

Spatial and seasonal use of biomarkers in Dreissenids: implications for biomonitoring

Simon Devin, Marine Potet, Fanny Louis, Danièle Pauly, Béatrice Rocher,

Philippe Wagner, Laure Giambérini, Sandrine Pain-Devin

▶ To cite this version:

Simon Devin, Marine Potet, Fanny Louis, Danièle Pauly, Béatrice Rocher, et al.. Spatial and seasonal use of biomarkers in Dreissenids: implications for biomonitoring. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2023, 10.1007/s11356-023-28126-3. hal-04144488

HAL Id: hal-04144488 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04144488v1

Submitted on 28 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Spatial and seasonal use of biomarkers in Dreissenids: implications for
 biomonitoring

- 3
- 4 Simon Devin^{1,2*‡}, Marine Potet^{1,2,‡}, Fanny Louis¹, Danièle Pauly¹, Béatrice Rocher³, Philippe Wagner¹,
- 5 Laure Giambérini^{1,2}, Sandrine Pain-Devin^{1,2}

6 ¹Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LIEC, F-57000 Metz, France

- 7 ²LTSER-Zone Atelier Moselle, F-57000 Metz, France
- 8 ³UMR-I 02 INERIS-URCA-ULH SEBIO / Unité Stress Environnementaux et BIOsurveillance des
- 9 milieux aquatiques, FR CNRS 3730 Scale, Université Le Havre Normandie, F-76063, Le Havre Cedex,
- 10 France
- 11 ‡ co-first authors
- 12 *Corresponding author at: Université de Lorraine, CNRS UMR 7360, Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des
- 13 Environnements Continentaux (LIEC), Campus Bridoux, Rue du Général Delestraint, 57070 Metz,
- 14 France. E-mail address: <u>simon.devin@univ-lorraine.fr</u>. Tel: +33 3 72 74 89 49
- 15

17 Abstract

18 In addition to pollution, organisms are exposed to natural variations of the biotic and abiotic factors of 19 their environment. A battery of sub-cellular biomarkers has been measured seasonally in several 20 populations of both Dreissena polymorpha and Dreissena rostriformis bugensis. To observe and 21 understand the variability associated with biomarker responses, water physico-chemistry, sediment 22 contamination and internal concentrations of contaminants in soft tissues were also considered. Results 23 evidenced seasonal, inter-specific and inter-populational variability of the measured responses, 24 highlighting the needs (1) to acquire long-term data on the studied populations and (2) to incorporate 25 environmental parameters and contamination in the interpretation of biological responses. From a 26 biomonitoring perspective, significant relationships were identified between biomarkers, internal 27 concentrations of contaminants in soft tissues and sediment contamination in D. r. bugensis and, to a 28 lesser extent, in D. polymorpha. The detailed interpretation of each biomarker of the battery measured 29 is complex, but a global analysis of all biomarkers at once allows to obtain this signature of the 30 contamination of the studied sites.

31

32 Graphical Abstract





35 Keywords

- 36 Dreissena polymorpha, Dreissena rostriformis bugensis, passive biomonitoring, confounding factors,
- 37 variability, freshwater bivalve, biomarkers.

38 Highlights

39	•	Within population variability decreases in winter, while between population variability remains
40		constant

- Biomarkers ability for discriminating among populations is stable throughout the year
- Dreissena polymorpha exhibits highly active defence responses and energy metabolism
- Dreissena r. bugensis shows high level of reserves together with higher levels of damages
- *Dreissena r. bugensis* seems to be the more suitable species to reveal sediment contamination
 in a passive sampling design

- 46 1. Introduction
- 47

48 Dreissenid mussels, and particularly Dreissena polymorpha, are often used for in situ 49 monitoring using biomarker responses (de Lafontaine et al., 2000; Palais et al., 2012, Binelli et al. 2015). 50 Most of these studies are focused on the impact of pollution on biomarker responses, to see if biomarkers 51 are able to reflect contamination levels (Faria et al., 2014; Pain-Devin et al., 2014; Parolini et al., 2013). 52 However, the biological changes observed in an organism are not solely due to the presence of 53 contaminants. Apart from contamination, organisms are simultaneously subjected to natural seasonal 54 variations in biotic (e.g. reproductive cycle, food availability) and abiotic factors (e.g. temperature, 55 salinity) which may also influence biomarkers. If these factors are not considered, the effect of 56 contaminants on biomarkers can easily be misestimated (Forbes et al., 2006; Hagger et al., 2006).

57 The most studied environmental variable is probably temperature. Temperature influences 58 biomarkers in a number of ways (Sokolova and Lannig, 2008), including modulating enzyme activities 59 or contaminant toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics, and it can even lead to the death of organisms when 60 it is too high (Del Piero et al., 2012). Other factors also come into play such as light, circadian cycle, 61 diet, pH, oxygen content, changes in habitat, predation, parasitism, transition from one life stage to 62 another, sex, age, sexual maturity and reproductive status. All of these factors may act directly, through 63 the generation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) for instance, or indirectly by modulating food supply 64 and energy balance between the different biological functions. Wojtal-Frankiewicz et al. (2017) 65 highlighted the impact of seasonality and of several abiotic factors (especially ionic strength, oxygen, 66 and pH) on oxidative stress in D. polymorpha, suggesting limited tolerance to changing environmental 67 conditions.

68 The role of these factors on biomarker levels can be studied both in the laboratory and in the 69 field. Laboratory studies can refine and enable to understand the mechanisms responsible for observed 70 ecological effects, but usually treat these factors separately (Johnston and Roberts, 2009). Thus, for 71 dreissenids (and other species), studies under multiple stress conditions are quite limited in the 72 laboratory and are rather carried out in the field. Some *in situ* studies have focused on the seasonality of 73 measured responses (Fanslow et al., 2001; Pain et al., 2007; Stoeckmann and Garton, 1997) or on the 74 impact of other factors on biomarkers such as reproductive status (Lazzara et al., 2012) or water physico-75 chemistry (Pain et al., 2007; Wojtal-Frankiewicz et al., 2017).

Contrary to most of laboratory studies, *in situ* experimentations are often conducted on several populations (Binelli et al., 2005; Faria et al., 2014; Parolini et al., 2013), and sometimes imply transplanted organisms (active biomonitoring; Châtel et al., 2015; Guerlet et al., 2007, Catteau et al. 2022). In these cases, in addition to variations related to the above-cited parameters, responses may also vary from one population to another due to local adaptation (Becker van Slooten and Tarradellas, 1994; Berthet et al., 2011; Bervoets et al., 2004). Indeed, each population sets up specific mechanisms depending on its local environmental conditions and its genetic characteristics, then expressing specific biological responses (Millward and Klerks, 2002, Potet et al. 2018b). To properly interpret biomarkers, it must be kept in mind that the response measured at a given time is the result of a one-off reaction to a given event combined with a reaction shaped by the environment and genetics over time through acclimatisation and/or adaptation mechanisms. Then inter-population variability of biomarkers should be considered with caution.

88 When it comes to dreissenids, inter-specific differences must also be accounted for. Indeed, 89 French hydrosystems have long hosted Dreissena polymorpha and have now been colonised by 90 Dreissena rostriformis bugensis for about fifteen to twenty years (Heiler et al., 2013; Marescaux et al., 91 2015). Whereas most studies dealing with both species were focused on evaluating their habitat 92 preference to predict their invasion range (Baldwin et al., 2002; De Ventura et al., 2016; Ellis and 93 MacIsaac, 2009), the question raises about the use of the newly arrived species in biomonitoring studies 94 in addition to the use of *D. polymorpha*, the traditional model species in freshwaters ecotoxicology for 95 which a large body of data is already available. In recent years, several studies have shown differences 96 in biomarker responses between the two species (Evariste et al., 2018; Kerambrun et al., 2018; Potet et 97 al., 2018a; Schäfer et al., 2012) or not (Farkas et al., 2017). Thus, inter-specific variability in biomarker 98 responses between D. polymorpha and D. r. bugensis should also be evaluated.

99 Comparisons are often conducted between several populations of one species (inter-population 100 variability; see Pain-Devin et al., 2014 for D. polymorpha; Ács et al., 2016 for D. r. bugensis) or between 101 the two species (inter-specific variability; Kerambrun et al., 2018; Schäfer et al., 2012), but rarely on 102 several populations of both species on a large geographical scale. In this paper, we gathered all the 103 biomarker data obtained during passive biomonitoring campaigns on several populations of both D. 104 polymorpha and D. r. bugensis at a large geographical scale in north-eastern France. A battery of 11 105 sub-cellular biomarkers was measured, representative of antioxidative mechanisms, energy metabolism 106 and cellular damages. Physicochemical parameters, sediment contamination and contaminant 107 bioaccumulation were also recorded. The aims were (1) to study biomarker responses according to 108 seasonal and spatial variations (i.e. in different populations at different seasons), (2) to compare 109 biomarker responses between the two species, and (3) to evaluate the influence of physicochemistry and 110 environmental contamination on biomarker responses, in order to identify what drives the variability of 111 in situ biomarker responses and which environmental factors could lead to a misreading of biological 112 responses. This paper also seeks to describe and understand the variability of responses observed 113 between the two species, and between different populations. In addition, we will show that it is possible 114 to consider the multiple factors of variation occurring in natural environments, even if understanding 115 and analysing the whole dataset from a statistical point of view remains challenging.

- 116
- 117 **2. Material and methods**
- 118

119 **2.1. Sampling sites**

121 Dreissenid mussels were collected in October 2015, April 2016 and February 2017 from thirteen 122 sites, located mainly in north-eastern France (Figure 1Figure 1).

Two sites were located in the North. **No1** (Courcelles-lès-lens; *D. r. bugensis* only) is a former harbour on the Deûle waterway which was rehabilitated in a leisure base, and is located between two factories known for their past releases of lead, cadmium and zinc. **No2** (Condé-sur-l'Escaut; *D. polymorpha* only) is a pond located in a former coal mining area.

In the Paris Basin, Oil (Verneuil-en-Halatte; both species) is located on the Oise river (used for

127

128 commercial shipping), downstream a waste water treatment plant.

129 Six sites were located in the North-East. Four of them cover approximately 60 km of the Moselle 130 River, a tributary of the Rhine River used for commercial shipping, submitted to strong industrial and 131 urban influence. **Mo1** (Montigny – canal de Jouy; both species) and **Mo2** (Montigny – canal de Metz; 132 D. r. bugensis only) are two adjacent waterways located in the Metz agglomeration. Mol is located on the canalized Moselle; Mo2 corresponds to the derivation of the Moselle river. Upstream, there is a 133 134 wood treatment plant using metal salts and creosote, and also a former tar refinery, closed in 1996 135 (PAHs, phenols, naphthalene, creosote). Mo3 (Jouy aux arches; D. r. bugensis only) is also located on 136 the canalized Moselle (canal de Jouy), but slightly upstream compared to Montigny. Mo4 (Liverdun; D. 137 r. bugensis and in 2017 also D. polymorpha) is located even more upstream, before the confluence with 138 the Meurthe River. In North-East, two supplementary sites were located in the Meuse basin. On Me1 139 (Saint-Mihiel; both species), mussels were sampled on the walls of a lock (thus they are frequently 140 subject to emersion) on the canal de l'Est branche Nord, which is dedicated to recreational activities 141 (boating, shipping, fishing) in spring and summer. Me2 (Madine; D. polymorpha only) is a lake fed by 142 a tributary of the Moselle. It is a leisure base, prohibited to thermal motor boats, which constitutes a 143 reserve of drinking water for the city of Metz. To our knowledge, there is no particular source of 144 pollution on Me1 and Me2.

145 Going down to the South we had four more sites. So1 (Riaucourt - canal entre Champagne et 146 Bourgogne; D. polymorpha only) is a larger waterway used for commercial shipping, frequently emptied 147 and where the water level often fluctuates. So2 (Scey-sur-Saône; D. polymorpha only) is a marina located on the Saône. So3 (Seurre; D. r. bugensis and in 2017 also D. polymorpha, discovered on 148 149 pontoons only, in very low abundance) is a harbour, between the Saone and its diversion channel. A 150 boat sank on September 2015, leading to a release of fuel within the harbour. So4 (Nantua; D. 151 *polymorpha* only) is a lake of glacial origin, classified as a protected natural site since 1936. 152 The Table A.1. gives a descriptive summary of these sampling sites.

- 153
- 154 **2.2. Mussel collection**
- 155

- 156 On each site, organisms dedicated to biomarker measurements were hand-collected by section 157 of their byssus (n = 12 for each population). The two species were differentiated according to 158 morphometric parameters (Pavlova and Izyumov, 2014). Species identification in the field was further 159 confirmed by zymograms of Cu/Zn-SOD which allows discrimination of the two species (Figure A.1.; 160 Rocher et al, 2020). Just after sampling, organisms were measured (length, width, height of shell). The 161 mean shell length was 22 ± 2.9 mm for *D. polymorpha* populations and 25.2 ± 3.9 mm for *D. r. bugensis*. 162 The volume of the shell, calculated as the product of length, width and eight, was higher for D. r. *bugensis* populations $(5.3 \pm 2.2 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ than for *D. polymorpha* ones $(2.9 \pm 1.1 \text{ cm}^{-3})$. 163
- 164 Immediately after morphometrics measurement, and directly in the field, the digestive gland of 165 each mussel was dissected, frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80 °C until biomarker measurements 166 in the laboratory.



Figure 1: Sampling sites in north-eastern France and species occurrence (detailed description in
 Table A.1.)

172

167

2.3. Biomarker measurements

Biomarker responses were measured in digestive gland as it is considered as the most
metabolically active organ in mussels (Viarengo et al., 2007). We used an automated spectrophotometer
(Konelab 20-XTi, ThermoScientific) that operates on very small volumes and alows the measurement
of all biomarkers on each individual digestive gland. The detailed methods used for crushing, differential
centrifugation and biomarker measurements are available in Garaud et al. (2016) and Potet et al. (2018a).
Briefly, two sets of measures were performed for each digestive gland. On the crude extract
(after crushing and centrifugation at 250 x g), we measured the concentrations of proteins (ProtB),

triglyceride (Tri), cholesterol (Chol) and lipid hydroperoxide (LOOH), and the activity of electron transport system (ETS) and acid phosphatase (ACP). On the cytosolic fraction (after an additional centrifugation at 20 000 x g), the activity of glutathione-S-transferase (GST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and caspase-3 (Csp) the total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and the concentrations of proteins (ProtFC) were measured.

- 185
- 186
- 187

2.4. Sediment contamination, internal concentrations and water physico-chemistry

188 Concerning sediment contamination, 3 points were sampled per site. The 5 first centimetres of 189 the sediment layer were sampled with a plastic shovel on the river bank, with a distance of 1m between 190 each sample, surrounding the dreissenids sampling point. The three resulting samples were then mixed 191 and 500 g fractions were sent for metals, PCBs and HAPs analysis to La Drome Laboratoire (Cofrac 192 accreditation n° 1-0852). Sediments were mineralized according to EPA 3052 method (using 193 hydrofluoric and nitric acids). Total contaminant concentrations were measured on the <2 mm fraction, 194 using gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) for HAPs and PCBs (French norm XP X 33-195 012), inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) for Al, Fe, Mn, Zn (EN ISO 196 11885), inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP MS) for As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Ni, Pb (EN 197 ISO 17294-2) and cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry for Hg (EN ISO 17852).

198 In February 2017, 20 organisms were also sampled on each site (when possible) to measure 199 internal concentrations of contaminants. For each site, mussels were dissected in situ, total soft tissues 200 (without byssus) were pooled and frozen in liquid nitrogen. In the laboratory, pools were defrosted and 201 dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48 h, weighed, and sent to Micropolluants Technologie S.A. for analysis 202 (Cofrac accreditation n° 1-1151). Gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) was used for HAPs 203 and PCBs (EN ISO 15753), inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) was used for 204 metals, except Hg which was measured using cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry (EN 205 ISO 17852).

206 Temperature, oxygen saturation, conductivity and pH were measured in situ using a Multi 3430 207 SET G probe (WTW®), and 2 L of water were also sampled in polypropylene bottles and stored at 4°C 208 for further measurements in laboratory. Total suspended matter (TSM) and volatile suspended matter 209 (VSM) were determined after water filtration and differential weighing of the filter before and after 210 drying at 105 °C. VSM corresponds to the part of TSM volatilized after calcination of the filter at 525 211 °C. Nitrogen compounds were measured by ionic chromatography (NO₃) or absorption spectrometry 212 (NH₄). Phosphates (PO₄) and total phosphorous (P_{tot}) were measured using absorption spectrometry and 213 chlorine (Cl) and sulphates (SO₄) concentrations by ionic chromatography. Other ions (Ca, Mg, Na, K) 214 were measured by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Total anions (TotA), cations (TotC) and ionic balance (IonBal) were calculated depending on ion concentrations. Water hardness (WH) was 215 216 measured by neutralizing a volume of water with a strong mineral acid. Chemical oxygen demand 217 (COD) was measured by adding potassium dichromate in an acid medium, to oxidize organic materials.

218 The excess in dichromate was then titrated with a solution of iron(II)sulfate and ammonium. Biological

219 oxygen demand (BOD) was measured by monitoring the decrease in oxygen concentration in the sample

between 0 and 5 days.

- 221
- 222 223

2.5. Data analysis strategy and statistical analysis

Measurement of the above-cited parameters was not carried out at each campaign, and the biomarkers could not be measured for each species, each population and during all the sampling campaigns, due to variable availability of organisms along the study. Table 1 summarizes the parameters measured for each population. From this table follows the data analysis strategy, the resulting dataset being subdivided according to the question we addressed.

229 Table 1: Sampling plan of populations of <u>D. polymorpha</u> and <u>D. r. bugensis</u> in situ. The blue cells

represent the biomarker data collected, the grey cells represent the data related to contamination
(environmental and internal concentrations).

		Octob	er 2015			April 2016	5	February 2017					
	Biom	arkers		Z	Biom	arkers	Z	Biom	arkers		2		
Site	D. polymorpha	D. r. bugensis	Sediments contamination	Physico-chemist	D. polymorpha	D. r. bugensis	Physico-chemist	D. polymorpha	D. r. bugensis	Sediments contamination	Physico-chemist	Internal concentrations	
Me1													
Me2													
Mo1													
Mo2													
Mo3													
Mo4													
No1													
No2													
Oi1													
So1													
So2													
So3													
So4													

232 233

Based on this table of acquired results, we treated the data as follows:

- inter-specific differences were studied by considering only sites where the two species co occurred on a given sampling date to avoid other sources of variability (Me1, Mo1, Mo4, So3,
 Oi1)
- seasonal variability was studied separately for the two species, on the sites where individuals
 could be sampled at all of the three seasons: Me1, Me2, Mo1, No2, Oi1, So1, So2 and So4 for *D. polymorpha* and Mo1, Mo3, Mo4, No1 and So3 for *D. r. bugensis*
- relationships between biomarkers values and sediment contamination were investigated in
 October 2015 and February 2017, and with internal concentrations only in February 2017, on
 every sampled site.

Concerning statistical analysis, the influence and potential interactions of environmental factors (site and date) on biomarker responses was analysed for each species through MANOVA. Normality (energy package) and homoscedasticity were previously tested.

247 Principal Component Analyses (PCA) were performed to evidence inter-specific and seasonal 248 variability in biomarker responses. Similarity of patterns was assessed by co-inertia analysis. They are 249 based on the crossing of 2 PCAs containing the same number of rows. They are carried out using the 250 "coinertia" function of the ade4 package. A Monte-Carlo test ("randtest" function) then makes it possible 251 to determine if the co-inertia is significant, that is if there is a common structure between the two 252 datasets. These analyses were made using R software (R Development Core Team, version 3.3.0). A 253 threshold of $p \le 0.05$ was considered significant.

254 The final part of the results will aim to relate biomarker responses to physico-chemical 255 parameters, internal concentrations of contaminants in organisms and sediment contamination. Partial 256 Least Square (PLS) regressions were performed using Tanagra software (version 1.4). PLS regression 257 aims to predict the values taken by a group of Y explained variables from a set of X explanatory 258 variables. It makes it possible to process a large number of descriptors simultaneously, even when the 259 information they provide is redundant. For all the PLS, the values were systematically retained on the 260 first 3 factors. VIPs (Variable Importance in the Projection) indicate the relative importance of 261 biomarkers that explain contamination. Here we have retained only the VIP computed on the first three 262 factors. Significance thresholds were arbitrarily set at 60% for the cumulated explained variance, at 0.8 263 for cumulated R² and at 1 for VIP.

- 264
- 265 **3. Results and discussion**
- 266 267

3.1. Inter-specific variability

268

Both species could be sampled at the same time in nine occasions: in October 2015 on the sites Me1, Mo1, in April 2016 on the sites Me1, Mo1 and Oi1 and in February 2017 on the sites Mo1, Mo4, Oi1 and So3. The detailed results (mean ± standard deviation) for all sampling dates and sites are presented in Table A.2.

273 The PCA shown in figure 2 describes all the responses obtained for each species, all sites and 274 dates combined. It reveals a clear difference in the pattern of response between the two species. To 275 appreciate the extent of this difference, it is important to realize that the figure integrates, for each 276 species, several sources of variability: three dates over three years and several populations sampled in 277 different hydromorphological and chemical contexts. Despite this, the ellipses depicting the responses 278 of the 11 biomarkers for each of the two species are distinct. The inter-species differences in the pattern 279 of biomarkers response are therefore much larger than those generated by the seasonal effect and/or the 280 population effect.

281 D. r. bugensis, on the F1 axis, generally has lower antioxidant and antitoxic responses (TAC, 282 GST) than D. polymorpha, what may reflect a lower protection against cellular damages and then 283 explain the higher lipid peroxidation (LOOH) that is observed in D.r. bugensis compared to D. 284 polymorpha. Another study has also evidenced more damages for D. r. bugensis upon exposure to 285 pesticides during laboratory experiments (Schäfer et al., 2012). During our survey, D. r. bugensis 286 displays more energy reserves (Tri) but a lower activity of the mitochondrial ETS than D. polymorpha. 287 Together these results reveal a clear discrepancy between both species in terms of metabolic and defence 288 strategies. Differences in biomarker levels between both species have already been evidenced in our 289 previous studies (Potet et al., 2018a,b). These inter-specific differences, observed *in situ* in the present 290 work, were maintained during laboratory exposures, with, for example, a higher nickel tolerance for D. polymorpha populations (Potet et al., 2018b), and a better capacity for this species to activate its defence 291 292 mechanisms in the presence of the metal and to respond gradually to stressors (Potet et al., 2016). 293 Discrepancies between the responses of both species were also recorded by other authors, about reactive 294 oxygen species regulation and haemocyte oxidative activity (Evariste et al., 2018), expression of genes 295 involved in metabolic activities and defence mechanisms (Kerambrun et al., 2018) and energy allocation 296 processes (Louis et al., 2018).



Figure 2: Inter-specific variability of biomarkers for populations of the two species sampled in October
2015, April 2016 and February 2017. The analysis was performed on the table individuals x biomarkers
(216 individuals x 11 biomarkers). (a) Individual plotted on the F1F2 factorial plane and grouped
according to the species. (b) correlation circles of the 11 biomarkers on the axis F1F2.

As the two studied species present significantly different patterns of response for some biomarkers, we chose to perform a co-inertia analysis between the two PCA computed independently for each species in order to compare their response patterns to environmental gradients. Projection of individuals on the common factorial plane (Fig. 3a) evidenced similarities of relative positions of samples, grouped according to the site and the season, that were confirmed by a permutation test (p=0.001). The comparison of variable projection evidenced similar patterns for only two biomarkers, GST and Csp (Fig 3b). Thus, although biomarker levels and trends are different between *D. polymorpha* and *D. r. bugensis*, both species allow the discrimination of the studied sites and seasons in the sameway.



311

Figure 3. Inter-specific comparison of biomarkers for populations of the two species sampled in October 2015, April 2016 and February 2017 on the same sites. The co-inertia was performed on the table individuals x biomarkers (216 individuals x 11 biomarkers for each species). The factorial plane F1F2 (Figure 3a) represents the projection of individuals of the two species on the same space. Variables projected on the associated correlation circles (Figure 3b) can be compared directly between species.

317318

3.2 Seasonal variations

319

320 Seasonal variations in biomarker responses are presented (all sites together) on Figure 4. Figure 321 4a highlights biomarker variations as a function of the season, with a shift of responses from one season 322 to another for *D. polymorpha*. The partitioning of the variance indicates that the date and the site explain 323 38% of the observed variability, with 62% of unexplained variance. The MANOVA shows that site, 324 date and their interaction influence significantly biomarker responses ($p < 2.2.10^{-16}$ in all cases). The 325 correlation circle associated with this PCA reveals that the energy reserves (proteins, triglycerides, cholesterol) are higher in April and February than in October. In addition, in October, energy metabolism 326 327 is more active (LDH, ETS), as is the activity of defence markers (GST, TAC). These seasonal variations 328 are in accordance with the reproduction cycle involving an increase of reserves storage during spring in 329 order to produce gametes. Then after the gamete release in summer, energy reserves are low in autumn 330 and the metabolic activity is dedicated to the maintenance and defence to prepare the winter rest phase. 331 The Figure 4a also shows that in winter (February 2017), the size of the ellipse is very small compared 332 to other dates meaning either a lower interindividual or a lower inter-population variability.

333



334

Figure 4: Temporal variability of biomarker responses for <u>D. polymorpha</u> (a) and <u>D. r. bugensis</u> (b)
populations at different seasons. F1F2 factorial design of the array individuals x biomarkers and
associated correlation circles. Figure 4a: No2, Oi1, Mo1, Me1, Me2, So1, So2 and So4 populations of
<u>D. polymorpha</u> in October 2015, April 2016 and February 2017 (288 individuals x 11 biomarkers).
Figure 4b: No1, Mo1, Mo3, Mo4 and So3 populations of <u>D. r. bugensis</u> in October 2015, April 2016
and February 2017 (180 individuals x 11 biomarkers).

341

The same analyses were carried out for *D. r. bugensis* (Figure 4b). They indicate that the date and the site explain 31% of the observed variability, with 69% of unexplained variance. The MANOVA shows that site, date ($p < 2.2.10^{-16}$ in both cases) and their interaction ($p = 1.1.10^{-11}$) influence significantly biomarker responses. We observed less seasonal variations than for *D. polymorpha*, but a common feature is the reduced size of the ellipse in February compared to that of April and October.

To assess more thoroughly the source of variability at each season, a between-class analysis was performed on each PCA. It allows to calculate the proportion of variance induced by between-site differences and to assess inter-individual variation within each site. For *D. polymorpha*, the site contributes for 33.3%, 29.2% and 30.8% of the total variance for October 2015, April 2016 and February 2017 respectively. For *D. r. bugensis*, the site contributes for 21%, 23% and 25% of the total variance for October 2015, April 2016 and February 2017 respectively. Thus, the proportion of the variance explained by the site effect is not dependant of the season and the reduction of ellipses inertia in February can be attributed to a decrease in within-population (*ie* between individuals) variability. Co-inertia analysis confirmed that both species exhibited similar patterns of biological responses at the three seasons (Table 2).

357

Table 2: Results of the 6 co-inertias performed to study the co-structures between sampling dates.
Each co-inertia is based on the crossing of two PCAs. Significance of co-inertia (that is, revealing a structure common to both datasets) are based on a permutation test.

/	1	
	D. polymorpha	D. r. bugensis
October 2015 vs April 2016	0.001	0.012
October 2015 vs February 2017	0.001	0.03
April 2016 vs February 2017	0.001	0.004

361

362 To sum up and conclude, seasonal variations in biomarker responses were observed for both 363 species. In addition to contaminant fluxes, which may themselves fluctuate, seasonal variations in 364 biological responses can be partly explained by fluctuations in environmental factors (e.g. temperature, 365 conductivity, oxygenation). Temperature and food quantity play a very important role in the metabolism 366 of ectotherm organisms. As shown in previous studies (Leiniö and Lehtonen, 2005; Potet et al., 2018a), 367 an increase in temperature generally leads to an increase in metabolic demand. If combined with a 368 lowered food availability (in winter and spring), we can expect that dreissenids will have less energy to 369 allocate to their defence mechanisms.

370 These seasonal variations could also be closely related to biotic factors such as size, weight, sex, 371 energetic status and reproductive cycle. Faria et al. (2014) showed, for example, that reproductive status in different populations of D. polymorpha was strongly correlated with the responses of some 372 373 biomarkers (superoxide dismutase, catalase, GSH, GST, multixenobiotic resistance, LDH, lipid peroxidation, DNA strand breaks). Guerlet et al. (2007) also showed the seasonality of biological 374 375 responses in D. polymorpha, related to the reproductive cycle, with an increase in lipid content and 376 filtration activity during the reproductive period, as the temperature increases. At a lower level of 377 organization, the evolution of the reproductive status of organisms over the seasons also leads to a 378 modification of the transcriptome in D. polymorpha (Navarro et al., 2013). Organisms thus undergo 379 strong metabolic modifications according to the seasons, in connection with their reproductive status. It 380 may explain why our study reveals that, in field conditions, the lowest level of reserves was observed in 381 October. Thus, autumn could be a season where organisms are particularly sensitive to an additional 382 stress.

Biomarker variations can also be related to the ecology of organisms (parasitism, predation, competition). As example, parasite prevalence in *D. polymorpha* follows a seasonal dynamic (Minguez and Giambérini, 2012), and parasites influence biomarkers (Minguez et al., 2009). Another example is intra-specific competition for food, which might be more important during the larval fixation period (Wacker and Von Elert, 2008).

388 However, despite all these factors of variations, we evidenced that, even if raw values and range 389 of variations for the measured biomarkers differed between seasons, they are able to depict the same 390 trends in between-site variations. The decrease in inter-population variability in February for both 391 species was also observed when studying seasonal variations. It can be explained by the metabolic rest 392 for these species in winter, which tends to homogenize the responses between the different individuals. 393 It indicates that winter could be ideal for biomarker deployment, in view of a comparative approach of 394 biomarker responses between several populations; indeed, site discrimination would be more efficient 395 at this time, with a better signal/noise ratio. Such a strategy can also lead to sample less individuals to 396 achieve the same site discrimination.

- 397
- 398

3.3. Contamination and physico-chemistry of the studied sites

Both sediment contamination and water physico-chemical characteristics were measured concomitantly in October 2015 and February 2017. Values for sediment contamination (Table A.3.) and the associated PCA (Figure 5) isolate the No1 site, on the Deûle canal. This site is characterized by a 402 high load of PAHs and heavy metals (Hg, Pb, Zn, Cd, Cu ...). The No2 site on axis 2 is distinguished by

403 a high level of PCBs. The other sites have a contamination gradient structured essentially by metals.404



405

406 Figure 5.: PCA results from the sediment contamination table for the 29 contaminants measured for
407 12 sites x 2 seasons (October 2015: Oct.15, February 2017: Feb.17), except for the Mo2 site analysed
408 only in February 2017.
409

The other major issue from this analysis is the seasonal variability of sediment contamination. Sediments are considered to be an integrative compartment in time of environmental contamination, and analyses of sediment contamination would then reflect an average load in pollutants. But here we show, especially for No2, Mo1, Mo3 or Mo4, radical changes of the contamination profile between October 2015 and February 2017. This highlights the need to carry out sediment samplings for each biomarker campaign to study finely the links between biotic and abiotic compartments.

416 The PCA performed on the physicochemical data of the water column (Figure A.3.) highlights 417 the relative stability of these parameters at these two sampling dates (October 2015 and February 2017). 418 The No2 site is clearly distant from the others. This pond was constructed from a former coal mine, 419 explaining its high organic load (strong BOD), higher in autumn than in winter. The other sites present 420 a gradient along axis 1, related to mineralization. Sites located on the Moselle river (Mo1, Mo2 and Mo3) are apart due to their high conductivity. The factorial design also highlights the great similarity, 421 422 from the water chemistry point of view, of the other sampled sites. Physicochemical parameters will 423 therefore, *a priori*, play only a marginal role in the biological response of organisms.

424

425 **3.4. Internal concentrations of contaminants in organisms**

Internal concentrations of contaminants in organisms have been measured only in February
2017 (see Table A.4. for details). The PCA (Figure 6) reveals a higher tendency to bioaccumulate both
metals and organic compounds for *D. r. bugensis* populations compared to *D. polymorpha*.



429

Figure 6: PCA results from internal concentrations in 28 contaminants measured in February 2017 on
the 15 sites where enough biological material was available.

These differences in bioaccumulation potential has already been evidenced in the literature for organochlorine pesticides (Schäfer et al., 2012), organic compounds (Evariste et al., 2018) and metals (Kerambrun et al., 2018; Matthews et al., 2015).

Such discrepancies between the two species, regarding both bioaccumulation potential and biomarker responses (see section 3.1) highlight that the declining *D. polymorpha* cannot be simply substituted by *D. r. bugensis*, and that the two species must be distinguished in ecotoxicological and biomonitoring studies.

440

441

3.5. Characterization of biological responses

442 One of the aim of the present work was to relate biological responses to sediment contamination 443 and water chemistry, in an attempt to assess those dimensions of environmental quality. As we saw 444 earlier, the seasonal variability, both in sediment contamination and in biological responses, led us to 445 process the data independently for both seasons (October 2015 and February 2017).

The ability of biomarkers to be a proxy of sediment or biota contamination was assessed via PLS (Partial Least Squares) regressions, the results of which are summarized in <u>Table Table 3</u> (detailed results are available in Table A.5.). Three questions were answered: is the contamination of sediments described by internal concentrations in contaminants? Is the contamination of sediments described by 450 biomarker responses? Are the internal concentrations in contaminants described by biomarker 451 responses?

For both species, internal concentrations reflect the total sediment contamination, but not metal contamination. Concerning organic contaminants, accumulation in *D. r. bugensis* is more suitable to reflect PCB contamination, whereas in *D. polymorpha* it reflects HAP. In a general way, the

- 455 accumulation of organic (lipophilic) contaminants by organisms reflects their presence in sediments.
- accumulation of organic (hpophine) containing by organisms reflects then presence in sectiments.
- 456 For *D. polymorpha*, biomarker responses do neither reflect sediment contamination nor internal 457 concentrations of contaminants in soft tissues.
- 458 For *D. r. bugensis*, biomarkers reflect sediment contamination and are related to bioaccumulated

459 contaminants, except metals.

460 Table 3: Synthesis of the PLS results concerning the relationships between internal concentration in

461 contaminants and sediment contamination; biomarker responses and sediment contamination;

462 biomarker responses and internal concentrations. Red crosses represent not significant PLS, green

463 symbols significant PLS, yellow symbols PLS where only a particular category of contaminant

464 concentrations in the sediment can be elucidated with either internal concentrations of biomarkers465 levels.

				Explaining								
			Internal con	centrations	Biomarker	responses						
			D. polymorpha	D. r. bugensis	D. polymorpha	D. r. bugensis						
	nt ation	Total	\checkmark	 Image: A start of the start of	×	~						
	edime amina	Metals	×	*	×	\checkmark						
ained	S	Organics	✓ (PAHs only)	✓ (PCBs only)	×	✓ (PAHs only)						
Expla	al tions	Total			×	\checkmark						
	nterna	Metals			×	×						
	conc	Organics			×	\checkmark						

466

A lower variability was noticed in biomarker responses between *D. r. bugensis* populations than between *D. polymorpha* ones, but *D. r. bugensis* populations enable a better description of site contamination. This may seem contradictory. Our hypothesis is that *D. r. bugensis* populations are not yet adapted to their local environmental conditions, due to their more recent invasion, and thus each population responds directly to local environmental pressure.

On the other hand, there is a greater variability in biomarker responses between *D. polymorpha* populations, although it cannot be related with on-site contamination. Thus, considering the random sediment contamination from one year to the next (see section 3.3), we can hypothesize that (1) the historically different contamination levels between sites has led to differences between *D. polymorpha* populations, but that (2) the precise nature of the contamination being variable, populations of this 477 species responds to contamination with singular response profiles, mainly focused on defence processes,

- 478 which allows them to face any other conditions. In this sense, laboratory studies have shown that D.
- 479 *polymorpha* populations are more heterogeneous, more tolerant to high levels of stress, and able to
- 480 activate defence mechanisms when facing contamination (Potet et al., 2016; 2018a). D. r. bugensis,
- 481 recently settled, seems to be just responding to the contamination it faces at the immediate instant, and
- 482 collapses under severe stress.

483 Variability among populations of the same species in situ has also been reported for Anodonta 484 anatina (Falfushynska et al., 2016). It is recognized that the variability of organism responses may result 485 from adaptations to a wide range of environmental stressors (Lushchak, 2011), and that populations 486 living in polluted areas may develop a tolerance for pollution. Falfushynska et al. (2013) showed, for 487 example, that A. anatina's response to metals depended on the origin of the mussels, as did Milan et al. 488 (2016) for Ruditapes philippinarum, in the lagoon of Venice. The divergence between populations of 489 D. polymorpha has been highlighted several times, both regarding genetic diversity (Tarnowska et al., 490 2013), gene expression (Kerambrun et al., 2016), proteomic profiles and the expression of certain 491 subcellular biomarkers (Pain-Devin et al., 2014). Genetic differentiation is even possible for populations 492 of D. polymorpha very close geographically (750 m; Thomas et al., 2014). In addition to the links 493 between variation in biomarkers and contamination, the genetic composition of populations must be 494 considered as a confounding or explaining factor, which determines the response of populations to their 495 environment. The present study and those of Pain-Devin et al. (2014) and Tarnowska et al. (2013) 496 emphasize the need to know in detail the physiology and tolerance capacities of the sentinel species 497 populations used in monitoring, to reliably interpret and predict their responses to new stresses. The 498 assumptions made on *D. polymorpha* towards local adaptation do not apply for recently settled *D. r.* 499 bugensis populations, since the time scale from introduction is too short to allow genetic differentiation 500 between populations. However, further studies are needed to understand evolution patterns and the role 501 of contamination in this mechanism.

502

503 **4. Summary and conclusions**

504

505 Natural variability of biomarkers is one of the obstacles to their use in biomonitoring. Indeed, 506 the challenge of identifying the variation associated with toxic stress in a set of naturally fluctuating 507 responses is still difficult to grasp (Hanson et al., 2010).

In the context of the large-scale deployment of biomarkers in dreissenids, our results highlight the need to pay particular attention to some points. First, the distinction between the two species (*D. polymorpha* and *D. r. bugensis*) must be made with certainty since their responses are clearly different; these two species are not interchangeable. Even if they allow for depicting the same patterns of betweensites variations, the biomarkers involved are not the same. Consequently, mixing the two species in a global "*Dreissena* sp." complex may induce more within population variability and may lower our 514 capacity to identify site differences. In addition to inter-specific differences, our study points out the 515 needs: (1) to acquire long-term data on the populations of interest in order to identify specific changes 516 in the responses or temporal trends, (2) to collect data incorporating environmental parameters and 517 contamination in order to build reliable interpretation grids of biological responses depending on the 518 sampling period.

519 Here, a battery of biomarker was used, and evidenced its ability to discriminate sites on the basis 520 of sediment contamination, at least for D. r. bugensis, and we identified relationships between 521 biomarkers, internal concentrations in contaminants in soft tissues and sediment contamination. The 522 increase in the number of measured responses increase the complexity of data analysis and 523 interpretation, especially if we try to interpret each biomarker independently. However, we also 524 evidenced that the use of a battery strengthens the robustness of site characterization, with a stability of 525 sites signatures throughout seasons. Some efforts still need to be made to define the optimal battery, *i.e.* 526 the minimal set of biomarkers that allows to detect a modification in organisms health and to relate it to 527 the presence of environmental stressors.

Finally, biomarker responses are life history traits that integrate environmental factors that can structure organisms physiology both through long-term trends (ie biogeographic features) or at more local and/or short-term levels (ie a local pollution, local geochemical background...). A detailed understanding of the relative roles of these factors acting at different time scales remains a challenge for future research, and requires the implementation of observation strategies in ecotoxicology, as it is already done in ecology.

534 535

536 Acknowledgements

537 The authors also wish to thank Carole Bertrand and Philippe Rousselle for their helpful 538 contributions. This work was partly done with resources from the Pôle de compétences en **biologie** 539 **environnementale**, the Pôle de compétences en **chimie analytique environnementale** and the Pôle de 540 compétences en **terrain-instrumentation** ANATELo, LIEC laboratory, UMR 7360 CNRS – Université 541 de Lorraine.

542

543 Author contribution

All authors whose names appear on the submission made substantial contributions to the conception or
 design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. All authors commented on
 previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

- 547 Devin S.: project direction, manuscript preparation, data treatment
- 548 Potet M.: project direction, manuscript preparation, sampling, biomarker analysis, data treatment
- 549 Louis F.: mussels sampling, biomarker analysis

550	Pauly D.: biomarker measurement
551	Rocher B.: species determination, manuscript preparation
552	Wagner P.: sampling
553	Giambérini L.: project direction, manuscript preparation
554	Pain-Devin S.: project direction, manuscript preparation
555	
556	Funding
557	This work was supported by the French ONEMA (Organisme National de l'Eau et des Milieux
558	Aquatiques) through BIOMICS program, the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through the IPOC
559	program (ANR-12-ISV7-0004) and running costs by CPER Lorraine-ZAM (Contrat Projet Etat Région
560	Lorraine, Zone Atelier Moselle).
561	
562	Data availability
563	Raw data for biomarker measurements will be stored in an open data repository once the manuscript
564	accepted for publication
565	
566	Declarations
567	
568	Competing interests
569	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
570	
571	Ethical approval
572	Not applicable, the species considered in this manuscript don't need an agreement from an ethic
573	committee
574	
575	Consent to participate
576	Not applicable
577	
578	Consent for publication
579	Not applicable
580	
581	References
582 583 584 585 586	 Ács, A., Vehovszky, á., Győri, J., Farkas, A., 2016. Seasonal and size-related variation of subcellular biomarkers in quagga mussels (<i>Dreissena bugensis</i>) inhabiting sites affected by moderate contamination with complex mixtures of pollutants. Environ. Monit. Assess. 188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5432-y Baldwin, B.S., Mayer, M.S., Dayton, J., Pau, N., Mendilla, J., Sullivan, M., Moore, A., Ma, A., Mills,

E.L., 2002. Comparative growth and feeding in zebra and quagga mussels (Dreissena

- *polymorpha* and *Dreissena bugensis*): implications for North American lakes. Can. J. Fish.
 Aquat. Sci. 59, 680–694. https://doi.org/10.1139/f02-043
- Becker van Slooten, K., Tarradellas, J., 1994. Accumulation, depuration and growth effects of tributyltin
 in the freshwater bivalve *Dreissena polymorpha* under field conditions. Environ. Toxicol.
 Chem. 13, 755–762. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620130510
- Berthet, B., Leung, K.M.Y., Amiard-Triquet, Claude, 2011. Inter- and intraspecific variability of
 tolerance: implications for bioassays and biomonitoring, in: Amiard-Triquet, C., Rainbow, P.S.,
 Roméo, M. (Eds.), Tolerance to Environmental Contaminants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida,
 pp. 49–84.
- Bervoets, L., Voets, J., Chu, S., Covaci, A., Schepens, P., Blust, R., 2004. Comparison of accumulation
 of micropollutants between indigenous and transplanted zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 23, 1973–1983. https://doi.org/10.1897/03-365
- Binelli, A., Della Torre, C., Magni, S., Parolini, M., 2015. Does zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*)
 represent the freshwater counterpart of *Mytilus* in ecotoxicological studies? A critical review.
 Environmental Pollution 196, 386–403. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2014.10.023
- Binelli, A., Ricciardi, F., Riva, C., Provini, A., 2005. Screening of POP pollution by AChE and EROD
 activities in Zebra mussels from the Italian Great Lakes. Chemosphere 61, 1074–1082.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.03.047
- Catteau, A., Porcher, J.-M., Bado-Nilles, A., Bonnard, I., Bonnard, M., Chaumot, A., David, E.,
 Dedourge-Geffard, O., Delahaut, L., Delorme, N., François, A., Garnero, L., Lopes, C., Nott,
 K., Noury, P., Palluel, O., Palos-Ladeiro, M., Quéau, H., Ronkart, S., Sossey-Alaoui, K., Turiès,
 C., Tychon, B., Geffard, O., Geffard, A., 2022. Interest of a multispecies approach in active
 biomonitoring: Application in the Meuse watershed. Sci Total Environ 808, 152148.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152148
- Châtel, A., Faucet-Marquis, V., Gourlay-Francé, C., Pfohl-Leszkowicz, A., Vincent-Hubert, F., 2015.
 Genotoxicity and activation of cellular defenses in transplanted zebra mussels *Dreissena polymorpha* along the Seine river. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 114, 241–249.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.03.023
- de Lafontaine, Y., Gagné, F., Blaise, C., Costan, G., Gagnon, P., Chan, H.M., 2000. Biomarkers in zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) for the assessment and monitoring of water quality of the St Lawrence River (Canada). Aquat. Toxicol. 50, 51–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X(99)00094-6
- De Ventura, L., Sarpe, D., Kopp, K., Jokela, J., 2016. Variability in phenotypic tolerance to low oxygen
 in invasive populations of quagga and zebra mussels. Aquat. Invasions 11, 267–276.
 https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2016.11.3.05
- Del Piero, S., Masiero, L., Casellato, S., 2012. Influence of temperature on fluoride toxicity and
 bioaccumulation in the nonindigenous freshwater mollusk *Dreissena polymorpha* Pallas, 1769.
 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31, 2567–2571. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.1979
- Ellis, S., MacIsaac, H.J., 2009. Salinity tolerance of Great Lakes invaders. Freshw. Biol. 54, 77–89.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02098.x
- Evariste, L., David, E., Cloutier, P.-L., Brousseau, P., Auffret, M., Desrosiers, M., Groleau, P.E.,
 Fournier, M., Betoulle, S., 2018. Field biomonitoring using the zebra mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* and the quagga mussel *Dreissena bugensis* following immunotoxic reponses. Is
 there a need to separate the two species? Environ. Pollut. 238, 706–716.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.098
- Falfushynska, H., Gnatyshyna, L., Yurchak, I., Stoliar, O., Sokolova, I.M., 2016. Interpopulational
 variability of molecular responses to ionizing radiation in freshwater bivalves *Anodonta anatina* (Unionidae). Sci. Total Environ. 568, 444–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.175
- Falfushynska, H.I., Gnatyshyna, L.L., Stoliar, O.B., 2013. Effect of in situ exposure history on the
 molecular responses of freshwater bivalve *Anodonta anatina* (Unionidae) to trace metals.
 Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 89, 73–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2012.11.024
- Fanslow, D.L., Nalepa, T.F., Johengen, T.H., 2001. Seasonal changes in the respiratory electron transport system (ETS) and respiration of the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha* in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron. Hydrobiologia 448, 61–70. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017582119098

- Faria, M., Ochoa, V., Blázquez, M., Juan, M.F.S., Lazzara, R., Lacorte, S., Soares, A.M.V.M., Barata,
 C., 2014. Separating natural from anthropogenic causes of impairment in zebra mussel
 (*Dreissena polymorpha*) populations living across a pollution gradient. Aquat. Toxicol. 152,
 82–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.03.020
- 646 Farkas, A., Àcs, A., Vehovszky, À., Falfusynska, H., Stoliar, O., Specziár, A., Györi, J., 2017. 647 Interspecies comparison of selected pollution biomarkers in dreissenid spp. inhabiting pristine polluted sites. 648 and moderately Sci. Total Environ. 599-600, 760–770. 649 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.033
- Forbes, V.E., Palmqvist, A., Bach, L., 2006. The use and misuse of biomarkers in ecotoxicology.
 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 25, 272–280. https://doi.org/10.1897/05-257R.1
- Garaud, M., Auffan, M., Devin, S., Felten, V., Pagnout, C., Pain-Devin, S., Proux, O., Rodius, F., Sohm,
 B., Giambérini, L., 2016. Integrated assessment of ceria nanoparticle impacts on the freshwater
 bivalve *Dreissena polymorpha*. Nanotoxicology 10, 935–944.
 https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2016.1146363
- Guerlet, E., Ledy, K., Meyer, A., Giambérini, L., 2007. Towards a validation of a cellular biomarker
 suite in native and transplanted zebra mussels: A 2-year integrative field study of seasonal and
 pollution-induced variations. Aquat. Toxicol. 81, 377–388.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.12.016
- Hagger, J.A., Jones, M.B., Leonard, D.R., Owen, R., Galloway, T.S., 2006. Biomarkers and integrated
 environmental risk assessment: are there more questions than answers? Integr. Environ. Assess.
 Manag. 2, 312–329. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.5630020403
- Hanson, N., Förlin, L., Larsson, Å., 2010. Spatial and annual variation to define the normal range of
 biological endpoints: An example with biomarkers in perch. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 29, 2616–
 2624. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.300
- Heiler, K., bij de Vaate, A., Ekschmitt, K., von Oheimb, P., Albrecht, C., Wilke, T., 2013.
 Reconstruction of the early invasion history of the quagga mussel (*Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*) in Western Europe. Aquat. Invasions 8, 53–57. https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2013.8.1.06
- Johnston, E.L., Roberts, D.A., 2009. Contaminants reduce the richness and evenness of marine
 communities: A review and meta-analysis. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1745–1752.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.02.017
- Kerambrun, E., Delahaut, L., Geffard, A., David, E., 2018. Differentiation of sympatric zebra and
 quagga mussels in ecotoxicological studies: A comparison of morphometric data, gene
 expression, and body metal concentrations. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 154, 321–328.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.02.051
- Kerambrun, E., Rioult, D., Delahaut, L., Evariste, L., Pain-Devin, S., Auffret, M., Geffard, A., David,
 E., 2016. Variations in gene expression levels in four European zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha*, populations in relation to metal bioaccumulation: A field study. Ecotoxicol.
 Environ. Saf. 134, 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.08.018
- Lazzara, R., Fernandes, D., Faria, M., López, J.F., Tauler, R., Porte, C., 2012. Changes in lipid content
 and fatty acid composition along the reproductive cycle of the freshwater mussel *Dreissena polymorpha*: Its modulation by clofibrate exposure. Sci. Total Environ. 432, 195–201.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.05.094
- Leiniö, S., Lehtonen, K.K., 2005. Seasonal variability in biomarkers in the bivalves *Mytilus edulis* and
 Macoma balthica from the northern Baltic Sea. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Toxicol.
 Pharmacol. 140, 408–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2005.04.005
- Louis, F., Devin, S., Giambérini, L., Potet, M., David, E., Pain-Devin, S., 2019. Energy allocation in
 two dreissenid species under metal stress. Environ. Pollut.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.079
- Lushchak, V.I., 2011. Environmentally induced oxidative stress in aquatic animals. Aquat. Toxicol. 101,
 13–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.10.006
- Marescaux, J., Boets, P., Lorquet, J., Sablon, R., Van Doninck, K., Beisel, J.-N., 2015. Sympatric
 Dreissena species in the Meuse River: towards a dominance shift from zebra to quagga mussels.
 Aquat. Invasions 10, 287–298. https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2015.10.3.04
- Matthews, J., Schipper, A.M., Hendriks, A.J., Yen Le, T.T., bij de Vaate, A., van der Velde, G., Leuven,
 R.S.E.W., 2015. A dominance shift from the zebra mussel to the invasive quagga mussel may

- 697alter the trophic transfer of metals. Environ. Pollut. 203, 183–190.698https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.03.032
- Milan, M., Matozzo, V., Pauletto, M., Di Camillo, B., Giacomazzo, M., Boffo, L., Binato, G., Marin, 699 700 M.G., Patarnello, T., Bargelloni, L., 2016. Can ecological history influence response to 701 pollutants? Transcriptomic analysis of Manila clam collected in different Venice lagoon areas 702 and exposed heavy metal. Aquat. Toxicol. 174. 123–133. to 703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.02.024
- Millward, R., Klerks, P., 2002. Contaminant-adaptation and community tolerance in ecological risk
 assessment: introduction. Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. 8, 921–932. https://doi.org/10.1080/1080 700291905747
- Minguez, L., Giambérini, L., 2012. Seasonal dynamics of zebra mussel parasite populations. Aquat.
 Biol. 15, 145–151. https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00418
- Minguez, L., Meyer, A., Molloy, D.P., Giambérini, L., 2009. Interactions between parasitism and
 biological responses in zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*): Importance in ecotoxicological
 studies. Environ. Res. 109, 843–850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2009.07.012
- Navarro, A., Campos, B., Barata, C., Piña, B., 2013. Transcriptomic seasonal variations in a natural population of zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*). Sci. Total Environ. 454–455, 482–489.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.048
- Pain, S., Devin, S., Parant, M., 2007. Biomarker versus environmental factors: Seasonal variations and modelling multixenobiotic defence (MXD) transport activity in transplanted zebra mussels. Sci.
 Total Environ. 373, 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.10.054
- 718 Pain-Devin, S., Cossu-Leguille, C., Geffard, A., Giambérini, L., Jouenne, T., Minguez, L., Naudin, B., 719 Parant, M., Rodius, F., Rousselle, P., Tarnowska, K., Daguin-Thiébaut, C., Viard, F., Devin, S., 720 2014. Towards a better understanding of biomarker response in field survey: A case study in 721 eight populations of zebra mussels. Aquat. Toxicol. 155. 52-61. 722 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.06.008
- Palais, F., Dedourge-Geffard, O., Beaudon, A., Pain-Devin, S., Trapp, J., Geffard, O., Noury, P.,
 Gourlay-Francé, C., Uher, E., Mouneyrac, C., Biagianti-Risbourg, S., Geffard, A., 2012. Oneyear monitoring of core biomarker and digestive enzyme responses in transplanted zebra
 mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*). Ecotoxicology 21, 888–905. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646012-0851-1
- Parolini, M., Pedriali, A., Binelli, A., 2013. Chemical and biomarker responses for site-specific quality
 assessment of the Lake Maggiore (Northern Italy). Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20, 5545–5557.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1556-6
- Pavlova, V., Izyumov, Y., 2014. Morphological variability of *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena rostriformis bugensis* (Mollusca: Bivalvia), in: Nalepa, T.F., Schloesser, D.W. (Eds.), Quagga
 and Zebra Mussels Biology, Impacts, and Control. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 287–
 314.
- Potet, M., Devin, S., Pain-Devin, S., Rousselle, P., Giambérini, L., 2016. Integrated multi-biomarker
 responses in two dreissenid species following metal and thermal cross-stress. Environ. Pollut.
 218, 39–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.025
- Potet, M., Giambérini, L., Pain-Devin, S., Catteau, A., Pauly, D., Devin, S., 2018a. Impact of multiple
 stressors on biomarker responses in sympatric dreissenid populations. Aquat. Toxicol. 203,
 140–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2018.08.007
- Potet, M., Giambérini, L., Pain-Devin, S., Louis, F., Bertrand, C., Devin, S., 2018b. Differential
 tolerance to nickel between *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*populations. Sci. Rep. 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19228-x
- Rocher, B., David E., Tanguy A., Le Saux A., Evariste L., Louis F., Delahaut L., Rioult D., Pain-Devin
 S., Péden R., Dedourge-Geffard O., Devin S. and Bultelle F., 2020. Characterization of Cu/ZnSODs in sympatric species: a comparison of Zebra and Quagga mussels. J. Great Lakes Res. 46,
 1783-1790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2020.09.012
- Schäfer, S., Hamer, B., Treursić, B., Möhlenkamp, C., Spira, D., Korlević, M., Reifferscheid, G., Claus,
 E., 2012. Comparison of bioaccumulation and biomarker responses in *Dreissena polymorpha* and *D. bugensis* after exposure to resuspended sediments. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 62,
 614–627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-011-9735-2

- Sokolova, I.M., Lannig, G., 2008. Interactive effects of metal pollution and temperature on metabolism
 in aquatic ectotherms: implications of global climate change. Clim. Res. 37, 181–201.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00764
- Stoeckmann, A.M., Garton, D.W., 1997. A seasonal energy budget for zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) in western Lake Erie. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54, 2743–2751. https://doi.org/10.1139/f97-184
- Tarnowska, K., Daguin-Thiebaut, C., Pain-Devin, S., Viard, F., 2013. Nuclear and mitochondrial genetic
 variability of an old invader, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Bivalvia), in French river basins. Biol.
 Invasions 15, 2547–2561. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0472-5
- Thomas, E.G., Šrut, M., Štambuk, A., Klobučar, G.I.V., Seitz, A., Griebeler, E.M., 2014. Effects of
 freshwater pollution on the genetics of Zebra Mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) at the molecular
 and population level. BioMed Res. Int. 2014, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/795481
- Viarengo, A., Lowe, D., Bolognesi, C., Fabbri, E., Koehler, A., 2007. The use of biomarkers in
 biomonitoring: A 2-tier approach assessing the level of pollutant-induced stress syndrome in
 sentinel organisms. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 146, 281–300.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2007.04.011
- Wacker, A., Von Elert, E., 2008. Body size and food thresholds for zero growth in *Dreissena polymorpha*: a mechanism underlying intraspecific competition. Freshw. Biol. 53, 2356–2363. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02051.x
- Wojtal-Frankiewicz, A., Bernasińska, J., Frankiewicz, P., Gwoździński, K., Jurczak, T., 2017. The role
 of environmental factors in the induction of oxidative stress in zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*). Aquat. Ecol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-017-9617-4

775 <u>Appendices</u>

Table A.1.: Descriptive summary of the sampling sites (D. r. bug.: Dreissena rostriformis bugensis; D.

- 778 pol.: Dreissena polymorpha)

Site	Name	Coordinates (Lambert 93)	Species	Waterbody	Characteristics
No1	Courcelles- lès-lens	x:701904.14 y:7036413.73	D. r. bug.	Leisure base on the Deûle waterway	Former harbour; located between two factories (Pb, Cd, Zn)
No2	Condé-sur- l'Escaut	x:742523.91 y:7040341.87	D. pol.	Pond	Former coal mining area
Oi1	Verneuil-en- Halatte	x:664328.03 y:6909078.27	D. pol., D. r. bug.	Canalized Oise River	Downstream a waste water treatment plant; commercial shipping
Mo1	Montigny - canal de Jouy	x:930770.97 y:6894478.49	D. pol., D. r. bug.	Canalized Moselle River	Two adjacent waterways located in the Metz agglomeration; wood treatment plant
Mo2	Montigny - canal de Metz	x:931048.51 y:6894967.27	D. r. bug.	Derivation channel of the Moselle River	upstream using metal salts and creosote, and a former tar refinery, closed in 1996 (PAHs, phenols, naphthalene, creosote).
Mo3	Jouy aux arches	x:924931.85 y:6889507.53	D. r. bug.	Canalized Moselle River	Upstream from Mo1 and Mo2
Mo4	Liverdun	x:925364.58 y:6853881.56	D. r. bug., D. pol.	Canalized Moselle River	Upstream from Mo1, Mo2 and Mo3; before the confluence with the Meurthe River
Me1	Saint-Mihiel	x:885822.17 y:6868219.32	D. pol., D. r. bug.	Lock on the Canal de l'Est branche Nord	Recreational activities (boating , shipping, fishing)
Me2	Madine	x:901250.30 y:6873460.86	D. pol.	Lake fed by a Tributary of Moselle River	Leisure base, prohibited to thermal motor boats; reserve of drinking water for the city of Metz
So1	Riaucourt	x:859800.49 y:6788737.27	D. pol.	Waterway (Canal entre Champagne et Bourgogne)	Used for commercial shipping, frequently emptied (fluctuating water level)
So2	Scey-sur- Saône	x:923259.17 y:6732308.04	D. pol.	Marina located on the Saône River	
So3	Seurre	x:862786.46 y:6657960.18	D. r. bug., D. pol.	Harbour between the Saone and its diversion channel	A boat sank on September 2015, leading to a release of fuel within the harbour
So4	Nantua	x:900751.79 y:6565109.42	D. pol.	Lake of glacial origin	Classified as a protected natural site since 1936



Figure A.1.: Cu/Zn-SOD patterns from D. polymorpha (lanes 1-3) and D. r. bugensis (lanes 4-6)

785
 786 *Cu/Zn-SOD* activities were determined after isoelectrofocusing in native conditions directly on gel by

787 photochemical revelation with nitroblue tetrazolium. The gels were scanned and subsequently

analyzed using the Image Lab 4.1 software developed by BIO-RAD. Observed patterns clearly

789 discriminate D. polymorpha and D. r. bugensis. In this example, individuals were collected at Saint-

790 Mihiel.

			ProtB	Tri	Chol	ETS	LOOH
_		Site	mg/gFW	mg/gFW	mg/gFW	µmolO2.gProt-	1 µmol/gProt
	s	No1	48,9 ± 5,6	$23,8 \pm 6,4$	2,2 ± 0,3	1 ± 0,3	75 ± 58,9
	isu	Mo1	57,7 ± 9,1	15,1 ± 7,2	2,3 ± 0,3	$0,9 \pm 0,4$	38,7 ± 36,8
	nge	Mo3	57,1 ± 7,8	29 ± 5,9	$2,6 \pm 0,3$	$0,8 \pm 0,2$	72,7 ± 77
	q,	Mo4	$51,2 \pm 6,4$	23,2 ± 5,7	$2,4 \pm 0,2$	$0,9 \pm 0,2$	66,1 ± 58,3
ю	Ū.	Me1	50,4 ± 7,2	20,9 ± 7,4	$2,3 \pm 0,4$	$0,9 \pm 0,3$	60,3 ± 65,8
201		So3	49,4 ± 5,4	15,4 ± 5,8	$2,3 \pm 0,3$	0,9 ± 0,2	36,8 ± 35,2
er v		No2	52,1 ± 8	16,8 ± 3,8	$1,9 \pm 0,2$	1,4 ± 0,3	38,9 ± 36,7
tob	a	Oi1	52,5 ± 8,2	1,6 ± 0,9	$1,9 \pm 0,3$	1,6 ± 0,3	16,9 ± 3,7
Ö	rpha	Mo1	52,8 ± 10,9	2,4 ± 2,1	1,7 ± 0,2	$1,4 \pm 0,4$	18,5 ± 10
	ЮШ	Me1	58,6 ± 7,5	22,1 ± 4,8	2,6 ± 0,3	1,3 ± 0,2	50,2 ± 61,5
	νĮο	Me2	58,5 ± 6	2,7 ± 1,9	2,1 ± 0,2	1,4 ± 0,3	25,8 ± 29,1
	<i>д</i> .	So1	51,4 ± 7,9	2 ± 0,9	2 ± 0,4	1,4 ± 0,5	23,4 ± 18,6
	-	So2	54,2 ± 7	3,5 ± 3,2	2 ± 0,3	1,3 ± 0,4	26,9 ± 32
		So4	54,1 ± 6,8	7,8 ± 3,9	2 ± 0,3	1,9 ± 0,6	17,6 ± 4,8
		No1	52,6 ± 5,1	17,6 ± 10,4	2,3 ± 0,6	$0,9 \pm 0,2$	69,5 ± 65,5
	6	Oi1	52,8 ± 2,3	13,5 ± 5	2,3 ± 0,6	$0,8 \pm 0,2$	27,5 ± 26,8
	nsis	Mo1	64,3 ± 4,2	28 ± 4,2	3,3 ± 1	$0,6 \pm 0,3$	109,2 ± 77,8
	igei	Mo2	54,6 ± 12,8	7,6 ± 5,8	$2,2 \pm 0,4$	$0,7 \pm 0,3$	18,5 ± 12,8
	nd.	Mo3	57,3 ± 2,5	16,5 ± 6,1	$2,5 \pm 0,4$	0,8 ± 0,2	45,9 ± 50,5
	Ċ.	Mo4	52,8 ± 3,5	15 ± 6,2	$2,6 \pm 0,6$	0,9 ± 0,2	25,5 ± 32,9
9	-	Me1	56 ± 4,7	24,8 ± 3,5	2 ± 0,7	0,7 ± 0,1	125,2 ± 77,5
201		So3	54,7 ± 2,6	18,9 ± 4	$2,5 \pm 0,6$	$0,7 \pm 0,2$	47,6 ± 48
pril		No2	64,2 ± 16,2	18,2 ± 3,9	3 ± 1,8	1,2 ± 0,2	40,6 ± 16
A	'n	Oi1	64,6 ± 3,2	4,8 ± 2,2	2,1 ± 0,4	1,2 ± 0,1	20,4 ± 21,1
	ëyd,	Mo1	57,4 ± 2,9	15,5 ± 5,2	$1,9 \pm 0,4$	1,2 ± 0,2	39,5 ± 59,9
	noi	Me1	65,7 ± 3	21,3 ± 3,8	2,1 ± 0,6	1,3 ± 0,2	56,8 ± 66,1
	olyi	Me2	62,9 ± 4,9	18,9 ± 4,6	$2,3 \pm 0,3$	1,1 ± 0,2	41,2 ± 62,3
	д.	So1	54,4 ± 6,1	10,3 ± 6,5	2,1 ± 0,5	1,1 ± 0,2	55,1 ± 75,2
	L	So2	62,8 ± 3,7	22,7 ± 2,8	2 ± 0,3	1,1 ± 0,2	129,8 ± 64,4
		So4	60,1 ± 5,7	13,1 ± 5,7	2,1 ± 0,6	1 ± 0,2	12,1 ± 3,7
		No1	50,6 ± 5,2	19,3 ± 4,7	2,3 ± 0,2	$0,9 \pm 0,2$	40,9 ± 55,7
	sis	Oi1	52,9 ± 4,1	13,6 ± 3,2	2 ± 0,5	0,8 ± 0,1	17,8 ± 16,1
	ens	Mo1	55,6 ± 5,3	21,4 ± 3,8	3,1 ± 0,5	0,8 ± 0,2	46,5 ± 53,3
	бпq	Mo2	52,3 ± 10,4	11,2 ± 5,2	2,1 ± 0,3	$0,9 \pm 0,3$	21,3 ± 10,8
	r	Mo3	53,7 ± 6,5	12,4 ± 5,6	2,7 ± 0,3	0,8 ± 0,2	19,4 ± 12
	D.	Mo4	55 ± 4,6	14,7 ± 2,2	2,7 ± 0,2	0,8 ± 0,1	24,3 ± 32,2
17		So3	56,5 ± 6,4	20,2 ± 7,7	$2,5 \pm 0,4$	0,8 ± 0,2	50,2 ± 55,9
20		No2	59,1 ± 3,9	16 ± 4	2,4 ± 0,5	1,1 ± 0,1	14,2 ± 1,6
ary		Oi1	57,2 ± 5,1	6,7 ± 2,9	2,2 ± 0,3	1,1 ± 0,2	12,4 ± 2,2
bru	μ.	Mo1	56,8 ± 3,9	14,7 ± 3,3	2,8 ± 0,3	1 ± 0,1	14,3 ± 2
Бе	ŝųd,	Mo4	$59,2 \pm 6,4$	5,6 ± 1,1	2 ± 0,2	1,1 ± 0,2	12 ± 1,4
	nor	Me1	61,3 ± 4,5	8,8 ± 2,5	$2,3 \pm 0,3$	1 ± 0,1	11 ± 2,8
	olyi	Me2	62,8 ± 4,8	18,1 ± 4	$2,6 \pm 0,5$	1 ± 0,1	14 ± 1,9
	đ.	So1	59,9 ± 4,1	20 ± 2,5	2,1 ± 0,2	1,1 ± 0,1	15,7 ± 2,7
	Ц	So2	61,9 ± 6,6	11,3 ± 3,8	$2,4 \pm 0,5$	1 ± 0,1	15,4 ± 15,3
		So3	61,4 ± 6,7	12,1 ± 5,4	$2,4 \pm 0,3$	1,1 ± 0,2	13,6 ± 2,2
		So4	54,5 ± 5,5	13,6 ± 3,8	2,1 ± 0,2	1,2 ± 0,2	12,9 ± 2,6

792 Table A.2.: Mean ± standard deviation for the 11 biomarkers considered (part 1)

794 Table A.2.: Mean ± standard deviation for the 11 biomarkers considered (part 2)

			ACP	ProtFC	GST	TAC	LDH	Csp
		Site	µmol/gprot/h	mg/gFW	µmolCDNB/gprot/	′r µmolTeq/gpro	ot µmolNADH/gprc	μmolpNA/gpro t/h
	s	No1	69,9 ± 11,8	$34,2 \pm 4,8$	229,4 ± 45,2	15,7 ± 6,6	170,8 ± 69,1	5,3 ± 2,4
	nsi	Mo1	107,2 ± 30,2	38,7 ± 6,1	393 ± 128,7	18,6 ± 6,7	139,6 ± 48,2	7,5 ± 4,7
	abr	Mo3	90,7 ± 16,9	38 ± 5,3	346 ± 80,6	12,2 ± 6,9	141,6 ± 37,3	7,7 ± 2
015 D r hi	q.	Mo4	96,7 ± 18	35,8 ± 4,9	311,7 ± 67,2	14,2 ± 7,8	165,3 ± 53,9	7,4 ± 3
	<u>о</u>	Me1	109,7 ± 21,8	33,7 ± 5,5	319 ± 76,5	17,4 ± 6,5	182,4 ± 59,7	13,2 ± 9,6
201		So3	95 ± 31,2	34,3 ± 4,4	268,7 ± 42,1	10,3 ± 4,7	144,9 ± 23	3,5 ± 0,9
e		No2	78,4 ± 18,5	39 ± 5,5	246,2 ± 107,9	23,9 ± 6,3	121,5 ± 29,8	8,7 ± 2,1
tob	a	Oi1	97,7 ± 22,2	35,4 ± 6,1	539,7 ± 139,4	28 ± 8,4	194,5 ± 98,5	17,8 ± 12,5
ő	hdr	Mo1	74,7 ± 15,1	35,2 ± 7,5	497,1 ± 200,1	31,8 ± 5,9	185,5 ± 54,5	10,8 ± 6,7
	om,	Me1	94 ± 22,3	41 ± 5	$300,2 \pm 74$	20,5 ± 5,5	137,8 ± 36,8	18,8 ± 6,5
	λ	Me2	58,9 ± 13,6	36,8 ± 4,1	518,3 ± 179,4	26,1 ± 4,2	140,1 ± 38,3	$20,3 \pm 5,6$
	Ū.	So1	84,4 ± 29,6	34,7 ± 4,6	384,2 ± 111,8	23,9 ± 6,5	109,5 ± 38,9	4,6 ± 2,8
		So2	82,3 ± 20,8	37,1 ± 5,1	349,8 ± 98,3	24,5 ± 3,5	116,1 ± 21,6	6,2 ± 3
		So4	100 ± 18,2	36,2 ± 5,7	556,8 ± 287,7	37,1 ± 3,8	170,6 ± 61,4	7,2 ± 4,5
		No1	71,5 ± 27,3	53,5 ± 58,6	163,8 ± 56,5	13,5 ± 3,6	167 ± 64,7	3,5 ± 1,9
	S	Oi1	90,2 ± 10,2	41,9 ± 3,9	172,6 ± 27,4	14,1 ± 3,1	169,6 ± 28,2	4,2 ± 1,3
	isu	Mo1	99,8 ± 29,5	42,8 ± 4,1	192 ± 31,7	13,3 ± 5,6	152,1 ± 44,6	6,6 ± 2,4
	nge	Mo2	$60,7 \pm 20,7$	35,8 ± 4,7	209,8 ± 68,1	15,6 ± 3,4	164,3 ± 51,6	4 ± 1,1
	ď.	Mo3	87,1 ± 19,3	41,8 ± 4,5	199,6 ± 46,6	16,1 ± 2,9	203,1 ± 31,1	5,8 ± 1,9
April 2016	D. 7	Mo4	78,2 ± 15,8	36,1 ± 7	214,8 ± 48,8	17,1 ± 7,1	227,1 ± 73,7	6,7 ± 2,6
		Me1	63,6 ± 13	36 ± 3,6	218,5 ± 30,2	15,4 ± 4,9	171,5 ± 39	4,4 ± 1,2
		So3	98,4 ± 39,3	39,7 ± 4,1	219,7 ± 53,4	15,1 ± 5,4	198,3 ± 60,5	4,4 ± 1,4
		No2	57,3 ± 8,9	49,4 ± 16,7	192 ± 52	26,6 ± 2,8	127,3 ± 19,5	8 ± 2,5
4	Ø	Oi1	54,5 ± 10,4	44 ± 2,7	293,3 ± 92,6	27,8 ± 2,8	150,2 ± 20,9	11,4 ± 5,5
	rph	Mo1	59,1 ± 16,9	43,5 ± 3,9	$260,3 \pm 90,7$	28 ± 5,7	143 ± 34,6	7 ± 1,9
	om,	Me1	64,9 ± 15,2	48,1 ± 3,5	199,5 ± 71,3	21,2 ± 5,4	120,9 ± 25,2	10,9 ± 4,2
	νjoc	Me2	56,8 ± 8,8	43 ± 6,1	245,9 ± 57,9	26,5 ± 4,3	166,5 ± 22,1	7,3 ± 2,3
	d.	So1	$39,4 \pm 9,6$	37 ± 6,8	252,7 ± 108,9	$24,4 \pm 6,9$	143,2 ± 46,5	4,6 ± 3,3
		So2	63,2 ± 14	44,9 ± 6,8	204,3 ± 60,8	20,8 ± 6,1	138 ± 39,2	6,7 ± 1,7
		So4	61,9 ± 13,2	44,3 ± 4,6	283,1 ± 98,4	26,9 ± 7,2	182,3 ± 72,6	4,6 ± 1
		No1	80,6 ± 14,1	37,2 ± 3,4	198,8 ± 37	15,7 ± 3,2	182,3 ± 31	3,2 ± 1,9
	sis	Oi1	122 ± 24,9	39,4 ± 3,6	271,8 ± 34	15,9 ± 3,5	190,3 ± 31,3	3,6 ± 1,5
	gen	Mo1	127,5 ± 17,7	39,4 ± 3,6	416,2 ± 53,2	17,8 ± 3,2	147,5 ± 48,7	1,9 ± 1,9
	'nq	Mo2	99,9 ± 30,9	36,5 ± 3,5	323,6 ± 61,3	15,9 ± 3,1	166,6 ± 49,2	3,5 ± 2,9
		Mo3	109,3 ± 21,7	39,7 ± 2,9	313,3 ± 63,9	17 ± 4,1	191,3 ± 39,3	4,1 ± 2,3
		Mo4	106,8 ± 18,4	40,3 ± 2,4	283,3 ± 55,2	$14,2 \pm 4$	178,1 ± 39,3	3,1 ± 1,8
017		So3	123 ± 33	40,9 ± 2,8	256,2 ± 50,3	13,5 ± 3,8	143,6 ± 44,2	2,2 ± 1,5
ک ک		No2	72,2 ± 14,8	44,4 ± 3,4	291,9 ± 70,4	23,8 ± 5	124,7 ± 20	4 ± 4,1
uar		Oi1	111,2 ± 25,5	40,9 ± 3,1	524 ± 141,2	27,6 ± 6,6	148,2 ± 20,1	6,8 ± 8,3
ebr	р	Mo1	88,3 ± 13,2	40,9 ± 3,2	379,8 ± 89,1	27,2 ± 4,3	121 ± 36,5	3,8 ± 2,9
LL.	ldro	Mo4	84,1 ± 22,6	42,9 ± 3,8	294,6 ± 80,8	28,6 ± 6,8	148,5 ± 20,2	8,3 ± 4,8
	Ŭ,	Me1	$60,9 \pm 9,4$	44,8 ± 2,9	283,9 ± 71,4	21,1 ± 4,7	114,6 ± 18,2	6,9 ± 6
	(joa	Me2	77,9 ± 15,8	45,5 ± 3,8	$360,1 \pm 56,9$	21,1 ± 6,5	$138,3 \pm 24,2$	$2,4 \pm 2,1$
	D.	So1	75,4 ± 11,2	45,9 ± 2,7	289,9 ± 41,3	29,1 ± 4,8	$140,4 \pm 7,2$	3,5 ± 1,6
		So2	72,2 ± 16,1	45,6 ± 2,3	231,4 ± 73	24,9 ± 5	121,6 ± 27,6	3,4 ± 3,9
		So3	91 ± 20,3	42,8 ± 4	426,9 ± 117,8	26,4 ± 7,9	$140,9 \pm 29,4$	6,3 ± 8,6
		So4	76,1 ± 10,1	41,7 ± 2,4	358,9 ± 69,4	30 ± 6,3	150,4 ± 19,7	3,1 ± 2

796 Table A.3.: Sediments contamination

	_						Octobe	er 2015					
site		No1	No2	Oi1	Mo1	Mo3	Mo4	Me1	Me2	So1	So2	So3	So4
Anthracene		1304	334	122	841	114	375	48	42	390	10	27	513
Benzo(a)anthracene		5007	1108	218	3324	494	1435	214	487	2890	46	190	2362
Benzo(a)pyrene		5291	920	203	3380	503	1265	82	425	2600	52	248	2253
Benzo(ghi)perylene		2770	609	133	1785	306	976	210	239	1570	48	289	1280
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		3073	564	127	1920	232	828	163	198	1490	27	140	1211
Chrysene		5310	1102	204	3687	492	1496	230	482	2560	25	217	2293
Fluoranthene	Iter	12072	2065	448	7762	1142	3306	737	940	5909	90	509	4586
Indeno(I)pyrene	Jai	1607	334	70	1140	172	535	130	150	955	14	79	791
Naphtalene	2	130	182	11	1043	5	70	18	5	81	5	5	5
PCB101	0	2,5	9	2,5	2,5	2,5	34	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB118	Ê.	2,5	12	2,5	2,5	2,5	13	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB138	бr	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	22	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB153		2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	22	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB180		2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	8	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB28		2,5	7	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB52		2,5	11	2,5	2,5	2,5	13	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
Phenanthrene		4579	1620	148	2606	489	1611	203	223	1910	25	191	1687
Sum PCB		2,5	44	2,5	2,5	2,5	112	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
AI		23070	84850	15920	40840	65680	57310	29580	8708	25840	49250	43790	4338
As		16,2	8,2	3,6	11,4	26,4	30,6	7,8	21,9	22,5	24	15,4	4,5
Cd ,	L	54,7	0,4	0,1	0,3	1	0,9	0,3	0,2	0,3	0,2	0,8	0,2
Cr	Itte	62,4	122	46,5	81,5	93,3	80,8	53,9	23	116,8	58,3	59,7	42,2
Co	Ê	6,1	17,9	3,9	6,8	13,3	10	7,2	5,4	7,7	8	8,1	2,5
Cu	È	79	58,3	12,1	44,9	44,1	29,6	23,1	5,9	16,5	13	108,5	39,8
Fe -	_	14740	25250	11150	27660	40480	41090	17230	24230	42200	19130	22900	6848
Mn -	Ŋ. KG	346,3	695,8	258,2	882,3	692,9	470,1	204,2	336,5	235,4	188,1	372,9	126,4
Hg	5 E	4,46	0,16	0,07	0,04	0,2	0,28	0,06	0,02	0,05	0,01	0,14	0,52
Ni		17,6	49	8,6	16	42	24,1	22,6	10,6	28	16	23,6	21,7
Pb		1004	30,4	15,7	69,7	61,8	355,4	18,6	8,5	25,2	24,3	34,8	143,5
Zn		2443	192,8	60,2	109,9	275,9	853,9	122,5	41,3	129,1	48,3	265	128

							Feb	oruary 20°	17					
site		No1	No2	Oi1	Mo1	Mo2	Mo3	Mo4	Me1	Me2	So1	So2	So3	So4
Anthracene		1601	298	77	104	136	2114	39	119	10	884	76	91	138
Benzo(a)anthracene		2593	661	142	249	337	2260	99	339	34	1737	153	263	412
Benzo(a)pyrene		2451	643	173	315	339	2591	120	407	32	1900	200	324	430
Benzo(ghi)perylene		1340	460	125	210	213	1372	76	273	35	1092	129	207	265
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		1257	319	87	146	164	1172	55	197	18	882	99	152	216
Chrysene		3367	719	160	305	413	3154	115	382	25	2128	189	304	434
Fluoranthene	tter	5429	1413	286	526	630	6761	197	678	74	3914	326	499	666
Indeno(I)pyrene	ma	945	383	101	172	169	1210	62	227	21	944	104	167	226
Naphtalene	2	145	306	13	12	31	137	5	26	5	69	36	12	50
PCB101	- -	2,5	27	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB118	.kg	2,5	28	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB138	рц	8	19	2,5	2,5	2,5	5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB153		8	17	2,5	2,5	2,5	7	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB180		2,5	8	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB28		2,5	34	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
PCB52		2,5	43	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
Phenanthrene		1583	1105	104	248	351	2878	70	288	25	1730	126	178	234
Sum PCB		22	176	2,5	2,5	2,5	12	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5
AI		24180	25640	20500	36600	36400	78690	63480	41710	15160	20360	68450	40210	3268
As		19,2	7,1	5,7	8,4	10,9	24,1	14,7	8,2	10,1	29,2	32,4	18	2,6
Cd	_	59,5	0,5	0,3	0,1	0,1	1	0,1	0,4	0,1	0,1	0,8	0,5	0,1
Cr	itte	69,3	146,2	62,6	84,5	113,6	85,4	60,1	50,1	41,6	121,7	89,8	61	14,9
Co	ш	6,7	16,5	5,4	3,1	3,9	11,1	3,9	7,1	2,8	7,6	15,9	10,3	1
Cu	≧	80,7	64,4	13,9	14,4	13,4	49,2	10	37,9	8	13,8	88,5	22,3	19,1
Fe		17160	20860	14310	18350	16720	37710	12760	22880	10030	50980	40280	23780	4869
Mn	.kg	319,6	1963	338,7	483,1	259,6	524,8	123,8	208	257,8	236	588,5	507,6	96,5
Hg	шg	4,14	0,07	0,08	0,01	0,08	0,18	0,04	0,07	0,01	0,05	0,2	0,27	0,1
Ni		19,2	38,8	12,9	9	12,7	34,8	10	23,4	11,5	29,2	43,4	23,4	5
Pb		982,3	45,8	17,7	33,9	27,2	66,9	42,9	26,1	13,5	30,9	62,7	25,1	32,2
Zn		2851	182,9	71,7	35,6	62,5	244,8	76,9	148,6	30,3	130,9	432,3	164,3	92,5

799 Table A.4.: Internal concentrations measured on both species

				-	D. r. bugensis		-	
	site	No1	Oi1	Mo1	Mo2	Mo3	Mo4	So3
Anthracene	µg/kg MS	102.983	38.869	22.547	23.764	28.437	38.047	24.343
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/kg MS	88.26	57.149	29.874	53.651	72.362	31.639	25.31
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/kg MS	42.032	24.632	13.478	34.013	37.285	19.666	20.349
Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/kg MS	22.342	13.37	5.964	16.449	21.27	14.011	5.288
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/kg MS	91.384	35.509	38.467	87.994	77.362	16.854	26.086
Chrysene	µg∕kg MS	95.269	63.602	43.753	62.578	70.67	47.006	45.011
Fluoranthene	µg∕kg MS	166.87	46.101	128.249	135.833	142.681	89.977	88.758
Indeno(I)pyrene	µg∕kg MS	15.299	8.088	6.255	9.615	18.178	12.233	5.69
Phenanthrene	µg∕kg MS	51.019	41.828	86.398	80.856	88.24	43.737	58.342
PCB101	µg∕kg MS	69.283	25.056	13.958	22.687	19.49	22.371	24.397
PCB118	µg∕kg MS	37.122	14.46	7.916	11.155	11.673	14.327	11.704
PCB138	µg∕kg MS	77.594	26.762	16.313	27.662	27.106	35.041	33.377
PCB153	µg∕kg MS	153.962	57.559	35.09	71.741	65.502	81.736	70.433
PCB180	µg∕kg MS	117.951	<5.19	<4.89	<5.46	34.229	<9.43	<4.99
PCB28	µg∕kg MS	<5.18	<5.19	<4.89	<5.46	<6.98	<9.43	<4.99
PCB52	µg∕kg MS	23.39	11.617	9.389	9.667	8.238	<9.43	6.85
AI	mg/kg MS	379.7	639.6	95.38	774.2	1097	520.3	1250
As	mg/kg MS	4.99	3.54	5.25	6.32	7.57	5.71	5.21
Cd	mg/kg MS	8.84	0.657	0.561	1.12	1.2	0.648	0.47
Cr	mg/kg MS	1.8	2.4	0.423	1.92	2.79	1.5	2.55
Co	mg/kg MS	1	1.46	0.389	1.52	1.98	0.697	1.01
Cu	mg/kg MS	12.73	12.4	16.7	13.3	14.09	12.76	12.07
Fe	mg/kg MS	991	1840	342.4	1801	2135	1687	1744
Mn	mg/kg MS	94.53	213.6	36.22	498.3	638.3	78.34	81.16
Hg	mg/kg MS	0.369	0.115	0.074	0.163	0.21	0.148	0.18
Ni	mg/kg MS	4.45	5.92	1.95	6.15	5.93	3.39	4.36
Pb	mg/kg MS	86.51	2.29	0.853	2.62	4.04	1.15	1.95
Zn	mg/kg MS	397.8	102.3	76.34	92.18	91.97	76.16	86.09
_					polymorpha			

					D. poly	morpha			
	site	No2	Oi1	Me1	Me2	Mo1	So1	So2	So4
Anthracene	µg/kg MS	27.222	<17.61	6.235	<18.66	4.736	29.357	18.722	8.104
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/kg MS	25.488	37.865	16.624	<18.66	8.393	23.234	14.089	15.121
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/kg MS	8.403	20.244	8.117	22.207	6.874	13.201	12.328	12.966
Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/kg MS	<2.49	<17.61	5.778	<18.66	<2.58	6.838	3.891	16.7
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/kg MS	8.096	20.73	5.363	<18.66	23.809	15.497	13.849	14.622
Chrysene	µg/kg MS	27.155	63.461	15.824	31.737	21.275	41.564	25.192	31.542
Fluoranthene	µg∕kg MS	84.845	56.426	37.23	99.017	45.757	64.556	74.732	64.879
Indeno(I)pyrene	µg∕kg MS	<2.49	<17.61	5.458	<18.66	<2.58	4.971	<2.47	4.806
Phenanthrene	µg∕kg MS	51.228	46.101	16.033	92.644	31.712	24.025	128.73	35.903
PCB101	µg∕kg MS	34.7	<35.21	<4.88	<37.31	<5.15	6.017	8.147	<5.1
PCB118	µg∕kg MS	8.527	<35.21	<4.88	<37.31	<5.15	<5.0	<4.93	<5.1
PCB138	µg∕kg MS	24.57	<35.21	<4.88	<37.31	<5.15	8.123	9.757	<5.1
PCB153	µg∕kg MS	43.616	48.915	<4.88	<37.31	10.244	12.418	18.003	5.339
PCB180	µg∕kg MS	<4.98	<35.21	<4.88	<37.31	<5.15	<5.0	5.264	<5.1
PCB28	µg∕kg MS	<4.98	<35.21	<4.88	<37.31	<5.15	<5.0	<4.93	<5.1
PCB52	µg∕kg MS	27.404	<35.21	<4.88	<37.31	<5.15	<5.0	<4.93	<5.1
AI	mg/kg MS	19.75	568.9	145.6	261.4	115.1	248.8	308.6	67.35
As	mg/kg MS	2.75	3.79	4.24	4.26	5.21	4.41	5.77	4.37
Cd	mg/kg MS	0.134	1.07	0.451	0.402	0.659	0.445	0.187	0.478
Cr	mg/kg MS	0.162	3.04	0.464	0.775	0.435	2.81	0.827	0.87
Co	mg/kg MS	0.656	1.65	0.598	0.686	0.641	0.67	0.766	0.533
Cu	mg/kg MS	10.67	15.21	16.68	11.77	11.84	14.49	17.26	13.14
Fe	mg/kg MS	190.3	1618	274.5	540.3	267.2	568.2	513.3	399.5
Mn	mg/kg MS	85.55	138.7	14.32	23.31	46.84	18	32.59	16.76
Hg	mg/kg MS	<0.025	0.114	0.032	0.06	0.112	0.053	0.03	0.056
Ni	mg/kg MS	6.01	10.83	4.65	3.83	3.42	12.25	3.4	3.57
Pb	mg/kg MS	0.216	1.68	0.281	0.354	0.603	0.418	0.518	0.372
Zn	ma/ka MS	85.64	98.81	79.84	75.25	74.46	85.96	93.84	93.45



806 Figure A.2.: PCA results from the water physico-chemistry measured for 12 sites x 2 seasons (October

807 2015: Oct.15, February 2017: Feb.17), except for the Mo2 site analysed only in February 2017.

- Table A.5.: Results of PLS regressions on the relationship between biomarkers and sediment contamination (a); biomarkers and soft tissue contaminant accumulation (b); sediment contamination and bioaccumulation (c).

-					BIOACCUMULATION EEXPLAINED BY		
\mathbf{O}	SEDIMENTS (CONTAMINATION	EXPLAINED BY E	BIOMARKERS	h	BIOMARKERS	
	D. r. bi	ugensis	D. poly	morpha		February 2017	
u u	October 2015	February 2017	October 2015	February 2017	\mathbf{N}	D. r. bugensis	D. polymorpha
	Proportion	of variance explain	ed cumulated on	3 axes (%)		Proportion of var	ance explained
Input	72.43	70.91	07.37 E0.56	20.46	Input	84 42	78.00
Target	07.40	Cumulative P2 o		30.40	Target	74.75	54.57
BrotB	0.05			0.59	laiger	14.10	04.07
ProtB	0.95	0.96	0.85	0.58		Cumulative R ² o	n 3 axes - Input
Chol	0.65	0.97	0.97	0.95	ProtB	0.97	0.89
CIIO	1.00	0.70	0.90	0.74	Tri	0.96	0.65
	0.72	0.91	0.04	0.54	Chol	0.71	0.50
ACP	0.94	0.80	0.63	0.05	ETS	0.94	0.94
ProtEC	0.99	0.00	0.95	0.82	LOOH	0.99	0.60
GST	0.80	0.35	0.00	0.80	ACP	0.82	0.87
	0.03	0.35	0.87	0.86	ProtFC	0.74	0.84
I DH	0.40	0.20	0.73	0.00	GST	0.95	0.93
Csn	0.00	0.96	0.80	0.90	TAC	0.95	0.85
Total explained	0.72	0.79	0.87	0.76	LDH	0.71	0.83
i etai explainea	0.72	Cumulative R ² or	3 axes - Target		Csp	0.92	0.51
Anthracene	0.97	0.81	0.50	0.63	Total explained	0.84	0.78
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.97	0.87	0.44	0.63		Cumulative R ² or	3 axes - Target
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.99	0.83	0.46	0.61	-	ounduite it of	i o altoo i laigot
Benzo(ahi)pervlene	0.97	0.84	0.42	0.59	Bioacc-Anthracene	0.83	0.60
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.98	0.86	0.42	0.61	Bioacc-Benzo(a)anthracene	0.87	0.46
Chrysene	0.98	0.86	0.42	0.63	Bioacc-Benzo(a)pyrene	0.85	0.76
Fluoranthene	0.97	0.95	0.39	0.62	Bioacc-Benzo(ghi)perviene	0.84	0.33
Indenolpyrene	0.96	0.79	0.40	0.59	Bioacc-Benzo(K)fluoranthene	0.83	0.40
Naphtalene	0.99	0.86	0.16	0.15	Bioacc-Chrysene	0.93	0.95
PCB 101	0.06	0.00	0.53	0.07	Bioacc-Fluoranniene	0.65	0.50
PCB 118	0.06	0.00	0.53	0.07	Bioacc-Indenoipyrene	0.44	0.30
PCB 138	0.06	0.93	0.00	0.07	Bioacc-PCB 101	0.02	0.31
PCB 153	0.06	0.90	0.00	0.07	Bioacc-PCB 118	0.90	0.13
PCB 180	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.07	Bioacc-PCB 138	0.90	0.74
PCB 28	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.07	Bioacc-PCB 153	0.92	0.32
PCB 52	0.06	0.00	0.53	0.07	Bioacc-PCB 180	0.87	0.85
Phenanthrene	0.96	0.72	0.51	0.56	Bioacc-PCB 28	0.32	0.85
Sum PCB	0.06	0.95	0.53	0.07	Bioacc-PCB 52	0.91	0.19
AI	0.84	0.44	0.77	0.70	Bioacc-Al	0.33	0.75
As	0.60	0.26	0.80	0.64	Bioacc-As	0.15	0.05
Cd	0.97	0.93	0.67	0.38	Bioacc-Cd	0.95	0.89
Cr	0.91	0.20	0.82	0.30	Bioacc-Cr	0.47	0.77
Co	0.99	0.18	0.79	0.44	Bioacc-Co	0.57	0.83
Cu	0.16	0.92	0.65	0.45	Bioacc-Cu	0.97	0.02
Fe	0.71	0.37	0.70	0.61	Bioacc-Fe	0.78	0.89
Mn	0.99	0.43	0.18	0.10	Bioacc-Mn	0.61	0.55
ng	0.98	0.94	0.72	0.16	Bioacc-Hg	0.86	0.63
INI Dh	0.90	0.32	0.62	0.03	Bioacc-Ni	0.68	0.54
F0 7n	0.97	0.93	0.70	0.44	Bioacc-Pb	0.94	0.88
Total explained	0.99	0.94	0.74	0.32	Bioacc-2n	0.95	0.44
rotarexplained	0.07	VIP on	3 axes	0.00	i otai explained	0.75	0.55
ProtB	+P 111 119 122 072			0.72	DrotP	1 16	1 05
Tri	0.89	0.84	1 14	1 44	FIOLB	1.10	0.76
Chol	1 20	0.72	1.05	0.81	Chol	0.83	0.76
ETS	1.32	1.34	0.88	0.48	FTS	1 21	1 12
LOOH	0.88	0.76	0.83	1.25		0.90	0.66
ACP	1.19	1.28	0.68	0.85		1.22	1.36
ProtFC	1.18	1.04	0.92	1.12	ProtFC	0.92	0.99
GST	0.97	0.91	1.07	1.12	GST	1.21	1.30
TAC	1.06	0.48	1.05	0.83	TAC	0.89	0.96
LDH	0.86	0.95	0.81	0.90	LDH	0.85	1.08
Csp	0.60	1.03	1.11	0.94	Csp	1.00	0.75
•					•		

-							
-	Eabrua	2017		February 2017			
$\mathbf{}$	D r hugensis D polymorpho			D r bugensis D polymorpha			
	D. T. Dugensis	ionoo ovploipod	-	D. I. buyensis	D. porymorpha		
U	cumulated on 3 axes (%)			Cumulative R ² on 3 axes - Target			
Input	88.04 72.04		Anthracene	0.80	0.75		
Target	62.95	68.34	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.88	0.75		
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			Benzo(a)pyrene	0.84	0.75		
	Cumulative R ² o	n 3 axes - Input	Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.84	0.77		
Bioacc-Anthracene	0.98	0.86	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.86	0.76		
Bioacc-Benzo(a)anthracene	0.87	0.81	Chrysene	0.87	0.75		
Bioacc-Benzo(a)pyrene	0.98	0.67	Fluoranthene	0.98	0.75		
Bioacc-Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.84	0.28	Indenolpyrene	0.81	0.77		
Bioacc-Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.84	0.33	PCB 101	0.00	0.92		
Bioacc-Chrysene	0.94	0.94	PCB 118	0.00	0.92		
Bioacc-Fluoranthene	0.92	0.47	PCB 138	0.99	0.92		
Bioacc-Indenolpyrene	0.72	0.69	PCB 153	0.86	0.92		
Bioacc-Phenanthrene	0.94	0.16	PCB 180	0.00	0.92		
Bioacc-PCB 101	0.99	0.98	PCB 28	0.00	0.92		
Bioacc-PCB 118	0.99	0.95	PCB 52	0.00	0.92		
Bioacc-PCB 138	0.95	0.93	Phenanthrene	0.80	0.81		
Bioacc-PCB 153	0.92	0.91	AI	0.49	0.25		
Bioacc-PCB 180	0.97	0.85	As	0.46	0.36		
Bioacc-PCB 28	0.10	0.91	Cd	0.99	0.20		
Bioacc-PCB 52	0.89	1.00	Cr	0.55	0.75		
Bioacc-Al	0.69	0.69	Co	0.36	0.61		
Bioacc-As	0.79	0.67	Cu	0.88	0.46		
Bioacc-Cd	1.00	0.82	Fe	0.62	0.65		
Bioacc-Cr	0.87	0.97	Mn	0.21	0.88		
Bioacc-Co	0.90	0.75	Hg	0.98	0.15		
Bioacc-Cu	0.93	0.43	Ni	0.57	0.62		
Bioacc-Fe	0.99	0.88	Pb	0.99	0.57		
Bioacc-Mn	0.94	0.61	Zn	0.99	0.33		
Bloacc-Hg	0.91	0.64	lotal explained	0.63	0.68		
Bioacc-Ni Bioacc Bh	0.82	0.96					
Bioacc-Pb Bioacc 7p	0.99	0.74					
Bioacc-20	0.99	0.31					
rotar explained	0.00 VIP on	3 aves					
Bioacc-Anthracene	1 00	1 76					
Bioacc-Benzo(a)anthracene	1.03	0.77					
Bioacc-Benzo(a)pyrene	1.00	0.85					
Bioacc-Benzo(ghi)pervlene	0.99	0.96					
Bioacc-Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.93	0.64					
Bioacc-Chrysene	1.10	0.77					
Bioacc-Fluoranthene	1.04	0.62					
Bioacc-Indenolpyrene	1.17	1.12					
Bioacc-Phenanthrene	0.95	0.60					
Bioacc-PCB 101	1.09	1.30					
Bioacc-PCB 118	1.10	0.98					
Bioacc-PCB 138	1.07	1.08					
Bioacc-PCB 153	1.05	1.41					
Bioacc-PCB 180	1.20	1.00					
Bioacc-PCB 28	0.33	1.06					
Bioacc-PCB 52	1.05	1.23					
Bioacc-Al	0.81	0.70					
Bioacc-As	1.10	1.12					
Bioacc-Cd	1.13	1.09					
Bioacc-Cr	0.77	1.24					
Bioacc-Co	0.89	0.46					
Bioacc-CU Bioace Fo	0.71	0.94					
	0.76	0.08					
Bioacc Ha	0.90	0.71					
Bioacc-Ni	0.64	1.11					
Bioacc-Ph	1 12	0.63					
Bioacc-Zn	1 12	0.32					
		0.02					