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## RÉSUMÉ DÉTAILLÉ

Les peptides, chaînes comprenant 2 à 50 acides aminés environ, possèdent un grand potentiel pour la chimie médicinale. Les chaînes plus longues de peptides forment les protéines, qui jouent indéniablement un rôle important au sein du corps humain. Ainsi, les peptides fonctionnalisés peuvent être des hormones, des neuro-transmetteurs, des agents thérapeutiques, etc... Le terme « pseudopeptide » quant à lui, désigne des analogues de peptides dont une partie des liaisons peptidiques qui le composent sont modifiées dans le but d'augmenter la biodisponibilité et/ou de diminuer la dégradation par des protéases des peptides naturels utilisés en médecine. Par conséquent, la synthèse de tels composés pseudopeptidiques est un objectif fortement interessant en matière de drug design.

En raison des nombreuses possibilités d'application des hétérocycles, les molécules comportant ces cycles sont au cœur du développement de nouveaux médicaments. La combinaison d'hétérocycles avec des acides aminés est une des nombreuses approches que l'on peut avoir dans la formation de pseudopeptides, où le cœur hétérocyclique sert de structure rigide liée aux acides $\alpha$-aminés pour recréer des systèmes imitant des $\alpha$-, $\beta$-, ou $\gamma$-turn. Parmi la grande variété de composés hétérocycliques, la 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine et ses dérivés ont été dépeints comme des candidats prometteurs pour de nombreuses applications thérapeutiques. Cette classe fascinante de composés hétérocycliques présente différentes propriétés intéressantes en biologie, comme par exemple une capacité anti-bactérienne, de protection du système cardio-vasculaire, ou contre l'hypertension. De plus, la molécule qui nous intéresse, le 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate d'éthyle 2, comporte un atome d'azote (Figure 1) ; sa structure est proche de celle d'un acide $\beta$-aminé, avec un groupe ester lié au carbone $\alpha$ et un groupe amine lié au carbone $\beta$. Par conséquent, c'est un atout intéressant pour la chimie médicinale puisqu'il peut permettre de mimer un $\beta$-turn qui joue un rôle important dans divers systèmes de reconnaissance biologique.


H
Figure 1 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate d'éthyle 2 comme analogue d'acide $\beta$-aminé

La synthèse énantiosélective est devenue un sujet phare de la recherche en pharmacochimie étant donnée la sélectivité des recepteurs biologiques et la différence d'activité des
énantiomères. De nombreux composés chiraux, où un énantiomère présente une meilleure activité pharmacologique qu'un autre, ont été reportés comme c'est le cas par exemple pour la levofloxacine ou la thalidomide. Dans cette thèse, l'objectif était la synthèse de nouveaux analogues à motif 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazinique sous forme énantiopure pouvant avoir des activités biologiques intéressantes (anti-angiogénique, anti-bactérien, anti-cancéreux,...) des pseudodipeptides et pseudotripeptides capables d'imiter une structure $\beta$-turn, en se basant sur le composé $\mathbf{2}$, et d'étudier leurs conformations.

Le premier chapitre introduit les applications thérapeutiques des dérivés de la 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine, les techniques de synthèse de ces composés sous forme racémique ou énantiopure qui ont déjà été décrites dans la littérature, ainsi que la stratégie pour produire ces énantiomères. La forme racémique du composé 2 est facilement obtenue par une réaction de double substitution nucléophile du 2-aminophénol sur le 2,3-dibromopropionate d'éthyle 1, commerciaux, en présence de $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ sous reflux d'acétone. Le second chapitre décrit la méthodologie d'obtention des deux énantiomères purs de $\mathbf{1}$ et $\mathbf{2}$ suivant deux stratégies: (i) par synthèse énantiosélective et (ii) par séparation énantiomérique par HPLC d'un racémique. Une fois les deux énantiomères $\mathbf{2}$ obtenus, différents acides $\alpha$-aminés sont ajoutés en position $C$ - et N -terminale afin de former de nouveaux pseudopeptides énantiopurs à motif 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazinique. Le troisième chapitre reporte l'analyse conformationnelle des pseudodipeptides et -tripeptides synthétisés, par analyses spectroscopiques (IR, RMN) et diffraction des rayons X.

Tout d'abord, pour obtenir le 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate d'éthyle $\mathbf{2}$ sous forme énantiopure afin de l'utiliser comme base de la synthèse de pseudopeptides analogues, l'obtention des deux énantiomères du 2,3-dibromopropionate d'éthyle $\mathbf{1}$ a été étudiée, et ce, par deux stratégies : par synthèse énantiosélective et par séparation énantiomérique par HPLC du composé racémique commercial.

Au début, des difficultés pour obtenir un produit énantiopur lors de la synthèse énantiosélective de $(S)-\mathbf{1}$ ont été rencontrées, à cause notamment de la dégradation rapide de $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ pendant l'étape de purification par chromatographie flash sur gel de silice, produisant de très faibles rendements. Après plusieurs essais pour éviter cette dégradation, l'énantiomère ( $S$ )-1 a été obtenu en trois étapes ( $59 \%$ de rendement global) à partir de la $(S)$-serine, après une rapide purification sur colonne avec une très faible hauteur de silice. Néanmoins, les équipements du laboratoire ne permettant pas de vérifier la pureté énantiomérique de nos composés chiraux,
une collaboration avec un autre laboratoire plus qualifié dans ce domaine a été engagée et plus particulièrement avec un collègue de la "Plateforme de chromatographie chirale" de l'Université d'Aix-Marseille.

Afin de déterminer les meilleures conditions de séparation énantiomérique du composé $\mathbf{1}$ racémique (rac-1), plusieurs phases stationnaires chirales (CSP) ont été testées. La meilleure séparation des deux énantiomères a été obtenue sur une colonne préparative Chiralpak AD-H avec un excès énantiomérique (ee) élevé (ee $\geq 99.5 \%$ ) à l'échelle du multi-gramme ( 5 g ). En se basant sur les données enregistrées, l'ee du composé ( $S$ )-1 précédemment synthétisé a été examiné. Les résultats étaient peu satisfaisants puisque l'ee de (S)-1 n'atteignait que 17 à $36 \%$ ce qui signifie que ( $S$ )-1 s'était racémisé pendant la synthèse énantiosélective. Après plusieurs essais pour en chercher la cause, il s'est avéré que ce processus de racémisation avait lieu pendant la bromation de l'intermédiaire ( $S$ )-glycérate d'éthyle en présence de $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ et $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. La quantification du processus de racémisation (l'influence du temps de réaction et de la stoechiométrie des réactifs) a permis d'atteindre des ee $\approx 9-50 \%$ et de conclure qu'un temps de réaction plus long induisait un rendement plus élevé pour la synthèse de ( $S$ )-1, accompagné d'un plus faible ee. A l'inverse, l'utilisation d'une quantité plus faible de réactifs améliore le ee du produit mais avec un rendement moindre. L'anhydride triflique a été utilisé pour remplacer l'atome de brome par un groupement triflate, meilleur groupe partant mais, à nouveau, la $\beta$-élimination a eu lieu. Par conséquent, les deux énantiomères $\mathbf{1}$ obtenus par séparation énantiomérique par HPLC à l'échelle du gramme ( 5 g ) ont été utilisés pour synthétiser les énantiomères correspondants du composé $\mathbf{2}$, sous formes énantiopures, via une double $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ avec le 2-aminophénol.

Les deux énantiomères $(S)$ - and $(R)$-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate d'éthyle $\mathbf{2}$ n'étant pas décrits dans la littérature, ils ont été séparés par HPLC préparative chirale sur colonne Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ à partir du composé $\mathbf{2}$ racémique (rac-2), synthétisé à l'échelle de quelques grammes ( 12 g ). La pureté énantiomérique du $(R)-2$ précédemment synthétisé de façon énantiosélective a été ensuite vérifiée. Malheureusement, lors de l'étape de double $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$, malgré les conditions douces, le composé $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2}$ synthétisé s'est partiellement racémisé (ee $\approx$ $55 \%$ ou $66 \%$ ). La raison de cette racémisation a pu être prédite à partir du mécanisme supposé reporté dans la littérature, impliquant la déhydrobromation du composé dibromé 1. La formation inévitable du 2-bromoacrylate d'éthyle 5 par élimination a été identifiée comme étant la cause de la racémisation de $\mathbf{2}$ dans ces conditions (Schema 1). La formation de $\mathbf{5}$ à partir de $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ en présence de $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ sous reflux d'acétone a été étudiée (Schema 1, étape 1)
et l'avancement de la réaction a été suivi par HPLC analytique classique sur colonne achirale. Les résultats montrent que $57 \%$ de 5 sont formés rapidement après 2 heures, suivie d'une formation plus lente de 5 pendant la suite de la réaction (atteignant un taux maximal de conversion de $93 \%$ en 16 heures).


Schéma 1 Obtention du composé 2 sous forme racémique
Suite à ce résultat prouvant la racémisation, les deux énantiomères du composé $\mathbf{2}$ ont été isolés par HPLC préparative chirale avec une excellente purete énantiomérique (ee $\geq 99.5 \%$ ) à partir de $r a c-2$ à l'échelle de quelques grammes ( 12 g ). Ces deux énantiomères ont ensuite été utilisés comme briques moléculaires dans l'étape suivante pour le développement de nouveaux pseudopeptides à motif 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazinique (Schéma 2).

(S)-2 or (R)-2
$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}$
R, R': Chânes latérales d'acide $\alpha$-aminés


$(S, S, S)$ - or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$
$(S, S, S)$ - or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$
Schéma 2 Obtention de nouveaux pseudopeptides à motif 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazinique.
Ensuite, les extrémités $C$ - et $N$-terminales des composés $(S)$-2 et $(R)$-2 ont été modifiées par réaction de couplage peptidique avec un acide $\alpha$-aminé afin de former de nouveaux analogues pseudopeptidiques énantiopures à motif 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazinique. Dans ce travail, nous avons choisi d'utiliser arbitrairement une alanine et/ou une phénylalanine. La synthèse des nouveaux pseudopeptides commence par la saponification du groupement ester éthylique en présence de LiOH dans $l^{\prime} \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 / 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ pour générer l'acide carboxylique
correspondant, puis par le couplage peptidique avec le chlorhydrate d'ester méthylique d'alanine ou de phénylalanine en présence de HOBt, TEA et EDCI comme agents de couplage. A cause de la faible réactivité du proton NH du motif 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazinique, l'insertion de l'acide $\alpha$-aminé sur l'extrémité $C$-terminale ne nécessite pas une protection Boc ou Fmoc sur ce NH. Les quatre molécules $(S, S)$ - et $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}$, $\mathbf{- 1 0}$ ont été obtenues comme diastéréoisomères purs grâce à l'utilisation de HOBt. Ensuite, afin de favoriser la formation de liaisons hydrogène intramoléculaires, une amidation du groupement ester méthylique des composés $(S, S)$ - et $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}$ a été réalisée avec l'utilisation d'une solution d'ammonium $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ pour former les pseudodipeptides $(S, S)$ - et $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ (Schéma 3).

$(S)$ - or $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$



$$
\begin{gathered}
(S, S)-\text { or }(R, S)-\mathbf{9}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}) \\
(S, S)-\text { or }(R, S)-10(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})
\end{gathered}
$$

$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-11(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

Schéma 3 Élongation de l'extrémité $C$-terminale des composés $(S)$-2 et $(R)$-2
Pour obtenir les pseudotripeptides, l'extrémité $N$-terminale est mise en réaction avec la Fmocalanine sous forme de chlorure d'acide (Fmoc-Ala-Cl) via un couplage peptidique. Les chlorures d'acide sont connus pour être très réactifs et la $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Cl}$ a été obtenue par chloration de la Fmoc-Ala-OH par du $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$. La réaction entre les pseudodipeptides $(S, S)$ - et $(R, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ et $\mathbf{- 1 1}$ et la Fmoc-Ala-Cl en présence de la base $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ génère les pseudotripeptides $(S, S, S)$ - et $(S, R, S) \mathbf{- 1 3}, \mathbf{- 1 4}, \mathbf{- 1 5}$ correspondants (Schéma 4).


$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-9(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-10(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$
$(S, S, S)-$ or $(S, R, S)-13(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S, S)$ or $(S, R, S)-14(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$


Schéma 4 Élongation de l'extrémité $N$-terminale de $(S, S)$ - et $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}, \mathbf{- 1 1}$
Enfin, l'étude conformationnelle des différents pseudopeptides précédemment obtenus a été méticuleusement réalisée par spectroscopies RMN et FTIR. De plus, les cristaux des composés $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ et $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ ont été obtenus avec succès dans différents mélanges de solvants ; ces cristaux ont été analysés par diffraction des rayons X. Pour les composés 11 à 14, les résultats montrent qu'en solution $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, une seule liaison hydrogène intramoléculaire est formée entre le proton NH de l'amide secondaire et un doublet non-liant de l'oxygène du cycle 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazinique formant ainsi un pseudocycle en $\mathrm{C}_{5}$. L'analyse des cristaux par diffraction aux rayons X de $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ et $(S, R, S)$-13 est en accord avec les données acquises en solution, c'est-à-dire formation du pseudocycle en C5. De plus, si ( $\left(S, S, S\right.$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ et $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 5}$ forment un pseudocycle en $\mathrm{C}_{5}$, ils contiennent probablement un pseudocycle $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ supplémentaire impliquant le proton NH de l'amide primaire et le groupe $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ de l'amide secondaire (Figure 2). Cependant, la conformation en $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ semble prédominante dans l'ensemble des pseudopeptides que nous avons synthétisés.


$\begin{array}{ll}(S, S)-\text { or }(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}) & (S, S, S)-\text { or }(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}) \\ (S, S)-\text { or }(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}) & (S, S, S)-\text { or }(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 4}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})\end{array}$

$(S, S, S)$ - or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$
( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}$ )

Figure 2 Conformations possibles dans les pseudo-dipeptides et -tripeptides.

## CHAPTER I

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Among diversity of heterocyclic compounds, 3,4-dihydro-2 H -1,4-benzoxazine and its derivatives were described as promising candidates for many choices of therapeutic applications. This fascinating class of heterocyclic compounds exhibits various biological properties such as antibacterial, cardiovascular protector, anti-hypertensive or antiangiogenesis so that it can be a favorable core for medicinal chemistry. In this thesis, we reported the synthetic routes and conformational analysis of novel enantiopure 3,4-dihydro2 H -1,4-benzoxazine analogues as scaffold for pseudopeptides and for potential biological applications.

## 1. General introduction

### 1.1. Pseudopeptides

Peptide, a chain consisting of 2 to 50 amino acids that bind to each other via covalent chemical bonds (amide bonds) which is formed between carboxylic group of one amino acid and amino group of the other amino acid, is being a potential target for medicinal chemistry. A chain of peptides forms oligopeptide and a longer one, protein. Enzymes are required for thousands of chemical reactions within human body, for examples: i) hormones like insulin or ADH (AntiDiuretic Hormone) act as signal transmitters to delivery information between cells; ii) antibody that helps to protect our body from foreign harmful particles or iii) energy source needed for human body, etc., are proteins. Proteins play an undeniable important role to human, peptide also. Peptides play various functions as hormones, neurotransmitters, infection treatments, etc. ${ }^{1}$ However, their limitations such as low bioavailability, instability due to proteolysis, poor selectivity because of the conformational flexibility ${ }^{2}$ brought troubles. Those constraints prompt science to search for solutions, hence peptide-based drugs have been developed and marketed for various therapeutics. Peptide-based compounds could be divided as modified peptides, pseudopeptides and peptidomimetics. The term "pseudopeptide" are peptide analogues that its amide bonds have been adjusted but the structure still maintains a partial part of natural one ${ }^{3}$. These backbone-modified peptides are essential used to eliminate the low bioavailability and/or to avoid degradation problems by protease.

Many biological processes, such as the process of cellular differentiation where a normal cell might be adjusted/changed to mutated cell, are catalyzed by two or more proteins interacting with each other. The study of the interactions between proteins helps us better understand the mechanisms and finding the way to control or inhibit their activity ${ }^{4}$. To intervene the proteinprotein interaction, managing its bonds would be a solution. There are four stages of protein
folding in which secondary structures are most important (e.g. $\alpha$-helices and $\beta$-sheets). The secondary protein shape is formed via peptide bond between amino group of one amino acid and carboxyl group of another, this type of peptide bond can generate different types of turn (Figure 1.1) which $\beta$-turn plays an important role in various biological recognition systems ${ }^{3,5}$. Therefore, obtainment of pseudopeptide compounds containing intramolecular hydrogen bonds ( H -bonds) that mimic protein-turn (like $\beta$-turn) is an interesting target for drug design. Those compounds can give "deceive" signals to interfere protein-protein interactions then the diseases will be cured.

$\gamma$-turn

$\beta$-turn

$\alpha$-turn

Figure 1.1 Three types of peptide turn

Due to the extraordinary diversity and multi-functions of heterocycles, heterocycle-based molecules are becoming key structures in development of new drugs with two third of marketed drugs containing heterocycles ${ }^{6}$. The combination of heterocycles and amino acids is one among various approaches for pseudopeptide designs with heterocyclic core used as rigid frame attaching to $\alpha$-amino acids to create $\alpha$-, $\beta$ - or $\gamma$-turn mimetics. The $\alpha$-turn is formed when there is a hydrogen bond between the $i$ and $(i+4)$ residues, $\beta$-turn will be formed between $i$ and $(i+3)$ residues and $\gamma$-turn will be formed between $i$ and $(i+2)$ residues. In addition, an extensive part of heterocyclic framework containing nitrogen atom contributes remarkable applications as medicines, pharmaceuticals or agrochemicals. $N$-heterocycles are attractive scaffold for drug development especially for bioactive compounds consisting of amino acids/peptides ${ }^{7}$. Interestingly, our selected heterocycle ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate encloses nitrogen atom (Figure 1.2). With carboxylic group attaches to $\alpha$-carbon and amine group attaches to $\beta$-carbon, its structure simulates $\beta$-amino acid that makes this heterocyclic molecule more inquisitive to study.


Figure 1.2 Ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate as amino acid analogue

### 1.2. Optical active compound (enantiomer)

In $1815^{8}$, the term of "optical activity" was discovered by a French physicist Jean-Baptiste Biot that used to describe organic chemicals capable of rotating the plane of polarized light even in solution or at liquid state. Those chemicals are called "optically active compounds" or "chiral compounds". The first chiral discovered molecule, sodium ammonium tartrate, was separated by a French chemist Louis Pasteur in $1848^{8}$ from racemate of para-tartaric acid in quantity by crystallization. This achievement is the first step to open a new era for organic and medicinal chemistry.

Chiral molecule is defined as a molecule which cannot be superimposed on its image. The simplest model to explain chirality property in molecule is right- and left-hand (Figure 1.3), the two mirror images of a chiral molecule are called enantiomers. A chiral center of enantiomer is an asymmetric carbon ( $\mathrm{asp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon atom) that links to four different groups. Chiral molecule containing more than one asymmetric carbon can be a diastereoisomer and is also not mirror image. Two enantiomers have the same molecular formula, exactly same chemical and physical properties but may have different biological activities.


Figure 1.3 Chiral compounds are presented by hand-model ${ }^{*}$

Due to receptor selectivity and differences in biological and pharmacological activities, many chiral compounds have been reported with one of its enantiomer exhibiting better pharmacological activities than the other such as levofloxacin ${ }^{10}$ or number of $\beta$-blockers ${ }^{11}$. In addition, one enantiomer in a racemate releases biologocal effect while the other causes toxicity

[^0]like the famous case of a chiral drugs called thalidomide ${ }^{12}$. Thalidomide was discovered in 1953 and commercialized in 1956 for several indications. In 1958, it was used as a sedative and a morning-sickness remedy for pregnant woman. Unfortunately, $(R)$-enantiomer had the desired therapeutic effect but ( $S$ )-enantiomer causes many miscarriages, birth defect ${ }^{12}$ with more than 10,000 children were born with severe physical handicap ${ }^{13}$. The hypothesis that one enantiomer of thalidomide caused fetal malformation is still under debate, it seems the racemization of interesting enantiomer occurred during absorption and metabolism in human body. However, there were no strong evidence to confirm its mechanism ${ }^{14}$. In the case of Ibuprofen, used as an inflammatory treatment, it has been proven that in vitro only $(S)$-isomer is active and after absorption via oral administration, one-third of $(R)$-isomer is converted to active form ${ }^{15}$. The pain relief medicine as naproxen also is marketed only as single enantiomer ${ }^{16,17}$. Another example is quinine - an alkaloid which is extracted from quinine tree. Quinine has been known as a very effective treatment for malaria ${ }^{18}$ disease but it can induce toxicity for cardiovascular system. However, one of its enantiomers, quinidine has been reported that not only containing similar effect as anti-malarial medicine as quinine, it also creates much lower damage on heart and blood vessels. Quinidine is used as a treatment for few types of arrhythmia diseases ${ }^{18}$.

The Easson and Stedman ${ }^{19}$ model (Figure 1.4) simply explained the relationship between chiral drug and a specific drug receptor. One enantiomeric compound is well-binding to the receptor to release pharmacological activity but its mirror-image which presents different spatial arrangement of atoms or functional groups is blocked out of the drug receptor leading to no effects or in some cases it even causes toxicity. Since essential biomolecules needed for human body are appeared as enantiomerically pure and to date, approximately $56 \%$ of marketed medicines are used in enantiomeric form ${ }^{11,20}$, chemists are raising an awareness about the obtainment of different chiral active molecules. There are various approaches to achieve chiral compounds that can be mainly divided into two approaches: enantioselective synthesis (or asymmetric synthesis) or enantioseparation of racemic mixture (racemate resolution).


Figure 1.4 Easson and Stedman model - Three-points interaction of chiral drug and receptor ${ }^{\dagger}$

A published review article by Sachine Mane generally introduced various approaching methods to obtain enantiomerically compounds ${ }^{22}$. Enantioselective synthesis presents a type of reaction using a new chiral compound created after addition of chiral auxiliary, catalysts, reagents or substrates. Chiral auxiliaries can be derived from nature source such as amino acids or carbohydrates ${ }^{23}$. They assist the achiral compound to participate in stereoselective process to attain a chiral compound before to be certainly removed and recycled. Chiral catalysts including metal-, organo-catalysts, organometallic complexes, ligands and enzymes are all used to accelerate stereoselective reaction from non-chiral compound to achieve an outcome of optical active compound. An advantage of chiral catalysts is low priced but complicated structure. Unlike chiral catalysts or chiral auxiliaries, chiral reagents involved to asymmetric reaction to bind with achiral starting material to generate diastereoisomers which can be separate by normal-phase column chromatography or by chiral column chromatography for enantiomers. Chiral substrate or chiral pool is the most common method to synthesize optical active compound by modifying structure of available and/or commercially enantiopure starting molecule to desired one. However, due to some limitations like eco-unfriendly (metal catalysis), high-priced and complex structure, even enantioselective synthesis is common method for obtainment of chiral active compounds, the other technique called racemate resolution is preferable.

Several racemate resolution methods to achieve enantiopure molecules were also listed in the same reviewed article mentioned above ${ }^{22,24}$ which are divided into direct and indirect methods. In general, indirect approaches are trying to collect pure enantiomer via crystallization or conversion of enantiomers to diastereoisomers which can be separated by non-chiral column

[^1]chromatography. Few selected examples of indirect approaches are mechanical resolution, kinetic resolution or diastereomeric resolution. Mechanical resolution is crystallization of one enantiomer out of the racemic mixture. This method has limited application because it is timeconsuming and there are few enantiomers are mechanically separable via crystallization. Kinetic resolution bases on different reactivity between two enantiomers with chiral catalysts such as enzymes to distinguish them, one enantiomer can be quickly reacted to form chiral complexes and the other does not. Kinetic resolution is the most effectively way because the obtained chiral complexes always exist in high enantiomeric purity. However, it is hardly to recover single pure enantiomer from reaction mixture. Diastereomeric resolution is commonly applied for enantioseparation. It is allowed to separate diastereoisomeric mixture by one or several columns. Even this method is easily to use but it requires screening for suitable chiral bases to form diastereoisomeric mixture. If two diastereoisomers having very similar resolution on column and numerous columns are needed for separation, it may cause the loss in yield. To the contrary, the typical direct approaches are using of chiral stationary phases (CSPs) or chiral mobile phase additives, herein we only discussed about enantioseparation by High-Resolution Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) using CSPs of enantiomers from racemic mixture.

### 1.3. Chiral High-Resolution Liquid Chromatography

HPLC is developed from conventional liquid chromatography. A HPLC system (Figure 1.5) includes a column (stationary phase), solvent reservoir (mobile phase), injector system and detector. The column is made of stainless steel containing absorbent material (silica gel) with the length can be up to 25 cm and $4.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ of internal diameter. Normally two reservoirs can keep 1-2 litres of solvents are attached to HPLC system via a high-pressure pump that leads solvents into the column with high pressure. Before the solvent get in the column, it will pass a pre-column to remove impurities in the solvent and to saturate the mobile phase with the stationary phase. The separation is called isocratic elution when HPLC system runs with consistent of solvent mixture, if composition of mobile phase changes while system is running, the separation is called gradient elution. The sample is introduced to the column via the injector system. Outcome of the column is attached to the detector connected to a computer for information collecting and that has various wavelengths to detect if samples are eluting out of column. There is diversity of detectors such as ultraviolet (UV) detector, infrared (IR) detector, mass spectrometer or evaporative light scattering (ELS) detector that commonly used for high molecular weight analytes. The HPLC is also divided into different types such as normal phase chromatography, reversed phase chromatography, ion chromatography, size exclusion
chromatography depending on characteristic of sample. To fully understand HPLC results, important parameters like the retention time $(R t)$, the retention or capacity factor $(k)$, the selectivity or separation factor $(\alpha)$, the resolution factor $(R s)$ and efficiency $(N)$ are needed to be studied.


Figure 1.5 General HPLC system components ${ }^{\ddagger}$

Retention time $R t$ is the time taken for a chemical component elutes out of the column starting from the injection time. The retention (capacity) factor $k_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(R t_{\mathrm{i}}-R t_{0}\right) / R t_{0}$ expresses the relation between the time of analyte spends in the stationary phase and in the mobile phase. The $k$ value should be between 1 and 10 for the good separation. The selectivity (separation) factor $\alpha$ defines how chromatographic system differentiates sample components, it is quotient of $k$ values of two components $\left(\alpha=k_{2} / k_{1}\right.$ with $\left.k_{2}>k_{1}\right)$. If $\alpha=1$, all components are eluting at the same time then no separation occurs, therefore, the greater of $\alpha$ value gives the better separation. One of the most important parameters is the resolution factor Rs that determines how well two components are separated in a chromatographic system. It is calculated by the following formula $R s=1.18\left(R t_{2}-R t_{1}\right) /\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)$ where $R t$ is retention time of chemical component and $w$ is peak width. If the resolution value more differ than 1.5 , the elution peaks are well-separated ${ }^{25,26}$.

The fundamental of chiral HPLC is completely the same as normal HPLC but it uses at least one chiral factor. Enantioseparation system by chiral HPLC can be accomplished via three approaches: (a) chiral mobile phase, non-chiral stationary phase; (b) non-chiral mobile phase, chiral liquid stationary phase and (c) non-chiral mobile phase, chiral solid stationary phase ${ }^{25}$. This work only mentioned the third method. Instead of using normal type of stationary phases

[^2]like silica or alumina, chiral HPLC uses various types of chiral stationary phases (CSPs) such as Brush-type, synthetic polymer-based, natural polymer-based, etc. The most common CSPs like cellulose-based, amylose-based, polyacrylamide-based phases, crosslinked diallyltartardiamide, pirkle and chirobiotic phases are exploited especially for preparative chiral HPLC. Even CSPs are widely used for separation of two enantiomers, they are quite expensive and selectively for specific type of enantiomers. To start processing enantioseparation of two enantiomers, a number of CSPs will be screened and proper mobile phase will be examined. This action helps user to optimize the conditions as well as to reach the most efficient parameters in analytical system before applying to preparative chiral HPLC in multi-gram scale. Chiral HPLC can provide throughput enantiomers in high purity and the system is easy to perform, therefore, chiral HPLC is being an effective technique in medicinal chemistry ${ }^{25,27-31}$. Once the enantioseparation finishes, optical rotation value, enantiomeric purity and absolute configuration of both enantiomers should be taken.

### 1.4. Determination of optical value, absolute configuration and enantiomeric purity

A specific property of optical active compound is optical rotation value which is measured by polarimeter. The optical value is calculated by the following Biot's law equation: $[\alpha]_{\lambda}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}=\alpha / \mathrm{lc}$ where $[\alpha] \lambda^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the optical rotation value (in degrees), $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ the wavelength of light source for observation (normally used halogen lamp such as sodium lamp (D) has $\lambda=589 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), $T$ the temperature (in degree Celsius), $\alpha$ the observed rotation value on device, $l$ the path length of the sample tube (in decimeters) and c the concentration of sample solution ( $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Enantiomer has ability to rotate the plane-polarized light and two enantiomers will rotate the plane of polarization but in opposite directions. Racemic mixture is optically inactive and cannot rotate the plane-polarized light, thus it gives the value of zero.

Among various techniques to determine absolute configuration and optical purity of enantiomerically pure, X-ray crystallography is commonly applied. X-ray interprets the crystal formed between a pure enantiomer and a known absolute configuration derivatizing agent to reveal the configuration of that enantiomer.

Another widely used method is circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy which shows difference of absorption of left and right circularly polarized light by a substance (enantiomer). To simply comprehend, two enantiomers have opposite chiral features thus will be absorbed differently by left and right circularly polarized light. The detector of CD system will detect and record
two spectra with exactly same signals but in opposite $\operatorname{sign}^{32,33}$ (Figure 1.6). Even CD spectra of two enantiomers are mirror-image but they do not indicate specific absolute configuration of enantiomers.


Figure 1.6 An example of CD spectra of ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate enantiomers
In addition, NMR is reported as an efficient tool for either absolute configuration or enantiomeric purity determination. The term "enantiomeric purity" or "enantiomeric excess" (ee) presents the quantification of one enantiomer over the other. If ee $\approx 100 \%$, compound is enantiomerically pure and in reverse, racemic mixture has ee $=0 \%$. Plus, chiral centers of diasteroisomer may cause different resonances in electromagnetic field due to spatial arrangement of atoms hence they will slightly affect to chemical shifts on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. To apply NMR techniques for absolute configuration, both enantiomers first have to be coupled with an auxiliary chiral reagent to convert them into diastereoisomers. Secondly, analysis of NMR spectra then can specify the absolute configuration of original enantiomer based on given NMR signals of the auxiliary chiral reagent ${ }^{34,35}$. NMR techniques are also used to examine the purity of diastereoisomer. If a diastereoisomer is not pure and NMR shows presence of the other diastereoisomer, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR can reveal signals of both and base on given number of protons, the ratio between two diastereoisomers can be calculated. This technique has high sensibility and the accuracy can reach $95 \%$. Other methods for examination of enantiomeric excess are described in the literature and used chiral HPLC, gas chromatography or capillary electrophoresis ${ }^{36-38}$.

In this thesis, our chiral ( $R$ )- and ( $S$ )-ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate as starting scaffold were obtained via two strategies: enantioselective synthesis and preparative enantioseparation of racemate by chiral HPLC. The absolute configuration and/or optical purity of each chiral compounds were determined by either electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectroscopy or chiral HPLC with UV and CD detection, their optical values were measured by polarimeter.

## 2. 1,4-benzoxazines as potential scaffold for therapeutic applications

A few biopharmacological activities of 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives were collected from available literature and mentioned below. By attaching imidazoline ring to 1,4 -benzoxazine scaffold, 29 different imidazolinic derivatives were generated ${ }^{39}$ for testing in vivo their effects on binding with imidazoline binding sites (IBS) and adrenergic receptors to evaluate the ability of blood pressure reduction. One of those compounds showed highly potential for antihypertensive effect. Based on previous described benzoxazine-based molecules that possess a substantial properties to prevent neurons degeneration ${ }^{40-42}$, in 2005, a new derivative (Figure 1.7A) was developed. It is aiming to inhibit oxidative stress-mediated neuronal degeneration ${ }^{43}$ in order to protect brain damage. This compound then impressively exhibited neuroprotective activity without leaving cytotoxicity in in vivo test. With the same desire on neurodegenerative protection discovery, a series of 1,4-benzoxazine analogues were synthesized ${ }^{44}$. Among 21 designed molecules had similarly chemical structure, only compound marked as HSB-13 (Figure 1.7B) expressed a significant neuroprotective activity against degeneration.
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Figure 1.7 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives containing neuroprotective activity
Additionally, a novel 1,4-benzoxazine analogue was designed by La et al. ${ }^{45}$ as a powerful compound that can inhibit angiogenesis process (Figure 1.8A). This compound binds to kinase insert domain receptor (KDR) to inactivate tyrosine kinase activity inside cell membrane, then block the process of forming new blood vessels to "raise" existed tumour. With the same mechanism, Honda's team developed a new molecule also bearing this framework ${ }^{46}$ prohibited the tyrosine kinases and produced a comparatively high inhibitory activities against KDR (Figure 1.8B).
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Figure 1.8 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives developed by La et al (A) and Honda et al (B) containing antiangiogenesis activity

Although those two molecules have revealed a good capability of angiogenesis restraint to stop the tumours being metastasis, their mechanism of action can lead to another health issue. The using of angiogenesis inhibitor in cancer patients may prevent the growth and regeneration of new blood vessels that can cause blood clotting disorder. This phenomenon affects to blood circulation system in human body then brings out thrombosis. In 2012, a study of the dual activity on thrombotic inhibitory and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor antagonistic of several fluorinated 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine was reported ${ }^{47}$. Their findings indicated a promising compound (Figure 1.9A) which was highly satisfied for their aim. Furthermore, they also successfully developed another structurally similar compound (Figure 1.9B) containing not only dual antithrombin but combining with anti-angiogenesis activity by transfer carboxylic group to ethyl ester one ${ }^{48}$.
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Figure 1.9 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives containing antithrombotic activity ( $\mathbf{A}$ ) and dual anti-thrombin and anti-angiogenesis activities (B)

Aiming to find out a treatment for cardiovascular diseases which preferably target to the causes than only deal with its symptoms, a series of 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives was synthesized bearing different types of antianginal calcium antagonists ${ }^{49}$. It indicated that the most potent compound should bear benzyl group on nitrogen atom of 1,4-benzoxazine moiety. In addition, heterocyclic framework should be distanced from amine function by three methylene groups to reach prominent effect as intracellular calcium blocker (Figure 1.10).


Figure 1.10 1,4-benzoxazine derivative containing intracellular calcium antagonist activity

To devise a new class of analogues bearing aminoamide functionality of lidocaine, a medicine used for treatment of arrhythmias when ischemia-reperfusion occurs, 5,6,8-trimethyl-1,4benzoxazine has been involved to design next generation of antiarrhythmic drugs (Figure 1.11). Among various synthesized molecules, two of them highlighted a remarkable effect on arrhythmias and showed less complications ${ }^{50}$. During this study, the authors described enantioseparation of 1,4-benzoxazine analogues by chiral HPLC system which is one way among variety of methods to approach chiral 1,4-benzoxazines.



Figure 1.11 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives against arrhythmias

Moreover, 1,4-benzoxazine moiety was exploited as a dual-acting factor ${ }^{51}$ for treatment of thrombosis. The scaffold was designed to bear both thromboxane $\mathrm{A}_{2}\left(\mathrm{TXA}_{2}\right)$ antagonist and prostacyclin $\left(\mathrm{PGI}_{2}\right)$ agonist which have opposite activities on platelet aggregation (Figure 1.12). The pharmacological study on these 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine series also showed no side effects on blood pressure and heart rate. Hence, it is potential medicine for antithrombin and cardiovascular field.


Figure 1.12 1,4-benzoxazine derivative inhibits $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ and activates $\mathrm{PGI}_{2}$

By adding serotonin (5-HT) component to 1,4-benzoxazine framework, a series of new 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine derivatives was developed (Figure 1.13) as serotonin 1 A recepter $\left(5-\mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}\right)$ agonists. These compounds can bind to $5-\mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ to increase $5-\mathrm{HT}$ level in the brain and help reducing depressant symptoms. They also are selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors
(SSRIs) which will block serotonin to be reabsorpted to neurons and keep them working as neurotransmitter ${ }^{52}$. Another 1,4-benzoxazine analogue that contains same effect as antidepressant drug was also reported ${ }^{53}$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{H} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, n-\mathrm{Pr} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.13 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[1,4]oxazine derivatives incorporate dual SSRI and 5- $\mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor activities

A research on synthesizing of 2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazines (Figure 1.14) acting as isosteres of their chroman counterparts to investigate their inhibiting effect on the glucose-induced insulin and myorelaxant activity was reported ${ }^{54}$. It figured out the benzoxazine substitutes were less active in releasing insulin from rat pancreatic but considerably potential as myorelaxants.


Figure 1.14 2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine derivative containing muscle relaxant activity

Transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 (TRPV1) locates on sensory neurons. It can be activated by high temperature ( $\geq 42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) or capsaicin (compound that be found in hot chilly) and other endogenous lipids. When TRPV1 is activated, it will generate the feeling of pain or burning sensation and in longer time may cause peripheral neuropathy, asthma or temporary deaf. Therefore, TRPV1 becomes an attractive target for treatment of pain ${ }^{55}$. A series of cooperative piperidine carboxamides with 1,4-benzoxazin-3-one have been synthesized as TRPV1 inhibitors. One molecule among them exhibited significant inhibitory activity (Figure $1.15)^{56}$.


Figure 1.15 1,4-benzoxazine derivative inactivating TRPV1
Methotrexate (MTX) is an antifolate used to treat leukemia and other types of cancer such as breast cancer, lung cancer. It is also one of the most effective drugs for arthritis treatment but long-term treatment with MTX causes severe side effects like nausea, vomiting or even hair loss. In order to enhance the bioactivity and avoid side effects of MTX, its derivatives conjugated with 1,4-benzoxazine and 1,4-benzothiazine scaffold were synthesized. They were examined to measure in vitro inhibitory proliferation activity towards human synovial cells (hSC) and human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (hPBMC), and through in vivo tests on ability to inhibit arthritis on rat model. The results were impressive to MTX conjugated with 1,4-benzothiazine moiety and sufficient to the one with 1,4-benzoxazine moiety. Antiproliferative inhibitory effect of MTX-based 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives (Figure 1.16) were similar to MTX itself and strongly inhibited the development of disease in arthritic rat model ${ }^{57}$.


Figure 1.16 MTX derivative bearing 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold for arthritis treatment
Levofloxacin or (-)-ofloxacin is very known as antibiotic having 1,4-benzoxazine core that had been discovered by Daiichi Seiyaku Company in Tokyo by separating two enantiomers of ofloxacin. It was first approved for marketing in 1993 in Japan and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authorized use of levofloxacin in the United States in 1996 ${ }^{58,59}$. Levofloxacin (Figure 1.17) was reported with inhibitory activity 8 to 128 times more potent compare to the other enantiomer ${ }^{60}$. In 2010, various 1,4-benzoxazine analogues were generated specially focus on inhibition of strain of tuberculosis (M. tuberculosis H37Rv). They showed a promising antibacterial property with low $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values against $M$. tuberculosis bacterium ${ }^{61}$.

Furthermore, different molecules bearing 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold that contain favorable antimicrobial activity ${ }^{62,63}$ were described.


Figure 1.17 Levofloxacin - antibacterial drug

The 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylic building block (1,4-benzoxzin-3-one) as perspective peptidomimetics for serine protease inhibitors (Figure 1.18A) and also several proline containing same general formula (Figure 1.18B) as fibrinogen receptor antagonists were synthesized ${ }^{64,65}$. In addition, the synthesis of potent immunostimulatory compounds-based muramyl dipeptide with retro-inverso formula was showed up (Figure 1.18C). Even the synthesized compound were inactive for immunostimulant activity, it convinced a hypothesis that intramolecular peptide bond is crucial for immunorestoration ${ }^{66}$.
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Figure 1.18 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives as peptidomimetics

Not only be useful in many pharmaceutical fields, this amazing heterocyclic skeleton also be a target in agricultural chemistry. Based on the previous research on phytotoxicity of benzoxazinones for weed control on barnyardgrass ${ }^{67}$, the potential candidate has been continuing generated as a novel model for herbicide in specific rice plants Oryza sativa Echinochloa crus-galli. By upgrading its lipophilicity via screening of different hydrocarbon
chains at $\mathrm{C}-2$ position to find the most favourable one that make the designed molecule's $\log \mathrm{P}$ value stable between 1 and $2 .{ }^{68}$ The best candidate was the 2 -ethyl-substituted compound (Figure 1.19).


Figure 1.19 1,4-benzoxazin-3-one as new herbicide model

Due to those applications, 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine is a certain attractive target to develop therapeutic molecules. Even 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold have many potential medical applications, its synthetic route is not complicated. Many different strategies to afford 1,4benzoxazine derivatives by ring closure have been collected in a review written by Ilaš ${ }^{69}$. Herein are listed few selected strategies to approach 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold.

## 3. Synthetic routes for 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives

### 3.1. Synthetic routes for racemate $\mathbf{1 , 4}$-benzoxazine derivatives

Metal-catalysts is commonly used in various reactions to increase reaction rate and to improve the effect of activating reactants. In 1979, Battistoni et al. performed the synthesis of racemic 3-phenyl-2H-1,4-benzoxazine (Scheme 1.1$)^{70}$ by reduction of 2-(2nitrophenoxy)acetophenone using sodium phosphinite in the presence of palladium on charcoal.


Scheme 1.1 Synthesis of racemic 3-phenyl-2H-1,4-benzoxazine

The preparation of intermediate 3,4-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-6-trifluoromethyl-2H-1,4benzoxazine was realized by substitution of 2-nitro-4-trifluoromethylphenol with 2 bromoisobutyraldehyde in the presence of potassium carbonate, followed by hydrogenation step in the presence of Raney nickel to complete ring closure (Scheme 1.2). This intermediate compound was modified via multi-steps to achieve 1,4-benzoxazine derivative possessing effect as potassium channel activator ${ }^{71}$.


Scheme 1.2 Synthesis of intermediate 3,4-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-6-trifluoromethyl-2H-1,4benzoxazine

Oxidation of different ortho-aminophenol via inverse-electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction by using manganese dioxide $\left(\mathrm{MnO}_{2}\right)$ and enamine with 1.5 equivalents of morpholine can generate also racemic 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives in good yield ${ }^{72}$. One of those molecules that bearing 1,4-benzoxazine framework showed significant neuroprotective activity (Scheme 1.3).


Scheme 1.3 Synthesis of racemic [3,3-diphenyl-5-hydroxy-2-(2-methoxy)ethylamino-3,4-dihydro2 H -1,4-benzoxazine-6-yl](methyl)methanone as potential neuroprotective treatment

Although using metal-catalyzed is known, this method is partially expensive and a metal-free synthetic route will be more persuasive. The cycloaddition between $o$-phenol imine (Shiff base) and $\alpha$-halo ketone can give 1,4-benzoxazine derivative ${ }^{73}$ (Scheme 1.4). To optimize the efficiency of this reaction, 4-dimethylaminopyridine (4-DMAP) was used as a catalyst to increase the yield.


Scheme 1.4 Synthesis of racemic phenyl-(3-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazin-2-yl)-methanone

Another metal-free method was reported by Wei et al. which was simply hydrogenation of diverse 3 -substituted-2 H -1,4-benzoxazines in the presence of tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane $\left(\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$ under hydrogen in toluene to produce 3,4-dihydro-2 H -1,4-benzoxazine derivatives in excellent conversion ${ }^{74}$ (Scheme 1.5). In this report, chiral borane catalysts were also prepared in situ by hydroboration of Piers' borane $\left(\mathrm{BH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right)$ with different chiral dienes to examine for the asymmetric hydrogenation of 1,4-benzoxazine but the results showed poor enantiomeric excess ( $\sim 30 \%$ ).


Scheme 1.5 Metal-free hydrogenation of 3-substituted-2H-1,4-benzoxazines

Among the different synthetic routes, the most commonly used method for the synthesis of racemate 1,4-benzoxazine is a double nucleophilic substitution of racemic ethyl 2,3dibromopropionate $\boldsymbol{r a c} \mathbf{- 1}$ by 2 -aminophenol ${ }^{75-78}$. This strategy allows to obtain racemic ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate rac-2 in high yield (70-90\%) (Scheme 1.6).


Scheme 1.6 Synthesis of racemic ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate rac-2

However, due to the differences in biological activities of two enantiomers, our concern was on the enantiopure ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylates ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )- and ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-2. Unfortunately, there were not many reports in the available literature brought up an effortless and straightforward procedure to obtain chiral 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives.

### 3.2. Synthetic routes for chiral 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives

An enantioselective synthesis of Levofloxacin precursor was presented by López-Iglesias et al. used enzyme-catalyzed like Rhizomucor miehei lipase (RML) and dehydrogenase enzyme ADH-A. Despite of good yield and rich enantiomeric excess of final compound, this method took long time and needed multi-steps to proceed ${ }^{79}$ (Scheme 1.7A). Another method to acquire precursor of levofloxacin and to enhance properties of synthetic process (yield, enantiomeric excess, etc.) was the use of Bakers' Yeast to obtain enantiomer of intermediate compound. The intermediate then formed ring closure via an intramolecular Mitsunobu cyclization reaction in the presence of zinc chloride to eliminate unexpected by-product ${ }^{80}$ (Scheme 1.7B).





Scheme 1.7 Synthesis of Levofloxacin precursor

With similarly principle of using enzyme catalyst, Breznik et al. employed useful pig liver esterase (PLE) to obtain chiral intermediate ${ }^{81}$. Palladium on charcoal as catalyst then was used to make cyclization for obtainment of both enantiomers of 2-methyl-3-oxo-3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylic acid in good yield. The major product was produced depending on solvent (Scheme 1.8).



$(R)$ - enantiomer

Scheme 1.8 Synthesis of $(S)$ - and ( $R$ )-2-methyl-3-oxo-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylic acids via PLE

In order to identify a simple route for enantioselective synthesis of 3,4 -dihydro-2H-1,4benzoxazines, Zumbrägel et al. employed imine reductases (IREDs). IREDs are helpful biocatalyst using for asymmetric synthesis of chiral amines by reduction of imines. In this case, IRED catalyzed the reduction of $2 H-1,4$-benzoxazines under mild conditions ${ }^{82,83}$. The outcomes expressed a good conversion based on type of IRED and high enantiomeric excess of 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazines (Scheme 1.9).


Scheme 1.9 Synthesis of $(R)$ - or ( $S$ )-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazines using IRED

As mentioned above, the separation and purification of enantiomers from its racemic form by racemate resolution methods are commonly used. To obtain pure ( $R$ )- and ( $S$ )-2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-4H-1,4-benzoxazine, a process was revealed by reacting its racemate with chiral acyl chloride to create mixture of two diastereoisomers then easy be separated by achiral column. While two enantiomers are identical in term of chemical and physical properties, diastereoisomers are not so their separation should not be a concern. Pure enantiomers of 1,4benzoxazine were obtained after hydrolysis by heating each single diastereoisomer under reflux in very acidic environment and purification by column chromatography. The desired enantiomers were recovered in moderate yield but high enantiomeric excess ( $\geq 99 \%)^{84,85}$
(Scheme 1.10). Similar approaches also were mentioned in other articles for their particular purposes ${ }^{86-88}$.


Scheme 1.10 Separation of ( $R$ )- and (S)-2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-4H-1,4-benzoxazine by kinetic resolution

Koini et al. ${ }^{50}$ reported before about using chiral HPLC to separate two enantiomers from synthesized racemic mixture of a 1,4-benzoxazine analogue. Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$-OD as chiral stationary phase and a mixture of hexane/2-propanol ( $90 / 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as mobile phase were applied. The first eluted fraction was indicated as $(S)$-enantiomer and the second one was $(R)$-enantiomer with retention time was 18.8 min and 22.2 min , respectively (Scheme 1.11).



Scheme 1.11 Separation of $(S)$ - and $(R)$-1,4-benzoxazine derivative by chiral HPLC on Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$-OD

Employed the kinetic resolution method, Rao et al. did a little more complicated work by using palladium complex as catalyst together with chiral ligand ${ }^{89}$. Via screening of various solvents, bases and changing of other parameters, it turned out that ( $S$ )-BINAP as chiral ligand, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OOCCF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ as palladium source in the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in toluene under reflux gave the best result of $68 \%$ of conversion. However, the enantiomeric excess of product only reached 32\% (Scheme 1.12).


Scheme 1.12 Synthesis of optical active 1,4-benzoxazine derivative

In term of asymmetric synthesis, organometallic complexes are known as effective and high reactivity reagents. Few examples described enantioselective synthesis of 1,4-benzoxazine ring such as using copper-catalyzed ${ }^{90}$ to form [4+2] cycloaddition reaction between 2-aminophenol and various propargylic esters to obtain 1,4-benzoxazine enantiomer in excellent yield (Scheme 1.13A) or employment of iridium-catalyzed ${ }^{91}$ to hydrogenate 3 -aryl- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazines via one step reaction (Scheme 1.13B). Similarly, mechanism using palladium-catalysis ${ }^{92}$ to develop series of chiral 1,4-benzoxazine via asymmetric amino hydroxylation, gave very good yield and rich enantiomeric excess (Scheme 1.13C).


B
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Scheme 1.13 Synthesis of chiral 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives using organometallic and chiral ligands
Besides organometallic, organic catalysts also are common reagents used for enantioselective synthesis of chiral active compounds because they are environmentally friendly, less toxic and low-cost ${ }^{93}$ compare to metals. Screening of different Lewis bases to develop straightforward way to obtain chiral substituted 1,4-benzoxazine by hydrosilylation, Jiang et al. ${ }^{94}$ successfully afforded enantioenriched products in moderate enantiomeric excess of products (Scheme 1.14A). A similarly method was applied by Liu et al. ${ }^{95}$. With the ambition of not using noble metals as others, Fleischer et al. ${ }^{96}$ employed iron complex and Brønsted acid to hydrogenate 4-substituted-2H-1,4-benzoxazines (Scheme 1.14B).
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Scheme 1.14 Synthesis of chiral 1,4-benzoxazine derivatives using organic catalysts

Using phase-transfer-catalyzed ${ }^{97}$ or Brønsted acid cooperated with non-metal catalyze ${ }^{98}$ to achieve enantioenriched 1,4-benzoxazine also were reported.

However, all the mentioned methods require multi-steps or expensive reagents to succeed. Thus, the essential of designing a straightforward way, using inexpensive reagents and uncomplicated manipulations to acquire directly chiral molecules bearing 1,4-benzoxazine core is accelerating us to engage in this subject. Herein we reported the synthesis routes and conformational behaviour analysis of new chiral 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine derivatives as new pseudopeptides for potential biological activities. To achieve the goals, it was considered to try to obtain both $(R)$ - and (S)-ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2carboxylates via two strategies: enantioselective synthesis and enantioseparation of racemate by chiral HPLC. After this first aim, different $\alpha$-amino acids could be coupled at the $C$ - and/or $N$-terminal extremities of 1,4-benzoxazine core in order to form pseudopeptide analogues. Finally, structure analysis will be realized on the obtained compounds by using different techniques (NMR experiments, IR, X-Ray crystallography, etc.).
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## CHAPTER II

## SYNTHESIS OF ENANTIOPURE

## 3,4-DIHYDRO-2H-1,4-BENZOXAZINE ANALOGUES

The second chapter presents each step to approach chiral ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate $\mathbf{1}$ and chiral ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate $\mathbf{2}$. Once both enantiomers of $\mathbf{2}$ are obtained, their structure will be designed to elaborate pseudopeptide analogues. As described in Chapter 1, the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ possesses a structure that is similar to $\beta$-amino acid where the carbonyl group is attached to the $\alpha$-carbon and the amine group to the $\beta$-carbon. This scaffold is interesting to be studied as new $\beta$-amino acid moiety in pseudopeptide synthesis to develop new chiral 1,4-benzoxazine analogues as pseudopeptides (Figure 2.1). To reach the objectives, enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are first synthesized. Chiral 1,4-benzoxazine analogues 2' will be synthesized by coupling reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ at $C$ - and $N$-terminals with different amino acids by using the expertise of our laboratory in the field of synthesis of bis-nitrogenated pseudopeptides. Conformational behavior in solution and solid states of all obtained 1,4-benzoxazine analogues 2' then will be investigated by using various analyses (1D and 2D NMR, achiral and chiral HPLC, IR, X-Ray...)


Figure 2.1 Chemical structure of new chiral 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold-based pseudopeptide analogues ${ }^{2}$ ' will be developed in this thesis

## 1. Synthetic plan

$(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ and $(S)-\mathbf{1}$ and also $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(S)$-2 were obtained by two strategies: a) via enantioselective synthesis and b) via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of racemate on multigram scale.

Enantioselective synthesis of $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ and ( $S$ )-2 was performed as described for rac-2 (Scheme 1.6) by reacting 2 -aminophenol with ethyl 2,3 -dibromopropionate $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ or $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ in the presence of potassium carbonate in acetone under reflux. Enantiopure ( $R$ )-2 and ( $S$ )-2 were used as new $\beta$-amino acid starting materials for synthesis of chiral 1,4-benzoxazine analogues as new pseudopeptides via a game of both deprotection and coupling reactions. Through this strategy, various pseudodipeptides and pseudotripeptides could easily obtained. Finally, amination of ester group and deprotection of Fmoc protecting group would generate a potential scaffold for studying the turn mimetics (Scheme 2.1).

$(S)-\mathbf{2}$ or ( $R$ )-2
R, R': Amino acid side chains


$(S, S, S)$ or $(S, R, S)$
$(S, S, S)$ or $(S, R, S)$

Scheme 2.1 Synthetic plan for obtainment of chiral 1,4-benzoxazine pseudopeptide analogues

## 2. Obtainment of chiral ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate (S)-1 and (R)-1

### 2.1. Via enantioselective synthesis

Racemic ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate rac-1 is a key intermediate used in the synthesis of different heterocycles, especially benzoheterocycles. Among those heterocycles, we could mention aziridine-2-carboxylates ${ }^{1}$, 1,4-benzodioxans ${ }^{2}$, 1,4-benzoxathians ${ }^{3}$ and certainly 1,4benzoxazine ${ }^{4,5}$. Some of these compounds display interesting biological activities with antihepatotoxic ${ }^{6}$, anti-angiogenesis ${ }^{7,8}$ or anti-hypertensive ${ }^{9,10}$ properties. However, despite of overwhelming interest, only rac- $\mathbf{1}$ is commercially available at low price, the optically pure enantiomers $(S)-\mathbf{1}$ and $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ and their corresponding carboxylic acids or other related esters have never been described in the literature. Therefore, we wished first to achieve enantiomerically pure of $\mathbf{1}$ then to engage it by a double substitution reaction with 2 aminophenol to obtain enantiopure $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ and ( $(S)$-2.

Compound rac-1 could be easily obtained by an elementary reaction of bromination of ethyl acrylate in carbon tetrachloride ${ }^{11,12}$ (Scheme 2.2).


Scheme 2.2 Synthesis of racemic ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate rac-1

The enantioselective synthesis of $(S) \mathbf{- 1}$ is based on brominating reaction starting from enantiomerically pure ( $S$ )-Ethyl glycerate ( $S$ )-3. ( $S$ )-3 was obtained in $70 \%$ overall yield from $(S)$-Serine in two steps following the procedure that previously described by Mukaiyama et al. ${ }^{13}$ (Scheme 2.3). First step was taken 5 days for deamination of commercially available amino acid ( $S$ )-serine in highly acidic environment to generate ( $S$ )-glyceric acid. Second step took one day for esterification of glyceric acid by triethyl orthoformate in ethanol under reflux to obtain (S)-3. Including treatment and purification of crude mixture, this reaction took approximately 7 days to complete that was peculiarly long. A similar method was applied by Jervis et al. ${ }^{14}$ using solution of sodium nitrite and hydrochloric acid for hydrolysis of $(S)$-serine to convert amine group to hydroxyl then $(S)$-glyceric acid was esterified by $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $p$-TSA) in ethanol. Their method also required around 5 days to complete but it seemed not effective for us to treat extremely sticky crude mixture that led to low yield (25\%) of obtained product. Therefore, method of Mukaiyama was chosen and ( $S$ )-3 was then subjected to a double brominating reaction via three different methods (Scheme 2.4).


Scheme 2.3 Synthesis of (S)-ethyl 2,3-dihydroxypropionate ( $S$ )-3
The optical rotation value of $(S)-3:[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-11.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ was in agreement with thoses reported in literature $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}=-11.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{14}$ and $[\alpha]^{15} \mathrm{D}=-9.1^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=1.19$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{15}$. The difference might due to the low concentration of solution sample ( 1.00 in the literature and 0.05 in our case), temperature also could cause a little affect to optical value but the structure of ( $S$ )-3 was confirmed by NMR.

The starting material $(S)-\mathbf{3}$ is subjected to a double bromination reaction with N bromosuccinimide (NBS, Method A) ${ }^{16}$, phosphorus tribromide $\left(\mathrm{PBr}_{3}\right.$, Method B) ${ }^{17}$, or carbon tetrabromide $\left(\mathrm{CBr}_{4} \text {, Method } \mathrm{C}\right)^{18-20}$ as brominating agents and triphenylphosphine $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.$, Methods A and C) or pyridine (Method B) as co-reagents in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvents (Scheme 2.4). ( $S$ )- $\mathbf{3}$ is always recovered with no racemization by using Methods A and B. Despite several attempts, only Method C (Appel reaction) with 2 or 3 equivalents of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ provides (S)-1 with yields from 24 to $82 \%$ (Scheme 2.4). It is also interesting to note that the Method C did not work with 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The Appel reaction in Method C proceeds via a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism with spatial inversion of stereochemistry and affords $(S)$-1.


Scheme 2.4 Enantioselective synthesis of ( $S$ )-ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate ( $S$ )-1
Before successfully achieved ( $S$ )-1 in good yield, the purification step brought some difficult. It seemed that compound ( $S$ )-1 degraded rapidly during the purification realized through silica gel flash column. To prove that the degradation occurred during purification, a mixture of commercially available $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ was prepared to run over flash column. The result was extremely disappointing because only $70 \%$ of rac-1 was finally recovered. Acidic environment of silica gel could be the cause of the degradation. To bypass this problem, silica was replaced by neutral alumina oxide $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and commercial rac-1 was run over. Unfortunately, $60-80 \%$ of rac-1 was only obtained after elution. To overcome this issue, purification by column chromatography was avoided and another solution was brought up to eliminate by-product $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ followed reported method. A big part of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ was easily removed by forming a complex with zinc chloride ${ }^{21}$. After its precipitation in ethanol, the residual solute should contain only (S)-1. However, after several times of washing, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ could not be completely eliminated and a small quantity of ( $S$ ) - $\mathbf{1}$ was lost during filtration of solid.

Table 1 Conditions for brominating of (S)-3

| Method | Reagent | Base | Solvent | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | NBS <br> (1 or 3 equiv.) | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ <br> $(1$ or 3 equiv.) | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $0-\mathrm{rt}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $6-12$ |
| B | $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ <br> $(1,3$ or 5 equiv.) | Pyridine <br> $(1.1$ equiv.) | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $-10-\mathrm{rt}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2-24$ |
| C | CBr <br> $(2$ or 3 equiv.) | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ <br> $(2$ or 3 equiv.) $)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{rt}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $8-20$ |

${ }^{a}{ }^{r} \mathrm{rt}=$ room temperature
An alternative solution to synthesize $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(S) \mathbf{- 2}$ and to avoid the problem of degradation of $(S) \mathbf{- 1}$ was applied. Compound $(S)-\mathbf{3}$ was reacted with trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride
$\left(\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to replace bromide by triflate, one of the best good leaving group. This solution was tested in parallel with the previous one. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2.2 eq .) as reagent in the presence of 2,6-lutidine (2.2 eq.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used ${ }^{22,23}$. Unfortunately, after formation of the ditriflate compound 3a, an unexpected $\beta$-elimination of the primary triflate group occurred and led to the creation of a conjugated double bond (compound 4, Scheme 2.5).



Scheme $2.5 \beta$-elimination reaction accompanying the synthesis of ( $S$ )-3a

Meanwhile, degradation problem of ( $S$ )-1 was solved by a very simple way. After several improvement attempts, the use of a low height of silica gel in the column significantly reduced the degradation of ( $S$ )-1. Purification was accomplished with non-polar eluent (PE/EtOAc, 9/1, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and generated compound ( $S$ )-1 in $82 \%$ of yield (Method C, Table 1). It seemed to strengthen the hypothesis that acidic environment caused by silica was the reason of degradation. The longer $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ stays on the column, the lower yield is obtained.

To ensure the effectiveness of this strategy in obtaining of enantiomerically pure ( $S$ )-1, optical purity determination of this compound is a crucial step. For this reason, analytical HPLC enantioseparation of commercially available racemate rac-1 was investigated in order to be able to determine the enantiomeric excess (ee) and the optical rotation value of the synthesized $(S)-\mathbf{1}$ as a reference.

### 2.2. Via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of commercially available rac-1 on multigram scale

### 2.2.1. Analytical HPLC enantioseparation

To obtain complete resolution of the racemate rac-1, a screening of 14 different chiral stationary phases (CSPs, $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was undertaken using a mixture of heptane/EtOH (98/2 or $95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as mobile phase with a flow-rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ under UV and circular dichroism (CD) detection at 254 nm . The chromatographic results of the screening were reported in Table 2. Experimental data indicated that no separation $(\alpha=1$, entries $1,3,4,6,9,10$, 12 and 14 , Table 2) or no baseline separation (Rs < 1.5, entries 2, 11 and 13, Table 2) was obtained for the majority of CSPs. It should be noted that the higher of resolution factor is, the better of baseline separation will be. Baseline separation was observed on Chiralpak AZ-H, Chiralpak IA and Lux-Amylose-1 ${ }^{\circledR}$ (entries 5, 7 and 8, Table 2), but only Lux-Amylose- $1^{\circledR}$ CSP showed a good resolution for rac-1 (Rs > 3, entries 5a and 5b, Table 2 and Figure 2.2). HPLC chromatogram using Lux-Amylose- $1^{\circledR}$ as CSP and heptane/EtOH (95/5, v/v) as mobile phase (entry 5 b, Table 2) gave retention times of 4.74 and 5.21 min for ( - )- $\mathbf{1}$ and (+)-1 enantiomers, respectively, with a Rs value of 3.13 (Figure 2.2). The circular dichroism detector revealed that the elution order is $(-)_{\mathrm{CD} 254 \mathrm{~nm}} /(+)_{\mathrm{CD} 254 \mathrm{~nm}}$ on amylose based CSPs, whereas the $(+)_{\mathrm{CD} 254 \mathrm{~nm}}$ was the first eluted on the only cellulose based CSP (Chiralcel OD-3). The resolution was worse on immobilized polysaccharide CSPs (Chiralpak IA and IF) than on the corresponding coated CSPs (Lux-Amylose- $1^{\circledR}$ and Chiralpak AZ-H).

Table 2 Screening results for analytical HPLC enantioseparation of rac-1

| Entry | Column | Heptane <br> /EtOH <br> (v/v) | $1^{\text {st }}$ eluted enantiomer |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ eluted enantiomer |  | $\alpha^{\text {c }}$ | Rs ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{R t}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathbf{R t} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | k2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Chiralpak AS-H | 98/2 | 4.08 | 0.38 | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | Chiralcel OD-3 | 98/2 | 4.46 (+) | 0.51 | 4.57 (-) | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.52 |
| 3 | Lux-Cellulose-2 | 98/2 | 4.22 | 0.43 | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | Lux-Cellulose-4 | 98/2 | 4.10 | 0.39 | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| 5a | Lux-Amylose-1 | 98/2 | 5.13 (-) | 0.74 | 5.76 (+) | 0.95 | 1.29 | 3.68 |
| 5b | Lux-Amylose-1 | 95/5 | 4.74 (-) | 0.61 | 5.21 (+) | 0.77 | 1.26 | 3.13 |
| 6 | Lux-Amylose-2 | 98/2 | 5.04 | 0.71 | - | - | 1 | 0 |


| 7 | Chiralpak AZ-H | $98 / 2$ | $5.38(-)$ | 0.82 | $5.64(+)$ | 0.91 | 1.11 | 1.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Chiralpak IA | $98 / 2$ | $4.84(-)$ | 0.64 | $5.19(+)$ | 0.76 | 1.18 | 1.40 |
| 9 | Chiralpak IB | $98 / 2$ | 4.20 | 0.42 | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | Chiralpak IC | $98 / 2$ | 4.32 | 0.46 | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | Chiralpak ID | $98 / 2$ | $4.87(-)$ | 0.65 | $5.09(+)$ | 0.73 | 1.12 | 0.76 |
| 12 | Chiralpak IE | $98 / 2$ | 5.10 | 0.73 | - | - | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | Chiralpak IF | $98 / 2$ | $5.38(-)$ | 0.82 | $5.63(+)$ | 0.91 | 1.10 | 1.04 |
| 14 | $(S, S)-$ Whelk-O1 | $98 / 2$ | 4.72 | 0.60 | - | - | 1 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Retention time (min); ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Retention factor; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Enantioselectivity factor; ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Resolution


rac-1

| $\mathbf{C D}$ <br> $(\mathbf{2 5 4} \mathbf{~ n m})$ | Rt (min) | Area (\%) | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Rs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(-)$ | 4.74 | 50.01 | 0.61 | 1.26 | 3.13 |
| $(+)$ | 5.21 | 49.99 | 0.77 |  |  |

Figure 2.2 Analytical HPLC enantioseparation chromatograms under (A) UV and (B) CD detection ( 254 nm ) and its parameters of commercially rac-1

The assignment of the absolute configuration was established by comparing analytical chiral HPLC chromatogram of synthesized (S)-1 and rac-1 on Lux-Amylose-1 ${ }^{\circledR}$. As showed in the Figure 2.3, the major enantiomer in the HPLC chromatograms of $(S) \mathbf{- 1}$ was the second eluted enantiomer and following the extension of reaction time, the percentage of the first eluted enantiomer increased that led to decreasing of ee value. Therefore, we can assume that the second eluted enantiomer is $(S) \mathbf{- 1}$ and the first one is $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$.


A




| Synthesized compound ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Configuration | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD } \\ (254 \mathrm{~nm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R t} \\ (\mathbf{m i n}) \end{gathered}$ | Area $(\%)$ | k | $\alpha$ | Rs | ee |
| A | (R) | (-) | 4.76 | 31.87 | 0.61 | 1.28 | 3.13 | 36\% |
|  | (S) | (+) | 5.26 | 68.13 | 0.78 |  |  |  |
| B | (R) | (-) | 4.78 | 41.28 | 0.62 | 1.25 | 3.08 | 17\% |
|  | (S) | (+) | 5.24 | 58.72 | 0.78 |  |  |  |

Figure 2.3 Examples of two analytical HPLC chromatograms of the synthesized ( $S$ )-1 after 14h (A) and 17 h (B)

### 2.2.2. Via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of $\mathbf{r a c}-1$ on multigram scale

Based on the optimized analytical HPLC enantioseparation analytical conditions for commercially available rac-1 mentioned above (entry 5b, Table 2), the preparative HPLC enantioseparation of rac- $\mathbf{1}$ on multigram scale using Chiralpak AD-H ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) was performed. The Chiralpak AD-H column is an alternative of Lux-Amylose- $1^{\circledR}$, those two CSPs share the same amylose-based chiral selector which is amylose tris(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) coated on silica. The preparative resolution of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1}$ on multigram scale ( 5 g ) was successively performed with a mixture of hexane/EtOH ( $95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 6 \mathrm{~L}$ ) as mobile phase with a flow-rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ under UV and CD detection ( 254 nm ). Rac-1 was dissolved in 60 mL of hexane/EtOH (95/5, v/v) then the separation was done after one day with stacking injection mode with 1200 times injection of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each 1.2 min . The first $(2.3 \mathrm{~g})$ and the second $(2.3 \mathrm{~g})$ fractions at a retention time of 4.73 and 5.18 min , respectively, were isolated with ee
higher than $99.5 \%$ (Figures 2.4A-B). The separated enantiomers were characterized by optical rotation, electronic circular dichroism (ECD) and UV. ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ has a positive ECD band between 200 and 275 nm (Figure 2.4C) and in reverse, $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ shows opposite values (Figures 2.4C) but same UV spectra for both (Figure 2.4D).


Figure 2.4 Preparative HPLC chromatograms of the separated enantiomers from rac-1 (A, B) under UV (left) and CD (right) detection ( 254 nm ) and their $\mathrm{ECD}(\mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{D})$ spectra $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, c=7.2\right.$ mM)

### 2.3. Optical rotation value of $(S)-1$ and $(R)-1$

Normally, the optical rotation value is measured by a single wavelength at 589 nm of sodiumvapor lamp as the most suitable monochromatic light source. Measurement by other wavelengths requires specialized equipment. In this case, different optical rotation values of $(S)-\mathbf{1}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ were measured with under various wavelengths: sodium ( 589 nm ) and halogen ( $365,405,436,546$ and 578 nm ) lamps (Table 3). Two enantiomerically pure compounds are supposed to have same value of specific rotation [ $\alpha$ ] but in opposite angles when they are measured at the same conditions (concentration, temperature, wavelength).

Table 3 Optical rotation values of the two separated enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ at different wavelength

| $\lambda(\mathbf{n m})$ | $(\boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 1}$ <br> $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}] \lambda^{\mathbf{2 5}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{3}\right)$ | $(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{- 1}$ <br> $\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 589 | +12 | -12 |
| 578 | +13 | -13 |
| 546 | +14 | -14 |
| 436 | +20 | -20 |
| 405 | +22 | -22 |
| 365 | +22 | -22 |

### 2.4. Racemization during enantioselective synthesis of (S)-1

As reported above in Figure 2.3, synthesized compound ( $S$ )-1 was racemized with low enantiomeric excess of resulted products after a reaction time of 14 h and 17 h (ee $=17 \%$ and $36 \%$ ). To search for the reason, we hypothesized that two impact factors might cause the racemization: i) purification step and ii) reaction conditions. Firstly, enantiomer ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ obtained preparative HPLC enantioseparation was passed through a silica gel column chromatography with the same condition which used during the enantioselective synthesis (i.e. PE/EtOAc, 9/1, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ as eluent). The collected fraction was concentrated under vacuum and checked for the enantiomeric purity. The analytical chiral HPLC chromatograms using Lux-Amylose-1 ${ }^{\circledR}$ CSP demonstrated that purification did not induce racemization (Table 4) with ee of ( $S$ ) - $\mathbf{1}$ was $100 \%$. Since purification by silica gel was not the source of problem, reaction conditions were brought up to examine.
Table 4 Analytical HPLC parameters of enantiomerically pure ( $S$ )-1 after purification under UV detection ( 254 nm )

| HPLC chromatograms | Rt <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | Area <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Rs | ee |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 2.4.1. Synthesis condition of $(S)-1$ (Method C)



Scheme 2.6 Method C: Synthesis of ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate (S)-1

Because the synthesized ( $S$ ) - $\mathbf{1}$ was not obtained in enantiopure form, its synthesis conditions were examined to find which parameter caused the racemization during the Appel reaction (Scheme 2.6). As we observed earlier (Figure 2.3), the ee of the synthesized ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ decreased when the reaction time was extended from 14 h to 17 h (Figure 2.3) so several attempts were performed with different conditions (equivalent of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, and time reaction) for the Appel reaction (Method C) to find out the reason. The various ee of the synthesized ( $S$ ) - $\mathbf{1}$ was determined by the analytical HPLC system using Lux-Amylose-1 ${ }^{\circledR}$ as CSP and heptane/EtOH $(95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as mobile phase. Table 5 presented the ee of the synthesized ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ for each attempt and as the showed data, the ee of $(S)-1$ ranged from around $8 \%$ to $50 \%$. These low ee for the $(S) \mathbf{- 1}$ showed that a racemization process was carried out during the Appel reaction (Method C). The racemization process (i) occurs quickly (ee $\approx 33 \%$ with a reaction time of 8 hours, entry 3), (ii) increases with time (comparison of entries $1 / 2$ or $3 / 4 / 5 / 6 / 7$ ), and (iii) proceeds more slowly when fewer equivalents of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ are used (comparison of entries $1 / 5$ or 2/6). Our difficulties in precipitating of soluble $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by-product and its presence during the purification process might explain the problem of racemization in the Appel reaction (Method C) ${ }^{24,25}$.

Table 5 Enantiomeric measurement of the synthesized $(S)-\mathbf{1}^{\text {a }}($ Method C)

| Entry | CBr4/PPh3 <br> (equiv.) | Time <br> (h) | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | Ee <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 14 | 24 | 49.3 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | 26 | 35.4 |
| 3 | 3 | 8 | 66 | 33.2 |
| 4 | 3 | 11 | 71 | 29.5 |
| 5 | 3 | 14 | 71 | 36.3 |
| 6 | 3 | 17 | 82 | 17.4 |
| 7 | 3 | 20 | 80 | 8.8 |

${ }^{a}$ Using Lux-Amylose- $1^{\circledR}$ as CSP and heptane/EtOH (95/5, v/v) as mobile phase under UV and CD (254 $\mathrm{nm})$ detection.

To conclude, a longer reaction time gave higher yield of the reaction but with a less measured ee. In addition, the use of the less reagent quantity was profitable for the ee of the product but with a lower yield.

## 3. Obtainment of chiral ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate

Due to the racemization of our synthesized ( $S$ ) - $\mathbf{1}$, we concerned that it could happen to enantioselective synthesis of ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate ( S )-2 and $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ also. Unfortunately, by searching in the literature, we found that during the synthesis of rac-2 or other benzoheterocycles, by substitution of rac-1 and corresponding aminophenol, could cause the racemization. The reason of this problem was because of the formation of an alkyl $\alpha$-bromoacrylate from dibromo compound $\mathbf{1}$ in medium base (Scheme 2.7).

The synthesis of 1,4-benzodioxane or 1,4-benzoxathian, which are benzoheterocycles as 1,4benzoxazine, was reported ${ }^{26,27}$. Catechol or 2-mercaptophenol was reacted with rac-1 to obtain desired molecules. However, instead of following the normal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ substitution directly, rac-1 was dehydrobrominated to generated ethyl 2-bromoacrylate 5 (Scheme 2.7). Dehydrobromination of rac-1 was reported also by Arrault et al. ${ }^{28}$ It was found that formation of acrylate compound $\mathbf{5}$ did not affect to synthesis of desired benzoheterocycle. Based on these findings, we could lose the chirality of $(S)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ during the enantioselective synthesis.


Scheme 2.7 Hypothesized process for obtainment of partial racemized compound 2

Two possible mechanisms might be advanced as possible explanation for the racemization process that could occur during the enantioselective synthesis of compound 2. Scheme 2.8 describes the first postulated mechanism for racemization of 2. In this hypothesis, the chirality could be lost after spontaneous elimination of HBr in the conditions of reaction from the enantiomerically pure compound $\mathbf{1}$, as described in the literature ${ }^{27}$. This postulated mechanism involved a 1,4-Michael addition reaction followed by a cyclization with phenolate anion through a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction.
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Scheme 2.8 First postulated mechanism for racemization of $\mathbf{2}$ from eliminated product 5

The second postulated mechanism for racemization of compound $\mathbf{2}$ is depicted in Scheme 2.9. In this case, the chirality could be lost during the synthesis via the formation of an eliminated intermediate compound.


Scheme 2.9 Second postulated mechanism for racemization of $\mathbf{2}$ from eliminated intermediate compound

However, all synthesized molecules in the literature were in racemate form. Therefore, to determine whether the racemization really occurs and if it occurs, which postulated mechanism it follows and its quantification, the enantioselective synthesis of $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ was firstly performed.

### 3.1. Obtainment of ( $R$ )-2 via enantioselective synthesis

$(R)-\mathbf{2}$ was prepared from the pure $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ obtained by preparative HPLC enantioseparation. Applying the same conditions as reported in the literature ${ }^{4,29}$ for the racemate one (Scheme 2.10), the reaction afforded ( $R$ )-2 in $83 \%$ yield (reaction repeated two times). In order to validate the enantiomeric purity as well as absolute configuration determination of the first synthesized chiral 1,4-benzoxazine ( $R$ )-2, separation of rac-2 by chiral HPLC was brought up.


Scheme 2.10 Enantioselective synthesis of ( $R$ )-2

### 3.2. Obtainment of $(S)-2$ and (R)-2 via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of racemate on multigram scale

### 3.2.1. Analytical chiral HPLC

Approximately 12 g of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{2}$ was prepared from commercially rac- $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 1.7). With the similar procedure as enantioseparation of rac-1, a screening of 16 different CSPs ( $250 \times 4.6$ mm ) was initiated with the mixture of heptane/EtOH (70/30, v/v) as mobile phase and a flowrate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. UV and CD detection ( 254 nm ) were used to detect the throughput enantiomers. The results of CSPs screening was presented in Table 6. Unlike rac-1, the selectivity of CSPs for separation of rac-2 was not complicated. Among 16 screened CSPs, only three showed poorly baseline separation which were on Chiralpak AS-H, Chiralpak ID and ( $S, S$ )-Whelk-O1 (Rs $\leq 1.2$, Table 6 , entries $1,12,16$ ). Good separation was presented on mostly CSPs (Table 6 , entries $6,7,9-11,13,15$ ) with enantioselective factor $\alpha$ greater than 1 . The best CSP for enantioseparation of $\mathrm{rac}-2$ was Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ (Rs $=10.09, \alpha=2.16$, Table 6, entry 3). Even Lux-Cellulose- $3^{\circledR}$ (Table 6, entry 4) showed similar resolution value (Rs = $10.06)$ and good separation factor $(\alpha=1.64)$ but the retention time of each eluted enantiomer were much longer than on Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ so it was not interesting to apply. The analytical chiral HPLC chromatogram (Figure 2.5A) using Lux-Cellulose-2 ${ }^{\circledR}$ as CSP and heptane/EtOH (70/30, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as mobile phase gave retention times of 5.66 and 8.82 min for ( - )-2 and (+)-2, respectively (Table 6, entry 3). The CD detector proved the elution order (Figure 2.5B).

Table 6 Screening results for analytical HPLC enantioseparation of rac-2

| Entry | Column | Heptane <br> /EtOH <br> (v/v) | $1^{\text {st }} \text { eluted }$ <br> enantiomer |  | $2^{\text {nd }} \text { eluted }$ <br> enantiomer |  | $\alpha^{\text {c }}$ | Rs ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbf{k 1}^{\text {b }}$ | Rt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| 1 | Chiralpak AS-H | 70/30 | 4.43(-) | 0.50 | 4.66 (+) | 0.58 | 1.15 | 0.82 |
| 2 | Chiralpak OD-3 | 70/30 | 6.32 (-) | 1.14 | 7.99(+) | 1.71 | 1.50 | 6.08 |
| 3 | Lux-Cellulose-2 | 70/30 | 5.66 (-) | 0.93 | 8.82 (+) | 2.01 | 2.16 | 10.09 |
| 4 | Lux-Cellulose-3 | 70/30 | 14.56 (-) | 3.94 | 22.01 (+) | 6.46 | 1.64 | 10.06 |
| 5 | Lux-Cellulose-4 | 70/30 | 5.23 (-) | 0.77 | 7.17(+) | 1.43 | 1.85 | 8.43 |
| 6 | Lux-Amylose-1 | 70/30 | 6.79 (+) | 1.30 | 8.23(-) | 1.79 | 1.37 | 5.23 |
| 7 | Lux-Amylose-2 | 70/30 | 5.94 (-) | 1.01 | 6.79(+) | 1.30 | 1.29 | 3.89 |
| 8 | Chiralpak AZ-H | 70/30 | 5.31 (-) | 0.80 | 7.02 (+) | 1.38 | 1.72 | 6.38 |
| 9 | Chiralpak IA | 70/30 | 6.13 (+) | 1.08 | 7.25 (-) | 1.46 | 1.35 | 2.85 |
| 10 | Chiralpak IB | 70/30 | 5.39 (-) | 0.83 | 6.00(+) | 1.03 | 1.25 | 2.60 |
| 11 | Chiralpak IC | 70/30 | 5.09 (-) | 0.72 | 5.77(+) | 0.95 | 1.32 | 2.90 |
| 12 | Chiralpak ID | 70/30 | 5.13 (-) | 0.74 | 5.44 (+) | 0.84 | 1.14 | 0.98 |
| 13 | Chiralpak IE | 70/30 | 5.49 (-) | 0.86 | 6.00(+) | 1.04 | 1.20 | 2.30 |
| 14 | Chiralpak IF | 70/30 | 5.45 (-) | 0.85 | 7.21(+) | 1.45 | 1.70 | 5.60 |
| 15 | Chiralpak IG | 70/30 | 6.66 (-) | 1.26 | 7.36(+) | 1.49 | 1.19 | 2.46 |
| 16 | (S,S)-Whelk-O1 | 70/30 | 6.90(-) | 1.34 | 7.33(+) | 1.49 | 1.11 | 1.20 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Retention time (min); ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Retention factor; ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Enantioselectivity factor; ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Resolution




|  | Polarimeter <br> detector | $\mathbf{R t}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | Area <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Rs | ee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $(-)$ | 5.66 | 50.63 | 0.93 | 2.16 | 10.09 |  |
|  | $(+)$ | 8.82 | 49.37 | 2.01 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $(-)$ | 5.68 | 22.74 | 0.93 | 2.16 | 10.68 | $55 \%$ |
|  | $(+)$ | 8.87 | 77.26 | 2.01 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | $(-)$ | 5.66 | 17.03 | 0.92 | 2.16 | 10.88 | $66 \%$ |
|  | $(+)$ | 8.81 | 82.97 | 1.99 |  |  |  |

Figure 2.5 Analytical HPLC enantioseparation chromatograms of racemate rac-2 under (A) UV and (B) CD detection ( 254 nm ) and (C, D) the two synthesized ( $R$ )-2 under UV detection ( 254 nm )

As shown in the Figure 2.5, synthesis of ( $R$ )-2 from enantiomerically pure $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ generated again racemization. The major enantiomer was corresponded to second eluted fraction and the ee calculated for the synthesized $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ was $\approx 55 \%$ and $66 \%$. These data suggested that the second eluted enantiomer was the $(R)$-enantiomer and this result was confirmed by theoretical calculations (see Section 3.3). In parallel, rac-2 was separated in multi-gram scale (12g) by using preparative HPLC enantioseparation and resulted enantiomers were used directly for the next step of coupling with $\alpha$-amino acids to generate pseudopeptides. The coupling reactions should not give any racemization and all obtained molecules should be in high enantiomeric purity.

### 3.2.2. Preparative HPLC enantioseparation of rac-2 on multigram scale

As the optimal conditions was noted based on analytical chiral HPLC, 12g of rac-2 was dissolved in 180 mL of mixture heptane/EtOH (50/50, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and put on CSP Lux-Cellulose-2 ${ }^{\circledR}$ ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with tris(3-chloro-4-methylphenylcarbamate) coated on silica. Heptane/EtOH (70/30, v/v) was used as the mobile phase with flow rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ under UV detection at 254 nm . The first enantiomer fraction $(5.7 \mathrm{~g})$ was eluted at 5.59 min and the second one $(5.6 \mathrm{~g})$
at 8.53 min with ee $\geq 99.5 \%$ (Figure 2.6). The ECD and UV spectra were measured also. Under the same conditions, as expected for both enantiomers, the two eluted enantiomers showed opposite values in ECD spectra and exactly same absorption bands in UV spectra (Figure 2.7).


Figure 2.6 Preparative HPLC chromatograms of the separated enantiomers from rac-2 under UV
detection ( 254 nm )
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Figure 2.7 ECD (A) and UV (B) spectra of the separated enantiomers from rac-2 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, c=0.6\right.$
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### 3.3. Absolute configuration (AC) and optical rotation value determination

### 3.3.1. Computational chemistry for AC determination

To elucidate the absolute configuration of a chiral compound, different chiroptical spectroscopic methods such as optical rotatory dispersion (ORD), electronic circular dichroism (ECD), vibrational circular dichroism (VCD), or Raman optical activity (ROA) ${ }^{30}$ are applied in which ECD is the most commonly used. ECD or CD is the difference of absorption behaviour of a chiral molecule to left- and right-circular polarized light (CPL) and is measured as molar circular dichroism $\Delta \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1} . \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{R}}$ where $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{R}}$ are absorption coefficients of left- and right-CPL, respectively ${ }^{31,32}$. Measurement of $\Delta \varepsilon$ values at different
wavelengths generates $C D$ spectra then base on $C D$ spectra, one can predict the $A C$ of tested chiral molecule. The principle of using CD for AC determination is to compare UV and CD spectra between a chiral molecule (experimental) is needed to clarify the AC and a reference molecule (calculated) which its AC is already known. The more similar they are, the more accurate AC of investigated compound can be assigned. The chiral reference compound should have similar chromophore with tested one, containing substituted groups that do not affect to chromophore ${ }^{32,33}$. The comparison is generated by applying ab initio methods like density functional theory (DFT), time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) or configuration interaction (CI) ${ }^{34}$. In this work, TD-DFT were applied for AC confirmation of both enantiomers of $\mathbf{2}$.

Basically, there are three steps for obtainment of UV and CD spectra of investigated chiral compound and reference to determine AC. First of all, optimization of conformers of tested chiral compound should be done by Boltzmann method or molecular dynamics. Secondly, rotatory and oscillator strengths are calculated. Finally, resulted UV and CD curves of both compounds are drawn to compare ${ }^{34}$.

The AC was first predicted based on analytical chiral HPLC chromatograms on Lux-Cellulose$2^{\circledR}$ CSP of rac-2 and synthesized (R)-2. The synthesis of the chiral compound $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 2.6) was performed with $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ (first eluted enantiomer, Figure 2.3) to afford $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ thanks to a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism. As showed in the Figure 2.5C, the ee measurement for the synthesized $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ was found to be around $55 \%$ and $66 \%$ with the second eluted enantiomer as the major product. Thanks to these data, we can assume that the first eluted enantiomer on Lux-Cellulose-2 ${ }^{\circledR}$ CSP was ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 2}$ and the second one was $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2}$. To confirm the prediction, the comparison of the experimental and calculated UV and ECD spectra of ( $S$ )-2 was done. The experimental spectra were from the first eluted enantiomer on Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ and the calculated were from the DFT and TD-DFT calculations.

DFT and TD-DFT calculations were performed using Gaussian 16 package ${ }^{35}$, with the default parameters for solvent used in SMD. Spectra were plotted with Specdis v. $1.71^{36}$ as sum of Gaussians with $\sigma$ as half the bandwidth at $1 / e$ peak height. Two conformer geometries were found for the ( $S$ )-enantiomer after a conformational analysis with molecular mechanics and DFT at the $\operatorname{SMD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level.

Figure 2.8 describes the re-optimization of the conformers to compare the similarity between calculated and experimental (S)-2. These calculations were performed at the CRCMM (Centre

Régional de Compétences en Modélisation Moléculaire de Marseille). Other figures with different formula for frequency and TD-DFT calculations were presented in experimental part of this thesis. Only one example was taken to discuss here. In all cases, the calculated UV and ECD spectra for ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{2}$ are consistent with their experimental data from the first eluted enantiomer on Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ in the region of $190-350 \mathrm{~nm}$ with a slight shifting of the maximum absorbance peaks. Therefore, the comparison of calculated and experimental UV and ECD spectra also confirmed that the first eluted enantiomer on Lux-Cellulose-2 ${ }^{\circledR}$ was $(S)$ 2 and the second one was $(R)-\mathbf{2}$.


Figure 2.8 Comparison of UV (top) and ECD (bottom) experimental spectra in acetonitrile ( $c=0.6$ mM ) for the first eluted enantiomer of 2 on Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ and TD-DFT calculated spectra (Boltzmann averages of two conformers, $=0.29 \mathrm{eV}$, shifted by 16 nm ). Vertical bars are oscillator and rotational strengths calculated for the two conformers with arbitrary unit. Frequency calculations at SMD $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP}-\mathrm{D} 3 / \mathrm{Def} 2 \mathrm{SVP}$ level and TD-DFT calculations at $\mathrm{SMD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \mathrm{CAMB} 3 \mathrm{LYP}-$ D3/Def2SVP level.

### 3.3.2. Optical rotation values

The optical rotations values of each enantiomers of $\mathbf{2}$ were measured by different wavelengths as before with enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ and are presented in the Table 7.

Table 7 Optical rotation values of the two separated enantiomers of $\mathbf{2}$ at different wavelength

| $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ (nm) | First eluted $(\boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{2}$ <br> $[\mathbf{\alpha}]_{\lambda}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{0 . 2}\right)$ | Second eluted $(\boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 2}$ <br> $[\mathbf{\alpha}]_{\lambda}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{0 . 1 9}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 589 | +37 | -37 |
| 578 | +38 | -39 |
| 546 | +43 | -44 |
| 436 | +74 | -75 |
| 405 | +89 | -91 |

### 3.4. Racemization during enantioselective synthesis of $(\boldsymbol{R})-2$

As mentioned above, the racemization occurred again during the enantioselective synthesis of $(R)$-2. Because obtained product was not fully racemized (ee $\approx 55 \%$ and $66 \%$ ) so in order to quantify this problem and to confirm the cause and mechanism induced the racemization of $(R)-\mathbf{2}$, the synthesis condition of $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ was examined.

Firstly, to be sure that purification step did not generate racemization, $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ separated by preparative chiral HPLC was also passed through a silica gel column chromatography using PE/EtOAc (3/7, v/v) as eluent. The collected fraction was checked by analytical chiral HPLC (Table 8). Resulted product was obtained as pure enantiomer.

Table 8 Analytical HPLC chromatogram of ( $R$ )-2 after purification under UV detection ( 254 nm )

| HPLC chromatograms | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R t} \\ (\mathbf{m i n}) \end{gathered}$ | Area (\%) | k | $\alpha$ | Rs | ee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8.90 | 99.5 | 2.02 | 2.17 | 10.83 | 99.5\% |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} 4.5 & 5 & 5.5 & 6 & 6.5 & 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Secondly, $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ afforded by preparative HPLC enantioseparation were placed under the conditions as the synthesis of $(R)-\mathbf{2}$. They were stirred with potassium carbonate in acetone under reflux for 16 h . The mixtures were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and collected organic phases were concentrated under vacuum to be checked for ee by running through chiral HPLC with appropriate CSPs (Figure 2.9). Fortunately, ( $R$ )-2 was not affected at all by reaction conditions and it was obtained as enantiopure (ee $>99.5 \%$ ). Contrariwise, $(R)-1$ could not be recovered. We doubted that enantiomer $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ had been affected by potassium carbonate to generate eliminated compound $\mathbf{5}$ as reported in the literature ${ }^{27}$ (Scheme 2.7).


## Checking enantiomeric purity

Figure 2.9 Examining synthesis conditions for 1,4-benzoxazine for racemization
To verify this assumption, we decided to synthesize the eliminated compound $\mathbf{5}$ (Scheme 2.11). To the solution of pure enantiomer $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ in acetone was added 1.1 equiv. of triethylamine. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h then ammonium salt was filtered ${ }^{37}$. The resulting solution was compound 5 (confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, Figure 2.10). In parallel, $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ was repeatly stirred for 16 h under synthesis conditions of $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ then the mixture was filtered and sent directly to check by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Unsurprisingly, two resulted NMR showed the same product which was eliminated compound 5 .


Scheme 2.11 Synthesis of ethyl 2-bromoacrylate 5


Figure 2.10 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the synthesized ethyl 2-bromoacrylate $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Figure 2.11 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the resulted product after 16 h stirring $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in refluxed acetone

$$
\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)
$$

Figure 2.11 revealed the trace of enantiomer $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ so it meant that the elimination was not induced fully. To quantify this problem, mixture of enantiomer $(R)-\mathbf{1}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.2$ mmol) in acetone under reflux was stirred and the solution was checked by analytical achiral HPLC each hour. Column Nucleosil silica gel $\mathrm{C} 4\left(250\right.$ x 4 mm ) was applied, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
( $5 / 95, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was used as the mobile phase with flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ under UV detection at 254 nm . The retention times of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are 9.9 min and 7.8 min , respectively. This work was processed to examine the formation speed of eliminated compound 5 . The result expressed that 5 appeared immediately after 10 min and quickly increased in the first two hours then it was consistently raised in the next seven hours. After 16h stirring under reflux, it reached to $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ while enantiomer $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ only rested around $7 \%$ (Figure 2.12). This verification helped to confirm that the dehydrobromination of $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ under medium base caused the partial racemization of the synthesized $(R)-\mathbf{2}$. The formation of eliminated product $\mathbf{5}$ did not affect the cyclization of 2 -aminophenol to afford 2 as mentioned above (Scheme 2.8). The same mechanism was described in the literature ${ }^{27,28}$.


Figure 2.12 Evolution of ethyl 2-bromoacrylate 5 under synthesis conditions of ( $R$ )-2

To conclude, racemization of both synthesized $(R)-\mathbf{1}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ has been shown to be induced by synthesis conditions. The basic medium of reaction generated eliminated compound $\mathbf{5}$ from (R)-1 that led to the loss of chirality just before ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2carboxylate was formed.

As the result, both enantiomers $(S) \mathbf{- 2}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ obtained by preparative HPLC enantioseparation were used in the next step of coupling with $\alpha$-amino acids to design new pseudopeptide analogues and to study their conformation behavior.

## 4. Synthesis of chiral pseudopeptide bearing 1,4-benzoxazine moiety

### 4.1. Elongation of $N$-terminal extremity (synthesis of pseudodipeptides)

### 4.1.1. Coupling with Boc-protected $\alpha$-amino acids

The first attempt to elongate $N$-terminal extremity of enantiomerically pure compounds $\mathbf{2}$ was coupling with Boc-protected $\alpha$-amino acid by using anhydride procedure. First, treatment of Boc-protected-L-Alanine with iso-butyl chloroformate in the presence of $N$-methylmorpholine
(NMM) or N -methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) (entry 1, Table 9) gave anhydride as intermediate product. The outcome of that reaction then reacted with amine group of ( $S$ )-2 to form pseudopeptide $(S, S)$-7. However, instead of having expected compound $(S, S)-7$, compound $(S)$ 6 was obtained in $77 \%$ of overall yield (Scheme 2.12). Other chloroformates were used but the similar results were obtained.

Table 9 Conditions for coupling with Boc-protected $\alpha$-amino acids

| Entry | Conditions | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) | Solvent | Time (hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | iso-butyl chloroformate, <br> NMM or NMP | -10 to 0 | THF or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 5 |
| 2 | DCC, HOBt | 0 to rt | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 24 |


(S)-2
$(S, S)-7$
Scheme 2.12 Coupling reaction of (S)-2 at $N$-terminal extremity with Boc-protected $\alpha$-amino acids
The failure for obtainment of expected compound might due to the common side-reaction that occurred during the reaction (Scheme 2.13). This limitation was reported in the literature ${ }^{38,39}$. The different reactivity of the electrophilic centres in anhydride may generate the by-product. One solution was proposed to avoid this side-reaction is reversion of reagents adding order but it gave the same result in our case.


Scheme 2.13 Mechanism explaining the formation of $(S)$-6

This reaction would have been succeeded if it had been used Boc-protected amino acid fluorides which could been obtained from cyanuric fluoride. However, using cyanuric fluoride is toxic and not a cost-effective way to investigate. In addition, changing activating agent to another one like dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (DCC) in the presence of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole HOBt (entry 2, Table 11) did not work. HOBt is known as one of the most effective suppressors to prevent racemization and it does help accelerating the reaction but only starting product was recovered after stirring more than 48 hours. In order to not waste time for this part, Fmocprotected $\alpha$-amino acids chloride were used instead.

### 4.1.2. Coupling with Fmoc-protected $\alpha$-amino acids

Both enantiomers ( $S$ )-2 and ( $R$ )-2 obtained by preparative HPLC enantioseparation were taken and only Fmoc-protection Alanine was chosen for this section. Classically, Fmoc-Ala-OH was treated with thionyl chloride to obtain Fmoc-Ala-Cl which then was coupled with $(S)$ - $\mathbf{2}$ or $(R)$ $\mathbf{2}$ to elongate $N$-terminal extremity to form pseudopeptide $(S, S)-\mathbf{8}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{8}$ in excellent yield of $92 \%$ and $89 \%$, respectively (Scheme 2.14).


Scheme 2.14 Coupling reaction of $(S)$-2 and ( $R$ )-2 at $N$-terminal extremity with Fmoc-Ala-OH

### 4.2. Elongation of $C$-terminal extremity (synthesis of pseudodipeptides)

The elongation of $C$-terminal extremity of enantiomers $(S) \mathbf{- 2}$ and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ started by hydrolysis of each enantiomer with lithium hydroxide $(\mathrm{LiOH})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 / 2$, v/v) generated the corresponded carboxylic acid. They were then immediately coupled with commercially available methyl ester of $\alpha$-amino acids in the presence of EDCI, HOBt as activating agents and triethylamine as a base ${ }^{40}$ to generate diastereoisomers $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}$. HOBt was used to minimize the racemization (Scheme 2.15). Amidation of $(S, S)-$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}$ by ammonia solution in methanol under reflux for 2 h gave the corresponded $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)$-11, 12 in good yield.


Scheme 2.15 Elongation of $C$-terminal extremity of ( $S$ )-2 and ( $R$ )-2

### 4.3. Elongation of $\boldsymbol{C}$ - and $\boldsymbol{N}$-terminal extremities (synthesis of pseudotripeptides)

Pseudodipeptides 9, 10 and 11, 12 were coupled with Fmoc-protected Alanine with sodium bicarbonate as a base in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 20-22 h at room temperature to achieve corresponded pseudotripeptides 13, 14 and 15 (Scheme 2.16) which could be interesting for conformational analysis. The reaction yield was noted in Table 10.

$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-9(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-10(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$
$(S, S, S)-$ or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S, S)$ - or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 4}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

$(S, S, S)-$ or $(S, R, S)-15(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$

Scheme 2.16 Elongation of $C$ - and $N$-terminal extremities of $(S)$-2 and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$

Table 10 Reaction yield

| Compounds | Yield (\%) | Compounds | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(S, S)-\mathbf{9}$ | 94 | $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ | 73 |
| $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}$ | 98 | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ | 82 |
| $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ | 85 | $(R, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ | 65 |
| $(R, S)-\mathbf{- 1 0}$ | 95 | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 4}$ | 60 |
| $(S, S)-\mathbf{- 1 1}$ | 89 | $(R, S, S)-\mathbf{- 1 4}$ | 72 |
| $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | 75 | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ | 42 |
| $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ | 67 | $(R, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ | 25 |

In conclusion, enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ had been obtained via two different methods: enantioselective synthesis and preparative HPLC enantioseparation of racemate on multigram scale. Unfortunately, enantioselective synthesis of both chiral compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ had been finished with important racemization issue due to the reaction conditions. However, chiral HPLC enantioseparation of commercially available rac-1 and synthesized rac-2 was successively completed on multigram scale. Both enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were obtained in high enantiomeric purity (ee $>99.5 \%$ ). The two enantiomers $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(S)-\mathbf{2}$ then were used to design new small pseudopeptide analogues by elongation of $C$ - and $N$-terminal extremities with different protected $\alpha$-amino acids using classical conditions for peptide coupling reaction. The conformational behaviour of obtained pseudopeptides was analyzed in the next chapter.
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## CHAPTER III

## CONFORMATIONAL ANALYSIS

The third chapter presents structure analysis of previously obtained 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudodipeptides and pseudotripeptides. Different physico-chemical tools like spectroscopic methods (i.e. infrared (IR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)) and X-ray diffraction were considered to investigate information about:

- Existence of intra- and/or intermolecular H-bonds
- Solvent dependence of the chemical shift of NH protons
- Spatial arrangement of atoms


## 1. General introduction

The first method to study structural behaviour is Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR). The FTIR spectroscopy first reveals the presence of different functional groups in a molecule via their absorption bands and more thoroughly it can expose the involvement of amide and carbonyl groups to know if they participate to an intramolecular H-bond. In the FT-IR of peptides, the most interesting bands are the stretching vibration region of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\left(1580-1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ functions ( $3200-3550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Those vibration regions give valuable information about potential intramolecular H -bond ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}-----\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ ). When carbonyl or amide groups are involved in a H-bond, their absorption bands will shift at low frequencies and their shift values reflect the strength of interaction. It is agreed in the literature ${ }^{1-3}$ that if NH proton involves in a H bond, its IR absorption band will shift below $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, in the IR spectra, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and NH bands can be overlapped so it can be difficult to precisely assign each band. To overcome this problem, deconvolution method is applied to reveal the overlapping bands if necessary. In this work, Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is used to adjust the positions of deconvoluted bands with root-mean-square (RMS) error below 0.008 for all spectra.

The second method is 1D NMR to study the influence of solvent on the chemical shift of NH protons. This crucial experimental technique is used to (i) check diastereoisomeric purity in a diastereomeric mixture and (ii) analyze effect of solvent on chemical shift of NH protons to show off if that a NH proton is involved in a H-bond or not. Due to the sensitivity towards environment of NH protons, it will be differently behaved in non-polar or polar solvent. Each molecule will be dissolved in non-polar solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and by slowly adding polar solvent (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), the evolution of the NH chemical shift was followed. If NH proton does not involve in an intramolecular H-bond, its chemical shift will significantly and rapidly change and move towards downfield due to influence of DMSO- $d_{6}$ (DMSO contains sulfoxide group that can create H-bond with NH proton). In contrary, if NH proton is involved in an intramolecular H-bond, its chemical shift will not be strongly affected by polar solvent. The
smaller of the two chemical shift values distance is ( $\Delta \delta=\delta_{100 \% \text { of DMSO-d6 }}-\delta_{100 \%}$ of CDC13), the stronger of the H -bond will be.

The third method widely used to investigate the structure of a molecule is X-ray diffraction. It provides lot of precise information about its crystallographic structure like bond's length, atoms composition, atoms arrangement or presence of inter and intramolecular H-bond. X-ray method also give detailed information about length of H -bond and torsion angles. However, it requires the formation of a good crystal of the studied molecule to have a good analysis. Unfortunately, not all the compounds can be crystallized, some remains powder or oil.

## 2. Conformational study of $\mathbf{1 , 4}$-benzoxazine-based pseudodipeptides

### 2.1. Conformational study of $(S)-2,(R)-2$ and $\mathbf{r a c}-16$ as reference models

### 2.1.1. Solution state analysis of $(S)-2,(R)-2$ and rac-16

To start the analysis, reference values for free or bonded $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ participating to an intramolecular H-bond are needed so a small model of 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2carboxamide rac-16 (Scheme 3.1) was obtained by amidation of the ester group of rac-2 in $90 \%$ yield to be taken as the reference.


Scheme 3.1 Obtainment of racemate 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamide rac-16

H -bond can be formed within single molecule (intramolecular H-bond) or between several molecules (intermolecular H-bond). The intramolecular H-bond can be observed and characterized via NMR and FT-IR spectroscopic studies. The intermolecular H-bond can be revealed via NMR and X-ray diffraction studies.

To have a good analysis in solution phase, intermolecular H-bond should be avoided on NMR results. While intramolecular H -bond can be easily observed at low concentration, the intermolecular H -bond changes following the concentration of molecule ${ }^{4}$. Therefore, compound rac-16 was checked at different concentrations of $10^{-1}, 10^{-2}$ and $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to verify their nature of inter- or intramolecular H -bond (Figure 3.1). It resulted no considerable difference between chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) of NH of 1,4-benzoxazine ring and one primary amide

NHa' at variation of concentration between $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ that meant there was no intermolecular H -bond at concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ for those NHs . One primary amide NHa shifts a bit in upper field at $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}\left(\delta=6.83 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ at $\left.10^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right)$ but $\Delta \delta\left(\delta_{0.1}-\delta_{0.001}\right)=0.29 \mathrm{ppm}$ is consistent. Therefore, all studied compounds would be measured at concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for structural studies in solution state.


Figure 3.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1 6}$ at different concentration ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ )

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound rac- $\mathbf{1 6}$ (Figure 3.1) shows two peaks corresponding to displacement of the two primary amidic NH protons which should be assigned as one peak if those two NH protons are similar. Their two peaks on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum mean that they are not similar and probably one of them is involved in a H -bond and the other is free (non H bonded). When a NH proton is involved in a H-bond, its chemical shift tends to be deshifted and gives a high value (around 7 ppm ) ${ }^{4}$ and in contrary, if it is a free NH proton, its chemical shift will be shifted more upfield (around $5-5.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). The chemical shift free NH of 1,4benzoxazine is located at 3.84 ppm and the chemical shift of both two primary amidic NH protons ( NHa and NHa ) are $5.55 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{NHa}\right.$, free) and 6.56 ppm ( $\mathrm{NHa}{ }^{\prime}$, H-bonded). The difference of two primary amidic NH protons chemical shifts $\left(\Delta \delta=\delta_{\mathrm{NHb}}-\delta_{\mathrm{NHa}}=1.01 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ might suggest a presence of a H -bond within molecule. To strengthen that hypothesis, the solvent-dependence NMR experiments in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ concentration were performed and NH chemical shifts were noted. DMSO- $d_{6}$ is a polar solvent used to validate the nature of a NH proton (free or H-bonded). If a NH proton is free, its chemical shift will be strongly affected by DMSO- $d_{6}$ to give a significant increase, the $\Delta \delta\left(\delta_{\mathrm{DMSO}-d 6}-\delta_{\mathrm{CDCl}}\right)$ may
bigger than 1.5-2.0 ppm. In reverse, chemical shift of a NH proton involved in H -bond will remain consistent or be slightly adjusted by DMSO- $d_{6}$. In the case of compound rac-16, the NH proton which is attached in 1,4-benzoxazine ring boosted from 3.84 ppm at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ in $0 \%$ of DMSO- $d_{6}$ to 5.83 ppm in $100 \%$ of DMSO- $d_{6}(\Delta \delta=+1.98 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the free primary amidic NH proton ( NHa ) rose from 5.55 ppm to $7.37 \mathrm{ppm}(\Delta \delta=+1.82 \mathrm{ppm})$ expressing that those two NH protons are free. The chemical shift of the H-bonded primary amidic NH proton ( NHa ') inconsiderably increased from 6.56 ppm to 7.43 ppm at $100 \%$ of DMSO $-d_{6}(\Delta \delta=+0.87 \mathrm{ppm})$. The movement of the NHa ' chemical shifts is quite complicated to point that it participated in intramolecular H-bond or not. Since only one primary amidic carbonyl group is presented in rac-16, and it surely cannot form a H -bond with NHa ' proton. Therefore, if the H -bond exists in this molecule, it will be between NHa ' and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4benzoxazine ring (Figure 3.2) to create a $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ concentration.



Figure 3.2 Postulated conformation in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution (up) and effect of solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ on NH chemical shifts (down) of rac-16 ( $10^{-2}$ M)

In addition, the FT-IR study (Figure 3.3) is also carried out in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at the same concentration that is used for NMR (i.e. $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ). The stretching vibration region of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ are limited around $3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $3500-3550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. These regions show the most concerned information of H -bonding network. If NH is involved in a H -bond, it will exhibit an absorption band below $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The FT-IR spectrum of compound rac-16 depicted three bands in the NH stretching vibration region which are $3523 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (asymmetric primary amidic NH ), $3411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( NH benzo) and $3401 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric primary amidic NH ) ${ }^{5}$.

Normally the two values above $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ represented for free NHs but the value $3401 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is very close to the line border of free and bonded-NH. However, it was reported ${ }^{6}$ that asymmetric and symmetric elongations of a free primary amidic NH in FT-IR analysis were around $3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, perhaps no intramolecular H -bond present in rac-16.




Figure 3.3 IR absorption spectrum ( NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands) of rac-16 recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

$$
\text { at } 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
$$

The IR reference values of the small model rac-16 are listed with free NH of 1.4-benzoxazine scaffold in IR absorption spectrum is $3411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, free primary amidic NH are $3523 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (asymmetric) and $3401 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric), and a free primary amidic carbonyl group is 1698 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Besides compound rac-16, (S)- and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ also are checked by FTIR to access to the IR reference values of the free NH of 1,4-benzoxazine and ester carbonyl group. The IR absorption band of free $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ is $3418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for both $(S)$ - and $(R)$-2 which are a bit different to rac-16 ( $3411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), perhaps the presence of two primary amidic NHs affect the shift of $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$. The free ester carbonyl group is $1746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ also the same for two enantiomers. The chemical shift of $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ of $(S) \mathbf{- 2}$ and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ placed at 3.77 ppm . Once all reference values are noted, several pseudodipeptides containing 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold start being analyzed.

$(S)$ - or ( $R$ )-2



Figure 3.4 IR spectrum of $(S)-\mathbf{2}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$

### 2.1.2. Solid state analysis of rac-16

After several attempts, crystal of rac-16 was obtained by dissolving a little quantity of compound in chloroform then let the solvent slowly evaporated at room temperature. After analyzing this crystal by X-ray diffraction (Figure 3.5), it was shown that compound rac-16 was crystallized in the space group $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{c}$. The X-ray diffraction exhibits a $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle that is formed via an intramolecular H-bond between a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom and one primary amidic NH proton. The measured distance of this bond is $2.15 \AA$. This result conflicts to solution state analysis of rac-16. The analyzed result above did not indicate that a primary amidic proton is involved in H-bond. However, by X-ray diffraction picture, the H bond does exist. This result is in agreement with NMR analysis in solution phase of rac-16 that the asymmetric primary amide NH proton was involved in H -bond $\left(\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{NHasym}}=+0.87 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$; $\left.\delta_{\mathrm{NHasym}}=6.56 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$.


Figure 3.5 X-ray diffraction structure of compound rac-16 crystallized in chloroform

### 2.2. Solution state analysis of compounds ( $S, S$ )- and ( $R, S$ )-9, -10

Conformational study of four pseudodipeptides $(S, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ at a concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ had been initiated by examining firstly the solvent-dependence of NH protons chemical shifts by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in mixture of $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0-100 \%$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and secondly by FTIR absorption spectroscopy in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

$(S, S)-\mathbf{9}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S)-\mathbf{1 0}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

$(R, S)-\mathbf{9}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

As we concern about the racemization problem and HOB is used to prevent it occurs, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies of $(S, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ are taken to compare with NMR values of their diastereoisomeric mixture to be sure they were not racemized (Figure 3.6). Two single diastereoisomers are different in physical properties so they can be separated by normal column chromatography. Even in this work, 1,4-benzoxazine enantiomer as starting compound is taken from chiral HPLC, we tried to separate them from their diastereoisomer mixture and used for examining conditions of coupling reaction.

The overlay of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of single diastereoisomer $(S, S)-\mathbf{9}$ or $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}$ and their diastereoisomeric mixture in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ are presented in the Figure 3.6 A . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of diastereoisomeric mixture of $(S, S)-9$ and $(R, S)-9$ shows two singlets of each methoxy group $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$, at 3.73 ppm and $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ at 3.79 ppm ) and two doublets for each methyl lateral chain of alanine $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ at 1.43 ppm and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, at 1.49 ppm$)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of single $(S, S)-9$ or $(R, S)-9$ reveals only one signal for each group. Similarly, two compounds $(S, S)$-10 and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ is also compared with their diastereoisomeric mixture. As shown in the Figure 3.6B, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of phenylalanine of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ shifted upfield while $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ' of $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ shifts
a bit downfield. The O-CHa of ( $S, S$ )-10, however, is deshielded comparing to CHa' of $(R, S)$ 10. The methoxy group of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ shifted around 3.74 ppm while in $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ is 3.67 ppm $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. All those signals appeared together in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of diastereoisomeric mixture. Based on these two figures, it can be confirmed that there is no racemization occurs during coupling step.


Figure 3.6A Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $(S, S)-\mathbf{9}$ and $(R, S)-9$ with its diastereoisomeric mixture ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ )


Figure 3.6B Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ and $(R, S)$-10 with its diastereoisomeric mixture ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ )

The NMR solvent-dependence experiments are studied to evaluate the solvent sensitivity of the NH protons. The chemical shifts of $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}$ are significantly increased $(\Delta \delta=+2.18,+2.27 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $+2.13 \mathrm{ppm},+2.05 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively) that means NH of

1,4-benzoxazine scaffold remains non-intramolecularly H-bonded. The secondary amide $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$, however, gradually rises $(\Delta \delta=+1.35 \mathrm{ppm},+1.36 \mathrm{ppm}$ for compound $\mathbf{9}$ and $+1.2 \mathrm{ppm},+1.32$ ppm for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ ). The $\Delta \delta$ of secondary amidic NH of both compounds is moderately different. Even they do not express a strong effect of DMSO- $d_{6}$ but also its affect does not too weak $(\Delta \delta \approx 1.2 \mathrm{ppm})$. Therefore, probably both pseudopeptides $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ do not have an intramolecular H -bond.


Figure 3.7 Solvent-dependence in mixed $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ of NH chemical shift of compound 9 (up) and 10 (down)

FTIR spectroscopy in solution was performed on four compounds. The IR absorption spectra of the two diastereoisomers $(S, S)-\mathbf{9}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}$ (Figure 3.8) are presented below. Compound $(S, S)-9$ exhibits two NH bands at $3413 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH} \alpha)$ and $3427 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}\right)$ and its diastereoisomer $(R, S)-9$ had exactly the same values. The presence of those bands above 3400 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ indicates that there is no N-H involved in the intramolecular H-bonding network of two compounds. In the carbonyl absorption region, the band of ester carbonyl group is observed at $1743 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; each amide carbonyl group absorbed at $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for both $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}$.

$(S, S)$ - and ( $R, S)^{-9}$


Figure 3.8 FTIR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of ( $S, S$ )and $(R, S)-9\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

IR absorption spectra of the two pseudodipeptides $(S, S)$-10 and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ contain two bands in the stretching vibration region of NH which are $3422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH} \alpha), 3410 / 3408 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( NH benzo) (Figure 3.9). Because those values are above $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, they probably imply the absence of intramolecular H -bond. Moreover, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching region demonstrate two bands at 1743 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which correspond to free secondary amidic and ester carbonyl groups for both compounds $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$ and $(R, S)$-10.

$(S, S)-$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 0}$


Figure 3.9 IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of ( $S, S$ )-10 (up) and $(R, S)$ - 10 (down) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

Based on data above, it may conclude that elongation of $C$-terminal extremity by methyl ester $\alpha$-amino acid does not create intramolecular H-bond within molecule. The Table 13 and 14 summarize the chemical shifts of NH and IR absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ for $\mathrm{rac-16},(S, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}$. These values can be used as reference for free primary and secondary amidic NHs , free $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of primary and secondary amides and ester.

Table $13{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{NH}}\right)$ of different NH protons of rac-16, $(S)$-2, $(R) \mathbf{- 2},(S, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9},-10\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

| Type of <br> $\mathbf{N H}^{*}$ | rac- $\mathbf{- 1 6}$ | $(S) \mathbf{- 2}$ | $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ | $(S, S) \mathbf{- 9}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}$ | $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 0}$ | $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzo | 3.84 | 3.77 | 3.77 | 3.78 | 3.71 | 3.65 | 3.80 |
| Am (I) | 5.55 (free) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Am (II) | - | - | - | 7.11 | 7.12 | 6.92 | 7.02 |

*Benzoxazine (Benzo), primary amide [Am (I)], secondary amide [Am (II)]

Table 14 IR absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of $\operatorname{rac} \mathbf{- 1 6},(S) \mathbf{- 2},(R)-\mathbf{2},(S, S)-\mathbf{9}$, -10 and $(R, S)-9,-10\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

| Type of NH* | NH stretching ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rac-16 | (S)-2 | (R)-2 | $(S, S)-9$ | $(R, S)-9$ | $(S, S)$-10 | $(R, S)$-10 |
| Benzo | 3411 | 3418 | 3419 | 3413 | 3413 | 3410 | 3408 |
| Am (I) | $\begin{aligned} & 3523 \text { (asym.) } \\ & 3402 \text { (sym.) } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Am (II) | - | - | - | 3427 | 3427 | 3422 | 3422 |
| Type of C=O* | $\mathbf{C = O}$ stretching ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rac-16 | (S)-2 |  |  | $(R, S)-9$ | $(S, S)$-10 | $(R, S)$-10 |
| Am (I) | 1698 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Am (II) | - | - | - | 1678 | 1678 | 1680 | 1680 |
| Ester | - | 1746 | 1746 | 1743 | 1743 | 1743 | 1744 |

*Benzoxazine (Benzo), primary amide [Am (I)], secondary amide [Am (II)]

The four molecules $(S, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ are all small models and do not allow H -bonds to form in the molecule. For this reason, we did amidation reaction of compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ for changing methyl ester group to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (compounds 11 and 12) to increase probabilities of H bond formation.

### 2.3. Conformational study of compounds $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-11,-12$

### 2.3.1. Solution state analysis of compounds ( $S, S$ )- and ( $R, S$ )-11, -12

Firstly, the conformational analysis of compound $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ are reported by studying the effect of varying ratios of solvent in the mixture of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ (at concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ) of the NH chemical shifts.

$(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

$(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(R, S)-12(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of four diastereoisomers $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ and $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ have been analyzed (Figure 3.10). Comparing to NH value of reference model rac-16, the free ( $\mathrm{NHa}, 5.39 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and H -bonded ( NHa ', 6.09 ppm ) primary amidic protons NH protons of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ shift more upfield than ones in rac-16. Besides, the ( $S$ )-configuration seems always give the chemical shifts of all NH shift at low field comparing to the $(R)$-configuration.

Solvent-dependence of $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Figure 3.10 ) presents the chemical shift of the secondary amidic NH proton ( $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ ) slightly increases from 7.08 ppm at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ in $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to 7.87 ppm in $100 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}\left(\Delta \delta=\delta_{\text {DMSO-d6 }}-\delta_{\text {CDC13 }}\right)$. This $\Delta \delta$ value of $\mathrm{NH} \alpha(+0.79 \mathrm{ppm})$ is quite close to $\Delta \delta$ of the H-bonded primary amidic NHa ' of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1 6}(+0.87 \mathrm{ppm})$ which was confirmed involving in a H -bond so probably, the $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ is H -bonded. One of the primary amidic proton NHa' of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ is moderately affected by polar solvent ( $\Delta \delta=+1.42 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) so it should be free. Two NH protons are highly affected by increasing volume of polar solvent and correspond to one primary amidic NHa and $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ with $\Delta \delta=+1.78 \mathrm{ppm}$ and +2.05 ppm , respectively. It is obviously seen that those two are also non H -bonded (free) because they are strongly affected by the addition of DMSO- $d_{6}$. Similarly, secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ and one primary amidic NHa ' protons of $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ are poorly influenced in polar environment ( $\Delta \delta=$ $+1.03 \mathrm{ppm},+1.58 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). The NHa and $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ move $\approx 2 \mathrm{ppm}$ while increasing DMSO- $d_{6}$ ratio in the solvent mixture (Figure 3.10). These results from study of solventdependence probably displayed that $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ protons of both diastereoisomers are involved in a weak H -bond because their chemical shifts do not give a big $\Delta \delta$ while the others are highly influenced in polar environment so they should be free.


Figure 3.10 Solvent-dependence in mixed $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ of NH chemical shift of compounds 11

The result obtained after ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR study of solvent-dependence of two diastereoisomers $(S, S)$ $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ are also comparable to those for compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Figure 3.11). It seems that there is not any crucial affection to structural behavior when changing the side chain of amino acid between alanine and phenyl-alanine. The $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton of secondary amide of $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ shifts at 7.11 ppm in $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and is poorly affected when adding more DMSO- $d 6$ ( $\Delta \delta$ $=+0.59 \mathrm{ppm})$ then probably it participates in H -bond. The two NHa and $\mathrm{NHa}^{\prime}$ protons and also the $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ proton boost approximately 2 ppm from $100 \%$ of non-polar solvent $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to polar
solvent (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). Also in molecule $(R, S)$-12, the secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton shifted a bit upfield ( 6.89 ppm ) comparing to $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ of ( $S, S$ )-12 and it slowly moves from $0 \%$ to $100 \%$ of DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\Delta \delta=+1.00 \mathrm{ppm})$ so perhaps it is involved in H -bond. The chemical shift of two primary amidic $\mathrm{NHa}, \mathrm{NHa}$ ' protons and $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ move $1.75 \mathrm{ppm}, 1.92 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 2.21 ppm, respectively which indicate that they are highly influenced by DMSO- $d_{6}$ so all are free NH.


Figure 3.11 Solvent-dependence in mixed $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ of NH chemical shift of compounds 12

Based on the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton ( $\delta \approx 7 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in four structures and its evolution in polar environment, it can be uncertainly indicated that they are H-bonded. Because the NMR study of NH evolution in different environment polarity of pseudodipeptides $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ shows no convinced proof of H-bond, the FT-IR spectroscopic may help to confirm.

Secondly, in order to validate the presence of H-bond with more evidence, FT-IR of four diastereoisomers $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ and $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ are studied (Figure 3.12).

The NH stretching vibration region ( $3200-3550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ contained four bands at $3417\left(\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}\right), 3492(\mathrm{NH} \alpha), 3407$ and $3524 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric and asymmetric bands of free primary amide, $\left.\mathrm{NHa}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$ and of $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ at $3418,3500,3406$ and $3524 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively for same type of NH (Figure 3.12A). The bands for $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$, symmetric and asymmetric primary amide and $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ are consistent with the absence of H -bonds. In the solution state, the NH stretching vibration of secondary amide ( $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ ) occurs in the region around $3420-3460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for free NH and $3270-3370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for H-bonded NH. Theoretically, it should be no NH participating to H-bond network for these two molecules. It was reported before that two free symmetric and asymmetric primary amidic NH protons will show absorption bands at approximately $3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ that similar to our molecule ${ }^{7}$. IR absorption
spectroscopic spectra in the NH stretching region of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ (Figure 3.12B) also exposes four bands which all locate above $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Two bands are close in proximity to 3400 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ correspond to symmetric primary amidic NH proton ( $3403 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 3409 for $(R, S)-12)$. The solution state analysis including NMR study for solvent-dependence and FTIR analysis of pseudodipeptides $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are in agreement that probably secondary amide $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton is involved in a H -bond.

In the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration region $\left(1600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right),(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ demonstrate two bands at $1698 / 1664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1698 / 1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which correspond to primary and secondary amide carbonyl groups, respectively (Figure 3.12A). Two diastereoisomers $(S, S)$ - and ( $R, S$ )-12 exhibit quite similar values with two bands at $1688 / 1661 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1693 / 1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 3.12B). As observed, primary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of both $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ are free due to the same absorption value ( $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) comparing to rac-16. The secondary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ have similar value $\left(1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ as free one in compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Table 14), and this value decreases a bit to $1664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$. However, the absorption band of primary amidic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of $\mathbf{1 2}$ decreases a bit to $1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ and $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$. Even they are proximate values but probably, changing side chain of amino acid creates this difference. Furthermore, the absorption band of secondary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ is lower than in $(R, S)$ $\mathbf{1 2}$ and are similar with those obtained with $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ is lower than in $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$, respectively. The chirality at C2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine seems have a minor influence on structural behavior because it does not generate considerable difference between absorption values or chemical shifts between two diastereoisomers.

To conclude, the solution state analysis including NMR study for solvent-dependence and FTIR analysis of pseudodipeptides $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are done. The resulted analysis by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for influence of solvent indicates that probably secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton is involved in a H bond with a lone pari of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine ring but not with a carbonyl group. This H -bond does not been affected by either absolute configuration of 1,4benzoxazine scaffold (at C 2 position) or side chain of amino acid. This H-bond can be recognized by evolution of $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton chemical shift in solvent-dependence study but not by FTIR analysis. The IR spectra show NH absorbance bands are below $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which mean all NHs are free and this is conflict to NMR study of solvent-dependence. The reason can be due to the H -bond which is not formed between NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ as usual.

$(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$

$(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$


Figure 3.12A IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of $(S, S)$ 11 (up) and ( $R, S$ )-11 (down) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

$(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

$(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$





Figure 3.12B IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of $(S, S)$ 12 (up) and ( $R, S$ )-12 (down) ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ )

The Tables 15 and 16 below summarize the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts of NH protons and IR absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ for $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1 , - \mathbf { 1 2 }}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$.

Table $15{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta_{\mathrm{NH}}$ ) of different NH protons of $(S, S)$-11, - $\mathbf{- 1 2}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ )

|  | $\mathbf{\delta N H}^{\prime}(\mathbf{p p m})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of NH$^{*}$ | $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ | $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Benzo | 3.85 | 3.83 | 3.65 | 3.62 |
|  | 5.39 | 5.19 | 5.39 | 5.22 |
| Am (I) | 6.09 | 5.98 | 5.79 | 5.71 |
| Am (II) | 7.08 | 6.99 | 7.11 | 6.89 |

*Benzoxazine (Benzo), primary amide [Am (I)], secondary amide [Am (II)]

Table 16 IR absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1},-\mathbf{1 2}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1},-$ $12\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

## NH stretching ( $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ )

| Type of $\mathbf{N H}^{*}$ | $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ | $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ | $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzo | 3417 | 3418 | 3413 | 3409 |
|  | 3524 | 3524 | 3523 | 3518 |
| Am (I) | 3407 | 3406 | 3399 | 3397 |
| Am (II) | 3492 | 3500 | 3511 | 3502 |

$\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{O}$ stretching $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$

| Type of C=O* | $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ | $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Am (I) | 1698 | 1698 | 1688 | 1693 |
| Am (II) | 1664 | 1678 | 1661 | 1679 |

*Benzoxazine (Benzo), primary amide [Am (I)], secondary amide [Am (II)]

### 2.3.2. Solid state analysis of compounds (S,S)-11, $(R, S)-11$ and $(S, S)-12$

Crystallization of three pseudodipeptides $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ and $(S, S)$-12 are done by searching and trying to dissolve each molecule and to crystallize after their slowly evaporation at room temperature. The crystallization of each of the three pseudodipeptide $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ and $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ is done by trying to find the right mixture of solvents capable of dissolving the molecule and crystallizing the molecule after the slow evaporation at room temperature. ( $S, S$ )$\mathbf{1 1}$ crystal was obtained in a mixture of ethyl acetate/ $n$-hexane, $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ heptane and $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ in methanol/hexane. Their solid state was analyzed by X-Ray diffraction. Three crystallographic data of molecules $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ and $(S, S)$-12 appear in the space group C2. Their structures confirm the presence of an intramolecular H -bond (i.e. C5 pseudocycle) between secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton and a lone pair of electron of oxygen atom attached on 1,4-benzoxazine ring (Figure 3.13). The H-bond which is presented in two diastereoisomers $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ has similar length ( $2.19 \AA$ and $2.20 \AA$, respectively). Therefore, it showed that the absolute configuration at C 2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold does not affect the formation of the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle. The H-bond's length in $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ is 2.08 $\AA$. Except the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle, there is no other intramolecular folds within those molecules.

$(S, S)-11$

( $R, S$ ) -11

$(S, S)-12$

Figure 3.13 X-ray diffraction structure of compound $(S, S)$-11, $(R, S)$-11 and $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$

Besides the distance measurement of intramolecular H-bond, the torsional angles ( $\omega, \varphi, \psi$ ) of each compounds are also calculated (Table 17). An additional torsion angle arbitrarily named $\alpha$ was measured between oxygen atom of 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold, carbon atom at C 2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold, $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ of secondary amide and $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ of secondary amide to prove the good orientation of the oxygen atom in the 1,4-benzoxazine ring and NH to induce the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation. The obtainment of $\alpha$ value closed to $0^{\circ}$ is in agreement with the formation of $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation (Table 17). The comparison of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and ( $S, S$ )-12 with the reference mode rac-16 confirmed that either the side chain of amino acid or the absolute configuration of 1,4-benzoxazine ring at C 2 position do not have a big effect on the establishing of the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle. There is only a slight difference between the $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)$-configuration due to the $\alpha$ value. The length of the H -bond in $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ is a little bit closer than in $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$.

The appearance of the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation totally conflicts to solution state analysis of the three pseudodipeptides in FTIR spectroscopic analyses which showed no intramolecular H-bonds. The secondary amide $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ is absorbed at high wavelength $\left(\approx 3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, which is not compartible with the establishing of H -bond interaction. These data suppose that the conformational behaviour of compounds 11, ( $S, S$ )-12 in solution and solid states is different.

Table 17 Torsional angles of compounds 11, (S,S)-12 and rac-16


Torsional angles (deg)

|  | $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Conformation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | 171.6 | -58.8 | 146.9 | 8.3 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |
| $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ | -175.7 | -149.6 | 169.9 | 12.7 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |
| $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ | 173.4 | 72.8 | 160.6 | 7.4 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |
| $r a c-\mathbf{1 6}$ | - | - | - | 5.1 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |

Not only distance measurement of intramolecular H-bond, the X-ray diffraction analysis reveals all intermolecular H -bonds if they exist. Structure of ( $S, S$ ) - $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Figure 3.14A) presents the intermolecular H -bond network within molecule. The primary amidic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ interacts to one primary amidic NH proton of the other molecule in the tube to create H -bond with a length of $2.03 \AA$ (Figure 3.14A). The other primary amidic NH proton reaches to secondary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of another molecule which a H -bond distance of $2.13 \AA$ (Figure 3.14A). In addition, the presence of 1,4-benzoxazine ring in each molecule can raise $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction between two molecules, this interaction is not affected by the side chain of the amino acid but it is strongly affected by absolute configuration at C 2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine ring (Figure $3.14 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$. The distance between two planar parts of $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$ is $4.92 \AA$ while in $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ is double in length ( $10.01 \AA$ ) . The arrangement of different molecules in the tube is different between two diastereoisomers (Figure 3.14A, B) which lead to the different shape while presenting them in intermolecular H-bond network. While compound ( $S, S$ )-11 arranges in vertical direction along the $c$ axis, compound $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ is presented in horizontal direction. In order to reveal all intermolecular H -bonds that $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ of primary amide and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of secondary amide are involved, compound $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ is presented along the $b$ axis. The intramolecular H bond is drawn in black dot line, the intermolecular H-bond is drawn in blue dot line. All proton atoms (except those of NH groups) are ommitted for the clarity.


Figure 3.14A Intermolecular network in the solid state along the $c$ axis of $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$


Figure 3.14B Intermolecular network in the solid state along the $b$ axis of $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$

Figure 3.15A displays intermolecular H-bond network of diastereoisomer $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ in vertical orientation along the $a$ axis but unfortunately we did not succeed in obtainment of crystal of $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ to compare. For instance, $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ shows unlikely arrangement of different molecules in its crystal comparing to $(S, S)$-11 and $\mathbf{- 1 2}$ so probably it will be the same to $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$. Compound ( $S, S$ )-12 contained two planar parts which are benzoxazine ring and benzyl group of phenylalanine that can create the $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction. The distance between two benzoxazine ring is $4.08 \AA$ as well as between two benzyl rings. So $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ presented two $\pi$ $\pi$ stacking interactions. Therefore, it is clearly seen that the $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction is not affected by the side chain of amino acid but by the absolute configuration at C 2 position of 1,4benzoxazine scaffold.


Figure 3.15A Intermolecular network in the solid state along the $a$ axis of $(S, S)$-12

In addition, this pseudodipeptide $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ had one distinct point that it shows intermolecular H -bond of $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {benzo }}$ in the crystal (Figure 3.15B) while the others does not. The secondary carbonyl group of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ only bonds to primary amidic NH proton of other molecule in its solid state but secondary $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group of $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ contains two intermolecular bonds: (i)
with the NH of primary amide $(2.08 \AA$ ) and (ii) with the NH of 1,4-benzoxazine ring ( $2.14 \AA$ ). Perhaps the presence of the benzyl group influences the conformation of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$.


Figure 3.15B Intermolecular H-bond between secondary carbonyl group and NH of 1,4-benzoxazine ring in $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$

Based on the conformational analysis of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12, we could conclude that there is always one intramolecular H -bond formed between secondary amidic NH proton and oxygen of 1,4-benzoxazine to establish the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation. X -ray diffraction indicates intermolecular H -bond network created between (i) both primary amide NH protons and primary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of other molecule; (ii) one primary amide NH proton with $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of secondary amide of the other molecule in its crystal. One exception in the case of compound ( $S, S$ ) - $\mathbf{1 2}$, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ of $1,4-$ benzoxazine ring forms intermolecular H -bond with $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of secondary amide of other molecule. The $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction can be generated between planar parts of molecule which are benzoxazine ring and benzyl group of phenylalanine. However, the $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction is strongly affected by absolute configuration of 1,4-benzoxazine but not by side chain at $C$-extremity terminal.

## 3. Conformational study of 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudotripeptides

### 3.1. Conformational study of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-8$ as reference models

Two molecules $(S, S)$ - and ( $R, S$ )-8 were synthesized (Scheme 2.14 ) by coupling $N$-terminal with Fmoc-Ala-OH to be used as reference models for analyzing 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudotripeptide compounds 13-15. Two molecules ( $S, S$ )- and ( $R, S$ )-8 are analyzed by IR absorption spectra (Figure 3.16); it is predicted that there would have no intramolecular Hbond. The carbamidic NH (in purple color, Figure 3.16) shows one band at $3431 / 3432 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{8}$, respectively, which means it is definitely free. The three carbonyl bands in the region from $1600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are $1750 / 1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (ester), $1720 / 1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamide) and $1664 / 1662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (tertiary amide) in ( $S, S$ )- and ( $R, S$ )-8, respectively. Those values can also be considered as reference for free carbamidic NH , free carbamidic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and free ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ for the conformational analysis of compounds 13-15.

$(S, S)-\mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$

$(S, S)-\mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$


Figure 3.16 IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of ( $S, S$ )-8 (up) and $(R, S)-\mathbf{8}$ (down) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

### 3.2. Conformational study of $(S, S, S)-13,-14$ and $(S, R, S)-13,-14$

### 3.2.1. Solution state analysis of $(S, S, S)-13,-14$ and $(S, R, S)-13,-14$

Pseudotripeptides $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S) \mathbf{- 1 3}, \mathbf{- 1 4}$ are analyzed to study their conformational behavior in solution state $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. Only crystal of $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ is obtained and analyzed in solid state. After several attempts, the other compounds are not succeeded in obtainment of crystal.

The study of solvent-dependence of pseudotripeptides $\mathbf{1 3}$ is performed at the concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ and starts from $100 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$ then reduces to $0 \%$. As showed in Figure 3.16, both secondary amide $\mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{NH} \alpha)$ and carbamide $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}\right)$ protons are affected while increasing percentage of polar solvent (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). The $\Delta \delta+1.59 /+1.77 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton and $+1.66 /+2.19 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ proton in $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)$-13, respectively (Figure 3.17). This adjustment promotes an assumption that both NH protons in this case are free. To confirm this assumption, FTIR spectroscopic analysis in solution state $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ is performed for pseudotripeptides 13.

The FTIR absorption spectra of both pseudotripeptides $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Figure 3.18) are similar with two bands in the NH stretching vibration region ( $3200-3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and four bands in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration region $\left(1600-1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. The secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton is located at $3415 / 3416 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, carbamidic $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ at $3432 / 3431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1741 / 1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, carbamide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1719 / 1719 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, secondary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1686 / 1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and tertiary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1672 / 1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$, respectively. The IR absorption bands of two NH above $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can confirm that they are free but the band at $3415 / 3416 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which are quite close to $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of primary amide made confusion. Those bands perhaps indicate that secondary amide NH proton is involved in H-bond as the analysis of pseudodipeptides above.

The NH $\alpha$ chemical shift in $(S, S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ is 7.15 ppm and 6.91 ppm in $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$. If a NH proton is involved in a H -bond, its chemical shift will be deshielded in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $\delta \approx 7$ ppm) so in this case, both $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton of two molecules seem encourage the assumption that they are H -bonded. In addition, because the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation seems predominant in pseudodipeptides and be confirmed by X-ray diffraction, it does not be disturbed by either absolute configuration or side chain of amino acid so probably, for pseudotripeptide molecules, the same $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation can be existed.

$(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}\left(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$



$(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}\left(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$


Figure 3.17 Solvent-dependence in mixed $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ of NH chemical shift of compound ( $S, S, S$ )- and ( $S, R, S$ )-13


Figure 3.18 IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of ( $S, S, S$ )13 (up) and ( $S, R, S$ )-13 (down) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

Pseudotripeptide $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S) \mathbf{- 1 4}$ are also studied by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for solvent sensitivity of the NH chemical shifts (Figure 3.19) and FTIR spectroscopy (Figure 3.20). As mentioned
before, the side chain of amino acid does not have strong influence on the conformational behavior of molecule structure, so these results are similar as reported above.

The secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ and carbamidic $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ protons of $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 4}$ shifted from $7.27 / 5.61 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $7.29 / 5.65 \mathrm{ppm}$ in $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to $8.47 / 7.14 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $8.55 / 7.62 \mathrm{ppm}$ in $100 \%$ of DMSO- $d_{6}$, respectively. The $\Delta \delta$ for NH $\alpha$ proton is $+1.20 /+1.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $+1.53 /+1.97 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ proton. It seems that $\Delta \delta$ of $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton of both molecules $\mathbf{1 4}$ are slightly affected when increasing polar solvent in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and may suggest a presence of weak H-bond. The chemical shift of $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ are moderately changed in polar environment so they should be free. Comparison of $\Delta \delta$ values of all NHs between $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ and $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 4}$ shows no considerable change.

$(S, S, S)-14\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$

$(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 4}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$


Figure 3.19 Solvent-dependence in mixed $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ of NH chemical shift of compound ( $S, S, S$ )- and ( $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 4}$ (right)

Pseudotripeptide ( $S, S, S$ )-14 demonstrates two bands at $3416 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ ) and $3430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamide $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ ) in the IR absorption spectra (Figure 3.20). Four free $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bands are located at $1743,1719,1686$ and $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and corresponded to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester, carbamide, secondary amide and tertiary amide, respectively. For ( $S, R, S$ ) -14, NH stretching region reveals similar bands for $\mathrm{NH} \alpha\left(3413 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(3428 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ as $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 4}$, while the CO vibration region shows some difference for the four absorption bands (Figure 3.20). The four $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bands are located at $1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (ester), $1716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamide), $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (secondary amide) and 1708
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (tertiary amide). Even if the tertiary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ is absorbed in higher wavelength compared to $(S, S, S) \mathbf{- 1 4}$, but this variation does not express that the conformational behavior of $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 4}$ is divergent.

Analyzed data of four molecules above are compared together to see if there is any critical contrast between pseudotripeptides 13 and 14. The results note that either side chain of amino acid or absolute configuration of C 2 position of benzoxazine ring do not induce particular adjustment of molecule structure in solution state. The solution state analysis of $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$ and $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$ may demonstrate an involvement of intramolecular H -bond between $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ and aromatic oxygen atom. Fortunately, even if the study of FTIR and solvent sensitivity of NH protons by NMR are not very clear, X-Ray diffraction of ( $S, R, S$ )-13 again concedes a different outcome.


Figure 3.20 IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of ( $S, S, S$ )14 (up) and ( $S, R, S$ )-14 (down) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

Table 17 and 18 summarize the chemical shifts of NH and absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ for $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, 14$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$.

Table $17{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{NH}}\right)$ of different NH protons of $(S, S)-\mathbf{8},(R, S)-\mathbf{8},(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$ and $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}, 14\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

|  | $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{N H}}(\mathbf{p p m})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of $\mathbf{N H}^{*}$ | $(S, S) \mathbf{- 8}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{8}$ | $(S, S, S) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ | $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ | $(S, S, S) \mathbf{- 1 4}$ | $(S, R, S) \mathbf{- 1 4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Am}(\mathrm{II})$ | - | - | 7.15 | 6.91 | 7.27 | 7.32 |
| Cb | 5.60 | 5.50 | 5.62 | 5.66 | 5.61 | 5.65 |

*Secondary amide [Am (II)], carbamide (Cb)

Table 18 IR absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of $(S, S) \mathbf{- 8},(R, S) \mathbf{- 8},(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$, 14 and $(S, R, S)-13,14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$

| Type of $\mathrm{NH}^{*}$ | NH stretching ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(S, S)-\mathbf{8}$ | $(R, S)$-8 | $(S, S, S)$-13 | $(S, R, S)$-13 | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 4}$ | $(S, R, S)$-14 |
| Am (II) | - | - | 3415 | 3416 | 3416 | 3413 |
| Cb | 3431 | 3432 | 3432 | 3431 | 3430 | 3428 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathbf{O}$ stretching ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}^{*}$ | $(S, S)-\mathbf{8}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{8}$ | $(S, S, S)$-13 | $(S, R, S)$-13 | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 4}$ | ( $S, R, S$ )-14 |
| Am (II) | - | - | 1686 | 1685 | 1686 | 1681 |
| Am (III) | 1664 | 1662 | 1672 | 1670 | 1670 | 1708 |
| Cb | 1720 | 1715 | 1719 | 1719 | 1719 | 1716 |
| Ester | 1750 | 1751 | 1741 | 1742 | 1743 | 1742 |

*Secondary amide [Am (II)], tertiary amide [Am (III)], carbamide (Cb)

### 3.2.2. Solid state analysis of compound ( $S, R, S$ )-13

The crystal of pseudotripeptide ( $S, R, S$ ) - $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Figure 3.21) is obtained by dissolving molecule in mixture of ethyl acetate and heptane then let it at room temperature for slow evaporation. The molecule $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ crystallized in the space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. As we predicted, the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle is formed by intramolecular H-bond between secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine. The length of the H -bond is 2.22 A but no other intramolecular H-bond is found. This result is a bit disappointed. In addition, the intermolecular H -bond network of ( $(S, R, S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 3}$ reveals two H -bonds between: (i) secondary amidic NH proton and tertiary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{d}=1.89 \AA)$; (ii) carbamidic NH proton and ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{d}=2.04 \AA$ ) from one molecule to the other within its crystal. Because this
pseudotripeptide contains both 1,4-benzoxazine rings and Fmoc-protected group which are planar so they can raise the $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction. The $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction's length between two benzoxazine rings is $6.48 \AA$ as same as two fluorene parts of Fmoc-protected group. This distance is between those measured for $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(4.92 \AA),(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(4.01 \AA)$ and $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2}(10.01 \AA)$. The torsion angles are $-172.8^{\circ},-143.8^{\circ}, 177.1^{\circ}$ and $6.6^{\circ}$ correspond to $\omega$, $\varphi, \psi$ and $\alpha$ angles. The value $\alpha=6.6^{\circ}$ which is smallest and closes to $0^{\circ}$ proves a presence of $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation between secondary amide NH and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine.


Figure 3.21 Intermolecular H-bond network in the solid state of $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$

Thanks to reported data above, it is emphasized that the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle, which is created by intramolecular H -bond between a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine and secondary amidic NH proton, is predominant. It is not affected by the side chain of amino acid, the number of attached amino acids either on $C$ - or $N$-terminal extremities or the absolute configuration at C 2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine. No matter the NMR study of solventdependence influence demonstrates small or moderate change of NH chemical shift, the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation will be absolutely occurred. Even the secondary amidic NH proton is absorbed at high frequency in FT-IR analysis but based on its behavior in NMR study of solventdependence ( $\Delta \delta \leq 1.30 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and chemical shift in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(\delta \approx 7.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), it can be recognized if it involves in H -bond or not. However, in this work, the chosen amino acids do not create
steric hindrance effect like leucine or valine amino acid so that the information does not support if steric hindrance effect may influence structural behavior or not. It can be interesting to further study this part.

### 3.3. Conformational study of $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)$-15

As the study of 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudodipeptides, amidation of ( $S, S, S$ )- and ( $S, R, S$ )-13 are done to achieve corresponded products $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)$-15. By changing methyl ester group to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, we expected that it could build other pseudocycle than the existed $\mathrm{C}_{5}$.

Interestingly, it seemed that our expectation came true. The study of solvent influence shows that maybe other potential H -bond is formed. Figure 3.22 described the effect of solvent on NH chemical shifts when increasing volume of DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Because these two pseudotripeptides contain four NH groups, they are too polar to solubilize in $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Thus, they are dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ containing $1 \%$ of DMSO- $d_{6}$ at concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ to study. The chemical shift of NH can be affected by the engagement of DMSO. The $\Delta \delta$ of the secondary amidic NH proton $(\delta=7.12 / 7.16 \mathrm{ppm})$ are $+0.80 /+0.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)$ 15, respectively and as usual, this NH will be involved in H -bond to create the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ with a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold. The carbamidic NH proton remains free ( $\delta=5.75 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) with its chemical shift values are highly affected by polar solvent $(\Delta \delta=+1.98 /+2.02 \mathrm{ppm})$. Compound $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)-15$ demonstrate one primary amidic NH proton shifts at $5.57 / 5.42 \mathrm{ppm}$ and the other primary NH shifts at $6.39 / 6.33 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively. For pseudodipeptides $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$, two free primary NH (free and $\mathrm{H}-$ bonded) protons have $\Delta \delta=+1.42 /+1.58 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $+1.78 /+2.06 \mathrm{ppm}$. For the two primary amidic protons of ( $S, S, S$ )- and $(S, R, S)$-15, the symmetric one is moderately affected $(\Delta \delta=+1.47 /+1.62$ ppm ) but asymmetric primary amidic NH is poorly influenced by polar solvent DMSO- $d_{6}(\Delta \delta$ $=+0.79 /+1.04 \mathrm{ppm})$. Based on this data, it can be said that one primary amide NH proton is involved in an intramolecular H -bond.


Figure 3.22 Solvent-dependence in mixed $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ at $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ of NH chemical shift of compound ( $S, S, S$ )-15 (left) and ( $S, R, S$ )-15 (right)

In order to precise which group involves in intramolecular H-bond with H-bonded primary amidic NHa ' and secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$, FTIR analysis of two pseudotripeptides ( $S, S, S$ )- and (S,R,S)-15 were checked (Figure 3.23).

For pseudotripeptide $(S, S, S) \mathbf{- 1 5}$, there are four IR absorption bands in the stretching vibration region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. The carbamidic $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{cb}}$ located at $3429 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the secondary amidic NH is $3416 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, symmetric and asymmetric bands for primary amidic $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ are $3405 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and 3523 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. In addition, all NH in pseudotripeptide $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ are absorbed at a bit lower area comparing to $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$, the symmetric primary amide NHa is absorbed at $3396 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is very close to the border between free and H -bonded NH. This value may say that this primary amidic NH proton participates in an intramolecular H -bond. Even the other values are higher than $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ but based on analyzed data described above, the secondary amide $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ of both molecules will be involved in H -bond with a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4benzoxazine scaffold. Since there are two NH protons are involved in H-bond, it is necessary there will be one carbonyl group joins them to form another pseudocycle but $\mathrm{C}_{5}$.

In the stretching vibration region of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of pseudotripeptide $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$, four bands are found. They are compared to reported values of studied compounds above to be assigned. Four bands of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ are located at $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamide), $1699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (primary amide), $1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (secondary amide) and $1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (tertiary amide). It can be certainly recognized that no carbonyl is unusually changed. In comparison with $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}$, both secondary amidic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ are around 1665 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Pseudodipeptide $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$ was confirmed that it contained no other H-bond except the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation of $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold so the value around $1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ that is absolutely assigned for free secondary amidic carbonyl. The tertiary amidic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ is even absorbed at higher frequency than $(S, S)-\mathbf{8}$ so it is also indicated
as free one. However, comparision between secondary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ values in IR spectra of diastereoisomers $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ suggests that this carbonyl group is involved in H-bond because they are absorbed at lower frequency from $1686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of $(S, S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ to $1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ and from $1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ to $1648 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$. Therefore, in this case, the most reasonable conformation can be generated within diastereoisomers 15 contains two intramolecular H -bonds which are formed between (i) secondary amidic $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$ and (ii) H-bonded primary amidic NHa ' proton and secondary carbonyl ( $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ ) (Figure 3.22).

$(S, S, S)$ - or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$
Figure 3.22 Postulated conformation based on solution state data analysis of pseudotripeptides $\mathbf{1 5}$


Figure 3.23 IR absorption spectra (in red) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ deconvoluted bands (in blue) of ( $S, S, S$ )15 (up) and ( $S, R, S$ )-15 (down) ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ )

The signal of NH on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and absorption values of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of pseudotripeptides $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ and $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ on IR absorption spectra are summarized in the Tables 18 and 19 below.

Table $18{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta_{\mathrm{NH}}$ ) of different NH protons of $(S, S, S)-15$ and $(S, R, S)$-15 [300 MHz, $\left.300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}(99 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right]$

|  | $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{N H}}(\mathbf{p p m})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of NH$^{*}$ | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ | $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Am (I) | 5.57 | 5.42 |
| Am (II) | 6.39 | 6.33 |
| Cb | 5.29 | 7.16 |
|  | 5.75 | 5.77 |

*Primary amide [Am (I)], secondary amide [Am (II)], carbamide (Cb)

Table 19 IR absorption bands in the stretching region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of $(S, S, S)-15$ and $(S, R, S)-15$ [300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}(99 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right]$

|  | NH stretching $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of $\mathbf{N H}^{*}$ | $(S, S, S) \mathbf{- 1 5}$ | $(S, R, S) \mathbf{- 1 5}$ |
| Am (I) | 3523 | 3523 |
| Am (II) | 3405 | 3396 |
| Cb | 3429 | 3418 |
|  | $\mathbf{C = O}$ stretching (cm-1 $)$ |  |
| Type of C=O** | $(S, S, S) \mathbf{- 1 5}$ | $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Am (I) | 1699 | 1699 |
| Am (II) | 1667 | 1648 |
| Am (III) | 1679 | 1674 |
| Cb | 1717 | 1717 |

*Primary amide [Am (I)], secondary amide [Am (II)], tertiary amide [Am (III)], carbamide (Cb)

## 4. Conclusion

All obtained pseudodipeptides $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ and pseudotripeptides 13-15 are studied for the conformational behavior in solution state by NMR of solvent-dependence and FT-IR spectroscopic analysis. Crystals of compounds ( $S, S$ )-11, $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ and $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ are
successfully obtained to be analyzed by X-ray diffraction. The results show that in solution state, according to solvent-dependence study by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, the secondary amide $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ proton is always participated in intramolecular H-bond with a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold. However, all absorption bands in stretching vibration region of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in FT -IR spectra show no values which are in agreement with the presence of intramolecular H -bond.

Thanks to X-ray diffraction analysis, it confirmed that the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation between $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine is predominant. This $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation does not been affected by either absolute configuration at C 2 position of 1,4benzoxazine scaffold, side chain of amino acid or number of amino acids that attached to $C$ and N -terminal extremities. The X-ray diffraction analysis also reveals the intermolecular H bond network of compounds $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 2}$ and $(S, R, S)$-13. It demonstrates that two primary amidic $\mathrm{NHa}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ of one molecule can create a H -bond with (i) primary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and (i) secondary amide $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the other within its crystal. In addition, the secondary amidic carbonyl of ( $S, S$ )-12 can create H-bond with NH of aromatic ring. The $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction is raised between the planar part of molecule but it is strongly affected by chirality of C2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine but not side chain of amino acid. The structural behavior of pseudotripeptides $\mathbf{1 5}$ can be postulated that it consists of two pseudocycles which are created via intramolecular H -bond between (i) secondary amide $\mathrm{NH} \alpha$ and a lone pair of electrons of oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$ and (ii) asymmetric amide NHa , and secondary carbonyl $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$. Prolongation of N -terminal extremity establishes an affection to conformational behavior of 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudopeptide analogues. Without attachment of amino acid at N terminal extremity, two primary amide $\mathrm{NHa}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ are free. Figure 3.24 summarizes obtained and studied molecules in this work.


Figure 3.24 Summarized studied 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudodipeptides and pseudotripeptides
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# GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES 

## 1. General conclusions

3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine and its derivatives were reported as potential candidates that contain many pharmacological activities such as antibacterial, anti-hypertensive or antiangiogenesis. Due to those potential effects, this benzoheterocyclic compound became an attractive target to develop as a favorable core for medicinal chemitry.

Our targeted molecule ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylatecontains carboxylic group attaches to $\alpha$-carbon and amine group attaches to $\beta$-carbon, its structure simulates $\beta$-amino acid that makes this heterocyclic molecule mimics the $\beta$-amino acid analogue and more inquisitive to study.


Figure 4.1 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylic simulates $\beta$-amino acid structure

Due to receptor selectivity and differences in biological and pharmacological activities, enantioselective synthesis now becomes a top subject in chemistry. Many chiral compounds have been reported with one of its enantiomers exhibiting better pharmacological activities than the other such as levofloxacin or thalidomide. Therefore, in this thesis, we targeted to synthesize new enantiopure 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine analogues as pseudodipeptides and pseudotripeptides. These new pseudopeptides that may mimic the $\beta$-turn starting from enantiomers of 1,4-benzoxazine as scaffold and their conformational behaviours would be studied.

Firstly, in order to obtain enantiopure ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate $\mathbf{2}$ to use as scaffold for pseudopeptide analogues synthesis, both enantiomers of ethyl 2,3dibromopropionate 1 were investigated by two strategies: via enantioselective synthesis and via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of the commercially available racemate.

At the beginning, the enantioselective synthesis of ( $S$ )-1 met difficulties for obtainment of enantiopure product due to the rapidly degradation of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ in purification step by flash silica gel chromatography leading to very low yield. After many attempts to avoid the degradation, $(S)$-1 was afforded in 3 steps ( $59 \%$ overall yield) from ( $S$ )-serine after quick purfication with a very low height of silica gel in the column. However, equipments and devices in our laboratory did not allow us for checking the enantiomeric purity of chiral compounds so we had to search
for a collaboration with another laboratory having an expertise in this field. We contacted to a colleague working at "Plateforme de chromatographie chirale" in Aix-Marseille University to collaborate for examining the enantiomeric purity of ( $S$ )-1.

To determine the best conditions for enantioseparation of racemate $\mathbf{1}$ (rac-1), various chiral stationary phases (CSP) were screened. Preparative Chiralpak AD-H was found to be the best CSP for separation of both enantiomers with high enantiopurity (ee $\geq 99.5 \%$ ) on multigram scale. Based on the recorded data, ee of the synthesized ( $S$ )-1 was examined. The result was dissatisfied because the ee of $(S)$-1 only reached 17-36\% which meant $(S)$-1 was racemized during the enantioselective synthesis. After several attempts searching for the cause, it showed that the racemization process occurred during the bromination of ( $S$ )-ethyl glycerate intermediate with $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as reagents. The quantification of racemization process (influence of reaction time and reagent's equivalent) gave ee $\approx 9-50 \%$ and concluded that a longer reaction time induced higher yield of synthesized ( $S$ )-1 but with a less measured ee. In contrary, the use of the less reagent quantity was profitable for the ee of the product but with a lower yield. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was applied to replace bromide by trilfate which is a good leaving group but once again, the $\beta$-elimination happened. Therefore, both enantiomers $\mathbf{1}$ obtained by preparative HPLC enantioseparation on multigram scale ( 5 g ) were used to synthesize corresponding enantiopure compound 2 via a double $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction with 2-aminophenol.

As both enantiomers ( $S$ )- and ( $R$ )-ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate 2 were not described in the literature, they were separated via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of synthesized racemate 2 (rac-2) on multigram scale ( 12 g ) using Lux-Cellulose- $2^{\circledR}$ CSP then the ee of the synthesized $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ was checked. Unfortunately, during the double $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ step yet performed in mild conditions, the synthesized $(R)$ - 2 was also partial racemized (ee $\approx 55 \%$ or $66 \%$ ). The reason in this case was predicted based on a postulated mechanism was reported in the literature involved dehydrobromination of dibromo compound $\mathbf{1}$. The inevitable formation of eliminated ethyl 2-bromoacrylate $\mathbf{5}$ was proved as the cause of racemization of $\mathbf{2}$ in this case (Scheme 4.1). The formation of $\mathbf{5}$ from $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ under refluxed acetone was investigated (Scheme 4.1, first step) and the reaction progress was monitored by analytical achiral HPLC. The result showed that $57 \%$ of $\mathbf{5}$ was quickly formed after 2 h followed by a slower increase of 5 during the rest of the reaction (reaching a maximum of $93 \%$ within 16 h ).


Scheme 4.1 Obtainment of racemized compound 2

Due to this result, both enantiomers of $\mathbf{2}$ were isolated via preparative HPLC enantioseparation (ee $\geq 99.5 \%$ ) of rac-2 on multgram scale ( 12 g ) and then used in the next step as scaffold to design new 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudopeptide analogues (Scheme 4.2).


Scheme 4.2 Obtainment of 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudopeptide analogues

Secondly, the $C$ - and $N$-terminal extremities of ( $S$ )-2 and ( $R$ )-2 were modified by peptide coupling reactions with alanine and/or phenylalanine in order to achieve novel enantiopure 3,4-dihydro- 2 H -1,4-benzoxazine analogues as pseudopeptides. Introduction of 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold in pseudodipeptides started by saponification of ethyl ester group with lithium hydroxide in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 / 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to afford carboxylic acid group then coupling with hydrochloride methyl ester of alanine or phenylalanine using HOBt, TEA and EDCI as coupling reagents. Due to the low reactivity of NH proton on the 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold, the insertion of $\alpha$-amino acid at $C$-terminus did not require a Boc- or Fmoc-protection of NH group. Four molecules $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}$ were obtained as pure diastereoisomer thanks to presence of HOBt. Next, in order to increase the posibility of intramolecular H-bond formation, amidation of the methyl ester group of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9},-\mathbf{1 0}$ was performed by ammonium solution $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ to generate ( $S, S$ )- and ( $R, S$ )-11, -12 pseudodipeptides (Scheme 4.3).


Scheme 4.3 Elongation of $C$-terminal extremity of $(S)$-2 and ( $R$ )-2

In order to obtain pseudotripeptides, elongation of $N$-terminal extremity is realised by coupling highly reactive Fmoc amino acid chlorides. Fmoc-Ala-OH was chlorinated by thionyl chloride to afford Fmoc-Ala-Cl. The reaction of obtained pseudodipeptides and Fmoc-Ala-Cl in the presence of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ as base generated corresponded pseudotripeptides $(S, S, S)$ - and $(S, R, S)$ 13, -14, -15 (Scheme 4.4).


Scheme 4.4 Elongation of $N$-terminal extremities of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-\mathbf{9 , - 1 0 , - 1 1}$

Finally, the conformational behaviour of the previously obtained compounds were meticulously studied by NMR and FT-IR spectroscopies. Furthermore, crystals of compounds $(S, S) \mathbf{- 1 1},(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(S, S)-\mathbf{1 2}$ and $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}$ are successfully obtained in different mixture of solvents and their crystals are analyzed by X-Ray diffraction. For compounds 11-14, the results
showed that in solution state, only one intramolecular H-bond is formed between the secondary amide NH proton and a lone pair of electrons of the oxygen atom in 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold to create a $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle. The X-Ray diffraction analysis of $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1},(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $(S, R, S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ were in agreement with their analyzed data in solution state. Moreover, $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$ and $(S, R, S)-15$ revealed probably except $\mathrm{C}_{5}$, it might contain a $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ pseudocycle created between primary amide NH proton and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of secondary amide (Figure 4.2). However, the $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ conformation seems predominant in all 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudopeptide analogues.


H

$(S, S, S)-$ or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 3}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
$(S, S, S)-$ or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 4}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn})$

$(S, S, S)-$ or $(S, R, S)-\mathbf{1 5}$
$\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$
$(S, S)-$ or $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$

Figure 4.2 The predominant $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle in pseudo-dipeptides and -tripeptides

## 2. Perspectives

It was reported in this work that the absolute configuration at C 2 position of the 1,4 benzoxazine scaffold and the side chain of $\alpha$-amino acid do not have strong influence to the structural behavior of synthesized 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudopeptides. However, the chosen $\alpha$-amino acids in this work for coupling are alanine and/or phenylalanine which do not create a big steric hindrance effect. Thus, it can be interesting to study the effect of other different $\alpha$-amino acids with sterically congested side chains such as leucine, valine, etc. on structuration.

Moreover, one of our purpose is to deprotect the Fmoc-protected group in order to obtain the second amine group $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$. Increasing the number of NH can raise more possibility to generate intramolecular H-bond. Classically, the deprotection of Fmoc was done by several attempts using different bases (10-20\%) like morpholine, DIEA, piperidine or triethylamine in different solvents such as acetone, DMF or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for $15-30 \mathrm{~min}$, monitoring by TLC using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as eluent. Reaction solution was concentrated under vacuo. The resulted oil was treated by several ways. Unfortunately, it was not possible to obtain the pure desired product after deprotection certainly due to the high polarity of resulted molecule. The first trial was purification by reverse phase preparative HPLC. Achiral preparative HPLC using
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.1 \%$ of TFA as eluent was applied to purify deprotected-compound but $97 \%$ of product was lost and only 2 mg of expected compound was recovered after injection and elution of 60 mg of crude. The second effort was precipitation of deprotected-product in cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $n$-hexane did not work also. The third attemption was treating the resulted residue after solvent evaporation with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Our expected compound should preferable to solubilize in aqueous phase. The collected aquesous phases were freezed in nitrogen liquid and dried by lyophilisation overnight. However, as same as preparative HPLC, less than 1 mg was recovered from 60 mg of departure product. This was really shame because it would have been very interesting to study the structural behavior of this deprotected compound. In perspective, if the Fmoc-protected group can be successfully deprotected for all 1,4-benzoxazine-based analogues as pseudotripeptides, it will be interesting to analyze them.

Another perspective for this enchanting scaffold is to install at C 2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine ring an hydrazino group by hydrazidation of ethyl ester group of $(S)$ - and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ (Scheme 4.5). The resulting compound then can be used to design hydrazinopeptides, semicarbazide peptides or azapeptides.


Scheme 4.5 Insertion of hydrazide to $C$-terminal extremity for increasing posibility of H-bond
The synthesis of ethyl ester 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine always gives major product with ethyl ester group attaches at C 2 position and minor product where it attaches at C 3 position. The minor product ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-3-carboxylate mimics the structure of $\alpha$-amino acid (Scheme 4.6). Therefore, it can be attractive to study its conformation if we
elongate the $N$-terminal extremity by insertion of different amino acids. By that the predominant of $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocylce can be avoided.


Scheme 4.6 Elongation of $N$-terminal extremity on minor product 1,4-benzoxazine For further research on 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold, enantiomers $(S)$ - and $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ can be used as starting materials to design new potential therapeutic drugs based on the literature (Scheme 4.7).


Scheme 4.7 Some examples of 1,4-benzoxazine-based therapeutic drug ${ }^{1-3}$
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## EXPERIMENTAL PART

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich as the highest purity commercially available and were used without further purification. Dry solvents were obtained by distillation over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ under an argon atmosphere and other reagent-grade solvents were used as received.

Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using aluminium-backed silica gel plates (Macherey-Nagel ALUGRAM® SIL G/UV254). TLC spots were viewed under ultraviolet light or/and by heating the plate after treatment with a staining solution of phosphomolybdic acid or using iodine vapour. Product purifications were performed using Geduran 60 H Silica Gel (63-200 mesh). NMR spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, 2D COSY, 2D HSQC, 2D HMBC) were recorded on a Brucker Advance 300 spectrophotometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 300 K . Chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) and are referenced to the signal of tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as internal standard for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as an internal standard ( $\delta=77.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. Coupling constants $(J)$ are given in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$ and the multiplicity is defined as s for singlet, d for doublet, t for triplet, q for quadruplet, m for multiplet, br for broad or combinations thereof. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ represent the aromatic protons.

Electrospray mass spectroscopy (ESI-MS) was performed on a Brucker MicroToF-QHR spectrometer at Faculté des Sciences et Techniques in Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy.

LC-MS analysis was performed on UFLC Shimadzu and LCMS-2020 Shimadzu mass detector. The analytical column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) is packed with Nucléodur silica gel C18 (10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.1 \%$ of formic acid was used as the mobile phase. The flow rate was $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the injection volumn was $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The peaks were detected by UV at 214 and 254 nm . The positive ions ionization ESI mode was applied.

Achiral analytical HPLC spectra were performed on a Shimadzu High Performance Liquid Chromatography apparatus. The analytical column ( $250 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) is packed with Nucleosil silica gel $\mathrm{C} 4(5 \mu \mathrm{~m}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.1 \%$ of TFA was used as the mobile phase. The flow rate was $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the volumn of injected sample was $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The peaks were detected by UV at 254 nm .

Analytical and preparative chiral HPLC experiments were performed on an Agilent 1260 Infinity unit (pump G1311B, autosampler G1329B, DAD G1315D), with Jasco CD-1595 circular dichroism detector. The analytical ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and preparative column were purchased from Chiral Technologies Europe (Illkirch, France), Phenomenex (Le Pecq, France)
or Regis Technologies (Morton Grove, USA). HPLC grade heptane, hexane and ethanol were degassed and filtered on a $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Millopore membrance before use. Retention time Rt in minutes, retention factors $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\mathrm{Rt}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Rt}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{Rt}_{0}$ and enantioselectivity factor $\alpha=\mathrm{k}_{2} / \mathrm{k}_{1}$ and resolution $R s=1.18\left(\mathrm{Rt}_{2}-\mathrm{Rt}_{1}\right) /\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}\right)$ are given. $\mathrm{Rt}_{0}$ was determined by injection of tri-tertio-butyl benzene and $w_{i}$ was the peak width at half-height.

FTIR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Alpha Platinum ATR Spectrometer. FT-IR spectra (128 scans) were obtained at $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution using a $50 \mu \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CaF} 2$ solution cell and a dry air purged Bruker Tensor 27 equipped with liquid nitrogen cooled MCT-detector. All samples were dissolved at concentration of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ in spectrophotometric grade solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).

Melting points were measured with a Buchi M-560 melting-point apparatus.

The purity of all synthesized molecules was checked by HPLC or LC-MS. All compounds have high purity ( $\geq 97 \%$ ).

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

(S)-Ethyl glycerate, (S)-3


To a solution of $L$-Serine ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 95.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 20 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added aqueous sulfuric acid ( $3 \mathrm{M}, 51 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and aqueous sodium nitrite ( $3 \mathrm{M}, 17 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature then aqueous sodium nitrite $(3 \mathrm{M}, 17 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 3 days at room temperature then aqueous sulfuric acid ( $3 \mathrm{M}, 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and aqueous sodium nitrite $(3 \mathrm{M}, 17 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction was stirred for 2 days at room temperature, water was removed under vacuum and a solution of sodium hydroxide $(4.2 \mathrm{~g})$ in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the resulting residue at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the stirring mixture and the salt was filtered through celite. After evaporation of solvent, a mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone ( 10 mL ) was added again. This operation was repeated until no white salt formed after adding solvent mixture. The residue was dissolved in EtOH ( 72.6 mL ) and acidified by concentrated sulfuric acid ( $4-5$ drops). Triethyl orthoformate $(0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 13.6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the mixture. The reaction was stirred for 30 minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then it was neutralized by sodium ethoxide $21 \%$ w/w in EtOH to pH 7 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solvent was evaporated and the crude was purified by flash column chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 3/7, v/v) to afford ( $S$ )-3 as yellow oil.

Yield 72\%
$[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-11.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=0.05\right)\left[\right.$ Lit. $\left.[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-11.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=1.00\right)\right]$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.30\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, 3.43 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3.83 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7$ and $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7$ and $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}$ ), 4.24-4.31 (m, 3H, CH-OH, O-CH2)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=14.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 62.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 64.7\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.3(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{OH})$, 173.7 (C=O)

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 157.0483, found 157.0471

## Enantioselective synthesis of (S)-ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate, (S)-1



## Method A:

$N$-bromosuccinimide ( 1 or 3 equiv.) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( 1 or 3 equiv.) were added successively to a solution of ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ by portions at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 3 to 9 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting crude material was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3/7, v/v). Only the starting material ( $S$ )-3 (80\%) was recovered without racemization.

## Method B:

Pyridine (1.1 equiv.) was added to a solution of ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min of stirring, $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ ( 1,3 or 5 equiv.) was added dropwise at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 to 24 h . The reaction mixture was poured over ice and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were combined and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting crude material was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 3/7, v/v). Only the starting material ( $S$ )-3 ( $70 \%$ ) was recovered without racemization.

## Method C:

$\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (2 or 3 equiv.) was added to a solution of ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1}\left(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 to 30 minutes of stirring, $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ ( 2 or 3 equiv.) was added in small portion at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for either $8,11,14$, 17 , or 20 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting crude material was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 9/1, v/v) to afford the dibromo compound ( $S$ )-1 as yellow oil (ee $\approx 9-50 \%$ ) (See Table 5, Page 56).

Yield 24-82\%
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.33\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.9$ and $\left.4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.1\right.$ and $\left.9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.42$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4$ and $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Br}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=14.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right), 41.8(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Br}), 63.2\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 168.2 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ )

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 280.8788$, found 280.8783

## Analytical and preparative HPLC enantioseparation of ( $S$ )-1 and ( $R$ )-1

## 1. Analytical HPLC

$20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of commercially available rac- $\mathbf{1}$ in EtOH was injected on the chiral column and detected with an UV and circular dichroism detectors at 254 nm . A mixture of heptan/EtOH ( $98 / 2$ or $95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as mobile phase with a flow-rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was used (See Table 2, Page 51).

## 2. Preparative HPLC

About 5 g of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1}$ was dissolved in 60 mL of a mixture hexane/EtOH $(95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and put on CSP Chiralpak AD-H ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Hexane/EtOH ( $95 / 5$, v/v) was used as the mobile phase with flow rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ under UV detection at 254 nm . Two eluted fractions were obtained at 4.73 min for $(S) \mathbf{- 1}(2.3 \mathrm{~g})$ and 5.18 min for $(R)-\mathbf{2}(2.3 \mathrm{~g})$ with high enantiopurity (ee $>99.5 \%$ ).

Synthesis of racemic and ( $R$ )-ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate, rac-2 and ( $R$ )-2


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ MW: $207.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$


To a stirring solution of 2-aminophenol (1 equiv.) and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.2 equiv.) in dry acetone, was added $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1}$ or $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ ( 1.1 equiv.). The reaction was refluxed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir for 16 h . The resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude material was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 3 / 7$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford rac-2 or $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ as dark orange oil.

Yield 83-85\%
$(R)$-2: ee $\approx 55 \%$ and $66 \%$ (See Figure 2.5, Page 60)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ): $\delta=1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.52-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.75 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.20-4.30 (m, 2H, O-CH2), $4.79(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7$ and $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 6.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8\right.$ and $\left.1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.68-6.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ). These data are in agreement with those described in the literature (Ref. 75, Page 41)

## Analytical and preparative HPLC enantioseparation of (S)-2 and (R)-2

## 1. Analytical HPLC

$20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of synthesized rac-2 in EtOH was injected on the chiral column and detected with an UV and circular dichroism detectors at 254 nm . A mixture of heptane/EtOH (7/3, v/v) as mobile phase with a flow-rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was used (See Table 6 , Page 59).
2. Preparative HPLC

About 12 g of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{2}$ was dissolved in 180 mL of mixture heptane/EtOH $(5 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and put on CSP Lux-Cellulose-2 ${ }^{\circledR}$ ( $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Heptane/EtOH ( $7 / 3$, v/v) was used as the mobile phase with flow rate of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ under UV detection at 254 nm . Two eluted fractions were obtained at 5.59 min for $(S)-\mathbf{2}(5.7 \mathrm{~g})$ and 8.53 min for $(R) \mathbf{- 2}(5.6 \mathrm{~g})$ with high enantiopurity (ee $>99.5 \%)$.
$\mathrm{Mp}=131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (yellow solid)
ee $>99.5 \% ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-37^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.2\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.52-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.77 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.21-4.29 (m, 2H, O-CH2), $4.80(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1$ and $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 6.63\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8\right.$ and $\left.1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.69-6.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=14.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.3\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.4(\mathrm{CH}), 116.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 132.8(\mathrm{C}), 143.8(\mathrm{C}), 170.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3418, $1746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
( $R$ )-Ethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate, ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-2
$\mathrm{Mp}=131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (orange solid)
ee $>99.5 \% ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+37^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.19\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.53-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.77 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.20-4.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.79(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8$ and $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 6.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8\right.$ and $\left.1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.69-6.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=14.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.3\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.5(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 116.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 133.3(\mathrm{C}), 143.7(\mathrm{C}), 170.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3419, $1746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

## General procedure for coupling at $C$-terminal extremity: synthesis of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-9$,

 -101. To a solution of enantiopure $(S) \mathbf{- 2}$ or $(R) \mathbf{- 2}(207 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 / 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, 10 mL ) was added lithium hydroxide ( $47.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 2 h . After cooling, the solution was acidified by HCl 3 N to pH 2 then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was evaporated under vacuo to obtain 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylic acid in excellent yield $(\geq$ $95 \%$ ). The reaction product was used for next step without additional purification.
2. To a suspension of 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylic acid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added corresponding hydrochloride methyl ester of $\alpha$ amino acid ( 1.04 mmol ), $\operatorname{HOBt}(141 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TEA ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 263 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and coupling reagent EDCI ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at one portion. After stirring at room temperature after $8-10 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitoring by TLC), the precipitate was filtered and the filtrate was washed with a solution of $\mathrm{HCl} 0.1 \mathrm{~N}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was collected and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporate solvent, the crude was purified by silica flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 1/1, v/v) to afford desired product.
(S)-methyl 2-[(S)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido]propanoate, (S,S)-9


Yield 94 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Yellow solid)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}=-25^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=11.7$ and $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7\right.$ and $\left.2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.78 (br s, 1H, NH), 4.58-4.67 (m, 2H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.62-6.74 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.79-6.84 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=19.0\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.4(\alpha \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ala})$, $53.2(\mathrm{O}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 74.6(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 116.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.6(\mathrm{C}), 142.8$ (C), 169.3 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 173.6 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ )

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3413,3427,1678,1743 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 265$, found 265
(S)-methyl 2-[ $(\boldsymbol{R})$-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido]propanoate, $(\boldsymbol{R}, S)$-9


Yield 98 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Yellow solid)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+27^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.0$ and $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.71$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.62-4.66 (m, 2H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala, O-CH), 6.63-6.74 (m, 2H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.80-6.85 (m, 1H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=19.0\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.5(\alpha \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ala})$, $53.2(\mathrm{O}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 74.7(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 116.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 142.9$ (C), $169.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3413, 3427, 1678, $1743 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 265$, found 265
(S)-methyl 2-[(S)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido]-3-phenylpropanoate, (S,S)-10


Yield 85 \% (Brown oil)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-23^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=3.06\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right.$ ), 3.43-3.58 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.65 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.74 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.66 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1$ and $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-$ CH ), 4.94 (dd, 1H, $J=13.8$ and $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe), 6.64-6.75 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.83-6.91 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=38.7\left(\mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right.$ Phe $), 42.8\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.1\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.4(\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe), $74.9(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 116.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $129.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.9(\mathrm{C}), 136.1(\mathrm{C}), 142.9(\mathrm{C}), 169.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 172.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3410, 3422, $1680,1743 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 341$, found 341
(S)-methyl 2-[(R)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxaizne-2-carboxamido]-3-phenylpropanoate, (R,S)-10


Yield 95 \% (Orange oil)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+24^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=3.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ Phe), 3.39 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7$ and $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 3.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, $4.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$ and $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 4.84-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 6.61-6.83 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.14-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=38.6\left(\mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right.$ Phe $), 42.3\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.0\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.5(\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe), $74.7(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 116.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 119.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $129.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 136.3(\mathrm{C}), 142.8(\mathrm{C}), 169.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 171.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3408, $3422,1680,1744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 341$, found 341

General procedure for amidation of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-9,10$ : synthesis of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)$ 11, -12

To a stirring mixture of $\mathbf{r a c - 2 ,}(S, S)$ - or $(R, S)-\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{- 1 0}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ammonia solution (35\%) ( 2 mL ). The reaction solution was placed under reflux for 3-4 h (monitoring by TLC) then evaporate to dryness. The crude then was purified by silica flash column chromatography using pure EtOAc as eluent to obtain $\mathbf{r a c} \mathbf{- 1 6},(S, S)$ - or $(R, S) \mathbf{- 1 1}, \mathbf{- 1 2}$ in good yield.

## Racemate 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamide, rac-16



Yield 90 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ Brown solid $)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ): $\delta=3.49\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7\right.$ and $\left.6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12$ and $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9$ and $3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 5.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.55 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.63-6.73 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.80-6.89 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~Hz}\right): \delta=43.1\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 74.9(\mathrm{CH}), 116.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.8(\mathrm{C}), 142.8(\mathrm{C}), 172.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$

IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3411,3523,3401,1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(S)-N-[(S)-1-amino-1-oxopropan-2-yl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamide, (S,S)-11


Yield 89 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Yellow solid $)$
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=11.7$ and $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.69 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7$ and $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, 4.56-4.47 (m, 1H, $\alpha$ CH Ala), 4.65 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$ and $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.39 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.09 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.63 (dd, 1H, $J=7.8$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.68-6.74 (m, 1H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.79-6.85 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=18.3\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.8(\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), $74.7(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 116.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 142.7(\mathrm{C}), 170.0$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $174.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3407, 3417, 3500, 3524, 1664, $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 250$, found 250
(S)-N-[(R)-1-amino-1-oxopropan-2-yl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamide, ( $R, S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 1}$


Yield 75 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ Yellow powder $)$
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+12^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=11.7$ and $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.63\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7\right.$ and $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, 4.50-4.60 (m, 1H, $\alpha$ CH Ala), 4.73 (dd, 1H, $J=5.7$ and $3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.19 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.98 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.62-6.91 (m, 4H, Har), 6.99 (br s, 1H, NH)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=18.3\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.9(\alpha \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ala})$, $75.1(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 116.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.8(\mathrm{C}), 142.7(\mathrm{C}), 170.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $174.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3405,3418,3500,3524,1678,1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 250$, found 250

## (S)-N-[(S)-1-amino-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-

 carboxamide, $(S, S)$-12

Yield 67 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ Yellow solid $)$
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=3.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3\right.$ and $\left.6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right), 3.35$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7$ and $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7\right.$ and $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.65 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.66 (dd, 2H, $J=6.3$ and $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.72 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7$ and $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe), 5.39 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.79 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.64 (d, 1H, J=7.5 Hz, H ${ }_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.73-6.90 (m, 3H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.11 (d, 1H, NH), 7.23-7.35 (m, 5H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=38.6\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.3(\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe $), 74.9(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 116.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $129.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.8(\mathrm{C}), 137.0(\mathrm{C}), 142.7(\mathrm{C}), 170.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 172.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3399,3413,3511,3523,1661,1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 326$, found 326
(S)-N-[(R)-1-amino-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2carboxamide, $(R, S)$ - 12


Yield 73 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ Yellow solid $)$
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.1\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.1\right.$ and $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{\beta CH}_{2}$ Phe), 3.19 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.1$ and $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ Phe), 3.51 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.62 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.65-4.71 (m, 2H, O-CH, $\alpha$ CH Phe), 5.22 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.71 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.56-6.79 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.24-7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=38.0\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right.$ Phe), $43.1\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.2(\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe), $74.9(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 116.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $129.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 136.6(\mathrm{C}), 142.5(\mathrm{C}), 170.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3397, 3409, 3502, 3518, 1679, $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 326$, found 326

General procedure for coupling at $N$-terminal extremity: synthesis of $(S, S)$ - and $(R, S)-8$, $(S, S, S)$ - and ( $S, R, S$ )-13, -14, -15

1. To a solution of Fmoc-Ala-OH ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added thionyl chloride ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux under nitrogen for 2 h . Thionyl chloride and solvent was evaporated under vacuo. A yellow mixture was obtained and extracted first with dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hexane ( 34 mL ). White solid (Fmoc-Ala-Cl) was filtered and dried to use in the next step without additional purification.
2. At $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to a solution of Fmoc-Ala-Cl ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of corresponding 3,4-dihydro- $2 \mathrm{H}-1,4$-benzoxazine derivative ( 1 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(185 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h then $8-10 \mathrm{~h}$ at room temperature. The organic layer was separated and washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was evaporated to dryness. The crude was purified by silica flash column chromatography using hexane/EtOAc (1/1, v/v) as eluent to afford desired product.
(S)-Ethyl 4-[(S)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboperoxoate, $(S, S)$-8


Yield 89 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ Yellow solid $)$
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+37^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.05\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.22-1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.47 (br s, 1 H , N-CH2), 4.11-4.25 (m, 4H, N-CH2, O-CH2, CH Fmoc), 4.39 (d, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz, CH2 Fmoc), 4.98 (br s, 1H, O-CH), 5.25 (br s, 1H, $\alpha$ CH Ala), 5.60 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.95-7.16 (m, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.26-7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=14.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.9\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8(\mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc $)$, $48.1\left(\alpha \mathrm{CH}\right.$ Ala), $54.1\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}\right), 74.7(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 118.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $122.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 141.9(\mathrm{C}), 144.5(\mathrm{C})$, 144.6 (C), 156.2 (C=O), 168.9 (C=O)

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3431, 1664, 1720, $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 523$, found 523
(R)-Ethyl 4-[(S)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboperoxoate, ( $R, S$ )-8


Yield 73 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ Yellow solid $)$
$[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}=+20^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.05\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.23-1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $3.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, N-CH2), 4.11-4.25 (m, 4H, N-CH2, O-CH2, CH Fmoc), 4.39 (d, 2H, J=6.9 Hz, CH2 Fmoc), 4.98 (br s, 1H, O-CH), 5.25 (br s, 1H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 5.50 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.98-7.17 (m, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.29-7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=14.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8(\mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc $)$, $48.1\left(\alpha \mathrm{CH}\right.$ Ala ), $62.6\left(\mathrm{O}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)}\right), 67.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}\right), 74.5(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 118.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $121.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 124.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 141.9(\mathrm{C}), 144.5(\mathrm{C})$, 144.6 (C), 156.4 (C=O), 169.5 (C=O)

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3432,1663,1715,1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 523$, found 523
(S)-Methyl 2-[(S)-4-((S)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido)propanoate, (S,S,S)-13


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ MW: $557.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Yield 82 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=183^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Dark green solid)
$[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}=+31^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.05\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$
 Fmoc), 4.63 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.1$ and $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 4.74 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.12 (br s, 1 H , $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), $5.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.03-7.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 7.28-7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=19.0\left(\mathrm{KCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{KCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8(\mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc), 48.1 ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 48.5 ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), $53.3\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 67.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ Fmoc), $76.0(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 118.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8(\mathrm{C}), 126.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $128.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 141.9(\mathrm{C}), 144.4(\mathrm{C}), 144.5(\mathrm{C}), 156.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 167.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 172.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 173.5$ (C=O)

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3416, 3432, 1672, 1686, 1719, $1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 580$, found 580
(S)-Methyl 2-[(R)-4-((S)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl]-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido)propanoate, $(S, R, S)$-13


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ MW: $557.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Yield 65 \%

Yield $65 \% ; m p=182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-23^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.05\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ Ala), 3.69 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.23\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH} \operatorname{Fmoc}\right.$ ), $4.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ Fmoc), 4.59 (dd, 1H, $J=21.6$ and $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 4.81 (br s, 1H, O-CH), 5.01 (br s, 1H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 5.66 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.99-7.17 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.91 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.26-7.42 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=18.9\left(\mathrm{KCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 47.8(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Fmoc}), 48.2$ ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ala}$ ), $48.6(\alpha \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ala}), 53.2\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 67.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}\right)$, $76.2(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 118.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 124.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 141.9$ (C), 144.5 (C), 144.6 (C), 146.2 (C=O), 156.2 (C=O), 167.8 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 173.3 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3416, 3431, 1670, 1685, 1719, $1741 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 580$, found 580
(S)-Methyl 2-[(S)-4-((S)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido]-3-phenylpropanoate, $(S, S, S)$-14


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ MW: $633.69 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Yield 60 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Light brown solid)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.02\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.26$ (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}$ ), $3.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\beta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ Phe), 3.76 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.22 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc), $4.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}$ ), 4.71 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.91 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Phe), 5.09 (br s, 1H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), $5.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.96-7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=19.4\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 38.6\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right), 47.9(\mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc $), 48.1$
 $120.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.2(\mathrm{C}), 127.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 135.8(\mathrm{C}), 142.0(\mathrm{C}), 144.5(\mathrm{C}), 144.6(\mathrm{C})$, 156.4 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 167.8 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 171.9 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ )

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3416, $3430,1670,1686,1719,1743 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 635$, found 635
(S)-Methyl 2-[(R)-4-((S)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxamido]-3-phenylpropanoate, (S,R,S)-14


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ MW: $633.69 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Yield 72 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Light brown solid)
$[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}=-4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c=0.02\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.37\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 3.17(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\beta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ Phe), 3.67 (s, 3H, O-CH3), 4.24 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH} F \mathrm{Fmoc}$ ), 4.38 (d, 1H, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ Fmoc), 4.79 (br s, 1H, O-CH), 4.83-4.89 (q, 1H, $\alpha$ CH Phe), 5.02 (br s, 1H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 5.65 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.98-7.03 (m, 3H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.28-7.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 7.61 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=19.7\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 38.5\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right), 47.8(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Fmoc}), 48.2$
 $120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 124.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 136.1(\mathrm{C}), 141.9(\mathrm{C}), 144.5(\mathrm{C}), 144.6(\mathrm{C}), 156.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 167.9 (C=O), 171.9 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ )

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3413,3428,1681,1708,1716,1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 635$, found 635
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl [(S)-1-((S)-2-(((S)-1-amino-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-4(3H)-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl]carbamate, (S,S,S)-15


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ MW: $542.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Yield 42 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=203^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (White solid)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+36^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, c=0.01\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, 1 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.23$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}$ ), 1.35 (d, 3 H , $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc $), 4.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}\right)$, 4.50 (t, 1H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 4.68 (br s, 1H, O-CH), 5.05 (br s, 1H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 5.57 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.05-7.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 7.29 (br s, 1H. NH), 7.58 (d, 2H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.73 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $1 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=19.2\left(\mathrm{KCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{\beta CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 47.8(\mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc), 48.0 ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 48.7 ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), $67.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}\right)$, $74.6(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 116.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 117.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 118.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 119.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 124.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $125.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 141.9(\mathrm{C}), 144.4(\mathrm{C}), 156.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 167.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 174.2 (C=O)

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, 1 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3416,3429,1667,1679,1699,1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 565$, found 565
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl [(S)-1-((R)-2-(((S)-1-amino-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-4(3H)-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl]carbamate ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}, S$ )-15


Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
MW: $542.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Yield 25 \%
$\mathrm{Mp}=203^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (White solid)
$[\alpha]^{22}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}=+22^{\circ}\left(\right.$ DMSO $\left.-d_{6}, c=0.01\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, 1 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=1.21$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}$ ), $1.38(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}$ ), 4.20 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc), 4.33 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}$ ), 4.50 (t, 1H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 4.83 (br s, 1H, O-CH), 4.99 (br s, 1H, $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 5.42 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 5.77 (d, 1H, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.33 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.92-7.13 (m, 3H, H Ar ), 7.16 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.24-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $1 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=18.9\left(\mathrm{KCH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right)$, $19.3\left(\beta \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ala}\right), 47.7(\mathrm{CH}$ Fmoc), 48.1 ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 48.8 ( $\alpha \mathrm{CH}$ Ala), 67.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Fmoc}$ ), $75.0(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}), 118.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 122.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 124.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 141.8(\mathrm{C})$, 144.4 (C), 156.3 (C=O), 168.2 (C=O), 174.1 (C=O).

IR ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, 1 \%$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3396,3418,1647,1674,1699,1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

LC-MS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 565$, found 565

## APPENDIX 1

## Absolute configuration determination by comparison of calculated and experimental ECD spectra

1. Re-optimization of the conformers, frequency calculations at $\operatorname{SMD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP}-$ D3/6-311+g(d,p) level and TD-DFT calculations at $\operatorname{SMD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \mathrm{CAMB} 3 \mathrm{LYP}-\mathrm{D} 3 / 6-$ $311+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level: 100 excited stated were computed for each conformation.


Figure 2. Comparison of UV (top) and ECD (bottom) experimental spectra in acetonitrile ( $c=0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) for the first eluted enantiomer of 2 on Lux-Cellulose-2 and TD-DFT calculated spectra (Boltzmann averages of two conformers, $=0.29 \mathrm{eV}$, shifted by 9 nm ). Vertical bars are oscillator and rotational strengths calculated for the two conformers with arbitrary unit.
2. Re-optimization of the conformers, frequency and TD-DFT calculations at SMD $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \mathrm{M} 06-2 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{D} 3 /$ Def2SVP level: 100 excited stated were computed for each conformation.


Figure 3. Comparison of UV (top) and ECD (bottom) experimental spectra in acetonitrile ( $c=0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) for the first eluted enantiomer of 2 on Lux-Cellulose-2 and TD-DFT calculated spectra (Boltzmann
averages of two conformers, $=0.29 \mathrm{eV}$, shifted by 20 nm ). Vertical bars are oscillator and rotational strengths calculated for the two conformers with arbitrary unit.
3. Re-optimization of the conformers, frequency and TD-DFT calculations at SMD $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) / \omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{Def} 2 \mathrm{SVP}$ level: 100 excited stated were computed for each conformation.


Figure 4. Comparison of UV (top) and ECD (bottom) experimental spectra in acetonitrile ( $c=0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) for the first eluted enantiomer of $\mathbf{2}$ on Lux-Cellulose-2 and TD-DFT calculated spectra (Boltzmann averages of two conformers, $=0.29 \mathrm{eV}$, shifted by 17 nm ). Vertical bars are oscillator and rotational strengths calculated for the two conformers with arbitrary unit.
4. Re-optimization of the conformers, frequency and TD-DFT calculations at SMD $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) /$ PBE0-D3/Def2SVP level: 100 excited stated were computed for each conformation.


Figure 5. Comparison of UV (top) and ECD (bottom) experimental spectra in acetonitrile ( $c=0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) for the first eluted enantiomer of $\mathbf{2}$ on Lux-Cellulose-2 and TD-DFT calculated spectra (Boltzmann averages of two conformers, $=0.29 \mathrm{eV}$, shifted by 9 nm ). Vertical bars are oscillator and rotational strengths calculated for the two conformers with arbitrary unit.

## APPENDIX 2

## INFRARED SPECTRA

1. $(S, S)-8$

2. $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{8}$

3. $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{9}$

4. $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{9}$

5. $(S, S)-10$

6. $(R, S)-10$

7. $(S, S)-\mathbf{1 1}$

8. $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 1 1}$

9. $(S, S)-12$

10. (R,S)-12

11. $(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{1 3}$

12. $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{1 3}$

13. $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{1 4}$

14. $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, S)$-14

15. (S,S,S)-15

16. $(S, R, S)-15$


## APPENDIX 3

SCREENING OF CHIRAL STATIONARY PHASES FOR HPLC ENANTIOSEPRATION OF ENANTIOPURE ETHYL 3,4-DIHYDRO-2H-1,4-BENZOXAZINE (SA1) AND ETHYL 2,3-DIBROMOPROPIONATE (SA2)

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak ASH
Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.43 | 9028 | 45.94 | 0.50 |  |  |
| 4.66 | 10622 | 54.06 | 0.58 | 1.15 | 0.82 |
| Sum | 19649 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:\CHEM32\11DATAL28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_ASH_4.D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injection date: | 1/28/2019 8:43:12 PM | Injection volume: |  | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis | hod: | E-30-CD $254 \mathrm{NM}-$ RAPSA1-B.M |
| Last changed: | 1/29/2019 9:34:43 AM | Location | Vial |  |
|  | (in) 2950 |  |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralcel OD-3

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.32 | 9968 | 50.65 | 1.14 |  |  |
| 7.99 | 9710 | 49.35 | 1.71 | 1.50 | 6.08 |
| Sum | 19678 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C-lCHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_OD3_4.D |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | $1 / 28 / 2019$ | $6: 03: 12 \mathrm{PM}$ | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |  |
|  |  |  | RAPSA1-B.M |  |
| Last changed: | $1 / 29 / 2019$ | $9: 32: 18 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: | Vial 83 |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Lux-Cellulose-2
Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.70 | 9982 | 50.63 | 0.93 |  |  |
| 8.87 | 9734 | 49.37 | 2.01 | 2.16 | 10.09 |
| Sum | 19716 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_LC2_4.D |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 1/28/2019 6:28:13 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |  |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |  |
|  |  |  | RAPSA1-B.M |  |
| Last changed: | $1 / 29 / 2019$ | $9: 33: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: | Vial 83 |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Lux-Cellulose-3

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 C, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.56 | 10267 | 50.69 | 3.94 |  |  |
| 22.01 | 9989 | 49.31 | 6.46 | 1.64 | 10.06 |
| Sum | 20256 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_LC3_4.D |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 1/29/2019 1:48:34 AM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |  |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM-45MIN.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |  |
|  |  |  | RAPSA1-B.M |  |
| Last changed: | $1 / 29 / 2019$ | $9: 40: 33 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: | Vial 83 |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:

> Lux-Cellulose-4

Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
Signal: DAD1 E, Sig= 254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.23 | 9960 | 50.57 | 0.77 |  |  |
| 7.17 | 9734 | 49.43 | 1.43 | 1.85 | 8.43 |
| Sum | 19694 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file:
Injection date:
Acq. method:
C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_LC4_4.D
1/28/2019 6:53:13 P
Injection volume:
20.000

Last changed:
1/29/2019 9:33:00 AM
Analysis method:
E-30-CD 254 NM -
RAPSA1-B.M

Column void time (min) $\quad 2.950$

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Lux-Amylose-1

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.79 | 9676 | 49.16 | 1.30 |  |  |
| 8.23 | 10008 | 50.84 | 1.79 | 1.37 | 5.23 |
| Sum | 19685 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_LUXA1_4.D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injection date: | 1/28/2019 7:18:14 PM | Injection | ume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: |  | E-30-CD 254 NM <br> RAPSA1-B.M |
| Last changed: | 1/29/2019 9:33:00 AM | Location: | Vial |  |
|  | ) 2.950 |  |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Lux-Amylose-2

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DADl E, $\mathrm{Sig}=254,4$ Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.94 | 9958 | 50.68 | 1.01 |  |  |
| 6.79 | 9689 | 49.32 | 1.30 | 1.29 | 3.89 |
| Sum | 19647 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:\CHEM32\11DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_LA2_4.D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injection date: | 1/28/2019 7:43:12 PM | Injection volume: |  | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis | hod: | E-30-CD254NM-RAPSA1-B.M |
| Last changed: | 1/29/2019 9:33:00 AM | Location: | Via |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak AZ-H

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.31 | 9951 | 50.77 | 0.80 |  |  |
| 7.02 | 9648 | 49.23 | 1.38 | 1.72 | 6.38 |
| Sum | 19599 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file: C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_AZH_4.D

| Injection date: | $1 / 28 / 2019$ | $8: 08: 12 \mathrm{PM}$ | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |  |
|  |  |  | RAPSA1-B.M |  |
| Last changed: | $1 / 29 / 2019$ | $9: 34: 43 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: | Vial 83 |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak IA

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.13 | 9732 | 49.29 | 1.08 |  |  |
| 7.25 | 10014 | 50.71 | 1.46 | 1.35 | 2.85 |
| Sum | 19745 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_IA_4.D |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | $1 / 28 / 2019$ | $9: 18: 17 \mathrm{PM}$ | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |  |
|  |  |  | Location: | Vial 83 |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak IB

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.39 | 9985 | 50.80 | 0.83 |  |  |
| 6.00 | 9671 | 49.20 | 1.03 | 1.25 | 2.60 |
| Sum | 19656 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file: C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_IB_4.D

| Injection date: | 1/28/2019 9:53:19 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |
|  |  |  | Location: |
| Last changed: | $1 / 29 / 2019$ | $9: 34: 43 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |
| Column void time (min) | 2.950 |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak IC

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
Signal: DAD1 E, Sig= 254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.09 | 9905 | 50.67 | 0.72 |  |  |
| 5.77 | 9642 | 49.33 | 0.95 | 1.32 | 2.90 |
| Sum | 19547 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file:
Injection date:
Acq. method:
C: \CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_IC_4.D
1/28/2019 10:28:18 PM
E-30-CD254NM.M
Injection volume: $\quad 20.000$
Analysis method: E-30-CD254NM-
RAPSA1-B.M
Last changed:
1/29/2019 9:34:43 AM
Location: Vial 83
Column void time (min) $\quad 2.950$

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak ID

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.13 | 9730 | 48.96 | 0.74 |  |  |
| 5.44 | 10143 | 51.04 | 0.84 |  | 0.98 |
| Sum | 19872 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file: C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_ID_4.D

| Injection date: | 1/28/2019 11:03:20 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-30-CD254NM- |
|  |  |  | Location: |
| Last changed: | $1 / 29 / 2019$ | $9: 34: 43 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |
| Column void time (min) | 2.950 |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak IE

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, $\operatorname{Sig}=254,4$ Ref $=$ off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.49 | 9970 | 50.78 | 0.86 |  |  |
| 6.00 | 9664 | 49.22 | 1.04 | 1.20 | 2.30 |
| Sum | 19634 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file:
Injection date:
Acq. method:
C: $\$ CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_IE_4.D
1/28/2019 11:38:21 P
E-30-CD254NM.M
Injection volume: $\quad 20.000$
Analysis method: E-30-CD254NM-
RAPSA1-B.M
Last changed
1/29/2019 9:34:43 AM
Location: Vial 83
Column void time (min) $\quad 2.950$

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA1-rac

Column:
Chiralpak IF
Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
Signal:

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.45 | 10057 | 50.48 | 0.85 |  |  |
| 7.21 | 9865 | 49.52 | 1.45 | 1.70 | 5.60 |
| Sum | 19922 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file: C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_IF_4.D

Injection date:
Acq. method:
1/29/2019 12:13:23 AM
E-30-CD254NM.M

Last changed:
1/29/2019 9:34:43 AM
Column void time (min) 2.950

Injection volume: $\quad 20.000$
Analysis method: E-30-CD254NM-
RAPSA1-B.M
Location: Vial 83

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA1-rac
Column:
Chiralpak IG
Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
Signal: DAD1 E, Sig= 254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area $\%$ | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.66 | 10068 | 50.73 | 1.26 |  |  |
| 7.36 | 9777 | 49.27 | 1.49 | 1.19 | 2.46 |
| Sum | 19845 | 100.00 |  |  |  |

Data file:
Injection date:
Acq. method:
C: \CHEM32\1\DATA\28-01-2019\SA1-RAC_IG_4.D
1/29/2019 12:48:24
E-30-CD254NM.M

Last changed
1/29/2019 9:34:43 AM
Injection volume: $\quad 20.000$
Analysis method: E-30-CD254NM-
RAPSA1-B.M

Column void time (min) $\quad 2.950$
Location: Vial 83

Plateforme de chromatographie chirale - Aix Marseille Université

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA1-rac

Column:

> (S,S)-Whelk-O1

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/Ethanol (70/30), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.90 | 9774 | 49.30 | 1.34 |  |  |
| 7.33 | 10052 | 50.70 | 1.49 | 1.11 | 1.20 |
| Sum | 19826 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA128-01-2019\SA1-RAC_WHELK_4.D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injection date: | 1/29/2019 1:23:25 AM | Injection | ume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-30-CD254NM.M | Analysis | hod: | E-30-CD254NM RAPSA1-B.M |
| Last changed: | 1/29/2019 9:34:43 AM | Location: | Via |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA2
Column:

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.08 | 3202 | 100.00 | 0.38 |  |  |
| Sum | 3202 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_ASH_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 7:28:54 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | 1/28/2019 10:13:40 AM | Location: Vial 20 |  |
| Column void time $(\boldsymbol{m i n})$ | 2.950 |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

Column:
Chiralcel OD-3
Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.46 | 1192 | 44.13 | 0.51 |  |  |
| 4.57 | 1509 | 55.87 | 0.55 | 1.07 | 0.52 |
| Sum | 2701 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_OD3_7.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 3:36:39 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Lux-Cellulose-2

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area \% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.22 | 3213 | 100.00 | 0.43 |  |  |
| Sum | 3213 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\IIDATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_LC2_7.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 4:00:10 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

Column:
Lux-Cellulose-4

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.10 | 3210 | 100.00 | 0.39 |  |  |
| Sum | 3210 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA21SA2_LC4_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 5:55:00 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

Column:
Lux-Amylose-1
Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, $\mathrm{Sig}=254,4$ Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area \% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.13 | 1589 | 49.94 | 0.74 |  |  |
| 5.76 | 1593 | 50.06 | 0.95 | 1.29 | 3.68 |
| Sum | 3182 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_LUXA1_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 6:18:29 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

Column:

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

$\mathrm{ADCl} \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{CD} 254 \mathrm{~nm}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.04 | 2393 | 100.00 | 0.71 |  |  |
| Sum | 2393 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_LA2_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 6:41:57 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Chiralpak AZ-H

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.38 | 1563 | 48.80 | 0.82 |  |  |
| 5.64 | 1641 | 51.20 | 0.91 | 1.11 | 1.28 |
| Sum | 3204 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA21SA2_AZH_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 7:05:26 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40$ AM | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Chiralpak IA

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.84 | 1608 | 49.74 | 0.64 |  |  |
| 5.19 | 1624 | 50.26 | 0.76 | 1.18 | 1.40 |
| Sum | 3232 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_IA_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 7:52:27 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Chiralpak IB

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.20 | 3254 | 100.00 | 0.42 |  |  |
| Sum | 3254 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\1IDATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_IB_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 8:15:59 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

## Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Chiralpak IC

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.32 | 3227 | 100.00 | 0.46 |  |  |
| Sum | 3227 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_IC_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 8:39:27 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Chiralpak ID

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.87 | 1526 | 46.98 | 0.65 |  |  |
| 5.09 | 1722 | 53.02 | 0.73 | 1.12 | 0.76 |
| Sum | 3248 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_ID_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 9:02:57 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Chiralpak IE
Temperature:
Mobile phase: $\quad$ Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.10 | 3248 | 100.00 | 0.73 |  |  |
| Sum | 3248 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATALACHERARISA21SA2_IE_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 9:26:27 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA2

## Column:

Temperature:
Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area\% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.38 | 1561 | 48.30 | 0.82 |  |  |
| 5.63 | 1671 | 51.70 | 0.91 | 1.10 | 1.04 |
| Sum | 3232 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\IIDATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_IF_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 9:49:57 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | 1/28/2019 10:13:40 AM | Location: Vial 20 |  |
| Column void time $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | 2.950 |  |  |

## Chiral HPLC report

Sample name: SA2
Column:

## Temperature:

Mobile phase: Heptane/ethanol (98/2), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off



Signal: DAD1 E, Sig=254,4 Ref=off

| RT [min] | Area | Area \% | Capacity Factor | Enantioselectivity | Resolution (USP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.72 | 3271 | 100.00 | 0.60 |  |  |
| Sum | 3271 | 100.00 |  |  |  |


| Data file: | C:ICHEM32\11DATA\ACHERARISA2ISA2_WHELK_8.D |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injection date: | 10/19/2018 10:36:57 PM | Injection volume: | 20.000 |
| Acq. method: | E-2-CD254NM.M | Analysis method: | E-2-CD254NM.M |
| Last changed: | $1 / 28 / 201910: 13: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | Location: Vial 20 |  |

## SYNTHESIS OF ENANTIOPURE 3,4-DIHYDRO-2H-1,4-BENZOXAZINE ANALOGUES FOR POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL APPLICATION

Key words: 1,4-benzoxazine, enantioselective synthesis, chiral HPLC, racemization, pseudopeptides, conformational analysis

This work describes the syntheis and conformational analysis of enantiopure 1,4-benzoxazinebased pseudodipeptides and pseudotripeptides.

Enantiomers of ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate and ethyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2carboxylate are obtained by two strategies (i) via enantioselective synthesis and (ii) via preparative HPLC enantioseparation of racemate on multigram scale. Because of the racemization process during the enantioselective synthesis, preparative HPLC enantioseparation on racemates are successfully applied to afford enantiomers with high enantiomeric purities (ee $\geq 99.5 \%$ ). Both enantiomers of the 1,4 -benzoxazine compound are used to design new 1,4-benzoxazine-based pseudopeptides via peptide coupling reactions on C - and N -terminal extremities. Their conformational behaviour in solution and solid states are investigated by spectroscopic (IR, NMR) and X-Ray diffraction analyses. The results indicate (i) a predominant $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ pseudocycle involving a lone pair of electrons of oxygen in 1,4benzoxazine ring with NH group from $C$-extremity elongation and (ii) a slight influence of the absolute configuration at C 2 position of 1,4-benzoxazine scaffold and the side chains of amino acids.

## SYNTHÈSE D'ANALOGUES 3,4-DIHYDRO-2H-1,4-BENZOXAZINE ÉNANTIOPURES POUR DES APPLICATIONS BIOLOGIQUES POTENTIELLES

Mots clés : 1,4-benzoxazine, synthèse énantiosélective, HPLC chirale, racémisation, pseudopeptides, analyse conformationnelle

Ce travail décrit la synthèse et l'analyse conformationnelle de pseudodipeptides et pseudotripeptides à base d'un motif 1,4-benzoxazinique énantiopur.

Les énantiomères du 2,3-dibromopropionate d'éthyle et du 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazine-2-carboxylate d'éthyle sont obtenus par deux stratégies (i) synthèse énantiosélective et (ii) énantioséparation des racemiques par HPLC préparative à l'échelle du multigramme. En raison de processus de racémisation au cours des synthèses énantiosélectives, l'énantioséparation des racemiques par HPLC préparative est realisée avec succès pour accéder aux différents énantiomères avec une pureté énantiomérique élevée (ee $\geq 99,5 \%$ ). Les deux énantiomères du composé 1,4-benzoxazine sont utilisés pour concevoir de nouveaux pseudopeptides à base d'un motif 1,4-benzoxazinique via des réactions de couplage peptidique au niveau des extrémités $C$ - et $N$-terminales. Leur préférence conformationnelle en solution et à l'état solide sont étudiées par analyses spectroscopiques (IR, RMN) et diffraction des rayons X. Les résultats indiquent (i) la prédominance d'un pseudocycle $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ impliquant un doublet non liant de l'oxygène du cycle 1,4-benzoxazinique avec un groupement NH au niveau de l'élongation de l'extrémité $C$ terminale et (ii) une légère influence de la configuration absolue en position C 2 sur le motif 1,4-benzoxazinique et des chaînes latérales des acides aminés.


[^0]:    * Images were taken from Wikipedia and reference ${ }^{9}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{+}$Image was taken from the reference ${ }^{21}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Image was taken from Toppr

