

Association between a hospitalization for heart failure and the initiation/discontinuation of guideline-recommended treatments - An analysis from the Swedish heart failure registry

Benedikt Schrage, Lars H Lund, Lina Benson, Frieder Braunschweig, João Pedro Ferreira, Ulf Dahlström, Marco Metra, Giuseppe Mc Rosano, Gianluigi

Savarese

▶ To cite this version:

Benedikt Schrage, Lars H Lund, Lina Benson, Frieder Braunschweig, João Pedro Ferreira, et al.. Association between a hospitalization for heart failure and the initiation/discontinuation of guideline-recommended treatments - An analysis from the Swedish heart failure registry. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2023, 10.1002/ejhf.2928. hal-04137135

HAL Id: hal-04137135 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04137135v1

Submitted on 22 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Association between a hospitalization for heart failure and the initiation/discontinuation of 2 guideline-recommended treatments - An analysis from the Swedish heart failure registry 3 Benedikt Schrage^{1,2}, Lars H. Lund^{1,3}, Lina Benson¹, Frieder Braunschweig^{1,3}, João Pedro Ferreira⁴, Ulf Dahlström⁵, Marco Metra⁶, Giuseppe MC Rosano⁷, and Gianluigi Savarese^{1,3}. 4 5 6 ¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. 7 ² University Heart and Vascular Centre Hamburg, Department of Cardiology and German Center for 8 Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Hamburg/Lübeck/Kiel, Hamburg, Germany. 9 ³ Heart and Vascular and Neuro Theme, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden 10 ⁴ INSERM, Centre d'Investigations Cliniques Plurithématique 1433, Université de Lorraine, CHRU de 11 Nancy and F-CRIN INI-CRCT, Nancy, France 12 ⁵ Department of Cardiology and Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Linköping 13 University, Sweden 14 ⁶ Department of Medical and Surgical Specialities, Radiological Sciences and Public Health, University 15 of Brescia, Brescia, Italy 16 ⁷ Centre for Clinical and Basic Research, IRCCS San Raffaele Roma, Rome, Italy. 17 18 **Corresponding author** 19 Gianluigi Savarese, MD, PhD 20 Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine 21 Karolinska Institutet 22 S3:00, 171 76

- 23 Stockholm, Sweden
- 24 Mail: gianluigi.savarese@ki.se

25 Abstract

Aims: To investigate whether a heart failure (HF) hospitalization is associated with
 initiation/discontinuation of guideline-directed medical HF therapy (GDMT) and consequent outcomes.

Methods and Results: Among patients in the Swedish HF registry with an ejection fraction <50%
 enrolled in 2009-2018, initiation/discontinuation of GDMT was investigated by assessing dispensations
 of GDMT in those with vs. without a HF hospitalization.

32 Of 14,737 patients, 6,893 (47%) were enrolled when hospitalized for HF. Initiation of GDMT was more 33 likely than discontinuation following a HF hospitalization compared to a control group of patients 34 without a HF hospitalization (odds ratio range 2.1-4.0 vs. 1.4-1.6 for the individual medications, 35 respectively), although the percentage of patients not on GDMT was still high (8.1-44.0%). Key patient 36 characteristics triggering less use of GDMT (i.e., less initiation or more discontinuation) were older age 37 and worse renal function. Following a HF hospitalization, initiation of renin-angiotensin-system-38 inhibitors/angiotensin-receptor-neprilysin-inhibitors or betablockers was associated with lower and 39 their discontinuation with higher mortality risk, but no association with mortality was observed for 40 initiation/discontinuation of mineralocorticoid-receptor-antagonists.

41

42 *Conclusion:* Following a HF hospitalization, initiation of GDMT was more likely than discontinuation, 43 although still limited. Perceived or actual low tolerance were barriers to GDMT implementation. Early 44 re-/initiation of GDMT was associated with better survival. Our findings represent a call for further 45 implementing the current guidelines recommendation for an early re-/initiation of GDMT following a HF 46 hospitalization.

47

Keywords: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; Heart failure with mildly reduced ejection
 fraction; guideline-directed medical treatment; initiation; discontinuation; guidelines; implementation

50

51 Introduction

52 Due to a prevalence of ~2-3% in the Western population and a high morbidity/mortality, heart 53 failure (HF) represents a global pandemic.(1)

54 Several HF treatments, primarily betablockers, renin-angiotensin-system inhibitors (RASI), 55 angiotensin-receptor neprilysin-inhibitors (ARNI), mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA) and 56 sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i), have been shown to reduce morbidity/mortality in 57 HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), and there are post-hoc analyses from randomized trials 58 showing consistent results in HF with mildly reduced EF (HFmrEF).(2) Thus, the 2021 European 59 Society of Cardiology (ESC) HF guidelines recommend these treatments in HFrEF (class I 60 recommendation), and in HFmrEF (class IIB recommendation, except for SGLT2i).(3) Available 61 evidence supports fast initiation of multiple agents. (4, 5) However, registries demonstrate persistent 62 underuse/underdosing in clinical practice.(6-8)

63 Worsening HF often leads to hospitalization and reflects clinical deterioration, therefore 64 representing a vulnerable phase with need and opportunity for treatment optimization. However, a 65 hospitalization may entail tolerance issues, e.g., hypotension, worsening renal function.(9) These 66 factors might trigger treatment discontinuation/down-titration or missed re-initiation, although 2021 67 ESC HF guidelines recommend re-initialization/optimization of HF treatments before discharge and 68 early follow-up visit to start/uptitrate therapies (class of recommendation I, level of evidence C).(3) 69 Unfortunately, the use of HF treatments in the real world before but also after a worsening HF event 70 seems to be low, which might impact outcomes.(10)

The aim of this study was (a) to assess HF treatment initiation/discontinuation in patients with HFmrEF/HFrEF following a HF hospitalization, (b) to identify patient characteristics linked to HF treatment initiation/discontinuation, and (c) to evaluate the associations between HF treatment initiation/discontinuation and mortality.

75

76 Methods

77 <u>Study cohort</u>

The study population was derived from the Swedish Heart Failure Registry (SwedeHF;
www.SwedeHF.se).(11) Detailed information in SwedeHF, including the linkage to Swedish National
Registries, is provided in the Supplementary Appendix.

81

82 Patients

83 Inclusion criteria were: 1) registration in SwedeHF after January 1st 2009 to enroll 84 patients being treated in accordance with the 2008/2016 ESC HF guidelines(12, 13); 2) EF <50% 85 (e.g., HFmrEF and HFrEF), as guidelines currently provide treatment recommendations only for these 86 HF phenotypes; 3) HF duration of >6 months to account for the time required to optimize HF therapy; 87 4) follow-up of ≥ 6 months to allow sufficient time for assessment of whether prescribed treatments 88 were also dispensed by the pharmacy. Patients with a history of HF hospitalizations before their 89 SwedeHF registration were excluded to avoid the enrollment of patients at different disease stages. 90 Therefore, only patients with a first HF hospitalization and those who had never been hospitalized, i.e., 91 out-patients (the control population) were included. If a patient had multiple eligible registrations in 92 SwedeHF, only the first one was considered. Patient characteristics were collected at the index date, 93 which was the day of hospital discharge for patients with a HF hospitalization and the day of the 94 outpatient clinic visit for the control population (Supplement Figure 1).

- 95
- 96

6 <u>Definition of HF treatment initiation and discontinuation</u>

97 RASI/ARNI, betablockers, MRA and loop diuretics were the HF treatments considered in this
98 study. SGLT2i were not considered, as they were not established as a HF treatment in Sweden during
99 the study period.

Discontinuation of a study drug was defined as at least one dispensed prescription within 6 months to 1 day prior to the index date and no dispensed prescription from the index date until 6 months thereafter; and vice versa for initiation.

103

104 <u>Statistical analysis</u>

As main analysis, reflecting the 2021 ESC HF guidelines recommendations for HFrEF and
 HFmrEF, HF treatment initiation/discontinuation was analyzed in the overall study cohort (HFrEF and

HFmrEF together).(3) Additionally, to reflect previous ESC HF guidelines which did not provide a
recommendation for HFmrEF, a secondary analysis was conducted only including patients with
HFrEF.(12, 13)

110 Categorical variables were presented as frequencies (percentages) and tested by chi-square 111 test for comparisons, while continuous variables were reported as medians (interquartile range) and 112 tested by Kruskal-Wallis test for comparisons.

113 To determine whether a HF hospitalization was linked with the initiation/discontinuation of HF 114 treatments, for each individual drug separate multivariable logistic regression models were fitted with 115 discontinuation or initiation as the dependent variable and hospitalized vs. out-patients as independent 116 variable. Thus, a patient who had one drug initiated and another discontinued could contribute to both 117 models. Twenty-three variables representing demographics, clinical characteristics, comorbidities, and 118 socioeconomics were considered for adjustments (see Table 1). Also, to explore whether renal 119 function was associated with the likelihood of HF treatment initiation/discontinuation following a HF 120 hospitalization, logistic regression models were fitted stratified by, rather than adjusted for, the 121 estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; unadjusted models for eGFR ≥60, 30-59 and <30 122 ml/min/1.73m² whereas for adjusted models eGFR \geq 60 and <60 ml/min/1.73m² were considered due 123 to the limited sample size).

To investigate the association between recurrent HF hospitalizations and HF treatment initiation/discontinuation, the percentage of patients in whom HF treatments were initiated/discontinued was plotted according to number of HF hospitalizations within 6 months to 3 years following the index event for patients with complete follow-up for the respective period.

To assess patient characteristics independently associated with HF treatment initiation/discontinuation following a HF hospitalization (i.e., only patients with a HF hospitalization were considered for this analysis), separate logistic regression models were fitted with initiation or discontinuation of each individual study drug as the dependent variable and the above-mentioned 23 variables as the independent variables (see *Table 1*).

To evaluate the association between HF treatment initiation/discontinuation and the risk of 3year all-cause and cardiovascular mortality (again considering only patients with a HF hospitalization), multivariable Cox proportional hazard regressions were fitted. Adjustments were performed for the variables highlighted in *Table 1*. Censoring was performed on December 31st 2019, at time of non-

- 137 cardiovascular death for the outcome cardiovascular death, at emigration from Sweden or at 3 years
- 138 from the index date.
- In all the multivariable models missing data for covariates were handled by multiple imputation
 with 10 imputed datasets generated using the R package *mice*.(14) Variables included in the model
 are highlighted in *Table 1*.
- 142 The level of significance was set to 5% two-sided, and all analyses were performed using R
- 143 version 4.0.2.

144 Results

145 Baseline characteristics

146 Between May 11th 2000 and December 31st 2019, there were 156,544 registrations in 147 SwedeHF. After application of the selection criteria, 14,737 patients, 9,374 (64%) with HFrEF and 148 5,363 (36%) with HFmrEF, were analyzed. Of these, 6,893 (47%) were patients hospitalized for HF, 149 and 7,844 (53%) were out-patients (Figure 1).

150 In the overall cohort, hospitalized patients vs. out-patients were older, less frequently male, 151 had more advanced HF, worse renal function and higher comorbidity burden (Table 1). Prior treatment 152 with RASI/ARNI and betablockers, but not with MRA, was less frequent in hospitalized patients, but 153 use of loop diuretics and devices was higher. Additionally, HF dedicated follow-up care was less 154 frequent in hospitalized patients; they were also more likely living alone, had a lower education and 155 lower income.

156 When only analyzing patients with HFrEF, most of these findings were consistent, except for 157 prior MRA use which was significantly less in hospitalized patients, and there was no significant 158 difference regarding sex distribution (Table 1).

159

160

Treatment initiation and discontinuation in hospitalized vs. out-patients

161 Following a HF hospitalization, initiation of MRA, RASI/ARNI, betablockers or loop diuretics 162 was more frequently observed than discontinuation (Figure 2): Initiation vs. discontinuation among 163 patients hospitalized for HF was 41.4% vs. 20.4% for MRA, 64.8% vs. 7.3% for RASI/ARNI, 71.8% vs. 164 4.9% for betablockers, 80.8% vs. 4.3% for loop diuretics, whereas 79.6%, 92.7%, 95.1%, and 95.7% 165 were kept on treatment, respectively. For out-patients, initiation was also more frequent than 166 discontinuation (16.4% vs. 14.5% for MRA, 53.2% vs. 3.6% for RASI/ARNI, 44.1% vs. 3.4% for 167 betablockers, 18.4% vs. 18.6% for loop diuretics, with 85.5%, 96.4%, 96.6%, and 81.4% who were 168 kept on these treatments, respectively), although the frequency of initiation/discontinuation of HF 169 treatments was lower compared to patients with a HF hospitalization.

170 In patients who were not on treatment prior to the index date, initiations of MRA [odds ratio 171 (OR) 3.9, 95% confidence interval (CI) 3.5-4.4], of RASI/ARNI (OR 2.3, 95% CI 1.8-2.8), of 172 betablockers (OR 3.9, 95% CI 3.1-4.8) and of loop diuretics (OR 13.4, 95% CI 11.4-15.7) were more 173 likely in hospitalized vs. out-patients (Figure 3). Results were consistent when stratifying by eGFR,

174 although crude initiation rates were lower when renal function was lower (*Supplement Figure 2,*175 Supplement Table 1).

176 In patients who were on treatment prior to the index date, discontinuations of RASI/ARNI (OR 177 1.5, 95% CI 1.2-1.8) and of betablockers (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.2-1.8) were more likely, whereas 178 discontinuation of loop diuretics (OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.2-0.3) was less likely in hospitalized vs. out-179 patients, and no significant difference was observed for MRA discontinuation (OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.9-1.3; 180 Figure 3). These associations were consistent when stratifying by eGFR, although discontinuation 181 rates of MRA, RASI/ARNI and betablockers increased, and discontinuation rates of loop diuretic 182 decreased when renal function was lower, and discontinuation rates were highest while initiation rates lowest in patients with an eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73m² regardless of whether patients were hospitalized 183 184 or not (Supplement Figure 2, Supplement Table 1). These results were consistent when patients with 185 HFrEF were analyzed separately.

When analyzing the impact of recurrent HF hospitalizations, the frequency of MRA and loop diuretic initiation, but also of discontinuation of all the study treatments increased with a higher number of HF hospitalizations (*Supplement Figure 3*).

189

190 Independent predictors of treatment initiation and discontinuation in patients hospitalized for HF

Several factors were independently associated with initiation/discontinuation of HF treatmentsin patients hospitalized for HF (*Table 2*).

193 MRA initiation was less likely in older patients or those living alone, in those with a higher 194 blood pressure, lower eGFR, or anemia, but more likely in those with hypokalemia and atrial 195 fibrillation. Initiation of RASI/ARNI was also less likely in older patients, and in those with lower eGFR, 196 with valvular heart disease, with atrial fibrillation, with prior stroke/transient ischemic attack or with 197 anemia, but more likely in male patients. Betablocker initiation was less likely in older patients as well 198 as those with anemia, valvular heart disease, chronic obstructive lung disease and recent cancer, but 199 was not associated with eGFR or blood pressure. Loop diuretic initiation was more likely in older 200 patients, those with a higher heart rate, an eGFR of 30-59 ml/min/1.73m² (but not in those with an 201 eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73m²), a higher NTproBNP and atrial fibrillation, and less likely in those with 202 hyperkalemia.

203 MRA discontinuation was more likely in patients with a lower eGFR, with anemia and in those 204 living alone. RASI/ARNI discontinuation was more likely in patients with lower blood pressure,

hypokalemia, lower eGFR and with atrial fibrillation, anemia, and a recent cancer diagnosis, whereas
betablocker discontinuation was not associated with any of the tested patient characteristic. Loop
diuretic discontinuation was less likely in older patients in those with an eGFR of 30-59 ml/min/1.73m²,
but not in those with an eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73m².

209

210 <u>3-year mortality risk associated with treatment initiation/discontinuation following a HF hospitalization</u>

Among patients hospitalized for HF, during a median follow-up time after the index HF hospitalization of 2.8 (minimum 0.5, maximum 3.0) years, event rates for all-cause and cardiovascular death were 200 (95% CI 193-207) and 134 (95% CI 128-140) per 1000 patient-years, respectively.

214 Initiations of RASI/ARNI and of betablockers were associated with a lower, whereas initiation 215 of loop diuretics with a higher risk of 3-year all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. There was no 216 association between MRA initiation and all-cause/cardiovascular mortality (*Figure 4, Supplement* 217 *Figure 4*). These findings were consistent when patients with HFrEF were analyzed separately, except 218 for the initiation of MRA which was then associated with a lower 3-year all-cause mortality risk (*Figure* 219 4).

Discontinuations of RASI/ARNI and of betablocker were associated with a higher risk of 3-year all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, whereas discontinuations of MRA and loop diuretics were neither associated with all-cause nor cardiovascular mortality risk (*Figure 4, Supplement Figure 4*). These findings were consistent when considering only patients with HFrEF, except for the discontinuation of loop diuretics which was then associated with a lower 3-year cardiovascular mortality risk (*Figure 4, Supplement Figure 4*).

227 Discussion

228 Among patients with HFrEF/HFmrEF, a HF hospitalization was associated with more initiation 229 of HF treatments than with their discontinuation, although many patients remained on non-optimal HF 230 therapy. Major barriers to optimization were higher age and worse renal function. As initiation of HF 231 treatments was associated with a lower and discontinuation with a higher mortality, our results 232 highlight the importance of early re-/initiation of guideline-recommended HF treatments following a HF 233 hospitalization.

- 234
- 235

Associations between a HF hospitalization and initiation/discontinuation of HF treatments

236 In this analysis, which assessed dispensations instead of prescriptions (e.g., actual vs. 237 intended use of treatments), HF treatments were overall more frequently initiated than discontinued 238 following a HF hospitalization. This may be counter to common perceptions. However, when using an 239 out-patient cohort as comparator, a HF hospitalization was not only associated with HF treatments' 240 initiation, but also with considerable discontinuation, although the magnitude of the association was 241 greater for initiation. This highlights that although treatment initiation is more likely, in a non-negligible 242 proportion of patients, i.e., 8.1-44%, HF treatments are not re-/initiated in the early post-discharge 243 period, despite our analysis was conducted within a universal health care system where HF 244 treatments are accessible regardless of the socioeconomic status.(15) Indeed our analysis considered 245 a 6-month time frame for actual dispensation to define treatment initiation/discontinuation which 246 allowed us also to consider potential changes in therapies occurring after the hospitalization, e.g., 247 during an early follow-up.(3) Overall, our findings are consistent with those from the CHAMP-HF 248 registry, where a HF hospitalization was also associated with initiation as well as discontinuation of HF 249 treatments in patients with HFrEF.(16, 17) A HF hospitalization can therefore be considered both an 250 opportunity for and a barrier to the implementation of guideline-recommended HF care. Unfortunately, 251 if observed over a longer time, the positive trend toward initiation seems to level off.(7, 18) This might 252 even be more accentuated in patients with recurrent HF hospitalizations, as observed in this study.

253 Our results, reflecting HF care up to 2018, indicate that the task of managing the later phases 254 of a HF decompensation might not be fully addressed during the hospital stay or the post-discharge 255 period.

256

257 Factors associated with the initiation/discontinuation of HF treatments following a HF hospitalization

A major factor associated with initiation and discontinuation was renal function, as indicated by our results and a recent study from North America.(19) It is particularly concerning that we observed lower use of RASI/ARNI as well as MRA in patients with an eGFR of 30-59 ml/min/1.73m², where there is no contraindication and RASI/ARNI have shown nephroprotective effects.(20, 21) It is however unclear whether low initiation/high discontinuation reflects concerns regarding the relevant medications, or a more general inertia and precaution in frailer patients.

We also observed less initiation of all the HF treatments in older patients. Actual tolerability issues are unlikely to explain this, as the analyses were adjusted for factors such as blood pressure, renal function and comorbidities, which might explain low tolerability. Therefore, unjustified inertia together with precaution, and the limited evidence supporting the use of HF treatments in older patients, might explain this finding.(22) We also observed symptoms relief with diuretics prioritized over life-prolonging medications in older patients, which might reflect a carry-on use of diuretics potentially even without residual congestion.(22)

Several comorbidities were also linked to lower use of HF treatments. Some could have been considered as causative for the decompensation, and therefore physicians might have opted to address these comorbidities first and postpone re-/initiation of HF treatments (e.g., surgery for valvular heart disease). Others, e.g., a recent cancer diagnosis, however, might have been perceived as so severe, that specific HF treatment were stopped on purpose to deescalate therapy.

276

277 <u>Association between initiation/discontinuation of HF treatments and mortality following a HF</u> 278 <u>hospitalization</u>

279 Even after adjustments for potential confounders, initiation of RASI/ARNI and betablocker 280 following a HF hospitalization was associated with lower mortality, whereas discontinuation was 281 associated with higher risk. MRA initiation was only associated with mortality in the HFrEF population, 282 but not in the overall study population of patients with HFrEF or HFmrEF, which likely reflects indication bias (as MRA were recommended as a 2nd line treatment for symptomatic HFrEF patients 283 284 despite RASI/betablockers treatment in the 2016 ESC HF guidelines). Overall, the observed 285 association between actual use of HF treatments and lower mortality risk is in line with the vast 286 evidence on the beneficial long-term effects of these treatments in patients with HF.(3, 23, 24)

Initiation of loop diuretics was associated with an increase, and their discontinuation with atrend towards a decrease in mortality. As diuretics are more likely to be prescribed in congested

289 patients, this likely reflects the negative prognostic impact of ongoing congestion, rather than any 290 prognostic role of diuretics themselves.

291 Not optimizing HF care during a HF hospitalization was associated with a higher mortality risk 292 in this study. This might be partly explained by an active discontinuation of HF treatments in patients 293 who were sicker, less tolerant to these treatments or those selected for palliative care. However, as 294 we adjusted our analysis for several confounders representing disease severity and comorbidity 295 burden, a more likely explanation is that HF treatments were discontinued during the index 296 hospitalization, and never re-/initiated during the follow-up, thus excluding patients from the proven 297 benefits of these HF treatments. This supports the approach of a rapid initiation of HF treatments 298 before discharge, together with an early follow-up in dedicated HF care facilities.(3, 9, 25)

Finally, our findings have implications for understanding the role of a HF hospitalization in affecting subsequent outcomes. Worsening HF reflects a vulnerable phase; however, several interventions known to reduce mortality may not similarly reduce HF hospitalization.(26) This suggests that HF hospitalization may not be "bad" and instead offer an opportunity for optimization. Recurrent rather than time to first HF hospitalization is increasingly used as an endpoint in HF trials to increase power, but if control groups in trials are hospitalized and as a result get optimized HF treatment, then this event may reduce, instead of increase, the risk for subsequent events.

306

307 *Limitations*

308 Strengths of this study include the use of a large, well-characterised and contemporary HF 309 cohort with extensive data on important patient characteristics, which allowed to perform extensive 310 adjustments. Importantly, we assessed the dispensation of HF treatments, as compared to 311 prescriptions, and could therefore analyse the actual use and not only the intended use of these. 312 Another strength of our analysis is we used data from a universal health care system, where HF 313 treatments are widely available irrespective of socioeconomic status, so that accessibility of care is 314 unlikely to impact the results.

Limitations are linked with the observational nature of this analysis, and therefore with potential residual/unmeasured confounding. Discontinuation/initiation was defined over a 6-month period before and after the index event, and thus patients who died within 6-months after the index event were not included, which might have led to underestimate the increase in mortality risk associated with discontinuation and to overestimate the potential reduction in risk associated with

320 initiation of HF treatments. We did not consider up- or down-titration of treatments as this would have 321 added complexity, and opted to use the clearer, but less granular, measure of 322 discontinuation/initiation. Initiation/discontinuation was defined according to the dispensed 323 medications, and not according to the presence of a prescription, so that we cannot discriminate 324 between treatment decisions made at discharge vs. those made during the follow-up. Some patients 325 might however have had a prescription of a HF treatment, but never went to the pharmacy to collect it, 326 so that some missed re-/initiations of HF treatments might be explained by low compliance rather than 327 by clinical factors or inertia. There was a considerable amount missing data for few variables (e.g., 328 New York Heart Association functional class), and missingness was overall handled by multiple 329 imputation; however we cannot exclude that this might have led to somehow biased results. The 330 limited sample size might have prevented the observation of significant differences for some sub-331 groups. Finally, our study is based on a national cohort and generalisability to other 332 countries/healthcare systems might be limited.

333 Conclusion

334 Our data show that a HF hospitalization is a likely trigger for the initiation of HF treatments, 335 and that the early re-/initiation of these treatments is associated with a lower mortality risk, which 336 supports the current ESC 2021 HF guideline recommendations. However, we also observed that still a 337 relevant proportion of patients were not initiated or were even discontinued for guideline-338 recommended HF treatments after a HF hospitalization, which was associated with higher mortality 339 risk. Overall, our findings highlight the need of implementing guideline-recommendations in this clinical 340 setting, and that major efforts should focus on older patients and those with worse renal function, as 341 these subpopulations were more likely to be discontinued from or not initiated with guideline-342 recommended HF treatments.

344 Acknowledgments

- 345 We thank all staff members at care units in Sweden as well as all included patients for their
- 346 contribution to the SwedeHF registry.

347 Funding

- 348 This study received support through a grant from the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation (project number
- 349 20220680) to dr. Savarese. The grant source had no role in the design or analysis, nor in the interpretation of
- 350 findings, manuscript preparation, or decision to submit the results.

352 Conflict of interest

353 BS reports speaker fees from AstraZeneca, Abbott and Abiomed, not related to the current work.

LHL reports grants from AstraZeneca, Vifor, Boston Scientific, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, MSD; consulting from Vifor, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Pharmacosmos, MSD, MedScape, Sanofi, Lexicon, Myokardia, Boehringer Ingelheim, Servier, Edwards Life Sciences, Alleviant; speaker's honoraria from Abbott, OrionPharma, MedScape, Radcliffe, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bayer; patents from AnaCardio. Also funding by the Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Research Council [grant 523-2014-2336], the Swedish Heart Lung Foundation [grants 20150557, 20190310], and the Stockholm County Council [grants 20170112, 20190525].

361 UD reports grants from Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Vifor Pharma, Boston Scientific 362 and Roche Diagnostics and honoraria/consultancies from Amgen and AstraZeneca, all outside the 363 submitted work.

MM reports fees from Actelion, Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Livanova, Servier, Vifor pharma and WindTree
Therapeutics as member of clinical trials committees or advisory boards and from Abbott vascular,
Bayer, Boheringer Ingelhelm and Edwards Therapeutics for speeches at sponsored meetings in the
last three years.

GS reports grants and personal fees from Vifor, grants and non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Servier, grants and personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Cytokinetics, personal fees from Medtronic, grants from Boston Scientific, grants and personal fees from Pharmacosmos, grants from Merck, grants from Bayer, funding through the Horizon 2022 programme, outside the submitted work.

The other authors have nothing to disclose.

375

376 Data availability statement

377 The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

378 References

Savarese G, Lund LH. Global Public Health Burden of Heart Failure. *Card Fail Rev.* 2017 Apr;**3**(1):7-11.

381 2. Savarese G, Stolfo D, Sinagra G, Lund LH. Heart failure with mid-range or 382 mildly reduced ejection fraction. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2022 Feb;**19**(2):100-116.

383 3. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, Bohm M, Burri 384 H, Butler J, Celutkiene J, Chioncel O, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Crespo-Leiro MG, 385 Farmakis D, Gilard M, Heymans S, Hoes AW, Jaarsma T, Jankowska EA, Lainscak 386 M, Lam CSP, Lyon AR, McMurray JJV, Mebazaa A, Mindham R, Muneretto C, 387 Francesco Piepoli M, Price S, Rosano GMC, Ruschitzka F, Kathrine Skibelund A, 388 Group ESCSD. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and 389 chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J*. 2021 Aug 27.

390 4. D'Amario D, Rodolico D, Rosano GMC, Dahlstrom U, Crea F, Lund LH,
391 Savarese G. Association between dosing and combination use of medications and
392 outcomes in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: data from the Swedish Heart
393 Failure Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022 May;**24**(5):871-884.

- Shen L, Jhund PS, Docherty KF, Vaduganathan M, Petrie MC, Desai AS,
 Kober L, Schou M, Packer M, Solomon SD, Zhang X, McMurray JJV. Accelerated
 and personalized therapy for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J.*2022 Jul 14;43(27):2573-2587.
- 398 6. Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, Jhund PS, Cunningham JW, Pedro Ferreira J,
 399 Zannad F, Packer M, Fonarow GC, McMurray JJV, Solomon SD. Estimating lifetime
 400 benefits of comprehensive disease-modifying pharmacological therapies in patients
 401 with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a comparative analysis of three
 402 randomised controlled trials. *Lancet*. 2020 Jul 11;**396**(10244):121-128.
- 403 7. Savarese G, Bodegard J, Norhammar A, Sartipy P, Thuresson M, Cowie MR,
 404 Fonarow GC, Vaduganathan M, Coats AJS. Heart failure drug titration,
 405 discontinuation, mortality and heart failure hospitalization risk: a multinational
 406 observational study (US, UK and Sweden). *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2021 Sep;23(9):1499407 1511.
- 8. Savarese G, Carrero JJ, Pitt B, Anker SD, Rosano GMC, Dahlstrom U, Lund
 LH. Factors associated with underuse of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in
 heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: an analysis of 11 215 patients from the
 Swedish Heart Failure Registry. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2018 Sep;**20**(9):1326-1334.
- 412 9. Lindberg F, Lund LH, Benson L, Schrage B, Edner M, Dahlstrom U, Linde C,
 413 Rosano G, Savarese G. Patient profile and outcomes associated with follow-up in
 414 specialty vs. primary care in heart failure. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2022 Feb 15.
- 415 10. Butler J, Yang M, Manzi MA, Hess GP, Patel MJ, Rhodes T, Givertz MM.
 416 Clinical Course of Patients With Worsening Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection
 417 Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019 Mar 5;**73**(8):935-944.
- 418 11. Savarese G, Vasko P, Jonsson A, Edner M, Dahlstrom U, Lund LH. The
 419 Swedish Heart Failure Registry: a living, ongoing quality assurance and research in
 420 heart failure. *Ups J Med Sci.* 2019 Jan;**124**(1):65-69.
- 421 Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Falk 12. 422 V, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GMC, Ruilope LM, 423 Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P, Group ESCSD. 2016 ESC Guidelines for 424 425 the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for 426 the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European 427 Society of Cardiology (ESC)Developed with the special contribution of the Heart 428 Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J. 2016 Jul 14;37(27):2129-2200.

429 Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole-13. 430 Wilson PA, Stromberg A, van Veldhuisen DJ, Atar D, Hoes AW, Keren A, Mebazaa A, Nieminen M, Priori SG, Swedberg K, Guidelines ESCCfP. ESC Guidelines for the 431 diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008: the Task Force for 432 433 the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2008 of the 434 European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure 435 Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive 436 Care Medicine (ESICM). Eur Heart J. 2008 Oct; 29(19):2388-2442.

437 14. Buuren Šv, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained
438 Equations in R. Journal of Statistical Software2011. p. 1-67.

439 15. Schrage B, Lund LH, Benson L, Stolfo D, Ohlsson A, Westerling R,
440 Westermann D, Strömberg A, Dahlström U, Braunschweig F, Ferreira JP, Savarese
441 G. Lower socioeconomic status predicts higher mortality and morbidity in patients
442 with heart failure. *Heart*. 2021 Feb;**107**(3):229-236.

443 16. Greene SJ, Fonarow GC, DeVore AD, Sharma PP, Vaduganathan M, Albert
444 NM, Duffy CI, Hill CL, McCague K, Patterson JH, Spertus JA, Thomas L, Williams
445 FB, Hernandez AF, Butler J. Titration of Medical Therapy for Heart Failure With
446 Reduced Ejection Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019 May 21;**73**(19):2365-2383.

Srivastava PK, DeVore AD, Hellkamp AS, Thomas L, Albert NM, Butler J,
Patterson JH, Spertus JA, Williams FB, Duffy CI, Hernandez AF, Fonarow GC. Heart
Failure Hospitalization and Guideline-Directed Prescribing Patterns Among Heart
Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction Patients. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2021 Jan;9(1):2838.

452 18. Butler J, Yang M, Sawhney B, Chakladar S, Yang L, Djatche LM. Treatment
453 patterns and clinical outcomes among patients <65 years with a worsening heart
454 failure event. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2021 Aug;**23**(8):1334-1342.

455 19. Greene SJ, Ezekowitz JA, Anstrom KJ, Demyanenko V, Givertz MM, Pina IL,
456 O'Connor CM, Koglin J, Roessig L, Hernandez AF, Armstrong PW, Mentz RJ.
457 Medical Therapy During Hospitalization for Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection
458 Fraction: The VICTORIA Registry. *J Card Fail*. 2022 Jul;**28**(7):1063-1077.

459 20. Lambers Heerspink HJ, de Borst MH, Bakker SJ, Navis GJ. Improving the
460 efficacy of RAAS blockade in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.*461 2013 Feb;**9**(2):112-121.

462 21. Damman K, Gori M, Claggett B, Jhund PS, Senni M, Lefkowitz MP, Prescott
463 MF, Shi VC, Rouleau JL, Swedberg K, Zile MR, Packer M, Desai AS, Solomon SD,
464 McMurray JJV. Renal Effects and Associated Outcomes During Angiotensin465 Neprilysin Inhibition in Heart Failure. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2018 Jun;**6**(6):489-498.

466 22. Stolfo D, Lund LH, Becher PM, Orsini N, Thorvaldsen T, Benson L, Hage C,
467 Dahlstrom U, Sinagra G, Savarese G. Use of evidence-based therapy in heart failure
468 with reduced ejection fraction across age strata. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2022
469 Jun;24(6):1047-1062.

470 Gilstrap LG, Fonarow GC, Desai AS, Liang L, Matsouaka R, DeVore AD, 23. 471 Smith EE, Heidenreich P, Hernandez AF, Yancy CW, Bhatt DL. Initiation, 472 Continuation, or Withdrawal of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 473 Inhibitors/Angiotensin Receptor Blockers and Outcomes in Patients Hospitalized With 474 Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017 Feb 11;6(2).

475 24. Butler J, Young JB, Ábraham WT, Bourge RC, Adams KF, Jr., Clare R, 476 O'Connor C. Beta-blocker use and outcomes among hospitalized heart failure 477 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006 Jun 20;**47**(12):2462-2469. 478 25. Greene SJ, Butler J, Fonarow GC. Simultaneous or Rapid Sequence Initiation
479 of Quadruple Medical Therapy for Heart Failure-Optimizing Therapy With the Need
480 for Speed. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2021 Jul 1;6(7):743-744.

481 26. Savarese G, Lund LH, Dahlstrom U, Stromberg A. Nurse-Led Heart Failure

482 Clinics Are Associated With Reduced Mortality but Not Heart Failure Hospitalization.

483 *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019 May 21;8(10):e011737.
484

486 Figure legends

487 Graphical abstract



Use of GL without	DMT in patients with vs. t a HF hospitalization	Triggers patients wit	of less GDMT use in th a HF hospitalization	GDMT use and mortality following a HF hospitalization		
200- 400%	Initiation more likely (use of GDMT in patients previously <u>not</u> on GDMT)	ŝ	Higher age	~30%	Lower mortality risk for RASi/ARNI and betablocker initiation	
40- 60%	but so was discontinuation (use of GDMT in patients previously on GDMT)	GD	Worse renal function	30- 50%	Higher mortality risk for RASi/ARNI and betablocker discontinuation	
8.1- 44%	and a relevant proportion of patients not on GDMT	Ų	Lower blood pressure	-	No association between MRA use and mortality	
Strong call for further implementation of current guidelines recommendation of an earlier re-/initiation of GDMT following a HF hospitalization						

488

489 HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFmrEF: Heart failure with mid-ranged ejection fraction; HF: Heart failure;
 490 GDMT: Guideline directed medical therapy; RASi: Renin angiotensin system inhibitors; ARNI: Angiotensin-receptor neprilysin-

491 inhibitors; MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist.

492

Figure 1. Study flow chart.



496 HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFmrEF: Heart failure with mildly reduced ejection fraction.



499 *Figure 2.* Dispensation of heart failure treatments following a heart failure hospitalization.

500

501 Dispensation of heart failure treatments before and after a heart failure hospitalization were analyzed. No prior treatment was 502 defined as no dispensed prescription within 6 months to 1 day before the index date, and post treatment was defined as 1 503 dispensed prescription from the index date until 6 months thereafter, and vice versa. "Stable off" indicates no dispensed 504 treatment before and after the heart failure hospitalization, "discontinued" indicates dispensed treatment before but not after the 505 heart failure hospitalization, "initiated" indicates no dispensed treatment before but after the heart failure hospitalization and 506 "stable on" indicates dispensed treatment before and after the heart failure hospitalization. MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor 507 antagonist; RASI: Renin-aldosterone-system inhibitors; ARNI: Angiotensin-receptor neprilysin-inhibitors.



- 510 Figure 3. Likelihood of initiation/discontinuation of heart failure treatments in patients with vs. without a
- 511 heart failure hospitalization.

Α	Events		OR (95% CI)	P-value
MRA initiation				
HFrEF	1438 (43.9%) vs. 605 (21.1%)		3.3 (2.9-3.8)	<0.001
HFrEF + HFmrEF	1960 (41.4%) vs. 876 (16.4%)		3.9 (3.5-4.4)	<0.001
RASi/ARNi initiation				
HFrEF	705 (72.2%) vs. 206 (61.9%)		2.1 (1.5-2.8)	<0.001
HFrEF + HFmrEF	966 (64.8%) vs. 384 (53.2%)	-	2.3 (1.8-2.8)	<0.001
Betablocker initiation				
HFrEF	795 (78.6%) vs. 238 (54.2%)		4.0 (3.0-5.4)	<0.001
HFrEF + HFmrEF	960 (71.8%) vs. 398 (44.1%)	-	3.9 (3.1-4.8)	<0.001
Loop diuretic initiation				
HFrEF	1072 (80.2%) vs. 407 (20.1%)	-	12.1 (10.0-14.6)	<0.001
HFrEF + HFmrEF	1445 (80.8%) vs. 695 (18.4%)	-	13.4 (11.4-15.7)	<0.001
		1 5 16	3	
		OR (95% CI)		
		. ,		
В	Events		OR (95% CI)	P-value
B MRA discontinuation	Events		OR (95% CI)	P-value
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%)	-	OR (95% CI)	P-value 0.208
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%)	-	OR (95% CI) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3)	P-value 0.208 0.237
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%)		OR (95% CI) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3)	P-value 0.208 0.237
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%)	•	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%)	•	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Betablocker discontinuation	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%)	•	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Betablocker discontinuation HFrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%) 182 (4.7%) vs. 121 (3.0%)	*	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8) 1.6 (1.2-2.1)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001 0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Betablocker discontinuation HFrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%) 182 (4.7%) vs. 121 (3.0%) 273 (4.9%) vs. 233 (3.4%)	*	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8) 1.6 (1.2-2.1) 1.4 (1.2-1.8)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001 0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF Betablocker discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%) 182 (4.7%) vs. 121 (3.0%) 273 (4.9%) vs. 233 (3.4%)	*	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8) 1.6 (1.2-2.1) 1.4 (1.2-1.8)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001 0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASI/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF Betablocker discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Loop diuretic discontinuation HFrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%) 182 (4.7%) vs. 121 (3.0%) 273 (4.9%) vs. 233 (3.4%) 169 (4.7%) vs. 456 (18.8%)	*	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8) 1.6 (1.2-2.1) 1.4 (1.2-1.8) 0.3 (0.2-0.3)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001 0.001 <0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASi/ARNi discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Betablocker discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%) 182 (4.7%) vs. 121 (3.0%) 273 (4.9%) vs. 233 (3.4%) 169 (4.7%) vs. 456 (18.8%) 221 (4.3%) vs. 754 (18.6%)	*	OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8) 1.6 (1.2-2.1) 1.4 (1.2-1.8) 0.3 (0.2-0.3) 0.3 (0.2-0.3)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001 0.001 <0.001 <0.001
B MRA discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF RASI/ARNI discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Betablocker discontinuation HFrEF HFrEF + HFmrEF Loop diuretic discontinuation HFrEF	Events 331 (20.1%) vs. 219 (13.8%) 440 (20.4%) vs. 361 (14.5%) 268 (6.8%) vs. 128 (3.1%) 397 (7.3%) vs. 254 (3.6%) 182 (4.7%) vs. 121 (3.0%) 273 (4.9%) vs. 233 (3.4%) 169 (4.7%) vs. 456 (18.8%) 221 (4.3%) vs. 754 (18.6%)		OR (95% Cl) 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 1.6 (1.3-2.0) 1.5 (1.2-1.8) 1.6 (1.2-2.1) 1.4 (1.2-1.8) 0.3 (0.2-0.3) 0.3 (0.2-0.3)	P-value 0.208 0.237 <0.001 <0.001 0.001 <0.001 <0.001

512

513 Initiation/discontinuation of heart failure treatments is compared between patients with a heart failure hospitalization vs. out-514 patients (i.e., patients without a heart failure hospitalization). For initiation of heart failure treatments (A), no prior treatment was 515 defined as no dispensed prescription within 6 months to 1 day before the index date, and post treatment is defined as 1 516 dispensed prescription from the index date until 6 months thereafter, and vice versa for discontinuation of heart failure 517 treatments (B). Crude event rates and adjusted odds ratios are shown, and variables used for adjustment in the underlying 518 logistic regression model are reported in Table 1. An OR >1 indicates a higher, and an OR <1 indicates a lower likelihood of 519 initiation/discontinuation of heart failure treatments in hospitalized patients. OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; MRA: 520 Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFmrEF: Heart failure with mildly 521 reduced ejection fraction; RASI: Renin-aldosteron-system inhibitors; ARNI: Angiotensin-receptor neprilysin-inhibitors.

- 522 Figure 4. Association between initiation/discontinuation of heart failure treatments and 3-year all-cause
- 523 mortality among patients with a heart failure hospitalization.



525 Cox regression models were fitted to evaluate the association between initiation/discontinuation vs. no initiation/no 526 discontinuation (reference) in heart failure treatments and 3-year all-cause mortality among patients with a heart failure 527 hospitalization. For initiation of heart failure treatments (A), no prior treatment was defined as no dispensed prescription within 6 528 months to 1 day before the index date, and post treatment was defined as 1 dispensed prescription from the index date until 6 529 months thereafter, and vice versa for discontinuation of heart failure treatments (B). Crude event rates and adjusted odds ratios 530 are shown, and variables used for adjustment in the underlying logistic regression model are reported in Table 1. HR: Hazard 531 ratio; CI: Confidence interval; MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; 532 HFmrEF: Heart failure with mildly reduced ejection fraction; RASI: Renin-aldosterone-system inhibitors; ARNI: Angiotensin-533 receptor neprilysin-inhibitors.

Tables

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study cohort.

	Overall study cohort			HFrEF only				
	(HFrEF + HFmrEF)				Out and fants and the action to with a LIE			
	Missing	Out-patients, not	In-patients with a HF		Missing	Out-patients, not	In-patients with a HF	
	(%)	(NI_7 844)	(N=6 803)	р	(%)	(N=4.451)	(N=4 022)	р
		(11=7,844)	(N=0,095)	hice		(11=4,431)	(11=4,923)	1
Sox (molo) *°								0.006
	0.0	5560 (70.9)	4747 (68.9)	0.008	0.0	3306 (74.3)	3563 (72.6)	0.096
Age (years)	0.0	74.0 [66, 80]	78 [69, 84]	<0.001	0.0	73 [66, 80]		<0.001
≥/5 years *	0.0	3742 (47.7)	4161 (60.4)	<0.001	0.0	2060 (46.3)	2781 (56.5)	<0.001
Index year *	0.0	2015 [2012, 2017]	2012 [2010, 2015]	<0.001	0.0	2014 [2011, 2017]	2012 [2010, 2015]	<0.001
	1		Clinical var	lables	-			
HFmrEF *	0.0	3393 (43.3)	1970 (28.6)	<0.001	0	0	0	-
NYHA class *°	28.3			<0.001	28.1			<0.001
		948 (14.0)	158 (4.2)			434 (11.1)	100 (3.5)	
11		3829 (56.4)	1405 (37.2)			2116 (54.3)	969 (34.1)	
III-IV		2016 (29.7)	2213 (58.6)			1349 (34.6)	1771 (62.4)	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	1.8	129.0 [115.0, 140.0]	120.0 [110.0, 137.0]	< 0.001	1.5	125.0 [113.0, 140.0]	120.0 [110.0, 133.0]	< 0.001
>100 mmHg *°	1.8	7033 (92.2)	5915 (86.5)	< 0.001	1.5	3935 (90.5)	4123 (84.4)	<0.001
Heart rate (bpm)	2.7	69.0 [60.0, 78.0]	73.0 [65.0, 84.0]	< 0.001	2.3	69.0 [60.0, 78.0]	73.0 [65.0, 84.0]	< 0.001
>70 bpm *°	2.7	3223 (42.7)	3784 (55.7)	< 0.001	2.3	1855 (43.0)	2730 (56.4)	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	29.6	27.2 [24.2, 30.6]	26.6 [23.4, 30.5]	< 0.001	29.0	27.0 [24.0, 30.2]	26.4 [23.2, 30.2]	< 0.001
≥30 kg/m² *°	29.6	1505 (29.2)	1487 (28.5)	0.413	29.0	788 (26.9)	998 (26.7)	0.883
Potassium (mmol/L)	13.9	4.3 [4.0, 4.5]	4.1 [3.8, 4.4]	< 0.001	14.5	4.3 [4.0, 4.5]	4.1 [3.8, 4.4]	< 0.001
Potassium, categorized *°	13.9			< 0.001	14.5	- L -, - J		< 0.001
Normokalemia		6763 (95.2)	5082 (91.0)			3838 (94.8)	3624 (91.4)	
Hypokalemia		126 (1.8)	341 (6.1)			74 (1.8)	228 (5.7)	
Hyperkalemia		215 (3.0)	160 (2.9)			135 (3.3)	115 (2.9)	
$eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m^2)$	1.9	70.7 [54.3, 87.5]	56.5 [41.7, 75.2]	< 0.001	1.4	70.7 [54.6, 88.2]	57.7 [42.5, 76.1]	< 0.001
eGFR categorized *°	1.9			<0.001	1 4		0.11 [12:0] 10:1]	<0.001
>60	1.0	5058 (66 6)	3065 (44 7)	30.001		2894 (66 7)	2271 (46 3)	30.001
30-59		2332 (30.7)	3190 (46 5)			1320 (30.4)	2234 (45.6)	
<30		208 (2 7)	608 (8 9)			123 (2.8)	308 (8 1)	
NT-proBNP (pg/ml)	13.7	1340 [577, 2740]	4609 [2123 9540]	<0.001	44.7	1558 [603 3236]	5071 [2340_10496]	<0.001
Abovo modian *°	43.7	1620 (22.6)	2520 (72.0)	<0.001	44.7	852 (31 0)		<0.001
Above median	43.7	1029 (33.0)	2320 (73:0)		44.7	032 (31.0)	1741 (71.3)	<0.001
						0.424		
	5.4	1742 (24.5)	2342 (37.0)	<0.404	22.0	2133 (30.7)	2109 (39.0)	-0.001
Disbatas mallitus *°	0.4	1742 (24.3)	2607 (27.9)	<0.001	4.0	1100 (24.3)	2030 (41.0)	<0.001
	0.0	1010 (23.2)	2007 (37.8)	<0.001	0.0			<0.001
	0.0	4231 (53.9)	4520 (65.6)	<0.001	0.0	2313 (52.0)	3087 (62.7)	<0.001
Ischemic heart disease **	0.0	4651 (59.3)	4627 (67.1)	<0.001	0.0	2796 (62.8)	3355 (68.1)	<0.001
Arterial hypertension **	0.0	5183 (66.1)	5007 (72.6)	< 0.001	0.0	2856 (64.2)	3420 (69.5)	< 0.001
Peripheral artery disease *°	0.0	647 (8.2)	843 (12.2)	< 0.001	0.0	396 (8.9)	602 (12.2)	<0.001

Stroke/TIA *°	0.0	1229 (15.7)	1448 (21.0)	<0.001	0.0	703 (15.8)	992 (20.2)	<0.001	
Valvular heart disease	0.0	1825 (23.3)	2400 (34.8)	< 0.001	0.0	1000 (22.5)	1646 (33.4)	<0.001	
Liver disease *	0.0	109 (1.4)	206 (3.0)	< 0.001	0.0	58 (1.3)	167 (3.4)	<0.001	
Cancer in past 3 years *°	0.0	943 (12.0)	884 (12.8)	0.147	0.0	539 (12.1)	606 (12.3)	0.792	
COPD *°	0.0	857 (10.9)	1226 (17.8)	<0.001	0.0	477 (10.7)	816 (16.6)	<0.001	
Severe bleeding *	0.0	1227 (15.6)	1591 (23.1)	<0.001	0.0	683 (15.3)	1045 (21.2)	<0.001	
		•	Treatme	ents		• • •	· · · · ·		
RASI *	0.0	7122 (90.8)	5403 (78.4)	<0.001	0.0	4118 (92.5)	3946 (80.2)	<0.001	
ACEi	0.0	4658 (59.4)	3574 (51.8)	<0.001	0.0	2707 (60.8)	2637 (53.6)	<0.001	
ARB	0.0	2875 (36.7)	2150 (31.2)	<0.001	0.0	1669 (37.5)	1559 (31.7)	<0.001	
ARNI	0.0	156 (2.0)	27 (0.4)	<0.001	0.0	138 (3.1)	27 (0.5)	<0.001	
Betablockers *	0.0	6941 (88.5)	5556 (80.6)	<0.001	0.0	4012 (90.1)	3912 (79.5)	<0.001	
MRA *	0.0	2490 (31.7)	2159 (31.3)	0.594	0.0	1583 (35.6)	1648 (33.5)	0.035	
Loop diuretic *	0.0	4062 (51.8)	5104 (74.0)	<0.001	0.0	2429 (54.6)	3586 (72.8)	<0.001	
CRT/ICD *	2.5	579 (7.7)	973 (14.2)	<0.001	1.7	442 (10.2)	899 (18.4)	<0.001	
Digoxin *	0.3	845 (10.8)	1134 (16.5)	<0.001	0.4	470 (10.6)	834 (17.0)	<0.001	
Platelet inhibitor *	0.5	3296 (42.2)	3024 (44.1)	0.016	0.5	1981 (44.6)	2238 (45.8)	0.287	
Oral anticoagulant *	0.3	3896 (49.8)	3463 (50.5)	0.377	0.3	2168 (48.8)	2432 (49.6)	0.425	
Statin *	0.3	4577 (58.5)	3620 (52.7)	< 0.001	0.4	2679 (60.4)	2643 (53.9)	< 0.001	
Nitrate *	0.4	830 (10.6)	1344 (19.6)	<0.001	0.5	489 (11.0)	909 (18.6)	<0.001	
Follow-up referral to nurse-led									
HF clinic *	4.6	5533 (72.6)	2944 (45.7)	< 0.001	4.6	3193 (73.7)	2313 (50.2)	< 0.001	
Follow-up referral specialty *	3.3			< 0.001	3.2			< 0.001	
Specialty care		5149 (66.8)	3996 (61.0)			3308 (75.6)	3110 (66.3)		
Primary care		2474 (32.1)	2351 (35.9)			1030 (23.5)	1448 (30.8)		
Other		88 (1.1)	200 (3.1)			40 (0.9)	136 (2.9)		
Socioeconomics									
Living alone *°	0.2	3132 (40.0)	3603 (52.4)	<0.001	0.3	1729 (39.0)	2506 (51.0)	<0.001	
Children *	0.0	6699 (85.4)	5746 (83.4)	0.001	0.0	3785 (85.0)	4079 (82.9)	0.005	
Education *°	2.1			<0.001	2.2			<0.001	
Compulsory school		3119 (40.4)	3115 (46.5)			1804 (41.3)	2161 (45.0)		
Secondary school		3189 (41.3)	2555 (38.1)			1787 (40.9)	1901 (39.6)		
University		1416 (18.3)	1029 (15.4)			780 (17.8)	735 (15.3)		
Disposable income above									
median *°	0.2	4186 (53.5)	3172 (46.1)	< 0.001	0.3	2396 (54.0)	2342 (47.7)	< 0.001	

Variables marked with a * were used in the multiple imputation, and variables marked with a $^{\circ}$ were adjusted for in the logistic/cox regression models. When stratifying patients based on NTproBNP > or \leq median, the median NTproBNP per EF category (HFrEF or HFmrEF) was considered; and when stratifying patients based on income > or \leq median, the median income per year of enrolment was considered. HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFmrEF: Heart failure with mildly reduced ejection fraction; NYHA: New York Heart Association functional class; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate (calculated by CKD-EPI formula); NTproBNP: N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; TIA: Transient ischemic attack; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disese; RASI: Renin-aldosterone-system inhibitors; ACEi: Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI: Angiotensin-receptor neprilysin-inhibitors; MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; CRT: Cardiac resynchronization therapy; ICD: Implantable cardioverter defibrillator.

	Initiation/	MRA	RASI/ARNI	Betablockers	Loop diuretics		
	Discontinuation						
	Initiation						
Male vs. female sex	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.8-1.1), 0.311	1.3 (1.0-1.7), 0.049	1.2 (0.9-1.7), 0.187	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.531		
	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.673	1.0 (0.7-1.2), 0.702	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.269	1.1 (0.8-1.5), 0.732		
Age ≥ vs. < 75 vears	Initiation	0.6 (0.6-0.7), <0.001	0.5 (0.4-0.7), <0.001	0.5 (0.3-0.6), <0.001	1.5 (1.1-2.0), 0.005		
	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.239	1.1 (0.9-1.4), 0.386	1.3 (1.0-1.7), 0.091	0.7 (0.5-1.0), 0.034		
	Initiation	1.1 (0.8-1.7), 0.490	1.3 (0.7-2.6), 0.444	1.5 (0.7-3.4), 0.345	1.3 (0.8-2.1), 0.260		
	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.5-1.9), 0.929	0.8 (0.4-1.6), 0.593	0.8 (0.4-1.6), 0.561	1.3 (0.5-3.4), 0.531		
	Initiation	1.3 (0.9-1.9), 0.239	1.2 (0.6-2.3), 0.547	1.3 (0.6-2.9), 0.560	1.5 (0.9-2.6), 0.155		
NTHA III/IV VS. I	Discontinuation	1.1 (0.5-2.4), 0.760	0.9 (0.5-1.8), 0.788	0.8 (0.4-1.6), 0.560	1.2 (0.5-2.9), 0.716		
SPR va < 100 mmHa	Initiation	0.7 (0.6-0.9), 0.002	1.1 (0.8-1.7), 0.502	1.3 (0.9-1.9), 0.132	1.2 (0.8-1.7), 0.444		
3BF > VS. ≤ 100 IIIIIIAg	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.8-1.3), 0.936	0.7 (0.5-0.9), 0.009	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.201	1.0 (0.7-1.4), 0.859		
Heart rate $\geq v_0 < 70$ ham	Initiation	1.0 (0.9-1.2), 0.496	1.0 (0.8-1.3), 0.715	1.3 (1.0-1.6), 0.077	1.6 (1.2-2.1), <0.001		
Healt falle $> vs. \le 70$ bpin	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.261	1.1 (0.9-1.4), 0.395	0.8 (0.6-1.0), 0.059	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.646		
$BMI > v_S < 30 kg/m^2$	Initiation	1.1 (0.9-1.3), 0.366	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.866	1.0 (0.7-1.4), 0.989	1.1 (0.8-1.6), 0.593		
	Discontinuation	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.131	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.552	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.273	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.331		
Hypo-ys pormokalemia	Initiation	1.4 (1.1-1.8), 0.009	0.7 (0.4-1.2), 0.247	0.9 (0.5-1.6), 0.756	1.4 (0.6-3.1), 0.392		
Typo- vs. normokalemia	Discontinuation	1.1 (0.6-1.8), 0.801	1.7 (1.1-2.6), 0.021	1.3 (0.8-2.2), 0.298	0.8 (0.4-1.5), 0.415		
Hyper-ys_permekalemia	Initiation	0.6 (0.4-1.1), 0.079	1.4 (0.6-3.3), 0.462	0.9 (0.3-2.7), 0.854	0.3 (0.2-0.7), 0.003		
Typer vs. normokalemia	Discontinuation	1.4 (0.8-2.7), 0.269	1.1 (0.6-2.0), 0.692	0.7 (0.3-1.8), 0.457	1.5 (0.7-3.1), 0.255		
eGFR 30-59 vs. ≥60	Initiation	0.6 (0.5-0.7), <0.001	0.4 (0.3-0.6), <0.001	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.905	1.8 (1.3-2.5), <0.001		
ml/min/1.73m ²	Discontinuation	1.9 (1.5-2.4), <0.001	1.5 (1.2-2.0), 0.001	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.129	0.7 (0.5-1.0), 0.025		
eGFR <30 vs. ≥60	Initiation	0.2 (0.2-0.3), <0.001	0.1 (0.1-0.2), <0.001	1.0 (0.6-1.7), 0.985	1.4 (0.7-2.8), 0.411		
ml/min/1.73m ²	Discontinuation	3.0 (2.0-4.7), <0.001	3.8 (2.7-5.3), <0.001	1.2 (0.8-1.9), 0.314	0.9 (0.5-1.5), 0.600		
NToroBNP > vs. < median	Initiation	1.1 (1.0-1.3), 0.174	1.1 (0.7-1.6), 0.700	1.0 (0.7-1.4), 0.928	1.9 (1.4-2.6), <0.001		
	Discontinuation	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.281	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.777	1.1 (0.7-1.6), 0.715	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.324		
Ischemic heart disease yes	Initiation	1.0 (0.8-1.1), 0.568	0.8 (0.6-1.0), 0.111	1.0 (0.7-1.2), 0.754	1.3 (1.0-1.7), 0.063		
vs. No	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.599	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.228	1.1 (0.8-1.5), 0.418	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.794		

Table 2. Predictors of initiation and of discontinuation of heart failure treatments following a heart failure hospitalization.

Smoking former/current vs.	Initiation	10(09-13)0619	1 0 (0 7-1 4) 0 958	1 2 (0 9-1 6) 0 196	1 2 (0 9-1 6) 0 320
no	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.806	1.0 (0.8-1.3), 0.976	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.146	0.9 (0.7-1.3), 0.666
	Initiation	1.2 (1.1-1.4), 0.002	0.7 (0.5-0.9), 0.006	1.0 (0.8-1.3), 0.947	1.6 (1.3-2.1), <0.001
Atrial fibrillation yes vs. no	Discontinuation	1.1 (0.8-1.4), 0.640	1.3 (1.0-1.7), 0.031	1.1 (0.8-1.5), 0.470	0.8 (0.6-1.0), 0.062
	Initiation	0.8 (0.7-0.9), 0.001	0.7 (0.6-1.0), 0.023	0.7 (0.5-0.9), 0.006	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.868
Anemia yes vs. no	Discontinuation	1.4 (1.1-1.7), 0.003	1.4 (1.2-1.8), 0.001	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.495	0.8 (0.6-1.0), 0.085
Diek staat van die	Initiation	1.1 (0.9-1.2), 0.365	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.274	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.648	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.224
Diabetes yes vs. no	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.630	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.245	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.129	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.185
	Initiation	1.1 (1.0-1.3), 0.188	1.0 (0.8-1.3), 0.981	1.1 (0.8-1.4), 0.648	1.2 (0.9-1.5), 0.326
Hypertension yes vs. no	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.8-1.3), 0.916	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.323	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.427	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.109
Valvular heart disease yes vs.	Initiation	1.1 (0.9-1.3), 0.234	0.5 (0.4-0.7), <0.001	0.7 (0.5-0.9), 0.013	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.299
no	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.349	1.2 (0.9-1.5), 0.153	1.1 (0.9-1.5), 0.370	1.1 (0.8-1.4), 0.713
Peripheral artery disease yes	Initiation	1.0 (0.8-1.2), 0.645	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.282	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.330	0.7 (0.5-1.1), 0.107
vs. no	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.7-1.4), 0.879	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.234	1.2 (0.9-1.7), 0.283	0.8 (0.5-1.3), 0.411
	Initiation	1.0 (0.8-1.2), 0.923	0.9 (0.6-1.2), 0.365	0.7 (0.5-0.9), 0.016	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.281
COP D yes vs. no	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.8-1.4), 0.821	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.809	1.1 (0.8-1.6), 0.426	1.1 (0.8-1.6), 0.450
Recent cancer diagnosis yes	Initiation	0.9 (0.8-1.1), 0.529	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.271	0.7 (0.5-0.9), 0.023	0.9 (0.6-1.4), 0.747
vs. no	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.774	1.5 (1.2-2.0), 0.002	1.3 (0.9-1.8), 0.163	1.3 (0.9-1.9), 0.156
Stroke/TIA ves vs. no	Initiation	0.9 (0.8-1.1), 0.447	0.7 (0.5-1.0), 0.023	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.169	0.7 (0.5-1.0), 0.062
Sticker HA yes vs. ho	Discontinuation	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.153	0.8 (0.6-1.1), 0.143	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.865	1.0 (0.7-1.4), 0.916
Living alone vs. cobabitating	Initiation	0.9 (0.8-1.0), 0.039	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.315	1.0 (0.7-1.2), 0.754	1.1 (0.8-1.4), 0.601
Living alone vs. conabitating	Discontinuation	1.3 (1.0-1.6), 0.043	1.1 (0.8-1.3), 0.632	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.279	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.508
Secondary vs. compulsory	Initiation	1.0 (0.9-1.2), 0.756	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.206	1.2 (0.9-1.6), 0.162	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.563
school	Discontinuation	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.473	0.9 (0.7-1.2), 0.478	1.1 (0.9-1.5), 0.337	1.2 (0.9-1.7), 0.240
University vs. compulsory	Initiation	1.1 (0.9-1.3), 0.550	1.2 (0.8-1.8), 0.310	1.2 (0.8-1.8), 0.433	0.8 (0.6-1.2), 0.384
school	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.7-1.4), 0.938	0.9 (0.6-1.2), 0.419	0.9 (0.6-1.4), 0.743	1.3 (0.9-2.0), 0.146
Income > vs. < median	Initiation	1.1 (1.0-1.3), 0.103	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.220	1.0 (0.7-1.3), 0.726	0.9 (0.7-1.1), 0.331
	Discontinuation	1.0 (0.8-1.2), 0.806	1.1 (0.9-1.4), 0.355	1.1 (0.8-1.4), 0.544	1.3 (0.9-1.7), 0.129

To evaluate predictors of initiation/discontinuation of heart failure treatments among patients with a heart failure hospitalization, logistic regression models were fitted with either MRA, RASI/ARNI, betablocker or loop diuretic initiation/discontinuation as the dependent variable and all variables shown in the table as independent variables. For initiation of heart failure treatments, no prior treatment was defined as no dispensed prescriptions within 6 months to 1 day before the index date, and post treatment was defined as 1 dispensed prescriptions from the index date until 6 months thereafter, and vice versa for discontinuation of heart failure treatments. MRA: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; RASI: Renin-aldosterone-system inhibitors; ARNI: Angiotensin-receptor neprilysin-inhibitors; NYHA: New York Heart Association functional class; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; BMI: Body mass index; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate (calculated by CKD-EPI formula);; NTproBNP: Nterminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TIA: Transient ischemic attack.