

# Effect of furfurylation treatment on technological properties of short rotation teak wood

Resa Martha, Mahdi Mubarok, Irmanida Batubara, Istie Rahayu, Loedy Setiono, Wayan Darmawan, Firmin Obounou Akong, Béatrice George, Christine Gérardin, Philippe Gérardin

### ▶ To cite this version:

Resa Martha, Mahdi Mubarok, Irmanida Batubara, Istie Rahayu, Loedy Setiono, et al.. Effect of furfurylation treatment on technological properties of short rotation teak wood. Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 2021, 12, pp.1689-1699. 10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.03.092 . hal-03529675

### HAL Id: hal-03529675 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03529675

Submitted on 24 Apr 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## Effect of Furfurylation Treatment on Technological Properties of Short rotation Teak Wood

3

4 Resa Martha<sup>a,b</sup>, Mahdi Mubarok<sup>a,b</sup>, Irmanida Batubara<sup>c</sup>, Istie S. Rahayu<sup>a</sup>, Loedy

Setiono<sup>d</sup>, Wayan Darmawan<sup>a\*</sup>, Firmin Obounou Akong<sup>b</sup>, Béatrice George<sup>b</sup>, Christine
 Gérardin<sup>b</sup>, Philippe Gérardin<sup>b\*</sup>

7

<sup>a</sup>Department of Forest Products, Faculty of Forestry and Environment, IPB
 <sup>9</sup> University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia.

- <sup>10</sup> <sup>b</sup>Université de Lorraine, INRAE, LERMAB, F-54000 Nancy, France.
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences; and Tropical Biopharmaca Research Center IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia.
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>d</sup>Researcher at PERHUTANI Research and Development Centre, Cepu 58313,
   <sup>14</sup> Indonesia.
- <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors email: wayandar@indo.net.id and philippe.gerardin@univ-
- 16 lorraine.fr
- 17
- 18

### 1 Abstract

2 Short rotation teak wood has low quality especially in dimensional stability and 3 durability. Furfurylation treatment was applied to improve technological properties of 4 the short rotation teak wood. The purpose of the study was to improve dimensional stability and durability through furfurylation treatment. Short rotation teak sapwoods 5 6 (Plus Perhutani and Biotrop teaks) were treated through the impregnation process of 7 45% of furfuryl alcohol (FA) and 5% of tartaric acid as catalyst followed by heated in 8 oven at 120 °C for 16 h under nitrogen atmosphere. Dimensional stability was 9 characterized by volumetric swelling, anti-swelling efficiency (ASE), water uptake 10 (WU), and bulking effect (BE), meanwhile decay durability was determined by the weight loss (WL). The results show that ASE increased in the average of 64%, WU 11 decreased in the average of 59%, and BE increased in the average of 11%, which 12 13 indicated the improvement of dimensional stability. Weight losses of furfurylated teak woods due to fungal decay of Coriolus versicolor (CV), Pycnoporus sanguineus (PS), 14 15 and Coniophora puteana (CP) were in the average of 2%, 1%, and 1%, respectively, 16 which categorized their durability to be class 1 (very durable). The increase of lignin content showed by Klason lignin content measurement and Fourier Transform 17 Infrared (FTIR) and Carbon 13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) analysis 18 19 indicated that the reaction of FA with lignin occurred after furfurylation treatment. 20 Furfurylated sapwood presented also better thermic stability compared to untreated wood by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA). The FA treatment can be applied for 21 22 improving the guality of short rotation teaks for high guality wood product utilization. 23

Keywords: dimensional stability, decay durability, FTIR and TGA analysis, furfuryl alcohol, lignin content, short rotation teak

### 27 **1. Introduction**

26

28 The supply of long rotation teak wood (Tectona grandis Linn. F.) has been 29 declining year after year. The amount of long rotation teak wood production in 30 Indonesia in 2017, the first guarter was 134500 m<sup>3</sup> and decreased in the fourth 31 quarter to 115841 m<sup>3</sup> [1]. Short rotation teak is one of the solutions to overcome the 32 limited supply of long rotation teak wood. Short rotation teak is known by various 33 trade name, such as Biotrop teak is the short rotation teak planted by SEAMEO 34 Biotrop and Plus Perhutani Teak is developed by the Perhutani Research and 35 Development Center. Short rotation teak has several advantages such as short cutting cycle (7-15 years), lesser branches, straight and cylindrical trunk. However, 36 37 short rotation teak wood has low strength, density and durability, and high proportion of juvenile wood [2,3]. Juvenile wood has shorter fibres with thinner walls, larger 38 39 microfibril angles, lower densities, and lower strength properties compared to mature 40 wood [4,5,6]. The high proportion of juvenile short rotation teak wood would have an influence on production, wood properties, and the end-product value. This drawback 41 42 causes limited use of short rotation teak wood. Therefore, an environmentally friendly 43 wood modification treatment is needed to improve the quality of short rotation teak 44 wood.

Wood modification is means of a process that improves the properties of wood to produce a new material that disposed at the end of product life cycle without presenting environmental hazard any greater than unmodified wood [7]. Thermal modification has been the most important wood modification developed on industrial scale. In parallel to thermal modification, wood chemical modification constituted another attractive alternative to develop non biocide wood treatments. Even if a lot of

1 methods have been proposed at laboratory scale, only two of them have led to the development of industrial application, namely acetylation and furfurylation [8]. Wood 2 3 modification with furfuryl alcohol (FA) is a non-toxic alternative method to replace the 4 toxic conventional preservation treatments [9]. FA is derived from renewable resource (primarily corn cobs) and is improved by the polymerisation process. 5 6 Research on furfurylated wood has been carried out for several decades. The 7 furfurylation process has been developed since 1990s with the use of a catalyst that 8 can increase the entry of FA into the wood. Sejati et al. [10] treated beech wood by 9 furfurylation process using five different catalysts: maleic anhydride, maleic acid, 10 citric acid, itaconic acid, and tartaric acid. It was noted in the study that tartaric acid can be considered as a new promising catalyst to perform furfurylated wood and 11 good alternative to other catalysts since able to react with wood components allowing 12 13 also fixation of FA polymer.

14 Furfurylation treatment leads to an improvement of several wood properties 15 like dimension stability, modulus of elasticity (MOE), modulus of rupture (MOR), 16 hardness, decay resistance [10,11,12]. Some studies have explained characteristics of furfurylated wood. Esteves et al. [11] treated wood with 70% furfuryl alcohol 17 mixture and obtained anti-swelling efficiency (ASE) as high as 45% at a weight 18 19 percent gain (WPG) of approximately 38%. Li et al. [13] reported a slight improvement of MOE and MOR. The furfurylation treatment has a much more 20 significant effect on compressive strength than both MOE and MOR. Furfurylation 21 22 treatment can improve durability against fungal decay [11,13,14], termites [15], marine borers [16], and weathering [17]. Recent studies regarding ecotoxicology and 23 leachates from furfurylated wood have shown that furfurylated wood generally has a 24 25 low ecotoxicity [9,14].

The present study was designed to investigate the effect of furfurylation 26 27 treatment of short rotation teak to develop further industrial valorization on physical 28 properties (WPG, density, and leachability), dimension stability (volumetric swelling, 29 ASE, water uptake (WU), and bulking effect (BE)), mechanical properties (MOE and 30 MOR), color change, decay resistance, and chemical compositions (holocellulose, 31 hemicellulose,  $\alpha$ -cellulose, and lignin). Location of furfuryl alcohol in wood and in its 32 different components was evaluated for the first time by Fourier Transform Infrared 33 spectroscopy (FT-IR), Carbon 13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (<sup>13</sup>C NMR), and 34 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA).

35

### 36 **2 Materials and Methods**

### 37 **2.1 Sample Preparation**

38 The sample trees used were short rotation teak of 15 years with 25 cm 39 diameter obtained from plantation forest managed by the state-owned enterprise (Perhutani) at Blora, Central Java (7°08'25.2"S/111°36'19.5"E) and short rotation 40 41 teak of 8 years with diameter 25 cm obtained from SEAMEO BIOTROP at Bogor, (6°38'07.7"S/106°49'32.8"E). Differences in growing conditions 42 West Java 43 (environment, genetics, and silviculture) between Central Java and West Java 44 resulted in variations in the teak growth. Blora, Central Java has an average rainfall below 1496-2506 mm/year and dry conditions for 4-6 months with an average 45 temperature of 27 °C. Bogor, West Java, has a high annual rainfall (average 3500-46 4000 mm/year), and dry conditions for 2-3 months with an average temperature of 47 48 26 °C. From the felled tree, the log sections in length of 1 m were taken from each tree at the bottom part of the trees stem. The sample logs were sawn into 3 cm 49 50 thickness of boards by bandsaw. Board samples were air dried up to a moisture

1 content of 12–15% and then sawn into smaller samples for studying various wood 2 characteristics. Wood blocks for furfurylation treatment had a size of 200 x 20 x 50 3 mm<sup>3</sup> (longitudinal x tangential x radial). Furfurylation was performed only on sapwood 4 samples considering that heartwood presented sufficient natural durability and poor 5 impregnability. For this purpose, smaller wood samples were taken from preceding 6 wood blocks presenting only from sapwood.

### 8 2.2 Furfurylation Treatment

7

9 A mixture of FA with 97% in purity and tartaric acid as catalyst were used as 10 the impregnation solution. Five percent (w/w) tartaric acid (based on the FA solution) was added to 45% furfuryl alcohol in aqueous solution. All samples before treatment 11 were dried at the temperature 103  $\pm$  2 °C, the weight (m<sub>0</sub>) and volume (V<sub>0</sub>) were 12 13 recorded. The impregnation process was initiated with vacuum conditions 8–10 kPa for 5 min in an autoclave and followed by introduction of the aqueous FA solution. 14 15 Afterward, the pressure was brought to 100 kPa (ambient pressure), then the wood samples were exposed to a pressure of 1200 kPa for 10 min. The impregnated 16 samples were kept at room temperature for 48 h to evaporate the excess of water 17 avoiding the appearance of cracks after polymerization. Samples were wrapped in 18 19 aluminium foil to avoid furfuryl alcohol evaporation during curing and placed again in 20 the reactor for polymerization. The reactor was closed and placed under nitrogen. The reactor temperature was slowly increased by 0.5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> from ambient to 40 °C 21 22 and maintained at this temperature for 10 h. After this period, the reactor temperature was increased by 0.5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> from 40 to 120 °C and the temperature was 23 maintained for 16 h. Heating was then stopped and furfurylated wood samples were 24 25 allowed to cool down to room temperature under inert atmosphere. All treated woods 26 were measured for their weight  $(m_1)$  and volume  $(V_1)$ .

### 28 2.3 WPG, density, and BE

WPG was calculated using the following equation (Eq. 1):

WPG (%) =  $((m_1 - m_0) / m_0) \times 100$  (1)

where WPG is the percentage of weight gain due to the furfurylation treatment,  $m_0$  is the initial mass of wood at 103 °C before treatment,  $m_1$  is the mass of wood after treatment.

Density was calculated from the following equation (Eq. 2):

Density (gr cm<sup>-3</sup>) = 
$$m_0 / V_0$$
 or  $m_1 / V_1$  (2)

where  $m_0$  is the initial mass of wood at 103 °C before treatment,  $m_1$  is the mass of wood after treatment,  $V_0$  is the initial volume of wood at 103 °C before treatment,  $V_1$ is the volume of wood after treatment.

BE during wood treated was calculated from the following equation (Eq. 3):

40

39

27

29

30

34

35

$$BE(\%) = ((V_1 - V_0) / V_0) \times 100$$
(3)

41 where BE is the percentage of bulk value of untreated or treated wood during 42 treatment,  $V_0$  is the initial volume of wood at 103 °C before treatment,  $V_1$  is the 43 volume of wood after treatment.

### 44

### 45 **2.4 Leaching test**

The leaching test of untreated and furfurylated woods were carried out according to a procedure adapted from NF X 41-568 [18]. Sample section of  $30 \times 15$  $\times 5 \text{ mm}^3$  (L, R, T) was prepared in six replications for both untreated and furfurylated woods. The samples were submerged in test tubes of distilled water with a quantity of distilled water representing 5 times the volume of the introduced wood. The

leaching test for the samples were carried out in six leaching periods (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 1 48 h) under continuous shaking (175 linear shakes min<sup>-1</sup>) at 20 °C. Between the 2 3 periods of 4 and 8 h, used distilled water was removed and the wood samples were kept without water for 16 h in tube test. After leaching periods were completed, 4 5 samples were dried at 103 °C for 48 h and reweighed (m<sub>2</sub>).

6 Weight loss due to leaching (WL) was calculated from the following equation 7 (Eq. 4):

8

$$WL_{L}(\%) = ((m_{1} - m_{2}) / m_{1}) \times 100$$
 (4)

9 where WL<sub>L</sub> is the percentage of weight loss value of untreated or furfurylated wood 10 due to leaching, m1 is the mass of wood after treatment, m2 is the mass at 103 °C of untreated and treated wood after leaching process. 11

### 12

#### 13 2.5 Chemicals compound analysis

Before the analysis, untreated and furfurylated wood samples were ground in 14 15 Wiley mill to 40-60 mesh sawdust. The sawdust was extracted in Soxhlet apparatus 16 for 24 h with different solvent of increasing polarity: dichloromethane, acetone, toluene/ethanol (2/1, v/v), and water. Two replicates of the extract free sawdust were 17 measured for each wood in chemical composition. After determining chemical 18 19 composition, holocellulose, cellulose, and lignin samples were then analysed with 20 ATR-FTIR Perkin Elmer Spectrum 2000 instrument to indicate any reaction with the 21 FA.

#### 22 2.5.1 Holocellulose

23 The holocellulose was measured according to method developed by Rowell [19]. An 80 mL of hot distilled water was added to 2.5 g of wood sawdust, followed by 24 25 0.5 mL acetic acid, and 1 g of sodium chlorite in a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask. The mixture was heated in a water bath at 70 °C. After 1 h, 0.5 mL of acetic acid and 1 g 26 27 of sodium chlorite were added. Addition of 0.5 mL acetic acid and 1 g of sodium 28 chlorite was repeated and it took 7 hours of chloriting. The sample could be left 29 without further addition of acetic acid and sodium chlorite in the water bath for 30 overnight. At the end of 24 hours of reaction, the sample was cooled and filtered on 31 filter paper using a Buchner funnel until the yellow color and the odor of chlorine 32 dioxide disappeared. The holocellulose was washed with acetone, vacuum oven 33 dries at 105 °C for 24 hours, placed in a desiccator for an hour and weighed.

#### 34 2.5.2 $\alpha$ -cellulose

35 The  $\alpha$ -cellulose was obtained by Rowel method [19]. A 2 g of oven dried holocellulose was placed into a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask. Ten ml of 17.5% NaOH 36 37 solution was added to the 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask and placed in water bath at 20°C. 38 Further, 5 ml of 17.5% NaOH solution was added every 5 minutes during 15 minutes. The mixtures were conditioned at 20°C for 30 min. The mixture was then diluted with 39 33 ml distilled water and was kept for 1 hour at 20°C before filtering. All of the 40 41 holocellulose residue were transferred to the crucible, and washed with 100 ml of 8.3% NaOH solution, 100 ml of distilled water, 15 ml of 10% acetic acid solution and 42 43 finally 250 ml distilled water. The residue of  $\alpha$ -cellulose (white residue) was dried in 44 an oven at 103 °C for 24 h, and weighed.

#### 45 2.5.3 Hemicellulose

The hemicellulose content was calculated using this equation (Eq. 5): 46 47

Hemicellulose (%) = Holocellulose (%) -  $\alpha$ -cellulose0 (%) (5)

#### 48 2.5.4 Lignin content

49 Klason lignin content was measured in this research work [20]. A 0.3 g of 50 sawdust (extractive-free) and 3 ml of 72% v/v sulfuric acid was added to the tubes.

The tubes were placed into the water bath at 30 °C for 1 h. After 1 hour of reaction, 1 2 samples were diluted by 84 ml with distilled water. The diluted samples were placed 3 into the autoclaved for 1 h at 120°C and cooled at the room temperature. Finally, the 4 lignin was obtained by Büchner filtration. Before rinsing off the solution with water, the soluble lignin was measured. The filtrate was diluted 20 times by distilled water, 5 6 then its absorbance was measured at 205 nm by UV spectrometry. UV white was 3% 7 sulfuric acid. Once the absorbance of the filtrate was achieved, the Klason lignin 8 extraction could be continued with water rinses. The samples were finally dried 9 overnight in an oven at 103 °C and weighed.

10

### 11 **2.6 Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy**

FT-IR spectrums were recorded on an ATR-FTIR Perkin Elmer Spectrum na 2000 instrument. The untreated and furfurylated samples were in the range of 600 -4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> at a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> with an ATR cell.

15

23

### 16 2.7 Cross-Polarisation/Magic Angle Spinning (CP/MAS) <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis

Solid state CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL300
spectrometer at a frequency of 75.47 MHz. Acquisition time was 0.026 s with
number of transients of about 1200. All the spectra were run with a relaxation delay
of 5 s, CP time of 1 ms and spectral width of 20,000 Hz. Spinning rates were 5 KHz.
Interferograms were processed with Top Spin 3.6.2 using an apodization value of 21
Hz. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm).

### 24 **2.8** Volumetric swelling, ASE, and WU measurement

25 The volumetric swelling, ASE, and WU measurement was measured by the method developed by Pfriem [21]. The test samples with a dimension of  $10 \times 20 \times 20$ 26 27 mm<sup>3</sup> (L, R, T) were prepared in five replications from untreated and furfurylated 28 wood. The untreated and furfurylated wood were dried at 103 °C and their weights 29 (W<sub>d</sub>) and volumes were recorded (V<sub>d</sub>). Samples were directly immersed in distilled 30 water and placed under vacuum condition (10 kPa) for 1 hour. After 24 h releasing 31 the vacuum, the sample was released from the distillate water and their wet weight 32 (W<sub>w</sub>) and volume (V<sub>w</sub>) were measured. Four cycles (1, 2, 3, and 14 days) of dryingsoaking system were conducted and their weigh and volume (W<sub>d</sub>, V<sub>d</sub>, W<sub>w</sub>, and V<sub>w</sub>) for 33 each cycle were recorded. The volumetric swelling  $(S_v)$  and ASE were calculated. 34 35 The volumetric swelling  $(S_v)$  was determined using formula by equation (Eq. 6):

 $S_v$  (%) = (V<sub>w</sub> - V<sub>d</sub>) / V<sub>d</sub>

(6)

(7)

where  $S_v$  is the percentage of volumetric swelling of wood sample,  $V_w$  is the wet volumetric sample of the wood sample, and  $V_d$  is the dry volumetric of the oven-dried sample at 103 °C.

40

41

ASE was calculated by equation (Eq. 7):

ASE (%) =  $[(S_{vu}-S_{vt})/S_{vu}] \times 100$ 

42 where ASE is the percentage of anti-swelling efficiency of treated wood,  $S_{vu}$  is 43 volumetric swelling of untreated wood, and  $S_{vt}$  is the volumetric swelling of the 44 treated wood.

- 45
  - WU was determined using the following equation (Eq. 8): WU (%) =  $[(W_w-W_d)/W_d] \times 100$  (8)

46  $WU (\%) = [(W_w-W_d)/W_d] \times 100$  (8) 47 where WU is percentage of water uptake, W<sub>d</sub> is the weight of the air-dried sample at 48 103 °C before immersion and W<sub>w</sub> is the weight after immersion in water.

- 49
- 50 **2.9 MOE and MOR**

MOE and MOR of wood specimens were measured following the standard 1 procedure of EN 310 [22] with the sample size of 200 x 20 x 5 mm<sup>3</sup> (L, R, T). Wood 2 3 samples of both untreated and furfurylated were conditioned at the room with a temperature of at 22  $\pm$  2 °C and relative humidity of 65  $\pm$  5% RH, until constant mass. 4 MOE and MOR were determined using at one-point bending by device INSTRON 5 6 4467 universal testing machine (Buckinghamshire, UK).

#### 8 2.10 Color Changes

9 Color measurements were conducted on the CIE L\*, a\*, b\* system with X-Rite spectrophotometer. The test samples with a dimension of 70 × 50 × 20 mm<sup>3</sup> (L, R, T) 10 were prepared in four replications from untreated and furfurylated wood. The color 11 measurements were carried out on untreated and furfurylated wood samples. The L\*, 12 13 a\*, and b\* parameters for each specimen were measured at six contiguous locations 14 on the wood surface. Color changes ( $\Delta E$ ) were calculated based on CIELab in Eq. 9:

7

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{(\Delta L)^2 + (\Delta a)^2 + (\Delta b)^2} \tag{9}$$

16 where L\* is the lightness, a\* and b\* describes the chromatic coordinates on the green-red color, and blue yellow axes, respectively.  $\Delta L^*$ ,  $\Delta a^*$ ,  $\Delta b^*$  are the 17 18 differences between untreated and furfurylated wood and  $\Delta E$  is color changes. 19

#### 20 2.11 Decay resistance

21 The decay resistance after leaching test was evaluated by following a method 22 from EN 113 [23] standard. The wood samples consisted of untreated teak wood, furfurylated teak sapwood, and beech as a control wood. Dimension of samples were 23 24 30 x 10 x 5 mm<sup>3</sup> (L, R, T) with 8 replications for each treatment and their weights 25 (W<sub>0</sub>) were measured. White rot fungi od *Coriolus versicolor* (CV) and brown rot fungus of Pycnoporus sanguineus (PS) and Coniophora puteana (CP) were 26 inoculated on potato agar culture medium in petri dish and cultivated in an incubator 27 28 at 22  $\pm$  2°C temperature, 70  $\pm$  5 % of relative humidity for 14 days. After mycelium 29 permeated the culture disc, wood samples were put in each petri dish and then 30 placed in incubator for 12 weeks in a climatic chamber (at 22  $\pm$  2 °C and 70  $\pm$  5% RH). Once the fungal exposure was completed, samples were cleaned carefully from 31 the fungus. All samples were then oven dried at 103  $^{\circ}$ C for 48 h and weighed (W<sub>1</sub>). 32 33 Durability class was determined according to EN 350-1 [24] standard usually used to 34 classify wood species natural durability. The decay resistance was measured by 35 weight loss (WL) according this equation (Eq. 10): 36

#### $WL(\%) = ((W_0 - W_1) / W_0) \times 100$ (10)

where WL is percentage of weight loss, and  $W_0$  and  $W_1$  are dry weight of the 37 38 samples before and after infection, respectively.

39

#### 40 2.12 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

41 Thermal stability of untreated and furfurylated wood was carried out by TGA 42 using a TGA/DSC1-TMA/SDTA 84Xe instrument from Mettler Toledo equipped with 43 STARe v.11 fr System program. Sample powders (± 10 mg) were placed in alumina crucible. Thermogram were recorded using a heating rate of 10 °C /min from 25 to 44 45 700 °C under a nitrogen purge (20 ml.min<sup>-1</sup>).

### 46

#### 47 3. Results and discussions

#### 48 3.1 WPG, density, and leachability

49 The WPG, density, and leachability of untreated and furfurylated teak sapwoods are presented in Table 1. The average of WPG for furfurylated teak woods 50

varied between 19.48-20.94%, similar result was also reported by Hadi et al. [15]. 1 These authors noted that accacia mangium wood, which possess similar density 2 3 value (0.60 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) with teak wood, pesented WPG value around 20.1% after 4 furfurylation treatment. Density value was correlated with the WPG, in which the increase in density of 10% caused the increase in the WPG of 20%. The 5 6 polymerization of FA in the cell wall is important to impart new properties on the 7 treated wood. Polymerization FA with tartaric acid affected WPG value after 8 treatment [10]. Furfurylation treatment improves density because the cell walls are 9 filled with polymerized FA [25]. Impregnation of wood with a polymer causes 10 penetration of polymer and strengthen cell walls which occurs through the polymer filling the void space within the cell wall [7]. The result in Table 1 shows that the 11 difference in leachability between untreated and furfurylated wood was observed to 12 13 be 0.20 % for Plus Perhutani teak and 0.30% for Biotrop teak, which indicate a good fixation of poly-FA in the teak woods. Furfurylated Plus Perhutani teak presented 14 15 relatively similar resistance to leaching compared to furfurylated Biotrop teak.

### 17 **3.2 Chemical components**

The effect of furfurylation treatment on chemical composition such as 18 19 holocellulose,  $\alpha$ -cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin are presented in Table 2. The result in Table 2 shows that the same tendency occurred on  $\alpha$ -celluloses, 20 hemicellulose, and lignin contents between Plus Perhutani and Biotrop teak wood. 21 22 Holocellulose content decreased from 65.22% to 58.99% for Plus Perhutani teak and 23 from 64.28% to 56.51% for Biotrop teak.  $\alpha$ -cellulose content also decreased after furfurylation treatment from 41.91% to 32.47% for Plus Perhutani teak and from 24 43.68% to 31.33% for Biotrop teak. The decrease of holocellulose and  $\alpha$ -cellulose 25 26 contents could be due to the introduction of an additional fraction of FA in the wood. 27 The increase in lignin contents could be due to the interactions of FA polymer with 28 lignin. The cross-linking reactions between the FA polymer and lignin are possible, although it has not been proven [7]. In this study, FTIR analysis was performed to 29 30 prove the changes in native wood components due to furfurylation treatment.

### 31

16

### 32 **3.3 FT-IR analysis**

Figure 1 shows the FT-IR spectra of the untreated and furfurylated short 33 34 rotation teak sapwoods over the 600 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> wavenumber range. The FT-IR 35 absorbance at around 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the furfurylated teak wood, which assigned as 36 the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group in polysaccharides, showed a relative 37 decrease in intensity compared to untreated teak wood. Furfurylated wood had a lower hydroxyl group intensity than untreated wood. The decrease in the intensity of 38 39 the hydroxyl groups caused the wood more hydrophobic. The absorption band in the 40 spectra between untreated and furfurylated wood were not significantly different 41 especially in fingerprint area. There was no absorption band which indicates the presence of furan groups. This phenomenon indicates that the occurrence of a clear 42 chemical interaction between FA and the wood should be proved. Native wood 43 44 components from untreated and furfurylated wood were analyzed to determine potential reactions of FA with wood components after the furfurylation treatments. 45 Holocellulose and  $\alpha$ -cellulose fractions presented no significant differences in spectra 46 47 between the untreated and furfurylated samples. Another analysis was also 48 performed to determine the effect of furfurylation treatment on native klason lignin.

Figure 2 shows the FT-IR spectra of all the Klason lignin fractions for the untreated and the furfurylated short rotation teak sapwoods over the 600 to 2000 cm<sup>-</sup>

1 <sup>1</sup> wavenumber range. The absorption bands of the furfurylated woods were different after furfurylation treatment. Two small bands were observed at 860 and 792 cm<sup>-1</sup>. 2 3 These two small bands are assigned to aromatic C-H out-of-plane deformation and 4 2,5-disubstituted furan ring, respectively [12]. This result indicates that the 5 polymerization of FA was completed and chemical interaction occurred between FA 6 and lignin. Electrophilic aromatic substitutions involved in polymerization of FA were 7 also very likely involved in reactions of FA or of its derivatives with lignin. These 8 observations confirmed higher percentage of Klason lignin in furfurylated teak woods. 9

### 10 3.4 <sup>13</sup>C (CP-MAS) NMR analysis

The CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the untreated and furfurylated short rotation 11 teak sapwoods is presented in Figures 3. Both untreated wood samples showed 12 13 classical signals identifiable to main wood polymer components. Cellulose's carbons appeared between 60 and 105 ppm. The signals comprised between 72 and 90 ppm 14 were assigned to C2, C3, C4, C5 and C6 carbons, while the signal at 105 ppm was 15 16 assigned to hemiacetalic C1 carbon. Two signals at 89 and 84 ppm were endorsed to 17 the C4 carbon of crystalline and amorphous cellulose, respectively. Similar behaviour was observed for the signals at 65 and 62 ppm characteristic of the C6 18 19 carbon of crystalline and amorphous cellulose. Signals of hemicelluloses were less 20 obvious due to their overlapping with signals of cellulose. The shoulder at 102 ppm 21 on the C1 signal of cellulose was assigned to hemiacetal carbon of hemicelluloses, 22 while carbons of acetyl groups were detected at 20 and 170 ppm. Methoxyl groups of syringyl and guaiacyl units were detected at 56 ppm, while aromatic carbons 23 24 appeared between 110 and 155 ppm: aromatic carbons linked to hydrogen appeared 25 around 110 ppm, those linked to carbon around 135 ppm and those linked to oxygen 26 around 150 ppm. Aliphatic carbons of phenylpropane units of lignin were masked by 27 signals of cellulose and hemicelluloses. No difference was detected between 28 untreated Plus Perhutani and Biotrop woods. Furfurylated samples presented in both 29 case, signals characteristic of FA polymer present in the wood structure. The signal at 28 ppm allocated to methylene bridges between aromatic structures including 30 31 furan rings as well as benzenic rings. The increase of the signal at 155 ppm was characteristic the two alpha carbons of furan ring close to the oxygen atom indicating 32 33 clearly presence of FA polymer. The two beta carbons of furan ring appeared at 110 34 and 120 ppm as a shoulder the C1 signal of cellulose. To confirm observations made 35 by FT-IR analysis on the regioselectivity of the reaction of FA with the different wood cell wall polymers, lignin fractions of untreated and furfurylated Plus Perhutani and 36 Biotrop woods were also analyzed by CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Figure 4). Spectra of 37 furfurylated samples clearly showed characteristic signal of FA polymer in addition to 38 39 classical lignin signals. The signal at 28 ppm was assigned to methylene bridges due 40 to reaction of FA with itself or lignin. Increase of signals at 110 and 155 ppm were characteristic carbons of furan ring. The CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra confirmed the 41 presence of FA polymer either mixed with lignin polymer or copolymerized with lignin. 42

43

### 44 **3.5** Volumetric swelling, ASE, WU, and BE

The volumetric swelling, ASE, WU, and BE of the untreated and furfurylated teak sapwoods are shown in Table 1. The volumetric swelling of furfurylated wood was smaller than that of untreated wood. The decreasing in volumetric swelling value was followed by the increasing in ASE value after furfurylation treatment. ASE of furfurylated Plus Perhutani and Biotrop teak wood reached 64.17% and 60.01%, respectively. Sejati et al. [10] reported similar ASE (approximately 66%) on

1 furfurylated beech wood containing 50% of FA and 5% of tartaric acid. Furfurylation treatment resulted in a decrease in WU values around 59% after furfurylation 2 3 treatment. The previous result also reported the water uptake decrease after furfurylation treatment (around 50 %), which is due to the polymers filling the void 4 spaces and blocking the water absorption [12]. It was reported in another study that 5 6 higher ASE generates lower WU [26,27]. In addition, WU and ASE are improved due 7 to the decrease in crystallinity. This may be attributed to the penetration of poly-FA into the amorphous region of the wood cell wall [26]. Furfurylation treatment can 8 9 stabilize wood dimensions due to the reduction of void volume in wood [28]. The 10 bulking agent will come in into the empty space in the cell wall to replace water, then the wood dimension becomes more stable [27,29]. BE values increased in the 11 average of 11% after furfurylation treatment. The increase of the BE values 12 suggested that adding the FA polymer by the impregnation process can improve 13 dimensional stability. This result was also confirmed by FTIR analysis, in which 14 15 furfurylated wood was difficult to absorb water due to decreasing in hydroxyl groups 16 lead to the increase in dimensional stability.

### 18 **3.6 MOE and MOR**

19 MOE and MOR of the untreated and furfurylated short rotation teak sapwood 20 are presented in Figure 5. The mean MOE values for untreated Plus Perhutani and untreated Biotrop were 13703.13 kg cm<sup>-2</sup> and 13941.98 kg cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The 21 22 MOE value of furfurylated Plus Perhutani was almost similar as the untreated, meanwhile MOE of furfurylated Biotrop decreased by 14%. This result was in line 23 with a report by Pfriem et al. [21], who found reduction in MOE in furfurylated beech 24 wood catalyzed by maleic anhydride. Another study also reported that furfurylation 25 treatment did not significantly change the MOE values [11,13,30]. The MOR value 26 decreased with furfurylation treatment by 20% from 128.12 kg cm<sup>-2</sup> to 102.58 kg cm<sup>-2</sup> 27 28 for Plus Perhutani teak wood and by 37% from 153.90 kg cm<sup>-2</sup> to 97.06 kg cm<sup>-2</sup> for 29 Biotrop teak wood. This result could be due to the decrease in the cellulose content.

30

17

### 31 **3.7 Color changes**

Table 3 presents the variation of  $\Delta L^*$ ,  $\Delta a^*$ ,  $\Delta b^*$ , and  $\Delta E^*$  of untreated and 32 furfurylated short rotation teak sapwood. The color of untreated wood tended to 33 34 change after furfurylation treatment. The change of L\* value indicated the change in brightness in which the color of the furfurylated teak wood was darker. The 35 furfurylated woods presented smaller L\* value for both short rotation teak woods 36 37 when compared to the untreated wood. The decrease in L\* value of furfurvlated pine wood was also reported by Acosta et al. [31]. Furfurylated short rotation teak woods 38 resulted in the increase in a\* value, while the b\* value was not changed after 39 furfurylation treatment. Dong et al. [25] reported that the a\* value of furfurylated wood 40 increases compared with untreated and b\* value varies among species. Generally, a\* 41 42 value has corelation to the phenolic compound on the wood [32]. Colors between 43 untreated and furfurylated wood were prominently different. Mean value of total color 44 difference ( $\Delta E$ ) changed dramatically after furfurylation treatment. The darker color is generated by the conjugated structure which causes the natural color of wood is 45 46 covered by the color of poly-FA [33].

47

### 48 **3.8 Decay resistance**

The weight losses of untreated and furfurylated short rotation teak sapwood against the white rot fungi and brown rot fungi are presented in Figure 6. Durability of

the furfurylated wood against fungus increased after furfurylation treatment. Weight 1 losses of Plus Perhutani teak wood due to PS, CV, and CP decreased after 2 3 furfurylation treatment from 15.53% to 1.02%; from 29.63% to 2.92%; and from 4 1.87% to 1.06%, respectively. Weight losses of Biotrop teak wood due to PS, CV, and CP decreased after furfurylation treatment from 12.67% to 1.06%; from 19.76% 5 6 to 1.23%; and from 1.63% to 1.16%, respectively. Beech wood controls presented 7 the highest weight losses confirming the fungus tested in this work destroyed hardly 8 the wood. Other studies also reported a decrease in weight loss of pine wood due to 9 CP [11,34] and CV [13]. According to EN 350-1, furfurylated short rotation teak wood 10 can be categorized in durability class 1 (very durable). Furfurylation treatment results in physical blocking of the entrance of decay and the reduction of equilibrium 11 moisture content due to the penetration of resin into the wood cell wall and cross-12 13 linkage between FA and wood cell wall components [35]. It contributes to inhibiting fungus growth in furfurylated wood to get the moisture required for decay [36]. 14 15

### 16 **3.9 TGA analysis**

Thermal stability of untreated and furfurylated short rotation teak wood were 17 investigated by the thermogravimetric analysis. Figure 7 shows the TGA and 18 19 differential thermogravimetric (DTG) curves of the untreated and furfurylated short 20 rotation teak sapwood. The details of major decomposition temperatures and the corresponding percentage of weight losses are summarized in Table 4. The first 21 22 degradation region from 50 to 100 °C is related to the evaporation of moisture and adsorbed water from the wood. The weight losses of the furfurylated wood were 23 lower than untreated wood at this temperature range (Figure 7). The second 24 25 degradation occurs from 220 to 300 °C the weight loss decreases abruptly, while from 300 °C to above the weight loss decreases gradually. The final degradation 26 27 occurs around 450 °C and corresponds to degradation of α-cellulose and lignin, 28 meanwhile the carbonisation of lignin and furfuryl alcohol polymer were extended up 29 to 650 °C [37,38]. DTG curve is attributed to lignin decomposition at a slower rate 30 than hemicellulose and cellulose [39]. Compared with the untreated, the furfurylated 31 wood showed a higher Tonset and Tmax (Table 4). For furfurylated woods, the Tonset of degradation (temperature at 5% weight loss) were 221 °C for Plus Perhutani teak 32 wood and 220 °C for Biotrop teak wood, which are higher than untreated wood. 33 34 Meanwhile, T<sub>max</sub> degradation temperatures were found at 356 °C in furfurylated Plus 35 Perhutani and at 359 °C in furfurylated Biotrop. The weight losses for all the samples were about 70-80% at the end of the measurement (700 °C). Tonset and Tmax 36 37 increased after furfurvlation treatment. Furfurvlated samples presented higher thermal stability due to higher stability of remaining carbonized lignin and furfuryl 38 alcohol polymer. This result confirms with Acosta et al. [40] who reported that 39 furfurylated juvenile pine wood with higher lignin is more thermally stable than 40 furfurylated mature pine wood. 41

42

### 43 **4. Conclusion**

Furfurylation allows to improve properties of short rotation teak sapwood. Dimensional stability of the furfurylated wood is increased, though MOE and MOR are decreased. Furfurylation treatment causes the darker color on the short rotation teak sapwood. Durability against decaying fungi of the furfurylated short rotation teak wood is classified as very durable. The furfurylation treatment causes changes in the  $\alpha$ -cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin contents of the short rotation teak sapwood, the latter one increasing, while the two first ones decrease. FT-IR and NMR analysis indicate the presence of chemical bonds between FA or FA-polymer and lignin. TGA and DTG analyses indicated that the furfurylated teak wood presents better thermal stability, compared to the untreated teak wood. The furfurylation treatment can be eco-friendly and valuable method for improving the quality of short rotation teaks for high quality wood product utilization.

- 6
- 7

### 8 Funding

9 This work was supported by Directorate for Research and Community Service of the 10 Ministry of RISTEK DIKTI: [Grant Number 2686/IT3.L1/PN/2020].

11

### 12 Acknowledgements

LERMAB is supported by a grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the "Investissements d'Avenir" program (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01.

- 15 Lab of Excellence ARBRE). The authors want also to thank Lorraine University
- 16 d'Excellence (LUE) initiative for the Master grant allowing the stay of the first author
- 17 at Université de Lorraine in 2020.
- 18

### 19 References

- [1] Badan Pusat Statistik. Statistik produksi kehutanan. Jakarta (ID): Badan PusatStatistik; 2017.
- [2] Darmawan W, Nandika D, Sari RK, Sitompul A, Rahayu I, Gardner D. Juvenile
   and mature wood characteristics of short and long rotation teak in Java. IAWA
   Journal. 2015;36(4);429-443. https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-20150112.
- 25 [3] Rizanti DE, Darmawan W, George B, Merlin A, Dumarcay S, Chapuis H, et al.
- 26 Comparison of teak wood properties according to forest management: short versus
- long rotation. Annals of Forest Science. 2018;75(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595 018-0716-8.
- [4] Evans J, Senft JF, Green DW. Juvenile wood effect in red alder: analysis of
   physical and mechanical data to delineate juvenile and mature wood zones. Forest
   Product Journal. 2000;50;75–87.
- 32 [5] Koubaa A, Isabel N, Shu Yin Z, Beaulieu J, Bousquet J. Transition from juvenile to
- mature wood in black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill. B.S.P.). Wood Fiber Science.
  2005;37(3);445–455.
- [6] Clark A, Daniels RF, Jordan L. Juvenile mature wood transition in loblolly pine as
   defined by annual radial increment specific gravity, proportion of latewood, and
   microfibril angle. Wood Fiber Science. 2006;38(2);292–299.
- [7] Hill CAS. Wood modification: chemical, thermal and other processes. West
   Sussex: John Wiley and sons Ltd; 2006.
- 40 [8] Gérardin, P. New alternatives for wood preservation based on thermal and 41 chemical modification of wood— a review. Annals of Forest Science. 42 2015;73(3);559–570. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-015-0531-4.
- 43 [9] Pilgård, A, Treu A, Van Zeeland ANT, Gosselink RJA, Westin M. Toxic hazard
  44 and chemical analysis of leachates from furfurylated wood. Environmental Toxicology
  45 and Chemistry. 2010;29(9);1918-1924. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.132.
- 46 [10] Sejati PS, Imbert A, Gérardin-Charbonnier C, Dumarçay S, Fredon E, Masson E,
- 47 et al. Tartaric acid catalyzed furfurylation of beech wood. Wood Science and
- 48 Technology. 2016;51(2);379–394. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-016-0871-8.

- 1 [11] Esteves BM, Nunes L, Pereira H. Properties of furfurilated wood (Pinus 2 pinaster). European Journal of Wood and Wood Product. 2011;69(4);521-525. 3 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-0100480-4.
- 4 [12] Dong Y, Yan Y, Zhang S, Li J, Wang J. Flammability and physical-mechanical
- 5 properties assessment of wood treated with furfuryl alcoholand nano-SiO2. European
- Journal of Wood and Wood Product. 2015;73(4);457-464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s—
   107-015- 0896-y.
- 8 [13] Li W, Wang H, Ren D, Yu YS, Yu Y. Wood modification with furfuryl alcohol 9 catalysed by a new composite acidic catalyst. Wood Science and Technology. 10 2015;49(4);845–856. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-015-0721-0.
- [14] Lande S, Westin M, Schneider M. Development of modified wood products
   based on furan chemistry. Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals. 2008;484;367–
- 13 378. https://doi.org/10.1080/15421400801901456.
- 14 [15] Hadi YS, Herliyana EN, Mulyosari D, Abdillah IB, Pari S, Hiziroglu S. Termite
- 15 resistance of furfuryl alcohol and imidacloprid treated short rotation tropical wood
- 16 species as function of field test. Applied Science. 2020;10(17);6101. 17 https://doi.org/10.3390/app10176101.
- [16] Westin M, Rapp A, Nilsson T. Field test of resistance of modified wood to marine
   borers. Wood Material Science and Engineering. 2006;1;34–38.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/17480270600686978
- [17] Mantanis G, Lykidis C. Evaluation of Weathering of Furfurylated Wood Decks
   after a 3-year Outdoor Exposure in Greece. Drvna Industrija. 2015;66(2);115–122.
   https://doi.org/10.5552/drind.2015.1425.
- [18] NF X 41-568. Wood preservatives—laboratory method for obtaining samples for
   analysis to measure losses by leaching into water or synthetic sea water. AFNOR;
   2010.
- [19] Rowell RM. Handbook of wood chemistry and wood composites. United States:CRC Press LLC, 2005.
- [20] Nguila Inari G, Mounguengui S, Dumarcay S, Petrissans M, Gerardin P.
   Evidence of char formation during wood heat treatment by mild pyrolysis. Polymer
   Degradation and Stability. 2007;92(6);99-1002.
- 32 [21] Pfriem A, Dietrich T, Buchelt B. Furfuryl alcohol impregnation for improved 33 plasticization and fxation during the densifcation of wood. Holzforschung. 34 2012;66(2);215–218. https://doi.org/10.1515/HF.2011.134.
- 35 [22] European Standard. EN 310, Wood-based panels—determination of modulus of 36 elasticity in bending and of bending strength; 1993.
- 37 [23] European Standard. EN 113, Wood preservatives—test method for determining
- 38 the protective effectiveness against wood destroying basidiomycetes—determination 39 of the toxic values; 1996.
- 40 [24] European Standard. EN 350-1, Durability of wood and wood-based products —
   41 Natural durability of solid wood, 1994.
- [25] Dong Y, Qin Y, Wang K, Yan Y, Zhang S, Li J, Zhang S. Assessment of the
   performance offurfurylated wood andacetylated wood: comparison among four short
   rotation wood species. BioResources. 2016;11(2);3679-3690.
- 45 [26] Dong Y, Yan Y, Zhang S, Li J. Wood/polymer Nanocomposites prepared by
- 46 impregnation with furfuril alcohol andnano-SiO2. Bioresources. 2014;9(4);6028-6040.
- 47 [27] Dirna FC, Rahayu I, Zaini LH, Darmawan W, Prihatini E. Improvement of short
- 48 rotation wood species characteristics by MEG and nano SiO2 impregnation. Journal
- 49 of the Korean Wood Science and Technology. 2020;48(1);41-49. 50 https://doi.org/10.5658/WOOD.2020.48.1.41.

- 1 [28] Hill CAS. Wood modification: An update. Bioresources. 2011;6(2);918-919.
- [29] Baysal E, Ozaki SK, Yalinkilic MK. Dimensional stabilization of wood treated with
   furfuryl alcohol catalysed by borates. Wood Science and Technology.
   2004;38(6);405–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-004-0248-2.
- [30] Epmeier H, Westin M, Rapp A. Differently modified wood: comparison of some
  selected properties. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research. 2004;19;31–37.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580410017825.
- 8 [31] Acosta AP, Schulz HR, Barbosa KT, Zanol GS, Gallio E, de Avila Delucis R, et
- al. Dimensional stability and colour responses of pinus Elliottii wood subjected to
   furfurylation treatments. Maderas: Ciencia y Tecnologia. 2020;22(3);303-310.
- 11 [32] Mori CLSO, Lima JT, Akira Mori F, Trugilho PF, Goncalez JC. Caracterização da 12 cor da madeira de clones de híbridos de Eucalyptus spp. Cerne. 2005;11(2);137-
- 13 **146**.
- 14 [33] Choura M, Belgacem NM, Gandini A. Acid-catalyzed polycondensation of furfuryl
- 15 alcohol: Mechanisms of chromophore formation and cross-linking. Macromolecules.
- 16 1996;29(11);3839-3850. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma951522f.
- 17 [34] DeVetter L, Pilgard A, Treu A, Westin M, van Acker J. Combined evaluation of 18 durability and ecotoxicity: A case study on furfurylated wood. Wood Material Science
- 19 and Engineering. 2009;4;30-36. https://doi.org/10.1080/17480270903337667.
- [35] Pilgard A, Alfredsen G. Forest and Landscape Denmark University of
   Copenhagen, organizer. Proceedings of the 5th meeting of the Nordic-Baltic Network
   in Wood Material Science and Engineering (WSE); 2009; Copenhagen, Denmark.
- [36] Ringman R, Pilgård A, Richter K. In vitrooxidative and enzymatic degradation of
   modified wood. International Wood Products Journal. 2014;6(1);36–39.
   https://doi.org/10.1179/2042645314y.000000080.
- [37] Manimaran P, Saravanan SP, Sanjay MR, Siengchin S, Jawaid M, Khan A.
  Characterization of new cellulosic fiber: Dracaena reflexa as a reinforcement for
  polymer composite structures. Journal of Materials Research and Technology.
  2019;8(2);1952-1963.
- 30 [38] Alshammari BA, Alotaibi MD, Alothman OY, Sanjay MR, Kian LK, Almutairi Z, et
- 31 al. A New Study on Characterization and Properties of Natural Fibers Obtained from
- Olive Tree (Olea europaea L.) Residues. Journal of Polymers and the Environment.
   2019;27(11);2334-2340.
- 34 [39] Sarvaramini A, Larachi F. Pyrolysis kinetics of pre-torrefied woody biomass
- based on torrefaction severity—experiments and model verification. Industrial and
- 36 Engineering Chemistry Research. 2017;56(45);12972–12983.
- 37 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b01123.
- [40] Acosta AP, Beltrame R, Missio AL, de Avila Delucis R, Gatto DA. Juvenile and
  mature woods from pine subjected to in situ polymerization with furfuryl alcohol.
  Wood Material Science and Engineering. 2020;1–6.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/17480272.2020.1810118.
- 42 43
- 44

**Figure 1**. FT-IR spectra of untreated Plus Perhutani teak wood (a); and furfurylated Plus Perhutani teak wood (b); untreated Biotrop teak wood (c); and furfurylated Biotrop teak wood (d)



**Figure 2.** FT-IR spectra of native klason lignin of untreated Plus Perhutani teak wood (a); and furfurylated Plus Perhutani teak wood (b); untreated Biotrop teak wood (c); and furfurylated Biotrop teak wood (d)



**Figure 3.** CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of untreated Plus Perhutani teak wood (a); untreated Biotrop teak wood (b); furfurylated Plus Perhutani teak wood (c) and furfurylated Biotrop teak wood (d)



**Figure 4.** CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of untreated Plus Perhutani teak lignin (a); untreated Biotrop teak lignin (b); furfurylated Plus Perhutani teak lignin (c) and furfurylated Biotrop teak lignin (d)





Figure 5. MOE and MOR of untreated and furfurylated of short rotation teak sapwoods

Figure 6. Weight losses of short rotation teak sapwoods to decay fungus



Note : PS = *Pycnoporus sanguineus* ; CV = *Coriolus versicolor* ; CP = *Coniophora puteana* 

**Figure 7.** TGA and DTG curve of untreated Plus Perhutani teak wood (a); furfurylated Plus Perhutani teak wood (b); untreated Biotrop teak wood (c); and furfurylated Biotrop teak wood (d)



Temperature (°C)



Table 1. WPG,	density,	leachability,	and	dimensional	stability o	f untreated	and f	urfurylated	short
rotation teak sa	pwoods								

Parameters	Untreated Plus Perhutani	Untreated Biotrop	Furfurylated Plus Perhutani	Furfurylated Biotrop
WPG (%)	0	0	20.94±2.76	19.48±1.60
Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	0.52±0.01	0.58±0.01	0.61±0.01	0.64±0.02
WL <sub>L</sub> (%)	1.96±0.08	1.44±0.20	2.17±0.34	1.75±0.12
Volumetric swelling (%)	11.74±0.59	8.86±0.68	5.64±0.50	5.12±0.62
ASE (%)	0	0	64.17±3.16	60.01±4.82
Water uptake (%)	94.67±1.14	92.71±2.96	37.14±4.28	31.23±4.33
BE (%)	0	0	12.48±0.73	10.56±1.61

Chemical composition	Untreated Plus Perhutani	Untretaed Biotrop	Furfurylated Plus Perhutani	Furfurylated Biotrop
Holocellulose (%)	65.22±3.48	64.28±0.01	58.99±1.65	56.51±0.27
$\alpha$ -cellulose (%)	41.91±3.29	43.68±0.01	32.47±2.21	31.33±0.05
Hemicellulose (%)	23.31±0.20	20.60±0.04	26.52±0.56	25.18±0.32
Lignin (%)	36.37±0.45	31.60±1.15	37.31±0.06	38.84±0.67

 Table 2. Chemical composition of untreated and furfurylated of short rotation teak woods

	Untreated Plus	Furfurylated	Untreated	Furfurylated
	Perhutani	Plus Perhutani	Biotrop	Biotrop
L*	76.17±2.74	41.69±2.24	74.30±1.48	43.14±1.78
a*	5.28±0.63	10.42 ±0.58	5.37±0.79	10.39 ±0.67
b*	20.06±1.80	20.25±1.08	23.26±1.17	21.03±1.08
ΔE	-	34.95±3.94	-	31.70±2.41

 Table 3. Color parameters for the untreated and furfurylated teak sapwoods

 Table 4. TGA results of untreated and furfurylated teak sapwoods

Species	Decomposition	temperature	Weigt loss	Decidue (9/ )h
Species	T onset (°C)	T <sub>max</sub> (°C)	(%) <sup>a</sup>	
Untreated Plus Perhutani	209.94	342.33	52.83	14.59
Furfurylated Plus Perhutani	221.32	356.50	53.08	16.90
Untreated Biotrop	215.40	328.17	57.52	21.17
Furfurylated Biotrop	220.99	359.33	54.31	20.20

<sup>a</sup> at the wood decomposition temperature <sup>b</sup> at 700 °C