman AB, Rodondi N, Satterfield S, Bauer DC, Bibbins-Domingo K, Smith AL, Wilson PWF, Vasan RS, Harris TB, Butler J. Epidemiology of incident heart failure in a contemporary elderly cohort: The health, aging, and body composition study. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; **169**: 708–715.
 19. Mureddu GF, Tarantini L, Agabiti N, Faggiano P, Masson S, Latini R, Cesaroni G, Miceli M, Forastiere F, Scardovi AB, Uguccioni M, Boccanelli A. Evaluation of different strategies for identifying asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction and pre-clinical (stage B) heart failure in the elderly. Results from 'PREDICTOR', a population based-study in Central Italy. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013; **15**: 1102–1112.
 20. Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, Cobbe SM, Bollen EL, Buckley BM, Ford I, Jukema JW, Hyland M, Gaw A, Lagaay AM. The design of a prospective study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER). PROSPER Study Group. PROSpective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. *Am J Cardiol*. 1999; **84**: 1192–1197.
 21. Austin PC. Balance diagnostics for comparing the distribution of baseline covariates between treatment groups in propensity-score matched samples. *Stat Med* 2009; **28**: 3083–3107.
 22. Rothwell PM, Cook NR, Gaziano JM, Price JF, Belch JFF, Roncagliani MC, Morimoto T, Mehta Z. Effects of aspirin on risks of vascular events and cancer according to bodyweight and dose: Analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2018; **392**: 387–399.
 23. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, Himmelfarb CD, Khera A, Lloyd-Jones D, McEvoy J, Michos ED, Miedema MD, Muñoz D, Smith SC Jr, Virani SS, Williams KA Sr, Yeboah J, Ziaieian B. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation* 2019; **140**: e596–e646.
 24. Zheng SL, Roddick AJ. Association of Aspirin use for primary prevention with cardiovascular events and bleeding events: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2019; **321**: 277–287.
 25. Raber I, McCarthy CP, Vaduganathan M, Bhatt DL, Wood DA, Cleland JGF, Blumenthal RS, McEvoy JW. The rise and fall of aspirin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Lancet*. 2019; **393**: 2155–2167.
 26. Jacobsen AP, Raber I, McCarthy CP, Blumenthal RS, Bhatt DL, Cusack RW, Serruys PW, Wijns W, McEvoy JW.

- Lifelong aspirin for all in the secondary prevention of chronic coronary syndrome: still sacrosanct or is reappraisal warranted? *Circulation*. 2020; **142**: 1579–1590.
27. Jacobsen AP, Raber I, McCarthy CP, Blumenthal RS, Bhatt DL, Cusack RW, Serruys PW, Wijns W, McEvoy JW. Lifelong aspirin for all in the secondary prevention of chronic coronary syndrome. *Circulation*. 2020; **142**: 1579–1590.
 28. Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, Hart RG, Shestakovska O, Diaz R, Alings M, Lonn EM, Anand SS, Widimsky P, Hori M, Avezum A, Piegas LS, Branch KRH, Probstfield J, Bhatt DL, Zhu J, Liang Y, Maggioni AP, Lopez-Jaramillo P, O'Donnell M, Kakkar AK, Fox KAA, Parkhomenko AN, Ertl G, Störk S, Keltai M, Ryden L, Pogossova N, Dans AL, Lanan C, Commerford PJ, Torp-Pedersen C, Guzik TJ, Verhamme PB, Vinereanu D, Kim JH, Tonkin AM, Lewis BS, Felix C, Yusoff K, Steg PG, Metsarinné KP, Cook-Brunns N, Misselwitz F, Chen E, Leong D, Yusuf S. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in stable cardiovascular disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2017; **377**: 1319–1330.
 29. Yang W-Y, Melgarejo JD, Thijs L, Zhang Z-Y, Boggia J, Wei F-F, Hansen TW, Asayama K, Ohkubo T, Jeppesen J, Dolan E, Stolarz-Skrzypek K, Maljutina S, Casiglia E, Lind L, Filipovský J, Maestre GE, Li Y, Wang JG, Imai Y, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Sandoya E, Narkiewicz K, O'Brien E, Verhamme P, Staessen JA, for The International Database on Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Relation to Cardiovascular Outcomes (IDACO) Investigators. Association of Office and Ambulatory Blood Pressure with Mortality and cardiovascular outcomes. *JAMA* 2019; **322**: 409–420.
 30. Yusuf S, Lonn E, Pais P, Bosch J, López-Jaramillo P, Zhu J, Xavier D, Avezum A, Leiter LA, Piegas LS, Parkhomenko A, Keltai M, Keltai K, Sliwa K, Chazova I, Peters RJG, Held C, Yusoff K, Lewis BS, Jansky P, Khunti K, Toff WD, Reid CM, Varigos J, Accini JL, McKelvie R, Pogue J, Jung H, Liu L, Diaz R, Dans A, Dagenais G. Blood-pressure and cholesterol lowering in persons without cardiovascular disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2016; **374**: 2032–2043.
 31. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement DL, Coca A, de Simone G, Dominiczak A, Kahan T, Mahfoud F, Redon J, Ruilope L, Zanchetti A, Kerins M, Kjeldsen SE, Kreutz R, Laurent S, Lip GYH, McManus R, Narkiewicz K, Ruschitzka F, Schmieder RE, Shlyakhto E, Tsioufis C, Aboyans V, Desormais I, ESC Scientific Document Group, de Backer G, Heagerty AM, Agewall S, Bochud M, Borghi C, Boutouyrie P, Brguljan J, Bueno H, Caijani EG, Carlberg B, Chapman N, Cifková R, Cleland JGF, Collet JP, Coman IM, de Leeuw PW, Delgado V, Dendale P, Diener HC, Dorobantu M, Fagard R, Farsang C, Ferrini M, Graham IM, Grassi G, Haller H, Hobbs FDR, Jelakovic B, Jennings C, Katus HA, Kroon AA, Leclercq C, Lovic D, Lurbe E, Manolis AJ, McDonagh TA, Messerli F, Muiesan ML, Nixdorff U, Olsen MH, Parati G, Perk J, Piepoli MF, Polonia J, Ponikowski P, Richter DJ, Rimoldi SF, Roffi M, Sattar N, Seferovic PM, Simpson IA, Sousa-Uva M, Stanton AV, van de Borne P, Vardas P, Volpe M, Wassmann S, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Windecker S, Aboyans V, Agewall S, Barbato E, Bueno H, Coca A, Collet JP, Coman IM, Dean V, Delgado V, Fitzsimons D, Gaemperli O, Hindricks G, Iung B, Juni P, Katus HA, Knuti J, Lancellotti P, Leclercq C, McDonagh TA, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Richter DJ, Roffi M, Shlyakhto E, Simpson IA, Sousa-Uva M, Zamorano JL, Tsioufis C, Lurbe E, Kreutz R, Bochud M, Rosei EA, Jelakovic B, Azizi M, Januszewicz A, Kahan T, Polonia J, van de Borne P, Williams B, Borghi C, Mancia G, Parati G, Clement DL, Coca A, Manolis A, Lovic D, Benkhedda S, Zelveian P, Siostrzonek P, Najafov R, Pavlova O, de Pauw M, Dizdarevic-Hudic L, Raev D, Karpettas N, Linhart A, Olsen MH, Shaker AF, Viigimaa M, Metsärinne K, Vavlukis M, Halimi JM, Pagava Z, Schunkert H, Thomopoulos C, Páll D, Andersen K, Shechter M, Mercurio G, Bajraktari G, Romanova T, Trušinskis K, Saade GA, Sakalyte G, Noppe S, DeMarco DC, Caraus A, Wittekoek J, Aksnes TA, Jankowski P, Polonia J, Vinereanu D, Baranova EI, Foscoli M, Dilic AD, Filipova S, Fras Z, Bertomeu-Martínez V, Carlberg B, Burkard T, Sdiri W, Aydogdu S, Sirenko Y, Brady A, Weber T, Lazareva I, Backer TD, Sokolovic S, Jelakovic B, Widimsky J, Viigimaa M, Pörsti I, Denolle T, Krämer BK, Stergiou GS, Parati G, Trušinskis K, Miglino M, Gerdtts E, Tykarski A, de Carvalho Rodrigues M, Dorobantu M, Chazova I, Lovic D, Filipova S, Brguljan J, Segura J, Gottsäter A, Pechère-Bertschi A, Erdine S, Sirenko Y, Brady A. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2018; **39**: 3021–3104.
 32. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, MacLaughlin EJ, Muntner P, Ovbigele B, Smith SC Jr, Spencer CC, Stafford RS, Taler SJ, Thomas RJ, Williams KA Sr, Williamson JD, Wright JT Jr. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2018; **71**: 1269–1324.
 33. Kannan A, Janardhanan R. Hypertension as a risk factor for heart failure. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2014; **16**: 447.
 34. Duarte JD, Cooper-DeHoff RM. Mechanisms for blood pressure lowering and metabolic effects of thiazide and thiazide-like diuretics. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther* 2010; **8**: 793–802.
 35. Segal R, Lubart E, Leibovitz A, Berkovitch M, Habor B, Yaron M, Caspi D. Early and late effects of low-dose aspirin on renal function in elderly patients. *Am J Med* 2003; **115**: 462–466.
 36. Segal R, Lubart E, Leibovitz A, Iaina A, Caspi D. Renal effects of low dose aspirin in elderly patients. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2006; **8**: 679–682.
 37. Mujaj B, Bos D, Muka T, Lugt AV, Ikram MA, Vernooij MW, Stricker BH, Franco OH. Antithrombotic treatment is associated with intraplaque haemorrhage in the atherosclerotic carotid artery: a cross-sectional analysis of The Rotterdam Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2018; **39**: 3369–3376.
 38. Bos D, Arshi B, van den Bouwhuysen QJ, Ikram MK, Selwaness M, Vernooij MW, Kavousi M, van der Lugt A. Atherosclerotic carotid plaque composition and incident stroke and coronary events. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021; **77**: 1426–1435.
 39. Cleland JGF, Parsons S. Aspirin for heart failure. *Circulation: Heart Fail* 2014; **7**: 237–238.
 40. de Silva R, Rigby AS, Witte KKA, Nikitin NP, Tin L, Goode K, Bhandari S, Clark AL, Cleland JGF. Anemia, renal dysfunction, and their interaction in patients with chronic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; **98**: 391–398.
 41. Friend M, Vucenik I, Miller M. Platelet responsiveness to aspirin in patients with hyperlipidaemia. *BMJ* 2003; **326**: 82–83.
 42. Liao JK. Beyond lipid lowering: The role of statins in vascular protection. *Int J Cardiol* 2002; **86**: 5–18.
 43. De Berardis G, Sacco M, Evangelista V, Filippi A, Giorda CB, Tognoni G, de Berardis G, Sacco M, Evangelista V, Filippi A, Giorda CB, Tognoni G, Valentini U, Nicolucci A, ACCEPT-D Study Group. Aspirin and simvastatin combination for cardiovascular events prevention trial in diabetes (ACCEPT-D): Design of a randomized study of the efficacy of low-dose aspirin in the prevention of cardiovascular events in subjects with diabetes mellitus treated with statins. *Trials* 2007; **8**: 21.