

When to use either spironolactone, eplerenone or finerenone in the spectrum of cardiorenal diseases

Masatake Kobayashi, Nicolas Girerd, Faiez Zannad

▶ To cite this version:

Masatake Kobayashi, Nicolas Girerd, Faiez Zannad. When to use either spironolactone, eplerenone or finerenone in the spectrum of cardiorenal diseases. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, 2024, 10.1093/ndt/gfae004. hal-04384731

HAL Id: hal-04384731 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04384731v1

Submitted on 10 Jan2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



2	Review / Special Report format
3	
4	Title:
5	When to use either spironolactone, eplerenone or finerenone in the spectrum of cardiorenal
6	diseases
7	Authors:
8	Masatake Kobayashi ^{1,2} , Nicolas Girerd ¹ , Faiez Zannad ¹
9	
10	Affiliations:
11	1. Université de Lorraine, INSERM, Centre d'Investigations Cliniques 1433, CHRU de
12	Nancy, Inserm 1116 and INI-CRCT (Cardiovascular and Renal Clinical Trialists) F-CRIN
13	Network, Nancy, France.
14	2. Department of Cardiology, Tokyo medical university, Tokyo, Japan.
15	
16	Correspondence to:
17	Faiez Zannad
18	Centre d'Investigation Clinique 1433 module Plurithématique, CHRU Nancy - Hopitaux de
19	Brabois, Institut Lorrain du Cœur et des Vaisseaux Louis Mathieu, Nancy, 54500, France
20	Email: f.zannad@chru-nancy.fr
21	Word count (maximum 3500 words): 3500
22	Number of figures, tables, references: 1 figure, 2 tables and 50 references
23	Running head: spironolactone, eplerenone or finerenone in cardiorenal diseases

1 Data availability statement:

2 No data were generated or analyzed in the current research.

3 Funding:

4 None declared.

5 Authors' contributions:

MK and NG were both mutually involved in the planning, outline, drafting of preliminary
drafts, design of graphics, and all the revisions of the manuscript. FZ was also involved in
revising the manuscript.

9 Conflict of interest statement:

- 10 NG and FZ are supported by the French National Research Agency Fighting Heart Failure
- 11 (ANR-15-RHU-0004), by the French PIA project Lorraine Université d'Excellence
- 12 GEENAGE (ANR-15-IDEX-04-LUE) programs, and the Contrat de Plan Etat Région
- 13 Lorraine and FEDER IT2MP. NG received consulting fees from Novartis, AstraZeneka and
- 14 Boehringer. FZ reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim; personal fees from Janssen,
- 15 Novartis, Boston Scientific, Amgen, CVRx, AstraZeneca, Vifor Fresenius, Cardior, Cereno
- 16 Pharmaceutical, Applied Therapeutics, Merck, Bayer and Cellprothera outside the submitted
- 17 work; and other support from CVCT and Cardiorenal, outside the submitted work.

1 Abstract

2	Kidney disease frequently coexists with cardiovascular diseases, and this dual
3	presence significantly amplifies the risk of adverse clinical outcomes. Shared
4	pathophysiological mechanisms and common cardiovascular risk factors contribute to the
5	increased expression of mineralocorticoid receptors, which in turn can drive the progression
6	of chronic cardiovascular-kidney disorder. The steroidal mineralocorticoid receptor
7	antagonists (MRAs) spironolactone and eplerenone have demonstrated the efficacy in
8	improving patient outcomes in cases of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction or those
9	after a myocardial infarction, but have limited value in patients with chronic kidney disease.
10	The non-steroidal MRA finerenone has now established itself as a foundational guideline-
11	recommended therapy in patients with diabetic kidney disease.
12	To date, these pharmacological agents have been developed in distinct patient
13	populations. The consequences of their distinct pharmacological profiles necessitate further
	populations. The consequences of their distinct pharmacological promes necessitate further
14	consideration. They have not undergone testing across the entire spectrum of cardiorenal
14	consideration. They have not undergone testing across the entire spectrum of cardiorenal
14 15	consideration. They have not undergone testing across the entire spectrum of cardiorenal scenarios, and the evidence base is currently being complemented with ongoing trials.
14 15 16	consideration. They have not undergone testing across the entire spectrum of cardiorenal scenarios, and the evidence base is currently being complemented with ongoing trials. In this review, we aim to synthesize the existing body of evidence and chart the future
14 15 16 17	consideration. They have not undergone testing across the entire spectrum of cardiorenal scenarios, and the evidence base is currently being complemented with ongoing trials. In this review, we aim to synthesize the existing body of evidence and chart the future trajectory for the use of spironolactone, eplerenone and finerenone in improving clinical
14 15 16 17 18	consideration. They have not undergone testing across the entire spectrum of cardiorenal scenarios, and the evidence base is currently being complemented with ongoing trials. In this review, we aim to synthesize the existing body of evidence and chart the future trajectory for the use of spironolactone, eplerenone and finerenone in improving clinical outcomes across the diverse spectrum of cardiorenal diseases. By consolidating the current

21

22 Keywords

23 kidney disease, heart failure, diabetes mellitus, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist,

24 aldosterone

1 Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) often coexists with cardiovascular (CV) and metabolic 2 disorders such as hypertension, diabetes, myocardial infarction (MI) and heart failure (HF)(1). 3 4 CV disease (CVD) and CKD share common risk factors and pathophysiological mechanisms. Both conditions may be consubstantial with "two sides of the same coin", and part of a single 5 6 entity, a "Chronic cardiovascular-kidney disorder" (CCV-KD)(2), as the result of the 7 confluence of cardiovascular-kidney-metabolic risk(3). CVD is responsible for over 50% of mortality in CKD, and simultaneous presence of CKD and CVD leads to a worse prognosis 8 9 than each condition separately(3). The cumulative risk resulting from two conditions is 10 substantial, possibly making CCV-KD the leading cause of mortality worldwide(4). Shared risk factors and pathophysiology, and disease mechanistic pathways of 11 12 inflammation and oxidative stress-induced endothelial dysfunction, promoting fibrosis within cardiac and renal tissues, contribute to the progression of CCV-KD, and may be mitigated by 13 14 renin angiotensin system inhibitors (RASis), sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors 15 (SGLT2is) and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs)(1,5). MRAs are a fundamental evidence-based therapy for patients with HF and reduced 16 ejection fraction (HFrEF)(6,7), those with left ventricular dysfunction after MI(8), and those 17 with resistant hypertension(9). Their impact on patients with heart failure and preserved 18 19 ejection fraction (HFpEF) presents a challenge due to the intricate interpretation of the 20 Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure with an Aldosterone Antagonist (TOPCAT) trial(10,11). In addition, finerenone, a non-steroidal MRA, has been shown to 21 decrease the risk of cardiorenal outcomes in patients with CKD and type 2 diabetes 22

23 (T2D)(12,13).

In this article, our objective is to offer an overview of the present status and
 prospective advancements of spironolactone, eplerenone, and finerenone in patients with
 CCV-KD.

4

5 I/ Chronic Kidney Disease in Cardiovascular Disease and its impact on MRA use

Kidney disease significantly elevates the risk of developing CVD. Once CVD 6 7 develops, the adverse outcomes in patients with CKD likely stem from the bidirectional 8 relationship between the kidney and heart(1). A progressively renal function decline may 9 increase the risk of cardiovascular mortality and progression of CVD. For instance, in acute or chronic HF, risk of HF-related events increases as renal function declines. Hypertensive 10 patients with more advanced CKD often face more challenging blood pressure (BP) control. 11 12 Conversely, CVD progression, such as recurrent HF exacerbations in HF patients, recurrent ischemic attacks in individuals with MI, and uncontrolled BP in hypertensive patients, can 13 gradually deteriorate renal function. According to the concept as a single CCV-KD entity, 14 depending on various combinations of risk factors and precipitants, patients with CCV-KD 15 may present initially with CVD or with hallmarks of CKD, but have already both organs 16 being altered. When screened for CKD, most patients with CVD will be found to have a 17 decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and/or albuminuria. When screened for 18 CVD, reciprocally, most patients with CKD will be found to have elevated natriuretic peptide 19 20 levels and/or echocardiographic hallmarks of progressing HF.

Increased serum creatinine levels after the initiation of MRAs are caused by renal hemodynamic effects, and may not adversely impact prognosis(14). However, patients with advanced CKD are more prone to "pseudo-worsening renal function (WRF)," potentially hindering the maintenance of MRA therapy. A U.S.-based HF registry, which encompassed 157,439 patients with HF and a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)<40%, revealed that

over 60% of these patients also had CKD. There was obvious decrease in prescription rates of 1 MRAs with lower eGFR categories: 45%, 40%, 35%, 26%, 14%, and 5% for eGFR ≥90, 60 to 2 89, 45 to 59, 30 to 44, <30ml/min/1.73m² and dialysis, respectively(15). In the Swedish HF 3 Registry, about half of patients with HFrEF had concurrent CKD. Patients with lower eGFR 4 were less likely to be initiated or continue MRAs: 41%, 39%, 31% and 20% of patients for 5 eGFR $\geq 60, 45$ to 59, 30 to 44, and < 30 ml/min/1.73m², respectively. Furthermore, among 6 patients who stopped MRAs, those with lower eGFR were less likely to be restarted(16). In 7 8 another report from the Swedish HF Registry, low creatinine clearance (<30 or 30 to 60 vs. \geq 60ml/min) was a major reason for MRA non-use, independent of clinical confounders (*i.e.*, 9 10 the use of RASi and baseline potassium level)(17).

11

12 II/ Aldosterone and MR Activation in Cardiorenal Diseases

Aldosterone is an important contributor to the pathophysiology of HF, which was 13 initially thought to originate solely from the adrenal cortex and activate the mineralocorticoid 14 receptor (MR) in the distal nephron of the kidney. Actually, kidney, heart, visceral adipocytes 15 and many other tissues have also been shown to produce aldosterone outside of the adrenals. 16 MR is expressed in the kidney (podocytes, endothelial cells and mesangial cells), the heart 17 18 (cardiomyocyte and fibroblasts), and multiple other tissues, regulating a large variety of functions(18). Elevated aldosterone levels or MR activation, often seen in aging, diabetes, 19 hypertension, and obesity, may contribute to an increased risk of CCV-KD progression, by 20 initiating a series of mechanisms including inflammation- and oxidative stress-induced 21 endothelial dysfunction. A study with 3,680 CKD patients showed that higher serum 22 23 aldosterone was associated with lower initial kidney function. Doubling of serum aldosterone was linked to an 11% increased risk of CKD progression (i.e., 50% decline in eGFR, chronic 24

dialysis or kidney transplant) independent of baseline kidney function and 24-hour urine
 protein during a median follow-up of 9.6 years(19).

Circulating aldosterone levels are also associated with adverse myocardial
remodeling, and with increased risk of clinical events in hospitalized or ambulatory patients
with HF(20,21) or those with post-MI(22). In patients with worsening HF, higher serum
aldosterone on admission was associated with a higher risk of post-discharge rehospitalization
or cardiovascular death(20).

8

9 III/ Comparative Pharmacology of Spironolactone, Eplerenone and Finerenone

10

Steroidal MRAs: Spironolactone and Eplerenone

Historically, spironolactone was the first MRA to be used for therapeutic purposes. 11 Spironolactone is the least specific MRA, as it also binds to progesterone and androgen 12 receptors, leading to hormonal adverse effects such as gynecomastia and impotence in men, 13 14 and menstrual irregularities and breast tenderness in women. Spironolactone is a pro-drug, 15 and is converted mainly to canrenone as several other biologically active metabolites, which have a longer half-life of >20 hours and can accumulate over time(23). After discontinuing 16 spironolactone, up to 3 weeks, the urinary levels of its metabolites were reported to be 17 18 detectable in 36% of patients with moderate CKD(24). In contrast, eplerenone is more specific to MR receptors and has a much shorter half-life of 4-6 hours. These intrinsic 19 differences impact the drug tolerability but also adequacy to disease treatment (the stability of 20 spironolactone may benefit the long-term treatment of hypertension, while the rapid action of 21 eplerenone may be preferable in acute conditions such as decompensated HF(25))(Table 1). 22

23 The non-steroidal MRAs

24 Finerenone, compared to Spironolactone and Eplerenone

Finerenone has different pharmacological characteristics (*i.e.*, tissue distribution, 1 2 half-life, affinity, and specificity), with no steroidal-related adverse effects(Table 1). Of note, in an experimental model with radio-labeled rats, finerenone was equally distributed between 3 the kidney and heart, while spironolactone and eplerenone prominently staved in the 4 kidney(26). Finerenone may have a lower risk of hyperkalemia, as it has a milder impact on 5 6 kidney electrolyte transport. The relatively weak anti-hypertensive effect of finerenone may 7 be explained by its very short half-life (~ 2.5 hours) and low lipophilic properties, which support that finerenone may not cross blood-brain barrier and activate the hypothalamic MR 8 in the brain, as a crucial role in MR-related BP control(27). Furthermore, finerenone has 9 10 different co-factor recruitment after MR binding compared with steroidal MRAs, potentially impacting gene expression and leading to diverse anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic effects. 11 In pre-clinical study, finerenone outperformed eplerenone, reducing kidney hypertrophy, 12 13 proteinuria, renal pro-inflammatory and fibrotic associated gene expression despite equivalent natriuretic doses(26). 14

15

16 IV/ Potential Mechanism of Benefits of MRAs

17 Spironolactone and Eplerenone

Briefly, the mechanisms underlying the cardiovascular benefits from spironolactone 18 or eplerenone has been underpinned by their anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic effects. In a 19 20 sub-study of the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES) trial including 151 patients with HFrEF, spironolactone lowered serum marker of type III myocardial fibrosis 21 22 over 6 months, and the efficacy of spironolactone was more marked among patients with high levels of the fibrotic marker(28). In the Heart OMics in Aging (HOMAGE) trial including 527 23 patients at risk of HF, spironolactone vs. control lowered serum marker of type I myocardial 24 25 fibrosis, as well as improved ventricular remodeling over 9 months(29). In the Aldosterone

Receptor Blockade in Diastolic Heart Failure (ALDO-DHF) trial including 422 patients with
 HFpEF and mild HF symptoms, spironolactone vs. placebo improved diastolic dysfunction,
 decreased left ventricular mass, and natriuretic peptide levels(30).

4 Finerenone Compared to Spironolactone or Eplerenone

5 Cardiorenal effects of finerenone compared with spironolactone or eplerenone have been explored in the Phase II Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist Tolerability Study 6 7 (ARTS) program. In the ARTS trial including 392 patients with HFrEF and moderate CKD, 8 finerenone was associated with significantly smaller mean increases in serum potassium and less decline in eGFR than spironolactone, regardless of the doses of finerenone(31). In the 9 ARTS-HF trial including 1,066 patients with HFrEF who had either CKD or T2D, over 90 10 days, finerenone lowered natriuretic peptide levels comparably to eplerenone(32). In the 11 12 ARTS-DN trial of 823 patients with T2D and CKD randomized to receive either finerenone or placebo, finerenone 7.5-20 mg/day reduced urine albumin creatinine ratio (UACR) in a 13 14 dose-dependent manner compared with placebo(33). Furthermore, in a post-hoc analysis of 15 ARTS-DN trial, despite its short half-life, finerenone persistently reduced BP throughout the entire 24-hour period when administered once daily in the morning(34). 16

Aside from few comparisons between MRAs, based on clinical outcomes, there has
been a lack of direct biological comparisons, such as utilizing biological methods to assess
fibrosis and protein pathways, within clinical studies.

20

21 V/ Major MRAs Cardio-Kidney Outcome Trials

22 Spironolactone and Eplerenone in Cardiovascular Trials

```
23 Hypertension
```

The PATHWAY-2 trial demonstrated that, over a 12-week duration, spironolactone
25-50mg/day decreased systolic BP by approximately 15 mmHg among patients with resistant
hypertension and an eGFR above 45mL/min/1.73m². Notably, spironolactone exhibited a
greater BP-lowering effect compared to bisoprolol (5-10mg/day) and doxazosin modified
release (4-8mg/day)(9). The effect was more pronounced in patients with lower plasma renin,
which was explained by salt-retaining and hyper-aldosterone state.

In patients with essential hypertension, over 9-month period, eplerenone 200mg/day
was associated with a significant decline in BP, and regression of left ventricular mass,
comparable to enalapril 40mg/day. Notably, when eplerenone and enalapril were combined,
their anti-hypertensive and anti-remodeling effects were enhanced(35).

11 Heart Failure

In the RALES trial where 1,663 patients with HFrEF and severe HF symptoms were 12 included, spironolactone vs. placebo reduced the risk of all-cause death by 30% (Table 2). 13 However, rates of breast pain and gynecomastia in men were increased with 14 15 spironolactone(6). The subsequent large trial of eplerenone was conducted for HFrEF with mild HF symptoms in the Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization and Survival Study in 16 17 Heart Failure (EMPHASIS-HF, N=2737) trial, showing consistent cardiovascular benefits from eplerenone in patients with HFrEF(7)(Table 2). 18 19 In the TOPCAT trial involving 3,455 patients with symptomatic HFpEF, spironolactone did not reduce the primary cardiovascular outcome, but lowered HF 20 hospitalization rates(10). Subsequent analysis revealed geographic variations, with Russia and 21 22 Georgia not representing an authentic HFpEF population. Among the remaining patients from the United States, Canada, Brazil, and Argentina, spironolactone reduced the risk of primary 23 outcome(11). Nevertheless, given the complexity in interpreting the trial results, the ongoing 24 25 Spironolactone In The Treatment of Heart Failure (SPIRIT-HF, NCT04727073) and the

Spironolactone Initiation Registry Randomized Interventional Trial in Heart Failure With
 Preserved Ejection Fraction (SPIRRIT, NCT02901184) trials hold the promise of furnishing
 more conclusive and definitive evidence.

4 **Post Myocardial Infarction**

5 In 6,642 patients with a LVEF <40% following MI in the Eplerenone Post-Acute Myocardial Infarction Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study (EPHESUS) trial, 6 7 eplerenone vs. placebo reduced the risk of primary cardiovascular outcome(8)(Table 2). Subsequently, eplerenone was studied in patients with acute ST-elevation MI without HF in 8 the Impact Of Eplerenone On Cardiovascular Outcomes In Patients Post Myocardial 9 Infarction (REMINDER, N=1,012) trial. Eplerenone vs. placebo significantly reduced the rate 10 of the primary cardiovascular outcome, primarily due to reduced natriuretic peptide 11 12 levels(36). Finally, in the Aldosterone Lethal effects Blocked in Acute MI Treated with or without Reperfusion to improve Outcome and Survival at Six months follow-up 13 14 (ALBATROS) trial involving 1,603 patients with acute MI, an MRA regimen (i.e., a 15 potassium canrenoate iv plus spironolactone) vs. control had neutral associations with the primary outcome(Table 2). However, mortality risk decreased in patients with ST-elevated MI 16 who received the MRA regimen, compared with standard therapy only (P-for-17 interaction=0.01)(37). 18

19 Spironolactone and Eplerenone and Outcomes in CKD patients

Generally, cardioprotective effects of spironolactone or eplerenone appear
maintained even among those who decline renal function shortly after initiation of MRA
therapy. In a post-hoc analysis of the RALES trial, patients randomized to spironolactone
experienced more frequently WRF (>30% decline in eGFR) by week 12. However, their allcause mortality risk was not higher, whereas WRF in the placebo group increased a risk of allcause mortality (P-for-interaction=0.009)(38). Comparable findings were reported in pot-hoc

analyses of the EPHESUS, EMPHASIS-HF and TOPCAT trials, and cardioprotective effects 1 of MRA therapy persisted irrespective of any definitions of WRF(39-41). In EMPHASIS-HF, 2 modifying the eplerenone dose based on renal function showed a consistent treatment effect 3 4 across different the severity of renal function, suggesting the potential benefit from tailoring the eplerenone doses according to renal function(42). 5 6 The rate of change in eGFR (i.e., GFR slope) as a viable alternative outcome for 7 CKD progression was assessed in the EPHESUS, EMPHASIS-HF and TOPCAT trials(39,43). Spironolactone or eplerenone did not show reno-protective effects in chronic 8 9 phase, in contrast with the results observed in trials for finerenone. It should be noticed that 10 patients in the placebo group of HF trials had minimal annual eGFR decline $(<1ml/min/1.73m^{2}/year)$, similar to the general population, and far less than those observed in 11 in T2D/CKD trials (\sim 4ml/min/1.73m²/year)(12,13). Although baseline renal function and the 12 study period in T2D/CKD trials were numerically similar to those in HF trials, a lower rate of 13 renal function declines in patients with HF may explain why steroidal MRAs did not show 14 significant reno-protective effects on chronic slope. 15 16 Renal function declined early with steroidal MRAs, even in patients without HF. A post-hoc analysis of the HOMAGE trial shed light on the mechanism of WRF following 17

spironolactone correlated with decreased natriuretic peptide levels, kidney injury markers, and
BP, indicating no renal harm subsequent to the initial eGFR drop due to initiation of MRA
therapy.

spironolactone initiation(44). Their results showed that the initial eGFR decrease with

18

Even though the presence and magnitude of albuminuria exhibit robust associations with tubular injury, HF severity and risk of cardiorenal outcomes irrespective of the severity of eGFR, among patients with HFpEF in the TOPCAT trial, spironolactone reduced placebo-

- corrected UACR levels by 39% in the overall population, and by 76% among those with
 macroalbuminuria, defined as UACR>300mg/day(45).
- 3

4 Finerenone in Cardio-Kidney Outcome Trials

5 Finerenone in Kidney Trials

6 Finerenone was investigated in two large CKD and T2D trials: (i) the Finerenone in Reducing Kidney Failure and Disease Progression in Diabetic Kidney Disease (FIDELIO-7 DKD); and (ii) the Finerenone in Reducing Cardiovascular Mortality and Morbidity in 8 9 Diabetic Kidney Disease (FIGARO-DKD)(12,13). In the FIDELIO-DKD trial for advanced CKD and T2D, finerenone vs. placebo significantly reduced the risk of the primary kidney 10 11 outcome, and the secondary CV outcomes (Table 2 & Figure 1)(12). Complementarily, the FIGARO-DKD trial was designed in CKD and T2D with a wider eGFR range (up to 12 90ml/min/1.73m²) and moderate UACR to provide a greater kidney failure-free interval 13 14 (Table 2 & Figure 1). Similarly, finerenone vs. placebo significantly reduced the risk of the primary CV outcomes, and tended to decrease the risk of the secondary kidney outcome(13). 15 To further focus on specific cardiorenal outcomes with expanded number of sample 16 and events, FIDELITY program is a prespecified, individual patient data pooled analysis of 17 18 the FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD trials. Among 13,026 patients enrolled in FIDELITY, 19 finerenone vs. placebo reduced a risk of a composite kidney outcome (*i.e.*, kidney failure, \geq 57% decline in eGFR, or kidney death) by 23%, a sustained \geq 57% decline in eGFR by 30% 20 and end-stage kidney disease (i.e., chronic dialysis and kidney transplantation) by 20%(46). In 21 22 general, the effects of finerenone on these renal outcomes were consistent across eGFR and/or UACR categories. 23

24 Finerenone in Cardiovascular Trials

Heart Failure 1

Finerenone is undergoing several trials in HF. FINEARTS-HF (NCT04435626) trial 2 was designed to investigate the effects of finerenone on HF-related events in patients with 3 HFpEF and across a broad range of renal function (eGFR ≥ 25 ml/min/1.73 m²). 4 CONFIRMATION-HF (NCT06024746) trial is investigating efficacy and safety profiles of 5 6 early combinations of finerenone and SGLT2i, in patients hospitalized with HF independent 7 of LVEF. REDEFINE-HF (NCT06008197) trial investigates the efficacy and safety profiles of finerenone vs. placebo when initiated early in patients hospitalized with HF or recently 8 9 discharged with a LVEF of \geq 40%, and FINALITY-HF (NCT06033950) examines the 10 efficacy and safety profiles of finerenone vs. placebo in patients with symptomatic HFrEF intolerant of or not eligible for steroidal MRAs. 11 12 VI/ Barriers in MRA Use and Practical Clinical Considerations 13 14 The use of steroidal MRAs in patients with HF is hampered by a likely excessive

concern about hyperkalemia-associated risk. When MRA is discontinued, more than 75% of 15 patients are not re-started on MRAs during the subsequent year(47). The concern about 16 17 hyperkalemia-associated rhythm disturbance is obviously inflated. Although discontinuing MRAs after an episode of hyperkalemia lowered the risk of recurrent hyperkalemia, it 18 19 significantly increased the incidence of subsequent cardiovascular events(48). Base on 20 practical algorithms guiding the pharmacologic management of HF, adjusting diuretic doses and treating extra-cardiac causes of WRF may help maintain MRA therapy after an episode of 21 WRF(14). 22

Potassium binder such as patiromer may mitigate the risk of hyperkalemia and assist 23 in maintaining MRA therapy in patients with recurrent hyperkalemia and HF or hypertension, 24

as shown in the DIAMOND or AMBER trials(24,49). When indirectly comparing the rates of 1 2 hyperkalemia between finerenone and steroidal MRA in different trial populations, a lower incidence of hyperkalemia might be expected with finerenone(50). However, there is 3 insufficient data from head-to-head comparisons. In the ARTS trial, finerenone produced less 4 hyperkalemia than the highest dose of spironolactone, which was superior to the 25mg routine 5 6 target dose(31), and in the ARTS-HF trial, the rise of serum potassium with eplerenone was 7 higher than with finerenone, but the number of hyperkalemia events was not high enough to discriminate between the two agents(32), Notably, in these trials, finerenone was prescribed at 8 doses equal or below 20mg/day. Ongoing trials, such as FINEARTS-HF trial are using doses 9 10 up to 40mg/day. Awaiting real world data, and the results of ongoing trials, it is recommended that in patients treated with finerenone, serum potassium is routinely monitored and advice 11 12 about prevention of hyperkalemia are given.

13

VII/ Unmet Needs and Future Directions of MRA Clinical Trials for Cardiorenal Diseases

Patients with an eGFR ≤ 30 mL/min/1.73 m² were typically excluded from clinical 16 trials studying steroidal MRAs. However, these patients often exhibit an excess of aldosterone 17 18 and a heightened vulnerability to cardiovascular events, and face an increased risk of MRArelated adverse events(15). Notably, meta-analysis of the RALES, EMPHASIS-HF, and 19 TOPCAT Americas trials revealed that only 2.6% of the participants had an eGFR 20 ≤30ml/min/1.73m²(51). Steroidal MRAs had a neutral effect on composite cardiovascular 21 outcomes in patients with an eGFR \leq 30ml/min/1.73m², differing significantly from other 22 23 eGFR categories (P-for-trend=0.03). As eGFR decreased, the risk of hyperkalemia by MRAs rose (P-for-trend=0.002). Thus, the use of steroidal MRAs in this context lacks strong 24 evidence. 25

In contrast, an exploratory analysis conducted within the FIDELITY program 1 2 (N=13,023) managed to encompass a substantial cohort of patients with an eGFR<30ml/min/1.73m², numbering 890 individuals. Notably, finerenone showed similar 3 4 efficacy in both eGFR <30ml/min/1.73m² and eGFR >30ml/min/1.73m² groups (P-forinteraction=0.67). The incidence of hyperkalemia leading to drug discontinuation remained 5 6 minimal in these patients ($\leq 3\%$)(52). Although the interpretation of these results should be 7 cautious due to lack of adequate sample size or limited population, these data suggest that finerenone can represent a sound therapeutic option with cardiorenal benefits in the context of 8 stage 4 CKD. 9

10 Currently, MRA therapy is not recommended for dialysis patients. The ALCHEMIST trial (NCT01848639) failed to show a benefit of spironolactone in 644 patients 11 undergoing hemodialysis, on a composite CV outcome of nonfatal MI, acute coronary 12 syndrome, HF hospitalization, nonfatal stroke or CV mortality. The ongoing larger Phase III 13 placebo-controlled trials, ACHIEVE (NCT03020303) is underway and will determine the 14 15 efficacy and safety of spironolactone in dialysis patients, aiming to provide more clarity in this regard. Furthermore, in the ongoing FINEARTS-HF trial, among the secondary 16 17 endpoints, an essential consideration is the composite renal outcome, defined as a persistent 18 reduction in eGFR by \geq 50% compared to baseline, or an enduring decline in eGFR to <15ml/min/1.73m², initiation of dialysis, or undertaking renal transplantation. 19

Sacubutril/Valsartan provides additional benefit in MRAs users with HFrEF in the
PARADIGM-HF trial, with less hyperkalemia events(53). SGLT2i has been proven to further
improve patient outcomes in patients with CCV-KD as well as decrease the risk of
hyperkalemia events in MRA users(54). In addition, SGLT2is have the potential to slow renal
function decline, which further promotes maintaining MRA therapy(55). Nonetheless, given
that the development of SGLT2is/MRAs has occurred in relatively contemporary timeframes,

the potential synergistic impact of these medications has not undergone adequate evaluation.
 It will be the subject of future trials (*i.e.*, CONFIDENCE(56) and CONFIRMATION-HF).

3	While, more costly compared to spironolactone and eplerenone, finerenone has shown to
4	mitigate the progression of CCV-KD in patients with T2D and CKD. The benefit from finerenone
5	was supported by a significant reduction in costs, particularly HF incidences, chronic dialysis, and
6	kidney transplantation, which constitute major contributors to health care expenses associated with
7	CKD(12,13). Furthermore, the necessary integration of other strategies (<i>i.e.</i> SGLT2is and potassium
8	binders) may influence the cost-effectiveness of MRA therapy. Nonetheless, much remains to be
9	uncovered regarding the cost-effectiveness of MRAs in preventing CCV-KD progression.

10

11 Conclusions

Spironolactone, eplerenone, and finerenone show promise across diverse phenotypes of CCV-KD, with eplerenone and spironolactone benefiting CVD and finerenone benefiting both CVD and CKD. Ongoing trials have the potential of broadening the range of patients who might benefit from MRA therapy. Compelling evidence from randomized trials, with consistent benefits and lower hyperkalemia risks (potentially further mitigated through the concurrent use of potassium binders and/or SGLT2i and/or Sacubutril/Valsartan), could encourage wider use of these drugs in patients with CCV-KD.

1 **References:**

- Zannad F, Rossignol P. Cardiorenal Syndrome Revisited. Circulation 2018;138:929 944.
- Zoccali C, Mallamaci F, Halimi JM et al. Chronic cardiovascular-kidney disorder: a
 new conceptual framework. Nat Rev Nephrol 2023.
- Ndumele CE, Neeland IJ, Tuttle KR et al. A Synopsis of the Evidence for the Science 6 3. 7 and Clinical Management of Cardiovascular-Kidney-Metabolic (CKM) Syndrome: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation 8 9 2023;148:1636-1664.
- Halimi JM, de Fréminville JB, Gatault P et al. Long-term impact of cardiorenal
 syndromes on major outcomes based on their chronology: a comprehensive French
 nationwide cohort study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2022;37:2386-2397.
- McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M et al. 2023 Focused Update of the 2021 ESC
 Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur
 Heart J 2023.
- Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme WJ et al. The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and
 mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation
 Study Investigators. N Engl J Med 1999;341:709-17.
- 19 7. Zannad F, McMurray JJ, Krum H et al. Eplerenone in patients with systolic heart
 20 failure and mild symptoms. N Engl J Med 2011;364:11-21.
- Pitt B, Remme W, Zannad F et al. Eplerenone, a selective aldosterone blocker, in
 patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med
 2003;348:1309-21.
- 9. Williams B, MacDonald TM, Morant S et al. Spironolactone versus placebo,
 bisoprolol, and doxazosin to determine the optimal treatment for drug-resistant
 hypertension (PATHWAY-2): a randomised, double-blind, crossover trial. Lancet

- 2015;386:2059-2068.
- 2 10. Pitt B, Pfeffer MA, Assmann SF et al. Spironolactone for heart failure with preserved
 3 ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1383-92.
- 4 11. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Assmann SF et al. Regional variation in patients and
 outcomes in the Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure With an
 Aldosterone Antagonist (TOPCAT) trial. Circulation 2015;131:34-42.
- 7 12. Bakris GL, Agarwal R, Anker SD et al. Effect of Finerenone on Chronic Kidney
 8 Disease Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2219-2229.
- 9 13. Pitt B, Filippatos G, Agarwal R et al. Cardiovascular Events with Finerenone in
 10 Kidney Disease and Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2021;385:2252-2263.
- 14. Mewton N, Girerd N, Boffa JJ et al. Practical management of worsening renal
 function in outpatients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: Statement from
 a panel of multidisciplinary experts and the Heart Failure Working Group of the
 French Society of Cardiology. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2020;113:660-670.
- 15 15. Patel RB, Fonarow GC, Greene SJ et al. Kidney Function and Outcomes in Patients
 Hospitalized With Heart Failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021;78:330-343.
- 17 16. Janse RJ, Fu EL, Dahlström U et al. Use of guideline-recommended medical therapy
 18 in patients with heart failure and chronic kidney disease: from physician's
 19 prescriptions to patient's dispensations, medication adherence and persistence. Eur J
 20 Heart Fail 2022;24:2185-2195.
- 21 17. Savarese G, Carrero JJ, Pitt B et al. Factors associated with underuse of
 22 mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction:
 23 an analysis of 11 215 patients from the Swedish Heart Failure Registry. Eur J Heart
 24 Fail 2018;20:1326-1334.
- Pandey AK, Bhatt DL, Cosentino F et al. Non-steroidal mineralocorticoid receptor
 antagonists in cardiorenal disease. Eur Heart J 2022;43:2931-2945.

- Verma A, Vaidya A, Subudhi S, Waikar SS. Aldosterone in chronic kidney disease and
 renal outcomes. Eur Heart J 2022;43:3781-3791.
- Girerd N, Pang PS, Swedberg K et al. Serum aldosterone is associated with mortality
 and re-hospitalization in patients with reduced ejection fraction hospitalized for acute
 heart failure: analysis from the EVEREST trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2013;15:1228-35.
- Kobayashi M, Stienen S, Ter Maaten JM et al. Clinical determinants and prognostic
 implications of renin and aldosterone in patients with symptomatic heart failure. ESC
 Heart Fail 2020;7:953-963.
- 9 22. Monzo L, Huttin O, Ferreira JP et al. Role of aldosterone in mid- and long-term left
 10 ventricular remodelling after acute myocardial infarction: The REMI study. Eur J
 11 Heart Fail 2023.
- Agarwal R, Kolkhof P, Bakris G et al. Steroidal and non-steroidal mineralocorticoid
 receptor antagonists in cardiorenal medicine. Eur Heart J 2021;42:152-161.
- Agarwal R, Rossignol P, Romero A et al. Patiromer versus placebo to enable
 spironolactone use in patients with resistant hypertension and chronic kidney disease
 (AMBER): a phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet
 2019;394:1540-1550.
- 18 25. Kobayashi M, Ferreira JP, Matsue Y et al. Effect of eplerenone on clinical stability of
 19 Japanese patients with acute heart failure. Int J Cardiol 2023;374:73-78.
- 26. Kolkhof P, Delbeck M, Kretschmer A et al. Finerenone, a novel selective nonsteroidal
 mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist protects from rat cardiorenal injury. J
 Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2014;64:69-78.
- 23 27. Barrera-Chimal J, Kolkhof P, Lima-Posada I, Joachim A, Rossignol P, Jaisser F.
 24 Differentiation between emerging non-steroidal and established steroidal
 25 mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists: head-to-head comparisons of pharmacological
 26 and clinical characteristics. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2021;30:1141-1157.

Zannad F, Alla F, Dousset B, Perez A, Pitt B. Limitation of excessive extracellular
 matrix turnover may contribute to survival benefit of spironolactone therapy in
 patients with congestive heart failure: insights from the randomized aldactone
 evaluation study (RALES). Rales Investigators. Circulation 2000;102:2700-6.

- 5 29. Cleland JGF, Ferreira JP, Mariottoni B et al. The effect of spironolactone on
 6 cardiovascular function and markers of fibrosis in people at increased risk of
 7 developing heart failure: the heart 'OMics' in AGEing (HOMAGE) randomized
 8 clinical trial. Eur Heart J 2021;42:684-696.
- 9 30. Edelmann F, Wachter R, Schmidt AG et al. Effect of spironolactone on diastolic
 10 function and exercise capacity in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection
 11 fraction: the Aldo-DHF randomized controlled trial. Jama 2013;309:781-91.
- Pitt B, Kober L, Ponikowski P et al. Safety and tolerability of the novel non-steroidal
 mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist BAY 94-8862 in patients with chronic heart
 failure and mild or moderate chronic kidney disease: a randomized, double-blind trial.
 Eur Heart J 2013;34:2453-63.
- Filippatos G, Anker SD, Böhm M et al. A randomized controlled study of finerenone
 vs. eplerenone in patients with worsening chronic heart failure and diabetes mellitus
 and/or chronic kidney disease. Eur Heart J 2016;37:2105-14.
- Bakris GL, Agarwal R, Chan JC et al. Effect of Finerenone on Albuminuria in Patients
 With Diabetic Nephropathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Jama 2015;314:884-94.
- 34. Agarwal R, Ruilope LM, Ruiz-Hurtado G et al. Effect of finerenone on ambulatory
 blood pressure in chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes. J Hypertens 2023;41:295302.
- 24 35. Pitt B, Reichek N, Willenbrock R et al. Effects of eplerenone, enalapril, and
 25 eplerenone/enalapril in patients with essential hypertension and left ventricular
 26 hypertrophy: the 4E-left ventricular hypertrophy study. Circulation 2003;108:1831-8.

1	36.	Montalescot G, Pitt B, Lopez de Sa E et al. Early eplerenone treatment in patients with
2		acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction without heart failure: the Randomized
3		Double-Blind Reminder Study. Eur Heart J 2014;35:2295-302.
4	37.	Beygui F, Cayla G, Roule V et al. Early Aldosterone Blockade in Acute Myocardial
5		Infarction: The ALBATROSS Randomized Clinical Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol
6		2016;67:1917-27.
7	38.	Vardeny O, Wu DH, Desai A et al. Influence of baseline and worsening renal function
8		on efficacy of spironolactone in patients With severe heart failure: insights from
9		RALES (Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study). J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:2082-
10		9.
11	39.	Rossignol P, Cleland JG, Bhandari S et al. Determinants and consequences of renal
12		function variations with aldosterone blocker therapy in heart failure patients after
13		myocardial infarction: insights from the Eplerenone Post-Acute Myocardial Infarction
14		Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study. Circulation 2012;125:271-9.
15	40.	Rossignol P, Dobre D, McMurray JJ et al. Incidence, determinants, and prognostic
16		significance of hyperkalemia and worsening renal function in patients with heart
17		failure receiving the mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist eplerenone or placebo in
18		addition to optimal medical therapy: results from the Eplerenone in Mild Patients
19		Hospitalization and Survival Study in Heart Failure (EMPHASIS-HF). Circ Heart Fail
20		2014;7:51-8.
21	41.	Beldhuis IE, Myhre PL, Bristow M et al. Spironolactone in Patients With
22		Heart Failure, Preserved Ejection Fraction, and Worsening Renal Function. J Am Coll
23		Cardiol 2021;77:1211-1221.
24	42.	Ferreira JP, Abreu P, McMurray JJV et al. Renal function stratified dose comparisons
25		of eplerenone versus placebo in the EMPHASIS-HF trial. Eur J Heart Fail

26 2019;21:345-351.

1	43.	Vaduganathan M, Ferreira JP, Rossignol P et al. Effects of steroidal mineralocorticoid
2		receptor antagonists on acute and chronic estimated glomerular filtration rate slopes in
3		patients with chronic heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2022;24:1586-1590.
4	44.	Ferreira JP, Cleland JGF, Girerd N et al. Early and late renal function changes with
5		spironolactone in patients at risk of developing heart failure: findings from the
6		HOMAGE trial. Clin Res Cardiol 2023:112:330-332.

- 7 45. Selvaraj S, Claggett B, Shah SJ et al. Prognostic Value of Albuminuria and Influence
 8 of Spironolactone in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. Circ Heart Fail
 9 2018;11:e005288.
- 46. Bakris GL, Ruilope LM, Anker SD et al. A prespecified exploratory analysis from
 FIDELITY examined finerenone use and kidney outcomes in patients with chronic
 kidney disease and type 2 diabetes. Kidney international 2023;103:196-206.
- 47. Trevisan M, de Deco P, Xu H et al. Incidence, predictors and clinical management of
 hyperkalaemia in new users of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. Eur J Heart Fail
 2018;20:1217-1226.

16 48. Trevisan M, Fu EL, Xu Y et al. Stopping mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists after 17 hyperkalaemia: trial emulation in data from routine care. Eur J Heart Fail 18 2021;23:1698-1707.

- 49. Butler J, Anker SD, Lund LH et al. Patiromer for the management of hyperkalemia in
 heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: the DIAMOND trial. Eur Heart J
 2022;43:4362-4373.
- Agarwal R, Pitt B, Palmer BF et al. A comparative post hoc analysis of finerenone and
 spironolactone in resistant hypertension in moderate-to-advanced chronic kidney
 disease. Clinical kidney journal 2023;16:293-302.
- Ferreira JP, Pitt B, McMurray JJV et al. Steroidal MRA Across the Spectrum of Renal
 Function: A Pooled Analysis of RCTs. JACC Heart Fail 2022;10:842-850.

1	52.	Sarafidis P, Agarwal R, Pitt B et al. Outcomes with Finerenone in Participants with
2		Stage 4 CKD and Type 2 Diabetes: A FIDELITY Subgroup Analysis. Clin J Am Soc
3		Nephrol 2023.

- 4 53. Desai AS, Vardeny O, Claggett B et al. Reduced Risk of Hyperkalemia During
 5 Treatment of Heart Failure With Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists by Use of
 6 Sacubitril/Valsartan Compared With Enalapril: A Secondary Analysis of the
 7 PARADIGM-HF Trial. JAMA cardiology 2017;2:79-85.
- Ferreira JP, Zannad F, Butler J et al. Empagliflozin and serum potassium in heart
 failure: an analysis from EMPEROR-Pooled. Eur Heart J 2022;43:2984-2993.
- 10 55. Rastogi T, Girerd N. SGLT2 Inhibitors in Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection
 11 Fraction: A Paradigm Shift Toward Dual Cardio-Renal Protection. Heart Fail Clin
 12 2022;18:561-577.
- 13 56. Green JB, Mottl AK, Bakris G et al. Design of the COmbinatioN effect of FInerenone
 14 anD EmpaglifloziN in participants with chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes
 15 using a UACR Endpoint study (CONFIDENCE). Nephrol Dial Transplant
 16 2023;38:894-903.
- 17

Table 1. Pharmacologic Differences among Spironolactone, Eplerenone andFinerenone

MR, mineralocorticoid receptor; CNS, central nervous system; BP, blood pressure.

*Based on animal model studies.

Table 2. Major Trials of Cardiorenal Outcomes with Various MRAs

HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, Non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; CKD, chronic kidney disease; T2D, type 2 diabetes; K+, serum potassium; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association; ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BB, beta-blocker; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; UACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio; CCr, creatinine clearance; NNT, number needed to treat; CV, cardiovascular; HF, heart failure; BNP, b-type natriuretic peptide; NT-proBNP, N-terminal-pro-b-type natriuretic peptide; VT, ventricular tachycardia; VF, ventricular fibrillation; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease.

	Spironolactone	Eplerenone	Finerenone		
Structural properties	steroidal	steroidal	nonsteroidal		
Absorption	100% bioavailable	69% bioavailable	44% bioavailable		
MR affinity, nmol/L	24.2 (High)	990 (Low)	17.8 (High)		
MR selectivity	Low	Medium	High		
Tissue distribution	Kidney>>heart	Kidney>heart	Equivalent		
Tissue distribution	> 6-fold	\approx 3-fold	(1:1)		
Metabolites	Hepatic, active metabolites	No active	No active		
Half-life, hours	>20	3-6	2-4		
Hyperkalemia	High	Moderate	Low		
BP lowering effect	Strong	Weak	Weak		
Anti-fibrotic effect	Moderate	Moderate	High*		

Table 1. Pharmacologic Differences among Spironolactone, Eplerenone and Finerenone

1 Table 2. Major Trials of Cardiorenal Outcomes with Various MRAs

Trials	Population	Drug (vs. placebo)	Inclusion criteria	mean eGFR,		Mean	Placebo	NNT	Follow up period, months	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome
				ml/min/1.73m ²	UACR, mg/g	LVEF, %	mortality (Per 100py)			HR (95%CI) for TTx	HR (95%CI) for TTx
RALES	1663 patients with HFrEF and severe		Creatinine ≤2.5mg/dl; K+ ≤5.0mmol/L; ACE-inhibitor and a loop diuretic; LVEF ≤35%;	65	NA	26	27.3	9	24	All-cause death	CV death
	symptom		NYHA ≥III							HR= 0.70 (0.60 to 0.82)	HR= 0.69 (0.58 to 0.82)
EPHESUS	6642 patients with HFrEF	Eplerenone, -50 mg/day	Creatinine≤2.5mg/dl; K+≤5.0mmol/L; ACE-inhibitor, ARB or	70	NA	33	13.6	50	16	CV death, nonfatal MI, stroke and HF hospitalization	CV death
	after MI		both and BB							HR= 0.87 (0.79 to 0.95)	HR= 0.83 (0.72 to 0.94)
EMPHASIS-HF	2737 patients with HFrEF and mild	HFrEF Eplerenone, -50	eGFR \geq 30mL/min/1.73m ² ; K+ \leq 5mmol/L; ACE-inhibitor, ARB or both and BB; LVEF \leq 30%	71	NA	26	7.1	51	21	CV death or HF hospitalization	All-cause death or HF hospitalization
	symptom		(or, if >30 to 35%, a QRS >130msec); NYHA II							HR= 0.63 (0.54 to 0.74)	HR= 0.65 (0.55 to 0.76)
TOPCAT Americas	1767 patients with symptomatic HfpEF	Spironolactone, 15–45 mg/day	eGFR≥30mL/min/1.73m ² ; K+≤5mmol/L; LVEF≥ 45%; SBP ≤140mmHg or ≤160mmHg if patient was taking	61	27	58	4.6	83	40	CV death or HF hospitalization	CV death
	прег		≥3drugs							HR= 0.89 (0.77 to 1.04)	HR= 0.74 (0.57 to 0.97)
REMINDER	1012 patients with STEMI	Eplerenone, 25-50 mg/day	eGFR≥30mL/min/1.73m ² ; Creatinine<220µmol/L; Need for potassium-sparing diuretics; LVEF < 40%; Prior history of HF	86	NA	NA	NA	NA	10.5	CV death, HF hospitalization, VT/VF, LVEF≤40%, or BNP>200pg/mL or NT- proBNP>450pg/mL (in patients aged <50years), >900pg/mL (age 50–75 years), or 1800 pg/mL (patients aged >75 years) after 1 month	CV death
										HR= 0.58 (0.45 to 0.75)	HR= 0.99 (0.14 to 7.04)
ALBATROSS	1603 patients with STEMI or NSTEMI	MRA regimen (a single 200mg potassium canrenoate IVbolus plus spironolactone 25mg/day for 6	Creatinine≤220µmol/L; K+≤5.5mmol/L	CCr, 101ml/min	NA	50	NA	NA	6	All-cause death, VT/VF, ICD indication or HF hospitalization	All-cause death
		months)								HR= 0.97 (0.73 to 1.28)	HR= 0.65 (0.30 to 1.38)

FIDELIO-DKD	4800 patients with CKD and T2D	Finerenone, 10–20 mg/day	25-75 ml/min/1.73m ² ; serum K+ <4.8mmol/L;	44	852	NA	3.2	111	31	ESKD (i.e., chronic dialysis or kidney transplantation), an eGFR of <15ml/min/1.73m ² or >40% decline in eGFR within 4 weeks or renal-cause death	CV death or nonfatal MI, stroke and HF hospitalization
			ACE-inhibitor or ARB at the maximum dose							HR= 0.82 (0.75 to 0.93)	HR= 0.86 (0.75 to 0.99)
FIGARO-DKD	7434 patients with CKD and T2D	Finerenone, 10–20 mg/day	UACR 30-300mg/g and eGFR 25-90 ml/min/1.73m ² or UACR 300-5000 mg/g and eGFR >60 ml/min/1.73m ² ; serum K+ <4.8mmol/L; ACE-inhibitor or ARB at	68	308	NA	3.0	91	41	CV death or nonfatal MI, stroke and HF hospitalization	ESKD (i.e., chronic dialysis or kidney transplantation), an eGFR of <15ml/min/1.73m2 or >40% decline in eGFR within 4 weeks or renal- cause death
			the maximum dose							HR= 0.87 (0.76 to 0.98)	HR= 0.87 (0.76 to 1.01)

Figure 1. The Effects of Spironolactone, Eplerenone and Finerenone on Cardio-Kidney Outcomes in Major Clinical Trials

The efficacy of spironolactone and eplerenone has predominantly been investigated in heart failure trials, whereas finerenone efficacy has been studied in the context of diabetes and chronic kidney disease. These investigations encompassed populations with varying levels of mortality risk or renal function.

1 Figure 1. The Effects of Spironolactone, Eplerenone and Finerenone on Cardio-Kidney Outcomes in Major Clinical Trials

Mortality risk (per 100 patient-year)

