

Multimodal PET/PAI/FLI imaging probe based on meso-O-alkyl heptamethine cyanine: Synthesis, [18F]F-radiolabelling and photophysical characterizations

Kamal Jouad, Emilien Mengel, Katalin Selmeczi, Mathilde Bouché, Charlotte Collet-Defossez, Nadia Pellegrini Moïse, Sandrine Lamandé-Langle

► To cite this version:

Kamal Jouad, Emilien Mengel, Katalin Selmeczi, Mathilde Bouché, Charlotte Collet-Defossez, et al.. Multimodal PET/PAI/FLI imaging probe based on meso-O-alkyl heptamethine cyanine: Synthesis, [18F]F-radiolabelling and photophysical characterizations. Dyes and Pigments, 2024, 223, pp.111995. 10.1016/j.dyepig.2024.111995 . hal-04454881

HAL Id: hal-04454881 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04454881v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Multimodal PET/PAI/FLI imaging probe based on meso-O-alkyl heptamethine cyanine: Synthesis, ¹⁸F-radiolabelling and photophysical characterizations

4

Kamal Jouad^{a,1}, Emilien Mengel^{a,1}, Katalin Selmeczi^a, Mathilde Bouché^a, Charlotte Collet Defossez^{b,c}, Nadia Pellegrini Moïse^{a,*}, Sandrine Lamandé-Langle^{a,*}

7

8 ^a Université de Lorraine, CNRS, L2CM, F-54000 Nancy, France

9 ^b NancycloTEP, Molecular Imaging Platform, CHRU-Nancy, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, F-54000 France

10 ^c Université de Lorraine, INSERM, U1254 IADI, F-54000 Nancy, France

11 ¹ Kamal Jouad and Emilien Mengel contributed equally to this work.

- 12 *Corresponding authors. Université de Lorraine, CNRS, L2CM, F-54000 Nancy, France. E-mail addresses:
- 13 nadia.pellegrini@univ-lorraine.fr (N. Pellegrini Moïse), sandrine.langle@univ-lorraine.fr (S. Lamandé-Langle).
- 14

15 Abstract

The combination of positron emission tomography (PET)/photoacoustic imaging 16 17 (PAI)/fluorescence imaging (FLI) for diagnosis allows to exploit the strengths and overcome the weaknesses of each modality. In this context, dyes displaying photophysical properties for 18 19 both fluorescence and photoacoustic imaging in the near infra-red (NIR) window are ideal to 20 maximize their signal-to-noise ratio. Herein, were synthetized a series of new meso-O-alkyl cyanine-7 derivatives, and the derivative bearing an azide was coupled to a highly 21 22 functionalized C-glycosyl platform resulting in an imaging probe which exhibited both high 23 molar absorptivity and fluorescence quantum yield (>15%), excellent characteristics for FLI and for PAI. Successfully radiolabeled with fluorine-18 via a [¹⁸F]F-C bond, this probe 24 25 additionally allowed PET imaging. Altogether, these results revealed the multimodal PET/PAI/FLI imaging potential of this probe based on meso-O-alkyl heptamethine cyanine. 26 27 Moreover, a late-stage CuAAC coupling with two model peptides c(RGDfK) was 28 successfully performed to demonstrate the feasibility and the versatility of the vector 29 conjugation step, foreshadowing of the expected targeting possibilities of this original 30 platform for efficient disease diagnosis by multimodal imaging.

31

Keyword: Meso-*O*-alkyl cyanine-7, Fluorescence, Photoacoustic, PET, Multimodal imaging
 33

34 **1. Introduction**

35 The combination of positron emission tomography (PET)/photoacoustic imaging 36 (PAI)/fluorescence imaging (FLI) for diagnosis allows to exploit the strengths and overcome 37 the weaknesses of each modality in terms of spatial resolution, detection sensitivity and 38 penetrability. PET is an imaging modality that utilizes radionuclide detection, and thus requires a radioisotope. Fluorine-18 is an ideal isotope for PET imaging due to the [¹⁸F]F-C 39 40 covalent bond formation, its high molar activity and its suitable half-life ($t_{1/2} = 109.8$ min) for 41 permitting further chemical functionalization with vectors, ideally those with fast 42 biodistribution such as peptides.[1] This technique provides a high detection sensitivity (sub-43 nanomolar range) without depth limitation, but has limited spatial resolution (1 to 5 mm 44 depending on the scanner).[2] FLI and PAI are two widely used and rapidly evolving optical 45 techniques for diagnosis and preclinical development that both take advantage of competitive 46 relaxation pathways occurring in a single photoactive dye, emissive and non-radiative 47 relaxation respectively. Thus, the lower the fluorescence quantum yield, the higher the 48 photothermal conversion in PAI. FLI is a well-established technique that provides high spatial 49 resolution of tens of nm and detection sensitivity in the nanomolar range. However, achieving 50 high-contrast imaging in vivo is challenging due to the scattering and reabsorption of 51 excitation and emission light, as well as interferences with intrinsic tissue fluorescence; these 52 physical hurdles therefore limit the depth of light penetration and the sensitivity due to low 53 ratio.[3] To overcome these limitations, signal-to-noise fluorophores with an 54 absorption/emission range in the NIR spectral window are commonly used and permit an 55 enhanced signal-to-noise ratio. Far reaching beyond imaging applications, FLI is also a key 56 technique for precise imaging-guided surgery.[4] On the other hand, PAI is a fast, inexpensive 57 and non-ionizing technique that has a sensitivity in the tens of nanomolar.[5,6] While PAI is 58 limited to the field of view of an organ, it is gaining popularity in preclinical development due 59 to its low scattering and deep penetrability of the signal, together with an optical spatial 60 resolution down to tens of microns, thus making it relevant for in vivo explorations.[7] 61 Altogether, combination of these three modalities will grant a well-balanced and realistic 62 diagnosis approach with high potential for future clinical translation using: 1) PET imaging 63 for a whole-body diagnosis, 2) PAI for a more localized organ imaging with enhanced 64 resolution and 3) FLI for both fluorescent-guided surgery and ex vivo histopathology.

Thus, a challenging goal in this research field is the design of photoactive dyes displaying appropriate photophysical properties for concomitant use in both FLI and PAI. This dye must display a fluorescence quantum yield and brightness sufficient for detecting their fluorescence emission in the NIR by FLI, while simultaneously promoting thermal relaxation from the 69 excited state via non-radiative pathways, for an intense PA signal. Among fluorescent dyes, 70 cyanines have found extensive use in imaging applications.[8] Heptamethine cyanine 71 (cyanine-7, Cy7) derivatives are particularly attractive for biomedical use due to their 72 excellent biodegradability and biocompatibility. Moreover, their fluorescence excitation and 73 emission in the NIR-I window, and high brightness resulting from their high molar extinction 74 coefficient [9] makes them well-suited for imaging with high resolution and signal-to-noise 75 ratio during *in vivo* FLI and PAI.[7] This stronger absorption coefficient ($\varepsilon > 200k$) in the NIR region makes cyanines-7 more appealing for optical imaging than other dyes such as 76 77 BODIPYs or rhodamines. Their photophysical characteristics and their stability can be 78 modulated by modifying the heteroatom linked to the meso-position of Cy7 dyes.[9-11] 79 Meso-O-alkyl Cy7 derivatives, scarcely described in the literature, [12-16] indeed demonstrate 80 superior stability when compared to their -N-alkyl, -S-alkyl, or -O-aryl counterparts.[10,12] 81 Overall, Cy7 display the appropriate photophysical balance for performing in both FLI and 82 PAI using a single entity.

83 For such multimodal imaging, a major breakthrough in the field is the design of 84 monomolecular imaging agents, which enable the use of complementary molecular imaging 85 modalities with a single biodistribution profile.[17] While the monomolecular approaches for 86 the combination of either PET/FLI or PAI/FLI are well-established,[18,19] monomolecular 87 central cores that are competent in these three complementary imaging modalities 88 (PET/PAI/FLI) are scarce. To the best of our knowledge, only one example of a 89 monomolecular multimodal imaging agent that combined PET/PAI/FLI modalities is 90 described.[20] Going further toward functionalization of a monomolecular imaging agent 91 bearing a fluorescent/photoacoustic dye and a radioisotope, with an appropriate biological 92 vector for targeting remains a synthetical challenge. Yet, to successfully achieve it, we have 93 recently proved the advantage of a C-glycosyl platform that offers multifunctionalities for 94 selective introduction of the different entities at specific positions.[21,22]

95 In this study, we present a synthetic strategy for obtaining a multimodal PET/PAI/FLI 96 imaging probe based on a monomolecular approach. To achieve this, we first extended the 97 elegant N- to O-transposition reaction developed by Schnermann et al. to access novel meso-98 O-alkyl cyanine derivatives for achieving both high stability and appropriate photophysical 99 properties for PAI and FLI. The meso-O-alkyl cyanine derivatives were designed with 100 functional groups for further straightforward conjugation, notably to a highly functionalized *C*-glycosyl platform.[21,22] ¹⁸F-radiolabelling by $[^{18}F]F-C$ bond formation was successfully 101 102 performed, leading to a multimodal imaging probe. Finally, we proved the possibility to 103 introduce bioactive vectors, notably RGD peptide derivatives that are typically used as model 104 for targeting $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrins, to afford a unique multimodal conjugate which fluorescent and 105 photoacoustic properties were fully evaluated.

106

107 **2. Experimental section**

108 2.1. General information

109 This section is summarized in the ESI. Compounds 1[12], 14 to 24[21,23], and 29[21] were 110 prepared according to previously described methods (see ESI). Physico-chemical 111 characterization of meso-*N*-alkyl derivatives are described in the ESI.

112

113 2.2. General *N*- to *O*- transposition procedure

114 To a solution of electrophile carboxylic acid (0.36 mmol, 4.0 eq.) and DIPEA (15 µL, 0.09 115 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in freshly distilled dichloromethane (3.5 mL) was added EDC (69 mg, 0.36 116 mmol, 4.0 eq.) at room temperature under inert atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 10 117 min, then compound 1 (65 mg, 0.09 mmol) was added. The reaction was heated to 40 °C in a 118 sealed tube for 18 hours, until the mixture's color changed from dark blue to green. The 119 reaction mixture was quenched with brine (10 mL), extracted with dichloromethane (2×10 120 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the green residue 121 was purified by silica gel chromatography (0 to 5% MeOH/DCM) affording meso-O-alkyl 122 (green solid) and meso-N-alkyl (blue solid) cyanine derivatives.

123 2.2.1 Compound 2. Yield: 72%; green solid; Mp = 97°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) compound exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by 124 ^{δ}: δ 1.05 (t, 6H, $J_{4.5}$ = 7.5 Hz, H-5), 1.37-1.45 (m, 2H, H-13), 1.55-1.67 (m, 4H, H-12, H-14), 125 126 1.69 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.84-1.94 (m, 6H, H-7, H-4), 2.12 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} = 6.5$ Hz, H-9), 2.30-2.42 (m, 2H, H-11), 2.57 (bt, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, H-6), 3.02 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{δ}), 3.11 (s, 3H, N-127 CH_3^*), 3.25 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, H-15), 3.64 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, H-10^{*}), 3.69 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, 128 H-10^{δ}), 3.99-4.08 (m, 6H, H-8^{*}, H-3), 4.11 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, H-8^{δ}), 6.00 (d, 2H, J = 13.5129 Hz, H-1^{**}, H-7^{**}), 6.03 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1^{* δ}, H-7^{* δ}), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.20 130 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.32-7.40 (m, 4H, HAr), 8.00 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2³, H-6³), 131 8.04 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2^{*}, H-6^{*}). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 11.8 (2C-5), 20.8 132 (2C-4), 21.1 (C-7), 24.6 (C-12⁸), 24.7 (C-12^{*}), 24.8 (2C-6), 26.6 (C-13^{*}), 26.7 (C-13⁸), 28.5 133 (4CH₃^{*}), 28.6 (4CH₃^δ), 28.8 (C-9^{*}), 28.9 (C-9^δ), 29.0 (C-14), 33.4 (C-11), 33.8 (N-CH₃^δ), 36.2 134 $(N-CH_3^*)$, 45.1 $(C-10^*)$, 46.0 (2C-3), 46.1 $(C-10^{\delta})$, 49.0 $(2C-2^{\delta})$, 49.1 $(2C-2^*)$, 51.3 $(C-15^{\delta})$, 135

136 51.4 (C-15^{*}), 75.0 (C-8^{δ}), 76.0 (C-8^{*}), 99.5 (C-1^{**} and C-7^{**}), 99.8 (C-1^{* δ} and C-7^{* δ}), 110.6 137 (2CAr^{*}), 110.7 (2CAr^{δ}), 122.3 (2CAr^{δ}), 122.4 (2CAr^{*}), 123.4 (C-3^{**} and C-5^{**}), 123.5 (C-3^{* δ} 138 and C-5^{* δ}), 125.0 (2CAr^{*}), 125.0 (2CAr^{δ}), 128.8 (2CAr^{*}), 128.9 (2CAr^{δ}), 140.6 (C-2^{* δ} and C-139 6^{* δ}), 140.8 (C-2^{**} and C-6^{**}), 141.0 (2CqAr), 142.5 (2CqAr), 170.9 (C-4^{* δ}), 171.4 (C-4^{**}), 171.5 (2C-1^{δ}), 171.5 (2C-1^{*}), 172.7 (C=O^{δ}), 173.0 (C=O^{*}). HRMS (ESI): [M⁺] calcd for 141 [C₄₆H₆₃N₆O₂⁺] 731.5007, found 731.5002. IR ($\bar{\nu}$ (cm⁻¹)): 2964, 2928, 2872, 2092, 1726, 1639, 1554, 1508, 1452, 1431, 1394, 13667, 1274, 1259, 1165, 929.

2.2.2. Compound 4. Yield: 76%; green viscous oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) compound 143 exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by $\delta \approx 0.03$ 144 (t, 6H, *J*_{4,5} = 7.5 Hz, H-5), 1.57-1.68 (m, 4H, H-12, H-13), 1.68 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.81-1.92 (m, 145 6H, H-7, H-4), 2.19 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} = 6.5$ Hz, H-9), 2.36 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, H-11^{*}), 146 2.43 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, H-11^{δ}), 2.55 (bt, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, H-6), 3.01 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{δ}), 3.11 (s, 147 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.27 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, H-14), 3.63 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, H-10^{*}), 3.67 (t, 2H, J = 148 7.5 Hz, H-10^{δ}), 3.98-4.06 (m, 6H, H-8^{*}, H-3), 4.10 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, H-8^{δ}), 5.98 (d, 2H, J =149 13.5 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-7^{*}), 6.01 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1^{* δ}, H-7^{* δ}), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 150 7.19 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.30-7.40 (m, 4H, HAr), 8.00 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2³, H-151 6³), 8.03 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2^{*}, H-6^{*}). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 11.7 (2C-5), 152 20.7 (2C-4), 21.0 (C-7), 22.2 (C-12), 24.7 (2C-6), 28.4 (4CH₃*), 28.5 (4CH₃^δ), 28.6 (C-13), 153 29.0 (C-9), 32.9 (C-11), 33.7 (N-CH₃^δ), 36.2 (N-CH₃^{*}), 45.1 (C-10^{*}), 45.9 (2C-3), 47.0 (C-154 10^δ), 48.9 (2C-2^δ), 49.0 (2C-2^{*}), 51.1 (C-14^δ), 51.3 (C-14^{*}), 75.9 (C-8^δ), 75.9 (C-8^{*}), 99.4 (C-155 1'* and C-7'*), 99.7 (C-1'^δ and C-7'^δ), 110.5 (2CAr^{*}), 110.6 (2CAr^δ), 122.3 (2CAr^δ), 122.4 156 (2CAr*), 123.3 (C-3'* and C-5'*), 123.4 (C-3'^δ and C-5'^δ), 124.9 (2CAr*), 125.0 (2CAr^δ), 157 128.7 (2CAr*), 128.8 (2CAr^δ), 140.6 (C-2^{*,δ} and C-6^{*,δ}), 140.7 (C-2^{*,*} and C-6^{*,*}), 141.0 158 (2CqAr), 142.4 (2CqAr), 171.3 (C-4³), 171.4 (C-4³), 171.5 (2C-1), 172.6 (C=O). HRMS 159 (ESI): $[M^+]$ calcd for $[C_{45}H_{61}N_6O_2^+]$ 717.4851, found 717.4856. IR ($\bar{\nu}$ (cm⁻¹)): 2919.83, 160 2090.50, 1554.10, 1505.68, 1365.84, 1247.99, 1150.00, 1038.94, 920.04, 793.81, 511.76. 161

162 2.2.3. Compound **6**. Yield: 79%; green solid; Mp = 90°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) 163 compound exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by 164 ${}^{\delta}$: δ 1.00 (t, 6H, $J_{4,5}$ = 7.5 Hz, H-5), 1.60-1.71 (m, 2H, H-12), 1.67 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.76-1.87 165 (m, 6H, H-7, H-4), 2.02-2.10 (m, 2H, H-13), 2.17 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} = 7.0$ Hz, H-9^{*}), 2.21 166 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} = 7.0$ Hz, H-9^{δ}), 2.29 (t, 2H, $J_{11,12} = 7.5$ Hz, H-11^{*}), 2.38 (t, 2H, $J_{11,12}$ 167 = 7.5 Hz, H-11[°]), 2.56-2.63 (m, 4H, H-6), 2.94 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{δ}), 3.02 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.57

(t, 2H,H-10^{*}), 3.62 (t, 2H, H-10^δ), 3.98-4.06 (m, 6H, H-8, H-3), 4.89-5.02 (m, 2H, H-15), 168 5.76-5.87 (m, 1H, H-14), 6.09 (d, 2H, *J* = 14.0 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-7^{*}), 6.10 (d, 2H, *J* = 14.0 Hz, H-169 1^{,8}, H-7^{,8}), 7.20-7.27 (m, 4H, HAr), 7.38 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.46 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 170 HAr), 8.07 (bd, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2^{* δ}, H-6^{* δ}). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃CN): δ 11.6 171 (2C-5), 21.3 (2C-4), 22.0 (C-7), 25.0 (2C-12^{*}), 25.2 (2C-6), 25.4 (2C-12^δ), 28.5 (4CH₃), 29.7 172 $(C-9^*)$, 30.6 $(C-9^{\delta})$, 32.8 $(C-11^{\Box})$, 33.1 $(C-11^*)$, 33.6 $(N-CH_3^{\delta})$, 34.0 $(C-13^*)$, 34.0 $(C-13^{\delta})$, 173 35.9 (N-CH₃^{*}), 45.3 (C-10^{*}), 46.3 (2C-3), 47.5 (C-10^δ), 49.9 (2C-2^δ), 49.9 (2C-2^{*}), 75.8 (C-174 8^δ), 76.8 (C-8^{*}), 100.3 (C-1^{**} and C-7^{**}), 100.4 (C-1^{*δ} and C-7^{*δ}), 111.8 (2CAr^{*}), 111.9 175 (2CAr^δ), 115.3 (C-15^{*}), 115.4 (C-15^δ), 123.2 (2CAr), 123.7 (C-3^{*,δ} and C-5^{*,δ}), 123.7 (C-3^{*,*} 176 and C-5^{*}), 125.0 (2CAr^{*}), 125.1 (2CAr^δ), 129.5 (2CAr), 139.5 (C-14^δ), 139.6 (C-14^{*}), 141.5 177 (C-2³ and C-6³), 141.7 (C-2^{*} and C-6^{*}), 142.0 (2CqAr), 143.7 (2CqAr), 171.3 (C-4³), 178 171.7 (C-4^{*}), 172.8 (2C-1), 173.0 (C= O^{δ}), 173.4 (C= O^{*}). HRMS (ESI): [M⁺] calcd for 179 180 $[C_{46}H_{62}N_3O_2^+]$ 688.4837, found 688.4832. IR ($\bar{\upsilon}$ (cm-1)): 3406, 2965, 2927, 1637, 1556, 181 1508, 1452, 1396, 1369, 1251, 1165, 1091, 1047, 1001, 929.

2.2.4. Compound 8. Yield: 50%; green solid; Mp = 74°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 182 compound exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by 183 184 ^{δ}: δ 1.01 (t, 6H, $J_{4,5}$ = 7.5 Hz, H-5), 1.66 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.75-1.90 (m, 9H, H-12, H-7, H-4, H-15), 2.17 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} = 6.5$ Hz, H-9), 2.23 (td, 2H, $J_{13,12} = 7.5$ Hz, $J_{13,15} = 2.5$ Hz, 185 H-13), 2.43 (t, 2H, $J_{11,12} = 7.5$ Hz, H-11^{*}), 2.51 (t, 2H, $J_{11,12} = 7.5$ Hz, H-11[§]), 2.54 (bt, 4H, 186 $J_{6.7} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6), 3.00 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{δ}), 3.10 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.61 (t, 2H, $J_{10.9} = 7.5$ Hz, H-187 10^{*}), 3.67 (t, 2H, $J_{10.9} = 7.5$ Hz, H-10⁸), 3.99-4.06 (m, 2H, H-8), 4.01 (t, 4H, $J_{4.3} = 7.5$ Hz, H-188 3), 5.96 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-7^{*}), 5.99 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-7^{*}), 7.08 (d, 189 190 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.17 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.29-7.36 (m, 4H, HAr), 7.98 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2^{*, δ}, H-6^{*, δ}), 8.01 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2^{*, δ}, H-6^{*, δ}). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, 191 CDCl₃): δ 11.7 (2C-5), 17.9 (C-13^δ), 18.0 (C-13^{*}), 20.7 (2C-4), 20.9 (C-7), 23.7 (C-12^δ), 24.0 192 (C-12^{*}), 24.6 (2C-6), 28.4 (4CH₃^{*}), 28.4 (4CH₃^δ), 28.9 (C-9), 31.8 (C-11^{*}), 32.8 (C-11^δ), 33.7 193 $(N-CH_3^{\delta})$, 36.0 $(N-CH_3^{*})$, 45.0 $(C-10^{*})$, 45.9 (2C-3), 46.0 $(C-10^{\delta})$, 48.9 $(2C-2^{\delta})$, 48.9 $(2C-2^{*})$, 194 69.0 (C-15^{*}), 69.1 (C-15^δ), 74.9 (C-8^δ), 75.8 (C-8^{*}), 83.5 (C-14^δ), 83.8 (C-14^{*}), 99.3 (C-1^{*}) 195 and C-7^{*}), 99.6 (C-1^{*,δ} and C-7^{*,δ}), 110.5 (2CAr^{*}), 110.6 (2CAr^δ), 122.2 (2CAr^δ), 122.3 196 (2CAr*), 123.2 (C-3'* and C-5'*), 123.3 (C-3'^δ and C-5'^δ), 124.8 (2CAr*), 124.9 (2CAr^δ), 197 128.7 (2CAr*), 128.8 (2CAr⁵), 140.5 (C-2^{*}) and C-6^{*}), 140.6 (C-2^{*}) and C-6^{*}), 140.9 198 (2CqAr), 142.3 (2CqAr), 170.7 (C-4³), 171.3 (C-4³), 171.3 (2C-1⁸), 171.4 (2C-1^{*}), 172.3 199

- $(C=O^*)$ 175.4 $(C=O^{\delta})$. HRMS (ESI): $[M^+]$ calcd for $[C_{46}H_{60}N_3O_2^+]$ 686.4680, found 200 686.4708. IR ($\bar{\nu}$ (cm⁻¹)): 2962, 2924, 2872, 2183, 1734, 1647, 1554, 1450, 1363, 1275, 995. 201
- 2.2.5. Compound 10. Yield: 39%; green viscous oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) compound 202
- exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by $\delta : \delta 1.06$ 203
- (t, 6H, J_{4.5} = 7.5 Hz, H-5), 1.71 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.85-1.95 (m, 6H, H-7, H-4), 1.94 (t, 1H, J_{14.13}) 204 205 = 2.5 Hz, H-14), 2.22 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} = 7.0$ Hz, H-9^{*}), 2.26 (app qt, 2H, $J_{9,8} = J_{9,10} =$
- 7.0 Hz, H-9^δ), 2.49-2.54 (m, 2H, H-12), 2.56-2.67 (m, 6H, H-11, H-6), 3.06 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^δ), 206 3.14 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.67 (t, 2H, $J_{10.9} = 7.5$ Hz, H-10^{*}), 3.69 (t, 2H, $J_{10.9} = 7.5$ Hz, H-10^{δ}), 207 4.02-4.15 (m, 6H, H-8, H-3), 6.02 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-1^{**}, H-7^{**}), 6.07 (d, 2H, J = 14.0208
- Hz, H-1^{$^{\circ}$}, H-7^{$^{\circ}$}), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.21 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.33-7.40 (m, 209 4H, HAr), 8.02 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2³, H-6³), 8.05 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2³, H-6³). ¹³C 210 NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 11.8 (2C-5^{*,δ}), 14.6 (C-12^{*}), 14.8 (C-12^δ), 20.9 (2C-4), 21.1 (C-
- 7), 24.8 (2C-6), 28.5 (4CH₃), 28.6 (C-9^{*}), 29.1 (C-9^δ), 32.6 (C-11), 33.9 (N-CH₃^δ), 36.1 (N-212 CH_3^*), 45.3 (C-10^{*}), 46.1 (2C-3), 46.2 (C-10^{δ}), 49.1 (2C-2^{δ}), 49.1 (2C-2^{*}), 68.8 (C-14), 76.0 213 (C-8), 83.7 (C-13), 99.7 (C-1'* and C-7'*), 100.0 (C-1'* and C-7'*), 110.6 (2CAr*), 110.7 214 (2CAr^δ), 122.4 (2CAr^δ), 122.5 (2CAr^{*}), 123.7 (C-3^{*} and C-5^{*}), 123.8 (C-3^{*} and C-5^{*}), 215 125.0 (2CAr^{*}), 125.1 (2CAr[§]), 128.8 (2CAr^{*}), 128.9 (2CAr[§]), 140.6 (C-2^{*}) and C-6^{*}), 140.9 216 (C-2^{*} and C-6^{*}), 141.1 (2CqAr), 142.6 (2CqAr), 170.6 (C-4^{*}), 171.1 (C-4^{*}), 171.4 (2C-1^δ), 217 171.5 (2C-1^{*}), 171.5 (C=O). HRMS (ESI): [M⁺] calcd for [C₄₅H₅₈N₃O₂⁺] 672.4524, found 218
- 219 672.4499. IR (ῡ (cm⁻¹)): 2964, 2920, 2872, 2189, 1734, 1647, 1554, 1452, 1363, 1151, 916.
- 220

- 221 2.3. Synthesis of compounds 25 and 26.
- 222 To a solution of **10** or **11** (0.042 mmol) in ACN (1 mL), 12 mg of **2** (0.046 mmol, 1.1 eq.), 40 223 µL of sodium ascorbate (1M in water, 0.042 mmol), and 14 µL of copper (II) acetate (0.3 M 224 in water, 0.038 mmol, 0.9 eq.) were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature 225 for 24 h. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine (3×10 ml). The organic 226 solvent was dried over MgSO₄ and removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified 227 using a flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: DCM/MeOH 100/0 to 90/10) to afford 228 compound 25 or 26.
- 2.3.1. Compound 25. Yield: 50%. green viscous oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) compound 229
- exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by $\delta : \delta 0.98$ -230
- 1.05 (m, 48H, H-27, Si-isopropyl), 1.34 (app qt, 2H, $J_{17,18} = J_{17,16} = 6.5$ Hz, H-17), 1.58-1.65 231
- 232 (m, 2H, H-18), 1.66 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.80-1.96 (m, 10H, H-2, H-16, H-26, H-29), 2.11-2.21 (m,

2H, H-21), 2.29 (t, 2H, $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19^{*}), 2.35 (t, 2H, $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19[§]), 2.54 (bt, 233 4H, $J_{28,29} = 6.0$ Hz, H-28), 2.98 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{δ}), 3.06 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.56-3.64 (m, 4H, H-234 235 20, H-1), 3.95-4.10 (m, 7H, H-25, H-22, H-6), 4.13-4.21 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.21-4.32 (m, 4H, H-236 4, H-5, H-15), 4.31 (s, 2H, H-8 or H-11), 4.33-4.46 (m, 1H, H-7a), 4.40 (s, 2H, H-8 or H-11), 4.40-4.57 (m, 1H, H-7b), 4.52-4.60 (m, 2H, H-14), 5.98 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-7^{*}), 237 $6.02 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-1^{3}, H-7^{3}), 7.07 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, HAr), 7.18 (t, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, HAr)$ 238 HAr), 7.30-7.37 (m, 4H, HAr), 7.56 (s, 1H, H-triazole), 7.98 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2³, H-239 $6^{\circ\delta}$), 8.01 (d, 2H, J = 14.0 Hz, H-2^{*}, H-6^{*}). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ (ppm) = 11.1 240 (3C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 11.1 (3C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 11.7 (2C-27), 18.5 (6C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 18.6 241 (6C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 20.8 (2C-26), 21.0 (C-29), 24.3 (C-18), 24.6 (2C-28), 26.3 (C-17), 28.4 242 243 (4CH₃), 28.9 (C-21^{*}), 29.7(C-21^δ), 30.1 (C-2), 30.2 (C-16), 32.7 (C-19^δ), 33.2 (C-19^{*}), 33.6 (N-CH₃^δ), 35.8 (N-CH₃^{*}), 45.1 (C-20), 45.8 (2C-25), 49.0 (2C-24), 50.1 (C-15), 58.5 (C-8 or 244 C-11), 59.0 (C-8 or C-11), 64.6 (C-14), 67.4 (C-1), 74.8 (C-22⁸), 75.8 (C-22^{*}, C-4), 77.6 (C-245 3), 77.8 (d, $J_{C5-F} = 6.0$ Hz, C-5), 78.5 (d, $J_{C6-F} = 18.0$ Hz, C-6), 82.8 (d, $J_{C7-F} = 173.0$ Hz, C-246 7), 88.6 (C-10 or C-13), 88.8 (C-10 or C-13), 99.4 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 99.7 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 103.2 247 (C-9 or C-12), 103.0 (C-9 or C-12), 110.5 (2CAr^{*}), 110.6 (2CAr^δ), 122.3 (2CAr^{*}), 122.4 (CH-248 triazole), 122.5 (2Car^δ), 123.3 (C-3^{*}, C-5^{*}), 123.4 (C-3^{*}, C-5^{*}), 125.0 (2CAr^{*}), 125.1 249 (2CAr^δ), 128.8 (2CAr^{*}), 128.8 (2CAr^δ), 140.6 (C-2^{*,δ}, C-6^{*,δ}), 140.7 (2CqAr), 140.9 (C-2^{*,}, C-250 6^{**}), 142.4 (2CqAr), 145.4 (Cq-triazole), 170.8 (2C-23^δ), 171.3 (2C-23^{*}), 171.5 (C=O), 172.3 251 $(C-4^{\circ,\delta})$, 172.7 $(C-4^{\circ,*})$.¹⁹F NMR $(CD_3CN, 376 \text{ MHz})$: δ -231.0 (td, $J_{F,H-7} = 47.0 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{F,H-6} =$ 252 27.0 Hz). HRMS (ESI): $[M+H]^{2+}$ calcd for $[C_{80}H_{123}FN_6O_6Si_2]^{2+}$ 669.4508, found 669.4530. 253 IR (v (cm⁻¹)): 3387, 3005, 2958, 2939, 2864, 2169, 1637, 1556, 1508, 1394, 1365, 1228, 254 255 1163, 1151, 1085, 1043, 929.

2.3.2. Compound 26. Yield: 95%. green viscous oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) compound 256 exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by $\delta \approx 0.98$ -257 258 1.07 (m, 57H, H-27, Si-isopropyl, Si-tert-butyl), 1.32-1.40 (m, 2H, H-17), 1.61-1.68 (m, 2H, 259 H-18), 1.70 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.75-1.89 (m, 10H, H-2, H-16, H-26, H-29), 2.20 (app qt, 2H, $J_{21,20} = J_{21,22} = 7.5$ Hz, H-21^{*}), 2.23 (app qt, 2H, $J_{21,20} = J_{21,22} = 7.5$ Hz, H-21^{*}), 2.31 (t, 2H, 260 $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19^{*}), 2.39 (t, 2H, $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19^{δ}), 2.58 (bt, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, H-28), 261 3.00 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^δ), 3.09 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.59-3.77 (m, 6H, H-20, H-1, H-7), 3.97-4.08 (m, 262 263 7H, H-25, H-22, H-6), 4.13-4.33 (m, 7H, H-3, H-4, H-5, H-15, H-8 or H-11), 4.41-4.60 (m, 4H, H-8 or H-11, H-14), 5.99 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-7^{*}), 6.01 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-264

 $1^{,\delta}$, H-7^{,\delta}), 7.08 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.20 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.31-7.42 (m, 10H, 265 HAr), 7.46 (s, 1H, H-triazole), 7.62-7.69 (m, 4H, HAr), 8.01 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2^{3,8}, H-266 $6^{\circ\delta}$), 8.05 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2^{*}, H-6^{*}). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ (ppm) = 11.2 267 (3C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 11.2 (3C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 11.6 (2C-27), 18.6 (6C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 18.6 268 269 (6C, Si-CH-(CH₃)₂), 19.3 (C, Si-C), 20.8 (2C-26), 21.1 (C-29), 24.3 (C-18), 24.7 (2C-28), 270 26.9 (C-17), 26.9 (3C, Si-C(CH₃)₃), 28.5 (2CH₃), 28.6 (2CH₃), 29.0 (C-21), 30.2 (C-2), 30.3 (C-16), 32.9 $(C-19^{\delta})$, 33.3 $(C-19^{*})$, 33.7 $(N-CH_3^{\delta})$, 36.2 $(N-CH_3^{*})$, 45.1 (C-20), 45.9 (2C-25), 271 272 49.0 (2C-24), 50.1 (C-15), 58.5 (C-8 or C-11), 59.0 (C-8 or C-11), 64.6 (C-14), 64.6 (C-7), 273 67.8 (C-1), 76.0 (C-22), 77.0 (C-3 and C-4), 79.0 (C-5), 81.2 (C-6), 87.9 (C-10 and C-13), 99.5 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 99.8 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 103.1 (C-9 or C-12), 103.4 (C-9 or C-12), 110.5 274 (2CAr), 122.2 (CH-triazole), 122.3 (2CAr^δ), 122.4 (2CAr^{*}), 123.4 (C-3'^{*}, C-5'^{*}), 123.5 (C-275 3³, C-5³), 125.0 (2CAr), 127.7 (2CAr), 127.7 (2CAr), 128.8 (2CAr), 129.7 (CAr), 129.7 276 (CAr), 133.4 (CqAr), 133.5 (CqAr), 135.6 (2CAr), 135.7 (2CAr), 140.6 (C-2³, C-6³), 140.7 277 (2CqAr), 141.1 (C-2'*, C-6'*), 142.2 (2CqAr), 145.6 (Cq-triazole), 171.5 (2C-23), 171.5 (C-278 4'). 172.7 (C=O). HRMS (ESI): $[M+H]^{2+}$ calcd for $[C_{96}H_{142}N_6O_7Si_3]^{2+}$ 787.5118, found 279 787.5082. IR (v (cm⁻¹)): 2928, 2891, 2862, 2359, 2341, 1637, 1554, 1506, 1452, 1431, 1396, 280 281 1367, 1263, 1226, 1165, 1153, 1089, 999, 920.

282

283 2.4. Synthesis of compounds **27** and **28**.

To a solution of 25 or 26 (0.023 mmol) in THF (1 mL), 54 µL of tetrabutylammonium 284 285 fluoride (1 M in THF, 0.054 mmol, 2.4 eq.) was added at 0 °C under an inert atmosphere, and 286 the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1h or overnight for 25 and 26 respectively. The organic 287 solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was solubilized in CH₂Cl₂ (10 288 mL). The organic layer was washed with 0.1 M HCl solution (5 mL) and with brine until pH 289 = 7. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. 290 The crude product was purified using a flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: 291 DCM/MeOH 100/0 to 90/10) to afford compound 27 or 28.

292 2.4.1. Compound **27**. Yield: 75%. green foam. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) compound exists 293 as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by ^{δ}: δ 1.04 (t, 6H, 294 $J_{26,27} = 7.5$ Hz, H-27), 1.36 (app qt, 2H, $J_{17,18} = J_{17,16} = 6.5$ Hz, H-17), 1.60-1.67 (m, 2H, H-295 18), 1.69 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.82-1.97 (m, 10H, H-2, H-16, H-26, H-29), 2.15-2.22 (m, 2H, H-296 21), 2.31 (t, 2H, $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19^{*}), 2.37 (t, 2H, $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19^{δ}), 2.45 (t, 1H, J =

297 2.5 Hz, H-10 or H-13), 2.50 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.5 Hz, H-10 or H-13), 2.51-2.61 (m, 4H, H-28), 3.00

(s, 3H, N-CH₃^δ), 3.08 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.58-3.66 (m, 4H, H-20, H-1), 3.96-4.11 (m, 7H, H-298 25, H-22, H-6), 4.15-4.21 (m, 3H, H-3, H-4, H-5), 4.27 (d, 2H, H-8 or H-11), 4.35 (t, 2H, 299 $J_{15.16} = 7.5$ Hz, H-15), 4.38 (d, 2H, H-8 or H-11), 4.38-4.51 (m, 1H, H-7a), 4.51-4.63 (m, 1H, 300 H-7b), 4.55-4.61 (m, 2H, H-14), 6.03 (bs, 2H, H-1^{3δ ,*}, H-7^{3δ ,*}), 7.09 (bd, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, 301 HAr), 7.21 (bs, 2H, HAr), 7.31-7.40 (m, 4H, HAr), 7.67 (s, 1H, H-triazole), 8.02 (bs, 2H, H-302 $2^{*,\delta}$, H-6^{*,\delta}). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ (ppm) = 11.8 (2C-27), 20.8 (2C-26), 21.1 (C-303 29), 24.4 (C-18), 24.7 (2C-28), 26.3 (C-17), 28.5 (4CH₃), 29.0 (C-21^{*}), 29.5 (C-21[§]), 29.8 (C-304 2), 30.3 (C-16), 32.8 (C-19⁸), 33.3 (C-19^{*}), 33.7 (N-CH₃⁸), 35.9 (N-CH₃^{*}), 45.1 (C-20), 45.9 305 (2C-25), 49.0 (2C-24), 50.2 (C-15), 58.4 (C-8 or C-11), 58.6 (C-8 or C-11), 64.5 (C-14), 67.3 306 307 (C-1), 74.9 (C-22⁸), 75.0 (C-22^{*}), 75.6 (C-4), 75.9 (C-10 or C-13), 76.5 (C-10 or C-13), 77.7 (C-3), 78.3 (d, $J_{C6-F} = 18.0$ Hz, C-6), 79.1 (d, $J_{C5-F} = 6.0$ Hz, C-5), 79.3 (C-9 or C-12), 79.9 308 (C-9 or C-12), 82.7 (d, $J_{C7-F} = 173.0$ Hz, C-7), 99.5 (C-1'*, C-7'*), 99.8 (C-1' δ , C-7' δ), 110.6 309 (2CAr^{*}), 110.6 (2CAr^δ), 122.4 (2CAr^{*}), 122.8 (CH-triazole), 123.5 (2Car^δ), 123.4 (C-3'^{*}, C-310 5'*), 123.5 (C-3'^δ, C-5'^δ), 125.1 (2CAr^{*}), 125.1 (2CAr^δ), 128.8 (2CAr^{*}), 128.9 (2CAr^δ), 140.6 311 (C-2³, C-6³), 140.8 (2CqAr), 141.0 (C-2³, C-6³), 142.5 (2CqAr), 145.2 (Cq-triazole), 170.8 312 (2C-23⁸), 171.4 (2C-23^{*}), 171.5 (C=O), 172.5 (C-4^{*}), 172.8 (C-4^{*}). ¹⁹F NMR (CD₃CN, 376 313 MHz): δ -232.0 (td, $J_{FH-7} = 49.0$ Hz, $J_{FH-6} = 28.0$ Hz). HRMS (ESI): $[M+H]^{2+}$ calcd for 314 $[C_{62}H_{83}FN_6O_6]^{2+}$ 513.3174, found 513.3175. IR ($\bar{\nu}$ (cm⁻¹)): 3286, 3005, 2987, 2924, 2856, 315 2318, 1735, 1635, 1556, 1508, 1452, 1396, 1363, 1311, 1224, 1163, 1082, 927. 316

317 2.4.2. Compound **28**. Yield: 82%. green foam. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) compound exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is designated by * and minor by $\delta : \delta 1.01$ (t, 6H, 318 *J*_{26,27} = 7.5 Hz, H-27), 1.26-1.33 (m, 2H, H-17), 1.51-1.64 (m, 2H, H-18), 1.68 (s, 12H, CH₃), 319 1.76-1.90 (m, 10H, H-2, H-16, H-26, H-29), 2.13-2.24 (m, 2H, H-21), 2.28 (t, 2H, J_{19,18} = 7.5 320 Hz, H-19^{*}), 2.37 (t, 2H, $J_{19,18} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19⁸), 2.59 (bt, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, H-28), 2.72 (t, 1H, J 321 = 2.5 Hz, H-10 or H-13), 2.75 (t, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, H-10 or H-13), 2.93 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{δ}), 3.01 322 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.34-3.64 (m, 6H, H-20, H-1, H-7), 3.72-3.77 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.97-4.07 (m, 323 324 8H, H-25, H-22, H-4, H-3), 4.08-4.13 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.22-4.36 (m, 6H, H-15, H-8, H-11), 4.41-4.53 (m, 2H, H-14), 6.07 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1^{**}, H-7^{**}), 6.09 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-325 1^{°δ}, H-7^{°δ}), 7.21-7.27 (m, 4H, HAr), 7.39 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.47 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 326 HAr), 7.74 (s, 1H, H-triazole), 8.07 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2³, H-6³), 8.08 (d, 2H, J = 13.5327 Hz, H-2^{*}, H-6^{*}). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 100.6 MHz): δ 11.6 (2C-27), 21.3 (2C-26), 22.0 (C-328 29), 25.0 (C-18), 25.1 (2C-28), 26.8 (C-17), 28.5 (2CH₃), 28.6 (2CH₃), 29.7 (C-21^{*}), 30.0 (C-329 21^δ), 30.3 (C-2), 30.8 (C-16), 32.6 (C-19^δ), 33.3 (N-CH₃^δ), 33.6 (C-19^{*}), 35.9 (N-CH₃^{*}), 45.3 330

 $(C-20^*)$, 46.3 (2C-25), 47.5 (C-20^{δ}), 49.9 (2C-24), 50.6 (C-15), 58.7 (C-8 or C-11), 59.1 (C-8 331 or C-11), 62.8 (C-7), 64.7 (C-14), 67.7 (C-1), 75.7 (C-10 or C-13), 76.0 (C-22), 76.9 (C-3), 332 77.9 (C-9 or C-12), 78.6 (C-4), 80.8 (C-5), 81.0 (C-9 or C-12), 81.1 (C-10 or C-13), 81.4 (C-333 6), 100.2 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 100.3 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 111.7 (CAr), 111.8 (CAr), 123.2 (C-3^{*}, C-5^{*}), 334 123.3 (C-3³, C-5³), 123.7 (2CAr⁸), 123.7 (2CAr^{*}), 124.0 (CH-triazole), 125.6 (2CAr^{*}), 125.7 335 (2CAr^δ), 129.5 (2CAr), 141.5 (C-2^{'δ}, C-6^{'δ}), 141.7 (C-2^{'*}, C-6^{'*}), 142.0 (2CqAr), 143.7 336 (2CqAr), 145.7 (Cq-triazole), 171.4 (C-4³), 171.8 (C-4³), 172.8 (2C-23), 173.4 (C=O). 337 HRMS (ESI): $[M+H]^{2+}$ calcd for $[C_{62}H_{84}N_6O_7]^{2+}$ 512.3195, found 512.3216. IR (\bar{v} (cm⁻¹)): 338 2929, 2863, 2350, 2335, 1637, 1554, 1506, 1452, 1431, 1396, 1367, 1263, 1226, 1165, 1153, 339 340 1089, 999, 920.

- 341
- 342 2.5. Synthesis of compound **30**

To a solution of **27** (6.3 mg, 5.5 μ mol, 1.0 eq.) in a mixture of DMF/H₂O (1/1 v/v) (1 mL), 23 mg of **29** (27.3 μ mol, 4.2 eq.), 27 μ L of copper (II) sulphate (1 M in water, 27 μ mol, 3.0 eq.), and 55 μ L of sodium ascorbate (1 M in water, 54.6 μ mol, 10 eq.) were added, and the mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 24 h. The solvent was removed under *vacuum* and the crude product was purified using size-exclusion chromatography on Sephadex LH20 in methanol/H₂O (1/1 v/v) to afford compound **30** in 15% yield as a green solid after freeze drying. HRMS (ESI): [M]³⁺ calcd for [C₁₃₈H₂₀₄FN₃₀O₃₀]³⁺ 926.8442, found 926.8414.

350

351 2.6. Synthesis of compound **32**

To a solution of **31** (18 mg, 0.016 mmol) in freshly distilled CH_2Cl_2 (1.5 mL), 14 μ L of DIPEA (0.079 mmol, 5.0 equiv.) and 11 mg of methanesulfonic anhydride (0.063 mmol, 4.0 equiv.) were added under inert atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 16 hours at room temperature. The solution was diluted in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) and the organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl (10 mL) and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under *vacuum*. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: DCM/MeOH 4/6) to afford compound **32** in 60% yield as a green foam.

- 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) compound exists as a mixture of two rotamers, major rotamer is
- 360 designated by * and minor by ^{δ}: δ 1.01 (t, 6H, $J_{26,27}$ = 7.5 Hz, H-27), 1.28-1.33 (m, 2H, H-17),
- 361 1.51-1.64 (m, 2H, H-18), 1.68 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.76-1.90 (m, 10H, *H*-2, H-16, H-26, H-29),
- 362 2.14-2.23 (m, 2H, H-21), 2.28 (t, 2H, $J_{1918} = 7.5$ Hz, H-19^{*}), 2.37 (t, 2H, $J_{1918} = 7.5$ Hz, H-
- 363 19^{δ}), 2.59 (bt, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, H-28), 2.73 (t, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, H-10 or H-13), 2.79 (t, 1H, J =

2.5 Hz, H-10 or H-13), 2.94 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^b), 3.01 (s, 3H, N-CH₃^{*}), 3.03 (s, 3H, CH₃-Ms), 364 3.46-3.66 (m, 4H, H-20, H-1), 3.92-4.12 (m, 10H, H-25, H-22, H-4, H-3, H-6, H-5), 4.18-4.39 365 (m, 8H, *H-15*, *H-8*, *H-11*, H-7), 4.42-4.50 (m, 2H, H-14), 6.07 (d, 2H, *J* = 13.5 Hz, H-1^{*}, H-366 7^{*}), 6.09 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1^{* δ}, H-7^{* δ}), 7.20-7.27 (m, 4H, HAr), 7.39 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, 367 HAr), 7.47 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, HAr), 7.70 (s, 1H, H-triazole), 8.07 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2³, 368 H-6^{* δ}), 8.09 (d, 2H, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2^{*}, H-6^{*}). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 100.6 MHz): δ 11.6 (2C-369 27), 21.3 (2C-26), 22.0 (C-29), 25.1 (C-18), 25.2 (2C-28), 26.9 (C-17), 28.6 (4CH₃), 29.7 (C-370 21^{*}), 30.3 (C-21^δ), 30.6 (C-2), 30.8 (C-16), 32.6 (C-19^δ), 33.6 (C-19^{*}), 34.3 (N-CH₃^δ), 35.9 371 (N-CH₃^{*}), 37.7 (CH₃-Ms), 45.3 (C-20^{*}), 46.3 (2C-25), 47.5 (C-20⁸), 49.9 (C-15), 50.6 (2C-372 373 24), 59.0 (C-8 or C-11), 59.3 (C-8 or C-11), 64.8 (C-14), 67.6 (C-1), 71.0 (C-7), 75.9 (C-10 or C-13), 76.5 (C-22), 76.9 (C-6), 77.8 (C-9 or C-12), 78.1 (C-4), 78.5 (C-3), 80.6 (C-5), 80.8 374 (C-9 or C-12), 81.0 (C-10 or C-13), 100.3 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 100.3 (C-1^{*}, C-7^{*}), 111.7 (CAr), 375 111.8 (CAr), 123.2 (C-3^{*}, C-5^{*}), 123.3 (C-3^{*}, C-5^{*}), 123.7 (2CAr[§]), 123.7 (2CAr[§]), 124.0 376 (CH-triazole), 125.7 (2CAr^{*}), 125.7 (2Car^δ), 129.5 (2CAr), 141.5 (C-2^{*,δ}, C-6^{*,δ}), 141.8 (C-2^{*,*}, 377 C-6^{*}), 142.0 (2CqAr), 143.7 (2CqAr), 145.6 (Cq-triazole), 171.4 (C-4^{*}), 171.8 (C-4^{*}), 378 172.9 (2C-23), 173.3 (C=O). ¹⁹F NMR (CD₃CN, 376 MHz): δ -79.5. HRMS (ESI): [M+H]²⁺ 379 calcd for $[C_{63}H_{86}N_6O_9S]^{2+}$ 551.3083, found 551.3065. IR ($\bar{\nu}$ (cm⁻¹)): 2930, 2870, 2340, 2330, 380 1637, 1554, 1506, 1452, 1431, 1396, 1367, 1263, 1226, 1165, 1153, 1089, 998. 381

382

383 **3. Results and discussion**

384 3.1. Synthesis of novel meso-*O*-alkyl Cy7 derivatives ready for click coupling

385 The nature of the atom (Cl, N, S, O) on the meso-position of Cy7 affects the stability and 386 photophysical properties of the dye and, therefore, its potential as imaging agent.[9-11] While 387 *N*-alkyl, -*S*-alkyl or -*O*-aryl Cy7 are the most described in the literature, the meso-*O*-alkyl 388 Cy7 derivatives are known to exhibit greater stability.[10,12] Although direct nucleophilic 389 substitution on the meso-Cl of the commercial Cy7 derivative (IR780) with amine, thiol or 390 phenol derivatives is straightforward, it is far more challenging with alkyl alcohols and 391 explains the lower number of reports concerning meso-O-alkyl Cy7 compounds.[10] 392 Schnermann et al. reported an efficient method to access these compounds: meso-N-alkyl Cy7 393 derivatives obtained by nucleophilic substitution of the meso-Cl of IR780 are then 394 transformed into meso-O-alkyl Cy7 compounds via a N- to O-transposition with electrophiles, 395 notably exemplified with carboxylic acids activated in situ. [12,13]. We have extended this 396 reaction with various carboxylic acids to provide new meso-O-alkyl Cy7 derivatives bearing

functional groups suitable for copper-catalyzed alkyne-azide cycloaddition (CuAAC),[24]
inverse electron demand Diels–Alder (IEDDA),[25] and thiol-ene reactions.[26]

399 The compound 1 was prepared by the reaction of commercial IR780 and 3-400 (methylamino)propan-1-ol in acetonitrile (Scheme 1).[12] This key intermediate 1 was then 401 submitted to the N- to O-transposition under various conditions with different carboxylic 402 acids bearing an azide, an alkyne or an alkene (Scheme 1). Based on the report of 403 Schnermann et al., the first assay was performed using 6-azidohexanoic acid with HATU (7 404 equiv.) as activating agent and DIPEA (8 equiv.) in DMF at 35°C during 18h (Table 1, entry 405 1). Transposed meso-O-alkyl compound 2 was obtained in poor yield (13%), whereas the 406 meso-N-alkyl byproduct 3, resulting from O-acylation, was isolated in 53% yield. 407 Schnermann et al. reported the formation of these type of O-acylated compounds using an electrophile such as AcCl.[12] The structure of compound **3** was confirmed by 1 H and 13 C 408 409 NMR spectra and mass spectrometry. Of note, for meso-O-alkyl Cy7 2, two rotamers formed by the amide function were clearly recognizable on ¹H NMR spectra, which contrastingly was 410 411 not observed for the meso-N-alkyl 3. Compounds 2 (green powder) and 3 (blue viscous oil) 412 were separated by column chromatography (See ESI Fig S4, for optical spectra). EDC was 413 then used for preactivation (4 equiv) in a second experiment with DIPEA (1 equiv.) in DCM 414 at 40°C for 18h (Table 1, entry 2). The meso-O-alkyl Cy7 2 was then successfully obtained in 415 66% yield along with meso-N-alkyl Cy7 3 in only 12% yield. In the same conditions, another 416 attempt was performed in a sealed tube (entry 3), which allowed to reach 82% yield for the 417 desired meso-O-alkyl Cy7 2, the formation of 3 being limited to 8%. While optimizing this 418 protocol, different parameters have been changed: the number of equivalents of electrophile 419 (entry 4), the reaction temperature (entry 5) and also the use of another activating agent 420 (PyBop, entry 6), that yet failed at exceeding previous yield. The best protocol found in entry 421 3, was then successfully implemented with 5-azidopentanoic acid leading exclusively to 422 meso-O-alkyl 4 in 76% yield. Other functionalized electrophiles were tested in these optimal 423 conditions, hex-5-enoic acid and hex-5-ynoic acid (Table 1, entries 8 and 9), which led to 424 meso-O-alkyl compounds 6 and 8 in 79 and 50% respectively. We can note that meso-N-alkyl 425 byproduct 9 was also produced in 5% yield, whereas no meso-N-alkyl derivative was isolated 426 when the reaction was performed with hex-5-enoic acid. In the case of pent-4-ynoic acid, the 427 predominant compound was the meso-N-alkyl Cy7 11 obtained in 50% yield, the meso-O-428 alkyl Cy7 10 being nevertheless isolated in 39% (Table 1, entry 10). Finally, we attempted to 429 use a carboxylic acid displaying a tetrazine moiety for IEDDA conjugation (entry 11),

- 430 however no N- to O-transposition occurred, certainly due to the rigidity generated by the
- 431 phenyl spacer. The meso-*N*-alkyl Cy7 **13** was the only compound formed in 81% yield.



- 433 Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i) 3-(methylamino)propan-1-ol, acetonitrile, rt, 15min,
- 434 96%.
- 435

436 Table 1. Scope and limitation of *N*- to *O*- transposition reaction

	Electrophile	Reaction conditions	Product, isolated yield		
Entry			meso-O-alkyl	meso-N-alkyl	
			Cy7	Cy7	
1	N ₃ OH (8 eq)	HATU (7 eq.), DIPEA (8 eq.), DMF, 35°C, 18h, round bottom flask	2 , 13%	3 , 53%	
2	N ₃ OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, round bottom flask	2, 66%	3 , 12%	
3	N ₃ OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	2 , 82%	3, 8%	
4	N ₃ OH (2 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	2 , 64%	3, 7%	
5	N_3 OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 50°C, 18h, sealed tube	2 , 66% ^a	3, 6%	
6	N ₃ OH (4 eq)	PyBop (2 eq), DIPEA (3 eq), rt, 20h, sealed tube	2,45%	3, 20%	
7	N ₃ OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	4 , 76%	5, traces	

8	O OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	6 , 79%	7,0%
9	OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	8 , 50%	9 , 5%
10	о ОН (4 еq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	10 , 39%	11 , 50%
11	N ^N N N ^N N OH (4 eq)	EDC (4eq), DIPEA (1eq), DCM, 40°C, 18h, sealed tube	12 , 0%	13 , 81%

^adegradation products was observed

438

439 3.2. Synthesis of the multimodal probe

440 Having in hand meso-O-alkyl derivatives ready for click coupling, we then focused on the 441 synthesis of the targeted multimodal PET/PAI/FLI imaging probe. To this end, we used a 442 properly functionalized C-glycosyl central platform for enabling the regioselective grafting of 443 both imaging and targeting entities. C-glycosyl compounds have a stable C-C bond on the 444 pseudo-anomeric carbon, making them resistant to enzymatic hydrolysis which is crucial for 445 in vivo applications.[27] We recently developed a clickable C-glycosyl scaffold, designed 446 with free and temporarily masked triple bonds which is ideal for their activation at the 447 appropriate synthetic step, thus allowing the sequential introduction of a fluorine atom via 448 nucleophilic substitution and also a fluorophore and targeting moieties via CuAAC.[21,22] A 449 similar multi-step synthetic strategy was used to access both the non-radioactive probe (with a ¹⁹F) and the radiolabelling precursor bearing a leaving group required for subsequent ¹⁸F-450 451 radiolabelling process. Briefly, compound 14 was synthesized as previously reported[28] and 452 further fluorinated with DAST or protected with a TBDPS (Scheme 2). The reduction of 453 methyl ester on compounds 15 and 16 followed by propargylation of the resulting alcohol led 454 to compounds 19 and 20 in high yields. In order to sequentially introduce by CuAAC first the 455 photoactive dye and then the targeting entities in a later stage, two TIPS-protected alkynes 456 were introduced after removal of the 4,5-isopropylidene protecting group. Ethers 23 and 24 457 were obtained in 94% and 78% yields, respectively. The azido cyanine 2 was selected for 458 CuAAC coupling with alkyne 23 and 24, resulting in the formation after purification by 459 column chromatography of cycloadducts 25 and 26 in 50% and 95% yields respectively. The 460 temporary TIPS and TBDPS protecting groups were then removed with tetrabutylammonium 461 fluoride, then providing compounds 27 and 28 in high yields.







464 Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: i) DAST, diglyme, 0 °C to 110 °C, 2 h, 85%; ii) 465 TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMF, 0 °C to rt, 16 h, 95%; iii) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C to rt, 2 h, **17**: 82%, 466 **18**: 80%; iv) propargyl bromide, NaH, 0 °C to rt, 16 h, **19**: 95% in DMF, **20**: 70% in THF; v) 467 TFA/H₂O, rt, 3 h, **21**: 84% or AcOH/H₂O, 80 °C, 4 h, **22**: 54%; vi) TIPS-propargyl bromide, 468 NaH, 0 °C to rt, 5 h, **23**: 94% in DMF, **24**: 78% in THF. vii) Cu(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv.), sodium 469 ascorbate (0.2 equiv.), ACN/H₂O (1/1 v/v), 12 h, rt, **25**: 50%, **26**: 95%; viii) TBAF (1 M, 470 THF), THF, 0°C, 1 h or 0°C to rt, 12 h, **27**: 75%, **28**: 82%.

472 3.3. Coupling of the probe with targeting entities

473 Conjugation with targeting vectors in the final step allows versatility in receptor targeting and 474 therefore facilitates further change of vectors for their facile adaptation to imaging another 475 biological target. Herein, the feasibility of CuAAC coupling with peptide vectors was 476 demonstrated by reaction with a model peptide c(RGDfK), known for selectively binding to 477 $\alpha_V \beta_3$ integrins and widely used as model for cancer diagnosis and tumor imaging.[29] A 478 c(RGDfK) analog previously modified with an alkyne-PEG₄ **29**[21] selected to increase the 479 hydrophilicity of the platform, was reacted with the photoactive probe 27. This reaction took 480 place at 40°C during 24 h in a mixture of DMF/H₂O 1/1 using excess of copper(II) sulfate and 481 sodium ascorbate (Scheme 3). Purification by steric exclusion gel filtration afforded the 482 conjugate 30 in 15% yield after freeze drying. Importantly, because two vacant positions remain on the C-glycosyl platform, coupling with two c(RGDfK) peptides would provide 483

484 access to divalence which has been suggested as an attractive option to enhance targeting
485 efficiency.[21,22,30] This proof of concept with RGD derivatives thus paves the way for
486 peptide-divalent probes relevant for *in vivo* imaging applications.



487 488

489 Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) $CuSO_4$ (3 equiv.), sodium ascorbate (10 equiv.), 490 DMF/H₂O 1/1 v/v, 40°C, 24 h, 15%.

491

492 3.4. Synthesis of radiolabelling precursor and ¹⁸F-radiolabelling

493 The synthesis of the radiolabelling precursor was then implemented. In this context, because 494 the iodide counter anion of cyanine 28 can compromise the subsequent 18 F-fluorination by 495 competitive nucleophilic substitution, it was exchanged with a triflate anion (non-nucleophilic anion). The anion metathesis of compound 28 was carried out using an Oasis[®] MCX cartridge 496 497 with a solution of silver triflate (0.2 M) to afford compound **31**. A leaving group was then introduced to allow subsequent ¹⁸F-radiolabelling (Scheme 4). The reaction was carried out at 498 499 room temperature with methane sulfonic anhydride and DIPEA during 12 h and mesylated 500 compound 32 was obtained in 60% yield.



502 Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: i) Ms₂O, DIPEA, DCM, 0°C to rt, 12 h, 60%; ii) 503 $K[^{18}F]F-K_{222}$, acetonitrile, 95°C, 15 min, 19%.

504

505 The radiolabelling of mesylated precursor 32 with fluorine-18 was investigated using an 506 AllInOne synthesizer. Building upon our previous successful radiosynthesis of a cyanine-5 (Cy5) based probe via direct radiofluorination for formation of a [¹⁸F]F-C bond,[21,22] the 507 same conditions were employed herein, namely using the $K[^{18}F]F-K_{222}$ complex in 508 509 acetonitrile at 95°C. Optimization of the reaction time (5, 10 and 15 min) showed that 15 510 minutes provided the best yield. Analysis of the crude mixture by radio-HPLC showed the formation of [¹⁸F]27 with an incorporation yield of 19%, which is a good yield for this type of 511 radiolabelling involving the formation of $[^{18}F]F-C$ bond. The structure of $[^{18}F]27$ was 512 513 confirmed by comparison with the non-radioactive compound 27, both displaying identical 514 retention times in HPLC (see ESI, Fig S2 and S3). We thus proved the successful extension of 515 this radiofluorination approach from a Cy5-based probe to the more challenging Cy7-based compound. Automated peptide coupling on $[^{18}F]27$ is currently in progress to perform 516 517 preclinical PET imaging.

518

519 3.5. Optical and photoacoustic properties

520 To explore the influence of functional groups on the photophysical characteristics of meso-O-521 alkyl heptamethine cyanines (Table 1), we studied the properties of the representative meso-522 O-alkyl Cy7 derivatives bearing an azide (compound 2), an alkene (compound 6), and an 523 alkyne group (compound 8). Moreover, the photophysical characteristics of the C-glycosyl 524 central platform functionalized by the meso-O-alkyl Cy7 derivative 2 (compound 27) were 525 also evaluated. Thus, the optical properties (absorption and emission wavelengths, relative 526 fluorescence quantum yield Φ_{fluo} and molecular brightness B) of compounds 2, 6 and 8, as 527 well as the multimodal imaging probe 27 were characterized by steady-state one photon 528 absorption/emission measurements in DMSO and compared to commercial IR780 and ICG 529 (Table 2, Fig 1). More precisely, the cyanine derivatives 2, 6 and 8 display one major 530 absorption band with a maximum located at 772 nm and an emission band characterized by a 531 small Stokes shift ($\Delta\lambda = 13-18$ nm, Table 2). All studied derivatives exhibited high molar 532 absorption coefficients in DMSO that are comparable with the parent dye IR780 (Table 2). 533 The fluorescence quantum yields are relatively high ($\Phi_{fluo} > 15\%$) and do not vary 534 significantly among derivatives of meso-O-alkyl Cv7, thus no apparent effect of the 535 functional group was observed on their photophysical properties. Introduction of the C-536 glycosyl platform by CuAAC on compound 2 leading to the probe 27 only had a small effect 537 on photophysical properties. Hypsochromically shifted absorption and emission profiles were 538 observed with sufficiently high molar absorptivity and improved fluorescence quantum yield 539 relative to the IR780 reference, indicating that 27 has excellent characteristics for optical 540 imaging purpose. Moreover, meso-O-alkyl cyanines compare favorably with the well-541 established indocyanine green (ICG, Table 2) that is approved by both the FDA and EMA as 542 an intraoperative fluorescent agent, and granted NIR photoactivation albeit with a moderate 543 Stokes shift.

544

545 546

Table 2. Selected photophysical properties of meso-O-alkyl Cy7 derivatives, IR780 and ICG measured in

			DMSO.			
Compound	λ_{abs} (nm)	λ _{em} (nm)	Stokes shift $\Delta v (cm^{-1}) / \Delta \lambda (nm)$	ϵ (M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	$\Phi_{\mathrm{fluo}}^{\mathrm{[b]}} \ (\%)$	$\mathbf{B}^{[c]}$ (M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)
2	773	786	214 / 13	226 600	23	52 100
6	773	786	214 / 13	250 000	15	37 500
8	773	789	260 / 16	214 000	22	47 100
27	772	790	295 / 18	202 400	19	38 500
IR780	794	815	325 / 21	228 200	8 ^[a]	18 300
ICG	795 ^[d]	820 ^[d]	383 / 25	224 000 ^[d]	16.7 ^[d]	37 400

[a] relative to $\Phi_{\text{fluo}} = 8\%$ of IR780 in EtOH[31], [b] $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 750$ nm, [c] Fluorescence brightness =

547 548

 $\epsilon \times \Phi_{fluo}$, [d] from reference [32]



551 Figure 1. Molar absorption coefficients (full line) and emission (dotted line) spectra recorded 552 at 298 K for compound **27** in DMSO, $\lambda_{ex} = 750$ nm.

550

554 Next, we performed phantom imaging to investigate the PA contrast produced by probe 27. 555 A photoacoustic tomograph using a curved transductor was operated as previously described 556 [33] with selected samples loaded in PTFE tube and mounted on a rotating holder immerged 557 into deionized water. Under NIR irradiation at its maximal absorbance wavelength, i.e. 772 558 nm, compound 27 proved to display intense PA contrast (Figure 2). Its PA amplitude is found 559 to linearly increase along to concentration (see ESI, Figure S5), which is expected due to its 560 correlation with the agent's absorbance.[34-36] Of note, calibration of PA tomograph is a 561 challenging task, [37] hence instead an internal standard is used for ensuring repeatability 562 among imaging sessions and for accurate quantification of PA signal. CuSO₄ was selected as 563 the internal standard because it absorbs between 650-1000 nm, showing an intense absorption 564 at the selected wavelength of 772 nm, and neither suffers photobleaching nor 565 photodegradation. Compound 27 displayed significant PA amplitude with a detection limit 566 below the tens of micromolar (Figure S5), and its PA amplitude compared favorably with two 567 well-established cyanine-7 derivatives, *i.e.* ICG and IR780 (Figure 2).

- 568
- 569





571 Figure 2. Phantom imaging in photoacoustic under irradiation at 772 nm of **27** and 572 comparison to golden standards ICG and IR780, diluted in DMSO at 40 μ M. A) 573 Representative PA image of the samples and B) comparison of their PA amplitude normalized 574 to IR780.

576 Because PA imaging relies on a photophysical process that is competitive with fluorescence, 577 and both modalities are looked after herein, we aimed at reasonably boosting the fluorescence 578 quantum yield of our dyes for achieving a greater signal-to-noise ratio in FLI, while 579 maintaining sufficient PA amplitude. This balance between radiative and non-radiative 580 deexcitation pathways is well demonstrated by weighting out the absorption properties (E 581 values at the irradiation wavelength) together with fluorescence properties (quantum yield of 582 fluorescence) of IR780 and 27. As previously shown in Table 2, Cl- to O-substitution of 583 IR780 has an important impact on the Φ_{fluo} values (8% and 19% for IR780 and 27, 584 respectively) hence boosting the emissive desexcitation pathway. These results show that 27 585 displayed a higher fluorescence quantum yield together with a high PA contrast that is 586 comparable to IR780 at the same molar concentration. Both 27 and IR780 displayed 587 comparable PA amplitude at 772 nm probably because of the higher absorptivity of 27 at this selected wavelength than IR780 (143 000 and 202 400 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ for IR780 and 27, 588 589 respectively).

590

591 The development of sophisticated probes competent for multimodal imaging is a daunting 592 challenge and further illustrated by the limited number of multimodal PET/PAI/FLI imaging 593 agents described in the literature.[20]. This task encompasses multiple challenges, including 594 synthesis, radiosynthesis, and the careful selection of a dye suitable for both FLI and PAI 595 applications. For probing the possibility of overcoming this synthetic challenge, we 596 previously, devised a synthetic strategy that permitted the introduction onto a C-glycosidic platform of a fluorine-18 for forming a stable [¹⁸F]F-C bond together with a Cy5 dye.[21] 597 598 Building upon this successful synthetic proof of concept, we aimed herein at overcoming the 599 photophysical limitations of Cy5 for optical imaging by adapting this protocol to the more 600 suitable NIR active cyanine-7 while expanding the landscape of this synthetic approach. 601 Consequently, we selected herein the challenging meso-O-alkyl Cy7 derivatives that are more 602 compatible with FLI/PAI imaging owing to their appropriate balance between emissive and 603 non-emissive desexcitation pathways, for which only a limited number of synthetic routes was 604 described in the literature.[10,12] This work represents the first proof of concept of a 605 competent PET/PAI/FLI probe with well-balanced imaging properties, which can be coupled 606 to diverse vectors for *in vivo* targeting and thus possesses a strong potential toward clinical 607 translation. Importantly, because this approach was designed to grant the fast and modular 608 functionalization with vectors of the platform at a latest stage, which is crucial for versatile 609 diagnosis and personalized medicine, the present work reaches far beyond cancer diagnosis. 610 Furthermore, probe 27 that bears two anchoring points, also offered the advantage of 611 harnessing bivalency for a more specific targeting. Although 27 initially lacked aqueous 612 solubility, this was successfully overcome by incorporating vectors bearing a hydrophilic 613 spacer like PEG₄. The resulting agent **30** displayed a $clogD_{7,4}$ of -3.12, hence making it more 614 likely for translation toward in vivo applications. To the best of our knowledge, the sole 615 example of a multimodal PET/PAI/FLI agent described in literature [20], constructed on a 616 croconium vector, capitalizes on its inherent photophysical properties and was radiolabeled through a stable $[{}^{18}F]F$ -C bond. Compared to this croconium agent, the probe 27 displayed 1) 617 618 an appropriate photophysical balance for performing both FLI and PAI, 2) the possibility to 619 access divalence thanks to two vacant positions on the platform, which could be attractive for 620 enhancing its targeting efficiency, 3) the conjugation in the final step which should facilitate 621 the change of vectors to switch to another biological target (versatility in receptor targeting 622 and pathology to be diagnosed).

623

624 **4. Conclusion**

In this study, we present a synthetic route for accessing a multimodal imaging probe designed for PET/PAI/FLI applications and evaluation of their optical properties. By exploiting a *N*- to *O*-transposition reaction, we first synthesized a series of meso-*O*-alkyl cyanine-7 derivatives bearing functional groups (azide, alkyne, alkene) of use for further conjugation. These meso-*O*-alkyl cyanine 7 derivatives demonstrated excellent characteristics for fluorescence imaging 630 compared to IR780, with high molar absorptivity and an improved fluorescence quantum 631 yield (>15%). The meso-O-alkyl Cy7 derivative bearing an azide was coupled to a highly functionalized C-glycosyl platform and successfully ¹⁸F-radiolabelled via a [¹⁸F]F-C bond. Its 632 633 non-radioactive analog, the probe 27 proved to display excellent photophysical properties 634 well-balanced for concomitant fluorescence imaging and photoacoustic imaging. Finally, a 635 late-stage CuAAC coupling of 27 with two model peptides c(RGDfK) was successfully 636 performed, thus demonstrating the feasibility and the versatility of this conjugation step. 637 Altogether, compound 27 undeniably emerged as a promising multimodal PET/PAI/FLI probe 638 foreshadowing of its great potential across a broad spectrum of imaging modalities and 639 applications.

640

641 **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

642 Kamal Jouad: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. 643 Emilien Mengel: Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. Katalin Selmeczi: Methodology, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - Review & Editing. Mathilde Bouché: 644 645 Methodology, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - Review & Editing. Charlotte 646 Collet: Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing. Nadia Pellegrini-Moïse: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Project administration, Writing - original 647 648 draft, Writing - Review & Editing. Sandrine Lamandé-Langle: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Project administration, Writing - original draft, Writing - Review 649 650 & Editing.

651

652 **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personalrelationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

655

656 Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the European Regional Development Funds (Programme opérationnel FEDER-FSE Lorraine et Massif des Vosges 2014-2020/"Fire Light" project: "Photo-bio-active molecules and nanoparticles"). We acknowledge The Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche for PhD funding to E. Mengel. We thank S. Parant from PhotoNS platform of the L2CM Laboratory (Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France), T. Gulon from SynBioN - L2CM (Université de Lorraine-CNRS) and F. Dupire from MassLor Platform (Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France) for technical assistance. We thank

- the NMR Platform of Université de Lorraine (Nancy, France) and NancycloTep, platform
 member of France Life Imaging network (grant ANR-11-INBS-0006).
- 666

667 Appendix A.Supplementary data Supplementary data

- 668 Supplementary data to this article can be found online at...
- 669

670 **References**

- 671 [1] Klenner M A, Pascali G, Massi M, Fraser B H. Fluorine- 18 Radiolabelling and
- 672 Photophysical Characteristics of Multimodal PET-Fluorescence Molecular Probes. Chem.
- 673 Eur. J. 2021;27:861–876. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001402.
- 674 [2] Vaquero J J, Kinahan P. Positron Emission Tomography: Current Challenges and

675 Opportunities for Technological Advances in Clinical and Preclinical Imaging Systems.

- 676 Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2015;17:385. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071114-677 040723.
- 678 [3] Chen G, Li C, Zhang Y, Wang Q. Whole-Body Fluorescence Imaging in the Near-Infrared
- 679 Window. In: Wei X., Gu B. (eds) Optical Imaging in Human Disease and Biological
- 680 Research. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, Springer, Singapore, 2021;3233;
- 681 p 83. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7627-0_5.
- [4] Lauwerends L J, van Driel P B A, Baatenburg de Jong M J, Hardillo J A U, Koljenovic S,
- 683 Puppels G, Mezzanotte L, Löwik C W, Rosenthal E L, Vahrmeijer A L, Keereweer S. Real-
- 684 time fluorescence imaging in intraoperative decision making for cancer surgery. Lancet
- 685 Oncology 2021;22:e186. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30600-8.
- [5] Zhou J, Jokerst J V. Photoacoustic imaging with fiber optic technology: A review.
 Photoacoustics 2020;20:100211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacs.2020.100211.
- 688 [6] Bossy E, Gigan S. Photoacoustics with coherent light. Photoacoustics 2016;4:22.
 689 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacs.2016.01.003.
- 690 [7] Fu Q, Zhu R, Song J, Yang H, Chen X. Photoacoustic Imaging: Contrast Agents and Their
- 691BiomedicalApplications.Adv.Mater.2019;31:1805875.
- 692 https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201805875.
- 693 [8] Fei G, Maa S, Wang C, Chen T, Li Y, Liu Y, Tang B, James T D, Chen G. Imaging
- 694 strategies using cyanine probes and materials for biomedical visualization of live animals.
- 695 Coor. Chem. Rev. 2021;447:214134. https://doi.org/0.1016/j.ccr.2021.214134.

- [9] Feng L, Chen W, Ma X, Liu S H, Yin J. Near-infrared heptamethine cyanines (Cy7):
 from structure, property to application. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2020;18:9385-9397.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/D0OB01962C.
- 699 [10] Exner R M, Cortezon-Tamarit F, Pascu S I. Explorations into the Effect of meso-
- 700 Substituents in Tricarbocyanine Dyes: A Path to Diverse Biomolecular Probes and Materials.
- 701 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021;133:6295 6306. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202008075.
- 702 [11] Ma X, Laramie M, Henary M. Synthesis, optical properties and cytotoxicity of meso-
- heteroatom substituted IR-786 analogs. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2018;28:509-514.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.12.001.
- 705 [12] Nani R R, Shaum J B, Gorka A P, Schnermann M J. Electrophile-Integrating Smiles
- 706 Rearrangement Provides Previously Inaccessible C4'-O-Alkyl Heptamethine Cyanine

707 Fluorophores. Org. Lett. 2015;17: 302. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol503398f.

- 708 [13] Schnermann M J, Nani R R. PCT Int. WO 2016/072984 A1, 2016.
- [14] Luciano M P, Crooke S N, Nourian S, Dingle I, Nani R R, Kline G, Patel N L, Robinson
- 710 C M, Difilippantonio S, Kalen J D, Finn M G, Schnermann M J. A Nonaggregating
- 711 Heptamethine Cyanine for Building BrighterLabeled Biomolecules. ACS Chem. Biol.
- 712 2019;14:934–940. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.9b00122ACS.
- [15] Thapaliya E R, Usama S M, Patel N L, Feng Y, Kalen J D, St. Croix B, Schnermann M J.
- 714 Cyanine Masking: A Strategy to Test Functional Group Effects on Antibody Conjugate
- 715Targeting.BioconjugateChem.2022;33:718-725.
- 716 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.2c00083.
- 717 [16] Cha J, Nani R R, Luciano M P, Kline G, Broch A, Kim K, Namgoong J M, Kulkarni R
- 718 A, Meier J L, Kim P, Schnermann M J. A chemically stable fluorescent marker of the ureter.
- 719 Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2018;28:2741–2745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.02.040.
- [17] Cheng Z, Marriott G. Editorial: Multimodality Molecular Imaging. Front. Phys. 2019;7.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2019.00177.
- 722 [18] Ariztia J, Solmont K, Pellegrini Moïse N Specklin S, Heck M P, Lamandé-Langle S,
- 723 Kuhnast B. PET/Fluorescence Imaging: An Overview of the Chemical Strategies to Build
- 724DualImagingTools.BioconjugateChem.2022;33:24.725https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.1c00503.
- 726 [19] Qiu Y, Yuan B, Cao Y, He X, Akakuru O U, Lu L, Chen N, Xu M, Wu A, Li J. Recent
- 727 progress on near-infrared fluorescence heptamethine cyanine dye-based molecules and
- 728 nanoparticles for tumor imaging and treatment. Nanomed Nanobiotechnol. 2023;e1910.
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1002/wnan.1910.

- 730 [20] Liu Y, Yang Y, Sun M, Cui M, Fu Y, Lin Y, Li Z, Nie L. Highly specific noninvasive 731 photoacoustic and positron emission tomography of brain plaque with functionalized 732 croconium labeled a radiotracer. Chem. Sci. 2017;8:2710. dye by 733 https://doi.org/10.1039/c6sc04798j.
- [21] Ariztia J, Jouad K, Jouan-Hureaux V, Pierson J, Collet C, Kuhnast B, Selmeczi K, Boura
 C, Lamandé-Langle S, Pellegrini Moïse N. Clickable C-Glycosyl Scaffold for the
 Development of a Dual Fluorescent and [18F]fluorinated Cyanine-Containing Probe and
 Preliminary In Vitro/Vivo Evaluation by Fluorescence Imaging. Pharmaceuticals
 2022;12:1490. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph15121490.
- 739 [22] Vucko T, Ariztia J, Jouad K, Chapeau D, Mourot B, Jouan-Hureaux V, Collet C, Boura
- C, Selmeczi K, Pellegrini-Moïse N, Lamandé-Langle S. Cyanine-based [18F]F-C-glycosyl
 dual imaging probe: Synthesis, physico-chemical characterizations, in vitro binding
 evaluation and direct [18F]fluorination. New J. Chem. 2023.;47:3055 3066.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/D2NJ06134A.
- [23] Richard M, Ariztia J, Lamandé-Langle S, Pellegrini Moïse N. Sugar γ-Amino Acids as
 Building Blocks for the Synthesis of Cyclic Neoglycopeptides. Chemistry Select
 2018;3:9121–9126. https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201802146.
- [24] Meldal M, Diness F. Recent Fascinating Aspects of the CuAAC Click Reaction. Trends
 in chemistry 2020;2:569-584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trechm.2020.03.007.
- 749 [25] Yang J, Karver M R, Li W, Sahu S, Devaraj N K. Metal-Catalyzed One-Pot Synthesis of
- 750 Tetrazines Directly from Aliphatic Nitriles and Hydrazine. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
- 751 2012;51:5222 –5225. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201201117.
- 752 [26] Sinha A K, Equbal D, Thiol-Ene Reaction: Synthetic Aspects and Mechanistic Studies
- 753 of an Anti-Markovnikov-Selective Hydrothiolation of Olefins, As. J. Org. Chem. 2019;8:32-
- 754 47. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajoc.201800639.
- 755 [27] Yang Y, Yu B. Recent Advances in the Chemical Synthesis of C-Glycosides. Chem.
- 756 Rev. 2017;117 :12281-12356. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00234.
- 757 [28] Ariztia J, Chateau A, Boura C, Didierjean C, Lamandé-Langle S, Pellegrini Moïse N.
- 758 Synthesis of Anti-Proliferative [3.3.0]Furofuranone Derivatives by Lactonization and
- 759 Functionalization of C-Glycosyl Compounds. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2021;45:116313.
- 760 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2021.116313.
- [29] Kapp T G, Rechenmacher F, Neubauer S, Maltsev O V, Cavalcanti-Adam E A, Zarka R,
- 762 Reuning U, Notni J, Wester H J, Mas-Moruno C, Spatz J, Geiger B, Kessler H. A

- Comprehensive Evaluation of the Activity and Selectivity Profile of Ligands for RGDbinding Integrins. Sci. Rep. 2017;7:39805. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39805.
- 765 [30] Mahon E, Barboiu M. Synthetic multivalency for biological applications. Org. Biomol.
- 766 Chem. 2015;13:10590. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5OB01357G.
- 767 [31] Levitz A, Marmarchi F, Henary M. Synthesis and Optical Properties of Near-Infrared
- meso-Phenyl-Substituted Symmetric Heptamethine Cyanine Dyes. Molecules 2018;23:226.
- 769 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020226.
- [32] Li D-H, Smith B D, Deuterated Indocyanine Green (ICG) with Extended Aqueous
- Storage Shelf-Life: Chemical and Clinical Implications. Chem. Eur. J. 2021;27:14535.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202102816
- [33] Mokrousov M D, Thompson W, Ermilov S A, Abakumova T, Novoselova M V,
- 774 Inozemtseva O A, Zatsepin T S, Zharov V P, Galanzha E I, Gorin D A, Indocyanine green
- dye based bimodal contrast agent tested by photoacoustic/fluorescence tomography setup.
- 776 Biomed. Opt. Express, 2021;12:3181–3195. https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.419461.
- [34] Huang X, Song J, Yung B C, Huang X, Xiong Y, Chen X. Ratiometric optical
 nanoprobes enable accurate molecular detection and imaging. Chem. Soc. Rev.
 2018;47:2873–2920. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00612H.
- 780 [35] Pu K, Shuhendler A J, Jokerst J V, Mei J, Gambhir S S, Bao Z, Rao J. Semiconducting
- 781 polymer nanoparticles as photoacoustic molecular imaging probes in living mice. Nat.
- 782 Nanotechnol. 2014;9:233–239. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2013.302.
- [36] Bouche M, Puhringer M, Iturmendi A, Arnirshaghaghi A, Tsourkas A, Teasdale I,
 Cormode D. P. Recent advances in molecular imaging with gold nanoparticles. Acs Appl
- 785 Mater Inter 2019;11:28648–28656. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.9b00669.
- 786 [37] Lucas T, Sarkar M, Atlas Y, Linger C, Renault G, Gazeau F, Gateau J. Calibrated
- 787 Photoacoustic Spectrometer Based on a Conventional Imaging System for In Vitro
- 788 Characterization of Contrast Agents. Sensors (Basel) 2022;22:6543.
 789 https://doi.org/10.3390/s22176543. 10.3390/s22176543.