



HAL
open science

Transforming NiCo₂O₄ nanorods into nanoparticles using citrus lemon juice enhancing electrochemical properties for asymmetric supercapacitor and water oxidation

Shusheel Kumar, Aneela Tahira, Adeel Liaquat Bhatti, Muhammad Ali Bhatti, Riaz Hussain Mari, Nek Muhammad Shaikh, Muhammad Yameen Solangi, Ayman Nafady, Mélanie Emo, Brigitte Vigolo, et al.

► To cite this version:

Shusheel Kumar, Aneela Tahira, Adeel Liaquat Bhatti, Muhammad Ali Bhatti, Riaz Hussain Mari, et al.. Transforming NiCo₂O₄ nanorods into nanoparticles using citrus lemon juice enhancing electrochemical properties for asymmetric supercapacitor and water oxidation. RSC Advances, 2023, 13 (27), pp.18614-18626. 10.1039/D3RA02438E . hal-04250982

HAL Id: hal-04250982

<https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04250982>

Submitted on 20 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Transforming NiCo₂O₄ nanorods into nanoparticles using citrus lemon juice enhancing electrochemical properties for asymmetric supercapacitor and water oxidation

Shusheel Kumar^a, Aneela Tahira^c, Adeel Liaquat Bhatti^a, Muhammad Ali Bhatti^d, Riaz Hussain Mari^a, Nek Muhammad Shaikh^a, Muhammad Yameen Solangi^e, Ayman Nafady^j, Mélanie Emo^f, Brigitte Vigolo^f, Antonia Infantes-Molina^g, Alberto Vomiero^{*h,i}, Zafar Hussain Ibupoto^{*b}

^aInstitute of Physics, University of Sindh Jamshoro, 76080, Sindh Pakistan

^bInstitute of Chemistry, University of Sindh Jamshoro, 76080, Sindh Pakistan

^cInstitute of Chemistry, Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Mirs, Sindh, Pakistan

^dInstitute of Environmental Sciences, University of Sindh Jamshoro, 76080, Sindh Pakistan

^eMehran University of Engineering and Technology, 7680 Jamshoro, Sindh Pakistan

^fUniversité de Lorraine, CNRS, IJL, F-54000 Nancy, France

^gDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry, Crystallography and Mineralogy. (Unidad Asociada al ICP-CSIC), Faculty of Sciences, University of Malaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071, Malaga, Spain

^hDepartment of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Division of Material Science, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

ⁱDepartment of Molecular Sciences and Nanosystems, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Venezia Mestre, Italy

^jChemistry Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding authors:** Alberto Vomiero and Zafar Hussain Ibupoto

Email: alberto.vomiero@ltu.se , zaffar.ibhupoto@usindh.edu.pk

Abstract

Recently, the nanostructured nickel-cobalt bimetallic oxide (NiCo_2O_4) material with high electrochemical activity has received an intensive attention. Beside this, biomass assisted synthesis of NiCo_2O_4 is getting popularity due to advantageous features such as low cost, simple, minimum use of toxic chemicals, environment and ecofriendly. The electrochemical activity of spinel NiCo_2O_4 is associated to mixed metal oxidation states. Therefore, large attention is paid on the crystal quality, morphology and tunable surface chemistry of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures. In this study, we have used citrus lemon juice consisting variety of chemical compounds having properties of stabilizing agent, capping agent and chelating agent. Moreover, the presence of several acidic nature chemical compounds in citrus lemon juice have changed the pH of growth solution and consequently we observed the surface modified and structural changes which were found to be very effective for the development of energy conversion and energy storage systems. These naturally occurring compounds in citrus lemon juice have played a dynamic role in transforming the one dimensional (1D) nanorod morphology of NiCo_2O_4 to small and well packed zero dimensional (0D) nanoparticles. Hence, the prepared NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures are exhibiting new surface-oriented nanoparticles, high defects on the surface (especially oxygen vacancies), sufficient ionic diffusion and reaction of electrolytic ions, enhanced electrical conductivity, and favorable reaction kinetics rate at the interface. The electrocatalytic properties of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures were studied for the evaluation of oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at low overpotential of 250 mV for 10 mA cm^{-2} , Tafel slope of 98 mV dec^{-1} , and durability of 40 h. Moreover, the asymmetric supercapacitor was investigated and obtained results indicated a high specific capacitance of (C_s) of 1519.19 F g^{-1} , and energy density of 33.08 Wh kg^{-1} at 0.8 A g^{-1} . The enhanced electrochemical performance could be attributed to the favorable structural changes, surface modification, and surface crystal facets exposure due to the use of citrus lemon juice. The proposed method of transformation of 1D nanorod to 0D nanoparticles could be used for the design of new generation of efficient electrocatalyst materials for energy storage and conversion uses.

Keywords: Citrus lemon juice, oxygenated groups, NiCo_2O_4 material, asymmetric supercapacitor, oxygen evolution reaction

1. Introduction

Currently, the supercapacitors are found highly effective electrochemical energy storage devices, hence significant advancement has been made in this field [1-3]. Supercapacitors have advantageous features including high energy density, long cycling durability, high power density and extended spectrum of operational temperature conditions in comparison to the conventional capacitors and regular electrochemical batteries [4-6]. Generally, there are two classes of supercapacitors described by charge-discharge behavior. First type is the electrochemical double layer capacitors (EDLCs), which stores charges on the basis of electrostatic approach on the active sites of electrode material on the basis of reversible adsorption of electrolytic ions. Second type is the pseudo-capacitors or redox supercapacitors, which stores the charges using fast and reversible redox processes [6]. For this reason, the preparation of unique architectures of nanostructured materials are highly desirable for the development of energy storage devices like supercapacitors (SCs). The use of spinel nickel-cobalt bimetallic oxide (NiCo_2O_4) can be seen as a strong candidate for oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and SCs due to its favorable variety of mixed oxidation states, fast charge transfer of electron and an excellent electrical conductivity [7-9]. It has been shown that the activity of NiCo_2O_4 material is highly depending on well oriented shape structures towards OER and SCs such as nanoparticles [10,11], microcuboids [12], hollow spheres [13], octahedrons [14], and nanocages [15]. The combustion of fossil fuels inherently produce large amount of greenhouse gases causing global warming effect ultimately polluted the environment [16, 17]. Hence, the handling of challenges of clean energy, and its storage are taken seriously by developing new functional materials. For the purpose of clean energy, various technologies are used such as hydropower, solar energy, airstream and biomass based fuel productions [18-20]. The water dissociation into hydrogen gas does not take place without the input of energy due to strong H-O chemical bonding, therefore theoretically a minimum voltage of 1.23 V is needed for the dissociation of water. Such a high energy is difficult to manage from the existing renewable energy sources or fossil fuels; therefore the synthesis of numerous electrocatalysts has been taken into consideration to lower the energy barrier for the water splitting [13-15]. Typically, the OER and HER reaction process take place at the anode and the cathode, respectively [21].

Among both reactions, OER is very challenging as it is accompanied by the transfer of four electrons and considered as bottleneck challenge for the exploitation of efficient hydrogen

production from water splitting [22-25, 26-27]. For this purpose, the most efficient electrocatalysts are noble metals, like Ru/Ir/Pt/Pd. However, their large scale utilization is limited due to high scarcity and cost [21]. To overcome this, low-cost and earth abundant electrocatalysts have been investigated belonging to transition metal-based materials [28-32]. The NiCo₂O₄ material nanostructures are widely used for OER owing to its high catalytic activity and electrical conductivity [33-35]. However, the performance of NiCo₂O₄ material is limited by the low density of catalytic sites and sluggish charge transfer rate at the interface of electrode and electrolyte. Furthermore, it has been shown that the electrochemical properties of NiCo₂O₄ are highly dependent on the shape, structure and desirable chemical composition [36, 37]. For this reason, an enhanced electrochemical activity of nanoplate like NiCo₂O₄ was observed [38]. Various factors effect on the morphological transformation of nanostructured material and electrochemical properties such as pH, temperature, surfactant, and synthetic routes have extensively studied [39]. Hence, it is a big challenge to prepare the different morphologies of NiCo₂O₄ for improved electrochemical performances.

The use of new synthetic methodologies demonstrated effective to increase the performance of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures [40, 41]. The use of biomass for tuning the catalytic activity of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructured material is considered extensively these days, hence different biomasses have been used during the synthesis [40, 41]. Previous studies have used different biomasses consisting phytochemicals and they have modified the surface properties of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures very effectively [40, 41]. Previously, the utilization of ascorbic acid and citric acid from citrus lemon juice has been carried out on the ZnO material and its phase transformation, morphology and optical properties [42]. The citrus lemon juice exhibits wide range of unique chemical compounds as could be seen from Supplementary Scheme 1 [43], and their molecular structure was drawn by king draw software. The scientific details of citrus lemons as tracheophyta (phylum), magnoliopsida (class), sapindales (order), rutaceae (family), and citrus limon/limonum (species). In this study, the use of citrus lemon was employed to alter the pH of growth solution in addition to provision of capping, reducing and stabilizing agents during the preparation of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructured material. Moreover, there is less attention paid about the citrus lemon juice to create surface oxygen vacancies, high Ni and Co oxidation states ratios, structural transformation, creating high surface active sites, high compatibility of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructured material with electrode, and these aspects of material design have not been

studied for the development of energy conversion and storage systems in the existing literature. Importantly, low cost, and simple method for the transformation of 1D nanorod to 0D nanoparticles bring an advancement in the field of nanoscience for the development of future generation of functional nanostructures for a wide range of applications. Therefore, keeping in view the natural product chemistry of citrus lemon juice, particularly citric acid, malic acid, and ascorbic acid, we have utilized them together for the first time to enhance the electrochemical performance of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material. Furthermore, the concept of terminal oxygenated groups through the use of variety of citrus lemon juice ingredients opens the new gateway for the synthesis of surface modified nanostructured materials with advanced functional properties. For this purpose, we have studied these types of aspects of citrus lemon juice on the NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material towards efficient energy storage and OER applications for the first time.

In this research work, we have used a variety of oxygenated sites of various natural compounds of citrus lemon juice for the hydrothermal preparation of short range and well packed nanoparticles of NiCo_2O_4 .

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Preparation of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material using citrus lemon juice

Nickel chloride hexahydrate, cobalt chloride hexahydrate, potassium hydroxide, ruthenium oxide (RuO_2), alumina paste of (0.3 μM) and urea were of analytical grade and they were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Karachi, Sindh Pakistan. Fresh citrus lemon fruit was purchased from local market of Jamshoro, Sindh Pakistan. Prior to the synthesis of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material, the lemon juice was collected from the cleaned lemon fruit using Philips juicer machine. The preparation of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material was followed by two steps. First hydrothermal process was carried out to prepare bimetallic hydroxide phase, then thermal combustion was done in air to transform hydroxide phase into bimetallic oxide. A typical synthesis was initiated as follows: first, three beakers were taken and containing three main precursors concentrations of 0.015M nickel chloride hexahydrate, 0.1M cobalt chloride hexahydrate, and 0.1M urea were mixed in 100 mL of the deionized water. Two beakers were containing main precursors of nickel salt, cobalt salt and urea and successive addition of 0.5 mL, 1 mL and 2 mL of lemon juice was performed. They were labeled as sample 1, sample 2 and

sample 3 respectively. The fourth beaker was containing the growth precursors of nickel salt, cobalt salt and urea and it was labeled as pure sample of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material. The primary use of urea was to add certain value of hydroxyl ions in the growth solution to favor the formation of hydroxide phase of nickel-cobalt. Moreover, urea provides the hydroxyl ions through the release of ammonia which further reacts with the water and gives out hydroxyl ions for binding with metallic ions coming from their respective salt precursors. Whereas the use of citrus lemon was to change the pH of the growth solution in addition to supply capping, reducing and stabilizing agents during the preparation of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material. The relative acidic nature of several compounds like ascorbic acid, malic acid and citric acid existing in lemon juice can alter the pH of the solution. However, the relative amount of those acidic nature ingredients of lemon juice in the 1 mL and 2 mL samples could be very low but their presence in the growth solution has slightly changed the pH of growth solution. The pH of growth solution without the use of lemon was about 6.72 and the pH of the growth solution with the use of 0.5mL and 1mL of lemon juice was about 6.2 and 5.8 respectively. Whereas pH of growth solution with the use of 2 mL of lemon juice was found about 3.2. The significant variation in the pH of growth solutions with 0.5mL, 1mL and 2 mL of lemon juice could play a dynamic role to alter the structure and surface properties of the nanostructured material. Four beakers with growth solutions were sealed very tightly with aluminum foil and placed in electric oven for 5 hours at 95°C . After the growth process, the hydroxide product of nickel-cobalt was collected onto filter paper and washed with the deionized water. Later, the product was dried and allowed for the thermal combustion treatment in air for 5 hours at 500°C . At the end, we were successful to get a black product of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material including sample 1, sample 2, sample 3 and pure sample. The characterization of various NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured materials was done with respect to morphology, crystal arrays, chemical composition and surface defects using various analytical techniques. SEM was used to study morphology of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures at applied voltage of 2 kV with a ZEISS Gemini SEM 500 equipped with a field emission gun. Powder X-ray diffraction was employed to identify the phase and purity of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material under the experimental conditions of X-rays with a wavelength of wavelength $\lambda_{\text{K}\alpha} = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$ coming from Cu anode at applied potential of 45 kV and 45 mA current. Transmission and scanning transmission electron microscopy (TEM and STEM, respectively) observations were performed on a JEOL JEM - ARM 200F Cold FEG microscope


working at 200 kV and equipped with a probe corrector (Cs). Chemical analyses were done with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) (SDD, Jeol DRY SD 30 GV). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with X-ray source from Al K_{α} at 30 eV for the surface chemical composition analysis. The measured binding energies of as prepared NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured materials were fitted with the reference binding energy of C1s peak at 284.6 eV.

2.2. Electrochemical characterization of surface modified NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material

We have tested different NiCo_2O_4 samples towards supercapacitor and OER half-cell water splitting applications in aqueous solution of KOH electrolyte. The supercapacitor investigations were performed with three electrode cell set up in 3.0M KOH. The reference electrode was based on calomel electrode (Hg/HgO), and graphite rod as counter electrode. The working electrode was glassy carbon electrode (GCE) with a diameter of 3.0 mm diameter and it was used for the deposition of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured materials using drop casting approach. Before modification, the GCE was cleaned with the paste of 0.3 μM alumina powder slurry and rubbed by silicon paper; consequently it was washed several times with the deionized water. The supercapacitor characterization was done with chronopotentiometry (CP) by measuring galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) curves at various current densities for the estimation of specific capacitance (C_s) F/g, cycling stability and energy density. The calculations of supercapacitor application are shown calculation (S1).

Furthermore, OER studies were performed on the different NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures in 1.M KOH. The catalyst ink of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures was prepared by dispersing 5 mg of each sample in 4 mL of the deionized water and 100 μL of 5% Nafion. The homogenous dispersion was obtained using sonication process for 10 min. Then, 5 μL of each catalyst ink with approximately mass of (0.2mg) was deposited onto cleaned GCE using drop casting method and dried with the blow of air. The OER half-cell investigations were studied by different electrochemical modes like cyclic voltammetry (CV), linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), chronopotentiometry (CP) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Prior to LSV measurement, three CV cycles were done at a scan rate of 10 mV/s for the measurement of stable LSV curves for OER process at a scan rate of 2 mV/s. The EIS measurement was used to measure the kinetics of charge transfer at the interface of modified electrode and electrolyte under the measurement conditions of sweeping frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz using an amplitude of 5 mV and OER onset potential. The CV curves in the non-Faradic region at various scan rates were measured to

find the amount of active surface area using electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) calculations. The long-term durability was investigated by chronopotentiometry technique for the time period of 40 hours at a constant current density of 20 mA cm^{-1} . The measured potential against calomel reference electrodes was converted to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by following the Nernst equation. The Tafel equation was used to find the Tafel slope values from the linear part of LSV curves for the illustration of reaction kinetics. All the energy storage and conversion measurements were carried out under standard conditions. The stepwise preparation methodology and electrochemical testing are shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1: Stepwise preparation of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material using lemon juice and their electrochemical testing set up

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physical characterization of as prepared different NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures

Morphology and structure of the synthesized NiCo_2O_4 based nanocatalysts were studied by SEM and XRD, respectively (Figure 1). Figure 1a shows the typical shape orientation of pristine NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures prepared by hydrothermal process which is characterized by nanorod like morphology with a length of several microns and average diameter in the range of 300-500 nm. The morphology of nanorod is assembled by the nanoparticles with a dimension of below

100 nm as shown in Figure 1a, insert. Furthermore, the morphology of citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures with volume of 1 mL (sample 2 Figure 1b) was found with different morphology from pure sample of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructured material. For both of them, 1D nanorod morphology was transformed into well packed small size 0D nanoparticles. The size of small size nanoparticles could be below 100 nm, which is a typical nanostructured phase of as prepared NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures. The growth process in the presence of citrus lemon juice can be proposed in terms of oxygenated terminal of variety natural compounds present in the citrus lemon juice. These natural product molecules have capability of stabilizing agent, capping agent, and chelating agent which played an important role towards the transformation of 1D nanorod morphology into 0D nanoparticles. Moreover, the pH of was changed growth solution due to the presence of ascorbic acid, citric acid, malic acids and also their stabilizing agent, capping agent and chelating agent properties resulted the 0D nanoparticles as can be seen from the Figures 1b. Thus, the compact nature of nanoparticles with uniform electron transfer during the electrochemical reaction can bring obvious role towards the durability of material for long term applications. The citrus lemon juice is naturally rich with acidic compounds, high density of terminated oxygen groups in each molecule for transforming the one dimensional (1D) structure into zero dimensional (0D) structure with typical nanoscale features. The significant variation in the pH of growth solutions with 0.5 mL, 1 mL and 2 mL of lemon juice could play a dynamic role to alter the structure and surface properties of the nanostructured material. From acidic nature of ascorbic acid, malic acid and citric acid, we hereby describe that they have shown influence on the pH of growth solution, consequently the structural transformation and surface modifications were significantly observed. However, the primary use of citrus lemon juice was to alter the pH of growth solution and to induce the significant effect on the structure and surface properties of material. We have also noticed that the relative amount of nanostructured material formation was low when 2 mL of citrus lemon juice was used because of the decreased amount of hydroxyl ions which has slowed down the growth kinetics. From SEM observations, the presence of lemon juice alters significantly the morphology (Figs. 1a and 1b, with and without lemon juice respectively). The rod-like shape is completely lost when lemon juice is used for the catalyst preparation and the outcome catalysts have a powdered disordered structure. Tiny particles are still detectable, as confirmed by XRD analysis of crystallite size.

The crystalline phase was studied by using powder XRD technique and recorded diffraction patterns of different samples including sample 1, sample 2 and sample 3 are shown in Figure 1d. The diffraction patterns of sample 1 prepared with 0.5mL of citrus lemon juice are shown Supplementary Figure (S1). Various diffraction patterns of three samples of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures showed crystal planes such as 111, 220, 311, 222, 400, 422, 511, 531, 442, 533, 620 and 622 and they were well matched the cubic phase of NiCo₂O₄. The measured diffraction patterns of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures were in good agreement with the standard JCPDS card (01-080-1542). Interestingly, the increasing volume of citrus lemon juice has reduced the intensity of many of the diffraction peaks due to variation in the pH of growth solution, suggesting the dynamic role of citrus lemon juice about the alteration of shape of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructured material. The sample 2 suggests preferential growth along the 400 direction due its strong reflection intensity and offered favorable crystal orientation for high exposure of catalytic sites and swift charge transfer towards the electrochemical applications. This is the point of difference between sample 2 and pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures and sample 3. The XRD analysis confirmed only the spinel structure with cubic phase of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures and the preparation of high quality nanostructured material. Moreover, an enhanced peak for 620 crystal plane of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures in case of (sample 3) is indicating the preferential growth along this direction and it might change the crystal geometry of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures that could not favor the electrocatalytic activity. The average crystallite size was calculated by Scherrer equation and the calculated values are given in Table 1. It is obvious that 1 mL and 2 mL of lemon juice did not bring substantial influence on the average crystallite size of pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures and 1 mL (sample 2) and 2 mL of lemon juice (sample 3). A slight increase on average crystallite size is recorded in sample 3.




Figure 1: Distinctive SEM images at different magnifications of (a) pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures, (b) NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures prepared with 1 mL (sample 2) and (c) XRD patterns of pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures, (b, c) NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures prepared with 1 mL (sample 2), and 2 mL of lemon juice (sample 3).

To have deeper insight on the chemical states of metallic ions and surface vacancies about the pure and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ samples, XPS analysis was performed as shown in Figure 2a. The photoelectron contributions for Co 2p_{3/2} signal in the pure NiCo₂O₄ sample were observed at 779.5 (Co³⁺), 781.4 (Co²⁺), 784.2 (Satellite) and 788.9 (Satellite) eV which is in good agreement with the reported works [44-46]. Similarly, the Ni 2p_{3/2} contributions were located at different binding energies such as 854.0 (Ni²⁺), 855.7 (Ni³⁺), 857.0 (Ni-OH) and 861.1 (satellite) eV [47]. The relative ratio of Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ ions and Co²⁺/Co³⁺ ions in the pure sample was found to be 1.13 and 0.44 respectively. For the citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures, the highly resolved spectrum of Co 2p_{3/2} was also fitted, the corresponding peaks of Co³⁺ and Co²⁺ were found at binding energies of 779.3, and 781.1 eV, respectively, as shown in Figure 2 [48]. For the Ni 2p_{3/2} signal, the fitting showed the contributions at various binding energies 854.0 (Ni²⁺), 855.7 (Ni³⁺), 856.1 (Ni-OH) and 860.8 (satellite). The relative ratio of Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ ions and Co²⁺/Co³⁺ ions for citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ sample was approximately 1.18 and 0.66, respectively. It is indicating a greater proportion of reduced species on the surface of citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ sample compare to pure NiCo₂O₄ sample. Moreover, Ni/Co ratio pure NiCo₂O₄ sample was changed from 1.02 to 1.37 in case of NiCo₂O₄ sample grown with citrus lemon juice. In this regard, the XPS analysis revealed that pure NiCo₂O₄ sample and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ sample reveal the coexistence of Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ ions and Co²⁺/Co³⁺ ions on their surface and the presented results are strongly supported by the reported work [49]. Finally, the O 1s spectra for the pure NiCo₂O₄ sample and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ sample show three essential contributions at ca. 529.5 eV, 531.15 eV, 532.6 eV due to O_{Lat}, O_{Sur}, O_{Che}, respectively. O_{Lat} refers to lattice oxygen in the metal–oxygen structure, O_{Sur} is usually assigned to surface defects and oxygen vacancies, and O_{Che} is assigned to chemisorbed oxygen as revealed by previous studies [44]. By quantifying the different oxygen species in both samples, the O_{Sur}/O_{Lat} ratio changes from 0.52 to 0.76 from pure to citrus lemon juice based sample, indicating that there is a greater proportion of surface species in the latter that could be playing an important role in the OER reaction.

The morphology, crystalline and chemical composition investigations of pristine and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ samples were performed through scanning transmission electron

microscopy (STEM) coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS), high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and selective area electron diffraction (SAED). The pristine NiCo_2O_4 sample is mainly composed of particles of diameter between 20 and 80 nm assembled in nanorod-like structure (Figures 2b, 2d), while citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 is composed of aggregates of nanoparticles of less than 20 nm (Figures 2c, 2h). Similar morphological features were observed during SEM analysis. The aggregation of nanoparticles (sample 2) could be ascribed to the collective attraction between nanoparticles through chemical interactions or Vander Waals forces. EDXS experiments confirm the presence of Ni, Co, and O for both samples, without any impurities (Figures 2 d-k). SAED patterns of pure NiCo_2O_4 and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 (Figure S2) present rings at 4.7, 2.9, 2.4 and 2.0 Å, corresponding to the Fd-3m cubic structure of NiCo_2O_4 ($a = 8.1$ Å), as found on XRD experiments. The overall observations on both samples suggest that citrus lemon juice has mainly a role in transforming 1D nanorod of pure NiCo_2O_4 into 0D nanoparticles.

For better view of structural information, various TEM images were shown (Figure S3), suggesting the effect of citrus lemon juice on the morphology compared to the material prepared without the citrus lemon juice. The TEM analysis confirms the results from SEM: addition of citrus lemon juice inhibits the formation of a rod-shaped structure of self-assembled nanoparticles, and originates a homogeneous distribution of tiny nanoparticles, roughly hierarchically clustered in sub-micrometer sized spheres. Also, the d-spacing were calculated by using HRTEM micrographs of citrus lemon juice assisted juice NiCo_2O_4 and pure NiCo_2O_4 as shown in Figure S4.






Figure 2: Ni $2p_{3/2}$, Co $2p_{3/2}$ and O $1s$ spectra of pure NiCo₂O₄ and NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures grown with citrus lemon juice with 1 mL (Sample 2) (a). HRTEM micrographs with corresponding Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) (insert of Figures) of pure NiCo₂O₄ (b) and citrus lemon juice assisted grown NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (c). STEM High Angle Annular Dark Field (HAADF) of pure NiCo₂O₄ (d) and citrus lemon juice assisted grown NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (h) and corresponding X-maps of cobalt (e,i), nickel (f,j) and oxygen (g,k).

3.2. Electrochemical performance evaluation of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures for energy storage applications

Three newly prepared samples of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures including such as (sample 1, sample 2, Sample 3) and pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures as reference material to understand the role of citrus lemon juice towards tuning the electrocatalytic behavior of materials. The electrochemical performance was evaluated towards the development of SCs. The prepared nanostructured NiCo₂O₄ materials were characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) curves as shown in Figure 3. Both CV and GCD curves were measured with three electrode cell set up under electrolytic environment of 3.0M KOH aqueous solution as shown in Figure 3(a-f). The CV characterization described the behavior of three materials with pronounced redox behavior at various scan rates ranging from 10-60 mV/s as shown in Figure 3a-c. The area of each curve was enhanced with increasing scan rate. Moreover, the shape of CV curves did not alter with increasing scan rate, suggesting the prepared materials could deliver superior electrochemical performance. The capacitance from CV was observed higher for sample 2 compared to the sample 3 and pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures at low scan rate. Beside this, GCD curves for these materials were measured at different current densities such as 0.8 to 0.94 Ag⁻¹ and their corresponding electrochemical behavior is shown in Figure 3d-f. It is obvious that the sample 2 is exhibiting the longest discharge time in comparison to sample 3 and pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures as enclosed in Figure 3e. Furthermore, the specific capacitance (C_s) values were calculated at 0.8 Ag⁻¹ for the sample 2, sample 3 and pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures which were found in the order of 358 Fg⁻¹, 163 Fg⁻¹, and 117 Fg⁻¹ respectively as shown in Figure 4a. The cyclic stability was also measured at 0.8 Ag⁻¹ for three samples and corresponding retention efficiency of C_s were found in the order 100-94%, 108-83%, 90-61%, for sample 2, sample 3 and pure sample, respectively as show in Figure 4b. After 900 cycles, the retention capacitance was

found highest for the sample 1. It is verified that the sample 2 exhibited enhanced cyclic stability with highest retention; hence it could be considered as an optimized electrode material for the development of real energy storage devices. The columbic efficiency for the sample 2, sample 3 and pure sample was observed in the order of 70%, 64%, and 43% respectively as shown in Figure 4c. The energy density was also estimated at 0.8 Ag^{-1} for sample 2, sample 3 and pure sample of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures in the series of 7.96 Wh kg^{-1} , 3.57 Wh kg^{-1} , and 2.47 Wh kg^{-1} respectively. The power densities were also calculated for the sample 2, sample 3 and pure NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures with corresponding values of 160.00 KW/Kg , 158.80 KW/Kg , and 156.00 KW/Kg respectively as shown in Figure 4d-f. The evaluated electrochemical activity of as prepared NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 2), (sample 2), and pure NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures for the SCs is shown in Table (S3).




Figure 3: (a-c) Various CV curves at different scan rates for NiCo_2O_4 (sample 2), NiCo_2O_4 (sample 3), pure NiCo_2O_4 nanostructure, in 3.0M KOH aqueous electrolytic solution. (d-f)

Corresponding GCD curves at various current densities of 0.8, 0.85, 0.88, and 0.94 Ag^{-1} performed in 3.0M KOH solution.




Figure 4: (a) specific capacitance (C_s) of pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, (sample 2) and (sample 3) from GCD curves, (b) percentage retention specific capacitance of pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure (sample 2), (sample 3) from cycling stability of GCD curves, (c) columbic efficiency of pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, (sample 2) and (sample 3) from cycling stability of GCD curves, (d-f) corresponding energy density of pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, (sample 2) and (sample 3).

For three electrode supercapacitor application, the cyclic stability for the sample 1 is either equal or higher to many of the recently reported electrode materials as given in Supplementary Table (S3). Many of the materials reported in the Supplementary Table (S3) are complex in nature and involving hybrid systems which apparently have excellent performance. However our presented

material synthesis approach is facile, low cost, ecofriendly and environment friendly and it has comparable of specific capacitance to the previous studies, hence offering an alternative material with promising green synthetic strategy for the scale up synthesis of nanostructured materials. The citrus lemon juice has changed the pH of growth solution and it has influenced on the structural transformation, surface modification and the exposed the favorable crystal facets for the enhanced electrochemical performance. The performance of our material can be enhanced compare to the existing literature on the supercapacitor application by high loading of proposed material onto large surface area electrode. Despite the use of low current density of 0.8 Ag^{-1} and narrow potential window, we have obtained the considerable high energy density and specific capacitance using newly prepared NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 2).

Before to the development of two electrode asymmetric supercapacitor device, we have evaluated the supercapacitor properties of activated carbon using three electrode cell configuration and the measured performance is enclosed in Supplementary Figure (S5). First GCD curves were obtained at various current densities of 0.8, 0.85, 0.88 and 0.94 Ag^{-1} , then specific capacitance of 247 F/g and energy density of 5.47 Wh/Kg were calculated as shown in Supplementary (S5). The analyzed results if GCD curves indicated the poor performance of activated carbon (AC).

The practical aspects of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 2), was also studied by developing asymmetric supercapacitor (ASC) using anode of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 2), and activated carbon as cathode electrode in aqueous 3.0M KOH solution. The ASC was represented by (NiCo_2O_4 Sample 2//AC ASC).

The CV curves of (NiCo_2O_4 Sample 2) and for AC for the potential range of -0.2 to 1.5 V are shown in Supplementary Figure (S5) and it was assumed that the operating potential window of (NiCo_2O_4 Sample 2//AC ASC) could be enlarged to 1.45 V and the potential window was selected according to published work [50-54]. Further increasing the potential range could cause the H_2/O_2 evolution reaction as previously reported [50, 51]. Hence, the optimum operational potential window was kept approximately to 1.45 V to examine the electrochemical activity of asymmetric device (NiCo_2O_4 Sample 2//AC ASC) and the corresponding CV curves are enclosed in Supplementary Figure (S6). The CV results have revealed the overall phenomenon of capacitance of (NiCo_2O_4 Sample 2//AC ASC) was extracted from double-layer capacitance and battery like aspects of newly prepared spinel bimetallic oxide material. From CV curves, it is

clear that there is no change of shape of CV, indicating the swift charge-discharge features of (NiCo₂O₄ Sample 2//AC ASC) device. The corresponding GCD curves of (NiCo₂O₄ Sample 2//AC ASC) were also measured as shown in Supplementary Figure (S6) for the current density range of 0.8 to 0.94 Ag⁻¹ and derived different calculation values of ASC characterization are enclosed in Supplementary Figure (S6). The capacitance retention for the 900 cycles was found around 64-52%, which is still far better than many of the reported works on bimetallic oxides in the literature as shown in Supplementary Figure (S6). The specific capacitance C_s at 0.8Ag⁻¹ was calculated as 1519 Fg⁻¹ even at a 0.94Ag⁻¹ specific capacitance was found high like 1459 Fg⁻¹ as shown in Supplementary Figure (S6). The estimated energy density of 33.08 Wh kg⁻¹ is relatively higher than the reported works with a power density of 633 W/kg, confirming the significant advancement in the field of ASC devices as shown in Supplementary Figure (S6). The columbic efficiency of 100-84% was also an excellent for the presented ASC system as shown in Supplementary Figure (S6). A power density of 633 W/kg is reflecting that the (NiCo₂O₄ Sample 2//AC ASC) can maintain the energy density of 33.1 Wh kg⁻¹, suggesting that these values are still impressive compared to the already existing NiCo₂O₄ based ASCs systems such as composite system of NiCo₂O₄@MnO₂ hybrid//AC (an energy density of 37.8 Wh kg⁻¹ at power density 187.5 W kg⁻¹) [52], N-doped carbon-coated NiCo₂O₄//AC (29.4 Wh kg⁻¹ at the power density of 349 W kg⁻¹) [55],

HDC@NiCo₂O₄@PPy//HDC@NiCo₂O₄@PPy (17.5 Wh kg⁻¹ at the power density of 500 W kg⁻¹) [50], NiCo₂O₄ microspheres//AC (45.3 Wh kg⁻¹ at the power density of 533.3 W kg⁻¹) [56], NiCo₂O₄/NGN/CNTs//NGN/CNTs (42.7 Wh kg⁻¹ at the power density of 775 W kg⁻¹) [51], and NiCo₂O₄@Co-Fe LDH//AC (28.94 Wh kg⁻¹ at the power density of 950 W kg⁻¹) [52]. Beside NiCo₂O₄ based ASCs devices, the previously reported ASCs based on other materials have either inferior or equal performance to the presented (NiCo₂O₄ Sample 2//AC ASC) such as CuS hollow micro flowers//AC (15.97 Wh kg⁻¹ at a power density of 185.4 W kg⁻¹) [57], and Ni₃Se₂ 3D HMNN//AC (38.4 Wh kg⁻¹ at a power density of 794.5 W kg⁻¹) [58]. For the simplicity, the observed performance of ASC is provided in the Table (S3).

3.3. Oxygen evolution reaction performance evaluation of various citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures

Electrochemical performance of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures was studied for OER half-cell water splitting in 1.0M KOH aqueous solution using three electrode cell configuration. For this

characterization, LSV was used for the pure NiCo₂O₄ and lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (sample 1, sample 2, sample 3) at sweeping scan rate of 2 mV/s and corresponding iR corrected polarization curves are enclosed in Figure 5a. The performance of these newly prepared materials of NiCo₂O₄ was also compared with the reference noble metal (RuO₂) electrocatalyst as shown in Figure 5a. The overpotential for NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures grown with 1 mL of citrus lemon juice was found to be 250 mV at 10 mAcm⁻², which is smaller than pure and 2mL citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures. An overpotential of 270 mV at 10 mAcm⁻² was recorded for the pure and 2 mL assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures as shows in Figure 5a. Whereas the sample 1 has shown relatively better performance compare to the pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures with a low overpotential of 280 mV at 10 mAcm⁻². However, 1 mL of citrus lemon juice drastically changed the OER activity of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures with lower overpotential compare to sample 1, sample 2 and pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures. The pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures have shown the limiting performance owing to its low density of active sites and poor electron transfer capacity. An addition of 2 mL of citrus lemon juice supplied high concentration of reducing agents which significantly enabled the surface with limited number of active sites and charge transport of the material, hence poor performance NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures was observed compared to the sample 2. The linear region of LSV polarization curves was used to assess the reaction kinetics and the estimated Tafel plots are given in Figure 5b. Significantly, the Tafel slope of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures grown with 1 mL was as low as 98 mVdec⁻¹ which is lower compare to pure and 2 mL assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructured materials (105 mVdec⁻¹, and 112 mVdec⁻¹ respectively). The Tafel slope of sample 1 was estimated about 107 mVdec⁻¹. The Tafel analysis revealed that the NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures grown with 1 mL of citrus lemon juice has speedy OER kinetics due to favorable catalytic surface properties. Stability of 1 mL assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanomaterial was also studied in 1.0M KOH using LSV polarization curves before and after the durability measurements as shown in Figure 5c. It was noticed that the durability test for 40 hour did not alter the onset potential, overpotential and current density, suggesting the high stability and compatibility of material with the GCE. Durability is an important parameter to examine the activity of nonprecious catalyst; hence chronopotentiometry was used to describe the electro catalytic durability of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures prepared with 1 mL of citrus lemon juice as shown in Figure 5d. There was negligible overpotential drop at constant 20 mAcm⁻² for the period of 40 hours, confirming the durability of material for a significant period of OER

operation. The NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures have been found stable under 1.0M KOH alkaline conditions and they are strongly supported by the reported works elsewhere. It has been observed that the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) is directly connected to the double layer capacitance (C_{dl}) of an electrocatalyst [59], and known as a crucial parameter to assess the amount of active sites on the surface of catalyst and they have to be involved in the electrochemical reaction. For this purpose, cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were measured with non-Faradic regions to calculate the C_{dl} as shown in Supplementary Figure (S7). The C_{dl} values were obtained by plotting the difference of anodic and cathodic current densities at 0.2V versus RHE against different scan rates [60]. The C_{dl} is considered as a half value of slope achieved after linear fitting and ECSA could be measured by using equation of $ECSA = C_{dl}/C_s$. The C_s is a constant value related to nature of electrode material surface which is found to be 40 mFcm⁻² in 1.0M KOH as previously reported [61]. The measured values of ECSA for three nanostructures of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (sample 2, sample 3) and pure sample were in the order, 15.2 μFcm⁻², 8.2 μFcm⁻², and 6.3 μFcm⁻² respectively. The ECSA calculations revealed that the NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (sample 2) with highest value is describing the predominant contributing factor towards faster OER activity. Furthermore, the charge transfer resistance rate was also evaluated for the NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures prepared with and without citrus lemon juice during OER operation using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) as shown in Figure 5e. Bode plots obtained from EIS data at different sweeping frequencies are shown in Figure 5f. The Nyquist plot with higher frequency region has shown the information about the porosity of electrode film whereas in the low frequency region the sub circuit is associated with the charge transfer resistance [62]. The fitted circuit has provided the charge transfer resistance values for three NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (sample 2, sample 3 and pure) are in order 165 Ω, 547 Ω and 968 Ω respectively and these values are provided in the Table (S6). The charge transfer resistance of low value for the sample 2, further supported its OER performance in 1.0M KOH aqueous solution. The XRD results of sample 2 has revealed that the preferred crystal orientation along the 400 crystal plane with high intensity has shown desirable crystal orientation for the exposure of high surface catalytic sites, consequently fast charge transport has been shown at the interface of electrode and electrolyte. The HRTEM study has shown that NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2) is composed of nanoparticles of less than 20 nm and exhibited a large surface area. Therefore, a low nanoscale dimension of less than 20 nm for the sample 2 offered frequent contact with electrolyte, thus

efficient electrochemical performance was observed. The SAED patterns of lemon assisted NiCo_2O_4 (sample 2) presents rings at 2.4 and 2.0 Å, indicating small lattice rings and they could be further effectively driven the electrochemical activity of material towards supercapacitor and half-cell OER applications. The improved performance of proposed electrocatalyst from fundamental point of view could be explained in terms of shape transformation of material, variation in the crystal orientation, high surface oxygen vacancies, high active sites, fast charge transfer rate at the interface of electrode and electrolyte favored the electrocatalytic kinetics, high Ni/Co ratio of 1.37 in case of citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 sample, and biomimetic features of citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 sample supported the high compatibility with glassy carbon electrode. The present study was aimed to understand the fundamental role of structural transformation, creation of defects on the surface, and to alter the crystal orientation during growth process, therefore they together insightfully enhanced the electrochemical performance of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures. These fundamental features of prepared NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures of presented study bring an advancement in the development of new generation of electrocatalysts for the energy conversion and storage systems. Furthermore, an enhanced SC and electro catalytic performances of NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 2) were contributed from unique fabrication aspects, such as short range nanoparticles resulted from the unique chemistry of citrus lemon juice has exposed the material at large extent to the electrolytic molecules. The use of biomass packed the nanoparticles avoided the volume changes, hence maintained the mechanical strength, demonstrating the significant cycling stability during SC and OER processes.




Figure 5: (a) LSV polarization curves at 2 mV/s for pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3) and RuO₂ in 1.0M KOH aqueous solution, (b) Corresponding Tafel analysis of pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3) and RuO₂, (c) stability of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3) (d) durability for 40 hours at 20 mAcm⁻², (e) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) Nyquist plots of pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3) for frequency range of 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz at an amplitude of 5 mV and onset potential of OER, inset shows the fitted equivalent circuit (f) Corresponded Bode Plots of pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3) from same EIS

The OER performance of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (sample 2) prepared with citrus lemon juice was compared with the previously reported electrocatalyst as given in Table S6. It is shown that activity of NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures (sample 2) is superior to many of the catalyst in terms of low overpotential of 250 mV at the same current density and 1.0M KOH aqueous solution, confirming the dynamic role of natural molecules from citrus lemon juice on the enhanced activity towards water splitting. Importantly, the synthetic strategy of presented work is green, used minimum toxic chemicals, low cost, scale up for the synthesis of large quantity of material, ecofriendly and environment friendly. Furthermore, the obtained electrochemical performance is shown bar graph for the better understanding and view as enclosed in Supplementary Figure

S8 (a-d). We report the obtained results in these bar graphs for OER overpotential at 10 and 50 mAcm^{-2} , Tafel values, specific capacitance (C_s) and energy density, respectively, for the pristine and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 1, sample 2, sample 3) and RuO_2 . For better view, the OER of (sample 1, sample 2, sample 3) and capacitance performance of the pure and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 2, sample 3) are given in Table 2. It is clear that the sample 2 has relatively better electrochemical activity compare to pure and sample 2.

Table 2: Overall performance comparison of the pristine and citrus lemon juice assisted NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures (sample 1, sample 2).

| Sample ID | Over potential (mV)@10 mAcm^{-2} | Tafel Slope (mVdec^{-1}) | Charge Transfer Resistance (Rct) (Ω) | Specific Capacitance (F/g) @ 0.8 A/g | Energy Density (Wh/kg) @ 0.8 A/g | Power Density (W/kg) @ 0.8 A/g |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Pure (NiCo_2O_4) | 300 | 112 | 968 | 117 | 2.47 | 156 |
| Sample 1 | 280 | 107 | - | - | - | - |
| Sample 2 | 250 | 98 | 165 | 358 | 7.95 | 160 |
| Sample 3 | 280 | 105 | 547 | 163 | 3.57 | 159 |

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have used natural resources of chemical compounds with stabilizing agent, capping agent and chelating properties from citrus lemon juice for the preparation of 0D nanoparticles of NiCo_2O_4 using hydrothermal. The structure, chemical composition and crystalline studies were carried out by wide range of analytical techniques. We have observed that 1D nanorod morphology of NiCo_2O_4 was successfully transformed into 0D nanoparticles due to change in pH of growth solution, capping agent, stabilizing agent and chelating agent properties of citric acid, malic acid and ascorbic acid during growth process. At the same time, these natural products have tailored surface properties, enhanced electron communication, fast ionic diffusion of electrolyte, swift charge transfer at the interface of electrode and electrolyte.

For this reason, we have noticed an excellent OER reaction at an overpotential of 250mV at 10 mAcm⁻² with a durability of 40 hours under alkaline conditions. Furthermore, we have also studied the energy storage aspects of NiCo₂O₄ material for the development of asymmetric supercapacitor and observed values of specific capacitance (C_s) of 1519.19 Fg⁻¹, and energy density of 33.08 Wh kg⁻¹ are highly meritorious. The extended spectrum of electrochemical properties of NiCo₂O₄ material, associated with our low cost and facile approach enabled it highly favorable for the development of high performance energy conversion and storage systems in near future.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their sincere appreciations to Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2023R79), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, for partial funding of this work. B.V. and E.M would like to also thank the platform “Microscopies, Microprobes and Metallography (3M)” at the Institut Jean Lamour (IJL, Nancy, France) for TEM and SEM facilities. A.V. acknowledges the Kempe Foundation and the Knut och Alice Wallenberg Foundation for financial support.

Author contribution

Shusheel Kumar, carried out material synthesis and water catalysis

Aneela Tahira, did XRD analysis

Adeel Liaquat Bhatti, did impedance measurement

Muhammad Ali Bhatti^d, did OER analysis

Riaz Hussain Mari^a, did partial supervision

Nek Muhammad Shaikh, did partial supervision

Muhammad Yameen Solangi, did ECSA and Tafel analysis

Ayman Nafady, did preview the obtained results

Mélanie Emo, did TEM analysis

Brigitte Vigolo, did SEM analysis and proofread the paper

Antonia Infantes-Molina, did XPS analysis and proofread the paper

Alberto Vomiero, did the final preview and proofread the paper

Zafar Hussain Ibutoto, did supervision and wrote first draft of manuscript

Conflict of Interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest in this research work

5. References

- [1] J. Yan, Q. Wang, T. Wei and Z. Fan, *Adv Energy Mater*, 2014, 21, 1300816–1300859.
- [2] K. S. Poonam, A. Arora, and S. K. Tripathi, *J. Energy Storage* 2019, 21, 801.
- [3] J. Gou, Y. Du, S. Xie, Y. Liu, X. Kong, *Inter J Hydrogen Energ* 2019, 44, 27214–27223.
- [4] V. Raman, N. V. Mohan, B. Balakrishnan, R. Rajmohan, V. Rajangam, A. Selvaraj, H. J. Kim, *Ionics* 2020, 26, 345–354.
- [5] F. Wang, Y. Li, Z. Cheng, K. Xu, X. Zhan, Z. Wang, *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2014, 16, 12214–12220.
- [6] J. Gou, S. Xie, B. Xu, *Ionics*, 2020, 26, 337–344.
- [7] L. Liu, H. Zhang, J. Yang, Y. Mu, Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2015, 3, 22393–22403.
- [8] H. Fu, Y. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Shi, W. Kong, J. Hou, F. Yu, T. Wei, H. Wang, X. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta* 2019, 296, 719–729.
- [9] T. Liu, P. Diao, *Nano Res.* 2020, 13, 3299–3309.
- [10] L. Kumar, M. Chauhan, P. K. Boruah, M. R. Das, S. A. Hashmi, S. Deka, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater* 2020, 3, 6793–6804.
- [11] Y. Zhu, X. Ji, Z. Wu, W. Song, H. Hou, Z. Wu, X. He, Q. Chen, C. E. Banks, *J. Power Sources* 2014, 267, 888–900.
- [12] X. Gao, H. Zhang, Q. Li, X. Yu, Z. Hong, X. Zhang, C. Liang, Z. Lin, *Angew. Chem. Int.* 2016, 55, 6290–6294.
- [13] A. Mondal, S. Maiti, S. Mahanty, A. B. Panda, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2017, 5, 23853–23862.
- [14] L. Fang, Z. Jiang, H. Xu, L. Liu, Y. Guan, X. Gu, Y. Wang, *J. Catal.* 2018, 357, 238–246.
- [15] X. Lv, Y. Zhu, H. Jiang, X. Yang, Y. Liu, Y. Su, J. Huang, Y. Yao, C. Li, *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 4148–4154.
- [16] S. E. Hosseini and M. A. Wahid, *International Journal of Energy Research* 2020, 44, 4110–4131.
- [17] F. Perera, *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2018, 15, 16–27.

- [18] R. M. Elavarasan ,IEEE Access 2020, 8, 74432-74457.
- [19] A. Olabi and M. A. Abdelkareem, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2022,158, 112111.
- [20] D. Gielen, F. Boshell, D. Saygin, M. D. Bazilian, N. Wagner, and R. Gorini, Energy Strategy Reviews 2019,24, 38-50.
- [21] Q. Wang and K. Domen, Chemical Reviews 2019, 120, 919-985.
- [22] M.-I. Jamesh and X. Sun, Journal of Power Sources 2018,400, 31-68.
- [23] Q. Zhang and J. Guan, Journal of Power Sources 2020, 471, 2284-94.
- [24] L. M. Cao , L. Li, P. Wang, Q. Shao ,Advanced science 2018, 33, 18009-20.
- [25] N.-T. Suen, S.-F. Hung, Q. Quan, N. Zhang, Y.-J. Xu, and H. M. Chen, Chemical Society Reviews 2017, 46, 337-365.
- [26] Z. Chen, X. Duan, W. Wei, S. Wang, and B.-J. Ni, Nano Energy 2020,78, 1052-72.
- [27] E. Fabbri, A. Habereeder, K. Waltar, R. Kötz, and T. J. Schmidt, Catalysis Science & Technolog 2014, 4, 3800-3821.
- [28]. Y. Matsumoto and E. Sato, Materials chemistry and physics 1986, 14, 397-426.
- [29]. Q. Liang, J. Chen, F. Wang, and Y. Li, Coordination Chemistry Reviews 2020, 424, 2134-88.
- [30]. Z. Lu , L. Li, P. Wang, Q. Shao ,Nature communications 2014, 5, 1-7.
- [31]. L. Gao, X. Cui, Z. Wang, C.D. Sewell, Z. Li, S. Liang, M. Zhang, J. Li, Y. Hu and Z. Lin, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2021, 118, p.e2023421118.
- [32]. L. Gao, X. Cui, C.D. Sewell, J. Li and Z. Lin *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2021, 50, 8428-8469.
- [33]. V. Vij, S. Sultan, A. M. Harzandi, A. Meena, J. N. Tiwari, W. G. Lee, T. Yoon, and K. S. Kim, 2017. *Acs Catalysis*, 2017, 7, 7196-7225.
- [34]. D. Khalafallah, M. Zhi, and Z. Hong, ChemCatChem 2021, 13, 81-110.
- [35]. M. Kaur, P. Chand, H. Anand, Inorganic Chemistry Communications 2021, 134, 1089-96.
- [36]. A. Sasmal, and A. K. Nayak, Journal of Energy Storage 2023, 58, 106342.
- [37]. O. C. Pore, A. V. Fulari, N. B. Velhal, V. G. Parale, H. H. Park, R. V. Shejwal, V. J. Fulari, and G. M. Lohar, Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing 2021, 134, 105980.
- [38]. A. K. Yedluri, & H. J. Kim, RSC advances, 2019, 9, 1115-1122.
- [39]. M. Kaur, P. Chand & H. Anand, Inorganic Chemistry Communications, 2021, 134, 108996.

- [40]. A. G. Solangi, T. Pirzada, A. A. Shah, I. A. Halepoto, A. S. Chang, Z. Solangi, M.Y. Solangi, *Journal of the Chinese Chemical Society*, 2022, 69, 1608-1618.
- [41]. P. M. Shafi, N. Joseph, R. Karthik, J.-J. Shim, A. C. Bose, and V. Ganesh, *Microchemical Journal* 2021, 164, 1059-45.
- [42]. R. V. Poonguzhali, L. Li, P. Wang, Q. Shao, *Ceramics International* 2021, 47, 23110-23115.
- [43]. M. Klimek-Szczykutowicz, A. Szopa, and H. Ekiert, *Plants* 2020, 9, 119.
- [44]. A. J. Laghari, Z. Ibhupoto, A.L. Bhatti, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 2022, 48, 482-95.
- [45]. A. L. Bhatti, Z. Ibhupoto, A. Tahira, U. Aftab, *Electrochimica Acta* 2021, 398, 13933-38.
- [46]. U. Aftab, A. J. Laghari, Z. Ibhupoto, A.L. Bhatti, *Catalysis Science & Technology* 2019, 9, 6274-6284.
- [47]. Y.-T. Lu, Y.-J. Chien, C.-F. Liu, T.-H. You, and C.-C. Hu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 2017, 5, 21016-21026.
- [48]. Y.L. Tong, L. Xing, M. Z. Dai, and X. Wu, *Frontiers in Materials*, 2019, 6, 233.
- [49]. D.Y. Lei, X.D. Li, M.K. Seo, M.S. Khil, H.Y. Kim, B.S. Kim, *Polymer* 2017, 132, 31-40.
- [50]. J. Pu, J. Wang, X.Q. Jin, F.L. Cui, E. Sheng, Z.H. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, 106, 226-234.
- [51]. Y.Q. Wu, X.Y. Chen, P.T. Ji, Q.Q. Zhou, *Electrochim. Acta* 2011, 56, 7517-7522.
- [52]. N. Iqbal, X.F. Wang, A.A. Babar, J.Y. Yu, B. Ding, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2016, 476, 87-93.
- [53]. L. Chang, L. Mai, X. Xu, Q. An, Y. Zhao, D. Wang, and X. Feng, *RSC advances*, 2013, 3, 1947-1952.
- [54]. Z. Xu, J. Ren, Q. Meng, X. Zhang, C. Du, J. Chen, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2019, 7, 12447-12456.
- [55]. L. Liu, H. Zhang, J. Yang, Y. Mu, Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, 3, 22393-22403.
- [56]. Y. Liu, Z. Zhou, S. Zhang, W. Luo, G. Zhang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2018, 442, 711-719.
- [57]. Y. Liu, Q. Xu, R. Wang, Y. Zheng, L. Zhu, Z. Wang, W. Zheng, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2020, 8, 797-809.
- [58]. G. Zhang, Q. Qin, W. Luo, Y. Liu, C. Jin, J. Hao, J. Zhang, W. Zheng, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54, 4617-4620.

- [59]. Q. Xu, Y. Liu, Z. Tian, Y. Shi, Z. Wang, W. Zheng, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, 385, 1384-29.
- [60]. W. Li, X. Gao, D. Xiong, F. Wei, W.G. Song, J. Xu, L. Liu, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 16025-79.
- [61]. L. Birry, A. Lasia, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 2004, 34, 735–749.
- [62]. L. Liao, S. Wang, J. Xiao, X. Bian, Y. Zhang, M.D. Scanlon, X. Hu, Y. Tang, B. Liu, H. H. Girault, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 7, 387–392.

Supporting Information

Green synthetic route for transforming 1D NiCo₂O₄ nanorods into 0D nanoparticles: Advanced approach towards efficient asymmetric supercapacitor and water oxidation

Shusheel Kumar^a, Aneela Tahira^c, Adeel Liaquat Bhatti^a, Muhammad Ali Bhatti^d, Riaz Hussain Mari^a, Nek Muhammad Shaikh^a, Muhammad Yameen Solangi^c, Ayman Nafady^j, Mélanie Emo^f, Brigitte Vigolo^f, Antonia Infantes-Molina^g, Alberto Vomiero^{*h,i}, Zafar Hussain Ibupoto^{*b}

^aInstitute of Physics, University of Sindh Jamshoro, 76080, Sindh Pakistan

^bInstitute of Chemistry, University of Sindh Jamshoro, 76080, Sindh Pakistan

^cInstitute of Chemistry, Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Mirs, Sindh, Pakistan

^dInstitute of Environmental Sciences, University of Sindh Jamshoro, 76080, Sindh Pakistan

^eMehran University of Engineering and Technology, 7680 Jamshoro, Sindh Pakistan

^fUniversité de Lorraine, CNRS, IJL, F-54000 Nancy, France

^gDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry, Crystallography and Mineralogy. (Unidad Asociada al ICP-CSIC), Faculty of Sciences, University of Malaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071, Malaga, Spain

^hDepartment of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Division of Material Science, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

ⁱDepartment of Molecular Sciences and Nanosystems, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Venezia Mestre, Italy

^jChemistry Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding authors:** Alberto Vomiero and Zafar Hussain Ibupoto

Email: alberto.vomiero@unive.it, zaffar.ibhupoto@usindh.edu.pk

Calculation (S2): Calculation of supercapacitor of 1D NiCo₂O₄ nanorods and its 0D nanoparticles

The calculations of Specific Capacitance C_s and energy density was done according to the published work [1] using the following mathematical relations:

$$C_s = \frac{I \times \Delta t}{m \times \Delta V}$$

Specific capacitance is C_s , I as current, Δt discharge time, ΔV potential range, m mass of deposited material onto GCE

Whereas energy density was calculated as:

$$E_d = \frac{C_s \times (\Delta V^2)}{2}$$

E_d as energy density, specific capacitance as C_s , ΔV^2 change in potential

Power density was measured as follows [1]:

$$P_d = \frac{E}{\Delta t}$$

P_d represents the power density; E is the energy and Δt discharge time.

Asymmetric supercapacitor was fabricated using two electrodes set up involving positive electrode NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures prepared with 2 mL of lemon juice and negative electrode of activated carbon (AC) in 3.0M KOH electrolytic solution. Commercial activated carbon was used for the development of negative electrode during two electrode configuration for the asymmetric device system. The slurry of activated carbon was prepared by dispersing 17 mg of Ac into 3:1 volume of ethanol and the deionized water. In this mixture 100 μ L of 5% Nafion was also added. The well dispersed slurry was achieved by sonication for 10 min. The anode of NiCo_2O_4 and cathode of AC was developed onto GCE respectively. The asymmetric supercapacitor was described by following configuration of NiCo_2O_4 sample 2//AC ASC. The balancing of voltammetric charges for the prepared NiCo_2O_4 sample 2 and AC was done with calculation of masses of two electrode by following relationship as previously reported $Q_+ = Q_-$ equation [2].

$$m_+ m_- = C_{s-} \Delta V_- C_{s+} \Delta V_+$$

Here: m_{\pm} , $C_{s\pm}$, and ΔV_{\pm} describes the mass, specific capacitance C_s , and potential range of NiCo_2O_4 sample 2 (+) and AC (-) electrodes, respectively. The estimated total mass of m_+/m_-

was about 0.17 mg in NiCo₂O₄ sample 2//AC ASC. For the calculation of C_s, and energy density and power density the total mass was calculated as per the reported work [3].

$$C_s = \frac{4 \times I \times \Delta t}{m \times \Delta V}$$

C_s represents specific capacitance, I current, discharge time Δt, potential change ΔV, loaded mass as m.

Energy density was calculated as:


$$E_d = \frac{C_s \times (\Delta V^2)}{7.2}$$

E_d is energy, C_s as specific capacitance, potential variation ΔV²

The power density was determined by [2]:

$$P_d = \frac{C_s \times 3600}{\Delta t}$$

Here P_d is the power density, C_s is the specific capacitance and the discharge time is Δt



Scheme (S1): Chemical compounds in the lemon juice.




Figure S1: XRD diffraction patterns of sample 1 prepared with 0.5 mL of citrus lemon juice




Figure S2: SAED patterns of pure NiCo_2O_4 and lemon assisted NiCo_2O_4 nanostructures




Figure S3: TEM micrographs of pure (top) and lemon-assisted (bottom) NiCo_2O_4




Figure S4: HRTEM micrographs of pure (top) and lemon-assisted (bottom) NiCo_2O_4 with corresponding intensity profiles taken in blue squared area of HRTEM images




Figure S5: Various CV curves of activated carbon (AC) at various scan rates in 3.0M KOH, (b) GCD curves at different current density for AC, (c) specific capacitance of AC, (d) energy density and power density calculated values of AC




Figure S6: Various CV curves of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2) at different scan rates in 3.0M KOH, (b) GCD curves at different current density for NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), (c) Cycling stability of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), (d) specific capacitance of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2) from GCD curves, (e) Energy density of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), (f) Coulombic efficiency of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2) during cycling stability.




Figure S7: Different CV curves at various scan rates in 1.0M KOH for (a) pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, (b) NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), (c) NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3), (d) calculated ECSA from non-Faradic region of estimated CV curves for pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3).




Figure S8: Bar graph view (a) overpotential at 10 and 50 mAcm⁻² for RuO₂, pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3), (b) Tafel slope for RuO₂, pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3), (c) Energy density of supercapacitor for pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3), (d) specific capacitance (Cs) for pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2) and (sample 2).

Table S1:

| PURE | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Ni 2p3/2 | | | | | | | | |
| Band | Pos | PosSep | B_FWHM | FWHM | Height | %Gauss | Area | %Area |
| 1 | 854.03 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2730 | 90 | 5480 | 28.34 |
| 2 | 855.75 | 1.73 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 2442 | 100 | 4830 | 24.98 |
| 3 | 857.02 | 3 | 2.08 | 2.08 | 644 | 90 | 1492 | 7.72 |
| 4 | 861.11 | 7.08 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 1379 | 80 | 7532 | 38.96 |
| Co 2p3/2 | | | | | | | | |
| Band | Pos | PosSep | B_FWHM | FWHM | Height | %Gauss | Area | %Area |
| 1 | 779.54 | 0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 6105 | 80 | 15681 | 54.97 |
| 2 | 781.39 | 1.85 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 2627 | 80 | 6855 | 24.03 |
| 3 | 784.21 | 4.67 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 780 | 100 | 3781 | 13.25 |
| 4 | 788.93 | 9.39 | 4 | 4 | 495 | 90 | 2212 | 7.76 |
| O 1s | | | | | | | | |
| Band | Pos | PosSep | B_FWHM | FWHM | Height | %Gauss | Area | %Area |
| 1 | 529.43 | 0 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 9630 | 80 | 12480 | 61.02 |
| 2 | 531.13 | 1.7 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 3977 | 100 | 6509 | 31.82 |
| 3 | 532.69 | 3.26 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 820 | 90 | 1464 | 7.16 |
| 1L | | | | | | | | |
| Ni 2p3/2 | | | | | | | | |
| Band | Pos | PosSep | B_FWHM | FWHM | Height | %Gauss | Area | %Area |
| 1 | 853.95 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2230 | 100 | 4273 | 29.14 |
| 2 | 855.67 | 1.73 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1889 | 100 | 3619 | 24.69 |
| 3 | 856.9 | 2.95 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 521 | 100 | 944 | 6.44 |
| 4 | 860.85 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 978 | 96 | 5825 | 39.73 |
| Co 2p3/2 | | | | | | | | |
| Band | Pos | PosSep | B_FWHM | FWHM | Height | %Gauss | Area | %Area |
| 1 | 779.29 | 0 | 2.02 | 2.02 | 3274 | 100 | 7045 | 53.88 |
| 2 | 781.09 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1722 | 80 | 4617 | 35.31 |
| 3 | 784.07 | 4.79 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 192 | 100 | 918 | 7.02 |
| 4 | 788.81 | 9.52 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 101 | 100 | 494 | 3.78 |
| O 1s | | | | | | | | |
| Band | Pos | PosSep | B_FWHM | FWHM | Height | %Gauss | Area | %Area |
| 1 | 529.25 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 6083 | 90 | 8139 | 47.59 |
| 2 | 531.08 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.82 | 3078 | 90 | 6234 | 36.45 |
| 3 | 532.53 | 3.27 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1562 | 80 | 2731 | 15.96 |

Table S2: Calculated average crystallite size of pure NiCo₂O₄ and sample 1, sample 2 and sample 3.

| Sample ID | Height | FWHM | Crystalline size (nm) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| Pure NiCo ₂ O ₄ | 44.61 | 0.26 | 14 |
| Sample 1 | 36.84 | 0.28 | 16 |
| Sample 2 | 45.03 | 0.25 | 14 |
| Sample 3 | 44.06 | 0.12 | 18 |

Table S3: The calculated various supercapacitor indicators pure NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3)

| Samples | Current Density (Ag⁻¹) | Specific Capacitance (Fg⁻¹) | Energy Density (Wh kg⁻¹) | Power Density (W kg⁻¹) | Columbic Efficiency (%) | Capacitance Retention (%) |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sample 2 | 0.8 | 358 | 8 | 160 | 70% | 100-94% |
| | 0.85 | 284 | 6 | 167 | | |
| | 0.882 | 126 | 3 | 176 | | |
| | 0.94 | 118 | 3 | 188 | | |
| Sample 3 | 0.8 | 163 | 4 | 159 | 64% | 108-83% |
| | 0.85 | 154 | 3 | 169 | | |
| | 0.882 | 149 | 3 | 175 | | |
| | 0.94 | 60 | 1 | 183 | | |
| Pure (NiCo ₂ O ₄) | 0.8 | 117 | 2 | 156 | 43% | 90-61% |
| | 0.85 | 113 | 2 | 166 | | |
| | 0.882 | 112 | 2 | 171 | | |
| | 0.94 | 109 | 2 | 183 | | |

Table S4: Supercapacitor performance evaluation of as synthesized NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure with lemon juice (sample 2) with reported supercapacitor based on NiCo₂O₄

| Material | Specific Capacitance (Fg ⁻¹) | Current Density (Fg ⁻¹) | Potential Window | Energy Density (Wh kg ⁻¹) | Power Density (W kg ⁻¹) | Reference |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| NCO@MWCNT | 374 F/g | 2 A/g | -0.5 to 2.2V | 95 | 3964 | 4 |
| MWCNTs | 84 F/g | 0.6 A/g | -0.5 to 2.2V | 21 | 6237 | 4 |
| NCO//MWCNT | 157 F/g | 0.6 A/g | -0.5 to 2.2V | 40 | 2816 | 4 |
| NCO@MWCNT//MWCNT | 242 F/g | 0.6 A/g | -0.5 to 2.2V | 61 | 2837 | 4 |
| NiCoF | 50.0 | 1 A/g | 0 to 1 V | - | - | 5 |
| NiCuF | 44 | 1 A/g | 0 to 1 V | - | - | 5 |
| NC6 | 1294.25 | 10 A/g | 0.4 | - | - | 6 |
| NC10 | 687.20 | 10 A/g | 0.4 | - | - | 6 |
| Ni-Co-O-1 | 568 | 20 A g | 0 to 0.5 V | 19.72 | 5000 | 7 |
| Ni-Co-O-2 | 532 | 20 Ag | - | - | - | 7 |
| NiCo₂O₄ (Lemon juice) | 358 | 0.8 A/g | 0 to 0.4 V | 7.96 | 160 | This Work |

Table S5: Measured indicators for asymmetric supercapacitor using two electrode configuration of NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2) for practical applications

| Samples | Current Density (Ag ⁻¹) | Specific capacitance (Fg ⁻¹) | Energy density (Wh kg ⁻¹) | Power Density (W kg ⁻¹) | Columbic Efficiency (%) | Capacitance Retention (%) |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sample 2 | 0.8 | 1519 | 33 | 634 | 100-84% | 64-52% (900 Cycles) |
| | 0.85 | 1490 | 33 | 694 | | |
| | 0.882 | 1471 | 32 | 737 | | |
| | 0.94 | 1459 | 31 | 787 | | |

Table S6: Fitting values of EIS for charge transfer and double layer capacitance for pristine NiCo₂O₄ nanostructure, NiCo₂O₄ (sample 2), NiCo₂O₄ (sample 3)

| Samples | Charge Transform Resistance (Rct in Ω) | Double Layer Capacitor (Cdl in Farad) |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Pristine NiCo ₂ O ₄ | 968 | 0.32 |
| Sample 2 | 165 | 2.28 |
| Sample 3 | 547 | 0.74 |

Table S7: The summary of OER activity performance of lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ nanostructures with recently reported electrocatalysts in 1.0 M KOH.

| Catalyst | Over potential (mV) @ 10 mA/cm ² | Electrolyte | References |
|--|---|------------------|---------------------|
| NiCo ₂ O ₄ @Graphene Nano sheets | 383 | 1.0 M KOH | 8 |
| NiO _x /NiCo ₂ O ₄ /Co ₃ O ₄ | 315 | 1.0 M KOH | 9 |
| NiCo ₂ O ₄ /CoO/graphite | 323 | 1.0 M KOH | 10 |
| MOF derived-NiCo ₂ O ₄ /NiO | 430 | 1.0 M KOH | 11 |
| P-doped NiCo ₂ O ₄ on Ni-Foam | 300 | 1.0 M KOH | 12 |
| Co ₈ FeS ₈ /CoS@CNT | 278 | 1.0 M KOH | 13 |
| CoS _x /MoS ₂ | 347 | 1.0 M KOH | 14 |
| NiCo ₂ O ₄ /NiO | 360 | 1.0 M KOH | 15 |
| Co _x Ni _{1-x} S ₂ (CNS)/RGO | 290 | 1.0 M KOH | 16 |
| 3D core-shell NiCo ₂ O ₄ @CoS/NF | 290 | 1.0 M KOH | 17 |
| CoFe/Co ₈ FeS ₈ /CNT | 290 | 1.0 M KOH | 18 |
| NiCo ₂ O ₄ /NiO hexagonal rods | 285 | 1.0 M KOH | 19 |
| Ni ₃ S ₂ /MoS ₂ (NiMoS) | 260 | 1.0 M KOH | 20 |
| Ni ₃ N-NiMoN | 277 | 1.0 M KOH | 21 |
| Co ₃ O ₄ @CMC | 290 | 1.0 M KOH | 22 |
| Lemon juice assisted NiCo₂O₄ material | 250 | 1.0 M KOH | Present Work |

References

- [1] E. Jokar & A. zad & S. Shahrokhian, *J Solid State Electrochem* 2015, 19, 269–274.
- [2] Y. Liu, Y. Zheng, Q. Xu, Y. Shi, Z. Tian, R. wang, G. Zhang, J. Chen, Z. Wang, W. Zheng, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 387, 12412-21.
- [3] C.Young, R. R. Salunkhe, S. M. Alshehri, T. Ahamad, Z. Huang, J. Henzie, and Y. Yamauchi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 11834-11839.
- [4] M. Pathak, J. R. Jose, B. Chakraborty, and C. S. Rout, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2020, 152, 064706.
- [5] B. Bhujun, M. T. Tan, and A. S. Shanmugam, *Results in Physics*, 2017, 7, 345-353.
- [6] M. Kaur, P. Chand, and H. Anand, *Journal of Energy Storage*, 2022, 52, 104941.
- [7] H. Wang, Q. Gao, and L. Jiang, *small*, 2011, 7, 2454-2459.
- [8] Z. Li, B. Li, J. Chen, Q. Pang, P. Shen. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy.*, 2019, 44, 16120–16131.
- [9] J. Chen, Y. Ling, Z. Lu, X. Huai, F. Qin, Z. Zhang. *Electrochim. Acta.* 2019, 322, 134753.
- [10] N. Srinivasa, L. Shreenivasa, P.S. Adarakatti, J.P. Hughes, S.J. Rowley-Neale, C.E. Banks, S. Ashoka, *RSC Adv.* 2019, 9, 24995–25002.
- [11] Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, X. Liu, F. Ding, P. Zou, X. Wang, Q. Zhao, H. Rao. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2018, 6, 12511–12521.
- [12] W. Chu, Z. Shi, Y. Hou, D. Ma, X. Bai, Y. Gao, N. Yang. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, 12, 2763–2772.
- [13] B. Wang, Y. Chen, X. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Hu, B. Yu, D. Yang, W. Zhang. *J. Power Sources.* 2020, 449, 227561.
- [14] L. Yang, L. Zhang, G. Xu, X. Ma, W. Wang, H. Song, D. Jia. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2018, 6, 12961–12968.
- [15] C. Mahala, M. Basu. *ACS Omega* 2017, 2, 7559–7567.
- [16] Y.-R. Hong, S. Mhin, K.-M. Kim, W.-S. Han, H. Choi, G. Ali, K.Y. Chung, H.J. Lee, S.-I. Moon, S. Dutta, S. Sun, Y.-G. Jung, T. Song, H. Han. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2019, 7, 3592–3602.
- [17] S. Adhikari, Y. Kwon, and D. H. Kim. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2020, 402, 126192.

- [18] B. Wang, Y. Hu, B. Yu, X. Zhang, D. Yang, Y. Chen. *J. Power Sources.*, 2019, 433, 126688.
- [19] Y. Yuan, L. Sun, Y. Li, W. Zhan, X. Wang, X. Han, *Inorg. Chem.* 2020, 59, 4080–4089.
- [20] C. Wang, X. Shao, J. Pan, J. Hu, X. Xu. *Appl. Catal. B.*, 2020, 268, 118435.
- [21] A. Wu, Y. Xie, H. Ma, C. Tian, Y. Gu, H. Yan, X. Zhang, G. Yang, and H. Fu. *Nano Energy*, 2018, 44, 353-363.
- [22] A. L. Bhatti, A. Tahira, A. Gradone, R. Mazzaro, V. Morandi, M.I. Abro, A. Nafady, K. Qi. *Electrochimica Acta*, 2021, 398, 139338.