

# Carbohydrate antigen 125 concentrations across the ejection fraction spectrum in chronic heart failure: The EMPEROR programme

João Pedro Ferreira, Milton Packer, Naveed Sattar, Javed Butler, Stuart J Pocock, Stefan D Anker, Sandra González Maldonado, Marina Panova-Noeva, Mikhail Sumin, Serge Masson, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

João Pedro Ferreira, Milton Packer, Naveed Sattar, Javed Butler, Stuart J<br/> Pocock, et al.. Carbohydrate antigen 125 concentrations across the ejection fraction spectrum in chronic heart failure: The EMPEROR programme. European Journal of Heart Failure, In press, 10.1002/ejhf.3166 . hal-04496400

# HAL Id: hal-04496400 https://hal.univ-lorraine.fr/hal-04496400v1

Submitted on 11 Mar 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Carbohydrate Antigen-125 Concentrations Across the Ejection Fraction Spectrum in Chronic Heart Failure: the EMPEROR Program

- 3
- 4 João Pedro Ferreira MD<sup>b\*</sup>, Milton Packer MD<sup>c</sup>, Naveed Sattar MD<sup>d</sup>, Javed Butler MD MPH<sup>e</sup>,
- 5 Stuart J Pocock PhD<sup>f</sup>, Stefan D Anker MD<sup>g</sup>, Sandra González Maldonado PhD<sup>h</sup>, Marina
- 6 Panova-Noeva MD, MSc, PhD<sup>i</sup>, Mikhail Sumin PhD<sup>j</sup>, Serge Masson PhD<sup>k</sup>, Faiez Zannad MD<sup>l</sup>,
- 7 and James L. Januzzi MD<sup>m</sup>
- 8
- <sup>a</sup> Division of Cardiology, University of California Los Angeles, CA, USA
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Surgery and Physiology, Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto,
- 11 Cardiovascular Research and Development Center (UnIC@RISE), Porto, Portugal & Heart
- 12 Failure Clinic, Internal Medicine Department, Centro Hospitalar de Vila Nova de Gaia/Espinho,
- 13 Gaia, Portugal & Centre d'Investigations Cliniques Plurithématique 14-33, Inserm U1116,
- 14 CHRU, F-CRIN INI-CRCT (Cardiovascular and Renal Clinical Trialists), Cardiovascular
- 15 Research and Development Center, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France
- <sup>c</sup> Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; Baylor Heart and Vascular Institute,
   Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA.
- <sup>d</sup> School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.
- <sup>e</sup> Baylor Scott and White Research Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA; University of Mississippi
- 20 Medical Center, Jackson, Mississippi, USA.
- 21 <sup>f</sup> London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom.
- 22 <sup>g</sup> Center for Regenerative Therapies, Berlin Institute of Health, Department of Cardiology,
- 23 German Centre for Cardiovascular Research, partner site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin
- 24 Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- <sup>h</sup> Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Biberach, Germany
- <sup>i</sup> Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim, Germany
- <sup>j</sup> Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Ingelheim, Germany
- 28 <sup>k</sup>Roche Diagnostics International Ltd, Switzerland
- 29 <sup>1</sup> Centre d'Investigations Cliniques Plurithématique 1433, INSERM, Université de
- 30 Lorraine, Nancy, France.

- <sup>m</sup> Massachusetts General Hospital and Baim Institute for Clinical Research, Boston,
- 2 Massachusetts, USA.
- 3

```
4 *Co-first authors
```

5

## 6 Correspondence:

- 7 James L. Januzzi Jr, MD, FACC
- 8 Cardiology Division, Massachusetts General Hospital
- 9 Director, Heart Failure and Biomarker Trials, Baim Institute for Clinical Research
- 10 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114 USA
- 11 Telephone: 617-726-3443
- 12 Fax: 617-643-1620
- 13 Email: jjanuzzi@mgb.org
- 14 Twitter: @JJheart\_doc
- 15
- 16

#### 1 Abstract

Background: Vascular congestion may lead to an increase of carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125). The role of CA-125 as a biomarker of congestion or for prognosis across the full ejection
fraction (EF) spectrum of chronic heart failure (HF) remains unknown.

5 Methods: Serum CA-125 was measured in 1111 study participants from the EMPEROR-

6 Reduced and EMPEROR-Preserved Trials. Congestive signs and symptoms were evaluated

7 across CA-125 tertiles. Cox regression was used to study the association with outcomes. The

8 primary outcome was a composite of first HF hospitalization or cardiovascular (CV) death.

9 **Results:** No significant association was present between baseline CA-125 levels and congestive 10 signs or symptoms. In the overall population, higher CA-125 levels were not associated with an 11 increased risk of primary outcome (Tertile-3 vs Tertile-1: Hazard Ratio [HR] 1.34; 95% 12 confidence interval [CI] 0.91-1.96; p-trend=0.11). However, higher CA-125 levels were 13 associated with an increased risk of primary outcome in patients with HF and reduced EF 14 (HFrEF; Tertile-3 vs Tertile-1: HR 2.25 [95% CI 1.30-3.89]), but not among patients with 15 preserved EF (HFpEF; Tertile-3 vs Tertile-1: HR 0.68 [95%CI 0.38-1.21]); interaction-p-16 value=0.02). Patients in the upper CA-125 tertile also showed the steepest eGFR decline over 17 time (p-trend=0.03). The effect of empagliflozin to reduce the risk of CV death or HF 18 hospitalization appeared to be attenuated in those with lower baseline CA-125 levels 19 (interaction-p-trend =0.09).

20 **Conclusion:** Across the range of EF in patients with chronic HF enrolled in the EMPEROR

21 trials, the majority of whom did not have clinical evidence of congestion, CA-125 concentrations

- 1 were not significantly associated with congestive signs or symptoms. CA-125 concentrations
- 2 may predict HF hospitalization/CV death in patients with HFrEF, but not those with HFpEF.
- 3 **Trial Registration:** EMPEROR-Reduced (NCT03057977), EMPEROR-Preserved
- 4 (NCT03057951)
- 5
- 6 **Keywords:** heart failure, CA-125, congestion, diuretic, kidney function, empagliflozin

1	Carbohydrate Antigen 125 (CA-125) is a high molecular weight glycoprotein expressed by
2	mesothelial cells lining the inner layer of pericardium, peritoneum, and pleura(1). Irritation or
3	injury to mesothelia (including that induced by mechanical stress, increased hydrostatic
4	pressures, and inflammatory cytokines) may result in higher CA-125 expression and its release
5	into the circulation(2-4). The role of CA-125 is not fully understood; it is a repeating epitope
6	derived from the mucin protein MUC16 and thought to act to protect the mesothelium from
7	physical stress. It may also have a role in cell adhesion and inflammatory cell recruitment(5, 6).
8	Owing to its association with malignancy involving the coelomic epithelium, CA-125 is widely
9	used for diagnostic evaluation and monitoring individuals with ovarian cancer and other
10	malignancies involving the peritoneum.
11	Although malignancy is the most common context associated with abnormal
12	concentrations of CA-125, other processes may result in elevation of this biomarker. For
13	example, studies have implicated volume expansion states with increased CA-125; these include
14	heart failure (HF), renal failure, and liver cirrhosis(7-10). Mechanistic links between congestion
15	and CA-125 remain uncertain. In theory, venous plethora might result in mesothelial irritation,
16	and thus, a clinical role has been proposed for CA-125 as a biomarker of congestion(11).
17	Congestion is an important component of HF symptoms(12-14); defining congestion and
18	its evaluation remains challenging. As such, there is no gold standard for its routine assessment.
19	If congestion were to play an important role in the progression of HF, a biomarker associated
20	with congestion might be expected to add important prognostic information regarding key HF
21	outcomes. Although natriuretic peptides such as N-terminal pro-B type natriuretic peptide (NT-
22	proBNP) are modestly associated with fluid overload and symptoms of dyspnea reflective of

congestion, concentrations of natriuretic peptides are confounded by other determinants besides
 filling pressures/transmural wall stress.

3	Given the proposal for CA-125 to be a surrogate marker of congestion(11) we sought to
4	1) relate baseline CA-125 concentrations with congestion parameters, 2) evaluate the impact of
5	empagliflozin on CA-125 concentrations, and 3) investigate the association between CA-125
6	values at baseline and the effect of empagliflozin on heart failure outcomes and renal function
7	among study participants across the full left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) spectrum in the
8	Empagliflozin Outcome Trial in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure (EMPEROR) program.

9

#### 10 Methods

#### 11 Study sample

A total of 9718 individuals were originally enrolled in the EMPEROR-Reduced (n =3730) and EMPEROR-Preserved (n =5988) trials whose design and results have been published(15, 16).
Briefly, individuals with class II-IV HF and increased circulating natriuretic peptide levels across a wide range of LVEF were enrolled in the trials. All patients supplied written informed consent, and the ethics committees of all participating institutions approved the protocol.

In addition to their regular HF treatment, eligible patients were randomized double-blind in a 1:1 fashion to receive either a placebo or the HF drug empagliflozin 10 mg daily. Patients' key HF outcomes were evaluated during study visits. The combined risk of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for HF served as the primary outcome for both trials. The combined risk of a sustained estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decrease of at least 40%, an eGFR decline to 10–15 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>, renal replacement therapy, or renal death was the primary renal
outcome.

3	Participation in the trials was not contingent on submitting samples of plasma, serum,
4	DNA, or urine for biobanking. Bio-samples were only obtained once a separate informed consent
5	was provided in compliance with local ethical and regulatory regulations. The concepts and
6	technique of sample collection for biobanking in the EMPEROR trials in addition to the list of
7	nations that took part in the biobanking for biomarker sub-study have been published
8	elsewhere(17). Figure 1 details the study flow for the present analysis; for the purposes of this
9	analysis, 594 study participants were included from EMPEROR-Reduced (LVEF <40%), while
10	530 study participants were included from EMPEROR-Preserved (LVEF $\geq$ 40%).
11	Biomarker measurement
12	Biomarkers were measured at baseline (n =1111), week 12 (n =1104), and week 52 (n =708). The
13	measurements of CA-125 as well as high-sensitive cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT), insulin-like
14	growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP-7), growth differentiation factor-15 (GDF-15),
15	angiopoietin 2 (ANGPT-2), fatty acid-binding protein-3 (FABP-3) and bone morphogenetic
16	protein 10 (BMP-10) were performed in serum within the Biomarker Research Agreement of
17	Boehringer Ingelheim, the sponsor of the EMPEROR trials and Roche Diagnostics International
18	Ltd. These measurements were made using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay
19	(Elecsys®, Roche Diagnostics). NT-proBNP was previously measured using a similar
20	methodology(18). Additionally, plasma samples from the same study participants were included
21	in a plasma proteomics study using the Olink® Explore 1536 platform, as previously
22	reported(19).

#### 1 Assessment of clinical signs and symptoms of congestion

Signs and symptoms of congestion— including NYHA classification, abnormal jugular
vein distension, pulmonary rales, S3 gallop, cardiac murmur, peripheral edema— were assessed
using standard clinical approaches within clinical examination at study visits. In addition,
information about orthopnea and fatigue was derived from Kansas City Cardiomyopathy
Questionnaire.

7 Statistical analysis

8 Mean (standard deviation), median (interquartile range), and count (frequency) were used to 9 describe the distribution of continuous and categorical variables as appropriate. Comparison in 10 baseline characteristics of the study population across groups defined by tertiles of CA-125 was 11 performed using ordinal regression. To evaluate the effect of empagliflozin on CA-125 12 concentrations, comparisons of geometric mean ratio (95% CI) were performed at three months 13 and 1 year. Cox proportional hazards and negative binomial regression models were used to 14 analyze the associations between baseline CA-125 and major heart failure outcomes. 15 Multivariable models included age, sex, geographical region, diabetes, left ventricular ejection 16 fraction, eGFR, and trial (EMPEROR-Reduced or EMPEROR-Preserved) as covariates, and 17 were additionally adjusted for baseline hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP, the most important biomarker 18 predictors of the primary outcome in the EMPEROR studies(20-23). The likelihood ratio test 19 was used to examine the interaction between baseline CA-125 levels, ejection fraction (HFrEF vs 20 HFpEF), and empagliflozin effect on risk of clinical outcomes. With the mentioned baseline 21 covariates (except for hs-cTnT and NT-proBNP) and baseline CA-125 levels considered, changes 22 in CA-125 levels over time were examined using mixed effect models for repeated 23 measurements. These models compared the change in plasma concentrations for each protein

from baseline to weeks 12 and 52 between treatment groups (empagliflozin vs. placebo).
Spearman correlations were used to study the correlation between CA-125, the proteins studied
under Elecsys® (IGFBP-7, GDF-15, BMP-10, ANGPT-2, and FABP-3) and the proteins studied
in the Olink® Explore 1536 platform. Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS
software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc.; Cary, NC). All tests were two-sided and p-values
<0.05 were considered statistically significant.</li>

7

#### 8 **Results**

9 This study included 1111 patients with HF and available baseline CA-125 levels. The mean age 10 (standard deviation) of study participants was  $70.1\pm10.0$  years, and 358 individuals (32.2%) were 11 female. Tertiles of CA-125 were: tertile 1 (T1)  $\leq$ 11.80 U/mL, tertile 2 (T2) 11.81 U/mL to 20.76 12 U/mL, and tertile 3 (T3)  $\geq$  20.77 U/mL. **Table 1** details the baseline characteristics of study 13 participants across CA-125 tertiles. Patients with the highest CA-125 concentrations (T3) were 14 more likely to be male, have lower LVEF, systolic blood pressure, body-mass index and eGFR, 15 and to have a higher prevalence of coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, valvular heart 16 disease, history of HF hospitalization and higher concentrations of NT-proBNP and hs-cTnT 17 compared to patients with the lowest CA-125 concentrations (T1). Moreover, patients in T3 of 18 CA-125 concentrations were more likely to receive diuretics, mineralocorticoid receptor 19 antagonists, thiazides, ivabradine, glycosides, and anticoagulants. On the other hand, use of 20 statins, calcium channel blocker, and angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors were less 21 common in such patients compared to those with lower values (Supplemental Table 1). 22 Congestion signs and symptoms at baseline stratified by tertiles of CA-125 are detailed in 23 **Table 2**. Overall, no statistically significant difference was found across such strata.

1	Figure 2 shows the cumulative incidence rate of HF hospitalization/cardiovascular death
2	across tertiles of CA-125 levels. In unadjusted analysis, patients with highest CA-125
3	concentrations (T3) experienced a higher rate of primary outcome events (a composite of first
4	HF hospitalization or CV death) compared to patients with lower CA-125 concentrations (T2 and
5	T1) (p-trend <0.01; <b>Table 3A</b> ). After adjustment for relevant confounders including other
6	biomarkers (NT-proBNP and hs-cTnT) and treatment assignment, the association between CA-
7	125 concentration and HF hospitalization/CV death became non-significant (T3 vs T1 Hazard
8	ratio [HR] 1.34; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.91-1.96;p-trend=0.11). This finding was driven
9	by the fact that CA-125 was not associated with major HF events in those with preserved LVEF
10	but was associated with major HF events in those with reduced ejection fraction (p-value for
11	interaction=0.02) (Tables 3B and 3C). In those with reduced LVEF, CA-125 retained prognostic
12	significance even when adjusted for other biomarkers.
13	Considering the components of the primary endpoint, higher concentrations of CA-125
14	were not associated with CV death; however, patients with the highest concentrations of CA125
15	(T3) had the highest risk of first HF hospitalization: T3 vs T1 HR 1.56 (95%CI 0.97-2.50), p-
16	trend =0.06. However, in adjusted analyses the highest CA-125 tertile was not associated with
17	total HF hospitalizations (T3 vs T1 Risk Ratio [RR] 1.26 (95%CI 0.74-2.16), , p-trend=0.41). A
18	CA-125 in the highest tertile was associated with a higher (adjusted) risk for first intensification
19	of diuretic therapy: T3 vs T1 HR 1.44 (95%CI 1.00-2.07), p-value=0.05, driven largely by the
20	higher rates in HFrEF compared to HFpEF, as shown in <b>Tables 3B and 3C</b> .
21	Table 4 details the adjusted eGFR change slope from baseline by tertiles of CA-125 at
22	baseline. Patients in the highest tertile (T3) had a more rapid decline in eGFR over the course of

23 the study compared to patients in the lowest (T1), as evidenced by a steeper negative eGFR slope

1	( $\beta$ coefficient= -1.18, 95% CI: -2.27 to -0.10, p-value=0.03). However, CA-125 concentration
2	was not associated with sustained eGFR reduction ≥40% or ESRD (T3 vs T1 HR 1.81, 95%CI
3	0.78-4.19, p-trend=0.16). Analyses conducted on individuals with HFpEF and HFrEF yielded
4	similar results (Supplemental Table 2 and 3).
5	In the overall study population (across all LVEF spectrum), the effect of empagliflozin to
6	reduce CV death/HF hospitalization appeared to be attenuated in patients with the lowest CA-
7	125 tertile (interaction-p-trend=0.09). No other trends or statistically significant interactions
8	between baseline CA-125 concentration and effect of empagliflozin on clinical endpoints of the
9	EMPEROR trials were found (Table 5), but for each outcome, the magnitude of the effect of
10	empagliflozin was smallest in those with the lowest CA-125 tertile (T1). No difference between
11	LVEF <40% and LVEF $\ge$ 40% was seen regarding baseline CA-125 interaction and empagliflozin
12	effect.
13	The top correlations of baseline CA-125 with proteins either measured using Olink
14	proteomics or other automated immunoassays are shown in Supplemental Table 4. At baseline,
15	most correlations were weak to modest. Strongest correlations of CA-125 were between
16	biomarkers associated with myocardial structure/function, inflammation, myocardial
17	development, angiogenesis, and mitochondrial function. As expected, correlation was present
18	between CA-125 and MUC16.
19	Table 6 shows the concentration of CA-125 among empagliflozin compared to placebo
20	groups at baseline and follow-up visits. Treatment with empagliflozin was associated with a
21	modest reduction of CA-125 level versus placebo to week 12 (adjusted geometric mean ratio:
22	0.93; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.87-0.99, p=0.03) but not to week 52 (adjusted geometric
23	mean ratio: 0.97, 95% CI 0.90-1.06; p=0.50) (Figure 3).

1

#### 2 **Discussion**

3 In this analysis across the full EF range in the EMPEROR Trials, there are several key findings 4 regarding CA-125: 1) higher baseline CA-125 levels were not significantly associated with 5 congestive signs or symptoms; 2) in fully adjusted models that included concentrations of NT-6 proBNP and hs-cTnT, baseline CA-125 levels were not associated with HF hospitalization or CV 7 death; however, a potential difference between HFrEF and HFpEF was found, with CA-125 8 being associated with HF hospitalization or CV death only in patients with HFrEF; 3) in the 9 whole population, higher CA-125 concentrations were marginally predictive of time to first 10 intensification of diuretic therapy, and were also associated with a more rapid decline in kidney 11 function; 4) the effect of empagliflozin on heart failure outcomes appeared to be attenuated in 12 patients with the lowest CA-125 concentrations (T1); and 5) empagliflozin lowered CA-125 13 levels by a small degree compared to placebo at week 12 but not at week 52. The evidence from 14 this study suggests that CA-125 may not be an ideal marker for the assessment of congestion and 15 that in the presence of other biomarkers, CA-125 is mainly prognostic for major CV events in 16 HFrEF.

17 Intravascular and interstitial fluid overload or redistribution, known as congestion, is 18 proposed as an important reason for HF decompensation(24). Symptomatic congestion is a 19 complex, multi-factorial phenomenon incorporating changes in intravascular and extravascular 20 hydrostatic pressure, oncotic pressure, vascular and lymphatic capacity. There is no simple gold 21 standard for the non-invasive assessment of congestion among patients with HF. Physical 22 examination findings such rales, jugular venous distension, peripheral edema have limited 23 accuracy for detecting elevated pulmonary capillary wedge pressure(25-27). Concentrations of

1 natriuretic peptides are strongly associated with transmural wall stress and are highly sensitive 2 for the diagnosis of HF; the increase in fluid retention that underlies congestion may increase 3 transmural wall stress and natriuretic peptide concentrations(28)(29). However, the association 4 between natriuretic peptide concentrations and filling pressures is only modest leading to the 5 search for other biomarkers that might be useful to identify presence of elevated filling pressures, 6 fluid overload, and the state called "congestion". Among these is CA-125, which has repeatedly 7 been suggested as a candidate biomarker for congestion in HF(11)(30)(31-33). Despite these 8 repeated suggestions, a formal assessment of CA-125 relative to other biomarkers such as NT-9 proBNP, as well as an evaluation across LVEF has been lacking.

A major strength of this analysis is the ability to study CA-125 across the wide range of LVEF in the EMPEROR program. In doing so, we found baseline physical findings supporting presence and severity of congestion were not clearly associated with CA-125 concentrations. A report from the Dapagliflozin and Prevention of Adverse Outcomes in HF (DAPA-HF) trial of study participants with LVEF <40% (34) suggested that very elevated concentrations of CA-125 (>35 U/mL, comprising only 12% of the DAPA-HF population) were associated with the presence of peripheral edema.

Previous studies in HF have proposed a role of CA-125 to predict major outcomes including CV death or HF hospitalization (8, 11, 34-37); some did not consider other biomarkers such as natriuretic peptides or troponins, and none approached the question agnostic to LVEF. In fact, most studies focused only on those with reduced LVEF. In the recent DAPA-HF report of study participants with reduced LVEF, risk for the primary endpoint of worsening HF or CV death was only present in the highest CA-125 quartile (≥21.14 U/mL). In the present study, across the full LVEF spectrum in EMPEROR, in fully-adjusted models that considered

1 concentrations of NT-proBNP and hs-cTnT, comparably elevated concentrations of CA-125 were 2 not associated with HF outcomes in the EMPEROR trials. However, an unique strength of this 3 analysis is the wide range of LVEF in the EMPEROR CA-125 analysis; in this regard, a 4 statistical interaction between LVEF and prognostic association of CA-125 was found, whereby 5 higher CA-125 concentrations were associated with HF outcomes in the subset of patients with 6 HFrEF (EMPEROR-Reduced) but not among those with HFpEF (EMPEROR-Preserved). These 7 results suggest that in the context of contemporary biomarkers and other clinical variables, CA-8 125 is associated with the occurrence of HF events among patients with reduced LVEF but may 9 not be informative for prognostic assessment among those with mildly reduced or preserved LV 10 function. The reasons for such differences between HFrEF and HFpEF should be addressed in 11 future studies.

12 In EMPEROR, reductions in major HF outcomes owing to treatment with empagliflozin 13 appeared to be attenuated in those with a baseline CA-125 in the lowest tertile; this finding was 14 not present with other outcomes and such a finding was not observed in the DAPA-HF trial, 15 which studied only patients with a reduced LVEF(34). Overall, these results suggest that CA-16 125 cannot be used to help decision making regarding the use of SGLT2 inhibitors in HF 17 patients. Treatment with empagliflozin was also associated with a small and non-sustained 18 reduction in CA-125, reminiscent of the lowering of CA-125 by dapagliflozin in reduced LVEF 19 patients(34) but extended across the whole range of LVEF in this analysis.

Deciphering correlations between CA-125 and the large array of biomarkers measured in EMPEROR might improve the understanding and prognostication of the risk of HF and represents another unique aspect of this analysis. We found correlations between CA-125 and several plasma proteins associated with key processes in cardiovascular disease including

IGFBP-7(38-41), angiopoietin-2(42), bone morphogenetic protein-10(43), and growth
 differentiation factor-15 (GDF-15)(44).

3 Our findings should be interpreted in the presence of several limitations. Although CA-4 125 was not associated with physical findings consistent with congestion, it is worth keeping in 5 mind that accuracy of physical examination findings for detecting elevated congestion is limited 6 and that study participants with higher CA-125 were more likely to receive decongestive 7 therapies, including diuretics and MRAs at baseline, which might have attenuated associations 8 between CA-125 and clinical evidence for congestion. Additionally, no "congestion score" was 9 used in the EMPEROR trials which may decrease the reporting consistency across centers. No 10 echocardiographic data were available; therefore, we could not correlate CA-125 levels with 11 echocardiographic measurements (e.g., left atrium volume or inferior vena cava variability). Our 12 study included chronic HF patients only with more than 70% and 80% of study were men and 13 white individuals, respectively, limiting the generalizability of our results. Future studies may 14 investigate the risk of incident HF or death by CA-125 concentration in demographic subgroups 15 defined by sex, race and ethnicity. Our substudy might have been underpowered to assess the 16 prognostic value of CA-125, particularly in even smaller subgroups of HFrEF and HFpEF.

In conclusion, across the range of EF in patients with chronic HF enrolled in the
EMPEROR trials, the majority of whom did not have clinical evidence of congestion, CA-125
concentrations were not significantly associated with congestive signs or symptoms. These
results call into question the role of CA-125 as a biomarker of clinical congestion in chronic HF
and, in the setting of NT-proBNP testing, suggest that CA-125 may have a modest prognostic
role in HFrEF but not in HFpEF.

23

1 **Funding:** Dr. Januzzi is supported in part by the Hutter Family Professorship.

2 Disclosures: Dr. Packer reports consulting to 89bio, Abbvie, Altimmune, Alnylam, Amarin, Amgen, Ardelyx, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Caladrius, Casana, CSL Behring, 3 4 Cytokinetics, Imara, Lilly, Medtronic, Moderna, Novartis, Reata, Relypsa, and Salamandra; Dr 5 Ferreira has received research support from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Novartis, and AstraZeneca through his institution the University of Porto; Dr. Sattar has consulted for and/or received 6 7 speaker fees from Abbott Laboratories, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, 8 Hanmi Pharmaceuticals, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Roche 9 Diagnostics, and Sanofi; and received grant support paid to his University from AstraZeneca, 10 Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, and Roche Diagnostics outside the submitted work; Dr. Butler 11 has received consulting income from Abbott, American Regent, Amgen, Applied Therapeutic, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Cardiac Dimension, Cardior, 12 13 CVRx, Cytokinetics, Edwards, Element Science, Innolife, Impulse Dynamics, Imbria, Inventiva, 14 Lexicon, Lilly, LivaNova, Janssen, Medtronics, Merck, Occlutech, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, 15 Pfizer, Pharmacosmos, Pharmain, Roche, Sequana, SQ Innovation, and Vifor; Dr. Pocock is a 16 consultant with Boehringer Ingelheim; Dr. Anker reports Grants and personal fees from Vifor 17 and Abbott Vascular, and personal fees for consultancies, trial committee work and/or lectures from Actimed, Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bioventrix, Brahms, Cardiac 18 19 Dimensions, Cardior, Cordio, CVRx, Cytokinetics, Edwards, Farraday Pharmaceuticals, GSK, 20 HeartKinetics, Impulse Dynamics, Novartis, Occlutech, Pfizer, Repairon, Sensible Medical, 21 Servier, Vectorious, and V-Wave. Named co-inventor of two patent applications regarding MR-22 proANP (DE 102007010834 & DE 102007022367), but he does not benefit personally from the 23 related issued patents; Drs. González Maldonado, Panova-Noeva, and Sumin are employees of 24 Boehringer-Ingelheim; Dr. Masson is an employee of Roche diagnostics; Dr. Zannad reports 25 steering committee or advisory board fees from Amgen, AZ, Bayer, BI, Boston Scientific, 26 Cardior, CVRx, Janssen, Livanova, Merck, Mundipharma, Novartis, NN, and Vifor Fresenius. 27 Dr. Januzzi is a Trustee of the American College of Cardiology, has received grant support from 28 Abbott, Applied Therapeutics, HeartFlow Inc, Innolife and Roche Diagnostics, consulting 29 income from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Beckman, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Janssen, Novartis, 30 Merck, and Roche Diagnostics, and participates in clinical endpoint committees/data safety 31 monitoring boards for Abbott, AbbVie, Bayer, CVRx, Intercept, Pfizer and Takeda. The rest of 32 the authors report no disclosures.

33

34 **Data availability statement**: To ensure independent interpretation of clinical study results and

enable authors to fulfill their role and obligations under the ICMJE criteria, Boehringer

36 Ingelheim grants all external authors access to clinical study data pertinent to the development of

37 the publication. In adherence with the Boehringer Ingelheim Policy on Transparency and

38 Publication of Clinical Study Data, scientific and medical researchers can request access to

39 clinical study data when it becomes available on https://vivli.org/, and earliest after publication

40 of the primary manuscript in a peer-reviewed journal, regulatory activities are complete, and

- 1 other criteria are met. Please visit https://www.mystudywindow.com/msw/datasharing for further
- 2 information.

### 1 **References**

2	1. Bast RC, Jr., Xu FJ, Yu YH, Barnhill S, Zhang Z, Mills GB. CA 125: the past and the						
3	future. Int J Biol Markers. 1998;13(4):179-87.						
4	2. Seelenmeyer C, Wegehingel S, Lechner J, Nickel W. The cancer antigen CA125						
5	represents a novel counter receptor for galectin-1. J Cell Sci. 2003;116(Pt 7):1305-18.						
6	3. Lloyd KO, Yin BW. Synthesis and secretion of the ovarian cancer antigen CA 125 by the						
7	human cancer cell line NIH:OVCAR-3. Tumour Biol. 2001;22(2):77-82.						
8	4. Zhang M, Zhang Y, Fu J, Zhang L. Serum CA125 levels are decreased in rectal cancer						
9	but increased in fibrosis-associated diseases and in most types of cancers. Prog Mol Biol Transl						
10	Sci. 2019;162:241-52.						
11	5. Gaetje R, Winnekendonk DW, Ahr A, Kaufmann M. Ovarian cancer antigen CA 125						
12	influences adhesion of human and mammalian cell lines in vitro. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol.						
13	2002;29(1):34-6.						
14	6. Rump A, Morikawa Y, Tanaka M, Minami S, Umesaki N, Takeuchi M, et al. Binding of						
15	ovarian cancer antigen CA125/MUC16 to mesothelin mediates cell adhesion. J Biol Chem.						
16	2004;279(10):9190-8.						
17	7. Nunez J, Minana G, Nunez E, Chorro FJ, Bodi V, Sanchis J. Clinical utility of antigen						
18	carbohydrate 125 in heart failure. Heart Fail Rev. 2014;19(5):575-84.						
19	8. Soler M, Minana G, Santas E, Nunez E, de la Espriella R, Valero E, et al. CA125						
20	outperforms NT-proBNP in acute heart failure with severe tricuspid regurgitation. Int J Cardiol.						
21	2020;308:54-9.						

1	9. De Gennaro L, Brunetti ND, Montrone D, De Rosa F, Cuculo A, Di Biase M.						
2	Inflammatory activation and carbohydrate antigen-125 levels in subjects with atrial fibrillation.						
3	Eur J Clin Invest. 2012;42(4):371-5.						
4	10. Devarbhavi H, Kaese D, Williams AW, Rakela J, Klee GG, Kamath PS. Cancer antigen						
5	125 in patients with chronic liver disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 2002;77(6):538-41.						
6	11. Nunez J, de la Espriella R, Minana G, Santas E, Llacer P, Nunez E, et al. Antigen						
7	carbohydrate 125 as a biomarker in heart failure: a narrative review. Eur J Heart Fail.						
8	2021;23(9):1445-57.						
9	12. Hutchinson KR, Stewart JA, Jr., Lucchesi PA. Extracellular matrix remodeling during the						
10	progression of volume overload-induced heart failure. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2010;48(3):564-9.						
11	13. Kociol RD, McNulty SE, Hernandez AF, Lee KL, Redfield MM, Tracy RP, et al. Markers						
12	of decongestion, dyspnea relief, and clinical outcomes among patients hospitalized with acute						
13	heart failure. Circ Heart Fail. 2013;6(2):240-5.						
14	14. Boorsma EM, Ter Maaten JM, Damman K, Dinh W, Gustafsson F, Goldsmith S, et al.						
15	Congestion in heart failure: a contemporary look at physiology, diagnosis and treatment. Nat Rev						
16	Cardiol. 2020;17(10):641-55.						
17	15. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Pocock SJ, Carson P, et al. Cardiovascular						
18	and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1413-24.						
19	16. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Bocchi E, Bohm M, et al. Empagliflozin in						
20	Heart Failure with a Preserved Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(16):1451-61.						
21	17. Butler J, Packer M, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Zeller C, Schnee J, et al. Effect of						
22	empagliflozin in patients with heart failure across the spectrum of left ventricular ejection						
23	fraction. Eur Heart J. 2022;43(5):416-26.						

1	18.	Januzzi JL, Jr., Butler J, Zannad F, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Pocock SJ, et al. Prognostic				
2	Implic	cations of N-Terminal Pro-B-Type Natriuretic Peptide and High-Sensitivity Cardiac				
3	Tropo	nin T in EMPEROR-Preserved. JACC Heart Fail. 2022;10(7):512-24.				
4	19.	Zannad F, Ferreira JP, Butler J, Filippatos G, Januzzi JL, Sumin M, et al. Effect of				
5	empag	gliflozin on circulating proteomics in heart failure: mechanistic insights into the				
6	EMP	EROR programme. Eur Heart J. 2022;43(48):4991-5002.				
7	20.	Januzzi JL, Jr., Zannad F, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Pocock SJ, et al. Prognostic				
8	Impor	tance of NT-proBNP and Effect of Empagliflozin in the EMPEROR-Reduced Trial. J Am				
9	Coll C	Cardiol. 2021;78(13):1321-32.				
10	21.	Packer M, Januzzi JL, Ferreira JP, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Concentration-				
11	depen	dent clinical and prognostic importance of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T in heart				
12	failure and a reduced ejection fraction and the influence of empagliflozin: the EMPEROR-					
13	Reduc	ced trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2021;23(9):1529-38.				
14	22.	Pocock SJ, Ferreira JP, Gregson J, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Novel				
15	bioma	arker-driven prognostic models to predict morbidity and mortality in chronic heart failure:				
16	the EN	MPEROR-Reduced trial. Eur Heart J. 2021;42(43):4455-64.				
17	23.	Pocock SJ, Ferreira JP, Packer M, Zannad F, Filippatos G, Kondo T, et al. Biomarker-				
18	driver	prognostic models in chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: the				
19	EMP	EROR-Preserved trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022;24(10):1869-78.				
20	24.	Mann DL, Felker GM. Mechanisms and Models in Heart Failure: A Translational				
21	Appro	oach. Circ Res. 2021;128(10):1435-50.				
22	25.	Stevenson LW, Perloff JK. The limited reliability of physical signs for estimating				
23	hemo	dynamics in chronic heart failure. JAMA. 1989;261(6):884-8.				

1 26. Chakko S, Woska D, Martinez H, de Marchena E, Futterman L, Kessler KM, et al.

2 Clinical, radiographic, and hemodynamic correlations in chronic congestive heart failure:

3 conflicting results may lead to inappropriate care. Am J Med. 1991;90(3):353-9.

4 27. Drazner MH, Hellkamp AS, Leier CV, Shah MR, Miller LW, Russell SD, et al. Value of
5 clinician assessment of hemodynamics in advanced heart failure: the ESCAPE trial. Circ Heart
6 Fail. 2008;1(3):170-7.

Maisel A, Mueller C, Adams K, Jr., Anker SD, Aspromonte N, Cleland JG, et al. State of
the art: using natriuretic peptide levels in clinical practice. Eur J Heart Fail. 2008;10(9):824-39.

9 29. Baggish AL, van Kimmenade RR, Januzzi JL, Jr. The differential diagnosis of an elevated

10 amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide level. Am J Cardiol. 2008;101(3A):43-8.

30. Nagele H, Bahlo M, Klapdor R, Schaeperkoetter D, Rodiger W. CA 125 and its relation
to cardiac function. Am Heart J. 1999;137(6):1044-9.

Turk HM, Pekdemir H, Buyukberber S, Sevinc A, Camci C, Kocabas R, et al. Serum CA
125 levels in patients with chronic heart failure and accompanying pleural fluid. Tumour Biol.
2003;24(4):172-5.

16 32. Varol E, Ozaydin M, Dogan A, Kosar F. Tumour marker levels in patients with chronic
17 heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2005;7(5):840-3.

18 33. Kosar F, Aksoy Y, Ozguntekin G, Ozerol I, Varol E. Relationship between cytokines and

19 tumour markers in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2006;8(3):270-4.

20 34. Docherty KF, McDowell K, Welsh P, Osmanska J, Anand I, de Boer RA, et al.

21 Association of Carbohydrate Antigen 125 on the Response to Dapagliflozin in Patients With

22 Heart Failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2023;82(2):142-57.

1	35. Li KHC, Gong M, Li G, Baranchuk A, Liu T, Wong MCS, et al. Cancer antigen-125 and					
2	outcomes in acute heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart Asia.					
3	2018;10(2):e011044.					
4	36. Nunez J, Bayes-Genis A, Revuelta-Lopez E, Ter Maaten JM, Minana G, Barallat J, et al.					
5	Clinical Role of CA125 in Worsening Heart Failure: A BIOSTAT-CHF Study Subanalysis. JAC	С				
6	Heart Fail. 2020;8(5):386-97.					
7	37. Nunez J, Sanchis J, Bodi V, Fonarow GC, Nunez E, Bertomeu-Gonzalez V, et al.					
8	Improvement in risk stratification with the combination of the tumour marker antigen					
9	carbohydrate 125 and brain natriuretic peptide in patients with acute heart failure. Eur Heart J.					
10	2010;31(14):1752-63.					
11	38. Januzzi JL, Jr., Butler J, Sattar N, Xu J, Shaw W, Rosenthal N, et al. Insulin-Like Growt	h				
12	Factor Binding Protein 7 Predicts Renal and Cardiovascular Outcomes in the Canagliflozin					
13	Cardiovascular Assessment Study. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(1):210-6.					
14	39. Adamson C, Welsh P, Docherty KF, de Boer RA, Diez M, Drozdz J, et al. IGFBP-7 and					
15	Outcomes in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: Findings From DAPA-HF. JACC					
16	Heart Fail. 2023;11(3):291-304.					
17	40. Kalayci A, Peacock WF, Nagurney JT, Hollander JE, Levy PD, Singer AJ, et al.					
18	Echocardiographic assessment of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-7 and early					
19	identification of acute heart failure. ESC Heart Fail. 2020;7(4):1664-75.					
20	41. Bracun V, van Essen B, Voors AA, van Veldhuisen DJ, Dickstein K, Zannad F, et al.					
21	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 (IGFBP7), a link between heart failure and					
22	senescence. ESC Heart Fail. 2022;9(6):4167-76.					

1	42.	Nicolini G, Forini F, Kusmic C, Iervasi G, Balzan S. Angiopoietin 2 signal complexity in					
2	cardiovascular disease and cancer. Life Sci. 2019;239:117080.						
3	43.	Neuhaus H, Rosen V, Thies RS. Heart specific expression of mouse BMP-10 a novel					
4	memb	per of the TGF-beta superfamily. Mech Dev. 1999;80(2):181-4.					
5	44.	Arkoumani M, Papadopoulou-Marketou N, Nicolaides NC, Kanaka-Gantenbein C,					
6	Tento	louris N, Papassotiriou I. The clinical impact of growth differentiation factor-15 in heart					
7	diseas	e: A 2019 update. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2020;57(2):114-25.					
8							
9							

Lowest tertile Middle tertile **Highest tertile** p-value\*\*\* N=370 N=370 N=371  $69.6 \pm 9.8$  $70.1 \pm 9.9$  $70.5 \pm 10.4$ Age, years 0.19 Sex, N (%) 0.05 248 (67.0) 274 (73.9) Male 231 (62.4) Female 122 (33.0) 139 (37.6) 97 (26.1) Race, N (%) 0.11 White 308 (83.2) 310 (83.8) 316 (85.2) Black 9 (2.4) 5 (1.4) 11 (3.0) Asian 30 (8.1) 34 (9.2) 32 (8.6) Other 14 (3.8) 22 (5.9) 9 (2.4) Ethnicity, N (%) 0.37 Not Hispanic / Latino 291 (78.6) 275 (74.3) 281 (75.7) Hispanic / Latino 73 (19.7) 82 (22.2) 83 (22.4) Region, N (%) 0.44 North America 52 (14.1) 39 (10.5) 65 (17.5) Latin America 68 (18.4) 73 (19.7) 76 (20.5) Europe 211 (57.0) 220 (59.5) 187 (50.4) Asia 27 (7.3) 29 (7.8) 30 (8.1) Other 12 (3.2) 9 (2.4) 13 (3.5) Smoking status, N (%) 0.33 Never smoked 172 (46.5) 159 (43.0) 157 (42.3) Ex-smoker 157 (42.4) 166 (44.9) 178 (48.0) Currently smokes 33 (8.9) 36 (9.7) 29 (7.8) **Drinking alcohol,** N (%) 0.82 Does not drink alcohol 222 (60.0) 213 (57.6) 225 (60.6) Drinks alcohol 137 (37.0) 148 (40.0) 134 (36.1) Time since HF diagnosis, y  $4.9\pm5.4$  $4.9 \pm 5.1$  $5.2\pm5.8$ 0.41 Heart failure duration, y, 0.51 N (%)  $\leq 1$ 81 (21.9) 80 (21.6) 85 (22.9) >1 to 5 173 (46.8) 161 (43.5) 154 (41.5) >5 to 10 73 (19.7) 60 (16.2) 71 (19.1) >1056 (15.1) 61 (16.4) 56 (15.1) **Diabetes status** Diabetes 175 (47.3) 181 (48.9) 180 (48.5) 0.74 No diabetes 195 (52.7) 189 (51.1) 191 (51.5) LVEF,%  $42.6 \pm 15.2$  $40.1 \pm 15.1$  $38.1 \pm 14.5$ < 0.001 LVEF, N(%) 0.001 <50 % 230 (62.2) 254 (68.6) 275 (74.1) 50 to <60% 67 (18.1) 58 (15.7) 54 (14.6)  $\geq 60\%$ 42 (11.3) 73 (19.7) 58 (15.7) SBP, mmHg  $130\pm16$  $127 \pm 15$  $127 \pm 16$ 0.004  $74 \pm 10$  $75 \pm 10$ **DBP**, mmHg  $75 \pm 11$ 0.63 Heart rate. bpm  $69 \pm 12$  $70 \pm 11$  $72 \pm 13$ 0.006 **BMI**, kg/m<sup>2</sup>  $29.98 \pm 5.95$  $29.48 \pm 5.38$  $28.56 \pm 5.58$ 0.001 **BMI** (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), N (%) 0.02 <30 206 (55.7) 201 (54.3) 239 (64.4) >30 164 (44.3) 169 (45.7) 132 (35.6) eGFR CKD-EPI, mL/min/1.73  $63.1 \pm 19.9$  $58.9\pm20.3$  $59\pm20.2$ 0.005  $m^2$ 0.05 eGFR CKD-EPI (mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) classification, N (%)

1 **Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of study population stratified by tertiles of CA-125.

$\geq 90$	37 (10.0)	29 (7.8)	27 (7.3)	
60 to <90	169 (45.7)	140 (37.8)	140 (37.7)	
45 to <60	86 (23.2)	101 (27.3)	100 (27.0)	
30 to <45	66 (17.8)	75 (20.3)	78 (21.0)	
20 to <30	12 (3.2)	23 (6.2)	26 (7.0)	
< 20	0	2 (0.5)	0	
Albumin/Cr ratio*, mg/g	14.0 (6.2, 45.1)	15.9 (6.2, 58.3)	21.2 (8.0, 82.0)	< 0.001**
Hemoglobin, mg/dl	$13.59 \pm 1.39$	$13.67 \pm 1.52$	$13.58 \pm 1.69$	0.97
Atrial fibrillation/flutter, N (%)	164 (44.3)	173 (46.8)	205 (55.3)	0.003
Atrial fibrillation, N (%)	155 (41.9)	166 (44.9)	202 (54.4)	0.001
HF hospitalization (within 12	85 (23.0)	104 (28.1)	112 (30.2)	0.03
months), N (%)				
Hypertension, N (%)	305 (82.4)	313 (84.6)	306 (82.5)	0.99
Hypercholesterolemia, N (%)	259 (70.0)	260 (70.3)	254 (68.5)	0.65
ACS within 6 months, N (%)	18 (4.9)	19 (5.1)	20 (5.4)	0.75
MI, N (%)	127 (34.3)	137 (37.0)	146 (39.4)	0.16
CAD, N (%)	132 (35.7)	155 (41.9)	168 (45.3)	0.008
CABG or PCI, N (%)	130 (35.1)	145 (39.2)	153 (41.2)	0.09
Valvular heart disease, N (%)	44 (11.9)	60 (16.2)	77 (20.8)	0.001
Invasive EP procedure, N (%)	30 (8.1)	29 (7.8)	34 (9.2)	0.59
Left Bundle Branch Block,	39 (10.5)	50 (13.5)	40 (10.8)	0.92
N (%)				
Electrical cardioversion in the	4 (1.1)	10 (2.7)	6 (1.6)	0.61
last 6 m, N (%)				
Electrical defibrillation in the	3 (0.8)	0	1 (0.3)	0.16
last 6 m, N (%)				
Cardiac Biomarker				
NT-proBNP*, pg/mL	1050 (597, 1881)	1254 (701, 2239)	1866 (1021, 3320)	< 0.001**
hs-cTnT*, ng/l	17.0 (12.0, 25.9)	18.6 (13.0, 29.5)	23.1 (15.1, 33.6)	< 0.001**
		1 1		CA

Abbreviations: ACS: acute coronary syndrome, BMI: body mass index, CABG: coronary artery bypass graft, CAD: 1 2 3 coronary artery disease, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, EP

electrophysiology, HF: heart failure, hs-cTnT: high sensitive cardiac troponin T, LVEF: left ventricular ejection

4 fraction, MI: myocardial infarction, NYHA: New York heart association, NT-proBNP: N terminal pro b type

5 natriuretic peptides, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, SBP: systolic blood pressure.

6 \* Median (IQR) \*\* based on log-transformed values; \*\*\*- p-value from ordinal regression likelihood ratio test

1 Table 2. Congestion sign and symptoms stratified by tertiles of CA-125 levels at baseline.

	Lowest tertile N=370	Middle tertile N=370	Highest tertile N=371	p-value*
<b>NYHA</b> N (%)				0.14
II	304 (82.2)	298 (80.5)	288 (77.6)	
III	66 (17.8)	71 (19.2)	81 (21.8)	
IV	0	1 (0.3)	2 (0.5)	
KCCQ Orthopnea, N (%)				0.21
Never over past 2 weeks or <1 time/week	306 (82.7)	313 (84.6)	300 (80.9)	
1–2 times/week	36 (9.7)	22 (5.9)	29 (7.8)	
3 times or more but not every day	11 (3.0)	15 (4.1)	20 (5.4)	
Every night	16 (4.3)	19 (5.1)	22 (5.9)	
Missing	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	0	
KCCQ Fatigue, N (%)				0.08
Never	105 (28.4)	104 (28.1)	83 (22.4)	
<1 time per week or 1–2 times per week	139 (37.6)	138 (37.3)	130 (35.0)	
3 or more times per week or at least once	83 (22.4)	76 (20.5)	107 (28.8)	
a day				
Either several times per day or all of time	43 (11.6)	51 (13.8)	51 (13.7)	
Missing	0	1 (0.3)	0	
Peripheral Edema, N (%)				0.07
Absent	278 (75.1)	277 (74.9)	265 (71.4)	
Trace	26 (7.0)	29 (7.8)	46 (12.4)	
Up to ankle	28 (7.6)	29 (7.8)	28 (7.5)	
Above ankle	38 (10.3)	35 (9.5)	32 (8.6)	
Sacral	0	0	0	
Ascites	0	0	0	
Abnormal JVD, N (%)				0.17
No	356 (96.2)	344 (93.0)	348 (93.8)	
Yes	14 (3.8)	26 (7.0)	23 (6.2)	
Pulmonary rales, N (%)				0.07
Absent	331 (89.5)	338 (91.4)	328 (88.4)	
Bases	38 (10.3)	32 (8.6)	38 (10.2)	
One third of lung field or more	1 (0.3)	0	5 (1.3)	
S3 gallop, N (%)				0.99
No	364 (98.4)	360 (97.3)	365 (98.4)	
Yes	6 (1.6)	10 (2.7)	6 (1.6)	
Cardiac Murmur, N (%)				0.36
No	328 (88.6)	331 (89.5)	321 (86.5)	
Yes	42 (11.4)	39 (10.5)	50 (13.5)	

2 3 Abbreviations: NYHA: New York Heart Association, KCCQ: Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire, JVD:

jugular vein distention; \* - p-value from ordinal regression likelihood ratio test

**Table 3.** Cox regression results by tertiles of CA-125 levels at baseline in A) all individuals, B) study participants with LVEF <40%, and C) study participants with LVEF  $\ge$  40%. **A**)

	Lowest tertile N=370	Middle tertile N=370	Highest tertile N=371	Continuous <sup>§</sup> N=1111
CV death/HF hospitalization				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	7.04 (5.13, 9.24)	8.37 (6.19, 10.87)	14.76 (11.71, 18.17)	
risk (95% CI)				
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	reference	1.01 (0.67-1.53)	1.34 (0.91-1.96)	1.07 (0.98, 1.17)
p-value		0.95	0.14	0.14
p for trend		0.11		
First HF hospitalization				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	4.22 (2.78, 5.96)	6.49 (4.59, 8.71)	11.26 (8.61, 14.25)	
risk (95% CI)				
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	reference	1.30 (0.79,2.14)	1.56 (0.97,2.50)	1.08 (0.98, 1.20)
p-value		0.30	0.07	0.13
p for trend		0.06		
CV death				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	4.06 (2.67, 5.72)	3.06 (1.84, 4.58)	5.92 (4.12, 8.03)	
risk (95% CI)	_			
Hazard ratio <sup>*</sup> (95% CI)	reference	0.64 (0.35,1.16)	1.05 (0.62,1.79)	1.05 (0.90, 1.22)
p-value		0.14	0.85	0.52
p for trend		0.77		
Total HF hospitalizations**				
Unadjusted event rate (events	7.09	10.01	17.42	
per 100 patient years at risk)				1.00 (0.05.1.04)
Adjusted event rate ratio / event	reference	1.27 (0.74, 2.18)	1.26 (0.74, 2.16)	1.09 (0.95-1.24)
rate ratio (95% CI)		0.201	0.40	0.01
p-value		0.381	0.40	0.21
p for trend		0.41		
Sustained eGFK reduction				
240% of ESRD				
avonts por 100 patient years at	1 66 (0 76 2 00)	1.05(0.04, 3.34)	1 17 (2 55 6 18)	
risk (05% CI)	1.00 (0.70, 2.90)	1.93(0.94, 5.54)	4.17 (2.33, 0.16)	
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	reference	1 12 (0 44 2 80)	1 81 (0 78 / 10)	1 15 (0 05 1 30)
n-value		0.82	0.16	0.14
p value p for trend		0.82	0.10	0.14
First event of intensification of		0.14		
diuretic therapy				
Incidence rate patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	8 43 (6 27 10 89)	10.08 (7.64 12.87)	15.03 (11.90, 18.52)	
risk (95% CI)	0.10 (0.27, 10.07)	10.00 (7.01, 12.07)	12.02 (11.90, 10.02)	
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	reference	1.08 (0.74,1.59)	1.44 (1.00.2.07)	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)
p-value		0.69	0.05	0.07
p for trend		0.05		

	Lowest tertile N=193	Middle tertile N=193	Highest tertile N=194	Continuous <sup>§</sup> N=580
CV death/HF hospitalization				
Incidence rate, patients with		12 47 (0.00		
events per 100 patient years at risk (95% CI)	8.19 (5.00-12.15)	13.47 (9.09- 18.70)	23.78 (17.70-30.73)	
Hazard ratio <sup>*</sup> (95% CI)	Reference	1.40 (0.78-2.51)	2.25 (1.30-3.89)	1.16 (1.03, 1.31)
p-value		0.26	0.004	0.01
p for trend		0.003		
First HF hospitalization				
Incidence rate, patients with		10.70 (6.00		
events per 100 patient years at risk (95% CI)	5.32 (2.83-8.58)	10.78 (6.90- 15.49)	19.58 (14.11-25.96)	
Hazard ratio <sup>*</sup> (95% CI)	Reference	1.70 (0.85-3.40)	2.70 (1.40-5.19)	1.17 (1.02, 1.33)
p-value		0.13	0.003	0.02
p for trend		0.002		
CV death				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	4.71 (2.43-7.27)	4.12 (1.98-7.04)	7.63 (4.60-11.43)	
risk (95% CI)				
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Reference	0.69 (0.28-1.69)	1.17 (0.53-2.54)	1.07 (0.88, 1.30)
p-value		0.42	0.70	0.50
p for trend		0.58		
Total HF hospitalizations**				
Unadjusted event rate (events	11 42	15 72	28.02	
per 100 patient-years at risk)	11.42	15.72	20.92	
Adjusted event rate ratio (95%	Reference	1 18 (0 59-2 38)	1 68 (0 85-3 30)	1 11 (0 96 1 28)
CI)	Reference	1.10 (0.57 2.50)	1.00 (0.05 5.50)	1.11 (0.90, 1.20)
p-value		0.64	0.13	0.16
p for trend		0.13		
Sustained eGFR reduction ≥40% or ESRD				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at risk (95% CI)	1.99 (0.54-4.35)	2.11 (0.58-4.63)	4.53 (2.07-7.93)	
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Reference	NA	NA	1.03 (0.81, 1.31)
p-value		NA	NA	0.80
p for trend		NA		
First event of intensification of				
diuretic therapy				
Incidence rate, patients with	10 33 (6 62-	13 57 (0 16-		
events per 100 patient years at risk (95% CI)	14.86)	18.84)	21.63 (15.83-28.31)	
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Referent	1.08 (0.62-1.88)	1.82 (1.08-3.06)	1.11 (0.99, 1.25)
p-value		0.79	0.02	0.08
p for trend		0.02		

	Lowest tertile N=177	Middle tertile N=177	Highest tertile N=177	Continuous <sup>§</sup> N=521
CV death/HF hospitalization				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	7.14 (4.67-10.14)	5.01 (3.02-7.50)	8.19 (5.44-11.49)	
risk (95% CI)	× /		,	
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Referent	0.58 (0.32-1.06)	0.68 (0.38-1.21)	0.90 (0.72, 1.13)
p-value		0.08	0.19	0.38
p for trend		0.20		
First HF hospitalization				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	4.12 (2.31-6.45)	3.43 (1.82-5.53)	5.56 (3.35-8.32)	
risk (95% CI)			· · · · ·	
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Referent	0.69 (0.33-1.47)	0.70 (0.34-1.47)	0.93 (0.70, 1.23)
p-value		0.34	0.35	0.59
p for trend		0.37		
CV death				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	3.96 (2.22-6.20)	2.28 (1.04-3.99)	4.46 (2.55-6.90)	
risk (95% CI)		(		
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Referent	0.50 (0.21-1.15)	0.86 (0.41-1.82)	0.98 (0.73, 1.32)
p-value		0.10	0.69	0.89
p for trend		0.72		
Total HF hospitalizations**				
Unadjusted event rate (events	5.02	5.04	0.60	
per 100 patient-years at risk)	5.02	5.84	8.69	
Adjusted event rate ratio (95%		1 10 (0 50 0 0 0)	0.00 (0.40.0.45)	1.08 (0.78-1.50)
CI)	Referent	1.19 (0.50-2.86)	0.99 (0.40-2.45)	
p-value		0.69	0.98	0.65
p for trend		0.99		
Sustained eGFR reduction				
≥40% or ESRD				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	1.91 (0.70-3.71)	1.49 (0.48-3.05)	3.72 (1.86-6.22)	
risk (95% CI)				
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Referent	0.82 (0.23-2.84)	1.59 (0.53-4.75)	1.31 (0.91, 1.87)
p-value		0.75	0.41	0.14
p for trend		0.35		
First event of intensification of				
diuretic therapy				
Incidence rate, patients with				
events per 100 patient years at	8.50 (5.69-11.86)	7.18 (4.69-10.19)	9.79 (6.70-13.46)	
risk (95% CI)				
Hazard ratio* (95% CI)	Referent	0.80 (0.46-1.36)	0.96 (0.57-1.63)	1.08 (0.88, 1.32)
p-value		0.41	0.88	0.48
p for trend		0.88		

CV denotes: cardiovascular; HF denotes: heart failure; CI denotes: confidence intervals; \* Hazard ratio based a Cox model adjusted for age, sex, baseline eGFR (CKD–EPI), baseline LVEF, log (NT–proBNP) at baseline, log (HS Troponin T) [ng/L], region, diabetes at baseline, treatment arm (empagliflozin vs placebo), study (EMPEROR-REDUCED vs EMPEROR-PRESERVED). § Hazard ratio for a 2-fold change (doubling) in CA-125. \*\* Estimates from a negative binomial model

	β	95 % CI	p-value
Lowest tertile slope* [/year]	-1.169	(-1.916 -0.421)	0.002
Middle tertile slope* [/year]	-1.588	(-2.352 -0.824)	< 0.001
Highest tertile slope* [/year]	-2.352	(-3.140 -1.565)	< 0.001
Middle vs Low	-0.419	(-1.490 0.651)	0.44
High vs Low	-1.184	(-2.273 -0.095)	0.03

**Table 4.** Adjusted eGFR change slope from baseline by tertiles of CA-125 at baseline. Model adjusted as noted, including by treatment assignment.

Model includes baseline eGFR (CKD-EPI), age, baseline LVEF, log (NT-proBNP) [pg/mL] at baseline, log (HS Troponin T) [ng/L] at baseline as linear covariate(s) and region, diabetes at baseline, sex, treatment, study, baseline eGFR (CKD-EPI) by Time interaction, Time by tertiles of CA-125 at baseline interaction, tertiles of CA-125 at baseline as fixed effect(s). Intercept and slope allowed to vary randomly between patients. \* Slope measured at baseline eGFR (CKD-EPI) = 60.34.

	Placebo incidence rate (Events per 100 patient years; 95% CI)	Empagliflozin 10 mg incidence rate (Events per 100 patient years; 95% CI)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Interaction trend p-value
CV death/HF hospitalization				
Tertile 1	6.74 (4.22-9.83)	7.56 (4.84-10.86)	1.10 (0.62-1.97)	0.00
Tertile 2	10.28 (7.03-14.14)	6.37 (3.78-9.64)	0.62 (0.35-1.11)	0.09
Tertile 3	18.21 (13.23-23.97)	12.11 (8.53-16.31)	0.57 (0.37-0.89)	
First HF hospitalization				
Tertile 1	3.98 (2.12-6.42)	4.72 (2.64-7.40)	1.21 (0.57-2.54)	0.14
Tertile 2	7.39 (4.68-10.70)	5.31 (2.97-8.32)	0.69 (0.36-1.32)	0.14
Tertile 3	13.24 (9.06-18.21)	9.82 (6.63-13.63)	0.59 (0.36-0.98)	
CV death				
Tertile 1	3.82 (2.04-6.16)	4.22 (2.31-6.70)	1.06 (0.50-2.26)	0.22
Tertile 2	3.89 (2.07-6.28)	2.37 (0.95-4.41)	0.67 90.27-1.69)	0.22
Tertile 3	7.84 (4.85-11.53)	4.54 (2.54-7.11)	0.57 (0.29-1.12)	
Total HF hospitalizations				
Tertile 1	7.36	7.28	1.09 (0.49-2.39)	0.43
Tertile 2	11.11	8.49	0.84 (0.40-1.75)	0.45
Tertile 3	20.16	15.46	0.71 (0.37-1.37)	
First intensification of diuretic				
Tertile 1	9.46 (6.34-13.21)	7.59 (4.81-10.99)	0.77 (0.45-1.34)	0.41
Tertile 2	12.14 (8.50-16.41)	7.59 (4.70-11.16)	0.59 (0.34-1.01)	0.41
Tertile 3	19.00 (13.80-25.01)	12.40 (8.73-16.71)	0.57 (0.36-0.88)	

**Table 5:** Effect of empagliflozin on endpoints in the EMPEROR Trials as a function of baseline CA-125.

CV denotes: cardiovascular; HF denotes: heart failure; CI denotes: confidence intervals; hazard ratio based on a Cox regression model with terms for age, baseline eGFR (CKD–EPI), baseline LVEF, region, diabetes at baseline, sex, study, treatment assignment, tertiles of CA-125 at baseline and treatment by tertiles of CA 125 at baseline interaction. Too few events were present to analyze sustained eGFR reduction ≥40% or ESRD in this analysis. Caption: No statistical interaction was present between empagliflozin and CA-125 concentration was detected for each outcome.

Statistic	Placebo	Empagliflozin 10mg
Number of patients	552	551
Baseline geometric mean (gCV [%])	17.724 (123.664)	20.710 (173.387)
Week 12		
Values at visit		
Number of patients	549	545
Geometric mean (gCV [%])	17.786 (115.159)	18.879 (163.618)
Adjusted* geometric mean	18.555	17.264
95% confidence interval	(17.745, 19.402)	(16.509, 18.054)
Relative change from baseline		
Geometric mean ratio (gCV [%])	0.997 (65.026)	0.907 (58.327)
Adjusted* geometric mean ratio	0.987	0.918
95% confidence interval	(0.944, 1.032)	(0.878, 0.960)
Comparison vs Placebo (ratio of relative change)		
Adjusted* geometric mean ratio	reference	0.930
95% confidence interval		(0.873, 0.991)
p-value		0.03
Week 52		
Values at visit		
Number of patients	351	349
Geometric mean (gCV [%])	17.009 (110.463)	18.017 (126.716)
Adjusted* geometric mean	18.700	18.189
95% confidence interval	(17.660, 19.801)	(17.177, 19.262)
Relative change from baseline		
Geometric mean ratio (gCV [%])	0.991 (67.468)	0.944 (70.835)
Adjusted* geometric mean ratio	0.995	0.967
95% confidence interval	(0.939, 1.053)	(0.914, 1.024)
Comparison vs Placebo (ratio of relative change)		
Adjusted* geometric mean ratio	reference	0.973
95% confidence interval		(0.897, 1.055)
p-value		0.50

Table 6. CA-125 concentration (U/mL) among placebo and empagliflozin across study visits.

\* Model includes age, baseline eGFR (CKD-EPI), baseline LVEF as linear covariate(s) and study, region, baseline diabetes status, sex, treatment by Visit interaction, log(baseline Carbohydrate antigen 125) by Visit interaction as fixed effect(s).

# Sampling for biobanking and CA-125 measurements



\*Random sampling stratified by treatment and availability of data, originally selected for OLINK measurement, same patients used for CA-125 measurement.

**Figure 2**. Cumulative rate of heart failure hospitalization/cardiovascular death stratified by CA-125 tertiles. Patients in the highest CA-125 tertile had shorter time to first heart failure hospitalization/cardiovascular death than other tertiles (log-rank test p-value <0.01).



**Figure 3**. Relative changes (empagliflozin vs placebo) in the circulating levels of CA-125 at week 12 and week 52. Reduction in CA-125 from empagliflozin treatment was present at 12 weeks, but no longer evident by 52 weeks.

