

Incidence of and Risk Factors for Colorectal Strictures in Ulcerative Colitis: A Multicenter Study

Pierre-Antoine Laurain, Lucas Guillo, Ferdinando d'Amico, Patrick Netter, Silvio Danese, Cédric Baumann, Amandine Luc, Isabelle Clerc-Urmes, Spyridon Sofos, Laurent Peyrin-Biroulet

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre-Antoine Laurain, Lucas Guillo, Ferdinando d'Amico, Patrick Netter, Silvio Danese, et al.. Incidence of and Risk Factors for Colorectal Strictures in Ulcerative Colitis: A Multicenter Study. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 19 (9), pp.1899-1905.e1. 10.1016/j.cgh.2021.01.028. hal-04214845

HAL Id: hal-04214845 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04214845

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Incidence of and risk factors for colorectal strictures in ulcerative colitis: a multicenter study

Pierre-Antoine Laurain^{1*}, Lucas Guillo^{1,2*}, Ferdinando D'Amico^{1,3}, Patrick Netter⁴, Silvio Danese^{3,5}, Cédric Baumann⁶, Amandine Luc⁶, Isabelle Clerc-Urmes⁶, Sofos Spyridon⁷, Laurent Peyrin-Biroulet¹

- Department of Gastroenterology and Inserm NGERE U1256, University Hospital of Nancy, University of Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France.
- 2. Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital of Marseille Nord, University of Aix-Marseille, Marseille, France.
- 3. Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele, Milan, Italy.
- 4. UMR 7365 IMoPA CNRS-University of Lorraine, Campus Biology-Health, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France.
- 5. IBD Centre, Department of Gastroenterology, Humanitas Clinical and Research Centre IRCCS, Rozzano Milan, Italy.
- 6. MPI department, Methodology, data management and statistic Unit, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandœuvre-Lès-Nancy, France.
- 7. Department of Gastroenterology, Centre hospitalier de Luxembourg, Luxembourg.
 - * Equal contribution

Corresponding author:

Prof. Laurent Peyrin-Biroulet, MD, PhD

Inserm NGERE U1256 and Department of Gastroenterology

Nancy University Hospital, University of Lorraine

1 Allée du Morvan, 54511 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France

Tel: (+33) 383153661 Fax: (+33) 383153633

E-mail: peyrinbiroulet@gmail.com

Word count: 2648

Tables and figures: 5 tables and 1 figure

References: 28

Authors' Contributions

PAL, LG and SS wrote the article. LPB conceived the study. FD, PN, SD, CB, AL, ICU, SS,

and LPB critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the

manuscript.

Conflict of interest

PA Laurain declares no conflict of interest. L Guillo declares no conflict of interest. F

D'Amico declares no conflict of interest. P Netter declares no conflict of interest. S Danese

has served as a speaker, consultant and advisory board member for Schering- Plough,

AbbVie, MSD, UCB Pharma, Ferring, Cellerix, Millenium Takeda, Nycomed,

Pharmacosmos, Actelion, Alphawasserman, Genentech, Grunenthal, Pfizer, Astra Zeneca,

Novo Nordisk, Cosmo Pharmaceuticals, Vifor and Johnson & John- son, Nikkiso Europe

GMBH, Theravance. C Baumann declares no conflict of interest. A Luc declares no conflict

of interest. I Clerc-Urmes declares no conflict of interest. S Spyros declares no conflict of

interest. L Peyrin-Biroulet has served as a speaker, consultant and advisory board member for

Merck, Abbvie, Janssen, Genentech, Mitsubishi, Ferring, Norgine, Tillots, Vifor,

Hospira/Pfizer, Celltrion, Takeda, Biogaran, Boerhinger-Ingelheim, Lilly, HAC- Pharma,

Index Pharmaceuticals, Amgen, Sandoz, For- ward Pharma GmbH, Celgene, Biogen, Lycera,

Samsung Bioepis, Theravance.

Funding

None.

3

Abstract (255 words)

Background and aims: Ulcerative colitis (UC) is increasingly recognized as a progressive

disease and patients with long-standing disease can develop colorectal stricture. Few data

about its incidence in UC are available, while risk factors for colorectal strictures in UC

remain to be determined. We assessed the incidence of and risk factors for developing

colorectal strictures in a large UC population.

Methods: All adult patients followed at Nancy University hospital and at the centre

hospitalier de Luxembourg for UC, between January 2004 and July 2019, were eligible for

inclusion in this multicenter retrospective cohort study.

Results: A total of 439 patients with UC were included. Median follow-up duration was 9.6

years. Incidence of colorectal stricture was 3.6%. The cumulative probability of developing

this complication was 1% at 5 years and 2.3% at 10 years. Median age at stricture diagnosis

was 47.9 years [41.0; 63.0], and median time from UC diagnosis to onset of stricture was 11.5

years [5; 15.3]. Montreal A3 classification (age > 40 years) (p=0.008) and steroids use

(HR=4.1, CI 95% 1.1-16.1) were independent risk factors for stricture, whereas 5-ASA-

treated patients carried a lower risk (HR=0.3, CI 95% 0.1-0.9). Dysplasia was found in 6

patients with strictures (42.9%) and among them 5 developed a colorectal cancer (33.3%).

Conclusion: Patients with Montreal A3 classification have a higher risk for strictures, while

use of steroids and 5-ASA are predictive factors for this complication by increasing and

reducing the risk, respectively. These factors should be assessed in daily clinical practice to

prevent stricture occurrence in these patients.

Keywords: Inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, stricture, risk factors

4

What You Need to Know

Background

Ulcerative colitis is a progressive disease and patients with long-standing disease can develop colorectal strictures.

Findings

Patients with Montreal A3 classification have a higher risk for strictures, while use of steroids and 5-ASA are predictive factors for this complication.

Implications for patient care

Physicians should assess and pay attention to these factors in daily practice in order to prevent stricture occurrence in these patients.

Introduction

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (1). It is characterized by a mucosal inflammation, which starts in the rectum and can extend to proximal segments of the colon, (1) and it is increasingly recognized as a progressive disease (2–4). UC shares several similarities with Crohn's disease (CD) in terms of disease complications, postoperative complications, economic burden, and disability with a significant impact on patients' lives (4). As for CD, UC can also lead to cumulative bowel damage due to recurrent inflammatory events (3,4). On the other hand, UC has a dynamic natural history with disease extension changing over time (1,2,5). In long-standing disease, patients can develop structural and functional complications such as colonic dysmotility, giant pseudopolyposis, impaired permeability, anorectal incontinence, and colorectal strictures (2,4). Thus, it is imperative to assess destructive and progressive UC nature in order to act at an early stage of disease and to prevent any complications (2,4).

The stricture is a narrowing of the gut lumen and is considered clinically relevant when it leads to intestinal blockage and upstream dilation (6). The colorectal stricture is a challenging condition to treat and it is a topic of particular relevance as dysplasia or cancer can be detected in patients with strictures (7,8). Indeed, in a multicenter retrospective study including 12,013 IBD patients undergoing surgery for colonic strictures, dysplasia or cancer were found in 2.4% of CD cases and up to 10% of UC patients (9). Although it is a well-described complication, its physiopathology remains unclear (10). It is likely that repeated cycles of damage and healing of the bowel wall due to chronic inflammation lead to gut fibrosis under regulation of cytokines and growth factors (11–13). In addition, muscular hypertrophy and thickening of the muscularis mucosae could also play a role in stricture occurrence (2,10). A better understanding of natural history of this complication may allow us to support the need for an earlier and more aggressive treatment strategy (2). Surprisingly, there are only a few single-center retrospective cohort studies addressing this issue (14–18).

To date, risk factors for strictures in UC patients are unknown. In addition, most studies on this topic have been published for several decades: two in 1960s (16,18), two in the 1990s (15,17), and one in 2011 (14).

We assessed the incidence of and risk factors for developing colorectal strictures in UC patients in a large multicenter cohort study.

Methods

Study design and study population

This multicenter retrospective cohort study included all adult patients (age ≥ 18 years) with confirmed diagnosis of UC followed at the Nancy University hospital and at the Centre hospitalier de Luxembourg between January 2004 and July 2019. Patients who underwent at least one colonoscopy and two follow-up visits were eligible for inclusion. Exclusion criteria were a confirmed diagnosis of CD and patients with unclassified colitis. The UC diagnosis was based on the combination of clinical symptoms and endoscopic, radiologic, and histological criteria according to the European Crohn's and Colitis Organization (ECCO) guidelines (19). A stricture was defined as a localized and persistent narrowing of the colorectal lumen based on endoscopy, excluding obvious polypoid lesions and anastomotic strictures (1,12,13,18). Strictures were distinguished in benign or malignant on the basis of histopathologic reports of endoscopic biopsies or surgery. This study was approved by the local ethical committees (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés, number 1,404,720 for Nancy, and Comité national d'éthique de recherche number 202001/02 for Luxembourg) and was conducted according to local regulations.

Data collection

Three investigators (PAL, SS, and LG) reviewed patients' medical records collecting the following data for each patient: date of birth, date of UC diagnosis, age at diagnosis

according to the Montreal classification (20), family history of IBD, smoking status (non-smoker, former smoker, or active smoker), history of stricture, history of appendectomy and colectomy, disease extent with the cumulative Montreal classification (20), previous IBD medical treatments prior to the stricture diagnosis or the end of follow-up for patients without stricture (including start and end date of therapy), date of the last follow-up. Moreover, the following characteristics were recorded when strictures were identified: date of diagnosis, location, length, surmountable by endoscopy, need for colectomy, and association with dysplasia or colorectal cancer.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were described by numbers and percentages, while continuous variables by median and interquartile range [Q1; Q3]. Patients' characteristics were compared between the two involved centers using Chi square and Fisher's test as appropriate. Survival curves were built using the Kaplan-Meier method. Bivariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were performed to identify predictive factors for colorectal strictures in UC. Variables with a p-value < 0.2 in the bivariable Cox model were eligible for the multivariable model. A stepwise selection procedure was applied to retain significant factors. The significant threshold was fixed at 5%. All analyses were performed using SAS® software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Patients' characteristics

After careful review of medical records, a total of 439 patients with UC were included (*Table 1*). Among them, 232 were women (52.8%) and the median age at UC diagnosis was 31 years [Q1=23; Q3=43]. Based on the Montreal classification, 27 patients (6.2%) were diagnosed before 17 years (A1), 274 patients (62.4%) between 17 and 40 years (A2), and 138

patients (31.4%) after 40 years (A3). Most patients had a pancolitis (E3) (225, 51.3%), while proctitis (E1) and left-sided colitis (E2) were diagnosed in a lower percentage of cases (65, 14.8% and 149, 33.9%, respectively). The median follow-up duration was 9.6 years [5.2; 15.1]. History of tobacco use was found in 135 patients (30.8%), while 45 subjects (10.3%) were active smokers at last news. Only a few patients underwent appendectomy (25/270, 10.7%) or had a family history of IBD (29/439, 6.6%). The most commonly administered drugs were 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) (403/439, 91.8%), steroids (283/439, 64.5%), antitumor necrosis factor α agents (TNF α) (247/439, 56.3%), immunosuppressant agents (thiopurine and methotrexate) (113/249, 25.7%), and vedolizumab (91/439, 20.7%). Finally, the colectomy rate in our series was 14.1% (62/439).

Incidence and characteristics of colorectal strictures

Colorectal strictures were detected in 16 patients in our cohort (3.6%). Characteristics of patients with strictures are summarized in Table 2. Half of the patients were women (8, 50%). Median age at UC diagnosis was 41 years [23.7; 53.0], while median age at stricture diagnosis was 47.9 years [41.0; 65.0]. The median time from UC diagnosis to stricture onset was 11.5 years [5; 15.3] with a median follow-up duration of 11.8 years [5.3; 15.8]. Most patients were over 40 years old (9/16, 56.3%), while subjects aged 17 to 40 (6/16, 37.5%) or younger than 17 (1/16, 6.2%) were found in a smaller percentage of cases. More than half of subjects had an extensive disease (9/16, 56.3%), while left-sided UC (6/16, 37.5%) and proctitis (1/16, 6.2%) were less frequent. Two patients (12.5%) were active smokers and 7 were former smokers (43.8%). No subject had family history of IBD and none underwent appendectomy. Regarding treatment, 13 patients were treated with steroids (81.3%), 12 received 5-ASA (75%), 10 anti-TNF agents (62.5%) 4 immunosuppressants (25%), and 4 vedolizumab (25%) prior to the stricture diagnosis. 8 strictures (50%) were surmountable by the endoscope. The most frequent stricture location was the left colon (7, 43.8%), followed by

rectum (5, 31.2%) and right colon (4, 25%). The median length of the strictures was 25 mm [10; 50] and the strictures were surmountable by the endoscope in 50% of cases. Dysplasia was found in 6 patients (42.9 %) and among them 5 developed a colorectal cancer (83.3%). Finally, the colectomy rate in patients with strictures was 75% (12/16). The Kaplan-Meier curve of survival estimate is provided in Figure 1. The probability of developing colorectal strictures was 1% at 5 years and 2.3% at 10 years, respectively.

Risk factors for colorectal strictures

In the bivariate analysis (*Table 3*), the Montreal A3 classification was associated with a higher risk of strictures (p=0.0104) compared to Montreal A2 and A1. In addition, patients with a previous exposure to steroids had a higher risk of strictures compared to non-users (HR=2.51, CI 95% 0.71-8.82). Conversely, 5-ASA users had a lower risk of strictures than non-users (HR=0.30, CI 95% 0.10-0.93). No significant association was found between strictures and smoking status, family history of IBD, appendectomy, disease extension, and previous exposure to anti-TNF agents, immunosuppressants, or vedolizumab. Of note, no significant correlation was found between age at diagnosis, exposure to 5-ASA, and steroids (*Supplementary Table 1*). In the multivariate analysis, the Montreal A3 classification (p=0.008) and use of steroids (HR=4.1, CI 95% 1.1-16.1; p=0.042) were found to be independent risk factors for strictures, while 5-ASA treatment was associated with a lower risk for stricture occurrence (HR=0.3, CI 95% 0.1-0.9; p=0.029) (*Table 4*).

Discussion

Strictures are challenging complications occurring in UC patients with long-standing disease (2,4,14). We investigated data from 439 patients with UC followed at the Nancy University hospital and at the Centre hospitalier de Luxembourg to identify any risk factors for colorectal strictures. Strictures were found in 16 patients accounting for an incidence rate

of 3.6% during a follow-up period of about ten years. Importantly, two single-center cohort studies published in 1960s found an incidence of 6.3% (18) and 11.2% (16). On the other hand, single-center cohort studies, published in 1990s and 2011, showed incidence rates of 1.5% (14), 3.2% (17), and 5%, respectively (15). Our findings are in line with the most recent data, suggesting a decrease in the stricture incidence over time. This downward trend could be explained with the development of new effective biological drugs and ambitious therapeutic strategies (e.g. top-down strategy) based on early treatment of patients(4). However, our work did not succeed to find a significant association with the use of biologics and the reduction of stricture occurrence. Recently, a multicenter cohort study by Xu et al. (7) reported a higher percentage of stenosis in UC patients (14.2%). However, this has some limitations. Only 246 patients were included, which is substantially lower compared to other studies addressing this topic (from 465 to 1156) (14–18) and surprisingly low for such study period (1986 to 2018), especially in Asia. Moreover, patients were referred from several centers, but they were all treated at the same center. Finally, all included patients underwent medical treatment or surgery, meaning that patients referred to their center for colectomy were included, which is a major bias likely explaining this high percentage of stricture. The different results between studies could be explained by the operator-dependent heterogeneity of the stricture definition. In fact, stricture is generally defined as a localized and persistent narrowing of the gut lumen(1,12,13,18), but this narrowing is a subjective criterion and is based on physician's opinion. Moreover, no validated approach is available to identify strictures. Regarding dysplasia and colorectal cancer, we found rates of 42.9% and 33.3% in patients with strictures, respectively. These data were significantly higher compared to previous literature data. A single-center study by Gumaste et al. reported a lower rate of malignancy in UC patients with strictures (24%) (15). Similarly, a recent multicenter study confirmed that cancer was diagnosed in one quarter of UC patients with strictures (25.7%) (7). In another retrospective study including IBD patients undergoing surgery for colonic strictures, dysplasia and cancer were both detected in 10% of UC patients (9). Disease duration and presence of stenosis are proven risk factors for the development of colorectal neoplasia in UC patients. However, it is not yet well defined whether there is a direct proportionality between type of stenosis (stratified according to length and upstream dilation) or disease duration and risk of neoplasia(21). It is reasonable to hypothesize that a long-lasting disease is associated with a greater exposure to an inflammatory stimulus, thus resulting in a greater potential of developing malignancy. The association between long-standing disease and risk for colorectal stricture has been supported in several studies (2,4,7,14). The median time from UC diagnosis to stricture onset was 11.5 years [5; 15.3] in our cohort, while previous studies reported a median time of stricture onset ranging from 13.3 years (17), to 15.6 years (14). Of note, no study investigated the association between age at diagnosis and stricture occurrence. We report for the first time that the Montreal A3 classification is associated with a higher risk of colorectal stricture compared to Montreal A2 and A1 (p=0.008). This finding could be due to current IBD management strategies, which generally involve more aggressive behavior in younger patients. Young patients have less comorbidities and are more frequently treated with biologics, combination with immunosuppressant agents, and surgery as rescue therapy(22). In addition, in the past decades, treatment paradigms have shifted from a conventional "step-up" approach to a "top-down" early intervention (23,24). The target of this new strategy is to stop chronic inflammation which leads to bowel damage. It has also been suggested that inflammatory strictures can be reversible after optimal medical treatment (10,12). Interestingly, a case report showed that a rectal stricture returned to normal after starting treatment, supporting the early biological therapy introduction (25). To date, there is no validated tool to quantitative assess the strictures in UC population (2,4). Conversely, a score called Lémann index(26) has been validated in CD patients to evaluate bowel damage. It is desirable that also in the UC setting, a tool is soon developed to characterize stenosis and predict the risk of disease progression. UC patients are exposed to a higher risk of surgery.

The colectomy rate in our cohort was of 14.1%, which is in line with literature data. Indeed, a recent systematic review showed that the 5- and 10-year cumulative risk of colectomy was about 10-15% (5). Similarly, a 5-years follow-up population-based European study including 717 UC patients, reported that 6% of patients underwent colectomy (27). Finally, a systematic review revealed that patients with pancolitis at diagnosis had a 10-year cumulative colectomy rate of 19% (28). Interestingly, three quarters of patients with strictures underwent colectomy in our study, highlighting the increased need for surgery in this condition. This is likely due to the high proportion of subjects with dysplasia and colorectal cancer in our cohort since surgery is the main therapeutic option in this situation. Furthermore, steroid use was associated with a higher risk for stricture (HR=4.1, CI 95% 1.1-16.1; p=0.042), while patients treated with 5-ASA had a lower risk for stricture occurrence (HR=0.3, CI 95% 0.1-0.9; p=0.029). Therapeutic management in UC is based on severity, extent, and pattern of disease (20). Systemic steroids are recommended in patients with moderate to severe disease or acute severe UC (19). Conversely, 5-ASA is recommended in mild to moderate disease (19). For this reason, it is likely that treatment with steroids or 5-ASA is not a risk factor for colorectal strictures, but rather represent a predictor of disease severity. To the best of our knowledge, this study showed for the first time an increased risk for colorectal stricture in Montreal A3 patients. Other relevant strengths of our work were the multicenter design, the large sample size, and the long follow-up duration. On the other hand, there were also some limitations including the retrospective study design and the lack of assessment disease activity and severity. In addition, our sample size may have limited the ability to highlight other factors.

In conclusion, an incidence rate of stricture of 3.6% was found in our cohort. The cumulative probability of developing this complication was 1% at 5 years and 2.3% at 10 years. Montreal A3 classification was identified as an independent risk factor for colorectal strictures in UC. The use of steroids and 5-ASA were predictive factors for this complication by increasing and reducing the risk, respectively. Physicians should pay attention to these

factors in daily practice in order to ensure the best therapeutic approach. Further studies are needed to evaluate whether treatment with biologics is associated with a lower risk for strictures and whether an earlier intervention may prevent or reverse this complication.

Table 1: Patients characteristics

N

	1 ◀			
		%/median	Q1	Q3
Gender				
Female	232	52.8%		
Median age at UC	439	31	23	43
diagnosis (years)	439	31	23	43
Montreal A				
A1: ≤16 years	27	6.2%		
A2: 17-39 years	274	62.4%		
A3: ≥40 years	138	31.4%		
Montreal E				
E1 (proctitis)	65	14.8%		
E2 (left-sided colitis)	149	33.9%		
E3 (pancolitis)	225	51.3%		
Median follow-up (years)	439	9.6	5.2	15.1
Smoking				
Active smoker	45	10.3%		
Former smoker	90	20.5%		
Non-smoker	304	69.2%		
Family history of IBD	29	6.6%		
Appendectomy	25	10.7%		
Colectomy	62	14.1%		
IBD treatments				
5-ASA	403	91.8%		
Steroids	283	64.5%		
Immunosuppressant	113	25.7%		
(thiopurine, methotrexate)				
Anti-TNF	247	56.3%		
VDZ	91	20.7%		

Anti-TNF, anti-tumor necrosis factor; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; N, number; Q, quartile; VDZ, vedolizumab; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid;

Table 2: Patients with stricture characteristics

N

	17			
		%/median	Q1	Q3
Gender				
Female	8	50		
Median age at UC	16	41	23.7	53.0
diagnosis (years)	10	41	23.7	33.0
Montreal A				
A1: ≤16 years	1	6.3%		
A2: 17-39 years	6	37.5%		
A3: ≥40 years	9	56.3%		
Montreal E				
E1 (proctitis)	1	6.2%		
E2 (left-sided colitis)	6	37.5%		
E3 (pancolitis)	9	56.3%		
Median Follow-up (years)	16	11.8	5.3	15.8
Smoking				
Active smoker	2	12.5%		
Former smoker	5	31.3%		
Non-smoker	9	56.3%		
Family history of IBD	0	0%		
Appendectomy	0	0%		
Colectomy	12	75%		
IBD treatments				
5-ASA	12	75%		
Steroids	13	81.3%		
Immunosuppressant	4	25%		
Anti-TNF	10	62.5%		
VDZ	4	25%		
Median age at stricture	16	47.9	41.0	65.0
diagnosis (years)	10	47.9	41.0	05.0
Median duration onset of	16	11.5	5	15.3
stricture (years)	10	11.3	3	13.3
Location of stricture				
Rectum	5	31.2%		
Left colon	7	43.8%		
Right colon	4	25%		
Stricture length (mm)	16	25	10	50
Associated with dysplasia	6	42.9%		
Associated with colorectal	5	33.3%		
cancer	3	33.370		

Anti-TNF, anti-tumor necrosis factor; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; N, number; Q, quartile; VDZ, vedolizumab; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid;

Table 3: Factors associated with stricture occurrence (bivariate analysis)

Total n of patients		Total population (N)	Stricture n (%)	Hazard ratio	CI 95%	p-value
Male 207 8 (3.9%) 1 0.908 Female 232 8 (3.4%) 0.9 (0.4 - 2.5) Montreal A A3: ≥40 years 27 9 (6.5%) 1 0.010 A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) 0.010 A1: ≤16 years 274 1 (3.7%) 0.3 (0.1 - 2.4) 0.643 E1 (procitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 0.643 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) 0.643 E5 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) 0.491 Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) 0.491 Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) <td>Total n of patients</td> <td></td> <td>16 (3.6%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total n of patients		16 (3.6%)			
Male 207 8 (3.9%) 1 Female 232 8 (3.4%) 0.9 (0.4 - 2.5) Montreal A A3: ≥40 years 27 9 (6.5%) 1 A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.3 (0.1 - 2.4) Montreal E E1 (procitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) B2 (0.643 B2 (0.3 - 19.7) B2 (0.643 B2 (0.3 - 19.7) B3 (0.643 B2 (0.3 - 19.7) B4 (0.6 - 5.8) A2 (0.3 - 19.7) B4 (0.6 - 5.8) B4 (0.8 - 19.7) B4 (0.6 - 19.7) B4 (0.	Gender					0.008
Montreal A A3: ≥40 years 27 9 (6.5%) 1 A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) 0.010 A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) 0.010 A1: ≥16 years 274 1 (3.7%) 0.3 (0.1 - 2.4) 0.010 Montreal E E1 (proctitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 0.643 0.643 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) 0.643 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) 0.643 0.643 0.643 0.643 0.643 0.643 0.644	Male	207	8 (3.9%)	1		0.908
A3: ≥40 years 27 9 (6.5%) 1 0.010 A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) 0.010 M1: ≤16 years 274 1 (3.7%) 0.3 (0.1 - 2.4) Montreal E EI (proctitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) Smoking Non-smoker 304 9 (3%) 1 Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) 0.491 Former smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.993 Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Female	232	8 (3.4%)	0.9	(0.4 - 2.5)	
A2: $17-39$ years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) A1: \leq 16 years 274 1 (3.7%) 0.3 (0.1 - 2.4) Montreal E E1 (proctitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) Smoking Non-smoker 304 9 (3%) 1 Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) 0.491 Former smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0,996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0,996 Appendectomy No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0,993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0,037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0,037 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0,644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0,228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Montreal A					
A2: 17-39 years 138 6 (2.2%) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.6) A1: \leq 16 years 274 1 (3.7%) 0.3 (0.1 - 2.4) Montreal E E1 (proctitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 0.643 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) Smoking Non-smoker 8 0.491 Non-smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) <	A3: ≥40 years	27	9 (6.5%)	1		0.010
Montreal E E1 (procitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1 E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) 0.643 E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) Smoking Non-smoker 304 9 (3%) 1 Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	A2: 17-39 years	138	6 (2.2%)	0.2	(0.1 - 0.6)	0.010
E1 (proctitis) 65 1 (1.5%) 1	A1: ≤16 years	274	1 (3.7%)	0.3	(0.1 - 2.4)	
E2 (left-sided colitis)	Montreal E					
E2 (left-sided colitis) 149 6 (4%) 2.8 (0.3 - 22.9) E3 (pancolitis) 225 9 (4%) 2.5 (0.3 - 19.7) Smoking Non-smoker 304 9 (3%) 1 Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	E1 (proctitis)	65	1 (1.5%)	1		0.642
Smoking Smoker 304 9 (3%) 1 0.491	E2 (left-sided colitis)	149	6 (4%)	2.8	(0.3 - 22.9)	0.643
Non-smoker 304 9 (3%) 1 Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) 0.491 Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	E3 (pancolitis)	225	9 (4%)	2.5	(0.3 - 19.7)	
Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Smoking					
Former smoker 90 5 (5.6%) 1.9 (0.6 - 5.8) Active smoker 45 2 (4.4%) 1.5 (0.3 - 7.0) Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Non-smoker	304	9 (3%)	1		0.401
Family history of IBD No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0.996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Former smoker	90	5 (5.6%)	1.9	(0.6 - 5.8)	0.491
No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0,996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0,993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Active smoker	45	2 (4.4%)	1.5	(0.3 - 7.0)	
No 241 5 (2.1%) 1 0,996 Yes 29 0 (0%) 0 0 Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0,993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Family history of IBD					
Appendectomy No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	No	241	5 (2.1%)	1		0.996
No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Yes	29	0 (0%)	0	0	
No 414 16 (3.9%) 1 0.993 Yes 25 0 (0%) 0 0 IBD treatments 5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Appendectomy					
Step	No	414	16 (3.9%)	1		0.993
5-ASA No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Yes	25	0 (0%)	0	0	
No 36 4 (11.1%) 1 0.037 Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	IBD treatments					
Yes 403 12 (3%) 0.3 (0.1 - 0.9) Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	5-ASA					
Steroids No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	No	36	4 (11.1%)	1		0.037
No 156 3 (1.9%) 1 0.152 Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Yes	403	12 (3%)	0.3	(0.1 - 0.9)	
Yes 283 13 (4.6%) 2.5 (0.7 - 8.8) Immunosuppressant No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Steroids					
Immunosuppressant No	No	156	3 (1.9%)	1		0.152
No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Yes	283	13 (4.6%)	2.5	(0.7 - 8.8)	
No 326 12 (3.7%) 1 0.644 Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Immunosuppressant					
Yes 113 4 (3.5%) 0.8 (0.3 - 2.4) Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	No	326	12 (3.7%)	1		0.644
Anti-TNF No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Yes			0.8	(0.3 - 2.4)	
No 192 6 (3.1%) 1 0.228 Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Anti-TNF		•		•	
Yes 247 10 (4.1%) 1.9 (0.7 - 5.5) VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	No	192	6 (3.1%)	1		0.228
VDZ No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	Yes				(0.7 - 5.5)	
No 348 12 (3.5%) 1 0.289	VDZ		. ,			
	No	348	12 (3.5%)	1		0.289
	Yes				(0.6 - 5.9)	

Anti-TNF, anti-tumor necrosis factor; CI, confidence interval; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; N, number; VDZ, vedolizumab; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid

Table 4: Factors associated with stricture occurrence (multivariate analysis)

	Total population (N)	Stricture n (%)	Hazard ratio	CI 95%	p-value
Total n of patients	439	16 (3.6%)			
Montreal A A3: ≥40 years A2: 17-39 years A1: ≤16 years	138 274 27	9 (6.5%) 6 (2.2%) 1 (3.7%)	1 0.2 0.1	(0.1 - 0.6) (0.1 - 1.5)	0.008
IBD treatments 5-ASA No	36	4 (11%)	1		0.029
Yes Steroids No	403 156	12 (3%) 3 (1.9%)	0.3	(0.1 - 0.9)	0.042
Yes	283	13 (4.6%)	4.1	(1.1 - 16.1)	

Anti-TNF, anti-tumor necrosis factor; CI, confidence interval; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; N, number; VDZ, vedolizumab; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid

Figures legends

Figure 1: The Kaplan-Meier curve of estimate survival

References

- 1. Ungaro R, Mehandru S, Allen PB, et al. Ulcerative colitis. Lancet 2017;389:1756-1770.
- 2. Torres J, Billioud V, Sachar DB, et al. Ulcerative colitis as a progressive disease: the forgotten evidence. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18:1356-1363.
- 3. Torres J, Billioud V, Peyrin-Biroulet L, et al. Ulcerative colitis as a sole mucosal disease: another misunderstanding? Gut 2012;61:633-633.
- 4. Le Berre C, Ananthakrishnan AN, Danese S, et al. Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn's Disease Have Similar Burden and Goals for Treatment. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;18:14-23.
- 5. Fumery M, Singh S, Dulai PS, et al. Natural History of Adult Ulcerative Colitis in Population-based Cohorts: A Systematic Review. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;16:343-356.
- 6. Chevaux J-B, Bulois P. Sténoses intestinales du côlon et du grêle au cours des MICI. Acta Endosc 2013;43:129-133.
- 7. Xu W, Ding W, Gu Y, et al. Risk Factors of Colorectal Stricture Associated with Developing High-Grade Dysplasia or Cancer in Ulcerative Colitis: A Multicenter Longterm Follow-up Study. Gut Liver 2019;14:601-610.
- 8. Coviello LC, Stein SL. Surgical management of nonpolypoid colorectal lesions and strictures in colonic inflammatory bowel disease. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am 2014;24:447-454.
- 9. Fumery M, Pineton de Chambrun G, Stefanescu C, et al. Detection of Dysplasia or Cancer in 3.5% of Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Colonic Strictures. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13:1770-1775.
- 10. Goulston SJ, McGovern VJ. The nature of benign strictures in ulcerative colitis. N Engl J Med 1969;281:290-295.
- 11. Rieder F, Brenmoehl J, Leeb S, et al. Wound healing and fibrosis in intestinal disease. Gut 2007;56:130-139.
- 12. Graham MF, Willey A, Adams J, et al. Interleukin 1 beta down-regulates collagen and augments collagenase expression in human intestinal smooth muscle cells. Gastroenterology 1996;110:344-350.
- 13. Gordon IO, Agrawal N, Goldblum JR, et al. Fibrosis in ulcerative colitis: mechanisms, features, and consequences of a neglected problem. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2014;20:2198-2206.
- 14. Yamagata M, Mikami T, Tsuruta T, et al. Submucosal Fibrosis and Basic-Fibroblast Growth Factor-Positive Neutrophils Correlate with Colonic Stenosis in Cases of Ulcerative Colitis. Digestion 2011;84:12-21.
- 15. Gumaste V, Sachar DB, Greenstein AJ, et al. Benign and malignant colorectal strictures in ulcerative colitis. Gut 1992;33:938-941.

- 16. De Dombal FT, Watts JM, Watkinson G, et al. Local complications of ulcerative colitis: stricture, pseudopolyposis, and carcinoma of colon and rectum. Br Med J 1966;1:1442-1447.
- 17. Lashner BA, Turner BC, Bostwick DG, et al. Dysplasia and cancer complicating strictures in ulcerative colitis. Dig Dis Sci 1990;35:349-352.
- 18. Edwards FC, Truelove SC. The course and prognosis of ulcerative colitis. Gut 1964;5:1-22.
- 19. Magro F, Gionchetti P, Eliakim R, et al. Third European Evidence-based Consensus on Diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 1: Definitions, Diagnosis, Extraintestinal Manifestations, Pregnancy, Cancer Surveillance, Surgery, and Ileo-anal Pouch Disorders. J Crohns Colitis 2017;11:649-670.
- 20. Silverberg MS, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, et al. Toward an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: report of a Working Party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. Can J Gastroenterol 2005;19 Suppl A:5A-36A.
- 21. Rottoli M, Tanzanu M, Di Candido F, Colombo F, Frontali A, Chandrasinghe PC, et al. Risk factors for locally advanced cancer associated with ulcerative colitis: Results of a retrospective multicentric study in the era of biologics. Dig Liver Dis 2020;52:33-37.
- 22. Tun GS, Harris A, Lobo AJ. Ulcerative colitis: management in adults, children and young people concise guidance. Clin Med 2017;17:429-433.
- 23. Devlin SM, Panaccione R. Evolving Inflammatory Bowel Disease Treatment Paradigms: Top-Down Versus Step-Up. Med Clin North Am 2010;94:1-18.
- 24. Berg DR, Colombel J-F, Ungaro R. The Role of Early Biologic Therapy in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2019;25:1896-1905.
- 25. Kirsner JB, Palmer WL, Klotz AP. Reversibility in Ulcerative Colitis: Clinical and Roentgenologic Observations. Radiology 1951;57:1-14.
- 26. Pariente B, Torres J, Burisch J, et al. Validation of the Lémann index in Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis 2020;14:S005-006.
- 27. Burisch J, Katsanos KH, Christodoulou DK, et al. Natural Disease Course of Ulcerative Colitis During the First Five Years of Follow-up in a European Population-based Inception Cohort—An Epi-IBD Study. J Crohns Colitis 2019;13:198-208.
- 28. Magro F, Rodrigues A, Vieira AI, et al. Review of the disease course among adult ulcerative colitis population-based longitudinal cohorts. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18:573-583.

