



Nonlinear kinetics: from isolated hole-clump pairs to phase-space turbulence

M. Lesur

Collaborators: A. Guillevic, X. Garbet, P.H. Diamond, G. Lo-Cascio, Y. Kosuga, E. Gravier, D. Mandal, A. Ghizzo, T. Réveillé.

31st International Toki Conference on Plasma and Fusion Research

November 8th, 2022

Motivation: essential role of phase-space structures

Vortices in phase-space are observed in experiments

- Space plasmas
- Laboratory linear plasmas
- Magnetic reconnection of toroidal plasmas
- **Fusion plasmas**
- Laser plasmas

Deep implications for instabilities, turbulence, transport, heating

- Drive nonlinear instabilities
- Modify the magnitude of saturation, spectrum of turbulence
- Qualitative effect on transport
- Interact with large-scale flows
- Propagation of trapped turbulence

Many open questions remain

- Need for more detailed analysis in numerical simulations
- Need for more detailed measurements in fusion plasmas



¥ 0) (\circ) 20 40 х

Fox '08

Saeki '79

Kusama '99 ; Berk, Breizman & Pekker '96

Sarri '10

Dupree '82

Terry '90 Biglari '88

Kosuga '11

Sasaki '17



Outline

I. Phase-space vortices

- II. Energetic-particle driven modes
- III. Ion-acoustic turbulence in **homogeneous** plasma
- IV. Drift-wave turbulence in inhomogeneous plasma

Summary

Electrostatic trapping



 \Rightarrow An electrostatic wave can grow by trapping "barely passing" particles

Electrostatic trapping yields phase-space vortex

Self-sustaining structure



Phase-space vortex formation is a

fully nonlinear, kinetic process.



 \Rightarrow BGK mode or soliton

Bernstein & Green & Kruskal '57 Dupree '83 Schamel '86 Berk & Breizman '99

\Rightarrow a PS vortex is not tied to a wave and can evolve independently

Nonlinear growth of a phase-space vortex

0

0

Electron density perturbation

Electric potential ϕ

Growth mechanism (ex: electron hole)

- Electron hole = positive charge \Rightarrow scatters ions
- Majority of ions encountering the structure gain momentum
- Mostly compensated by lose of momentum of negative mass electron hole

 \Rightarrow increase of structure velocity

Lagrangian conservation of *f* yields Eulerian growth of *δf*



 \Rightarrow Nonlinear growth is due to dissipation (energy lost to ions)



 \Rightarrow Island in phase-space (x,v), consistent with a finite amplitude potential

Nonlinear saturation: holes and clumps



⇒ Chirping (fast frequency sweeping) = fast vortex dynamics

Phasestrophy and momentum exchange

Phasestrophy is the phase-space density auto-correllation,

 \Rightarrow Measure of phase-space vortices.

$$\Psi \equiv \int \left< \delta f^2 \right> \mathrm{d}v$$

Diamond, Itoh, Itoh, Modern Plasma Physics Kosuga & Diamond '11



Increasing phasestrophy implies:

- Structure growth
- Growth of relative entropy

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = -\frac{2}{m} \frac{df_0}{dv} \frac{dp_{struct.}}{dt} \qquad \sum_s p_s + p_{wave} = \text{const.}$$

• Particle transport in "velocity space"

Energy/phasestrophy (W- Ψ) theorem Lesur & Diamond, '13

Wave energy
$$\frac{dW}{dt} + 2\gamma_d W = \sum_s \frac{m_s u_s}{d_v f_{0,s}} \left(\gamma_{\Psi}^{\text{col}} + \frac{d}{dt} \right) \Psi_s$$

Energy dissipation rate

 \Rightarrow 4 points of view: momentum, energy, entropy, phasestrophy

9/41

Outline

- I. Phase-space vortices
- II. Energetic-particle driven modes
 - III. Ion-acoustic turbulence in **homogeneous** plasma
 - IV. Drift-wave turbulence in inhomogeneous plasma

Summary

Nonlinear dynamics is essentially 1D



- Alfvén waves
- Geodesic Acoustic Modes (GAMs)



Fishbones



$$H_1(\theta_3, I_3, t) = \frac{1}{2} D I_3^2 + V(t) \sin(\theta_3) + o(I_3, \partial_J V)$$

EP distribution function $I_3 (P_{\zeta} \text{ or } v_{\parallel})$



⇒ Perturbative description of nonlinear dynamics for single, isolated mode, with fixed mode structure 11/41

Ex: Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmode (TAE)



⇒ Problem split between slowly-evolving (~ 100 ms) 3D mode structure and fast (~ 1 ms) 1D nonlinear amplitude and phase dynamics

12/38

12/41

The Berk-Breizman model

Classic "bump-on-tail" instability, with collisions and external damping.

Berk, Breizman, et al. '90, '92, '93, '95, '96, '97, '98, '99

1D kinetic equation with collision operator,



The BB model reproduces experiments

Comparing BB model with fusion plasma experiments

Quantitative agreement (after adjusting free parameters)

- TAEs on JET, JT-60U, MAST
- EGAM on the LHD
- Qualitative agreement (may be quantitative ?)
 - TAEs on the LHD
 - EGAM on JET
 - e-fishbones on HL-2a
 - many more
- Predictions
 - EGAM on LHD: phase relationship and its evolution, amplitude threshold
 - ???

⇒ Successful reduced modeling, but limited predictive capabilities





Application: NL analysis of experimental data



Example with TAEs on JT-60U

Lesur '10

$$\gamma_L \quad \gamma_d \quad \nu_f \\ 8.8\% \quad 4.7\% \quad 0.42\%$$

 $\gamma_{
m 4.6\%}$

(expressed in % of the TAE frequency)

In agreement with firstprinciple calculation from experimental parameters

 ν_d

1.7%

\Rightarrow Non-intrusive diagnostic method

Phenomenology: for small drag ($v_d/v_f = 5$)



Chirping velocity

Adiabatic evolution ($\dot{\delta\omega}/\omega_b^2 \ll 1$) $\Rightarrow \delta\omega(t) \approx 0.44 \gamma_L \sqrt{\gamma_d t}$



Berk, Breizman, et al. '99

Strong correction due to collisions: still analytical, see *Lesur '13*



\Rightarrow Frequency varying as roughly t^{1/2} is a good signature

17/41

Chirping lifetime

Hole/clump width ~ γ_L \Rightarrow diffusion time $\tau_{max} \sim \frac{\gamma_L^2}{\nu_d^3}$

Berk, Breizman, et al., '97

However, for higher collisionality, diffusion affects hole/clump width



 \Rightarrow Simple expression for chirping lifetime

Chirping period



 \Rightarrow Reduce velocity diffusion to reduce frequency of bursts

19/41

Phenomenology: for large drag ($\nu_d/\nu_f = 1$)



Basic concepts of subcritical instability



1

0

-4

-3

-2

- Threshold in amplitude
- **Hysteresis**
- Local vs Global Dauchot & Manneville '97

Tutorial: Lesur '18

0

stable

-1

 $a \sim \gamma^2$

unstable

2

 \Rightarrow Subcritical instabilities ubiquitous in plasmas and neutral fluids 21/41

Nonlinear growth rate



⇒ Nonlinear growth does not require that free-energy exceeds dissipated energy

22/41

Experimental evidence of subcritical instability

EGAM on the LHD



Application: control in phase-space



\Rightarrow Technique prometteuse de mitigation

Outline

- I. Phase-space vortices
- II. Energetic-particle driven modes
- III. Ion-acoustic turbulence in homogeneous plasma
 - IV. Drift-wave turbulence in **inhomogeneous** plasma

Summary

Current-driven ion-acoustic turbulence

- 1D model for ion-electron plasma with initial velocity drift
- 1D Vlasov for ions and electrons (collisionless) + Poisson equation
- Ion-acoustic modes $\omega pprox kc_s$
- Linear instability threshold $v_d > v_{d,cr}$

Velocity redistribution

- Anomalous resistivity
- Turbulent "heating"

Growth of electron PS hole Dupree '83

- Electron inverse Landau D damping plays role of γ_{L}
- Ion Landau damping plays role of γ_d
- Momentum exchange

\Rightarrow Basic version of many types of fusion plasma turbulence



Phase-space dynamics



Subcritical ion-acoustic turbulence



$$\gamma_{NL} \approx -v_{Te}^2 v_{Ti}^2 \frac{df_{e0}}{dv} \frac{df_{i0}}{dv} \omega_b$$

Turbulence dominated by NL growth of PS holes



100

90-80-70-

-^{ad}_π 50 ε01×λ

30 20 10 Berman '82

⇒ NL growthrate, saturated amplitude and other measures of impacts do not "feel" the linear instability threshold



Video





⇒ Random walk based on random phase (or sim.) approximation, incompatible with strong PS structures



Vedenov&Velikov&Sagdeev '61 Drummond&Pines '62 Sagdeev&Galeev '69

Outside the scope of quasilinear theory:

- Phase-space turbulence and granulation

$$\frac{\partial \langle f \rangle}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(F_{\rm PS}(\Psi, v) \langle f \rangle + D_{\rm PS}(\Psi, v) \frac{\partial \langle f \rangle}{\partial v} \right) \qquad \qquad \text{Dupree '72}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \gamma_{\rm NL}(\Psi, \langle f \rangle) \Psi$$
Evolution of phasestrophy

- Subcritical instabilities

Transport even though $\gamma_L < 0$ for all modes

Beyond quasilinear theory

Statistics of interacting structures of various sizes



Spectral signature



 \Rightarrow Many open questions remain

Analogy with descriptions of turbulence in real space



Wavenumber

Illus

- Energy transfers?
- Phase dynamics?
- Intermittency?

⇒Limited range of applicability

Collection of vortices



Vorticity in 2D Euler turbulence



Outline

- I. Phase-space vortices
- II. Energetic-particle driven modes
- III. Ion-acoustic turbulence in **homogeneous** plasma
- IV. Drift-wave turbulence in **inhomogeneous** plasma

Summary

Electrostatic turbulence in tokamak core

Drift waves

- Driven by pressure gradients
- λ (~mm) << m.f.p. (~km)
- Turbulence ⇒ diffusion coefficient *D* (Cost ~ diameter³ ~ *D*^{3/2})



Analytic theory of PS structures

- Either robust structures e.g. "drift-holes", or more subtle "granulation". Dupree '72
- Essential macroscopic impacts:

Terry, Diamond, Hahm '90 Biglari, Diamond, Terry '88

- Stability
- Turbulence, transport
- Zonal flows
- Corrugation, torque Revie

Review: Kosuga '17

• Challenging for measurements and simulations:

 $\Delta v \ll v_{th}$ $\Delta r \sim \rho_{ci} \sim mm$ $\tau \sim 1 - 10 \ \mu s$

Small-scale PS structures in gyrokinetics

Fine-scale structures in velocity-space

- Local (flux tube) GKV simulation with $N_{v_{\parallel}} \times N_{\mu} = 1024 \times 64$



Watanabe&Sugama '06

Schekochihin '08

Tatsuno '09

Turbulent cascade of entropy in phase-space

- Driven by nonlinear phase-mixing
- Real space and velocity space are intertwined

\Rightarrow GK simulations may miss effects of small-scale PS structures

36/41

Anatomy of TEM-driven transport (1/2)



Radial phase-space density flux





^{pi/2 pi} 3pi/2 Toroidal angle

0

0

37/41

2pi

Anatomy of TEM-driven transport (2/2)



Radial phase-space density flux





Toroidal angle

38/41

PS turbulence-driven transport

 $\frac{\partial(nT)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial \psi} = 0 \qquad q \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \langle \Lambda_{\psi} \rangle E^{3/2} dE.$ Heat balance

Impact on heat transport

- Dominant effect in the flux
- Qualitatively: non-diffusive

4

Energy (E / T_i) c 2 2 2

0 <u></u>





 \Rightarrow Small-scale phase-space structures drive particle and heat transport

Properties of drift-holes



Summary & perspectives

In collisionless plasmas, PS structures spontaneously emerge, and their dynamics often dominate the nonlinear evolution

- Anomalous resistivity
- Anomalous heating
- Particle transport
- Fine-scales in velocity-space
- Departure from quasilinear formalism



Perspectives: theoretical development of PS turbulence

- Dynamics of several PS vortices in interaction
- Statistical mechanics of many PS vortices
- Simulation of steady-state PS turbulence in a stirred (flux-driven) system
- Comparison with experimental measurements

Acknowledgements

- Agence Nationale de la Recherche, project GRANUL (ANR-19-CE30-0005)
- Euratom research and training programmes, Grant Agreement No. 633053, project WP17-ENRCEA-02
- CINECA Marconi (projects GSNTIT and GSNTITS), EXPLOR (project 2017M4XXX0251), IDRIS



Backup slides follow



and decay of chirping structures

4230

4225

t [ms]



New model can reproduce the observation



Our new model, which couples 1D kinetic equation with wave coupling equations, reproduces many features of the experiment.

45/38

More details on the input parameters of the BB model

- γ_L depends on: the gradients of the distribution function in energy and in P_{ζ} .
 - the alignment of the orbit with the eigenmode,
 - the strength of the various resonances,

Too complicated to calculate analytically in general.

Numerically, requires kinetic-MHD computations and internal diagnostics.

- γ_d involves still-debated complex mechanisms:
 - Ion Landau damping

Betti, Freidberg, PF(74)

- Radiative damping

Mett, Mahajan, PF(92)

- Collisional damping by trapped electrons Gorelenkov, Sharapov, Phys.Scr.(92)
- Continuum damping

Rosenbluth, et al., PRL(92) Zonca, Chen, PRL(92)

Comprehensive kinetic simulations not available yet.

Measured in dedicated experiments only.



Additional exemples of comparison with experiments



Chirping (frequency sweeping)







49/36

Particle orbits





... many caveats.

Reduced (toy) model

- Prototype for low-frequency turbulence
 - \Rightarrow Coupling with higher-frequency turbulence is neglected
 - \Rightarrow Quasi-periodic orbits with faster time-scale are averaged out
- Heavily simplified geometry (but retain toroidal effects and inhomogeneity of equilibrium plasma)

Decaying turbulence

• Initial perturbations, no stirring. Only the transient behavior is analyzed.

Weak turbulence

- Small equilibrium gradients
- Small system size

No collision operator

 \Rightarrow Future work: remove these caveats one by one



Kinetic theory

System	Many incompress. fluids in 2D	Quasi-geostrophic fluid	1D collisionless plasma
Distribution	n(x, y, t)	$\omega(x, y, t)$	f(x,v,t)
	2D config. space	2D config. space	2D phase space
Description space	×	×	×
Continuity equation	$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial n}{\partial y} = 0$	$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} = 0$	$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + E \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0$
Self- consistency	Hierarchy of fluid equations + closure	Stream function $\omega = \nabla^2 \psi$	Poisson $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = \sum_{s} q_{s} \int f_{s} dx$

Turbulent case



Single mode, constant precession freq.

