Supporting Information to

3D-printed carbons with improved properties and oxidation resistance

Pauline Blyweert †, Vincent Nicolas †, Vanessa Fierro †, Alain Celzard †,‡,*

† Université de Lorraine, CNRS, IJL, F-88000 Epinal, France ‡ Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)

Number of pages: 8

Number of tables: 7

Number of figures: 4

^{*}Corresponding author: Pr. Alain Celzard (<u>alain.celzard@univ-lorraine.fr</u>), Université de Lorraine



Figure S1. DSC profiles of: (a) A-Tm, (b) A-Vm-T, and (c) A-Vm-Tm resins, showing the glass transition temperature T_g .

Table S1. Chemical composition of 3D-printed carbons measured by elemental analysis (EA).

Sample	C (wt.%)	H (wt.%)	N (wt.%)	S (wt.%)	O (wt.%)*
cA-T	94.8	1.4	0.3	0	3.5
cA-Vm-T	93.7	0.7	0.5	0	5.1
cA-Tm	94.4	0.4	0.8	0	4.4
cA-Vm-Tm	94.2	0.5	0.8	0	4.5

* The oxygen content was obtained by difference

Sample	C (at.%)	O (at.%)
cA-T	93.6	6.4
cA-Vm-T	92.2	7.8
cA-Tm	93.3	6.7
cA-Vm-Tm	91.6	8.4

Table S2. Surface chemistry of the 3D-printed carbon obtained by XPS.

Table S3. Peak assignments, relative contributions and binding energies (BE) of surface functional groups obtained by XPS.

			C1s					O1s		
Sampla	C-I	C-II	C-III	C-IV	C-V	-	O-I	O-II	O-III	O-IV*
Sample	Area [BE]	Area [BE]	Area [BE]	Area [BE]	Area [BE]	-	Area [BE]	Area [BE]	Area [BE]	
	(% [eV])	(% [eV])	(% [eV])	(% [eV])	(% [eV])	_	(% [eV])	(% [eV])	(% [eV])	
а А Т	66.1	20.6	4.6	6.1	2.7	-	67.7	15.4	16.9 [535.9]	
CA-1	[284.4]	[285.5]	[287.4]	[289.1]	[290.1]		[531.4]	[533.5]		
cA-Vm-	61.6	27.6	8.7	0.8	1.3		22.2	69.2	14.9	
Т	[284.5]	[285.5]	[287.5]	[289.1]	[290.1]		[531.1]	[532.7]	[534.5]	
. A. T	63.1	26.5	7.0	0.9	2.4		26.8	58.7	8.6	5.9
cA-1m	[284.4]	[285.5]	[287.5]	[289.1]	[290.2]		[531.3]	[532.7]	[534.5]	[536.6]
cA-Vm-	58.9	32.2	7.9	0.8	1.1		18	78.5	3.5	
Tm	[284.4]	[285.6]	[287.5]	[289.1]	[290.2]		[531.8]	[532.9]	[535.1]	
cA-Vm- T cA-Tm cA-Vm- Tm	61.6 [284.5] 63.1 [284.4] 58.9 [284.4]	27.6 [285.5] 26.5 [285.5] 32.2 [285.6]	[207.4] 8.7 [287.5] 7.0 [287.5] 7.9 [287.5]	0.8 [289.1] 0.9 [289.1] 0.8 [289.1]	[290.1] 1.3 [290.1] 2.4 [290.2] 1.1 [290.2]		22.2 [531.1] 26.8 [531.3] 18 [531.8]	69.2 [532.7] 58.7 [532.7] 78.5 [532.9]	14.9 [534.5] 8.6 [534.5] 3.5 [535.1]	5.9 [536.6

* Corresponding to adsorbed H₂O and adsorbed O₂ [1]





Table S4. BET area and performance of the materials of this study and others reported in the literature.Names of the samples correspond to those of their reference source.

Sample	Commentary	$A_{\rm BET} ({ m m^2 g^{-1}})$	Rev cap. (mAh/g)	Ref.
HC80+150°C	Phloroglucinol-glyoxylic acid resin	7.9	270	[2]
HC-MC	Hard carbon derived from mimosa extract	1.5	345.3	[3]
HC1600	Tannin foam	49	306	[4]
This work		3-54		



Figure S3. Conversion and reaction rate curves versus temperature for the samples (a) cA-T; (b) cA-Vm-T; and (c) cA-Vm-Tm, at different heating rates.



Figure S4. Conversion and reaction rate curves versus temperature for the 3D-printed carbon at (a) 10 K min⁻¹, and (b) 15 K min⁻¹.

 Table S5. Activation energies for the oxidation of the 3D-printed carbons, obtained by the KAS method.

Sample	$E_{\rm a}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)
cA-T	76
cA-Vm-T	57
cA-Tm	49
cA-Tm-Vm	38

Table S6. Main mechanical properties of the 3D-printed carbons from this study.

Sample	Compressive strength (MPa)	Strain at break (%)	Young's modulus (MPa)
cA-T	4.3 ± 1.1	1.67 ± 0.3	205 ± 1.0
cA-Vm-T	8.3 ± 1.5	3.88 ± 0.3	350.7 ± 3.3
cA-Tm	$7.7\pm~0.5$	3.4 ± 0.1	301.7 ± 1.3
cA-Vm-Tm	$6.7\pm~0.9$	2.7 ± 0.2	387 ± 8.4

Sample	Electrical conductivity $(S \cdot cm^{-1})$	Estimated skeleton conductivity (S·cm ⁻¹)
cA-T	6.77	47.91
cA-Vm-T	10.50	38.32
cA-Tm	9.76	42.94
cA-Vm-Tm	14.65	54.47

Table S7. Electrical conductivity of the pyrolysed 3D-printed structures, depending on the initial resin

 formulation.

References

- A. Swiatkowski, M. Pakula, S. Biniak, M. Walczyk, Influence of the surface chemistry of modified activated carbon on its electrochemical behaviour in the presence of lead(II) ions, Carbon. 42 (2004) 3057–3069. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2004.06.043.
- [2] A. Beda, P.-L. Taberna, P. Simon, C. Matei Ghimbeu, Hard carbons derived from green phenolic resins for Na-ion batteries, Carbon. 139 (2018) 248–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2018.06.036.
- [3] A. Beda, A.M. Escamilla-Pérez, L. Simonin, C. Matei Ghimbeu, Vegetal-Extracted Polyphenols as a Natural Hard Carbon Anode Source for Na-Ion Batteries, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 5 (2022) 4774–4787. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.2c00215.
- [4] H. Tonnoir, D. Huo, R.L.S. Canevesi, V. Fierro, A. Celzard, R. Janot, Tannin-based hard carbons as high-performance anode materials for sodium-ion batteries, Materials Today Chemistry. 23 (2022) 100614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2021.100614.