

## Impact of mitral regurgitation in patients with worsening heart failure: insights from BIOSTAT-CHF

Matteo Pagnesi, Marianna Adamo, Iziah E Sama, Stefan D Anker, John G Cleland, Kenneth Dickstein, Gerasimos S Filippatos, Chim C Lang, Leong L Ng, Piotr Ponikowski, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Matteo Pagnesi, Marianna Adamo, Iziah E Sama, Stefan D Anker, John G Cleland, et al.. Impact of mitral regurgitation in patients with worsening heart failure: insights from BIOSTAT-CHF. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2021, 23 (10), pp.1750-1758. 10.1002/ejhf.2276 . hal-03714114

## HAL Id: hal-03714114 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-03714114v1

Submitted on 5 Jul2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



European Journal of Heart Failure (2021) **23**, 1750–1758 doi:10.1002/ejhf.2276

# Impact of mitral regurgitation in patients with worsening heart failure: insights from BIOSTAT-CHF

Matteo Pagnesi<sup>1†</sup><sup>®</sup>, Marianna Adamo<sup>1†</sup><sup>®</sup>, Iziah E. Sama<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>, Stefan D. Anker<sup>3</sup><sup>®</sup>, John G. Cleland<sup>4,5</sup><sup>®</sup>, Kenneth Dickstein<sup>6,7</sup><sup>®</sup>, Gerasimos S. Filippatos<sup>8</sup><sup>®</sup>, Chim C. Lang<sup>9</sup><sup>®</sup>, Leong L. Ng<sup>10,11</sup><sup>®</sup>, Piotr Ponikowski<sup>12</sup><sup>®</sup>, Alice Ravera<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup>, Nilesh J. Samani<sup>10,11</sup><sup>®</sup>, Faiez Zannad<sup>13</sup><sup>®</sup>, Dirk J. van Veldhuisen<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>, Adriaan A. Voors<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>, and Marco Metra<sup>1</sup>\*<sup>®</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Cardiology, ASST Spedali Civili, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Division of Cardiology and Metabolism, Department of Cardiology (CVK) and Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK) Partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; <sup>4</sup>National Heart and Lung Institute, Royal Brompton and Harefield Hospitals, Imperial College, London, UK; <sup>5</sup>Robertson Centre for Biostatistics and Clinical Trials, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK; <sup>6</sup>University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; <sup>7</sup>Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway; <sup>8</sup>Department of Cardiology, Attikon University Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece; <sup>9</sup>School of Medicine Centre for Cardiovascular and Lung Biology, Division of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital & Medical School, Dundee, UK; <sup>10</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK; <sup>11</sup>NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK; <sup>12</sup>Department of Heart Diseases, Wroclaw Medical University, Wrocław, Poland; and <sup>13</sup>Universite de Lorraine, Inserm, Centre d'Investigations Cliniques 1433 and F-CRIN INI-CRCT, Nancy, France

Received 2 May 2021; revised 15 June 2021; accepted 17 June 2021; online publish-ahead-of-print 1 August 2021

Aims	Few data regarding the prevalence and prognostic impact of mitral regurgitation (MR) in patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute heart failure (HF) are available. We investigated the role of MR in the BIOlogy Study to TAilored Treatment in Chronic Heart Failure (BIOSTAT-CHF).
Methods and results	We performed a retrospective <i>post-hoc</i> analysis including patients from both the index and validation BIOSTAT-CHF cohorts with data regarding MR status. The primary endpoint was a composite of all-cause death or HF hospitalization. Among 4023 patients included, 1653 patients (41.1%) had moderate–severe MR. Compared to others, patients with moderate–severe MR were more likely to have atrial fibrillation and chronic kidney disease and had larger left ventricular (LV) dimensions, lower LV ejection fraction (LVEF), worse quality of life, and higher plasma concentrations of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). A primary outcome event occurred in 697 patients with, compared to 836 patients without, moderate–severe MR [Kaplan–Meier 2-year estimate: 42.2% vs. 35.3%; hazard ratio (HR) 1.28; 95% confidence interval (Cl) $1.16-1.41$ ; log-rank $P < 0.0001$ ]. The association between MR and the primary endpoint remained significant after adjusting for baseline variables and the previously validated BIOSTAT-CHF risk score (adjusted HR 1.11; 95% Cl $1.00-1.23$ ; $P = 0.041$ ). Subgroup analyses showed a numerically larger impact of MR on the primary endpoint in patients with lower LVEF, larger LV end-diastolic diameter, and higher plasma NT-proBNP.
Conclusions	Moderate-severe MR is common in patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF and is strongly associated with outcome, independently of other features related to HF severity.
Keywords	Mitral regurgitation • Heart failure • Valvular heart disease • Mortality • Hospitalization

\*Corresponding author. Institute of Cardiology, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences, and Public Health, University of Brescia, Piazzale Spedali Civili 1, 25123 Brescia, Italy. Tel: +39 335 6460581, Email: metramarco@libero.it

 $^{\dagger} \text{The}$  first two authors contributed equally to the study.

© 2021 The Authors. *European Journal of Heart Failure* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society of Cardiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

## Introduction

Heart failure (HF) remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide.<sup>1,2</sup> In particular, the prognosis of patients with worsening HF leading to hospitalizations or emergency visits is poor, with high rates of rehospitalization and mortality.<sup>3–6</sup>

Mitral regurgitation (MR) is the most common valvular heart disease in HF patients, affecting almost one-third of patients with chronic HF and about half of those with acute HE.<sup>7–9</sup> Accordingly, it has emerged as a therapeutic target in HF patients.<sup>10,11</sup> However, randomized trials with percutaneous treatment of functional MR yielded different results and the subsets of patients who may benefit more from this treatment remain uncertain.<sup>12–16</sup> Previous studies demonstrated the prognostic impact of MR in patients with HE.<sup>17–28</sup> However, only few studies included patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF and/or with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF).<sup>19,20,28–30</sup> Thus, further assessment of the impact of MR on outcomes of HF patients seems warranted.

The aim of this study was to assess the prognostic impact of MR in a selected population with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF enrolled in the large, prospective, multicentre BIOlogy Study to TAilored Treatment in Chronic Heart Failure (BIOSTAT-CHF), focussed on guideline-directed medical therapy optimization.<sup>31</sup>

## **Methods**

#### Study design and study population

We retrospectively analysed data from BIOSTAT-CHF, a multicentre European study enrolling patients with new-onset or worsening chronic HF between 2010 and 2014.<sup>31–33</sup> It included an index cohort of 2516 patients enrolled from 69 centres in 11 European countries and a validation cohort of 1738 patients from six centres in Scotland. Patients from the index cohort had symptoms of new-onset or worsening chronic HF, confirmed either by LVEF  $\leq$ 40% or B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) >400 pg/mL and/or N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP) >2000 pg/mL and were treated with oral or intravenous furosemide  $\geq$ 40 mg/day or equivalent at inclusion. Patients from the validation cohort had an HF diagnosis based on left ventricular (LV) dysfunction or a previous admission for HF requiring diuretic treatment and had to be treated with furosemide  $\geq$ 20 mg/day or equivalent. The study was approved by the ethics committees of all participating centres and all patients provided written informed consent.

For the purposes of the present study, the index and validation cohorts were merged (n = 4254 patients). Patients without echocardiography performed at inclusion (n = 180) and patients with available echocardiography but without information on MR (n = 51) were excluded. Therefore, a total of 4023 patients were included in the final analysis.

#### **Definitions and study endpoints**

Patients underwent two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography at inclusion using a commercially available echocardiography (3.5 MHz probe). MR was identified and evaluated using two-dimensional and colour Doppler echocardiography.<sup>34</sup> According to the study protocol, only the presence of moderate or severe MR at baseline echocardiography (as compared to no or mild MR) was recorded. Both patients

with primary and secondary MR were enrolled, but detailed data on MR mechanism or aetiology were not collected. Quantification of LV diameters, LVEF according to the modified Simpson rule, and left atrium diameter was also performed. LV remodelling was evaluated according to the relative wall thickness and LV mass index as previously reported.<sup>35</sup> Baseline clinical characteristics, quality of life (QoL) measures and laboratory data at inclusion, and clinical outcomes at follow-up were also analysed.

The primary endpoint was the composite of all-cause mortality or HF hospitalization. Secondary outcomes of interest were all-cause mortality and cardiovascular (CV) mortality as individual endpoints.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median (interquartile range, IQR), as appropriate, and were compared with the unpaired Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test, respectively. Categorical variables are presented as number and percentages and were compared with the  $\chi^2$  test. Baseline characteristics, echocardiography data, QoL measures, laboratory data, primary and secondary endpoints were compared between patients with vs. without moderate-severe MR. The first occurrence of the primary and secondary endpoint was evaluated in patients with or without moderate-severe MR using the Kaplan-Meier method (log-rank test). For all evaluated endpoints, follow-up was censored at 2 years. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was also performed to assess the prognostic impact of moderate-severe MR on primary and secondary endpoints. Such impact was evaluated by means of univariable analysis and multiple multivariable models adjusting the presence of MR for the following covariates of interest: age and sex (demographic model); primary ischaemic HF aetiology, peripheral oedema, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, and previous HF hospitalization in last year (clinical model); and the already validated BIOSTAT-CHF risk prediction models.<sup>32</sup> Results of the Cox regression analyses are reported as unadjusted or adjusted hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Subgroup analysis was also performed to evaluate the impact of moderate-severe MR on the primary endpoint in subgroups of interest by means of multivariable Cox regression adjusted for age and sex.

All reported P-values are 2-sided, and a P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 13.0 (STATA Corp., College Station, TX, USA) and R version 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

### Results

#### **Baseline patient characteristics**

Among the 4023 patients included in the present study, 1653 patients (41.1%) had moderate-severe MR and 2370 patients (58.9%) had no moderate-severe MR at baseline. Baseline characteristics of the study population are reported in *Table 1*. Compared to patients with no or mild MR, patients with moderate-severe MR were less likely to be men and to have a history of ischemic heart disease, myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, prior valve surgery, peripheral artery disease, diabetes mellitus, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. They were more likely to have history of atrial fibrillation, HF hospitalization in

#### Table 1 Baseline clinical characteristics

	Overall $(n = 4023)$	Moderate or	No or mild	P-value
	,	severe MR ( $n = 1653$ )	MR ( $n = 2370$ )	
Age (years)	70.8 + 11.7	70.6 + 11.8	71.0 + 11.6	0.279
Male sex	2843 (70.7)	1139 (68.9)	1704 (71.9)	0.040
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.3 + 5.9	27.4 + 5.5	28.9 + 6.1	< 0.001
HF hospitalization in last year	1184 (29.6)	528 (32.1)	656 (27.9)	0.004
Primary ischaemic HF aetiology	2125 (61.2)	822 (56.1)	1303 (64.8)	< 0.001
Smoking	<b>_</b> · <b>_</b> · <b>_</b> · <b>(</b> · · · <b>_</b> )			0.849
Past	1705 (42.5)	698 (42.4)	1007 (42.6)	
Current	561 (14.0)	225 (13.7)	336 (14.2)	
Medical history		()		
Hypertension	2438 (60.7)	974 (59.1)	1464 (61.8)	0.081
Diabetes mellitus	1301 (32.4)	501 (30.3)	800 (33.4)	0.019
Atrial fibrillation	1810 (45.1)	799 (48.5)	1011 (42.8)	< 0.001
Myocardial infarction	1711 (42.6)	651 (39.4)	1060 (44.8)	0.001
PCI	809 (20 2)	298 (18 1)	511 (21 7)	0.005
CABG	704 (17 5)	278 (16.8)	426 (18.0)	0 339
Prior valvular surgery	272 (6.8)	92 (5.6)	180 (7.6)	0.012
Peripheral artery disease	609 (15 3)	218 (13 3)	391 (167)	0.003
	716 (17.9)	270 (16.4)	446 (18.9)	0.044
Stroke	520 (13.0)	195 (11.8)	325 (13.8)	0.073
Current malignancy	163 (4 1)	60 (3.6)	103 (4 4)	0.257
	1390 (34.8)	610 (37.0)	780 (33.2)	0.012
Device therapy	1370 (31.0)	010 (07.0)	700 (33.2)	0.011
Pacemaker	280 (7.0)	112 (6.8)	168 (7 1)	0.001
	248 (6 2)	121 (7 3)	100 (7.1)	
CRT_P	66 (1.6)	33 (2 0)	33 (1 4)	
CRT-D	202 (5.0)	104 (6 3)	98 (4 1)	
NYHA functional class	202 (3.0)	101 (0.5)	<b>yo</b> (1.1)	0 014
	70 (1 8)	25 (1 5)	45 (1 9)	0.014
	1511 (38.2)	578 (35 5)	933 (40 1)	
	1880 (47 5)	805 (49.4)	1075 (46.2)	
	498 (12.6)	222(13.4)	276 (11.9)	
Clinical profile	470 (12.0)	222 (13.6)	270 (11.7)	
Peripheral oedema	1022 (29.6)	438 (30 7)	584 (28.8)	0 209
Hopstomorphy	397 (10.2)	210(131)	197 (20.0)	~0.001
SBP (mmHg)	$125 \pm 22$	$103 \pm 21$	107 (0.2)	<0.001
	$123 \pm 22$	$123 \pm 21$ 73 + 13	$127 \pm 22$ $72 \pm 14$	< <b>0.001</b>
HP (ham)	75 ± 14 78 ± 19	79 ± 19	72±14 77±18	~0.001
Tirpo of visit	78±17	//±//	// ± 10	0.124
Inpatient hespitalization	2462 (61 2)	1035 (62.6)	1427 (60.2)	0.124
	15(1(39.9)	(19 (37 4)	942 (29.9)	
	1361 (30.0)	616 (37.4)	743 (37.0)	
	2004 (71 0)	1171 (71 0)	1712 (72 4)	0 2 4 7
B blocker use	2007 (71.0) 2172 (79.0)	1229 (90.4)	17 13 (72.4) 1942 (77.9)	0.347
MPA use	1902 (17.0)	1327 (00.0) 030 (E0.0)	045 (77.7) 045 (70.0)	v.us/
	1003 (77.7)	030 (30.0) 1442 (09.4)	207 (ΨU.8)	
	3788 (77.3) 7(4 (19.0)	1042 (77.0) 275 (22.7)	2340 (77.1)	0.083
	764 (17.0)	3/3 (22.7)	387 (10.4)	<0.001

Data are presented as n (%) and mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRT-D, cardiac resynchronization therapy with defibrillator; CRT-P, cardiac resynchronization therapy with pacemaker; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HF, heart failure; HR, heart rate; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; MR, mitral regurgitation; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SBP, systolic blood pressure. the last year, and chronic kidney disease, had higher heart rate and more advanced symptoms as shown by their higher NYHA functional class.  $\beta$ -blockers were more frequently used at baseline in patients with, compared to those without, moderate–severe MR, whereas use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) was similar between groups.

Detailed baseline characteristics in the index cohort and validation cohort are reported in online supplementary *Tables S1* and *S2*, respectively.

# Echocardiographic data, laboratory findings, and quality of life measures

Echocardiographic, laboratory, and QoL characteristics are reported in *Table 2*. Mean LVEF was lower in patients with moderate-severe MR compared to those with no or mild MR. Accordingly, patients with moderate-to-severe MR were more likely to have HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF; LVEF <40%) rather than with mid-range (HFmrEF; LVEF 40-49%) or preserved LVEF (HFpEF; LVEF  $\geq$ 50%). Moreover, mean Regarding laboratory data, patients with moderate-severe MR had higher values of serum creatinine, urea, and plasma NT-proBNP, and lower estimated glomerular filtration rate compared to those with no or mild MR. Regarding QoL measures, the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) clinical summary score, KCCQ overall summary score, and EuroQol-5 Dimension (EQ-5D) visual analogue scale score were lower among patients with moderate-severe MR compared to those with no or mild MR (*Table 2*).

Detailed echocardiographic, laboratory and QoL data in the index cohort and validation cohort are reported in online supplementary *Tables S3* and *S4*, respectively.

#### **Clinical outcome**

A primary outcome event at 2 years occurred in 697 patients (42.2%) with moderate-severe MR and in 836 patients (35.3%) without moderate-severe MR. Accordingly, the 2-year incidence of

Table 2 Baseline echocardiographic data, laboratory characteristics, and quality of life measures

	<b>Overall (</b> <i>n</i> = 4023)	Moderate or	No or mild	P-value
		severe MR ( $n = 1653$ )	MR(n=2370)	
Echocardiographic data				
LVEF (%)	35 (25-42)	30 (25-38)	35 (30-45)	<0.001
LVEF categories				<0.001
HFrEF (LVEF <40%)	2514 (66.7)	1215 (77.5)	1299 (59.0)	
HFmrEF (LVEF 40-49%)	679 (18.0)	220 (14.0)	459 (20.8)	
HFpEF (LVEF ≥50%)	577 (15.3)	132 (8.4)	445 (20.2)	
LV remodelling				<0.001
Normal geometry	440 (18.5)	175 (15.8)	265 (20.8)	
Concentric remodelling	143 (6.0)	36 (3.3)	107 (8.4)	
Concentric hypertrophy	509 (21.4)	186 (16.8)	323 (25.3)	
Eccentric hypertrophy	1290 (54.2)	708 (64.1)	582 (45.6)	
LVEDD (mm)	59 (52–65)	62 (56–68)	57 (50–63)	<0.001
LVESD (mm)	49 (41–56)	52 (44–58)	46 (39–54)	<0.001
Left atrium diameter (mm)	46 (42–51)	48 (44–53)	45 (40-50)	<0.001
Laboratory data				
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	13.3 (11.9–14.5)	13.2 (11.9–14.4)	13.3 (11.9–14.6)	0.202
Creatinine (µmol/L)	100 (82–128)	104 (83–133)	98 (81–125)	<0.001
eGFR CKD-EPI (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	60 (44–78)	57 (42–76)	61 (45–79)	<0.001
Urea (mmol/L)	9.6 (7.0–15.1)	10.7 (7.5–17.1)	9.2 (6.8–13.8)	<0.001
Sodium (mmol/L)	139 (137–141)	139 (137–142)	139 (137–141)	0.606
NT-proBNP (ng/L)	2080 (824–4868)	2847 (1211–6100)	1632 (590-4025)	<0.001
QoL measures				
KCCQ clinical summary score	48 (30–69)	45 (27–65)	49 (31–70)	<0.001
KCCQ overall summary score	48 (32–67)	46 (31–65)	49 (33–68)	<0.001
EQ-5D index value	0.72 (0.57-0.84)	0.72 (0.57-0.84)	0.74 (0.57-0.84)	0.168
EQ-5D VAS	55 (45–70)	52 (40–70)	59 (45–70)	0.003

Data are presented as n (%) and median (Q25–Q75).

CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; EQ-5D, EuroQol-5 Dimension; HFmrEF, heart failure with mid-range ejection fraction; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; MR, mitral regurgitation; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; QoL, quality of life; VAS, visual analogue scale.





the primary endpoint was higher in patients with moderate-severe MR compared to those with no moderate-severe MR at Kaplan-Meier analysis (log-rank P < 0.0001; *Figure 1*). The 2-year incidence of both individual secondary endpoints was higher in patients with moderate-severe MR compared to the others (*Figure 1*): all-cause death (26.3% vs. 22.6%; log-rank P = 0.002) and CV death (18.3% vs. 13.7%; log-rank P < 0.0001).

Univariable Cox regression analysis confirmed the significant association between moderate-severe MR and the primary endpoint, all-cause death, and CV death. As shown in *Table 3*, the significant impact of moderate-severe MR on the primary endpoint was confirmed also after multivariable adjustment for different models including, respectively, age and sex (model 1); primary ischaemic HF aetiology, peripheral oedema, NYHA class, and previous HF hospitalization in last year (model 2); and the BIOSTAT-CHF risk prediction score (model 3). The risk of both individual secondary endpoints remained higher in patients with moderate-severe MR also after multivariable adjustment for model 1 and model 2 (*Table 3*). After adjustment for model 3, moderate-severe MR remained significantly associated with CV death, but not with all-cause death.

In subgroup analyses, the impact of moderate–severe MR on the primary endpoint was significant for both patients with and without HF hospitalization in previous year, with and without ischaemic HF aetiology, with or without history of atrial fibrillation, and with estimated glomerular filtration rate  $\leq 60$  and > 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. On the other hand, the impact of moderate–severe MR on the primary endpoint was significant only in patients with NYHA class I–II and III, in the two lowest LVEF tertiles ( $\leq 30\%$  and 31-39%), in the two largest LVEDD tertiles (56-63 mm and  $\geq 64$  mm), and in the highest NT-proBNP tertile ( $\geq 3621$  pg/mL) (*Figure 2*). Kaplan–Meier curves for the primary endpoint according to LVEF subgroups are

 Table 3 Cox regression models for the impact of moderate-severe mitral regurgitation on 2-year combined endpoint (all-cause death or heart failure hospitalization), all-cause death and cardiovascular death

	Combined endpo	oint	All-cause death		CV death	
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Univariable analysis	1.28 (1.16–1.41)	<0.001	1.22 (1.08–1.39)	0.002	1.40 (1.19–1.63)	<0.001
Multivariable model 1 (adjusted for age and sex)	1.30 (1.18–1.44) 1.23 (1.09–1.38)	<0.001	1.25 (1.10–1.42)	<0.001 0.017	1.43 (1.22–1.67) 1.40 (1.17–1.67)	<0.001
ischaemic HF aetiology, peripheral oedema, NYHA class, and previous HF hospitalization in last year)	1.23 (1.07 – 1.30)	<b>\0.001</b>	1.17 (1.05–1.50)	0.017	1.10 (1.17 – 1.07)	<b>\0.001</b>
Multivariable model 3 (adjusted for BIOSTAT-CHF risk prediction models) <sup>a</sup>	1.11 (1.00–1.23)	0.041	1.08 (0.95–1.22)	0.249	1.22 (1.04–1.43)	0.014

Cl, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; HF, heart failure; HR, hazard ratio; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association. <sup>a</sup>In multivariable model 3, moderate-to-severe mitral regurgitation was adjusted for the BIOSTAT-CHF risk prediction models, including the following covariates: age, HF hospitalization in last year, systolic blood pressure, peripheral oedema, log-NT-proBNP, haemoglobin, sodium, high-density lipoprotein, and use of beta-blockers at baseline for the combined endpoint; age, log-urea, log-NT-proBNP, haemoglobin, and use of beta-blockers at baseline for all-cause death and CV death; age, HF hospitalization in last year, systolic blood pressure, peripheral oedema, and estimated glomerular filtration rate for HF hospitalization.

	Patients Adjusted HR (95		HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
NYHA functional class				
I-II	1581	<b>⊢</b>	1.40 (1.15-1.71)	< 0.001
III	1880		1.27 (1.10-1.45)	< 0.001
IV	498	<b>⊢</b>	1.07 (0.85-1.35)	0.566
HF hospitalization in last year				
No	2814	i⊷ <b>≑</b> ⊸i	1.18 (1.04-1.34)	0.012
Yes	1184	<b>⊢</b>	1.46 (1.24-1.71)	< 0.001
Primary ischemic HF aetiology				
No	1350		1.22 (1.02-1.46)	0.033
Yes	2125	<b>⊢</b> ₩-4	1.39 (1.22-1.59)	<0.001
History of atrial fibrillation				
No	2200		1.27 (1.10-1.47)	0.001
Yes	1810	<b>⊢</b>	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	<0.001
Tertiles of LVEF				
$1^{st}$ tertile ( $\leq 30\%$ )	1588		1.38 (1.17-1.63)	< 0.001
2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (31-39%)	926	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.55 (1.24-1.93)	<0.001
$3^{rd}$ tertile ( $\geq 40\%$ )	1256	<b>⊢</b>	1.08 (0.89-1.31)	0.445
Tertiles of NT-proBNP				
$1^{st}$ tertile ( $\leq 1146$ pg/mL)	1261		1.06 (0.81-1.38)	0.685
2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (1148-3619 pg/mL)	1261	н <b>ф</b> -н	1.01 (0.84-1.21)	0.900
$3^{rd}$ tertile ( $\geq 3621 \text{ pg/mL}$ )	1259	5- <b>6</b>	1.19 (1.02-1.38)	0.025
eGFR categories				
$\leq 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$	1954		1.26 (1.11-1.44)	< 0.001
>60 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	1918	<b></b>	1.26 (1.06-1.49)	0.007
Tertiles of LVEDD				
1 <sup>st</sup> tertile (≤55 mm)	1220	i <b>≟ ∔</b>	1.13 (0.93-1.38)	0.209
$2^{nd}$ tertile (56-63 mm)	1116	<b></b>	1.28 (1.05-1.57)	0.015
$3^{rd}$ tertile (>64 mm)	1005	<b></b>	1.32 (1.08-1.62)	0.007

**Figure 2** Impact of moderate-severe mitral regurgitation on 2-year primary endpoint in subgroups of interest. The figure shows the impact of moderate-severe mitral regurgitation on 2-year all-cause mortality or heart failure (HF) hospitalization at 2 years according to relevant subgroups. Such impact was evaluated by means of multivariable Cox regression adjusted for age and sex, and results are presented as adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association.

reported in online supplementary Figure S1, confirming the significant impact of moderate-severe MR in the two lowest LVEF tertiles ( $\leq$ 30% and 31-39%) but not in the highest one (LVEF  $\geq$ 40%). Furthermore, the significant impact of moderate-severe MR on the primary endpoint in the highest NT-proBNP tertile was observed only in patients with history of atrial fibrillation (online supplementary Table S5).

## Discussion

Our study shows that moderate-severe MR is associated with an increased risk of death or HF hospitalizations in patients with worsening chronic HF or new-onset acute HF enrolled in BIOSTAT-CHF. The prognostic impact of moderate-severe MR is additive to a validated risk model including relevant clinical and laboratory features and seems to be more pronounced in patients with HFrEF, larger LV dimensions, higher plasma NT-proBNP, and NYHA class I to III. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study available exploring the prognostic impact of MR on clinical outcomes in patients with HF. Our cohort included more than 4000 well-phenotyped patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF enrolled in a prospective study.

Prevalence of moderate-severe MR was 41%, in line with previous studies reporting rates ranging from 29% to 53% in patients with HF.<sup>7-9,22,23</sup> These studies also showed an association between MR and poor prognosis, with a correlation between MR severity and poorer outcomes.<sup>4,17,18,21-24,26,28</sup> However, available evidence was mainly derived from relatively small and/or single-centre studies on unselected HF populations including mostly patients with reduced LVEF and stable clinical conditions.<sup>17,18,22,23</sup> In contrast, our analysis includes mostly patients with worsening HF and with a wide range of LVEF. Our data may be compared with those of a recent analysis of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study showing a significant impact of moderate or severe MR on 1-year mortality in a community-derived cohort of 3878 patients hospitalized for HF and with echocardiographic data available.<sup>20</sup> The prevalence of moderate or severe MR was 44.5% and it was independently associated with increased 1-year mortality (odds ratio 1.30; 95% CI 1.16-1.45).<sup>20</sup> Our study confirms and extends these results to the European population enrolled in BIOSTAT-CHF. The analysis of the ARIC cohort had only 1-year all-cause mortality as endpoint, whereas we were able to confirm the independent value of MR also for the combined endpoint of all-cause death or HF hospitalization and with a 2-year follow-up. In addition, the value of MR was additive compared with a risk prediction model already validated and strongly associated with outcome.<sup>32</sup>

In subgroup analyses, we noted an association between moderate-severe MR and the primary endpoint only in patients with HFrEF. Accordingly, moderate-severe MR emerged as a predictor of prognosis in patients with dilated left ventricles (LVEDD >56 mm). Similar results were also found in the ARIC analysis where moderate or severe MR was an independent predictor of 1-year mortality only in patients with LVEF <50%.<sup>20</sup> These findings may be related to the different mechanisms and pathogenesis of MR in patients with normal or reduced LVEF so

that the contribution of MR, as well as that of LV remodelling, is larger in patients with a reduced LVEF.  $^{11,29,36,37}$ 

Our analysis of a large study group allowed the assessment of the role of MR according to the severity of HF. In our study, the prognostic impact of moderate-severe MR on clinical outcome seemed more evident in patients with NT-proBNP  $\geq$  3619 pg/mL and, consistently, in those with reduced LVEF and larger LV volumes. In the study by Goliasch et al.,<sup>23</sup> the prognostic impact of severe MR was predominantly observed in a specific phenotype characterized by NYHA class II and III, moderately increased NT-proBNP and LVEF between 30% and 40%. This result may reflect a different patient population. The study by Goliasch et al.<sup>23</sup> included only patients with HFrEF and they were younger than in our study, on optimal medical therapy and with a lower burden of comorbidities. Furthermore, in their subgroup analysis the authors evaluated the impact of severe MR, rather than moderate or severe MR, on a different endpoint (all-cause mortality). Finally, the number of patients included in the subgroups and consequently the number of events were much lower compared to our population, hence potentially impacting statistical power.

Consistent with previous data,<sup>22,23</sup> in our study the prognostic impact of MR seemed to be less pronounced in patients with NYHA class IV. These data may be explained by the relatively small number of patients with NYHA class IV in our study. However, Bursi et al.<sup>22</sup> showed that the impact of MR on outcomes was not evident in a subgroup of patients with advanced HF. Thus, severe HF symptoms might be a marker of an advanced stage of HF and treating MR in NYHA class IV patients could be futile from a prognostic point of view. Patients in NYHA class IV have been reported to have a poor outcome after percutaneous mitral valve repair.<sup>38,39</sup> In a recent analysis from the Cardiovascular Outcomes Assessment of the MitraClip Percutaneous Therapy for Heart Failure Patients with Functional Mitral Regurgitation (COAPT) trial, no differences were observed in the impact of percutaneous MR treatment on outcomes in patients with NYHA class IV compared to the other classes.<sup>40</sup> However, patients with non-ambulatory NYHA class IV were excluded from COAPT but included in BIOSTAT-CHE<sup>6,41</sup>

#### Limitations

The present study is a *post-hoc* retrospective analysis of a database collected in a large, prospective, multicentre, observational study of patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF. Its main limitations are the lack of a central core-laboratory analysis of echocardiographic images and hence the lack of detailed data regarding MR severity and aetiology. Thus, we could not investigate the influence of these important variables on patients' outcome. However, a strong, independent, impact of moderate-severe MR on the outcome of patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF was shown by our study, despite its intrinsic limitations, and these data may have a major impact for patients' assessment and possibly treatment indications. Furthermore, the sample size was relatively small in some subgroups of interest (i.e. HFpEF), thus preventing us from performing detailed sub-analyses in such subgroups.

## Conclusions

In patients with worsening chronic or new-onset acute HF, moderate-severe MR is highly prevalent and has a strong impact on clinical outcome, independently of other relevant variables related with patients' outcomes and HF severity.

## Supplementary Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

#### Acknowledgements

Open Access Funding provided by Universita degli Studi di Brescia within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

#### **Funding**

The BIOSTAT-CHF project was funded by a grant from the European Commission (FP7-242209-BIOSTAT-CHF; EudraCT 2010-020808-29).

**Conflict of interest**: G.S.F. reports speaker honoraria and/or committee membership in trials and/or registries sponsored by Amgen, Bayer, Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim, Medtronic, Vifor, and Servier; and research grants from the European Union. A.A.V. received consultancy fees and/or research grants from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cytokinetics, Merck, Myokardia, Novartis, NovoNordisk, and Roche Diagnostics. M.M. received personal consulting honoraria from Abbott, Actelion, Amgen, Bayer, Edwards Therapeutics, Servier, Vifor Pharma, and Windtree Therapeutics for participation in advisory board meetings and executive committees of clinical trials. All other authors have nothing to disclose.

#### References

- 1. Metra M, Teerlink JR. Heart failure. Lancet 2017;390:1981-1995.
- Tomasoni D, Adamo M, Lombardi CM, Metra M. Highlights in heart failure. ESC Heart Fail 2019;6:1105-1127.
- Crespo-Leiro MG, Anker SD, Maggioni AP, Coats AJ, Filippatos G, Ruschitzka F, Ferrari R, Piepoli MF, Delgado Jimenez JF, Metra M, Fonseca C, Hradec J, Amir O, Logeart D, Dahlström U, Merkely B, Drozdz J, Goncalvesova E, Hassanein M, Chioncel O, Lainscak M, Seferovic PM, Tousoulis D, Kavoliuniene A, Fruhwald F, Fazlibegovic E, Temizhan A, Gatzov P, Erglis A, Laroche C, Mebazaa A; Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European Society of Cardiology Heart Failure Long-Term Registry (ESC-HF-LT): 1-year follow-up outcomes and differences across regions. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;**18**:613–625.
- Tavazzi L, Senni M, Metra M, Gorini M, Cacciatore G, Chinaglia A, Di Lenarda A, Mortara A, Oliva F, Maggioni AP. Multicenter prospective observational study on acute and chronic heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2013;6:473–481.
- 5. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoy-annopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GMC, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis is and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail 2016;18:891–975.
- Ferreira JP, Metra M, Mordi I, Gregson J, Ter Maaten JM, Tromp J, Anker SD, Dickstein K, Hillege HL, Ng LL, van Veldhuisen DJ, Lang CC, Voors AA, Zannad F. Heart failure in the outpatient versus inpatient setting: findings from the BIOSTAT-CHF study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2019;21:112–120.

- Chioncel O, Lainscak M, Seferovic PM, Anker SD, Crespo-Leiro MG, Harjola VP, Parissis J, Laroche C, Piepoli MF, Fonseca C, Mebazaa A, Lund L, Ambrosio GA, Coats AJ, Ferrari R, Ruschitzka F, Maggioni AP, Filippatos G. Epidemiology and one-year outcomes in patients with chronic heart failure and preserved, mid-range and reduced ejection fraction: an analysis of the ESC Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;**19**:1574–1585.
- Chioncel O, Mebazaa A, Harjola VP, Coats AJ, Piepoli MF, Crespo-Leiro MG, Laroche C, Seferovic PM, Anker SD, Ferrari R, Ruschitzka F, Lopez-Fernandez S, Miani D, Filippatos G, Maggioni AP; ESC Heart Failure Long-Term Registry Investigators. Clinical phenotypes and outcome of patients hospitalized for acute heart failure: the ESC Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;**19**:1242–1254.
- 9. Cleland JGF, Swedberg K, Follath F, Komajda M, Cohen-Solal A, Aguilar JC, Dietz R, Gavazzi A, Hobbs R, Korewicki J, Madeira HC, Moiseyev VS, Preda I, van Gilst WH, Widimsky J, Freemantle N, Eastaugh J, Mason J; Study Group on Diagnosis of the Working Group on Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology. The EuroHeart Failure survey programme a survey on the quality of care among patients with heart failure in Europe. Part 1: patient characteristics and diagnosis. Eur Heart J 2003;24:442–463.
- Asgar AW, Mack MJ, Stone GW. Secondary mitral regurgitation in heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1231–1248.
- 11. Coats AJS, Anker SD, Baumbach A, Alfieri O, von Bardeleben RS, Bauersachs J, Bax JJ, Boveda S, Čelutkienė J, Cleland JG, Dagres N, Deneke T, Farmakis D, Filippatos G, Hausleiter J, Hindricks G, Jankowska EA, Lainscak M, Leclercq C, Lund LH, McDonagh T, Mehra MR, Metra M, Mewton N, Mueller C, Mullens W, Muneretto C, Obadia JF, Ponikowski P, Praz F, Rudolph V, Ruschitzka F, Vahanian A, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Edvardsen T, Heidbuchel H, Seferovic PM, Prendergast B. The management of secondary mitral regurgitation in patients with heart failure: a joint position statement from the Heart Failure Association (HFA), European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), and European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI) of the ESC. *Eur Heart* / 2021;**42**:1254–1269.
- Stone GW, Lindenfeld J, Abraham WT, Kar S, Lim DS, Mishell JM, Whisenant B, Grayburn PA, Rinaldi M, Kapadia SR, Rajagopal V, Sarembock IJ, Brieke A, Marx SO, Cohen DJ, Weissman NJ, Mack MJ; COAPT Investigators. Transcatheter mitral-valve repair in patients with heart failure. N Engl J Med 2018;379:2307-2318.
- Obadia JF, Messika-Zeitoun D, Leurent G, Iung B, Bonnet G, Piriou N, Lefèvre T, Piot C, Rouleau F, Carrié D, Nejjari M, Ohlmann P, Leclercq F, Saint Etienne C, Teiger E, Leroux L, Karam N, Michel N, Gilard M, Donal E, Trochu JN, Cormier B, Armoiry X, Boutitie F, Maucort-Boulch D, Barnel C, Samson G, Guerin P, Vahanian A, Mewton N; MITRA-FR Investigators. Percutaneous repair or medical treatment for secondary mitral regurgitation. N Engl J Med 2018;379:2297–2306.
- 14. lung B, Armoiry X, Vahanian A, Boutitie F, Mewton N, Trochu JN, Lefèvre T, Messika-Zeitoun D, Guerin P, Cormier B, Brochet E, Thibault H, Himbert D, Thivolet S, Leurent G, Bonnet G, Donal E, Piriou N, Piot C, Habib G, Rouleau F, Carrié D, Nejjari M, Ohlmann P, Saint Etienne C, Leroux L, Gilard M, Samson G, Rioufol G, Maucort-Boulch D, Obadia JF; MITRA-FR Investigators. Percutaneous repair or medical treatment for secondary mitral regurgitation: outcomes at 2 years. Eur | Heart Fail 2019;21:1619-1627.
- Senni M, Adamo M, Metra M, Alfieri O, Vahanian A. Treatment of functional mitral regurgitation in chronic heart failure: can we get a 'proof of concept' from the MITRA-FR and COAPT trials? *Eur J Heart Fail* 2019;21:852–861.
- Mack MJ, Lindenfeld J, Abraham WT, Kar S, Lim DS, Mishell JM, Whisenant BK, Grayburn PA, Rinaldi MJ, Kapadia SR, Rajagopal V, Sarembock IJ, Brieke A, Rogers JH, Marx SO, Cohen DJ, Weissman NJ, Stone GW; COPAT Investigators. 3-year outcomes of transcatheter mitral valve repair in patients with heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021;77:1029–1040.
- Grigioni F, Enriquez-Sarano M, Zehr KJ, Bailey KR, Tajik AJ. Ischemic mitral regurgitation: long-term outcome and prognostic implications with quantitative Doppler assessment. *Circulation* 2001;**103**:1759-1764.
- Amigoni M, Meris A, Thune JJ, Mangalat D, Skali H, Bourgoun M, Warnica JW, Barvik S, Arnold JMO, Velazquez EJ, Van de Werf F, Ghali J, McMurray JJV, Køber L, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD. Mitral regurgitation in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both: prognostic significance and relation to ventricular size and function. *Eur Heart J* 2007;**28**:326–333.
- Kubo S, Kawase Y, Hata R, Maruo T, Tada T, Kadota K. Dynamic severe mitral regurgitation on hospital arrival as prognostic predictor in patients hospitalized for acute decompensated heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2018;273:177–182.
- Arora S, Sivaraj K, Hendrickson M, Chang PP, Weickert T, Qamar A, Vaduganathan M, Caughey MC, Pandey A, Cavender MA, Rosamond W, Vavalle JP. Prevalence and prognostic significance of mitral regurgitation in acute decompensated heart failure: the ARIC study. JACC Heart Fail 2021;9:179–189.

- Rossi A, Dini FL, Faggiano P, Agricola E, Cicoira M, Frattini S, Simioniuc A, Gullace M, Ghio S, Enriquez-Sarano M, Temporelli PL. Independent prognostic value of functional mitral regurgitation in patients with heart failure. A quantitative analysis of 1256 patients with ischaemic and non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart* 2011;97:1675–1680.
- Bursi F, Barbieri A, Grigioni F, Reggianini L, Zanasi V, Leuzzi C, Ricci C, Piovaccari G, Branzi A, Modena MG. Prognostic implications of functional mitral regurgitation according to the severity of the underlying chronic heart failure: a long-term outcome study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2010;**12**:382–388.
- Goliasch G, Bartko PE, Pavo N, Neuhold S, Wurm R, Mascherbauer J, Lang IM, Strunk G, Hülsmann M. Refining the prognostic impact of functional mitral regurgitation in chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:39–46.
- Pecini R, Thune JJ, Torp-Pedersen C, Hassager C, Køber L. The relationship between mitral regurgitation and ejection fraction as predictors for the prognosis of patients with heart failure. *Eur | Heart Fail* 2011;**13**:1121–1125.
- Trichon BH, Felker GM, Shaw LK, Cabell CH, O'Connor CM. Relation of frequency and severity of mitral regurgitation to survival among patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure. Am J Cardiol 2003;91:538-543.
- 26. De la Espriella R, Santas E, Miñana G, Bodí V, Valero E, Payá R, Núñez E, Payá A, Chorro FJ, Bayés-Genis A, Sanchis J, Núñez J. Functional mitral regurgitation predicts short-term adverse events in patients with acute heart failure and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. Am J Cardiol 2017;120:1344–1348.
- Wada Y, Ohara T, Funada A, Hasegawa T, Sugano Y, Kanzaki H, Yokoyama H, Yasuda S, Ogawa H, Anzai T. Prognostic impact of functional mitral regurgitation in patients admitted with acute decompensated heart failure. *Circ J* 2016;80:139–147.
- Kajimoto K, Minami Y, Otsubo S, Sato N; Investigators of the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure Syndromes (ATTEND) Registry. Ischemic or nonischemic functional mitral regurgitation and outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure with preserved or reduced ejection fraction. Am J Cardiol 2017;**120**:809-816.
- Tamargo M, Obokata M, Reddy YNV, Pislaru SV, Lin G, Egbe AC, Nishimura RA, Borlaug BA. Functional mitral regurgitation and left atrial myopathy in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:489–498.
- Dziadzko V, Clavel MA, Dziadzko M, Medina-Inojosa JR, Michelena H, Maalouf J, Nkomo V, Thapa P, Enriquez-Sarano M. Outcome and undertreatment of mitral regurgitation: a community cohort study. *Lancet* 2018;391:960–969.
- 31. Voors AA, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Dickstein K, Filippatos G, van der Harst P, Hillege HL, Lang CC, ter Maaten JM, Ng L, Ponikowski P, Samani NJ, van Veldhuisen DJ, Zannad F, Zwinderman AH, Metra M. A systems BlOlogy study to TAilored treatment in chronic heart failure: rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of BIOSTAT-CHF. Eur | Heart Fail 2016;18:716-726.
- Voors AA, Ouwerkerk W, Zannad F, van Veldhuisen DJ, Samani NJ, Ponikowski P, Ng LL, Metra M, ter Maaten JM, Lang CC, Hillege HL, van der Harst P,

Filippatos G, Dickstein K, Cleland JG, Anker SD, Zwinderman AH. Development and validation of multivariable models to predict mortality and hospitalization in patients with heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2017;**19**:627–634.

- 33. Ouwerkerk W, Voors AA, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Dickstein K, Filippatos G, van der Harst P, Hillege HL, Lang CC, ter Maaten JM, Ng LL, Ponikowski P, Samani N, van Veldhuisen DJ, Zannad F, Metra M, Zwinderman AH. Determinants and clinical outcome of uptitration of ACE-inhibitors and beta-blockers in patients with heart failure: a prospective European study. Eur Heart J 2017;38: 1883–1890.
- 34. Lancellotti P, Tribouilloy C, Hagendorff A, Popescu BA, Edvardsen T, Pierard LA, Badano L, Zamorano JL; Scientific Document Committee of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Recommendations for the echocardiographic assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an executive summary from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;14:611-644.
- 35. Nauta JF, Hummel YM, Tromp J, Ouwerkerk W, van der Meer P, Jin X, Lam CSP, Bax JJ, Metra M, Samani NJ, Ponikowski P, Dickstein K, Anker SD, Lang CC, Ng LL, Zannad F, Filippatos GS, van Veldhuisen DJ, van Melle JP, Voors AA. Concentric vs. eccentric remodelling in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: clinical characteristics, pathophysiology and response to treatment. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:1147–1155.
- Meta-analysis Global Group in Chronic Heart Failure (MAGGIC). The survival of patients with heart failure with preserved or reduced left ventricular ejection fraction: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2012;33:1750–1757.
- Guazzi M, Ghio S, Adir Y. Pulmonary hypertension in HFpEF and HFrEF. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:1102–1111.
- Capodanno D, Adamo M, Barbanti M, Giannini C, Laudisa ML, Cannata S, Curello S, Immè S, Maffeo D, Bedogni F, Petronio AS, Ettori F, Tamburino C, Grasso C; GRASP-IT Investigators. Predictors of clinical outcomes after edge-to-edge percutaneous mitral valve repair. Am Heart J 2015;170:187–195.
- 39. Puls M, Lubos E, Boekstegers P, von Bardeleben RS, Ouarrak T, Butter C, Zuern CS, Bekeredjian R, Sievert H, Nickenig G, Eggebrecht H, Senges J, Schillinger W. One-year outcomes and predictors of mortality after MitraClip therapy in contemporary clinical practice: results from the German transcatheter mitral valve interventions registry. *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:703–712.
- 40. Giustino G, Lindenfeld J, Abraham WT, Kar S, Lim DS, Grayburn PA, Kapadia SR, Cohen DJ, Kotinkaduwa LN, Weissman NJ, Mack MJ, Stone GW. NYHA functional classification and outcomes after transcatheter mitral valve repair in heart failure: the COAPT trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2020;**13**:2317–2328.
- 41. Davison BA, Senger S, Sama IE, Koch GG, Mebazaa A, Dickstein K, Samani NJ, Metra M, Anker SD, Cleland JG, Ng LL, Mordi IR, Zannad F, Filippatos GS, Hillege HL, Ponikowski P, van Veldhuisen DJ, Lang CC, van der Meer P, Núñez J, Bayés-Genís A, Edwards C, Voors AA, Cotter G. Is acute heart failure a distinctive disorder? An analysis from BIOSTAT-CHF. Eur J Heart Fail 2021;23:43–57.