

ΙΝΕΟΙΤ

open INnovation Ecosystems for Do It Together process

D4.2. SPECIFICATION OF EACH PHYSICAL DEMONSTRATOR (OPEN MANUFACTURING)

Version 3

January 2022

WP4.2









	Work Package:			4	
	Type of document:			Report	
	Due Delivery Date:			End of January 2021	
	Actual Delivery Date:			January 2022	
Responsible:	UL				
Dissemination Level	Confidential				
Title:	Specifications for Open Manufacturing Demonstration Facilities				
Description:	Describe and define the needs of each open manufacturing demonstrator Determine the specification of a common basis for each demonstrator Modelling of the demonstrator				
Version	3				
Contributors	Versions	Dates	Revision Descri	ption	
UL	1.1	5/01/2021			
UL, ENSAM	1.2	8/02/2021	Restructuring the report	he report to reduce the main part of	
CRIT, ENSAM, FIR, HUAS, SCM, SUPSI, TTS, UNINOVA	1.3	21/02/2021	Minor corrections, and identification of specific questions		
SCM, VERA, AIMEN, CROWD, ENSAM, SUPSI, TTS, TTPSC, UL	1.4	8/03/2021	Clarification on	use case 1 and in section 7	
UL	1.5	15/03/2021	Final revised version based on the consortium reviews		
UL		26/05/2021	Reduce version	according to the reviewers' advice.	
	2.1		from D4.2 V1 consistent with	we have enriched D4.1 which is T4.1	
			and we have produced a working document for the consortium to follow up the development of the demonstrator		
UL based on Consortium meetings	2.2	15/07/2021	Identification of misunderstandings that question the reviewers; addition of clarification or rewording		
UL based on regular interaction with consortium and M25 physical meeting	3.0	3/01/2022	demonstrator	the construction methods of the through all the WPs and new e appropriation by the consortium	





Scientific editor:

Dr. Laurent DUPONT, WP4 leader – ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8279-9690</u> Université de Lorraine, ERPI, F-54 000 Nancy, France Contact: l.dupont@univ-lorraine.fr

Contributors (in alphabetical order):

Dr. Laurent DUPONT¹, Fedoua KASMI¹, Dr. Brunelle MARCHE¹, Dr. Frédérique MAYER¹

¹Université de Lorraine, ERPI, F-54 000 Nancy, France

Acknowledgment:

The authors thank the INEDIT consortium, in particular Benjamin POUSSARD (ENSAM) for proofreading and advice on restructuring the document, Mauricio CAMARGO (UL) for designing and helping in the facilitation of the M25 physical meeting, and the EU reviewers for their valuable comments.

How to cite:

Brunelle Marche, Frédérique Mayer, Fedoua Kasmi, Laurent Dupont. Specifications for Open Manufacturing Demonstration Facilities. INEDIT Project - Deliverable 4.2, European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme; Université de Lorraine. 2022, pp.111. (hal-04257027)

Disclaimer

This document is provided « as is » with no warranties whatsoever, including any warranty or merchantability, non-infringement, fitness for any particular purpose, or any warranty otherwise arising out of any proposal, specification or sample. No license, express or implied, by estoppels or otherwise, to any intellectual property rights are granted herein. The members of the project INEDIT do not accept any liability for actions or omissions of INEDIT members or third parties and disclaim any obligation to enforce the use of this document.

This document reflects only the authors' view and the Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. This document is subject to change without notice.





Summary

E)	ECUTIV	E SUMMARY	5
1.	INT	RODUCTION	8
2.	THE	ROLE OF THE DEMONSTRATION IN THE DIT DEPLOYMENT	13
	2.1.	The Role of the Demonstration at the Industrial Scale	13
	2.2.	FROM TECHNOLOGICAL DEMONSTRATOR TO INDUSTRIAL DEMONSTRATOR	14
3.	ME	THOD FOR DEFINING THE INEDIT OMD	18
	3.1.	THE EXTERNAL FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS	19
	3.2.	THE INTERNAL FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS	22
4.	DEF	INITION OF THE EXTERNAL PROPERTIES OF THE INEDIT OMD	28
	4.1.	THE EXPRESSION AND VALIDATION OF THE BASIC NEED	28
	4.2.	THE DETERMINATION OF STUDY OBJECTS, SYSTEM BOUNDARIES AND ITS LIFE SITUATION	30
	4.3.	DETERMINATION OF THE EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENT	31
	4.4.	THE DETERMINATION OF THE EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENT AND SERVICE FUNCTIONS	31
	4.5.	THE CHARACTERIZATION OF SERVICE FUNCTIONS	32
	4.6.	CONCLUSION OF THE EXTERNAL FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS	37
5.	мо	DELLING OF THE OPEN MANUFACTURING DEMONSTRATOR	40
	5.1.	ELICITATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE DIT REQUIREMENTS	40
	5.2.	WHAT ARE THE FUNCTIONS OF THE DEMONSTRATOR?	42
	5.3.	THE FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION	43
6.	SPE	CIFICATIONS FOR EACH USE CASE	44
	6.1.	PF1. DESIGN A CUSTOMIZED PRODUCT FOR USERS WITH THE HELP OF OTHER SPECIALIZED STAKEHOLDERS.	52
	6.2.	PF2. CO-DESIGN WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS AND USERS USING THE PLATFORM	
	6.3.	PF3. PRODUCE A CUSTOMIZED PRODUCT FOR USERS WITH THE HELP OF OTHER SPECIALIZED STAKEHOLDERS.	70
	6.4.	PF4. PRODUCE IN NETWORK WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS USING THE PLATFORM	82
	6.5.	PF5. PROVIDE SERVICES TO USERS THROUGH THE PLATFORM	87
	6.6.	SF1. Provide manufacturing data to the platform	91
	6.7.	SF2. SHARE ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES TO THE OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	94
	6.8.	SF3. SHARE MANUFACTURING DATA FOR THE DESIGN TO THE USERS	96
	6.9.	SF4. INSERT INTO THE TERRITORY	100
	6.10.	CF1. ADJUST TO THE PILLARS OF THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY	104
	6.11.	UNIMPLEMENTED SUB-FUNCTIONS	108
7.	CON	ICLUSION	109
8.	REF	ERENCES	111





List of figures

Figure 1: INEDIT strategy to design a robust OMDF based on interconnected WPs (part a) and [Moultrie 2015] r	nodel	
(part b)	6	
Figure 2: Links between the different INEDIT tasks and their status in June 2021	7	
Figure 3: Representation of the OMD (Digital & physical platforms/networks) and its 4 OMDFs	8	
Figure 4: Deployment process of the OMD and its OMDFs	9	
Figure 5: The OMDF Development Model (based on D2.3)	10	
Figure 6: Process of WP4	11	
Figure 7: Structure of the document	12	
Figure 8: Industrial life cycle (Source Phaal et al., 2011)	13	
Figure 9: INEDIT strategy, linking technological demonstrator and industrial demonstrator (adapted from		
[Moultrie 2015])	15	
Figure 10: Summarize of the T4.2 followed method	18	
Figure 11: Relationship between the different components generated by SysML (adapted from Harmony for sys	tems	
Engineering method)	24	
Figure 12: Expression of the needs in the form of a horned beast	28	
Figure 13: Determination of the external environment and the service functions of the demonstrator	32	
Figure 14: Objective of the Open Manufacturing Demonstrator	39	
Figure 15: Elicitation and analysis of the DIT requirements	40	
Figure 16: example of functional specification of the DIT	43	
Figure 17: Reading framework for the functional specification	43	
Figure 18: Location of the different use cases	44	
Figure 19: Use cases positioning on the technology map (task 2.3)	45	
Figure 20: Development of the co-creation side of the demonstrator in the INEDIT project	46	
Figure 21: Contributions of Veragouth Sa/SCM, AIMEN, UL, UNINOVA to the testing of the DIT process	47	
Figure 22: WP4 INEDIT Phygital workshop at Lugano (5 November 2021) using Mural app	47	
Figure 23: Mural capitalization showing the implication of the consortium members in INEDIT OMDF (November 2021)		
	50	

List of tables

Table 1: Technology Readiness Level (TRL) scale in European Union	14
Table 2: Summarize of the TRL strategy in INEDIT project	
Table 3: Purposes of an industrial demonstrator within the scientific literature	16
Table 4: Purpose of the industrial demonstrator within INEDIT project	17
Table 5: Response of each pillar in the design of the demonstrator	23
Table 6: Adopted method to model the INEDIT Open Manufacturing Demonstrator	27
Table 7: Consider the five dimensions of INEDIT OMD	37
Table 8: Description of the Stakeholder Requirements	41
Table 9: Development of the co-creation side of the demonstrator in the INEDIT project	47





Executive summary

Description of the tasks 4.2 from the proposal

Task 4.2: Model the ideal framework supporting OMDF specifications for furniture

Based on the information collected from the different use cases [*(lead by SME, Large company or RTD Centres)* and previous tasks involving various stakeholders and communities (customers, multipliers, makers, scientists, etc.)], this step aims to model the demonstrator according to different views: requirements, functionalities, processes and behaviours, these views being linked to each other in order to obtain consistent results. The partners in charge of a demonstrator (use cases) validate each model obtained to ensure step by step that the final specifications will be consistent with the vision established previously. It will comprise a design approach to integrate IoT components, for sensing and connectivity in an OMDF.

Starting from global Open Manufacturing Demonstrator (OMD) concept to the four INEDIT Open Manufacturing Demonstration Facilities (OMDFs), this report goes back over the scientific concepts underlying the demonstrator in order to establish the modalities of a transition to action around the DIT approach, both at the technical level and at the level of the sector (manufacturing and use network). This document, which is the result of several iterations, consists of 3 parts:

The first part presents the motivations for deploying an industrial demonstrator that integrates both the technologies and the manufacturing network

- § 2- Role of the demonstration in the DIT deployment
- § 3- Methodology for defining the Open manufacturing Demonstrator

The second part focuses on the definition of the Open Manufacturing Demonstrator (OMD), i.e. the ideal state expected for demonstrating the DIT approach and its modelling:

- § 4- Definition of the external properties of the INEDIT Open Manufacturing Demonstrator
- § 5- Modelling the Open Manufacturing Demonstrator

Then, the third part describes the specifications of each demonstrator based on the modelling:

• § 6- Specifications for Open Manufacturing Demonstration Facilities (OMDF)

The Figure 1 (part a) shows how WP4 fits into the overall INEDIT project at the very beginning in October 2019 (INEDIT proposal). If WP4 allows to go into the precise modalities of the design, the development and the implementation of a demonstrator, Figure 1 (part b) underlines that all the WPs contribute to the creation and the functioning of the INEDIT OMDF. As described in section 2.2 of this report, we adapted the